

EFFECTIVENESS OF NOISE BARRIERS AT RESIDENTIAL AREAS IN KLANG VALLEY, MALAYSIA

HERNI BINTI HALIM

FPAS 2016 2



EFFECTIVENESS OF NOISE BARRIERS AT RESIDENTIAL AREAS IN KLANG VALLEY, MALAYSIA



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

February 2016

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

G



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

EFFECTIVENESS OF NOISE BARRIERS AT RESIDENTIAL AREAS IN KLANG VALLEY, MALAYSIA

By

HERNI BINTI HALIM

February 2016

Chairman : Associate Professor Ramdzani bin Abdullah, PhD Faculty : Environmental Studies

The use of environmental noise barrier has increased as concerns of side effects of noise pollution in the environment grow. However, a lot of complaints from the residents occur after the installation of the noise barrier regarding its effectiveness. Thus, this study is carried out to determine the effectiveness of existing noise barriers such as vegetation, concrete hollow block, and panel concrete. This study is designed to evaluate the influence of source of noise (traffic composition) as well as meteorological condition towards level of noise at study sites with and without the presence of noise barrier. The results of the study reveals that L_{Aeq} in all study sites throughout all measurement periods recorded 61.9 dB(A) to 74.8 dB(A) during daytime (morning, afternoon, and evening) and 57.6 dB(A) to 73.2 dB(A) during night time. These results exceed the noise limit in Malaysia guidelines (daytime = 60 dB(A) and night time = 50dB(A)). Results of PCA show the percentages of the total variance up to 95% by variables of traffic composition such as car, motorcycles, and light lorries. Apart from that, panel concrete noise barrier meet the minimum value of effective noise barrier insertion loss. The concrete hollow block partially meet the minimum value of effective noise barrier with computed insertion loss, while vegetation does not provide enough insertion loss of effective noise barrier. Therefore, the finding indicates that panel concrete provides consistent insertion loss followed by concrete hollow block and vegetation. The MLR model based on the traffic characteristics group exhibited optimal performance in term of coefficient of determination for with and without noise barrier location with values of 0.858 and 0.839, respectively.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KEBERKESANAN PENGHADANG BUNYI DI KAWASAN PERUMAHAN DALAM LEMBAH KLANG, MALAYSIA

Oleh

HERNI BINTI HALIM

Februari 2016

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Ramdzani bin Abdullah, PhD Fakulti : Pengajian Alam Sekitar

Penggunaan penghadang bunyi semakin meningkat berikutan kebimbangan kesan sampingan pencemaran bunyi kepada alam sekitar. Namun, banyak rungutan timbul berkaitan keberkesanannya mengurangkan bunyi bising jalanraya. Oleh itu, kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengkaji keberkesanan penghadang bunyi yang dibina daripada tumbuh-tumbuhan, blok berlubang konkrit, dan panel konkrit. Kajian ini direkabentuk untuk menilai pengaruh sumber bunyi (komposisi trafik) dan keadaan meteorologi kepada bunyi bising di kawasan kajian yang mempunyai dan tidak mempunyai penghadang bunyi. LAeq di semua kawasan kajian pada semua waktu pengukuran mencatatkan 61.9 dB(A) hingga 74.8 dB(A) pada siang hari (pagi, tengah hari, dan petang) dan 57.6 dB(A) hingga 73.2 dB(A) pada malam hari. Semua hasil kajian melebihi had bunyi Garis Panduan Malaysia. PCA menunjukkan peratus varians keseluruhan sehingga 95% oleh parameter komposisi trafik seperti kereta, motorsikal, dan lori kecil. Kehilangan sisipan penghadang bunyi konkrit panel adalah melebihi nilai minima penghadang bunyi yang berkesan. Blok konkrit berlubang pula mencatatkan sebahagian kecil kehilangan sisipan yang kurang daripada nilai minima penghadang bunyi yang berkesan manakala tumbuh-tumbuhan tidak menyediakan kehilangan sisipan yang mencukupi sebagai penghadang bunyi yang berkesan. Konkrit panel menyediakan kehilangan sisipan yang berkesan dan konsisten diikuti oleh blok konkrit berlubang dan tumbuh-tumbuhan. Model regresi linear kumpulan ciri-ciri trafik mempamerkan prestasi optimum pekali penentuan untuk lokasi yang mempunyai dan tanpa penghadang bunyi dengan nilai 0.858 dan 0.839 setiap satu.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

"In the name of Allah, the most gracious, the most compassionate"

First and above all, I praise Allah, the almighty for providing me this opportunity and granting me the capability to proceed successfully in this study. This thesis appears in its current form due to the assistance and guidance of several people and organizations. I would therefore, like to offer my sincere thanks to all of them.

First and foremost, I offer my sincerest gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Ramdzani bin Abdullah, who has supported me throughout my thesis with his patience and knowledge whilst allowing me the room to work in my own way. I attribute the level of my doctor of philosophy degree to his encouragement and effort and without him; this thesis too, would not have been completed or written. One simply could not wish for a better or friendlier supervisor.

I would like to offer special thanks to my co-supervisor, Profesor Dato' Abang Abdullah Abang Ali and Profesor Ir. Dr. Mohd Jailani Mohd Nor, who not only provided helpful suggestion but also for the careful review and valuable guidance throughout my study.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my family, Mohamad Firdaus bin Mahamad Yusob(my husband), Halim bin Din(my father), Shamsiah binti Kasim(my mother), Zaiton bin S. Abdul Hamid(my mother-in-law), Mahamad Yusob bin Osman(my father-in-law), Hafiza binti Halim(my second younger sister), and Hazren binti Halim(my youngest sister), who always there for me and also willing to take care of my little sons(Muhammad Ibrahim bin Mohamad Firdaus and Muhammad Nuh bin Mohamad Firdaus), while I continued my Ph.D study. You were the spark that rekindled my dream and led me to complete my Ph.D. I hope you know how grateful I am for you and how much I love you all.

I would like to express my deep appreciation to the many friends who provided so much support and encouragement throughout this process including Dr. Nurul Izma Mohammed, Dr. Badriyah Zakaria and Nurul Jannah Khairuddin, and Doreena Dominick. In particular, I would like to thank to my dearest friend, Dr. Nurul Hana binti Mokhtar Kamal for her constant support and always believe in me at all time to finish this study. Thank you for helping me with my thesis.

Finally, I wish to express my biggest acknowledgement to Universiti Putra Malaysia and Universiti Sains Malaysia for providing me financial support under Research University Grant Scheme(RUGS) and Academic Staff Training Scheme (ASTS), respectively. As a saying goes "Money isn't the most important thing in life, but it's reasonably close to oxygen, in a scale of 'got to have it", I really thanked to the both organizations for assisting me financially throughout my study. I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 29 February 2016 to conduct the final examination of Herni binti Halim on her thesis entitled "Effectiveness of Noise Barriers at Residential Areas in Klang Valley, Malaysia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Mohd Bakri bin Ishak, PhD Associate Professor Faculty of Environmental Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Mohd Kamil bin Yusof, PhD Associate Professor Faculty of Environmental Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Mohammad Firuz bin Ramli, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Environmental Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Tang Shiu-Keung, PhD

Professor The Hong Kong Polytechnic University China (External Examiner)



ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 September 2016

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Ramdzani bin Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Environmental Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Abang Abdullah Abang Ali

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Mohd. Jailani Mohd. Nor, PhD Professor, Ir Deputy Vice Chancellor (Research and Innovation) Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

(Member)

BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:		Date:		

Name and Matric No.: Herni Binti Halim (GS27599)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

G

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:	
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page	
ABSTR	АСТ			i	
ABSTR	AK			ii	
ACKNO)WLF	DGF	CMENTS	iii	
APPRO	VAL			iv	
DECLA	RAT	ION		vi	
LIST O	F FIG	URE	S	xi	
LIST O	F TAI	BLES		xiv	
LIST O	F ABI	BREV	VIATIONS	XV	
CHAPT	ER				
1		INT	RODUCTION	1	
		1.1	Overview	1	
		1.2	Problem Statement	2	
		1.3	Objectives	3	
		1.4	Scope of the Study	3	
		1.5	Structure of Thesis	3	
2		LIT	ERATURE REVIEW	6	
		2.1	Traffic Noise Pollution	6	
		2.2	Worldwide Traffic Noise Pollution	7	
		2.3	Traffic Noise Pollution in Malaysia	9	
		2.4	Traffic Noise Pollution in Residential Area	10	
		2.5	Effects of Traffic Noise Pollution on Residents	10	
		2.6	Effects of Vibration from Road Traffic	12	
		2.7	Noise from Vehicle Engine and Contact between Tyres	12	
			and Road Surface		
		2.8	Noise Descriptors	13	
			2.8.1 Equivalent Continuous Sound Level (L_{Aeq})	13	
			2.8.2 Day-Evening-Night sound level (L _{DEN})	14	
		2.9	Weather Conditions Effect on Traffic Noise	14	
		2.10	Statistical Techniques	15	
			2.10.1 Paired Sample T-test	15	
			2.10.2 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	16	
			2.10.3 Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) Analysis	17	
		2.11	Noise Barrier	17	
			2.11.1 Location of Noise Barrier	18	
			2.11.2 Visual Effects	19	
			2.11.3 Materials of Noise Barrier	19	
			2.11.3.1 Vegetation Noise Barrier	20	
			2.11.3.2 Concrete and Concrete Masonry Uni	t 20	
			Walls	21	
			2.11.4 Insertion Loss	21	
			2.11.5 Diffraction and Attenuation	22	

6

	2.11.6 Type of Noise Barriers	22
	2.11.7 Noise Barrier Dimension and Design	25
	2.11.8 Effectiveness of the Noise Barrier	26
	2.12 Traffic Noise Modelling	26
	2.12.1 The Traffic Noise Model of Ontario Ministry of	27
	Transportation	
	2 12 2 Calculation of Road Traffic Noise	27
	2.12.2 Culculation of Road Traine Roise	21
3	METHODOLOGY	30
	3.1 Introduction	30
	3.2 Study Areas	30
	3.2.1 Desa Tun Razak. Cheras	31
	3.2.2. Sentul Utama Sentul	34
	3.2.2 Sentar Carria, Sentar 3.2.3 Kinrara Court Puchong	37
	3.3. Sampling Strategies and Data Collection	40
	3.3.1 Observation Time	40
	3.3.1 Observation Time	40
	3.3.2 Troffic Composition Data	41
	2.2.4 Mateorological Data	43
	2.2.5 Incention Loss	45
	3.3.5 Insertion Loss	45
-	2.4 Data Analysis	46
	3.4.1 Descriptive Analysis	46
	3.4.2 Traffic Noise Prediction Model	48
	3.4.2.1 The Traffic Noise Model of Ontario	48
	Ministry of Transportation	
	3.4.2.2 CRTN	49
	3.4.3 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	51
	3.4.4 Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) Analysis	53
4		51
4	KESULIS AND DISCUSSION	54 54
2	A.1 Descriptive Statistics of Diurnal Dynamic of Road Trainc	54
	1.2 Temporal Variation (paired t test)	63
-	4.2.1 Sites Without Barrier	63
	4.2.1 Sites without Barrier	03
	4.2.2 Sites With Barrier	69
	4.3 Insertion Loss	76
2	4.4 Noise barrier Dimension and Design	79
	1.5 Effects of Noise Level after Noise Reduction by the Noise	80
	Barrier to the Community according to WHO Standard.	
4	4.6 Effect of Vibration from Road Traffic	80
	1.7 Noise from Vehicle Engine and Contact between Tyres	81
	and Road Surface	
	4.8 Comparison of Measured Noise with Existing Prediction	82
	Models	<u></u>
	4.8.1 Calculation of Road Traffic Noise (CRTN)	82
	4.8.2 The Traffic Noise Model of Ontario Ministry of	84
	Transportation	Т
	19 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	87
-	1.10 Multiple Linear Regression	91
	montpre Eniou regression	11

5	CONCLUSION	97
	5.1 Conclusion	97
	5.2 Recommendation	99
REFEREN	NCES	100
APPENDI	CES	110
BIODATA	OF STUDENT	124
LIST OF I	PUBLICATIONS	125



 \bigcirc

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1:	Flowchart of the Framework of Research Methodology	5
2.1:	Alteration of noise path by a barrier	23
3.1:	Map of Klang Valley city showing three study sites	31
3.2:	The location of Desa Tun Razak – apartment areas are within the red box.	32
3.3	Vegetation as noise barrier at Desa Tun Razak	32
3.4:	Location of sound level meters at 5m, 10m, and 15 m from the road.represents WOV. Flat grassland is the surrounding of the site.	33
3.5:	Location of sound level meters at 5m, 10m, and 15 m from the noise barrier represents WV. Bitumen covered parking lot is the surrounding of this site.	34
3.6:	The location of Sentul Utama	34
3.7:	Masonry Concrete Hollow Block as noise barrier for Sentul Utama	35
3.8:	Location of sound level meters at 5m, 10m, and 15 m from the road represents WOCHB. Flat terrain grassland is the surrounding of this site.	36
3.9:	Location of sound level meters at 5m, 10m, and 15 m from the noise barrier represents WCHB. 70% of the surrounding is covered by grassland while the remaining is covered by bituminous walkway.	36
3.10:	Location of Kinrara Court (inside red box)	37
3.11:	Concrete panel as noise barrier for Kinrara Court	38
3.12:	Location of sound level meters at 5m, 10m, and 15 m from the	39
0.112.	road representing WOCP. The surrounding is covered by flat terrain of grassland.	07
3.13:	Location of sound level meters at 5m, 10m, and 15 m from the	39
	noise barrier represents WCP. 20 % of the surrounding is covered by the grassland while 80% is covered by bituminous parking lot.	
3.14:	Sound Level meter used in this study	42
3.15:	Kestrel weather meter	44
3.16:	Configuration of noise level measurement at without and with noise barrier.	45
3.17:	Illustration of L _{Aeq}	46
3.18:	Illustration of noise descriptors	47
3.19:	Flowchart of PCA Development procedures	51
4.1:	The boxplot of the mean samples of daytime and night time data at WOV during weekdays	63
4.2:	The boxplot of the mean samples of daytime and night time data at WOV during weekends	64

9

4.3:	The boxplot of the mean samples of daytime and night time data at WOCHB during weekdays	65	
$4 4 \cdot$	The boxplot of the mean samples of daytime and night time data	66	
	at WOCHB during weekends	00	
4 5.	The boxplot of the mean samples of daytime and night time data	67	
	at WOCP during weekdays	07	
4.6:	The boxplot of the mean samples of daytime and night time data	68	
	at WOCP during weekends	00	
4.7:	The boxplot of the mean samples of davtime and night time data	69	
	at WV during weekdays		
4.8:	The boxplot of the mean samples of daytime and night time data	70	
	at WV during weekends		
4.9:	The boxplot of the mean samples of daytime and night time data	71	
	at WCHB during weekdays		
4.10:	The boxplot of the mean samples of daytime and night time data	72	
	at WCHB during weekends		
4.11:	The boxplot of the mean samples of daytime and night time data	74	
	at WCP during weekdays		
4.12:	The boxplot of the mean samples of daytime and night time data	75	
	at WCP during weekends		
4.13:	Average of insertion loss of every 15 minutes of measurements of	76	
	three different type of noise barriers during (a) weekdays (b)		
	weekends		
4.14:	Predicted noise levels against measured values at 72	83	
	measurements period in 3 sites of WOV, WOCHB, and WOCP.		
A1	Average noise descriptors at with barrier locations in morning	110	
	during weekdays		
A2	Average noise descriptors at with barrier locations in afternoon	110	
	during weekdays		
A3	Average noise descriptors at with barrier locations in evening	111	
	during weekdays		
A4	Average noise descriptors at without barrier locations in night	111	
	during weekdays		
A5	Average noise descriptors at with barrier locations in morning	112	
	during weekends		
A6	Average noise descriptors at with barrier locations in afternoon	112	
	during weekends		
A7	Average noise descriptors at without barrier locations in evening	113	
	during weekends		
A8	Average noise descriptors at without barrier locations in night	113	
	during weekends		
A9	Number of different type of vehicles on weekdays on Sungai Besi	114	
110	Highway during measurement period	114	
A10	Number of different type of vehicles on weekends on Sungai Besi	114	
A 1 1	Highway during measurement period	117	
AII	Number of different type of venicles on weekdays on DUKE	115	
A 1 2	nignway during measurement period	115	
A12	Highway during maggingment pariod	115	
A 1 2	nighway during measurement period	116	
AIS	Highway during maggirement period	110	
	ingnway during measurement period		

A14	Number of different type of vehicles on weekends on KESAS	116
	Highway during measurement period	
A15	Percentage of different type of vehicles during weekdays on	117
	Sungai Besi Highway	
A16	Percentage of different type of vehicles during weekends on	117
	Sungai Besi Highway	
A17	Percentage of different type of vehicles during weekdays on	118
	DUKE Highway	
A18	Percentage of different type of vehicles during weekends on	118
	DUKE Highway	
A19	Percentage of different type of vehicles during weekdays on	119
	KESAS Highway	
A20	Percentage of different type of vehicles during weekends on	119
	KESAS Highway	
A21	Percentage of total number of vehicles during four measurement	120
	periods at Sungai Besi Highway during weekdays.	
A22	Percentage of different type of vehicles during four measurement	120
	periods at Sungai Besi Highway during weekends	
A23	Percentage of total number of vehicles during four measurement	121
	periods at DUKE Highway during weekdays	
A24	Percentage of total number of vehicles during four measurement	121
	periods at DUKE Highway during weekends	
A25	Percentage of total number of vehicles during four measurement	122
	periods at KESAS Highway during weekdays	
A26	Percentage of different type of vehicles during four measurement	122
	periods at KESAS Highway during weekends	

 \bigcirc

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1:	Maximum permissible sound level (L_{Aeq}) by receiving land use for planning and new development in Malaysia Noise Guidelines.	9
3.1:	Brief Information of integrating sound level meter used for the study	42
3.2:	Brief Information of kestrel Weather meter used for the study	44
4.1:	Mean and standard deviation for noise descriptors (L_{Aeq} , L_{10} , L_{50} , L_{90} , L_{10} - L_{90} , L_{max} , L_{min}) at the studies sites during four measurement periods (morning, afternoon, evening, and night) on weekdays.	55
4.2 :	Mean and standard deviation noise level for noise descriptors $(L_{Aeq}, L_{10}, L_{50}, L_{90}, L_{10}-L_{90}, L_{max}, L_{min})$ at the studies sites during four measurement periods (morning, afternoon, evening, and night) on weekends.	59
4.3:	Measured and Predicted L10 using CRTN at without barrier sites.	82
4.4:	Measured and predicted traffic noise level using FHWA model	84
4.5:	Principal Component Analysis after varimax rotation for respective Highways during morning, afternoon, evening, and night.	87
4.6:	Multiple Linear Regression Models for Respective Sites	92
4.7:	Standardized beta coefficient for every traffic compositions for each site	94
4.8:	Meteorological descriptive statistics during measurement period.	95
A1	Speed of Each Type of Vehicles during (a) Weekdays and (b) Weekends	123

 (\mathbf{C})

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANSI BESRAYA BS dB(A)DOE DUKE ELITE GCE IL ISO **KESAS** km L_{10} L_{50} L₉₀ LDP LEKAS LHH L_{Aeq} LDEN Lmax Lmin m mm m/s MEX MLR ND NKVE NPE PCA SILK SKVE SLM SPL SPRINT USDT WCHB WCP WHO WOCHB WOCP WOV WV

American National Standards Institute Sungai Besi Expressway **British Standards** A-Weighted Sound Level in Decibel Department of Environment Duta-Kelang Expressway Central Link Expressway Guthrie Corridor Expressway Insertion loss International Standards Organisation Kuala Lumpur Shah Alam Expressway kilometre 10th Percentile Noise Level 50th Percentile Noise Level 90th Percentile Noise Level Damansara Puchong Highway Kajang- Seremban Expressway League for the Hard of Hearing Equivalent Noise Level Day-Evening-Night sound level Maximum Noise Level Minimum Noise Level metre milimetre metre per second Kuala Lumpur- Putrajaya Expressway Multiple Linear Regression Noise Descriptor New Klang Valley Expressway New Pantai Expressway Principal Component Analysis Sistem Lingkaran Lebuhraya Kajang Sdn. Bhd. South Klang Valley Expressway Sound Level Meter Sound Pressure Level Western KL Traffic Dispersial System United States Department of Transportation With Concrete Hollow Block as Noise Barrier With Concrete Panel as Noise Barrier World Health Organization Without Concrete Hollow Block as Noise Barrier Without Concrete Panel as Noise Barrier Without Vegetation as Noise Barrier With Vegetation as Noise Barrier

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Sound is created when an object moves. Vibration of molecules that caused by the movement and reach our ears is called sound. However, noise is categorized as unwanted sound. Noise pollution is by now recognized worldwide as a major problem for the quality of life in all urban areas either in developed or developing countries (Piccolo et al., 2004). Investigations in different countries in the past several decades have shown that noise affected different activities badly and caused sleep disturbances and a poorer life quality (Berglund et al., 1999; Onuu, 2000; Bavani et al., 2010). It will be a larger and serious social problem in the future if effective precautions are not taken accordingly. Noise effect includes various impacts on mental and physical health and disturbance of daily activities which may affect sleep, conversation, lead to perception of annoyance, cause hearing loss, cardiovascular problems as well as affect human judgment and performance (Langdon and Griffiths, 1982).

Out of varieties sources of noise generation, traffic is the main source of the unwanted sound. Furthermore, traffic noise is one of the most important environmental issues in recent years due to increasing number of road vehicles (Abdelazeez and Hammad, 1987; Al-Mutairi et al., 2009; Raza et al., 2011; Anirban et al., 2012). In many cities, it is not uncommon to have traffic-related noise reaching the level of 80 dB(A) and higher at the roadside (Dai et al., 2005; Ta et al., 2011; Anirban et al., 2012; Bijay et al., 2012). These studies reflected that traffic noise was the main problem for urban residential areas as their locations were very close to roads and highways due to inavailability of enough lands. Therefore, noise levels at the adjacent residential areas were very high.

Millions of lives are affected by traffic noise pollution across the globe. For instance, the United States of Environmental Protection Agency reported that over 100 million residents that are exposed to traffic noise. It has been estimated that 40% of the European population is exposed to traffic noise at levels above 55 dBA (WHO, 1999). There is increasing evidence that exposure to traffic noise may be associated with a wide range of psychological and physiological effects including annoyance, sleep disturbance, stress reactions, hypertension, cardiovascular events, and diabetes (Berglund et al., 1999; Onuu, 2000; Skanberg and Ohrstrom, 2002; Babisch, 2003; Dai et al., 2005; Sorensen et al., 2013). Therefore, there is an essential need to control the noise induced by transportation in urban areas.

Controlling traffic can sometimes reduce noise problems. By banning usage of horns, maximum noise reduction of 10dB occurred (Ali and Tamura, 2003). Other than that, bypasses of heavy vehicles should be created away from residential areas due to high level of noise produced by that type of vehicles (Ali and Tamura, 2003). Moreover, reducing speed limits on the highway could also work in reducing noise problems. A reduction of speed from 60km/h to 30 km/h was predicted to reduce 3 dB(A) (Mitchell, 2009).

Some noise reduction measures that are possible on existing roads including erect noise barriers and managing traffic. Noise barriers are solid obstruction built between the highway and residential areas. Noise barrier can be built out of wood, concrete, masonry, metal and transparent materials (FHWA, 2013). Noise barrier perform at its best if long enough and high enough to block the view of the road.

1.2 Problem Statement

Noise barriers prevent direct line-of-sight propagation between noise sources and receivers (Grubeša et al., 2011). Diffraction of sound over their horizontal edges is typically the dominant contribution to the sound field behind the barrier. A noise barrier is especially efficient at close distances, where a deep acoustic shadow zone is formed. With increasing receiver or source distance from the barrier, its shielding decreases as the difference between the length of the path sound has to travel from source to receiver over the barrier and the length of the direct sound path between source and receiver decreases. A noise barrier is also strongly influenced by the ground type. Typically, a barrier has a higher insertion loss when placed on a rigid surface relative to a porous ground. In the case of a flat terrain, the noise reduction due to fully covered barriers is predicted between 5 and 6 dB(A) for a low receiver zone. For a pedestrian receiver (or bicyclist), thought to be at 1 m from the noise wall (at a height of 1.5 m), the noise reduction is about 4 dB(A).

There are general traffic noise measurements carried out by many authorities in Malaysia. For instance, noise measurement and assessment carried out by Institute of Noise and Vibration, Malaysia at Taman Bukit Setiawangsa stated that 24 hours L_{Aeq} recorded was 65.3 dB(A), while night LAeq was measured to be 61.9 dB(A) (LLM, 2011). Apart from that, Department of Environment (DOE) has reported that there is a steady increase in complaints related to noise made by the general public. In order to solve the problem, some local authorities have required the highway developer and operator to build noise barriers in certain areas such that there are various types of barriers have been constructed very close to residential areas. However, there are concerns on the effectiveness of the noise barrier in Malaysia (Chan, 2008, Jayaraj, 2012) as traffic noise levels are considered high by residents even by the presence of noise barriers. In reality, there is no proper research carried out to determine the effectiveness of different types of noise barriers built in Malaysia. The only documentation that is closely related is the Guidelines of Noise Barriers at Highwayfirst edition (LLM, 2011). Inspired by the lack of studies, this study seeks to evaluate the effectiveness of noise barrier built at residential areas in Klang Valley, Malaysia. This research is conducted in anticipation to bridge the finer gap in the literature of this field as well as assist the local authority such as Lembaga Lebuhraya Malaysia (LLM) to build effective noise barriers in Malaysia.

1.3 Objectives

The aim of this study was to determine the effectiveness of the noise barrier in Malaysia. The research was carried out in order to acheive the following four main objectives:

- (a) To investigate the level of traffic noise in residential areas with and without the presence of noise barriers
- (b) To determine the significant variables contributing to traffic noise level in study sites
- (c) To compare the effectiveness and suitability of the noise barriers in protecting residential areas from road traffic noise
- (d) To determine the equivalent noise levels using multiple linear regression models for Malaysia noise level prediction model

This study is based on the environmental management point of view regarding to the effectiveness of the noise barrier. The results of this study will significantly assist various agencies such as highway agency which is known as Lembaga Lebuh Raya Malaysia (LLM) to build an effective noise barrier that suited the traffic characteristic on the highway. In the future, LLM will not only be able to enhance the effectiveness of noise barrier by focusing on the material, but also by looking at traffic characteristics factors that contributed to the high noise level which adversely affects the effectiveness of noise barrier in Malaysia.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The scope of the study covers the in-situ measurement of traffic noise at location with an without the presence of the noise barrier in order to evaluate the effectiveness of the noise barrier. An analysis of determining the contributing factors to the traffic noise is carried out. Comparison of traffic noise with the existing noise models is also performed to identify the accuracy of the models to predicts noise level in Malaysia with different traffic characteristics compared to other countries. This study is based on the environmental management point of view regarding the effectiveness of the noise barrier. Therefore, no erroneous noise (such as honking and sirens) is edited out as these noise are also categorized as traffic noise. No social study as well as laboratory study will be performed in this study. A prediction model of traffic noise is developed to satisfy Malaysia traffic conditions.

1.5 Structure of Thesis

Chapter 1 provides an overview of noise pollution and traffic noise worldwide and introduction of this thesis. It then, details the problem statements and objectives of the

research. Chapter 2 reflects the literature review which discusses the details of traffic noise and its sources. Furthermore, related studies on noise barrier are also presented here. The information on noise and noise barrier guidelines that have been implemented to protect dwellings, especially the insertion loss is briefly discussed in this chapter. Chapter 3 explains all the materials and methods involved in this research. This chapter provides information on the study area, sampling strategies and data collection as well as the way the data has been analysed. Chapter 4 presents the results of this research. The temporal assessment of noise level were analysed in the form of descriptive statistics, principal component analysis, and multiple linear regression. In addition, two existing prediction models were presented to compare with the measured noise level. Next, the insertion loss of noise barriers in study sites was computed to determine the effectiveness of the noise barriers involved in this study. Chapter 5 concludes the research and lists the recommendation for further work. The following flowchart shows the framework of the research in this thesis (Figure 1.1).







REFERENCES

- Abdelazeez, M.K., Hammad, R.N.S. (1987). Traffic noise in Amman and measurement of the related annoyance. Dirasat. 56: 123-134.
- Agarwal, S., and Swami, B.L. (2009). Road traffic noise annoyance in Jaipur city. International Journal of Engineering Studies,1(1):39–46.
- Ali, S.A., Tamura, A. (2003). Road traffic noise levels, restrictions and annoyance in Greater Cairo, Egypt. Applied Acoustics. 64: 815-823.
- Ahmed, Q., Lu, H., Ye S. (2008). Urban transportation and equity: a case study of Beijing and Karachi. Transportation Research Part A Policy Pract.42(1):125– 39.
- Al-Mutairi, N., Al-Rukaibi, F., Koushki, P. (2009). Measurements and model calibration of urban traffic noise pollution. American Journal of Environmental Sciences. 5(5): 613-617.
- Anirban, K.C., Anupam, D., Shibnath, C. (2012). Analysis of daytime traffic noise level: A case study of Kolkata, India. International Journal of Environmental Sciences and Research. 2: 114-118.
- Arana, M., Garcia, A. (1998). A social survey on the effects on environmental noise on the residents of Pamplona, Spain. Applied Acoustics. 53: 245-253.
- Arasan, V.T., and Divya, G. (2008). Measuring heterogeneous traffic density. World Academic Science, Engineering Technology, 46:342–6.
- Arenas, J.P. Sound Barriers and Environmental Impact Studies. Proceedings of the 13th International Congress on Sound and Vibration, July. 2–6 July, 2006. Austria: Vienna; 2006.
- Arsalan, M.H., Mehdi, M.R. Multi Criteria GIS Modelling for Air Pollution Risk Mapping of Karachi. Paper presented at the meeting of the 4th International Symposium on Digital Earth. Tokyo, Japan: International Society for Digital Earth; 2005.
- Arsalan, M.H., Mehdi, M.R., Hussain, M. A regional planning application of satellite image processing. Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Advances in Space Technologies: Space Technologies for Disaster Management and Rehabilitation. IEEE Conference; 2006.
- Aylor, D.E., (1972). Noise reduction by vegetation and ground. Journal of Acoustical Society of America. 51: 197–205.
- Babisch, W. (2003). Stress hormones in the research on cardiovascular effects of noise. (Noise Health). 5(18): 1-11.
- Babisch, W., Beule, B., Schust, M., Kersten, N., Ising, H. (2005). Traffic noise and risk of myocardial infarction. Epidemiology. 16(1):33–40.
- Bakshi, B. R. (1998). Multiscale PCA with application to multivariate statistical process monitoring. AIChE Journal, 44(7): 1596-1610.
- Banerjee, D., Chakraborty, S.K., Bhattacharyya, S., and Gangopadhyay, A. (2008). Modeling of road traffic noise in the industrial town of Asansol, India. Transportation Research Part D. 13:539–541.
- Bastián-Monarca, N.A., Suárez, E., Arenas, J.P. (2016). Assessment of methods for simplified traffic noise mapping of small cities: Casework of the city of Valdivia, Chile. Science of the Total Environment, 550: 439-448.
- Bavani, N., Yap, X.W., Ramdzani, A. (2010). Effect of traffic noise on sleep: A case study in Serdang Raya, Selangor, Malaysia. EnvironmentAsia. 3: 149-155.
- Berglund, B., Lindvall, T., Schwela, D.H. (1999). Guidelines for community noise. World Health Organization. Geneva, Switzerland.

- Bijay, K.S., Shreerup, G., Santosh, K.P. (2012). Road traffic noise assessment and modeling in Bhubaneswar, India: A comparative and comprehensive monitoring study. International Journal of Earth Sciences and Engineering. 5(5): 1358-1370.
- Bies, D. A., Hansen, C. H. (2009). Engineering Noise Control, Theory and Practice, Canada: Spon Press.
- Bluhm, G.L., Berglind, N., Nordling, E., Rosenlund, M. (2007). Road traffic noise and hypertension. Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 64:122–126.
- Borchi, F., Carfagni, M., Martelli, L., Turchi, A., Argenti, F. (2016). Design and experimental tests of active control barriers for low-frequency stationary noise reduction in urban outdoor environment. Applied Acoustics. 114: 125-135.
- Bugliarello, G. (1976). The Impact of Noise Pollution. Associo-technical Studies. New York: John Wiley and Son.
- Can, A., Leclercq, L., Lelong, J., and Defrance, J. (2008). Capturing urban traffic noise dynamics through relevant descriptors. Applied Acoustics. 69: 1270–1280
- Carrier, M., Apparicio, P., and Seguin, A.M. (2016). Road traffic noise in Montreal and environmental equity: What is the situation for the most vulnerable population groups? Journal of Transport Geography. 51: 1–8.
- Census. (2011). Census of India. Government of India. Available in World Wide Web: http://www.censusindia.gov.in/2011-provresults/prov_results_paper1_india.htmls.
- Chan, D. (2008). Loud protest over ineffective sound barrier. The Star Online. Thursday, 12 June 2008.
- Chatterjee, S. and Price, B. (1999). Regression Analysis by Example, third ed. Wiley, Chichester.
- Chattopadhyay, G., Chattopadyay, S. (2011). Modeling and Prediction of Monthly Total Ozone Concentrations by Use of an Artificial Neural Network Based on Principal Component Analysis. Pure and Applied Geophysics. 1-18.
- Chepesiuk, R. Decibel, H. (2005). The effects of living in a noisy world. Environmental Health Perspectives. 113(1): 35–41.
- Chew, C. H., Lim, K. B. (1994). Facade effects on the traffic noise from the expressway. Applied Acoustics. 41(1): 47–62.
- Chew, Y.R. and Wu, B.S. (2016). A soundscape approach to analyze traffic noise in the city of Taipei, Taiwan. Computers. Environment and Urban Systems 59. 78–85.
- Cohen, L.F., Macvoy, G.R. (1982). Environmental Analysis of Transportation Systems. New York: Wiley.
- Cohen B. (2006). Urbanization in developing countries: current trends, future projections, and key challenges for sustainability. Technology in Society. 28:63–80.
- Cordelino, C., Chang, M., St John, J., Murphey, B., Cordle, J., Ballagas, R. (2001).Ozone prediction in Atlanta Georgia: analysis of the 1999 ozone season. Journal of Air Waste Management Association 51, 1227e1236.
- Crombie, D.H., Hothersall, D.C. (1994). The performance of multiple noise barriers. Journal of Sound and Vibration. 176: 459–473.
- Dai, L., Cao, J., Fan, L., Mobed, N. (2005). Traffic noise evaluation and analysis in residential areas of Regina. Journal of Environmental Informatics. 5: 17-25.
- Davies, M. L., Cornwell, D.A. (1991). Introduction to Environmental Engineering. New York: Mc Graw-Hill Inc.
- de Kluizenaar, Y.D., Gansevoort, R.T., Miedema, H.M.E., Jong, P.E. (2007). Hypertension and road traffic noise exposure. Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 49(5):484–92.

- Department of Transportation. (2011). Highway Noise Policy And Abatement Guidelines. Highways Division and U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. Honolulu, Hawaii.
- DOE. (1991). Malaysian Environmental Quality Report. Putrajaya: Ministry of Science, Environment and Technology.
- DOE. (2000). Malaysia Environmental Quality Report. Putrajaya: Ministry of Science, Environment and Technology.
- DOE. (1985). Malaysian Environmental Quality Report. Putrajaya: Ministry of Science, Environment and Technology.
- DOE. (1995). Malaysian Environmental Quality Report. Putrajaya: Ministry of Science, Environment and Technology.
- DOE. (2007). The planning guidelines for environmental noise limits and control. Department of Environment, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia.
- Dominick, D., Juahir. H., Latif, M.T., Zain, S.M., & Aris, A.Z. (2012). Spatial assessment of air quality patterns in Malaysia using multivariate analysis. Atmospheric Environment. 60: 172-181.
- El-fadel, M., Shazbak, S., Baaj, M.H., Saliby, E. (2002). Parametric sensitivity analysis of noise impact of multihighways in urban areas. Environmental Impact Assessment Review. 22: 145-162.
- Elfaig, A.M.D. (2000). Noise pollution and community perception in mixed residential areas of Kuala Lumpur. A Paper Presented at the 6th Congress on Environmental Health: OSLO.
- Elfaig, A.M.D., Mohd Zohadie, M.D., Rahaman and Abdullah, R. (2000). Community noise pollution: perception and reality at the school compounds in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Suranaree Journal of Science and Technology. 7: 161-167.
- Environmental Protection Department. (2014). Noise Descriptors for Environmental Noise. The government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. Available from World Wide Web: www.epd.gov.hk.
- Evans GW, Hygge, S. (2000). Noise and performance in children and adults. In D. Prasher (Ed.), Handbook of noise and health.
- Fang, C.F., Ling, L.D. (2003). Investigation of the noise reduction provided by tree belts. Landscape and Urban Planning. 63: 187-195.
- Fecht, D, Hansell, A.L., Morley, D., Dajnak, D., Vienneau, D., Beevers, S., Toledano, M.B., Kelly, F.J., Anderson, H.R., Gulliver, J. (2016). Spatial and temporal associations of road traffic noise and air pollution in London: Implications for epidemiological studies. Environment International. 88: 235–242.
- Fidell, S., Barber, D.S., Schultz, T.J. (1991). Updating a dosage–effect relationship for the prevalence of annoyance due to general transportation noise. The Journal of Acoustical Society of America. 89(1): 221–33.
- Fields, J.M. (1998). Reactions to environmental noise in an ambient noise context in residential areas. The Journal of Acoustical Society of America.104: 2245–2260.
- FHWA. 2013. Noise barrier design-visual quality. U.S. Department of Transportation. Available from World Wide Web: www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/noise_barriers
- Fyhri, A., Klæboe, R. (2009). Road traffic noise, sensitivity, annoyance and selfreported health — a structural equation model exercise. Environment International. 35. 91–7.

- Gallagher, J., Baldauf, R., Fuller, C.H., Kumar, P., Gill, L.W., McNabola, A. (2015). Passive methods for improving air quality in the built environment: a review of porous and solid barriers. Atmospheric Environment. 120: 61-70.
- Garg, N., Maji, S. (2014). A critical review of principal traffic noise models: strategies and implications. Environmental Impact Assessment Review. 46: 68–81.
- Gaur, A.S. and Gaur S.S. (2006). Statistical methods for practice and research: A guide to data analysis using SPSS:Sage.
- George, D., Mallery, M. (2003). Using SPSS for Windows step by step: a simple guide and reference. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Griefahn, B., Spreng, M. (2004). Disturbed sleep patterns and limitation of noise. Noise Health. 6(22): 27–33.
- Grubeša, S., Domitrovic, H., Jambrosic, K. (2011). Performance of Traffic Noise Barriers with Varying Cross-Section. Promet- Traffic & Transportation. 23(3): 161-168.
- Gunniff, P. (1977). Environmental Noise Pollution. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Hajek, J.J. (1975). Ontario Highway Noise Prediction Method. Research Report 197, Downsview: Ontario Ministry of Transportation and Communications.
- Hasan, A., Younus, M., Zaidi, S.A. (1999). Understanding Karachi: Planning and Reform for The Future. Karachi, Pakistan: City Press.
- Hee, J., Il, S., Kim, M., Holt, J. B., Seong, J. C. (2011). Transportation noise and exposed population of an urban area in the Republic of Korea. Environment International. 37(2): 328-334.
- Hinton, P., Brownlow, C., and McMurray, I. (2004). SPSS explained: Routledge.
- Hothersall, D.C., Chandler-Wilde, S. N., Hajmirzae, M.N. (1991). Efficiency of single noise barriers. Journal of Sound and Vibration. 146: 303-322.
- Hunaidi, O. (2000). Traffic Vibrations in Buildings. Construction Technology. 39: 1-6.
- Ibrahim, A., Daud, M. (1998). Noise pollution and EIA. In Daud, M., and A., Aziz (eds). A comprehensive expert system for (EIA) evaluation, approval, and monitoring. Collection of Working Papers. Serdang: Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Ising H, Kruppa B. (2004). Health effects caused by noise: evidence in the literature from the past 25 years. Noise Health. 6(22):5-13.
- Ilten, N. and Selici, T., (2008). Investigation the impacts of some meteorological parameters on air pollution in Balikesir, Turkey. Environment Monitoring Assessment. 140: 259-266.
- Jagniatinskis, A. Fiks, B., Zaporozhets, O., Oosten, V.N. (2016). Annual noise assessment in the vicinity of airports with different flights' intensity, Applied Acoustics. 101: 168-178.
- Jalali, M. (2010). Application of multivariate analysis to study water chemistry of groundwater in a semi-arid aquifer, Malayer, western Iran. Desalination and Water Treatment, 19(1-3), 307-317.
- Jayaraj, J., Lai, R. (2012). Residents want unkempt area turned into a park. The Star Online, Monday, 26 March 2012.
- Jiang, L., Kang, J. (2016). Combined acoustical and visual performance of noise barriers in mitigating the environmental impact of motorways, Science of The Total Environment. 543(A): 52-60.
- Job, R.F.S. (1996). The influence of subjective reactions to noise on health effects of the noise. Environment International. 22(1): 93-104.
- Johnson , R. A. and Winchern, D.W. (2002). Applied multivariate statistical analysis (Vol.5): Prentice hall Upper Saddle River, NJ.

- Jolibois, A., Defrance, J., Koreneff, H., Jean, P., Duhamel, D., Sparrow, V.W. (2015). In situ measurement of the acoustic performance of a full scale tramway low height noise barrier prototype. Applied Acoustics. 94: 57-68.
- Jolliffe, I. T. (1986). Principle Component Analysis. Springer, New York.
- Kaiyasith, C., Sangbusracomchot, W., Kanjananavee, A. (1992). Study and analysis of traffic noise on Viphavadee– Rungsit highway, Thonburi, Bangkok: King Mongkut Institute of Technology.
- Kalaiselvi R, Ramachandraiah A. (2010). Environmental noise mapping study for heterogenous traffic conditions. In: 20th International Congress on Acoustics, Sydney Australia, ICA.
- Kalaiselvi, R., & Ramachandraiah, A. (2016). Honking noise corrections for traffic noise prediction models in heterogeneous traffic conditions like India, Applied Acoustics, Volume 111; 25-38.
- Kovac-Andric, E., Brana, J., Gvozdic, V. (2009). Impact of meteorological factors on
- ozone Concentrations modelled by time series and multivariate statistical methods. Ecological Informatics 4 (2), 117e122.
- King, R.P., Davis, J.R. (2003). Community noise: health effects and management. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health. 206:123–131.
- Kotzen, B., English, C. (1999). Environmental Noise Barriers— A Guide to Their Acoustic and Visual Design. London; E&FN Spon.
- Koushki, P., Cohn, L., Felimban, A. (1990). Traffic-Generated Noise Pollution In Riyadh: Its Magnitude And Perceived Health Hazards. Research Report No. AT 9-31, Saudi Arabia: KACST.
- Koushki, P., Cohn, L., Felimban, A. (1993). Urban traffic noise in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: perceptions and attitudes. Journal of Transportation Engineering. 119: 751-762.
- Kovač-Andić, E., Brana, J., Gvodić, V. (2009) Impact of meterological factors on ozone concentrations modelled by time series analysis and multivariate statistical methods. Ecological Informatics. 4(2): 117-112.
- Kryter, K. (1985). The Effects of Noise on Man. Orlando: Academic Press.
- Kura, N.U., Ramli, M.F., Sulaiman, W.N.A., Ibrahim, S. and Zaudi, M.A. (2014). A Preliminary Appraisal of Effect of Pumping on Seawater Instrusion in a Small Tropicl Island Using Geophysical Technique. Scienctific World Journal.
- Lam, W. H. K., Tam M. L. (1998). Reliability analysis of traffic noise estimates in Hong Kong. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment. 3(4): 239–248.
- Langdon, F.J., Griffiths, I.D. (1982). Subjective effects of traffic noise exposure, ll: comparisons of noise indices, response scales, and the effects of changes in noise levels. Journal of Sound and Vibration. 83(2): 171-180.
- Larsson, C., Israelsson, S. (1991). Effects of meteorological conditions and source height on sound propagation near the ground. Applied Acoustics. 33(2):109-121.
- Lau, J., Hung, W., & Cheung, C. (2009). Interpretation of air quality in relation to monitoring station's surroundings. Atmospheric Environment. 30(7): 1053-1065.
- Lee, C.S.Y. (1996). Measurement of Highway Related Noise. U.S. Department of Transportation Research and Special Programs Administration National Transportation Systems Centre.
- Leung, B. K. H., Mak, C. M. Is the CRTN method reliable and accurate for Traffic Noise prediction in Hong Kong. The Hong Kong Institution of Engineers Transactions. 15(2): 17–23.

- LHH, 2000. Controlling Noise Is In Your Hands. New York: League for the Hard of Hearing.
- Li, K. M., Lui, W. K., Lau, K. K., K. S. Chan. (2003). A simple formula for evaluating the acoustic effect of balconies in protecting dwellings against road traffic noise. Applied Acoustics. 64(7): 633–653.
- Liu, C.W., Lin, K.H., Kuo, Y.M. (2003). Application of factor analysis in the assessment of groundwater quality in a Blackfoot disease area in Taiwan. Science of Total Environment. 313: 77-89.
- Liu, Y., Yang, B., Zhang, X., Guo, J., Huang, B., Qu, L., and Sun, H. (2010). Application Research of Similarity Theory and Scale Model in Sound Barrier Noise Test. International Conference of Chinese Transportation Professionals. American Society of Civil Engineers. Beijing, China. 2883-2889.
- Liu, Y., Zhang, X., Zhang, X., Guo, J., Zhong, Z., Huang, B., Qu, L., Zeng, J., and Sun, H. (2009). Noise Reduction Effects of Inverted L Sound Barriers in Different Inclinations of the Top to the Horizon. International Conference on Transportation Engineering 2009: pp. 1335-1339.
- LLM. (2011). Garis Panduan Penghadang Bunyi di Lebuhraya(Guidelines of Noise Barrier at Highways). Selangor: Lembaga Lebuhraya Malaysia(LLM).
- Ma, G., Tian, Y., Ju, T., & Ren, Z. (2006). Assessment of traffic noise pollution from 1989 to 2003 in Lanzhou City. Environmental monitoring and assessment, 123(1-3): 413-430.
- Maffei, L., Masullo, M., Aletta, F., Di Gabriele, M. (2013). The influence of visual characteristics of barriers on railway noise perception. Science of Total Environment. 445: 41–47.
- Magrab, E. (1975). Environmental Noise Control. New York: Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- Mak, C., Leung, W., Jiang, G. (2010). Measurement and prediction of road traffic noise at different building floor levels in Hong Kong. Building Services Engineering Research & Technology. 31(2):131–139.
- Mak, C. M., Leung, W. S. (2013). Traffic Noise measurement and prediction of the barrier effect on traffic noise at different building levels. Environmental Engineering and Management Journal. 12(3): 449–456.
- Marius, A., Tijunelis, M.D., Fitzsullivan, B.A., Sean, O., Henderson, M.D. (2005). Noise in the ED. American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 23(3): 332-335.
- Martin, S. J., Hothersall, D. C. (2001). Numerical Modelling of Median Road Traffic Noise Barriers. Journal of Sound and Vibration. 251(4): 671-681.
- May, D. (1978). Handbook of Noise Assessment. New York: Litton Educational publishing INC.
- Mehra, C. Very high noise levels in Indian cities. Arab News, Sunday, November 9 1986.
- Millne, A. (1979). Noise Pollution Impact Counter Measures. London: David and Charles INC.
- Mitchell, P. (2009). Speed and Road Traffic Noise The role that lower speeds could play in cutting noise from traffic. UK Noise Association.
- Mehdi, M.R., Kim, M., Jeong, C.S., Mudassar, H. A. (2011). Spatio-temporal patterns of road traffic noise pollution in Karachi, Pakistan. Environment International. 37: 97–104.
- Morelli, X., Foraster, M., Aguilera, I., Basagana, X., Corradi, E., Deltell, A., Ducret-Stich, R., Phuleria, H., Ragettli, M.S., Rivera, M., Thomasson, A., Künzli, N., Slama, R. (2014). Short-term associations between traffic-related noise, particle

number and traffic flow in three European cities. Atmospheric Environment, 103: 25-33.

- Moudon, A.V. (2009). Real noise from the urban environment: how ambient community noise affects health and what can be done about it. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 37(2): 167–71.
- Murphy, E., King, E.A., Rice, H.J. (2009). Estimating human exposure to transport noise in central Dublin, Ireland. Environment International. 35: 298–302.
- Norusis, M.J., 1990. SPSS Base System User's Guide. SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA.
- Onuu, M.U., (2000). Road traffic noise in Nigeria: measurements, analysis and evaluation of nuisance. Journal Sound and Vibration. 233: 391-405.
- Ouis, D. (2001). Annoyance from road traffic noise: a review. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 21(1): 101-120.
- Ozer, S., Yilmaz, H., Ye, M., & Ye, P. (2009). Evaluation of noise pollution caused by vehicles in the city of Tokat, Turkey. Scientific Research and Essay. 4(11): 1205-1212.
- Pallant, J. (2007). SPSS Survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS for Windows version 15. Open University Press.
- Pamanikabud, P. (1997). Super-highway traffic noise simulating model with consideration of local traffic vehicle. Journal of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies. 2: 2031–2038.
- Parida, M., Jain, S. S., Kumar, D. S. N. V. A., & Mittal, N. (2001). Metropolitan Traffic Noise and Abatement Measures. Science, Research, and Essay. 4(11):1205-1212.
- Passchier-Vermeer W., Passchier, W.F. (2000). Noise exposure and public health. Environmental Health Perspectives. 108 (1): 123-131.
- Paviotti, M., Vogiatzis, K. (2012). On the outdoor annoyance from scooter and motorbike noise in the urban environment. The Science of Total Environment. 430: 223–230.
- Pérez, G., Coma, J., Barreneche, C., Gracia, A.D., Urrestarazu, M., Burés, S., Cabeza, L.F. (2016). Acoustic insulation capacity of Vertical Greenery Systems for buildings, Applied Acoustics. 110: 218-226.
- Petrie, A., Sabin, C. (2000). Medical Statistics at a Glance. Blackwell Science, Oxford.
- Person-Kirk, D. and Sabir, S. The Assessment and prediction of road traffic noise in developing countries. Proceeding of the 11th International Road Federation World Meeting, Korea; 1989.
- Phan, H.A.T., Yano, T., Phan, H.Y.T., Nishimura, T., Sato, T., Hashimoto, Y. (2009). Annoyance caused by road traffic noise with and without horn sounds. Acoustical Science and Technology, 30(5):327–37.
- Phan, H. Y. T., Yano, T., Sato, T. and Nishimura, T. (2010). Characteristics of road traffic noise in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. Applied Acoustics. 71(5): 479–485.
- Piana, E.A. (2016). A method for determining the sound reduction index of precast panels based on point mobility measurements. Applied Acoustics. 110: 72-80.
- Pitkänen, P., Partamies, S.,and Luukkonen, A. (2004). Hydrogeochemical Interpretation of Bseline Groundwater Conditions at the Olkiluoto Site, Olkiluto: Posiva.
- Piccolo, A., Plutino, D. and Cannistraro. (2004). Evaluation and Analysis of the environmental noise of Messina, Italy. Applied Acoustics. 66(4): 447-465.
- Rajab, J.M., MatJafri, M., Lim, H. (2013). Combining multiple regression and principal component analysis for accurate predictions for column ozone in Peninsular Malaysia. Atmospheric Environment. 71: 36-43.

- Quiñones-Bolaños, E., Mouthon-Bello, J., Bustillo-Lecompte, C., 2012. Statistical model to simulate noise levels generated by vehicle traffic flow in the Caribbean Region of Colombia. 41st International Congress and Exposition on Noise Control Engineering, 2012, INTER-NOISE 2012, vol. 4, pp. 2864–2875.
- Raza, M., Kim, M., Chang, J., & Hassan, M. (2011). Spatio-temporal patterns of road traffic noise pollution in Karachi , Pakistan. Environment International. 37(1): 97-104.
- Renterghem, T.V., Attenborough, K., Maennel, M., Defrance, J., Horoshenkov, K., Kang, J., Bashir, I., Taherzadeh, S., Altreuther, B., Khan, A., Smyrnova, Y., Yang, H.S. (2014). Measured light vehicle noise reduction by hedges, Applied Acoustics. 78: 19-27.
- Reyment, R.A. and Joreskog, K.G. (1993). Applied factor analysis in the natural sciences (2nd Edition): Cambridge, England and New York : Cambridge University Press.
- Roberts, J.F.D. (1999). Noise control in the built environment. Vermont: Gower Technical.
- Samuels, S. E., Saunders, R. E. (1982). The Australian performance of the UK DoE traffic noise prediction method in Proceedings of the 11th Australian Road Research Board Conference, pp. 30–44, ARRB Group, August 1982.
- Sathis, T.C. (1981). Community noise levels in Patras, Greece. Journal of Acoustical Society of America. 69: 468-477.
- Sato, T. (1990). The effects of vibration on noise annoyance: A survey on traffic noise and vibration in Supporo. Environment International. 16: 561-566.
- Schaefer, K. and Einax, J. (2010). Analytical and chemometric characterization of the Cruzes River in South Chile. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 17(1): 115-123.
- Sheng, N., Xu, Z., Li, M. (2015). The performance of CRTN model in a Motorcycle city. Mathematical Problems in Engineering. Art. no. 369620.
- Singh, D., Prakash, A., Srivastava, A.K., Kumar, K., and Jain, V.K. (2013). The effects of meteorological parameters in ambient noise modeling studies in Delhi. Environmental Monitoring Assessment. 1856: 1873-1882.
- Shuo, L., Bowen, G., Yingzi, D., Samy, N. (2014). line of sight wall versus conventional noise wall for traffic noise abatement: field performance comparison. Journal of Performance Constructed Facilities. 1-7.
- Skanberg, A., Ohrstrom, E. (2002). Adverse health effects in relation to urban residential soundscapes. Journal of Sound and Vibration. 251(1): 151-155.
- Smith, L. I. (2002). A Tutorial on Principal Component Analysis. Available at: http://csnet.otago.ac.nz/cosc453/student_tutorials/principal_components.
- Sorensen, M., Andersen, Z.J., Nordsborg, R.B., Becker, T., Tjonneland, A., Overvad,
 K. (2013). Long-term exposure to road traffic noise and incident diabetes: a cohort study. Environmental Health Perspectives. 22: 121-217.
- Sousa, S., Martins, F., Alvim-Ferraz, M., Pereira, M. (2007). Multiple linear regression and artificial neural networks based on principal components to predict ozone concentrations. Environmental Modelling & Software. 22(1): 97-103.
- Stansfeld, S., Haines, M., Brown, B. (2000). Noise and health in the urban environment. Reviews on Environmental Health.15: 43-82.
- State Highway Administration. (2015). Sound barrier guidelines_highway traffic noise. Marryland Department of Transportation. Available in World Wide Web: www.roads.maryland.gov/Index.aspx?PageId=827.
- Steele, C. (2000). A critical review of some traffic noise prediction models. Applied Acoustic. 62: 271–287.

- Ta, Y. C., Chiu, S. L., Bo, Y. B., Su, F. L., Tzu-I, C., Yen, J. L. (2011). Characterization of road traffic noise exposure and prevalence of hypertension in Central Taiwan. Science of the Total Environment. 409: 1053-1057.
- Tanaka, S., Shiraishi, B. (2008). Wind effects on noise propagation for complicated geographical and road configurations. Applied Acoustics. 69(11): 1038-1043.
- Thorpe, R., Holmes, T. (1976). Economic Welfare Impacts of Urban Noise. Washington: Environmental Protection Agency.
- To, W.M., Ip, R.C.W., Lam, G. C. K., Yau, C.T.H. (2002). A multiple regression model for urban traffic noise in Hong Kong. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 112(2): 551–556.
- Ul-Saufie, A.Z. (2013). Future daily PM10 concentrations prediction using regression, artificial neural network and hybrid models in Malaysia. (Unpublished PhD's thesis). University Sains Malaysia.
- USDT. (2000). Highway traffic noise barrier construction trends. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Natural Environment, Noise Team, Washington, DC.
- USDT. (2001). Keeping the noise down—Highway traffic noise barriers. US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Publication No FHWA-EP-01-004. Washington, DC.
- Van Kempen, E.E., Kruize, H., Boshuizen, H.C., Ameling, C.B., Staatsen, B.A., de Hollander, A.E. (2002). The association between noise exposure and blood pressure and ischemic heart disease: a meta-analysis. Environmental Health Perspectives. 110(3): 307–17.
- Van Renterghem T, Botteldooren D, Cornelis WM, Gabriels D. (2002). Reducing screen-induced refraction of noise barriers in wind by vegetative screens. Acta Acoustica United with Acustica. 88: 231–238.
- Washington State Department of Transportation. (2015). Noise. Available in World Wide Web: www.wsdot.wa.gov/Environment/Air/Noise.htm
- Wayson, R.L., Mac Donald, J.M., el-Aassar, A., Arner, W. (2003). Continues Evaluation of noise Barriers in florida. The Florida Department of Transportation. Tallahassee, Florida.
- Weixiong, W. (1999). Impacts of Noise Barriers on Metropolitan Communities. Canada: National Library of Canada
- WHO. (1999). Guidelines For Community Noise. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- WHO. (2000). Guidelines for Community Noise: A Paper Presented to 6th Congress on Environmental Health: OSLO.
- WHO. (1980). Environmental Health Criteria 12, Noise Executive Summary. Geneva: WHO and United Nations Environment Program.
- WHO. (2016). Development of WHO Environmental noise guidelines for the European Region. World Health Organization Europe. Available at: http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/environment-and health/noise/activities/development-of-who-environmental-noise-guidelines-forthe-european-region
- Wuttiwat, K., Hideyuki, N., Tomoo, K., Koji, U., Tetsuya, T., Junji, U. (2013). Discrimination of Winter and Summer Tires Using Road Surface Vibration Caused by Passing Vehicles. Procedia- Social and Behavioral Sciences. 88: 258-264.
- Yano, T.T.Y., Zuni, I. (1991). Community response to road traffic noise in Kumamoto. Sound and Vibration. 151: 487-495.

- Yılmaz, H., Ozer, S. (1998). Evaluation of noise pollution in the respect of landscape planning and solution proposals. Atatürk University of Agriculture. Faculty Journal. 28(3): 515-530.
- Yılmaz H, Ozer S. (2005). Evaluation and analysis of environmental noise pollution in the city of Erzurum, Turkey. International Journal of Environmental Pollution. 23(4): 438-448.
- Zannin, P.H.T., Calixto, A., Diniz, F. and Ferreira, J.A. (2003). A survey of urban noise annoyance in a large Brazillian city: The importance of subjective analysis in conjuction with an objective analysis. Environmental Impact assessment. 23(2): 245-255.
- Zuchowski, R., Marchacz, M. (2009). Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Screening with Noise Barriers with Account to An Edge Noise Reducer. Architecture, Civil Engineering, and Environment. The Silesian University of Technology. 3: 49-56.
- Öhrström, E., Hadzibajramovic, E., Holmes, M. (2006). Effects of Road Traffic Noise on sleep: Studies on children and adults. Journal of Environmental Psychology. 26: 116-126.
- Özbay, B., Keskin, G.A., Doğruparmak, Ş.Ç. & Ayberk, S. (2011). Multivariate methods for ground-level ozone modeling. Atmospheric Research. 102(1): 57-65.

APPENDICES



Appendix A: Other noise descriptors at location with barrier

Figure A1: Average noise descriptors at with barrier locations in morning during weekdays



Figure A2: Average noise descriptors at with barrier locations in afternoon during weekdays



Figure A3: Average noise descriptors at with barrier locations in evening during weekdays



Figure A4: Average noise descriptors at without barrier locations in night during weekdays



Figure A5: Average noise descriptors at with barrier locations in morning during weekends



Figure A6: Average noise descriptors at with barrier locations in afternoon during weekends



Figure A7: Average noise descriptors at without barrier locations in evening during weekends



Figure A8: Average noise descriptors at without barrier locations in night during weekends





Figure A9: Number of different type of vehicles on weekdays on Sungai Besi Highway during measurement period



Figure A10: Number of different type of vehicles on weekends on Sungai Besi Highway during measurement period



Figure A11: Number of different type of vehicles on weekdays on DUKE Highway during measurement period



Figure A12: Number of different type of vehicles on weekends on DUKE Highway during measurement period



Figure A13: Number of different type of vehicles on weekdays on KESAS Highway during measurement period



Figure A14: Number of different type of vehicles on weekends on KESAS Highway during measurement period



Appendix C: Percentage of different type of vehicles on Highways











Figure A20: Percentage of different type of vehicles during weekends on KESAS Highway



Appendix D: Percentage of total number of vehicles during four measurement periods

Figure A21: Percentage of total number of vehicles during four measurement periods at Sungai Besi Highway during weekdays.



Figure A22: Percentage of different type of vehicles during four measurement periods at Sungai Besi Highway during weekends



Figure A23: Percentage of total number of vehicles during four measurement periods at DUKE Highway during weekdays.



Figure A24: Percentage of total number of vehicles during four measurement periods at DUKE Highway during weekends



Figure A25: Percentage of total number of vehicles during four measurement periods at KESAS Highway during weekdays



Figure A26: Percentage of different type of vehicles during four measurement periods at KESAS Highway during weekends

Appendix E

					speed	(km/ hr)			
				heavy		medium			
Highways	Time	cars	average	vehicles	average	lorries	average	motorcycles	average
Sungai Besi									
Highway	0700-0900	3 to 34	19.52	3 to 34	20.64	11 to 38	21.67	13 to 41	28.95
	1200-1400	37 to 85	55.67	36 to 64	49.97	31 to 69	48.50	39 to 73	43.05
	1700-1900	34 to 75	51.11	27 to 70	44.63	20 to 59	40.50	42 to 95	53.05
	2300-0100	48 to 106	71.15	33 to 74	53.16	39 to 92	63.57	29 to 79	55.50
DUKE Highway	0700-0900	66 to 96	76.15	44 to 85	67.29	49 to 88	68.89	56 to 83	69.00
	1200-1400	59 to 124	78.46	44 to 79	65.53	45 to 77	61.30	57 to 92	73.65
	1700-1900	63 to 83	70.95	39 to 72	60.88	40 to 73	59.20	59 to 99	75.45
	2300-0100	60 to 149	80.85	38 to 86	60.50	42 to 106	67.75	55 to 100	70.47
KESAS Highway	0700-0900	75 to 102	86.05	37 to 84	63.10	48 to 83	69.35	53 to 80	65.45
	1200-1400	72 to 110	82.55	53 to 78	65.57	56 to 99	76.95	53 to 80	65.45
	1700-1900	66 to 110	83.31	48 to 83	68.81	50 to 78	68.75	55 to 88	68.85
	2300-0100	70 to 110	81.67	48 to 71	58.54	46 to 76	61.29	55 to 98	72.10

Table A1: Speed of Each Type of Vehicles during (a) Weekdays and (b) Weekends

(a)

		speed (km/ hr)							
				heavy		Medium			
Highways	Time	cars	average	vehicles	average	Lorries	average	motorcycles	average
Sungai Besi									
Highway	0700-0900	57 to 99	95.11	41 to 88	60.15	45 to 81	64.15	47 to 94	71.00
	1200-1400	36 to 89	63	29 to 62	47.60	40 to 81	50.55	35 to 74	55.30
	1700-1900	50 to 88	76.4	25 to 70	46.92	29 to 85	56.70	41 to 87	62.10
	2300-0100	56 to 106	85.83	37 to 72	60.08	22 to 90	57.30	44 to 106	64.30
DUKE Highway	0700-0900	60 to 95	76.45	52 to 99	67.20	47 to 93	71.75	46 to 89	63.55
	1200-1400	66 to 105	81.95	46 to 99	61.79	47 to 84	65.04	60 to 92	76.05
	1700-1900	72 to 106	79.95	46 to 73	62.31	43 to 85	64.33	59 to 91	72.16
	2300-0100	63 to 109	77.1	46 to 87	68.40	42 to 89	66.50	58 to 84	60.70
KESAS Highway	0700-0900	75 to 110	86.95	48 to 94	67.32	55 to 85	70.15	50 to 74	63.80
	1200-1400	82 to 116	89.76	52 to 82	69.32	49 to 92	72.75	60 to 99	52.55
	1700-1900	78 to 107	94.8	40 to 74	65.20	47 to 93	69.85	70 to 95	81.85
	2300-0100	75 to 114	88.95	58 to 81	69.40	55 to 85	65.95	60 to 92	74.85

(b)

G

BIODATA OF STUDENT

Herni binti Halim was born on 5th September 1986 in Alor Setar, Kedah. She receives her bachelor degree in Civil Engineering and a master degree in Environmental Management from the Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) Skudai, Johor in 2008 and 2009, respectively.

She is a Fellow of Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) at School of Engineering (Civil Engineering) since 2010. She began her PhD study on 'Effectiveness of Noise Barriers at Residential Areas In Klang Valley, Malaysia' at Faculty of Environmental Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) in July 2010. She publishes articles on noise pollution and also actively participates in international conferences during her PhD program.



LIST OF PUBLCATIONS

- Herni H., Ramdzani, A., Abang Abdullah, A.A., and Mohd. Jailani, M.N. 2015. Effectiveness of Existing Noise Barriers: Comparison between Vegetation, Concrete Hollow Block, and Panel Concrete. International Conference of Environmental Forensics 2015(iENFORCE 2015).
- Herni Halim and Ramdzani Abdullah. 2014. Equivalent Noise Level Response to Number of Vehicles: A Comparison between a High Traffic Flow and Low Traffic Flow in Malaysia. *Frontiers Environmental Science*. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2014.00013.
- Herni, H. and Ramdzani, A. 2013. Equivalent Noise Level Response to Number of Vehicles: A Comparison between a High Traffic Flow and Low Traffic Flow in Malaysia. Proceedings of the International Conference on Environmental Forensics 2013. 149-152. Springer. Singapore
- Herni H., Ramdzani A., Abang Abdullah, A.A. and Mohd Jailani M.N. (2013). Assessment on Road Traffic Noise Pollution Indices in Urban Residential Areas in Klang Valley, Malaysia. Submitted to Polish Journal of Environmental Studies (indexed in ISI with 0.6 impact Factor in 2013).
- Ibrahim, Zulkiflee and Abdul Latiff, Abd. Aziz and Hashim, Noor Baharim and Halim, Herni and Mokhtar Kamal, Nurul Hana and Haron, Nuryazmeen Farhan (2009). Experimental Investigation on Behaviour of Cross-Flow Thermal Effluent Discharge in Free Surface Flow. *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 21 (1). pp. 82-97. ISSN 1823-7843.



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : <u>First semester 2016-2017</u>

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT : EFFECTIVENESS OF NOISE BARRIERS AT RESIDENTIAL AREAS IN KLANG VALLEY, MALAYSIA

NAME OF STUDENT : <u>HERNI BINTI HALIM</u>

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

- 1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- 2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
- 3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as:



[Note : If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]