

**MANIPULATION OF *LACTOBACILLUS* PROBIOTIC STRAINS  
TO PRODUCE HETEROLOGOUS  $\beta$ -GLUCANASE FOR  
CHICKENS**

**SIEO CHIN CHIN**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**2004**

**MANIPULATION OF *LACTOBACILLUS* PROBIOTIC STRAINS TO  
PRODUCE HETEROLOGOUS  $\beta$ -GLUCANASE FOR CHICKENS**

**By**

**SIEO CHIN CHIN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**March 2004**

Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of  
the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**MANIPULATION OF *LACTOBACILLUS* PROBIOTIC STRAINS TO PRODUCE  
HETEROLOGOUS  $\beta$ -GLUCANASE FOR CHICKENS**

**By**  
**SIEO CHIN CHIN**  
**March 2004**

**Chairman: Professor Norhani Abdullah, Ph.D.**

**Institute : Bioscience**

Application of enzymes as feed additives is common in the livestock industry, especially in poultry, to eliminate the antinutritional factors present in the diets of chickens. However, the efficiency of enzymes seldom achieves their desired effects because of destruction during feed processing and unsuitable conditions in the gastrointestinal tract. Thus, in the present study, investigations were carried out to evaluate the potential of 12 *Lactobacillus* strains as delivery vehicles for a heterologous  $\beta$ -glucanase enzyme in poultry. The 12 *Lactobacillus* strains used were *L. crispatus* I12, *L. acidophilus* I16 and I26, *L. fermentum* I24, I25, C16 and C17, and *L. brevis* I23, I211, I218, C1 and C10. The strains were found to exhibit resistance to chloromphenicol, erythromycin and tetracycline in varying degrees. The erythromycin resistance of *L. acidophilus* I16 and I26, and *L. fermentum* I24 and C17 could be cured by using novobiocin, and *L. brevis* C10 cured by using acriflavin. The chloromphenicol and tetracycline resistances of all the resistant strains were not eliminated even after prolonged curing in sublethal concentrations of individual or mixtures of curing agents such as novobiocin, ethidium bromide, acriflavin or SDS. Electrotransformation efficiency of the *Lactobacillus* strains was affected by growth phase, growth and recovery medium, cell density, electroporation buffer, buffer strength, plasmid concentration and electrical pulse. At optimized conditions, the strains were transformed at  $10^3$ - $10^4$  transformants/ $\mu$ g plasmid DNA. The erythromycin susceptible wild-type strains (*L. crispatus* I12, *L. brevis* I23, I211 and I218, and *L. fermentum* I25) and cured derivatives (*L. acidophilus* I16C and I26C, *L. brevis*

C10C, and *L. fermentum* I24C and C17C) were then transformed at optimized conditions with plasmid pSA3b6, which carried a  $\beta$ -glucanase gene from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. Five wild-type *Lactobacillus* strains, namely, *L. crispatus* I12, *L. fermentum* I25, *L. brevis* I23, I211 and I218 and a cured derivative, *L. brevis* C10C, which could retain the plasmid at a comparatively higher rate, were used for subsequent studies. The *Lactobacillus* transformants were found to secrete 32-52 U/ml of  $\beta$ -glucanase. Optimum activity of the enzyme was at 39 °C and pH 5-6. A loss of 0.4-1.6 U/generation of  $\beta$ -glucanase was observed when the strains were grown under non-selective pressure.

PCR analyses of gastrointestinal samples of chickens fed transformed *Lactobacillus* strains revealed that the strains could not persist for more than 24 h in the gut. The  $\beta$ -glucanase activity detected in the jejunum and ileum of chickens fed transformed *Lactobacillus* strains was found to be 2-9.4 folds higher than those obtained from other intestinal sites. In the feeding trial, supplementation of transformed *Lactobacillus* strains to chickens significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) improved the body weight by 2.5 %, and the feed conversion ratio by 1.0-2.6 %. In addition, the apparent metabolizable energy, digestibilities of crude protein and dry matter of feed were improved by 3.4 %, 5.9 % and 3.5 %, respectively. The intestinal fluid viscosity was reduced by 21-46 %. The relative weights of organs and intestinal segments (pancreas, liver, duodenum, jejunum, ileum, cecum and colon) were also reduced by 6-27 %, and the relative length of intestinal segments (duodenum, jejunum, ileum and cecum) was reduced by 8-15 %. Histological examination of the intestinal tissues showed that the jejunal villus height of chickens fed diet supplemented with transformed *Lactobacillus* strains was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher than those of chickens fed other dietary treatments. The transformed *Lactobacillus* strains were also found to reduce the time of feed passage rate by 2.2 h.

The results of the present study showed that the *Lactobacillus* strains have the potential to be used as delivery vehicles for a heterologous  $\beta$ -glucanase enzyme in poultry.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**MANIPULASI STRAIN PROBIOTIK *LACTOBACILLUS* UNTUK  
PENGHASILAN  $\beta$ -GLUKANASE HETEROLOGUS UNTUK AYAM**

**Oleh**

**SIEO CHIN CHIN**

**Mac 2004**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Norhani Abdullah, Ph. D.**

**Institut : Biosains**

Penambahan enzim ke dalam pemakanan haiwan adalah biasa dalam industri penternakan, terutamanya ayam, untuk menyingkirkan faktor antinutrisi dalam pemakanannya. Walau bagaimanapun, efisiensi enzim pada kebiasaannya jarang mencapai kesan yang dikehendaki disebabkan oleh pemusnahan enzim semasa pemprosesan pemakanan dan keadaan yang tidak sesuai dalam usus. Oleh itu, kajian ini dijalankan untuk menilai potensi 12 strain *Lactobacillus* sebagai penghantar alternatif enzim heterologus  $\beta$ -glukanase ke dalam gastrousus ayam. Duabelas strain *Lactobacillus* iaitu *L. crispatus* I12, *L. acidophilus* I16 dan I26, *L. fermentum* I24, I25, C16 dan C17, dan *L. brevis* I23, I211, I218, C1 dan C10 digunakan. Strain-strain tersebut menunjukkan kerintangan terhadap kloromfenikol, eritromisin dan tetrasaiklin pada tahap yang berbeza. Kerintangan eritromisin *L. acidophilus* I16 dan I26, dan *L. fermentum* I24 dan C17 dipulih dengan menggunakan novobiosin dan *L. brevis* C10 dipulih dengan akriflavin. Kerintangan kloromfenikol dan tetrasaiklin kesemua strain yang rintang tidak disingkirkan walaupun proses pemulihan dalam kepekatan sub-kematian agen pemulihan, secara berasingan atau campuran, seperti novobiosin, etidium bromida, akriflavin dan SDS diperpanjangkan. Efisiensi elektrotransformasi strain *Lactobacillus* dipengaruhi oleh fasa pertumbuhan sel, media pertumbuhan dan pemulihan, kepekatan sel, penimbal

elektroporasi, kekuatan penimbal, kepekatan plasmid dan kekuatan elektrik. Pada keadaan optima, strain *Lactobacillus* ditransformasi pada kadar  $10^3$ - $10^4$  transforman/ $\mu$ g plasmid DNA. Strain asli (*L. crispatus* I12, *L. brevis* I23, I211 dan I218, dan *L. fermentum* I25) dan terbitan yang dipulih (*L. acidophilus* I16C dan I26C, *L. brevis* C10C, dan *L. fermentum* I24C dan C17C) yang sensitif kepada eritromisin ditransformasi pada keadaan optima dengan menggunakan plasmid pSA3b6 yang membawa gen  $\beta$ -glukanase dari *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. Lima strain asli *Lactobacillus* iaitu *L. crispatus* I12, *L. fermentum* I25, *L. brevis* I23, I211 and I218 dan satu terbitan yang dipulih, *L. brevis* C10C, yang mampu mengekalkan plasmid pada kadar yang lebih tinggi diguna untuk kajian seterusnya. Transforman *Lactobacillus* merembeskan 32-52 U/ml  $\beta$ -glukanase. Aktiviti optimum enzim diperolehi pada 39 °C dan pH 5-6. Pengurangan 0.4-1.6 U/generasi  $\beta$ -glukanase diperhatikan apabila strain ditumbuh di dalam keadaan tanpa tekanan pemilihan.

Analisis PCR sampel gastrousus yang diperolehi dari ayam yang diberi makan strain *Lactobacillus* yang ditransformasi menunjukkan bahawa strain tersebut tidak berkekalan untuk lebih dari 24 jam dalam usus. Aktiviti  $\beta$ -glukanase yang dikesan di dalam jejunum dan ileum adalah 2-9.4 kali lebih tinggi daripada aktiviti di tapak usus yang lain. Penambahan strain *Lactobacillus* yang ditransformasi ke dalam pemakanan ayam meningkat secara signifikan berat badan ayam sebanyak 2.5 %. Kadar penukaran pemakanan juga meningkat 1.0-2.6 %. Selain daripada itu, tenaga yang dimetabolisme, penghadaman protein kasar dan bahan kering pemakanan masing-masing meningkat sebanyak 3.4 %, 5.9 % and 3.5 %. Kelikatan cecair usus juga turun sebanyak 21-46 %. Berat relatif organ dan segmen usus (pankreas, hati, duodenum, jejunum, ileum, cecum dan kolon) turun sebanyak 6-27 % dan ukuran panjang relatif segmen usus (duodenum, jejunum, ileum dan cecum) turun sebanyak 8-15 %. Kajian histologi tisu usus

menunjukkan bahawa ketinggian vilus jejunal ayam yang diberi makanan yang ditambah dengan strain *Lactobacillus* yang ditransformasi adalah lebih tinggi ( $P < 0.05$ ) daripada sampel yang diperolehi daripada ayam yang diberi pemakanan lain. Strain *Lactobacillus* yang ditransformasi juga mengurangkan masa untuk kadar laluan pemakanan sebanyak 2.2 jam.

Keputusan kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa strain *Lactobacillus* mempunyai potensi untuk diguna sebagai penghantar alternatif enzim heterologus  $\beta$ -glukanase dalam ayam.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*“This moment is filled with lots of memories..... It marks the end of a chapter of my life. This “journey” has been accompanied and supported by many people. It is a pleasant aspect that I have now the opportunity to express my gratitude to all of them.”*

First, I would wish to express my deep appreciation and most sincere gratitude to the chairman of the supervisory committee, Associate Professor Dr. Norhani Abdullah, for her invaluable guidance and advice, endless support and encouragement throughout the duration of this study and for her critical analysis and helpful suggestions during the preparation of this thesis.

I am deeply grateful and indebted to Professor Dr. Ho Yin Wan for her support, invaluable guidance, advice and encouragement throughout the course of my study and for her critical comments and constructive suggestions in the preparation of this thesis. I am also thankful to Associate Professor Dr. Tan Wen Siang for his kind assistance, valuable suggestions and guidance during the course of my study.

Special appreciation is due to Professor Emeritus Tan Sri Dato’ Dr. Syed Jalaludin Syed Salim (who was a member of Digestive Microbiology Unit till his retirement in 2001) for his constant encouragement, wise counsel and support.

Words are not enough to describe my heartfelt appreciations to Madam Haw Ah Kam, Mr. Khairul Kamar Bakri, Mr. Nagayah Muniandy, Mr. Jivanathan Arumugam and Mr.

Paimon Lugiman, staff of the Digestive Microbiology Unit, and Mr. Saporin Denim and Mr. Ibrahim Mohsin, staff of Animal Nutrition Laboratory, for their technical support and kind assistance. Special thanks go to Professor Datin Dr. Khatijah Yusoff, Head of the Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, and colleagues and staff of the Department for being so kind and accommodating.

I am very glad to have my fellow labmates who accompanied me through this part of my life. My sincere thanks to Kala, Latiffah, Lan, Darlis, Sidieq, Michael, Thongsuk, Wan, Foong Yee, Vicky, Lee and Pit Kang for their friendship, help, support, encouragement and their sense of humor that made the many hours in the laboratory very pleasant.

Finally, very special thanks are due to my loving husband, Lai Kok Loong, for his endless love, untiring patience, support and encouragement throughout the course of this study.

*“And now, a new chapter of life begins....”*

I certify that an Examination Committee met on 16 March 2004 to conduct the final examination of Sieo Chin Chin on her Ph.D. thesis entitled “Manipulation of *Lactobacillus* probiotic strains to produce heterologous  $\beta$ -glucanase for chickens” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**Abdul Rani Bahaman, Ph.D.**

Professor  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Norhani Abdullah, Ph. D.**

Professor  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Ho Yin Wan, Ph.D.**

Professor  
Institute of Bioscience  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Tan Wen Siang, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Kunio Ohmiya, Ph. D.**

Professor  
School of Bioresources  
Mie University  
Tsu, Japan  
(Independent Examiner)

---

**GULAM RUSUL RAHMAT ALI, Ph.D.**

Professor/Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

**Norhani Abdullah, Ph. D.**

Professor  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Ho Yin Wan, Ph.D.**

Professor  
Institute of Bioscience  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Tan Wen Siang, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.**  
Professor/Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

---

**SIEO CHIN CHIN**

Date:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<b>Page</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	ii
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	v
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	viii
	<b>APPROVALS</b>	x
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	xii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xvii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xxi
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxiv
	<b>CHAPTER</b>	
1	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
2	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	5
	2.1 Lactic Acid Bacteria – <i>Lactobacillus</i>	5
	2.1.1 <i>Lactobacillus</i> as Probiotics	6
	2.1.2 <i>Lactobacillus</i> as Transformation Hosts	8
	2.2 Genetic Transfer Systems for Lactobacilli	9
	2.2.1 Cloning Vectors	10
	2.2.2 Transformation Methods	12
	2.3 Heterologous Gene Expression in Lactobacilli	15
	2.4 Factors Affecting Gene Expression in Lactobacilli	17
	2.4.1 Factors Involved in Transcription (Promoters and Terminators)	19
	2.4.2 Factors Involved in Translation	20
	2.4.3 Codon Usage	21
	2.5 Stability of Genetic Determinants	22
	2.6 Chromosomal Integration of Genes	23
	2.7 Enhancing Poultry Production Through Manipulation of Diet Components	26
	2.7.1 Poultry Industry	26
	2.7.2 Genetic Manipulation of Feed	28
	2.7.3 Enzyme Supplement in Feed	28
3	<b>SELECTION OF <i>LACTOBACILLUS</i> STRAINS AS TRANSFORMATION HOSTS</b>	34
	3.1 Introduction	34
	3.2 Materials and Methods	35
	3.2.1 <i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains	35
	3.2.2 Antibiotic Susceptibility Test	36
	3.2.3 Plasmid Curing	37
	3.2.4 Characterization of Cured <i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains...	39
	3.2.5 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis	40
	3.3 Results	41
	3.3.1 Antibiotic Susceptibility Test	41
	3.3.2 Plasmid Curing	45
	3.3.3 Carbohydrate Fermentation Ability of Cured Strains	51

3.3.4	Plasmid Profiles	55
3.4	Discussion	56
4	<b>ELECTROTRANSFORMATION OF <i>LACTOBACILLUS</i> STRAINS</b>	62
4.1	Introduction	62
4.2	Materials and Methods	63
4.2.1	<i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains	63
4.2.2	Plasmid DNA	64
4.2.3	Initial Electroporation Procedure	65
4.2.4	Optimization of Electroporation Parameters	67
4.2.5	Electroporation of <i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains with Various Plasmids	70
4.2.6	Statistical Analysis	70
4.3	Results	70
4.3.1	Transformation of <i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains by Initial Electroporation Procedure	70
4.3.2	Optimization of Electroporation Parameters	71
4.3.3	Electroporation of <i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains with Various Plasmids	82
4.4	Discussion	86
5	<b>QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE STUDIES ON EXPRESSION OF <math>\beta</math>-GLUCANASE GENE IN <i>LACTOBACILLUS</i> STRAINS</b>	96
5.1	Introduction	96
5.2	Materials and Methods	98
5.2.1	<i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains, Plasmids and Media	98
5.2.2	Transformation of <i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains	101
5.2.3	Isolation of Plasmid DNA	101
5.2.4	Qualitative Study on Expression of $\beta$ -Glucanase Gene	101
5.2.5	Plasmid Stability	102
5.2.6	Growth Studies	102
5.2.7	Quantitative Study and Characterization of Expressed $\beta$ -Glucanase in Transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains	103
5.2.8	Statistical Analysis	108
5.3	Results	108
5.3.1	Transformation of <i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains	108
5.3.2	Qualitative Study on Expression of $\beta$ -Glucanase Gene	108
5.3.3	Plasmid Stability	111
5.3.4	Growth Studies	115
5.3.5	Quantitation of $\beta$ -Glucanase Produced by Transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains	115
5.3.6	Localization of Enzyme	115
5.3.7	Production of Enzyme at Different Growth Phases	120
5.3.8	Effects of pH on the $\beta$ -Glucanase Activity and Stability	124

5.3.9	Effect of Temperature on $\beta$ -Glucanase Activity	124
5.3.10	Effects of Different Substrate Concentrations on Growth, and Production of Enzyme	124
5.3.11	Production of $\beta$ -Glucanase at Growth Under Selective and Non-selective Pressures	131
5.4	Discussion	131
6	<b>IN VIVO DETECTION OF TRANSFORMED LACTOBACILLUS STRAINS</b>	142
6.1	Introduction	142
6.2	Materials and Methods	143
6.2.1	<i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains	143
6.2.2	Preparation of Transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains for <i>In Vivo</i> Study	144
6.2.3	Feeding Experiment	144
6.2.4	Detection by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	146
6.2.5	PCR Sensitivity Test	147
6.2.6	$\beta$ -Glucanase Assay of Gastrointestinal Samples	148
6.2.7	Statistical Analysis	148
6.3	Results	149
6.3.1	Amplification Profiles	149
6.3.2	PCR Sensitivity Test	149
6.3.3	Amplification from Intestinal Samples	154
6.3.4	$\beta$ -Glucanase Activity of Gastrointestinal Samples	162
6.4	Discussion	164
7	<b>EFFECTS OF <math>\beta</math>-GLUCANASE-PRODUCING LACTOBACILLUS STRAINS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF BROILERS</b>	169
7.1	Introduction	169
7.2	Materials and Methods	171
7.2.1	Preparation of Parental and Transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> Strains	171
7.2.2	Animals and Diets	172
7.2.3	Experimental Design	173
7.2.3.1	Experiment 1	173
7.2.3.2	Experiment 2	174
7.2.4	Determination of Intestinal Viscosity	174
7.2.5	$\beta$ -Glucanase Activity in Different Intestinal Contents	175
7.2.6	Histological Examination	175
7.2.7	Determination of Abdominal Fat Deposition	176
7.2.8	Feed Passage Rate	176
7.2.9	Fecal Sampling for Crude Protein and Gross Energy Determination	178
7.2.10	Dry matter analysis	181
7.2.11	Statistical Analysis	181
7.3	Results	182



7.3.1	Growth Performance	182
7.3.2	Apparent Metabolizable Energy (AME), Apparent Digestibilities of Crude Protein (ACP) and Dry Matter (ADM) of Diets, and Abdominal Fat in Broiler Chickens Fed Different Dietary Treatments	184
7.3.3	Dry Matter of Digesta and Excreta	185
7.3.4	Length and weight of intestines and organs	187
7.3.5	$\beta$ -Glucanase Activities of Gut Contents of Broiler Chickens	189
7.3.6	Intestinal Fluid Viscosity	190
7.3.7	Histological Examination	192
7.3.8	Feed Passage Rate	192
7.4	Discussion	197
8	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION</b>	211
9	<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	225
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	227
	<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>	257

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Expression of heterologous proteins in <i>Lactobacillus</i>	16
2	Expression of heterologous proteins in <i>Lactobacillus</i> under regulation of lactic acid bacteria promoter	18
3	<i>Lactobacillus</i> strains and locations of isolation from the gastrointestinal tract of broilers	36
4	Antibiotics and range of concentrations tested on wild-type <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	37
5	Growth of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains in MRS broth containing various concentrations of tetracycline	42
6	Growth of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains in MRS broth containing various concentrations of erythromycin	43
7	Growth of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains in MRS broth containing various concentrations of chloromphenicol	44
8	<i>Lactobacillus</i> strains subjected to plasmid curing and their targeted antibiotic resistance property to be cured	45
9	Growth of wild-type <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains in various concentrations of curing agent, novobiocin	46
10	Growth of wild-type <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains in various concentrations of curing agent, acriflavin	47
11	Growth of wild-type <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains in various concentrations of curing agent, ethidium bromide	48
12	Growth of wild-type <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains in various concentrations of curing agent, SDS	49
13	Curing rates (%) of erythromycin resistance in <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains by various curing agents	51
14	Carbohydrate fermentation profiles of wild-type (WT) <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains and their cured derivatives (C)	52

15	<i>Lactobacillus</i> strains used for electroporation experiments and optimization studies	64
16	Designations and characteristics of plasmids used in this study	65
17	Transformation efficiencies of representative <i>Lactobacillus</i> species at unoptimized electroporation conditions	71
18	Effects of growth phases on transformation efficiency of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	72
19	Effects of increasing glycine concentrations on the transformation efficiency of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	73
20	Effect of sucrose in growth medium containing glycine on the transformation efficiency of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	74
21	Effect of sucrose in recovery medium on transformation efficiency of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	75
22	Effect of cell densities on the transformation efficiency of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	76
23	Effects of different buffers on transformation efficiency of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	77
24	Effects of different buffer strengths of SMEB on the transformation efficiency of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	79
25	Effects of the concentrations of plasmid on the transformation efficiency of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	80
26	Effects of field strengths on the electrotransformation of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains with pSA3	81
27	Transformation efficiencies of various plasmids in <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	83
28	Bacterial strains and plasmids	99
29	Transformation efficiencies of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	109
30	Growth rate constants and generation times of parental and transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	119

31	$\beta$ -Glucanase activities of <i>Lactobacillus</i> transformants	119
32	Distribution of $\beta$ -glucanase enzyme in different fractions of cell extract of transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	120
33	Effect of pH on the $\beta$ -glucanase activity produced by transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	125
34	Effect of pH on the stability of $\beta$ -glucanase produced by transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	126
35	Effect of temperature on the $\beta$ -glucanase activity of transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	128
36	Effect of substrate concentrations on growth, and production of $\beta$ -glucanase of transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	129
37	Production of $\beta$ -glucanase by transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains grown under selective and non-selective pressures	132
38	Composition of basal diet	145
39	Composition of basal diet	172
40	Performance of broiler chickens fed basal diet (BD), diet supplemented with parental <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains (BDP) and diet supplemented with transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains (BDT)	183
41	Apparent metabolizable energy (AME), apparent digestibilities of crude protein (ACP) and dry matter (ADM) and abdominal fat of broiler chickens fed different dietary treatments	185
42	Effects of dietary treatments on intestinal contents and excreta dry matter (%) in broiler chickens	186
43	Relative weights and lengths of different intestinal sections of the gastrointestinal tract of broiler chickens fed different dietary treatments	188
44	$\beta$ -Glucanase activities (U/kg DM) in diets and gastrointestinal tracts of 21-day-old chickens fed different dietary treatments	190

45	Effect of different dietary treatments on the intestinal viscosity (cP)	191
46	Effect of dietary treatments on the intestinal structures of chickens	193
47	Chromium oxide recovery (%) in excreta of chickens of all ages fed with different dietary treatments	193
48	Variables describing the cumulative excretion curves of chickens fed different dietary treatments	196
49	Time required for excretion of chromic oxide (1 % or 50 %) from chickens of different ages fed different dietary treatments	196

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Plasmid profiles of wild-type strains and their cured derivatives	55
2	Physical map of plasmid pSA3	100
3	Diagrammatic representation of the structure of plasmids pSA3b3 and pSA3b6 showing the relative positions of the <i>Eco</i> R1 and <i>Ava</i> I sites, and the position of the $\beta$ -glucanase determinant ( <i>bglA</i> )	100
4	Agar plate assay for detection of $\beta$ -glucanase activity	110
5	Stability of pSA3b6 in <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains grown under non-selective condition	112
6	Agarose gel of plasmid preparations of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains transformed with plasmids pSA3, pSA3b3 and pSA3b6	113
7a	Growth of parental and transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains (i) <i>L. brevis</i> C10C and <i>L. brevis</i> C10CpSA3b6, (ii) <i>L. crispatus</i> I12 and <i>L. crispatus</i> I12pSA3b6	116
7b	Growth of parental and transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains (i) <i>L. brevis</i> I23 and <i>L. brevis</i> I23pSA3b6, (ii) <i>L. fermentum</i> I25 and <i>L. fermentum</i> I25pSA3b6	117
7c	Growth of parental and transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains (i) <i>L. brevis</i> I211 and <i>L. brevis</i> I211pSA3b6, (ii) <i>L. brevis</i> I218 and <i>L. brevis</i> I218pSA3b6	118
8	$\beta$ -Glucanase activity at different growth phases of transformed <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains	121
9	PCR amplification of <i>bglA</i> gene using primer pairs <i>bglAF1/bglAR1</i> (a) or <i>bglAF2/bglAR2</i> (b), and amplification of plasmid vector using pACYC184F1/pACYC184R1 primer pair	150
10	Simultaneous amplification of <i>bglA</i> gene and cloning vector by primer pairs pACYC184F/pACYC184R and <i>bglAF1/bglAR1</i> (a), and	151

	pACYC184R/pACYC184R and bglAF2/bglAR2 (b)	
11a	PCR sensitivity test for pure culture of <i>L. brevis</i> C10CpSA3b6	152
11b	PCR sensitivity test for pure culture of <i>L. crispatus</i> I12pSA3b6	152
11c	PCR sensitivity test for pure culture of <i>L. brevis</i> I23pSA3b6	152
11d	PCR sensitivity test for pure culture of <i>L. brevis</i> I25pSA3b6	153
11e	PCR sensitivity test for pure culture of <i>L. brevis</i> I211pSA3b6	153
11f	PCR sensitivity test for pure culture of <i>L. brevis</i> I218pSA3b6	153
12	Amplification of the <i>bglA</i> gene and cloning vector from various gastrointestinal samples obtained at day 0 (a), day 1(b), day 2 (c), day 3 (d) or day 4 (e) after removal of dietary treatment supplemented with <i>L. brevis</i> C10CpSA3b6	155
13	Amplification of the <i>bglA</i> gene and cloning vector from various gastrointestinal samples obtained at day 0 (a), day 1(b), day 2 (c), day 3 (d) or day 4 (e) after removal of dietary treatment supplemented with <i>L. brevis</i> I23pSA3b6	156
14	Amplification of the <i>bglA</i> gene and cloning vector from various gastrointestinal samples obtained at day 0 (a), day 1(b), day 2 (c), day 3 (d) or day 4 (e) after removal of dietary treatment supplemented with <i>L. crispatus</i> I12pSA3b6	157
15	Amplification of the <i>bglA</i> gene and cloning vector from various gastrointestinal samples obtained at day 0 (a), day 1(b), day 2 (c), day 3 (d) or day 4 (e) after removal of dietary treatment supplemented with <i>L. fermentum</i> I25pSA3b6	158
16	Amplification of the <i>bglA</i> gene and cloning vector from various gastrointestinal samples obtained at day 0 (a), day 1(b), day 2 (c), day 3	

	(d) or day 4 (e) after removal of dietary treatment supplemented with <i>L. brevis</i> I218pSA3b6	159
17	Amplification of the <i>bglA</i> gene and cloning vector from various gastrointestinal samples obtained at day 0 (a), day 1(b), day 2 (c), day 3 (d) or day 4 (e) after removal of dietary treatment supplemented with <i>L. brevis</i> I211pSA3b6	160
18	Amplification of the <i>bglA</i> gene and cloning vector from various gastrointestinal samples obtained at day 0 (a), day 1(b), day 2 (c), day 3 (d) or day 4 (e) after removal of basal diet	161