

IMPROVED RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE METHODS FOR SOLVING ORDINARY AND FUZZY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

FARANAK RABIEI

FS 2012 94

IMPROVED RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE METHODS FOR SOLVING ORDINARY AND FUZZY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS



By

FARANAK RABIEI

G

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

November 2012

DEDICATIONS



My Dear Mum and Dad

То

for their encouragement

and

My kind husband, Saeid

For his great support and patience

and

My respected Teachers

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

IMPROVED RUNGE-KUTTA TYPE METHODS FOR SOLVING ORDINARY AND FUZZY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

By

FARANAK RABIEI

November 2012

Chairman: Professor Fudziah Ismail, Ph.D.

Faculty: Science

In this study, we constructed the Improved Runge-Kutta (IRK) type of methods for solving first and second order ordinary differential equations as well as fuzzy differential equations. With the aim to increase the computational efficiency of the methods, we obtained the methods of higher order with less number of stages or function evaluations. The methods which arise from the classical Runge-Kutta methods can also be considered as a special class of two-step methods, that is the approximation at the current point is based on the values or information from the two previous points. Hence, the methods contain the current internal stage k_i as well as the previous internal stages k_{-i} . The aim here is to use the available internal stage in the previous step so that the resulting methods are more accurate.

 \bigcirc

In the first part of the thesis, the order conditions of the methods are obtained using Taylor series expansion. Based on the order conditions, IRK methods of different orders and stages for solving first order ODEs are constructed. The convergence of the method is proven and the stability regions of the methods are also presented. Numerical results based on the new methods are compared with the existing methods in the literature showed that they are computationally more efficient.

Next, the order conditions of the methods for solving some special second order ODEs are obtained using Taylor series expansion. Based on the order conditions as well as work done by Dormand (1996), Improved Runge Kutta Nystrom (IRKN) methods of different orders and stages for solving the special second order ODEs y'' = f(x, y) are constructed. The stability polynomial and stability region of of the methods are discussed. Numerical results based on the new methods are compared with the existing methods in the literature and it is showed that the new IRKN methods are computationally more efficient.

We also derived IRKN methods which are specifically designed for the autonomous second order ODEs of the form y'' = f(y) based on the order conditions. These methods are called Accelerated Runge-Kutta Nystrom methods. The stability properties of the methods are discussed and numerical results showed that they are more efficient compared to the existing RKN methods.

Finally, both IRK and IRKN methods are adapted for solving first and second order fuzzy differential Equations (FDEs). The convergence of IRK methods when applied to FDEs is also proven and numerical results proved that the IRK and IRKN methods give accurate results compared to the existing methods in the literature.

In conclusion, the methods derived in this thesis are more efficient than existing methods for solving first and second order ordinary differential equations and fuzzy differential equations.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENAMBAHBAIKAN JENIS KAEDAH RUNGE-KUTTA UNTUK MENYELESAIKAN PERSAMAAN PEMBEZAAN BIASA DAN SAMAR

Oleh

FARANAK RABIEI

November 2012

Pengerusi: Profesor Fudziah Ismail, Ph.D.

Fakulti: Sains

Dalam kajian ini, kami telah menerbitkan Kaedah Runge-Kutta Penambahbaikan (RKP) untuk menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan peringkat pertama dan kedua dan juga persamaan pembezaan kabur. Dengan tujuan untuk memperbaiki kecekapan kaedah tersebut, kami cuba memperolehi kaedah berperingkat tinggi dengan tahap atau pengiraan fungsi yang kurang. Kaedah yang terhasil dari kaedah Runge-Kutta klasik ini boleh di kategorikan sebagai kaedah dua langkah iaitu penghampiran bagi titik semasa bergantung kepada informasi dari dua titik sebelumnya. Maka kaedah ini mengandungi tahap dalaman k_i semasa dan juga tahap dalaman pada titik sebelumnya iaitu k_{-i} . Tujuannya di sini adalah untuk menggunakan tahap yang sedia ada di titik sebelumnya supaya kaedah yang terhasil adalah lebih jitu.

Dalam bahagian pertama tesis ini, syarat peringkat bagi kaedah tersebut diperolehi menggunakan kembangan siri Taylor. Berdasarkan syarat peringkat ini, Kaedah Runge-Kutta Penambahbaikan dengan peringkat dan tahap berbeza untuk

iv

menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan peringkat pertama diterbitkan. Penumpuan kaedah ini telah dibuktikan dan kestabilannya juga dipersembahkan. Keputusan berangka bagi kaedah yang baru ini dibandingkan dengan kaedah sedia ada dalam literatur telah menunjukkan pengiraannya lebih cekap.

Kemudian syarat peringkat untuk kaedah bagi menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan (PPB) peringkat kedua yang khas diperolehi melalui kembangan siri Taylor. Berdasarkan syarat peringkat ini dan kerja yang dilakukan oleh Dormand (1996), kaedah Runge-Kutta Nystrom Penambahbaikan (RKNP) yang berbeza peringkat dan tahap bagi menyelesaikan PPB peringkat kedua yang khas y'' = f(x, y) diterbitkan. Polinomial kestabilan dan rantau kestabilan kaedah ini dipersembahkan. Keputusan berangka bagi kaedah yang baru ini dibandingkan dengan kaedah sedia ada dalam literatur yang menunjukkan kaedah (RKNP) yang baru ini adalah lebih cekap dari segi pengiraan.

Berdasarkan syarat peringkat tersebut kami juga menerbitkan kaedah (RKN) yang khas untuk persamaan pembezaan berautonomi peringkat kedua y'' = f(y). Kaedah ini disebut kaedah Runge-Kutta Nystrom dipercepatkan. Ciri kestabilan kaedah ini dibincangkan dan keputusan berangkanya menunjukkan kaedah ini lebih cekap berbanding denga kaedah RKN sedia ada.

 \bigcirc

Akhir sekali kedua-dua kaedah IRK dan IRKN disesuaikan untuk menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan kabur (PPK) peringkat pertama dan kedua. Penumpuan keadah (RKP) bila disesuaikan kepado (PPK) is proven dan di mana ianya juga memberikan keputusan berangka yang lebih baik dari kaedah sedia ada.

Kesimpulannya kaedah yang diterbitkan dalam tesis ini adalah lebih cekap dari

kaedah sedia ada bagi menyelesaikan persaman pembezaan peringkat pertama, kedua dan juga persamaan pembezaan kabur.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In the name of God, the most merciful, the most beneficent

First of all, I would like to thank God for giving me the ability, patience and health to complete this research study and all of blessings in my life.

I would also like to show my gratitude to my almost helpful chairman of my supervising committee, Professor Dr. Fudziah Ismail for the continuous support, guidance, good suggestions and astute criticism during my PhD research. She taught me how to disciplined and dedicated in all of my life. Also I need to thank to her for her kind advices and comforts in my personal life.

My deepest thanks also to the member of supervising committee Professor Dr. Mohamed Suleiman for his helps, support and advice during my study. He always lead me to the right research direction.

A special acknowledgement is extended to my great advisor Dr. Senu Norazak for all his useful comments and his supports in my research. I have learned scientific techniques from him to identify the research problems. I am also deeply indebted to Professor. Dr. Fudziah Ismail and Dr. Senu Norazak for financial support of my PhD research through the GRA grant scholarship that they offered to me.

I am so grateful of the other member of my supervising Committee Associate Professor Dr. Norihan Arifin for her advice and motivation during my research towards the completion of this thesis. Finally, I would like to express my everlasting love and appreciation to my dear parents and kind husband who always support my endeavor to continue my research study. Special thanks to my good friends who have always been here to help me in all difficult circumstances. Thanks to all people involved in the process or during my studies.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 6 November 2012 to conduct the final examination of Faranak Rabiei on her thesis entitled "Improved Runge-Kutta Type Methods for Solving Ordinary and Fuzzy Differential Equations" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Noor Akma Ibrahim, Ph.D.

Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairperson)

Zarina Bibi Ibrahim, Ph.D.

Associate Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Leong Wah June, Ph.D.

Associate Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Abduvali Khaldjigitov, Ph.D.

Professor Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics National University of Uzbekistan Uzbekistan (External Examiner)

SENOW HENG FONG, Ph.D.

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Fudziah Ismail, Ph.D.

Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairperson)

Mohamed Suleiman, Ph.D.

Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Norihan Arifin, Ph.D.

Associate Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

> **BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, Ph.D.** Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATIONS	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTBAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vii
	iv
	IX ·
DECLARATION	XI
LIST OF TABLES	XV
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Objective of the thesis	2
1.3 Scope of thesis	3
1.4 Outline of thesis	3
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 The initial value problem	(
2.5 Taylor series expansion 2.4 Bungo Kutta mothod	9
2.5 Accelerated Bunge-kutta method	11
2.6 Runge-Kutta Nystrom method	15
2.7 Fuzzy differential equations	16
3 CONSTRUCTION OF IMPROVED RUNGE-KU'	TTA METHOD
FOR SOLVING FIRST ORDER ORDINARY DI	FFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS.	23
3.1 Introduction	23
3.2 General form of IRK method	24
3.3 Derivation of order conditions of IRK method usin	ig Taylor series
expansion 3.3.1 IRK method with two stage	21 97
3.3.2 IBK method with three-stage	21 20
3.4 Order conditions of IBK methods	30
3.5 Convergence Analysis	32

3.5 Convergence Analysis

3.6	Derivation of IRK methods	40
	3.6.1 Third order IRK method	40
	3.6.2 Fourth order IRK method	42
	3.6.3 Fifth order IRK method	45
3.7	Stability region of IRK methods	49
3.8	Test problems	52
3.9	Numerical results	54
3.10	Discussion	63

4 DERIVATION OF IMPROVED RUNGE-KUTTA NYSTROM METHOD FOR SOLVING SECOND ORDER ORDINARY DIF-FERENTIAL EQUATIONS. 65 Introduction 4.1 65 4.2Construction of IRKN Method 654.3 Order Conditions 69 4.4 Derivation of IRKN method 69 4.4.1Third order IRKN method (IRKN3) 704.4.2 Fourth order IRKN method (IRKN4) 714.4.3 Fifth order IRKN method (IRKN5) 72

4.5	Stabil	ity Analysis	74
	4.5.1	Stability region of IRKN3	78
	4.5.2	Stability region of IRKN4	80
	4.5.3	Stability region of IRKN5	82
4.6	Test p	roblems	83
4.7	Nume	rical results	86
4.8	Discus	ssion	94

CONSTRUCTION OF ACCELERATED RUNGE-KUTTA NYS-5TROM METHOD FOR SOLVING AUTONOMOUS SECOND ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS.

95

5.1	Introduction	95
5.2	Construction of ARKN Method	95
5.3	Order Conditions	96
5.4	Derivation of ARKN Method	96
	5.4.1 Third order ARKN method	97
	5.4.2 Fourth order ARKN method	98
5.5	Stability Analysis	99
5.6	Test problems	102
5.7	Numerical results	103
5.8	Discussion	111

DERIVING THE FUZZY IMPROVED RUNGE-KUTTA METHOD 6 FOR SOLVING FIRST ORDER FUZZY DIFFERENTIAL EQUA-TIONS. 1126.1 Introduction 112

		6.1.1 First-order fuzzy initial value problems	112
	6.2	Derivation of Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta method	114
		6.2.1 Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta method of order three	114
		6.2.2 Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta method of order four with	
		three stages	115
		6.2.3 Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta method of order four with four	
		stages	116
		6.2.4 Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta method of order five	117
	6.3	Convergence Analysis	119
	6.4	Test problems	123
	6.5	Numerical results	125
	6.6	Discussion	135
7	DEV	VELOPING THE FUZZY IMPROVED RUNGE-KUTTA NY	'S-
	TRO	OM METHOD FOR SOLVING SECOND ORDER FUZZY	Y
	DIF	FERENTIAL EQUATIONS.	137
	7.1	Introduction	137
		7.1.1 Second-order fuzzy initial value problems	137
	7.2	Derivation of Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom method	138
		7.2.1 Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom method of order three	e138
		7.2.2 Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom method of order four	139
		7.2.3 Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom method of order five	141
	7.3	Fuzzy Runge-Kutta Nystrom method of order four	142
	7.4	Test problems	144
	7.5	Numerical results	147
	7.6	Discussion	158
8	COI	NCLUSION	159
	8.1	Summery of thesis	159
	8.2	Future works	161
RI	EFEI	RENCES	162
AI	PPE	NDICES	166
RI		ATA OF STUDENT	173
			170
Ы	$\mathbf{SL}($	JF PUBLICATIONS	174

LIST OF TABLES

Tabl	e	Page
2.1	Table of coefficients for RK methods	11
2.2	Table of coefficients for RK2	12
2.3	Table of coefficients for RK3	12
2.4	Table of coefficients for RK4	12
2.5	Table of coefficients for RK5	13
2.6	Table of order conditions for ARK methods	14
2.7	Table of coefficients for RKN methods	16
2.8	Table of coefficients for RKNV3 method	17
2.9	Table of coefficients for RKND3 method.	17
2.10	Table of coefficients for RKNC4 method with 3-stages.	17
2.11	Table of coefficients for RKNV4 method.	18
3.1	Table of coefficients for explicit IRK method ($\alpha = 0$)	26
3.2	Order conditions for IRK method.	31
3.3	Table of coefficients for IRK3	41
3.4	Table of coefficients for IRK4	43
3.5	Table of coefficients for IRK4-4	45
3.6	Table of coefficients for IRK5, Set1	48
3.7	Table of coefficients for IRK5, Set2	49
3.8	Maximum global error versus step size \boldsymbol{h} for IRK and RK methods	
	with two-stages $(s = 2)$ in solving problems 3.1 - 3.5	56
3.9	Maximum global error versus step size \boldsymbol{h} for IRK and RK methods	
	with three-stages $(s = 3)$ in solving problems 3.1 - 3.5	57
3.10	Maximum global error versus step size \boldsymbol{h} for IRK and RK methods	
	with four-stages $(s = 4)$ in solving problems 3.1 - 3.5	58

3.11	Maximum global error versus step size h for the fifth order IRK	
	method with five-stages ($s = 5$) and fifth order RK method with	
	six-stages $(s = 6)$ in solving problems 3.1-3.5	59
4.1	Table of coefficients for explicit IRKN method	68
4.2	Order conditions of IRKN method for y'_n and y .	69
4.3	Table of coefficients of IRKN3	71
4.4	Table of coefficients of IRKN4	72
4.5	Table of coefficients of IRKN5	75
4.6	Maximum global error versus step size h for IRKN and RKN meth-	
	ods with s-stages in solving problem 4.1	88
4.7	Maximum global error versus step size h for IRKN and RKN meth-	
	ods with s-stages in solving problem 4.2	88
4.8	Maximum global error versus step size h for IRKN and RKN meth-	
	ods with s-stages in solving problem 4.3	89
4.9	Maximum global error versus step size h for IRKN and RKN meth-	
	ods with s-stages in solving problem 4.4	89
4.10	Maximum global error versus step size h for IRKN and RKN meth-	
	ods with s-stages in solving problem 4.5	90
4.11	Maximum global error versus step size h for IRKN and RKN meth-	
	ods with s-stages in solving problem 4.6	90
5.1	Order conditions of ARKN method for y'_n and y .	97
5.2	Maximum global error versus step size \boldsymbol{h} for ARKN and RKN meth-	
	ods with s-stages in solving problem 5.1	105
5.3	Maximum global error versus step size h for ARKN and RKN meth-	
	ods with s-stages in solving problem 5.2	105
5.4	Maximum global error versus step size h for ARKN and RKN meth-	
	ods with s-stages in solving problem 5.3	106

5.5	Maximum global error versus step size h for ARKN and RKN meth-	
	ods with s-stages in solving problem 5.4	106
6.1	Maximum global error for y_1 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	6.1	126
6.2	Maximum global error for y_2 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	6.1	126
6.3	Maximum global error for y_1 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	6.2	127
6.4	Maximum global error for y_2 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	6.2	127
6.5	Maximum global error for y_1 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	6.3	128
6.6	Maximum global error for y_2 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	6.3	128
6.7	Maximum global error for y_1 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	6.4	129
6.8	Maximum global error for y_2 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	6.4	129
6.9	Maximum global error for $y_1 = y_3$ with $h = 0.05$, $r = 1$ in solving	
	Problem 6.5	130
6.10	Maximum global error for $y_2 = y_4$ with $h = 0.05$, $r = 1$ in solving	
	Problem 6.5	130
7.1	Maximum global error for y_1 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	7.1	148
7.2	Maximum global error for y_2 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	7.1	148

7.3	Maximum global error for y_1 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	7.2	149
7.4	Maximum global error for y_2 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	7.2	149
7.5	Maximum global error for y_1 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	7.3	150
7.6	Maximum global error for y_2 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	7.3	150
7.7	Maximum global error for y_1 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	7.4	151
7.8	Maximum global error for y_2 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	7.4	151
7.9	Maximum global error for y_1 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	7.5	152
7.10	Maximum global error for y_2 at $t_N = 1$, $N = 10$ in solving Problem	
	7.5	152

G

LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	Ire	Page
2.1	Equipossible fuzzy for interval $[a \ b]$	20
2.2	Triangular fuzzy for interval $[a \ b]$	21
2.3	Trapezoidal fuzzy for interval $[a \ d]$	21
3.1	General construction of IRK method	25
3.2	Stability region of IRK3 (thin line) and RK3 (thick line) for $\bar{h} = \lambda h$.	51
3.3	Stability region of IRK4 (thin line), IRK4-4 (thin dash line) and	
	RK4 (thick line) for $\bar{h} = \lambda h$.	51
3.4	Stability region of IRK5 (dash line) and RK5 (solid line) for $\bar{h} = \lambda h$.	52
3.5	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
	uations for IRK and RK methods up to order four in solving problem	
	3.1	60
3.6	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
	uations for IRK and RK methods up to order four in solving problem	
	3.2	60
3.7	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
	uations for IRK and RK methods up to order four in solving problem	
	3.3	61
3.8	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
	uations for IRK and RK methods up to order four in solving problem	
	3.4	61
3.9	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
	uations for IRK and RK methods up to order four in solving problem	
	3.5	62

3	.10	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
		uations for IRK5 and RK5 in solving problem 3.2	62
3	.11	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
		uations for IRK5 and RK5 in solving problem 3.4	63
4	.1	Stability region of IRKN3 for $H = -(\lambda^2 h^2)$.	80
4	.2	Stability region of IRKN4 for $H = -(\lambda^2 h^2)$.	81
4	.3	Stability region of IRKN5 for $H = -(\lambda^2 h^2)$.	83
4	.4	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
		uations for IRKN and RKN methods in solving problem 4.1	91
4	5	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
		uations for IRKN and RKN methods in solving problem 4.2	91
4	6	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
		uations for IRKN and RKN methods in solving problem 4.3	92
4	.7	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
		uations for IRKN and RKN methods in solving problem 4.4	92
4	.8	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
		uations for IRKN and RKN methods in solving problem 4.5	93
4	.9	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
		uations for IRKN and RKN methods in solving problem 4.6	93
5	.1	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
		uations for third order ARKN and RKN methods in solving problem	
		5.1	107
5	.2	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
		uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob-	
		lem 5.1	107

5.3	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
	uations for third order ARKN and RKN methods in solving problem	
	5.2	108
5.4	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
	uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob-	
	lem 5.2	108
5.5	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
	uations for third order ARKN and RKN methods in solving problem	
	5.3	109
5.6	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
	uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob-	
	lem 5.3	109
5.7	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
	uations for third order ARKN and RKN methods in solving problem	
	5.4	110
5.8	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval-	
5.8	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval- uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob-	
5.8	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval- uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob- lem 5.4	110
5.8	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval- uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob- lem 5.4	110
5.86.1	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval- uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob- lem 5.4 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $u(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob-	110
5.8	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval- uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob- lem 5.4 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.1	110
5.8 6.1	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval- uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob- lem 5.4 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.1 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash	110 131
5.86.16.2	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval- uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob- lem 5.4 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.1 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob-	110 131
5.86.16.2	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval- uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob- lem 5.4 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.1 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.2	110 131
 5.8 6.1 6.2 6.3 	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval- uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob- lem 5.4 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.1 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.2 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line) $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash	110 131 131
5.86.16.26.3	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval- uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob- lem 5.4 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.1 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.2 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.2	110131131
5.86.16.26.3	Logarithm of maximum global error versus number of function eval- uations for fourth order ARKN and RKN methods in solving prob- lem 5.4 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.1 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.2 Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob- lem 6.2	110131131132

xxi

Ç

	6.4	Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash	
		line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob-	
		lem 6.4	132
	6.5	Approximated solutions of $y_1(t;1) = y_3(t;1)$ (up line), $y_2(t;1) =$	
		$y_4(t;1)$ (down line) and exact solutions (points) in solving problem	
		6.5	133
	6.6	The approximated solution with $h = 0.1$ in solving problem 6.1	133
	6.7	The approximated solution with $h = 0.1$ in solving problem 6.2	134
	6.8	The approximated solution with $h = 0.1$ in solving problem 6.3	134
	6.9	The approximated solution with $h = 0.1$ in solving problem 6.4	135
	7.1	Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash	
		line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob-	
		lem 7.1	153
	7.2	Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash	
		line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob-	
		lem 7.2	153
	7.3	Absolute values of approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot	
		line), $y_2(t;0)$ (dash line) and approximated solution $y(t;1)$ (solid	
		line) in solving problem 7.3	154
	7.4	Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash	
		line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob-	
		lem 7.4	154
	7.5	Approximated solutions of $y_1(t; 0)$ (dash and dot line), $y_2(t; 0)$ (dash	
		line) and approximated solution $y(t; 1)$ (solid line) in solving prob-	
		lem 7.5	155
	7.6	The approximated solution with $h = 0.1$ in solving problem 7.1	155

7.7 The approximated solution with h = 0.1 in solving problem 7.2 156

- 7.8 The absolute value of approximated solution with h = 0.1 in solving problem 7.3 156
- 7.9 The approximated solution with h = 0.1 in solving problem 7.4 157

157

7.10 The approximated solution with h = 0.1 in solving problem 7.5



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ODEs	Ordinary Differential Equations
FDEs	Fuzzy Ordinary Differential Equations
RK	Runge-Kutta method
ARK	Accelerated Runge-Kutta method
RKN	Runge-Kutta Nystrom method
IRK	Improved Runge-Kutta method
IRKN	Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom method
ARKN	Accelerated Runge-Kutta Nystrom method
FIRK	Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta method
FRK	Fuzzy Runge-Kutta method
FIRKN	Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom method
FRKN	Fuzzy Runge-Kutta Nystrom method

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Differential equations are used to model problems in science and engineering. These differential equations basically are classified into two groups, Ordinary Differential Equation (ODEs) and Partial Differential Equation (PDEs). A mathematical formulation of physical phenomena in science and engineering often leads to ODEs such as celestial mechanics, molecular dynamics, semi-discretization of wave equations, electronics, etc.

The most popular ODEs are in class of first order and second order ODEs. In nature, the differential equations that model problems are often extremely difficult or some times impossible to solve analytically. Therefore, numerical methods are used for understanding the behavior of their solutions. The numerical methods of finding solution of initial value problems of ODEs may generally be classified into two classes:

- Single step methods: the approximated solution is evaluated using the information of only one previous point.
- Mulistep methods: the approximated solution is evaluated using the information of k previous points.

One of the most common numerical methods for solving ODEs is the Runge-Kutta method which can be of one step and two step. The two step Runge-Kutta method used the approximated values from the previous step during the current step. Most second order ODEs can be solved by reducing to the first order or it can be solved directly using methods which are specified for them. One of the popular numerical methods for solving second order ODE directly is the Runge-Kutta Nystrom method.

Fuzzy Differential Equations (FDEs) are another type of differential equations which are used to analyze the behavior of the problems that are subjected to imprecise or uncertain factors or ranging. Similarly to ODEs, the exact analytical solution of FDEs are often difficult or sometime impossible to obtain, thus constructing the numerical methods with a wide range of accuracy that processes some properties of solution of FDEs are particularly important.

1.2 Objective of the thesis

The main objective of the research is to construct Improved Runge-Kuta type methods for solving first and second order ordinary as well as fuzzy differential equations. This goal can be attained by :

- 1. Construction of Improved Runge-Kutta method for solving first order ordinary differential equations by using Taylor series expansion. The coefficients of method are determined by using minimization of the error norm.
- 2. Derivation of the Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom method for solving second order ordinary differential equations based on Improved Runge-Kutta method given in first part of this thesis and following the approach proposed by Dormand (1996) on derivation of Runge-Kutta Nystrom methods.
- 3. Construction the Accelerated Runge-Kutta Nystrom method for solving autonomous second order ordinary differential equations y'' = f(y), based on Accelerated Runge-Kutta method derived by Udwadia and Farahani (2008) and following the same approach of Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom methods given in second part of this thesis.
- 4. Developing the Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta method for solving first order

fuzzy differential equations by adapting the Improved Runge-Kutta methods derived in first part of thesis for solving fuzzy differential equations.

5. Deriving the Fuzzy Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom method for solving second order fuzzy differential equations based on Runge-Kutta Nystrom methods derived in second part of thesis.

1.3 Scope of thesis

In this study we aim to construct the Improved Runge-Kuta type of methods for solving first and second order ordinary and fuzzy differential equations. This scheme proposed here arise from the classical Runge-Kutta methods and also can be considered as a special class of two-step methods. The advantage of these constant step size methods is, by using the less number of stages which leads to less number of function evaluations, require less time to approximate the more accurate results compared with the existing methods. Therefore, the new schemes with less number of function evaluations and more accurate results, are computationally more efficient than the existing methods.

1.4 Outline of thesis

In chapter 1, a brief introduction on differential equations and the application of numerical methods for solving different type of differential equations are given.

Chapter 2 consists of earlier researches and related study on Runge-Kutta type of methods for solving ODEs and FDEs. Some basic definitions and theorems on numerical methods for solving ODEs and FDEs will also be given.

Chapter 3 describes the construction of Improved Runge-Kutta method for solving first order ordinary differential equations. The scheme is two step in nature and requires less number of function evaluations when compared with the classical Runge-Kutta method. The order conditions of the method using Taylor series expansion are obtained for up to order six and methods of order three, four and five with different stages are derived. The derivation of the methods are based on the order conditions together with minimization of the error norm to find the free parameters. The convergence of the method is proven and the stability region of the methods is also presented. Numerical results show that the method are more efficient compared to the existing well known classical Runge-Kutta methods.

Chapter 4 discusses the derivation of Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom method for solving second order ordinary differential equations. The order conditions of the method using the Taylor series expansion are obtained for up to order five and methods of order three, four and five with two, three and four stages, respectively, are obtained. The stability properties of the new methods are discussed and to illustrate the efficiency of method a number of tested problem are validated and the numerical results are compared with some existing Runge-Kutta Nystrom methods.

Chapter 5 introduces the Accelerated Runge-Kutta Nystrom method for solving autonomous second order ordinary differential equations y'' = f(y). The order conditions, derivation and stability of method are provided. Numerical examples are given and comparison with existing methods are also presented.

 \bigcirc

In chapter 6, a brief introduction to fuzzy differential equations are given and Improved Runge-Kutta method of order three, four and five derived in Chapter 3, are adapted for solving first order fuzzy differential equations. Numerical examples are given and numerical results are compared with existing Fuzzy Rung-Kutta method. In chapter 7, we adapt the obtained Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom method of order three, four and five in Chapter 4, for solving second order fuzzy differential equations. Numerical examples are given and the numerical performances of the methods are compared with existing Fuzzy Rung-Kutta Nystrom method.

Finally the summary of the whole thesis, conclusion and future research are presented in Chapter 8.



REFERENCES

- Abbasbandy, S. and Allahviranloo, T. 2002. Numerical Solution of Fuzzy Differential Equations by Taylor Method. *Journal of Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics* 2: 113–124.
- Abbasbandy, S. and Allahviranloo, T. 2004. Numerical Solution of Fuzzy Differential Equations by Runge-Kutta Method. *Nonlinear Studies* 11 (1): 117–129.
- Abbasbandy, S., Allahviranloo, T. and Darabi, P. 2011. Numerical Solution of N-Order Fuzzy Differential Equations By Runge-Kutta Mathod. *Mathematical* and Computational Applications 16: 935–946.
- Ahmad, M. Z. and Hsan, M. K. 2011. A New Fuzzy Version of Euler's Method for Solving Differential Equations with Fuzzy Initial Values. *Sains Malaysiana* 40 (6): 651–657.
- Allahviranloo, T., Ahmady, E. and Ahmady, N. 2008. Nth-order Fuzzy Linear Differential Equations. *Information Science* 178: 1309–1324.
- Allahviranloo, T., Ahmady, E. and Ahmady, N. 2009. A Method for Solving N-thOrder Fuzzy Differential. International Journal of Computer Mathematics 86 (4): 730–742.
- Allahviranloo, T., Ahmady, N. and Ahmady, E. 2007. Numerical Solution of Fuzzy Differential Equations by Predictor Corrector Method. *Information Sciences* 177 (7): 1633–1647.
- Ambrosio, R. D., Ferro, M., Jackiewicz, Z. and paternoster, B. 2008. Collocation Based Two-step Runge-Kutta Method for Ordinary Differential Equations. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Science and Its Application, 736 – 751. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Balachandran, K. and Kanagarajan, K. 2005. Existence of Solutions of Fuzzy Delay Integrodifferential Equations with Nonlocal Condition. *Journal of the Korea Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics* 9: 65–74.
- Bede, S. B., Rudas, I. and Bencsik, A. 2007. First Order Linear Fuzzy Differential Equations Under Generalized Differentiability. *Information Sciences* 177: 1648– 1662.
- Butcher, J. C. 1987. The Numerical Analysis of Ordinary Differential Equations. John Wiley and Sons.
- Byrne, G. D. 1967. Parameters for Pseudo Runge-Kutta Methods. *Communica*tions of the ACM 10 (2): 102–104.
- Byrne, G. D. and Lambert, R. J. 1966. Pseudo-Runge-Kutta Methods Involving Two Points. *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery* 13 (1): 114– 123.

- Chakravati, P. and Worland, P. 1971. A Class of Self-starting Methods For The Numerical Solution of y'' = f(x, y). In *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, 368–383. DOI: 10.1007/BF01933123.
- Chang, S. and Zadeh, L. 1972. Fuzzy Continuous Dynamical System: A Multivariate Optimization Technique. On Fuzzy Mapping and Control, IEEE Trans, Systems Man Cybernet 2: 30–34.
- Chen, X. 2008. *Fuzzy Differential Equations*. Beijing: Uncertainty Theory Laboratory Tsinghua University.
- Costabile, F. 1970. Metodi Pseudo Runge-Kutta Di Seconda Specie. *Calcolo* 7 (3-4): 305–322.
- Dormand, J. R. 1996. Numerical Method for Differential Equations (A Computational Approach. CRC Prees. Inc.
- Dubois, D. and Prade, H. 1982. Towards Fuzzy Differential Calculus Part 3: Differentiation. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 8: 225–233.
- Franco, J. M. 2006. A Class of Explicit Two Step Hybrid Methods for Second Order IVP's. *Journal of Computation and Applied Mathematics* 187: 41–57.
- Garcya, A., Martyn, P. and Gonzalez, A. B. 2002. New Methods for Oscillatory Problems Based on Classical Codes. *Applied Numerical Mathematics* 42 (1-3): 141–157.
- Ghanaie, Z. A. and Moghadam, M. M. 2011. Solving Fuzzy Differential Equations by Runge-Kutta Method. *The Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* 2: 208–221.
- Goeken, D. and Johnson, O. 2000. Runge-Kutta with Higher Order Derivative Approximations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 34: 207–218.
- Gruttke, W. B. 1970. Pseudo-Runge-Kutta Methods of the Fifth Order . Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery 17 (4): 613–628.
- Henrici, P. 1962. Discrete Variable Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations. John Wiley and Sons.
- Houwen, P. V. D. and Sommeijer, B. 1987. Explicit RungeKutta(Nystrm) Methods with Reduced Phase Errors for Computing Oscillating Solutions. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 24: 595–617.
- Hull, T., Enright, W. H., Fellen, B. M. and Sedgwick, A. E. 1980. Comparing Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 9 (4): 603–637.
- Jackiewiccz, Z. and Tracogna, S. 1995. A General Class of Two-step Runge-Kutta Method for Solving Ordinary Differential Equations. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 32 (32): 1390–1427.

- Jackiewicz, Z. 2010. General Linear Methods for Solving Ordinary Differential Equations. John Wiley and Sons.
- Jackiewicz, Z., Renaut, R. and Feldstein, A. 1991. Two-step Runge-Kutta Methods. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 28 (4): 1165–1182.
- James, J. B. and Thomas, F. 2001. Fuzzy Initial Value Problem for Nth-order Linear Differential Equations. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 121 (2): 247–255.
- Kanagarajan, K. and Sambath, M. 2010. Runge-Kutta Method of Order Three for Solving Fuzzy Differential Equations. *Computational Method In Applied Mathematics* 10 (2): 195–203.
- Ma, M., Friedman, M. and Kandel, A. 1999. Numerical Solutions of Fuzzy Differential Equations. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 105: 133–138.
- Nirmala, V. and Pandian, S. C. 2011. Numerical Solution Fuzzy Differential Equations by Fourt Order Runge-Kutta method with Higher Order Derivative Approximations. *EurOpean Journal of Scientific Research* 62 (2): 198–206.
- Norazak, B. S. 2010. Runge-Kutta Nyström Methods for Solving Osillatory Problems. PhD thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Palligkinis, S., Papageorgiou, G. and Famelis, I. 2009. Runge-Kutta Methods for Fuzzy Differential equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 209: 97–105.
- Paternoster, B. 2002. Two step Runge-Kutta Nystrom Methods for y'' = f(x, y)and P-stability. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Science*, 459–466. London, UK: Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Phohomsiri, P. and Udwadia, F. E. 2004. Acceleration of Runge-Kutta Integeration Schemes. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society* 2: 307–314.
- Seddighi, S., Kiai, M. S., Bakar, M. R. A., Ziaeian, I. and GHeisari, Y. 2012. Homotopy Analysis Method To Study a Quadrupole Mass Filter. *Journal of Mass Spectrometry* 47: 484–489.
- Seikkala, S. 1987. On the Fuzzy Initial Value Problem. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 24: 319–330.
- Solaymani-Fard, O. and Ghal-eh, N. 2011. Numerical Solution for Linear System of First Order Fuzzy Differential Equations with Fuzzy Constant Coefficients. *Information Sciences* 181: 4765–4779.
- Stiefel, E. and Bettis, D. G. 1969. Stabilization of Cowells Method. Numerische Mathematik 13 (2): 154–175.
- Udwadia, F. and Farahani, A. 2008. Accelerated Runge-Kutta methods. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society* DOI: 10.1155/2008/790619.

- Vyver, H. V. 2005. A Runge-Kutta-Nystrom Pair for the Numerical Integration of Perturbed Oscillators. *Computer Physics Communications* 167 (2): 129–142.
- Xinyuan, W. 2003. A Class of Runge-Kutta Formulae of Order Three and Four with Reduced Evaluations of Function. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 146: 417–432.
- Zadeh, L. 1965. Fuzzy Sets. Information and Control 8: 338–358.



APPENDIX A

Derivation of order condition for IRK4 method with three stages using

Taylor series expansion for solving first order ODEs.

```
> restart;
> D(y):= x->f(x,y(x)):
> alias(
F = f(x, y(x)),
Fx = (D[1](f))(x, y(x)),
Fy = (D[2](f))(x, y(x)),
Fxy = (D[1, 2](f))(x, y(x)),
Fyy = (D[2, 2](f))(x, y(x)),
Fxx = (D[1, 1](f))(x, y(x)),
Fxxx = (D[1, 1, 1](f))(x, y(x)),
Fxxy = (D[1, 1, 2](f))(x, y(x)),
Fxyx = (D[1, 2, 1](f))(x, y(x)),
Fxyy = (D[1, 2, 2](f))(x, y(x)),
Fyyy = (D[2, 2, 2](f))(x, y(x)));
> m := 4:
> taylor(y(x+h), h = 0, m+1);
> TaylorPhi := normal((convert(%, polynom)-y(x))/h);
> k1 := taylor(f(x, y(x)), h = 0, m);
 km1 := taylor(f(x-h, y(x-h)), h = 0, m);
 k2
      := taylor(f(x+c[2]*h, y(x)+h*a[2, 1]*k1), h = 0, m);
 km2 := taylor(f(x-h+c[2]*h, y(x-h)+h*a[2, 1]*km1), h = 0, m);
      := taylor(f(x+c[3]*h, y(x)+h*(a[3, 1]*k1+a[3, 2]*k2)), h = 0, m);
 kЗ
 km3 := taylor(f(x-h+c[3]*h, y(x-h)+h*(a[3, 1]*km1+a[3, 2]*km2)),
  h = 0, m);
```

```
RungeKuttaPhi := convert(series(b[1]*k1-b[-1]*km1+b[2]*(k2-km2)
+b[3]*(k3-km3), h, m), polynom);
```

> d := expand(TaylorPhi-RungeKuttaPhi); eqns := {coeffs(d, [h, F, Fx, Fy, Fxx, Fxy, Fyy, Fxxx, Fxxy, Fxyy, Fyyy])};



APPENDIX B

Derivation of order condition for y' of IRKN5 method with four stages using Taylor series expansion for solving second order ODEs.

> restart; > D(D(y)) := x -> f(x, y(x))> alias(yp = (D(y))(x),F = f(x, y(x)),Fx = (D[1](f))(x, y(x)),Fy = (D[2](f))(x, y(x)),Fxy = (D[1, 2](f))(x, y(x)),Fyy = (D[2, 2](f))(x, y(x)),Fxx = (D[1, 1](f))(x, y(x)),Fxxx = (D[1, 1, 1](f))(x, y(x)),Fxxy = (D[1, 1, 2](f))(x, y(x)),Fxyx = (D[1, 2, 1](f))(x, y(x)),Fxyy = (D[1, 2, 2](f))(x, y(x)),Fyyy = (D[2, 2, 2](f))(x, y(x)),Fxxxx = (D[1, 1, 1, 1](f))(x, y(x)),Fxxxy = (D[1, 1, 1, 2](f))(x, y(x)),Fxxyx = (D[1, 1, 2, 1](f))(x, y(x)),Fxyxx = (D[1, 2, 1, 1](f))(x, y(x)),Fyxxx = (D[2, 1, 1, 1](f))(x, y(x)),Fxxyy = (D[1, 1, 2, 2](f))(x, y(x)),Fxyxy = (D[1, 2, 1, 2](f))(x, y(x)),Fyxxy = (D[2, 1, 1, 2](f))(x, y(x)),Fxyyx = (D[1, 2, 2, 1](f))(x, y(x)),

```
(\mathcal{G})
```

```
Fyyxx = (D[2, 2, 1, 1](f))(x, y(x)),
Fxyyy = (D[1, 2, 2, 2](f))(x, y(x)),
Fyyxy = (D[2, 2, 1, 2](f))(x, y(x)),
Fyyyx = (D[2, 2, 2, 1](f))(x, y(x)),
Fyyyy = (D[2, 2, 2, 2](f))(x, y(x)));
> m := 5;
> taylor((D(y))(x+h), h = 0, m+1);
> TaylorPhi := normal((convert(%, polynom)-yp)/h);
> T := taylor((D(y))(x), h = 0, m);
  Tm := taylor((D(y))(x-h), h = 0, m)
  k1 := taylor(f(x, y(x)), h = 0, m);
 km1 := taylor(f(x-h, y(x-h)), h = 0, m);
 k2 := taylor(f(x+c[2]*h, y(x)+h*c[2]*yp+h^2*a[2, 1]*k1), h = 0, m);
 km2 := taylor(f(x-h+c[2]*h, y(x-h)+h*c[2]*Tm+h^2*a[2, 1]*km1),
         h = 0, m);
  k3 := taylor(f(x+c[3]*h, y(x)+h*c[3]*yp+h^2*(a[3, 1]*k1+a[3, 2]*k2)),
         h = 0, m;
 km3 := taylor(f(x-h+c[3]*h, y(x-h)+h*c[3]*Tm+h^2*(a[3, 1]*km1
        +a[3, 2]*km2)), h = 0, m);
  k4 := taylor(f(x+c[4]*h, y(x)+h*c[4]*yp+h^2*(a[4, 1]*k1+a[4, 2]*k2
        +a[43]*k3)), h = 0, m);
 km4 := taylor(f(x-h+c[4]*h, y(x-h)+h*c[4]*Tm+h^2*(a[4, 1]*km1
        +a[4, 2]*km2+a[43]*km3)), h = 0, m);
RungeKuttaPhi := convert(series(b[1]*k1-b[-1]*km1+b[2]*(k2-km2))
```

```
+b[3]*(k3-km3)+b[4]*(k4-km4), h, m), polynom);
```

```
> d := expand(TaylorPhi-RungeKuttaPhi);
```

eqns := {coeffs(d, [yp, h, F, Fx, Fy, Fxx, Fxy, Fyy, Fxxx, Fxxy,
Fxyy, Fyyy, Fxxxx, Fxxy, Fxxyx, Fxyxx, Fyxxx, Fxxyy, Fyyxy,
Fxyyx, Fyyxx, Fyyyx, Fyyyx, Fyyyy])};



APPENDIX C

Maple program code for IRKN4 with three stages for solving second

order ODEs.

```
> restart;
> Digits := 20;
> f(t,y):=-y+t:
  g(t):=evalf(sin(t)+cos(t)+t):
 gp(t):=evalf(cos(t)-sin(t)+1):
> h := 0.005;
n := evalf((b-a)/h);
x[0] := 0;
x[1] := x[0]+h;
y[0] := g(x[0]);
y[1] := g(x[1]);
yp[0] := gp(x[0]);
yp[1] := gp(x[1]);
> for i to n do
k[1] := f(x[i], y[i]);
k[-1] := f(x[i-1], y[i-1]);
 k[2] := f(x[i]+c[2]*h, y[i]+yp[i]*c[2]*h+h^2*a[21]*k[1]);
k[-2] := f(x[i-1]+c[2]*h, y[i-1]+yp[i-1]*c[2]*h+h^2*a[21]*k[-1]);
k[3] := f(x[i]+c[3]*h, y[i]+yp[i]*c[3]*h+h^2*(a[31]*k[1]+a[32]*k[2]));
 k[-3] := f(x[i-1]+c[3]*h, y[i-1]+yp[i-1]*c[3]*h+h^2*(a[31]*k[-1]
          +a[32]*k[-2]));
 y[i+1]:= y[i]+(3/2)*h*yp[i]-(1/2)*h*yp[i-1]+h^2*(b[2]*(k[2]-k[-2])
          +b[3]*(k[3]-k[-3]));
 yp[i+1] := yp[i]+h*(bp[1]*k[1]-bp[-1]*k[-1]+bp[2]*(k[2]-k[-2])
                                 171
```

```
+bp[3]*(k[3]-k[-3]));
x[i+1]:= x[i]+h;
error[i+1] := abs(g(x[i+1])-y[i+1]);
errorp[i+1] := abs(gp(x[i+1])-yp[i+1]);
if error[i+1] >= maxerror then
    maxeror := eror[i+1]
end if;
if errorp[i+1] >= maxerrorp then
    maxerrorp := errorp[i+1]
end if;
maxError := max(maxerror, maxerrorp)
```

end do;

maxError

BIODATA OF THE STUDENT

The author was born on the 10 of July 1982 in Esfahan, Iran. She started her primary school at Nabovat School, Malekshahr, Esfahan, Iran and completed her secondary education at Molana Secondry school, Malekshahr, Esfahan, Iran. She finished her High school education level on Maktabi High school, Malekshahr, Esfahan, Iran.

In 2000 she arrived in Islamic Azad University Branch of Khorasgan, Esfahan, Iran and she received her Bachelor degree of Applied Mathematics in 2004. After that she joined as a lecturer assistant in Islamic Azad University Branch of Khomainishahr, Esfahan, Iran. In 2006 she started her Master degree on Islamic Azad University Branch of Tehran Center, Tehran, Iran and she finished her Master degree in field of Numerical Analysis in Applied Mathematics, in 2008.

In January 2009 she received the offer letter for PhD program in Applied Mathematics from Universiti Putra Malaysia. Currently, she is attending a PhD program in field of Numerical Methods at Department of Mathematics, Universiti Putra Malaysia and working on Improved Runge-Kutta type of methods for solving first and second order ODEs and FDEs.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- F. Rabiei, F. Ismail, M. Suleiman, N. Arifin, N. Abasi. 2013, Construction of Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom method for solving special Second-order ordinary differential equation, *World Applied Sciences Journal*, ISSN:1818-4952, Accepted.
- F. Rabiei, F. Ismail, S. Norazak, S. Seddighi. 2012, Accelerated Runge-Kutta Nystrom Method for Solving Second-Order Ordinary Differential Equations, World Applied Sciences Journal, ISSN:1818-4952, 17 (12): 1549-1555, 2012.
- 3. F. Rabiei, F. Ismail. 2012, Fifth-order Improved Runge-Kutta method with Reduce Number of Function Evaluations, *Australian Journal of basic and Applied science*, ISSN: 1991-8178, 6(3): 97-105.
- 4. F. Rabiei, F. Ismail. 2011, Third-Order Improved Runge-Kutta Method for Solving Ordinary Differential Equation, *International Journal of Applied Physics and Mathematics*, 1(3): 191-194.
- 5. F. Rabiei, F. Ismail, S.Norazak. 2012, Numerical Solution of Second-order Ordinary Differential Equations By Improved Runge-kutta Nystrom Method, In Proceedings of the International Conferences on Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Engineering (ICAMME 2012), World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology 69 2012, Rome, Italy,
- 6. F. Rabiei, F. Ismai. N.Arifin, S.Emadi. 2011, Third Order Accelerated Runge-Kutta Nystrom Method for Solving Second-Order Ordinary Differential Equations, In Proceedings of the International Conferences on Informatics and Engineering and Information Science 2011, Communications in Computer and Informatics Science, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 2011, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-25462-8-17, 253, Part 5, pp: 204-209.
- F. Rabiei, F. Ismail. 2011, Fifth-order Improved Runge-Kutta method for solving ordinary differential equation. *Proceeding of WSEAS Conference* on Recent Researches in Applied Informatics and Remote Sensing, Penang, Malaysia, ISBN: 978-1-61804-039-8, pp: 129-133.
- F. Rabiei, F. Ismail. 2011, New Improved Runge-Kutta method with reducing number of function evaluation, In *Proceedings of International Conference on Software Technology and Engineering*, 3rd (ICSTE 2011), ASME *Press*, Mines, Selangor, Malayisa, ISSN: 9780791859797, DOI: 10.1115/1.859797. paper14
- 9. F. Rabiei, F. Ismail. 2011, Numerical Solution for Solving Ordinary Differential Equation by Using New Improved Third-order Runge-Kutta Method, In *Proceedings of Fundamental Science Congress 2011*, Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

- F. Rabiei, F. Ismail. 2010, Numerical Solution for Solving Ordinary Differential Equation by Using two-step Embedded Runge-kutta Methods, In *Proceedings of Fundamental Science Congress 2010*, Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- F. Rabiei, F. Ismail. 2009, Construction of two step Runge-Kutta method, In Proceedings of Simposium Kebangsaan Sains Matematik ke-17, Melaka Malaysia.
- 12. F. Rabiei, F. Ismail, M. Suleiman, N. Arifin. 2012, Improved Runge-Kutta method for solving ordinary differential equation, submitted.
- 13. F. Rabiei, F. Ismail, S. Norazak, S. Seddighi. 2012, Numerical Solution of Fuzzy Differential Equation Using Improved Runge-Kutta method, Submitted.
- 14. F. Rabiei, F. Ismail. 2012, Numerical Solution of Second-order Fuzzy Differential Equation Using Improved Runge-Kutta Nystrom method, Submitted.