

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

ENHANCEMENT OF EVALUATION METHODOLOGIES FOR NATURAL FIBER COMPOSITES MATERIAL SELECTION SYSTEM

FARIS MOHAMMED KHAIR FARIS AL-OQLA

FK 2015 152



ENHANCEMENT OF EVALUATION METHODOLOGIES FOR NATURAL FIBER COMPOSITES MATERIAL SELECTION SYSTEM

By

FARIS MOHAMMED KHAIR FARIS AL-OQLA

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

This thesis is gratefully dedicated to:

My Beloved Mother for her unlimited sacrifices, encouragements and support throughout my life

The soul of my Father

My Wife for her patience and understanding

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

ENHANCEMENT OF EVALUATION METHODOLOGIES FOR NATURAL FIBER COMPOSITES MATERIAL SELECTION SYSTEM

By

FARIS MOHAMMED KHAIR FARIS AL-OOLA

March 2015

Chairman: Mohd Sapuan Salit, PhD, PEng

Faculty: Engineering

Proper evaluations of natural fiber composites (NFCs) and their constituents are of paramount importance in making informative decisions toward enhancing their selection process for future sustainable design possibilities. Consequently, this study was conducted to develop evaluation methodologies and selection models to properly evaluate and develop the selection system of the NFCs and their constituents as well as to discover new potential natural fiber types capable for improving the NFCs' desirable characteristics. Hence, this study started with identifying the gap in evaluating the available natural fiber types relative to comprehensive desired criteria, then building a categorization framework to categorize and classify criteria that affect NFCs and their products into appropriate levels. Five distinguished levels were introduced as a primary evaluation tool for designers in this field. Moreover, the feasibility of using the date palm fiber (DPF) type in NFCs for automotive industry was investigated utilizing selected criteria from the presented levels. In contrast, a combined multi-criteria evaluation stage technique (CMCEST) was also introduced as a new systematic, simple and efficient indicator to enhance better evaluations of the available natural agro wastes for polymeric-based composites. In the proposed technique, sequence of evaluation stages where introduced as: single-evaluation-criterion (SEC), combined-doubleevaluation-criterion (CDEC), combined-triple-evaluation-criterion (CTEC), etc. The CMCEST enhancements can reveal new potential fiber types through better evaluation schemes. Furthermore, this work developed and introduced a novel systematic evaluation methodology for natural fibers' capabilities based on moisture content criterion (MCC). This MCC evaluation tool was designed to predict the behavior of the available natural fibers regarding distinctive desirable characteristics under the effect of the moisture absorption phenomenon. MCC is capable of enhancing the selection process of NFCs for better sustainable design possibilities. In addition, efforts were integrated to enhance and develop the selection process of NFCs' constituents to achieve a real novel progress in this field. Thus, a decision making model was developed to rank and evaluate various available polymers to determine the most appropriate polymer matrix type for natural fiber composites considering twenty different criteria standpoints simultaneously. Moreover, this study also developed decision-support models to evaluate and select the optimal reinforcement conditions of the Date Palm/Epoxy composite to maximize its overall tensile property considering combined evaluation criteria. The usefulness of the developed evaluation methodologies and models was successfully demonstrated through their capabilities in enhancing better evaluations of the NFCs regarding wide range of multiple conflicting criteria as well as eliminating the bias or prejudice in decisions and reduce human errors in the selection process.



PENINGKATAN BAGI PERKAEDAHAN PENILAIAN UNTUK SISTEM PEMILIHAN BAHAN KOMPOSIT GENTIAN SEMULA JADI

Oleh

FARIS MOHAMMED KHAIR FARIS AL-OOLA

Mac 2015

Pengerusi: Mohd Sapuan Salit, PhD, PEng

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Penilaian yang betul bagi komposit gentian semula jadi (NFCs) dan kandungan mereka adalah amat penting dalam membuat keputusan bermaklumat ke arah meningkatkan proses pemilihan mereka untuk kemungkinan reka bentuk masa depan yang mampan. Oleh yang demikian, kajian ini dijalankan untuk membangunkan perkakas penilaian dan model pemilihan untuk menilai dengan betul dan membangunkan proses pemilihan bagi NFCs dan kandungan mereka serta untuk mengenali potensi jenis gentian semula jadi baharu yang mampu untuk meningkatkan ciri-ciri yang diingini bagi NFCs. Oleh itu, kajian ini bermula dengan mengenal pasti jurang dalam menilai jenis gentian semula jadi yang ada berbanding dengan kriteria yang dikehendaki komprehensif, kemudian, satu pengkategorian rangka kerja telah disampaikan untuk mengkategorikan dan mengelaskan kriteria yang mempengaruhi NFCs dan produk mereka ke tahap yang sesuai. Lima tahap yang jelas telah diperkenalkan sebagai perkakas penilaian utama bagi pereka dalam bidang NFCs. Selain itu, kemungkinan menggunakan jenis gentian pokok kurma (DPF) dalam NFCs untuk industri automotif telah dikaji menggunakan kriteria yang dipilih daripada peringkat dibentangkan. Sebaliknya, kaedah peringkat penilaian multi-kriteria gabungan (CMCEST) juga diperkenalkan sebagai petunjuk baharu yang sistematik, mudah dan berkesan untuk meningkatkan penilaian yang lebih baik daripada sisa pertanian semula jadi yang wujud untuk komposit berasaskan polimer. Dalam kaedah yang dicadangkan, urutan peringkat penilaian di mana diperkenalkan sebagai: kriteria penilaian sendiri (SEC), kriteria penilaian digabungkandua (CDEC), kriteria penilaian digabungkan-triple (CTEC), dan lain-lain. Penambahan CMCEST boleh mendedahkan jenis gentian potensi baharu melalui skim penilaian yang lebih baik. Tambahan pula, kerja ini membangunkan dan memperkenalkan satu perkakas penilaian yang sistematik yang baharu bagi keupayaan gentian semula jadi berdasarkan kriteria kandungan kelembapan (MCC). Alat penilaian MCC ini telah direka untuk meramalkan kelakuan gentian semula jadi yang ada berkaitan ciri-ciri tersendiri yang dikehendaki di bawah kesan fenomena penyerapan kelembapan. MCC mampu menilai gentian semula jadi dengan lebih baik dengan cara yang sistematik, dan oleh itu meningkatkan proses pemilihan untuk kemungkinan reka bentuk yang lebih baik mampan. Di samping itu, usaha dipersepadu untuk meningkatkan dan

membangunkan proses pemilihan kandungan NFCs untuk mencapai kemajuan baharu yang sebenar dalam bidang ini. Oleh itu, satu model membuat keputusan telah dibangunkan untuk menentukan kedudukan dan menilai pelbagai polimer yang ada untuk menentukan jenis matriks polimer yang paling sesuai untuk komposit gentian semula jadi. Ini dilakukan dengan menentukan merit relatif mereka mengenai dua puluh kriteria yang berbeza pada masa yang sama. Selain daripada itu, kajian ini juga membangunkan satu model sokongan keputusan untuk menilai dan memilih keadaan tetulang optimum bagi komposit pokok kurma/epoksi untuk memaksimumkan sifat tegangan keseluruhannya dengan mempertimbangkan kriteria penilaian yang digabungkan. Kebergunaan perkakas dan model penilaian yang dibangunkan telah berjaya mempamerkan melalui keupayaan mereka dalam meningkatkan penilaian yang lebih baik daripada kandungan NFCs mengenai pelbagai kriteria yang berbilang serta menghapuskan berat sebelah atau prejudis dalam membuat keputusan dan mengurangkan kesilapan manusia dalam proses pemilihan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, my praise to Almighty Allah for giving me the power and will to complete this work.

I particularly would like to express my appreciation and profound gratitude to the chairman of supervisory committee, Professor Mohd Sapuan Salit for his invaluable advice, guidance, effort, and supervision. You always believed in me, and showed a positive attitude throughout my study. I also would like to convey my thanks to the members of supervisory committee, Associate Professor Nuraini Abdul Aziz and Dr. Mohamad Ridzwan Ishak, for sharing their expertise and for continuous guidance and suggestions that enriched the study.

I have no enough words to express my profuse thanks to my family for their unconditional love and endless support that gave me the strength to complete this work, especially the unlimited sacrifices of my Mother. Special thanks are extended to my wife, for her understanding, patience and efforts. It is worth to mention all my friends for their support and cooperation.

I'm very grateful to the anonymous referees for their respectful valuable comments on the manuscripts of journal papers. Thanks to my colleagues and staff in the Faculty of Engineering (UPM) for their advice, assistance and kindness.

School of Graduate Studies at Universiti Putra Malaysia is acknowledged for supporting my PhD study through an international graduate research fellowship (IGRF) scheme.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 23 March 2015 to conduct the final examination of Faris Mohammed Khair Faris AL-Oqla on his thesis entitled "Enhancement Of Evaluation Methodologies For Natural Fiber Composites Material Selection System" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Tang Sai Hong, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Zulkiflle Leman, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Rizal Zahari, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Faiz Mohammad, PhD

Y. Bhg. Professor Faculty of Engineering and Technology Aligarh Muslim University India (External Examiner)



ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 15 April 2015

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Sapuan Salit, PhD, Ir

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Nuraini bt. Abdul Aziz, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Mohamad Ridzwan Ishak, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012:
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

| Signature: | Date: 23 -March 2015 |
|------------|----------------------|
| _ | |

Name and Matric No.: Faris AL-Oqla (GS 35274)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- The research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

| Signature: | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|
| Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee: | Prof. Mohd Sapuan Salit | |
| Signature: | | |
| Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: | Dr. Nuraini bt. Abdul Aziz | |
| Signature: | | |
| Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: | Dr. Mohamad Ridzwan Ishak | |
| | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | Page |
|--|----------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| ABSTRACT ABSTRAK ACKNOWLI APPROVAL DECLARAT LIST OF TAI LIST OF AB | ION BLES GURES | | i iii v vi viii xiii xv xix |
| CHAPTER | | | |
| 1 | INTR | ODUCTION | |
| | 1.1 | Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Significance of study | 1 |
| | 1.3 | Problem statements | $\frac{2}{2}$ |
| | 1.4 | Objectives | 4 |
| | 1.5 | Scope and limitations | 5 |
| | 1.6 | Thesis Outline | 5 |
| | | | |
| 2 | LITE | RATURE REVIEW | |
| 2 | 2.1 | Composite Materials | 7 |
| | 2.2 | Natural Fiber Composites (NFCs) | 7 |
| | 2.3 | Properties of NFCs | 7 |
| | 2.4 | Natural Fibers | 9 |
| | 2.5 | Properties of Natural Fibers | 9 12 |
| | | 2.5.1 Chemical Properties of Natural Fibres | 13 |
| | | 2.5.2 Physical and Mechanical Properties of | 13 |
| | | Natural Fibres | |
| | | 2.5.3 Other Properties of Natural Fibers | 14 |
| | 2.6 | Date Palm Fibres | 14 |
| | | 2.6.1 Properties of Date palm Fibers | 15 |
| | | 2.6.2 Date Palm Composites | 17 18 |
| | 2.7 | Polymers | 18 |
| | 2.8 | Material Selection Using MCDM Tools | 21 |
| | 2.9 | Selection of NFCs and Their Constituents | 22 |
| | 2.10 | Summary | |
| | | | |
| 3 | METI | HODOLOGY | 22 |
| | 3.1 | Data Collection and Analysis | 23 |
| | 3.2 | Reliability and Validity | 23 25 |
| | 3.3 | The Research Process | 29 |
| | 3.4 | Categorization, Criteria Tabulation and Pairwise | 32 |

| | Comparisons | |
|---|---|-----|
| | 3.5 Combined Multi-Criteria Evaluation Stage | 33 |
| | Technique (CMCEST) | |
| | 3.6 Moisture Content Criterion (MCC) | 34 |
| | 3.7 Multi-Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) | 36 |
| | 3.8 The Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) | 36 |
| | 3.9 Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to | 37 |
| | Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) | |
| | ideal Solution (101515) | |
| | | |
| 4 | NATURAL FIBER REINFORCED POLYMER | 39 |
| | COMPOSITES IN INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS: | |
| | FEASIBILITY OF DATE PALM FIBERS FOR | |
| | SUSTAINABLE AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY | 20 |
| | Article 1 | 39 |
| | Acceptance Letter | 59 |
| | Copyright Permission | 60 |
| | | |
| 5 | COMBINED MULTI-CRITERIA EVALUATION | 61 |
| | STAGE TECHNIQUE AS AN AGRO WASTE | |
| | EVALUATION INDICATOR FOR POLYMERIC | |
| | COMPOSITES: DATE PALM FIBERS AS A CASE | |
| | STUDY | |
| | Article 2 | 61 |
| | Acceptance Letter | 73 |
| | Copyright Permission | 74 |
| | | |
| 6 | A NOVEL EVALUATION TOOL FOR ENHANCING | 75 |
| U | THE SELECTION OF NATURAL FIBERS FOR | 75 |
| | POLYMERIC COMPOSITES BASED ON FIBER | |
| | MOISTURE CONTENT CRITERION | |
| | Article 3 | 75 |
| | Acceptance Letter | 89 |
| | Copyright Permission | 90 |
| | | |
| 7 | | 91 |
| 7 | A MODEL FOR EVALUATING AND DETERMINING | 91 |
| | THE MOST APPROPRIATE POLYMER MATRIX | |
| | TYPE FOR NATURAL FIBER COMPOSITES | 91 |
| | Article 4 Acceptance Letter | 107 |
| | Copyright Permission | 108 |
| | Copyright I chinosion | |
| 0 | | 100 |
| 8 | DECISION MAKING MODEL FOR OPTIMAL | 109 |
| | REINFORCEMENT CONDITION OF NATURAL | |

| | | e 5 otance Letter right Permission | 109 128 129 |
|------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|
| 9 | | CLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR | 130 |
| | 9.1 | URE RESEARCH Conclusions | 130 |
| | 9.1 | Highlighting of the Research Output | 131 |
| | 9.2 | Recommendations for Future Research | 132 |
| DEEDEN | TEG. | | 100 |
| REFEREN(| | | 133 |
| APPENDIC | | | 146 |
| BIODATA (| OF STUD | ENT | 164 |
| LIST OF PU | J BLICAT | IONS | 165 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Mechanical properties of various natural fibers/polypropylene composites (* refers to treated composites). | 10 |
| 2.2 | Chemical compositions of some natural fibers [42]. | 13 |
| 2.3 | Properties of some natural and synthetic fibers [42]. | 14 |
| 2.4 | Some weight percentage of chemical composition of various kinds of date palm fibers. | 16 |
| 2.5 | Some physical and mechanical properties of the date palm fiber [53-55]. | 17 |
| 2.6 | Comparison between different MCDM methods. | 20 |
| 3.1 | List of experts who had participated in the current work. | 25 |
| 4.1 | Criteria affect the selection of products made from natural fiber composite materials on fiber level. | 46 |
| 4.2 | Criteria affect the selection of products made from natural fiber composite materials on polymer base (matrix) level. | 46 |
| 4.3 | Criteria affect the selection of products made from natural fiber composite materials on composite characteristics level. | 47 |
| 4.4 | Criteria affect the selection of products made from natural fiber composite materials on both general and specific composite performance levels. | 48 |
| 4.5 | The compiled data of the natural fibers used in this study [3, 5, 10, 51, 53-55, 98-100, 102]. | 49 |
| 5.1 | Property range and average values of the considered natural fiber types. | 65 |
| 5.2 | Calculated specific properties and the cost ratios. | 66 |
| 5.3 | Calculated specific properties / cost ratios. | 66 |
| 6.1 | Properties of natural fiber composites. | 80 |
| 6.2 | Detailed calculations for predicting the relative performance of fibers regarding the elongation to break property with the mcc evaluation tool. | 83 |

| 0.3 | different fibers [132]. | 86 |
|------|--|-----|
| 7.1 | The Random Index (RI). | 95 |
| 7.2 | Criteria items used in building the AHP decision making model for this work. | 97 |
| 7.3 | Summary of the alternatives' priorities regarding the rest of the mode's sub-criteria. | 103 |
| 7.4 | Ranking of alternatives after altering the weights of the model factors. | 105 |
| 8.1 | The AHP intensity of importance scale. | 114 |
| 8.2 | The modified average random consistency index (RI). | 116 |
| 8.3 | Decision matrix for candidate date palm fiber / Epoxy composite materials. | 118 |
| 8.4 | The relative priorities of the alternatives with respect to the desired evaluation criteria. | 122 |
| 8.5 | Final desired ranking of the alternatives that maximize the composite tensile properties using AHP method. | 124 |
| 8.6 | The weighted normalized judgment matrix for TOPSIS analysis. | 124 |
| 8.7 | Results of TOPSIS analysis method. | 125 |
| 8.8 | The final desired ranking of the alternatives that maximize the composite tensile properties using TOPSIS method. | 125 |
| 10.1 | Pairwise comparison matrices used to capture experts' feedback in determining relative merits of polymers regarding various criteria. | 147 |
| 10.2 | Pairwise comparison matrices used to capture experts' feedback in determining relative importance of the criteria used in the polymer selection model. | 154 |
| 10.3 | Consistency tests for experts' feedback regarding alternatives in the polymer selection model. | 156 |
| 10.4 | Consistency tests for experts' feedback regarding the model main factors. | 157 |
| 10.5 | Sample of filled judgment matrix of the sub-factors in the Physical Properties main criterion. | 157 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Fiber reinforced plastic market in EU in 2010 [8]. | 8 |
| 2.2 | Classification of natural fibers [37]. | 11 |
| 2.3 | Structure of a plant fiber [38]. | 12 |
| 2.4 | Chemical structure of cellulose. | 12 |
| 2.5 | (a) typical date palm tree, (b) fruit, (c) fibers on the tree, and (d) fibers separated. | 15 |
| 2.6 | A typical SEM micrograph of fracture surface of date palm fiber/polyester composite [59]. | 17 |
| 2.7 | Schematic structures of thermoplastic (a), and thermoset (b). | 18 |
| 3.1 | Steps of the present research and integration of the work. | 32 |
| 3.2 | Illustration of CMCEST. | 34 |
| 3.3 | Flow chart of the proposed MCC evaluation tool | 35 |
| 4.1 | Schematic diagram of the levels of criteria affecting the selection of the natural fiber composite materials. | 44 |
| 4.2 | Comparison between the date palm's density with other types of natural fibers used in automotive industry. | 50 |
| 4.3 | Comparing the aspect ratio (L/D) between the date palm fiber and other natural fibers. | 51 |
| 4.4 | Comparing the date palm's thermal conductivity with other types of natural fiber. | 52 |
| 4.5 | Cellulose and lignin contents of coir, date palm, hemp, and sisal fibers. | 53 |
| 4.6 | The annual world production of selected types of natural fibers. | 54 |
| 4.7 | Comparing the cost of date palm fibers with respect to other fiber types used in automotive industry. | 55 |
| 4.8 | Comparing date palm fiber's elongation to break percentage property with respect to other natural fiber types used in automotive industry. | 56 |

| 4.9 | Comparing the date palm fiber's specific modulus of elasticity per cost ratio with other selected types. | 57 |
|-----|---|----|
| 5.1 | Flow chart and stages of the proposed combined multi-criteria evaluation stage technique. | 64 |
| 5.2 | Comparison of natural fibers with respect to their densities (mean \pm standard deviation). | 67 |
| 5.3 | Comparison of natural fibers with respect to the cost ratio. | 67 |
| 5.4 | Comparison of natural fibers with respect to their tensile strengths (mean \pm standard deviation). | 68 |
| 5.5 | Comparison of natural fibers with respect to their specific tensile strengths. | 69 |
| 5.6 | Comparison of the natural fiber regarding the tensile strength to cost ratio as a combined mechanical-physical-economic criteria. | 70 |
| 5.7 | Comparison of the natural fiber regarding the tensile modulus to cost ratio as a combined mechanical-physical-economic criteria. | 71 |
| 5.8 | Comparison of the natural fiber regarding the elongation to cost ratio as a combined mechanical-physical-economic criteria. | 71 |
| 6.1 | Flow chart of the proposed MCC evaluation tool. | 79 |
| 6.2 | Average values of moisture contents for various fibers. | 81 |
| 6.3 | Elongation to break of various fibers. | 82 |
| 6.4 | Predicted relative performance reduction regarding the elongation to break property compared with coir fiber using MCC. | 83 |
| 6.5 | Predicted relative performance of tensile strength relative to flax fiber utilizing MCC. | 84 |
| 6.6 | Predicted relative performance of tensile modulus utilizing MCC. | 85 |
| 7.1 | Schematic diagram of the role of AHP in selecting of the proper polymer type for DPF. | 94 |
| 7.2 | The AHP model for selecting the most appropriate polymer base type for the DPF. | 98 |

| 7.3 | The priorities and inconsistency of sub-criteria to the main criterion for the Physical Properties criterion. | 99 |
|------|--|-----|
| 7.4 | The main factors contribution (current weights) in the developed model. | 99 |
| 7.5 | Priorities of the alternatives regarding Physical Properties and Mechanical Properties main criteria. | 100 |
| 7.6 | Priorities of the alternatives with respect to Chemical / Technical Properties and Environmental and Other Properties main criteria. | 101 |
| 7.7 | Priorities of the alternatives regarding all sub-criteria in the Mechanical properties main criterion. | 102 |
| 7.8 | The overall ranking of the model's alternatives with respect to the main goal. | 103 |
| 7.9 | One option of the first scenario of the sensitivity analysis adopted in this work, the new assigned weights (left) and the resulting scores of the alternatives (right). | 104 |
| 8.1 | Flow chart of the steps used in this work. | 113 |
| 8.2 | Variations in the tensile testing stress- strain curves of (40 mm L, 0.5mm D) single Date palm / Epoxy fiber pull out treated with 6% NaOH concentration [57]. | 119 |
| 8.3 | Typical questionnaire for determining the relative weights of the main evaluation criteria. | 120 |
| 8.4 | Results of contributions of each criterion to the main goal. | 121 |
| 8.5 | The detailed judgment matrix of the alternatives regarding maximum tensile strength. | 121 |
| 8.6 | The detailed judgment matrix of the alternatives regarding maximum shear strength. | 122 |
| 8.7 | The detailed judgment matrix of the alternatives regarding elongation to break. | 122 |
| 8.8 | Priorities of all candidate reinforcement conditions with respect to the whole evaluation criteria simultaneously. | 123 |
| 8.9 | The trend in alternatives ranking in both AHP and TOPSIS methods. | 126 |
| 8.10 | The relative performance and priorities of first five potential alternatives. | 127 |

| 8.11 | The distribution of the evaluation criteria within the ideal positive and ideal negative solutions in TOPSIS method (a) MTS (b) MSS and (c) EL. | 127 |
|------|---|-----|
| 10.1 | The trend in alternatives ranking in both AHP and TOPSIS methods. | 159 |



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHP Analytical Hierarchy Process
AIP Acoustic Insulation Properties

C Cost

CC Composite Characteristics

CDEC Combined-Double-Evaluation-Criterion

CI Consistency Index CM Curing Time

CMCEST Combined Multi-Criteria Evaluation Stage

Technique

CP Composite Performance
CR Consistency Ratio
CT Curing Temperature

CTE Coefficient of Thermal Expansion
CTEC Combined-Triple-Evaluation-Criterion

CTPPM Chemical / Technical Properties of the Polymer

Matrix

D Density

DM Decision Making
DPF Date Palm Fiber
EB Elongation to Break
EM Elastic Modulus

EOPPM Environmental and Other Properties of the Polymer

Matrix

FD Fiber Diameter
FL Fiber Length
FT Fracture Toughness

GTT Glass Transition Temperature
HDPE High Density Polyethylene

IS Impact Strength

LDPE Low Density Polyethylene
LHN Level of Hydrophobic Nature

MC Moisture Content

MCC Moisture Content Criterion
MCDM Multi Criteria Decision Making

MPPM Mechanical Properties of the Polymer Matrix

MSS Maximum Shear Stress
MTS Maximum Tensile Strength
NaOH Sodium Hydroxide
NFCs Natural Fiber Composites
NFP Natural Fiber Properties

NFRPC Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites

NOR Normalized

PBP Polymer Base Properties

PP Polypropylene

PPPM Physical Properties of the Polymer Matrix

RC Resistance of Chemicals

RI Random Index

SAW Simple Additive Weighted SEC Single-Evaluation-Criterion SEM Scanning Electron Microscope SR Sunlight and UV Resistance STService Temperature

TC Thermal Conductivity

Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to **TOPSIS**

Ideal Solution

VIKOR Vise Kriterijumska Optimizacija Kompromisno

Resenje

W Wettability

WPM Weighted Product Method Weather Resistance WR YS Yield Strength

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Natural fibers have been utilized as reinforcements to produce composite materials since long time ago. It was reported that both linen and hemp were used to reinforce ceramics as early as 6500 BC [1]. Since that time, natural fiber composites (NFCs) have been implemented in different applications for human beings. While E-glass and other synthetic fibers dominate today's fiber reinforced polymer market, several factors have led to a renewed interest in such type of bio-based composites. Such factors include awareness of the scarcity of non-renewable natural resources, high petroleum prices, demands for environmental sustainability, and reducing the amount of environmental pollutions. The increasing number of publications on bio-based composites during the recent years [2, 3], is an obvious indication for the ever-increasing interest in such composites.

More precisely, natural fiber composites have recently emphasized to be potential ecofriendly cheap alternatives for the traditional composites due to their desired features. This type of materials, where plant fibers like coir, hemp, jute, sisal etc. are used as reinforcements for polymer matrices, possesses several distinguished characteristics including low costs, light weights, high specific properties, ease of manufacturing, recyclability as well as degradability features [4, 5]. Such advantages of NFCs, in addition to the tremendous needs toward achieving better environmental performance indices [6] as well as enhancing the sustainable development in modern societies [7] have revealed the eligibility of natural fibers and their composites for various industrial applications. It was reported that over 95% of the commercially produced NFCs in EU were mainly utilized in non-structural automotive components, particularly, the interior ones such as doors and instrumental panels [1, 8]. However, NFCs are being considered in other various applications [1, 8-10] like: furniture and consumer goods (such as packaging, cases, helmets, tables, chairs, ironing boards and urns), construction and infrastructure (such as beams, roof panels and bridges), sports and leisure (such as bicycle frames, tennis rockets and canoes), in addition to others like wind energy, aerospace, marine, bio-engineering and environmental applications [3, 11, 12]. In all such applications, plant fibers are usually used as reinforcements and fillers rather than the traditional synthetic ones due to their advantages over the later. The available natural fibers include, rice husk, cotton, pineapple leaf, bagasse, flax, wood, hemp, coir, oil palm, date palm kenaf, sisal, jute as well as others. The advantages of such natural fibers include their good thermal and acoustical insulation properties, low cost, light weight, biodegradability characteristics, wide availability, eco-friendly, energy recovery, reduced tool wear in machining operations, CO2 sequestration enhanced, and reduced dermal and respiratory irritation [3, 5, 10, 13]. In fact, different industrial applications have used various natural fiber types according to certain limited criteria such as availability, density, and cost, in addition to some mechanical properties like fiber's tensile strength, fiber's tensile modulus and elongation to break criteria. Hence, there are still wide different natural fiber types that are not properly valorized or commercially utilized.

1.2 Significance of Study

Based on the fact that the available natural fibers have different capabilities and properties from various chemical, physical, and mechanical standpoints, and because the final features of NFCs strongly depend on the integrated characteristics of their constituents (fillers and matrices), several factors and constrains may affect the proper selection of the NFCs' constituents to form desirable composites for a particular application. This in order makes the selection of NFCs and their constituents is a matter of multi criteria decision making (MCDM) problem where appropriate keen decisions have to be taken not only to maximize the composites desirable characteristics, but also to save both money and efforts. Consequently, developing evaluation tools and models to proper evaluate the capabilities of the available natural fibers in one hand, and to develop the selection system of the natural fiber composites and their constituents on the other, are of paramount importance to be conducted. This in order, would not only enhance establishing the selection system in the field of natural fiber composites, but also expand the sustainable design possibilities for future green products. Moreover, better evaluations and selections of the natural fibers, with emphasis on a particular type, through proper evaluation tools and models, would lead not only to discover new potential natural fiber types to improve the composite's desirable characteristics, but also to help finding proper environmental waste management practices.

1.3 Problem Statements

Considering the tremendous need and awareness of the environmental impact issues and the industrial sustainability, the compatibility between the available natural resources and the sustainable industry has been recently highly emphasized. One of the most feasible alternatives for the industry to maintain its sustainability in one hand, and to achieve better environmental performance on the other, is the implementation of the natural fiber composites (NFCs) in their designs and products [2, 6, 11]. However, the variety in agro waste sources dramatically affects their qualities and capabilities from different standpoints, which lead to affect the final performance of their natural fiber composites. Such varieties in natural fibers make a particular fiber type is more suitable for a certain polymer matrix as well as an application rather than others.

Moreover, there was an extreme shortage in methodologies and tools for evaluating different constituents of NFCs, where only little studies were found considering the selection of natural fiber composites for industrial applications. This is basically due to the conflicting criteria involved in the selection process that make it a complex matter. Therefore, an improper way in evaluating natural fiber composites and their constituents relative to comprehensive desired criteria was indicated, which leads to disregard potential natural fiber types in industrial applications and keeps them no more than an environmental waste problem issue. On consequence, such improper evaluations reduce the possibilities of maximizing the desirable characteristics of NFCs for a particular application and lead to destroy the proper linkage between the

sustainable design concepts and the industry. This also negatively affects the implementation of NFCs in various applications. Therefore, efforts for developing evaluation tools and methodologies to enhance the selection of NFCs and their constituents are of tremendous need.

Furthermore, only limited numbers of the available natural fiber types are commercially utilized in industrial applications while other plenty types, such as the date palm fiber (DPF), are not properly valorized. This is due to the facts that: 1) proper evaluations of natural fibers for industrial applications have not been adequately discussed regarding wide range of desired criteria, and 2) the selection of the natural fiber types for making NFCs is still depending on the researchers' estimations or limited evaluation standpoints. Thus, a lack of information regarding selecting the proper natural fiber type for NFCs was also indicated. On the other hand, to optimize the performance of natural fiber composites, both physio-chemical and mechanical behavior knowledge for their constituents (natural fibers and polymers) are required. This is due to the fact that the final properties of NFCs depend on the matrix type, fiber type, and their interfacial bonding, where the compatibility and reinforcement efficiency between the composite's constituents are necessary. However, based on the literature, there were: a) No clear systematic and comprehensive classification of the factors and criteria that affect the selection process of the natural fiber composites and their constituents. b) Lack of information regarding proper evaluations of the natural fibers' capabilities considering wide and/ or combined beneficial criteria. c) Lack of information regarding precise decisions for selecting NFCs and their constituents for applications with conflicting criteria. d) Lack of information regarding ranking and predicting the potential and behavior of various natural fiber types under wet conditions to enhance their selection process for particular applications. e) Lack of information regarding evaluating the available polymer types for a particular natural fiber and application considering wide evaluation criteria simultaneously. f) Lack of information for selecting the most appropriate reinforcement conditions of a particular composite to maximize its overall performance regarding a set of beneficial evaluation criteria simultaneously.

In addition, it was noticed that despite of the growing need for implementing decision-making models as well as other beneficial tools to enhance achieving more sustainable societies [7]. There were no systematic decision making models that can utilize experts' knowledge in the field of natural fiber composites to predict the appropriateness of NFCs and their constituents regarding conflicting evaluation criteria to maximize the desired characteristics and performance.

On the other hand, despite of the availability of several computer database packages and commercial material selection software types like Cambridge Engineering Selector (CES), commercial Computer Aided Design (CAD) environment, expert systems, knowledge based systems (KBS) and Application Programming Interface (API) modules that facilitate demonstrating different material properties for the designers [14, 15], no distinguished evaluation methodologies are existed in such packages neither for traditional materials nor natural fiber composite ones [16-19]. They have only management systems which recover and manipulate the data, and graphical user interface that present the property data as material selection charts. For instance, in

order to select a material with such CES software, a series of selection stages have to be performed. At each stage, either a user-defined functions of material properties (like ultimate tensile strength per density) or a pair of material properties have to be specified as the axes for generating graphs with these properties, and thus, all materials contained in the database with applicable data entries are plotted on the graph [20]. Then the materials which lie in the area that satisfies the selection criterion are considered to have 'passed' the selection stage. After that, several stages should be performed to quickly narrow the field of possible potential materials to a manageable few. This in order makes such software types no more than fast material screening tools [21]. However, due to the large conflicting criteria involved in the material selection, proper evaluations of the available materials and their constituents (particularly for NFCs) are of paramount importance to be performed to properly rank the material choices for identifying the optimal one(s) [18]. However, this not practically occurs in such available commercial software. Moreover, any type of material screening regarding various evaluations through "stages passing" scheme would lead to ignoring potential types of materials with better performance than a reference one. More and above that, non-concurrent combined material property evaluations will decelerate the convergence in material screening. Therefore, there are practical limitations in the available material selection systems regarding evaluating and ranking the available material types for conflicting desirable characteristics. Thus, new proper evaluation methodologies are still needed particularly for the natural fiber composites to develop and enhance their proper selection system for various industrial applications.

Consequently, the intention of this work is to develop evaluation tools and models to properly evaluate the capabilities of the available natural fiber types in one hand, and to develop the selection process of the natural fiber composites and their constituents to improve their desirable characteristics for further industrial implementations on the other. Moreover, its purpose is also extended to participate discovering the potential of the date palm fiber type for natural fiber composites compared to commonly used ones through the developed evaluation tools. This is to serve as a tool to support benchmarking toward establishing for better evaluation of the available natural fibers and discovering the potential of other new fiber types in order to expand the sustainable design possibilities and help finding proper environmental waste management practices.

1.4 Objectives

The objectives of this research work are to:

- 1. Build a categorization framework for the criteria and factors that affect natural fiber composites and their products.
- Develop new evaluation tools for better evaluation of the available natural fibers' capabilities to enhance their selection process for polymeric based composites.
- 3. Build decision making selection models to enhance better evaluations and selections of the natural fiber composites and their constituents considering simultaneous multiple evaluation criteria.
- 4. Utilize the developed evaluation tools and models to discover the potential of the date palm fibers for natural fiber composites.

1.5 Scope and Limitations

Although there are many sources of natural fibers, this research is limited to study the potential of using plant fibers in the natural fiber composites. The considered fibers are limited to some of those commonly used in industrial applications in addition to the date palm fiber, namely; coir, flax, jute, hemp, kenaf, oil palm and sisal. On the other hand, due to the undesirable technical drawback of natural fibers such as the low permissible processing temperature, the considered polymer alternatives in this study were some of those that were found suitable for the date palm fibers to avoid the possibility of any lignocellulosic degradation and to prevent volatile emissions that could hurt composite characteristics and were limited to polypropylene, polyester, epoxy, high density polyethylene, and low density polyethylene. For each considered fiber and polymer type limited numbers of properties were utilized in the study. The considered properties of fibers were limited in this study to: density, length, diameter, length to diameter ratio, thermal conductivity, cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin contents, moisture content, availability, raw fiber cost per weight, tensile strength, tensile modulus, elongation to break, specific tensile modulus, specific tensile strength, specific elongation to break, maximum shear stress, cost ratio, specific modulus of elasticity to cost ratio, specific tensile strength to cost ratio, specific elongation to cost ratio, and the governmental support and social positive view. On the other hand, the properties of polymers were limited to: density, thermal conductivity, coefficient of thermal expansion, glass transition temperature, acoustic insulation properties, elastic modulus, fracture toughness, elongation to break, yield strength, impact strength, curing temperature, curing pressure, curing time, resistance of chemicals, level of hydrophobic nature, weather resistance, service temperature, sunlight and UV resistance, wettability, and the polymer cost. For comparison purposes, the assigned values for all of the considered properties and criteria were either utilized as the average of the reported values in peer reviewed journals, or their relative merits were surveyed as worldwide experts' feedback. In addition, some ratios were calculated based on the average reported values of the considered properties. For fibers that have more than one origin, the average value of the different origins was considered regarding a particular property. In developing the decision making models, only the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) as a decision making tool was utilized. However, the Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) method was also implemented in the case of selecting the best reinforcement conditions of DPF/ Epoxy composites for general applications to increase the validity of the gained results.

1.6 Thesis Outline

The thesis consists of nine chapters. This chapter; Chapter 1, gives a general introduction to the considered subjects in addition to the significance of the work, problem statement, objectives, scope and limitations and the thesis outline itself. Chapter 2 provides a general literature survey about natural fiber composites and their constituents with useful physical, mechanical, chemical as well as other properties of natural fibers. It also provides a general literature about material selection using multicriteria decision making methods as well as the ways of selecting natural fiber composites. Besides, the methodologies by which the current work was approached are

expressed in details in Chapter 3. Moreover, the central parts of the current work are illustrated in other five chapters, Chapter 4 to Chapter 8, where each chapter contains an added value step research toward accomplishing the objectives of the current thesis. That is: Chapter 4 presents a categorization frame work for the criteria and factors that affect natural fiber composites and their products. It also addresses the gap in evaluating natural fibers as well as investigates the feasibility of the date palm fiber type for the automotive industry compared with commonly used fibers. Chapter 5 introduces a combined multi-criteria evaluation scheme to enhance better evaluations of the available agro waste fibers to reduce the gap addressed in the previous chapter. In addition, Chapter 6 comes to support the selection process of the natural fibers for the natural fiber composites under wet conditions by presenting a novel evaluation tool for predicting and evaluating the natural fibers' performance under the effect of water absorption phenomenon based on the moisture content criterion. Furthermore, to integrate efforts in the current work toward achieving a real novel progress in the field of natural fiber composites' selection, Chapter 7 introduces a decision making selection model for evaluating different polymer types considering twenty various evaluation criteria simultaneously, in order to determine and select the most appropriate matrix type for the natural fiber composites. In this chapter a model for selecting the most appropriate matrix type for the date palm fiber was built and discussed in details to serve as a benchmarking tool and a guide for polymer selections in the field of natural fiber composites. Chapter 8 completes the current work's objectives as well as the desired aspects of enhancing the natural fiber composites selection system. More precisely, it presents another benchmarking model with an integrated decision scheme for evaluating a particular composite type and selecting the optimal reinforcement conditions that can maximize its desired characteristics with respect to a combination of various conflicting criteria simultaneously. Finally, general conclusions and recommendations for future research were allocated in Chapter 9.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pickering K. Properties and Performance of Natural-Fibre Composites. Boca Raton: Elsevier; 2008.
- [2] Khalid Rehman Hakeem, Mohammad Jawaid, Rashid U. Biomass and Bioenergy: Processing and Properties. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2014.
- [3] Faruk O, Bledzki AK, Fink H-P, Sain M. Biocomposites reinforced with natural fibers: 2000-2010. Progress in Polymer Science. 2012;37:1552-96.
- [4] Jawaid M, Abdul Khalil H. Cellulosic/synthetic fibre reinforced polymer hybrid composites: A review. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2011;86:1-18.
- [5] Pilla S. Handbook of Bioplastics and Biocomposites Engineering Applications. Salem: Scrivener Publishing; 2011.
- [6] Blume T, Walther M. The end-of-life vehicle ordinance in the German automotive industry–corporate sense making illustrated. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2013;56:29-38.
- [7] Bonilla SH, Almeida CM, Giannetti BF, Huisingh D. The roles of cleaner production in the sustainable development of modern societies: an introduction to this special issue. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2010;18:1-5.
- [8] Shah DU. Developing plant fibre composites for structural applications by optimising composite parameters: a critical review. Journal of Materials Science. 2013;48:6083-107.
- [9] Kalia S., Kaith B. S., Kaur I. Cellulose Fibers: Bio- and Nano-Polymer Composites Green Chemistry and Technology. Heidelberg: Springer; 2011.
- [10] Dittenber DB, GangaRao HV. Critical review of recent publications on use of natural composites in infrastructure. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing. 2011;43:1419-29.
- [11] Cheung H-y, Ho M-p, Lau K-t, Cardona F, Hui D. Natural fibre-reinforced composites for bioengineering and environmental engineering applications. Composites Part B: Engineering. 2009;40:655-63.
- [12] Brøndsted P, Lilholt H, Lystrup A. Composite materials for wind power turbine blades. Annual Review of Materials Research. 2005;35:505-38.
- [13] Alves C, Ferrão P, Silva A, Reis L, Freitas M, Rodrigues L, et al. Ecodesign of automotive components making use of natural jute fiber composites. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2010;18:313-27.
- [14] Ali A, BA S. M.: Java based expert system for selection of natural fibre composite materials. J Food Agric Environ. 2013;11:1871-7.

- [15] Smith C, Wright P, Séquin C. The manufacturing advisory service: web-based process and material selection. International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing, 2003;16:373-81.
- [16] Zha XF. A web-based advisory system for process and material selection in concurrent product design for a manufacturing environment. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology. 2005;25:233-43.
- [17] Ashby MF. Materials Selection in Mechanical Design. Cambridge: Butterworth-Heinemann: 2005.
- [18] Jahan A, Ismail MY, Sapuan S, Mustapha F. Material screening and choosing methods—A review. Materials & Design. 2010;31:696-705.
- [19] Djassemi M. A computer-aided approach to material selection and environmental auditing. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management. 2012;23:704-16.
- [20] Rashedi A, Sridhar I, Tseng KJ. Multi-objective material selection for wind turbine blade and tower: Ashby's approach. Materials & Design. 2012;37:521-32.
- [21] Karana E, Hekkert P, Kandachar P. Material considerations in product design: A survey on crucial material aspects used by product designers. Materials & Design. 2008;29:1081-9.
- [22] Chawla KK. Composite Materials: Science and Engineering. New York: Springer; 2012.
- [23] Sapuan SM, Pua F-l, El-Shekeil YA, AL-Oqla FM. Mechanical properties of soil buried kenaf fibre reinforced thermoplastic polyurethane composites. Materials & Design. 2013;50: 467–70.
- [24] George G, Joseph K, Nagarajan E, Tomlal Jose E, George K. Dielectric behaviour of PP/jute yarn commingled composites: Effect of fibre content, chemical treatments, temperature and moisture. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing. 2013;47:12-21.
- [25] Joshi SV, Drzal L, Mohanty A, Arora S. Are natural fiber composites environmentally superior to glass fiber reinforced composites? Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing. 2004;35:371-6.
- [26] Holbery J, Houston D. Natural-fiber-reinforced polymer composites in automotive applications. Journal of the Minerals Metals and Materials Society. 2006;58:80-6.
- [27] Mohanty A, Misra M, Drzal L. Sustainable bio-composites from renewable resources: opportunities and challenges in the green materials world. Journal of Polymers and the Environment. 2002;10:19-26.
- [28] Arbelaiz A, Fernandez B, Ramos J, Retegi A, Llano-Ponte R, Mondragon I. Mechanical properties of short flax fibre bundle/polypropylene composites: Influence of matrix/fibre modification, fibre content, water uptake and recycling. Composites Science and Technology. 2005;65:1582-92.

- [29] El-Sabbagh A. Effect of coupling agent on natural fibre in natural fibre/polypropylene composites on mechanical and thermal behaviour. Composites Part B: Engineering. 2014;57:126-35.
- [30] Rahman MR, Huque MM, Islam MN, Hasan M. Improvement of physicomechanical properties of jute fiber reinforced polypropylene composites by post-treatment. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing. 2008;39:1739-47.
- [31] Sudhakara P, Jagadeesh D, Wang Y, Prasad CV, Devi A, Balakrishnan G, et al. Fabrication of (Borassus) fruit lignocellulose fiber/PP composites and comparison with jute, sisal and coir fibers. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2013;98:1002-10.
- [32] Zampaloni M, Pourboghrat F, Yankovich S, Rodgers B, Moore J, Drzal L, et al. Kenaf natural fiber reinforced polypropylene composites: A discussion on manufacturing problems and solutions. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing. 2007;38:1569-80.
- [33] Sanadi AR, Caulfield DF, Jacobson RE, Rowell RM. Renewable agricultural fibers as reinforcing fillers in plastics: Mechanical properties of kenaf fiber-polypropylene composites. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research. 1995;34:1889-96.
- [34] Law T, Ishak Z. Water absorption and dimensional stability of short kenaf fiber-filled polypropylene composites treated with maleated polypropylene. Journal of Applied Polymer Science. 2011;120:563-72.
- [35] Asumani O, Reid R, Paskaramoorthy R. The effects of alkali–silane treatment on the tensile and flexural properties of short fibre non-woven kenaf reinforced polypropylene composites. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing. 2012;43:1431-40.
- [36] Joseph P, Rabello MS, Mattoso L, Joseph K, Thomas S. Environmental effects on the degradation behaviour of sisal fibre reinforced polypropylene composites. Composites Science and Technology. 2002;62:1357-72.
- [37] Anuar H, Zuraida A. Improvement in mechanical properties of reinforced thermoplastic elastomer composite with kenaf bast fibre. Composites Part B: Engineering. 2011;42:462-5.
- [38] Azwa Z, Yousif B, Manalo A, Karunasena W. A review on the degradability of polymeric composites based on natural fibres. Materials & Design. 2013;47:424-42.
- [39] Kim J-K, Pal K. Recent Advances in the Processing of Wood-Plastic Composites. Berlin: Springer; 2010.
- [40] Thakur VK, Thakur MK. Processing and characterization of natural cellulose fibers/thermoset polymer composites. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2014;109:102-17.

- [41] Mir SS, Nafsin N, Hasan M, Hasan N, Hassan A. Improvement of physico-mechanical properties of coir-polypropylene biocomposites by fiber chemical treatment. Materials & Design. 2013;52:251-7.
- [42] Dicker MP, Duckworth PF, Baker AB, Francois G, Hazzard MK, Weaver PM. Green composites: A review of material attributes and complementary applications. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing. 2014;56:280-9.
- [43] Al Khanbashi A, Al Kaabi K, Hammami A. Date palm fibers as polymeric matrix reinforcement: Fiber characterization. Polymer Composites. 2005;26:486-97.
- [44] John MJ, Thomas S. Biofibres and biocomposites. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2008;71:343-64.
- [45] Ahmed IA, Ahmed AWK, Robinson RK. Chemical composition of date varieties as influenced by the stage of ripening. Food Chemistry. 1995;54:305-9.
- [46] Chandrasekaran M, Bahkali AH. Valorization of date palm (Phoenix dactylifera) fruit processing by-products and wastes using bioprocess technology–Review. Saudi journal of biological sciences. 2013;20:105-20.
- [47] Jain SM. Recent advances in date palm tissue culture and mutagenesis. Acta Horticulturae. 2007;736:205.
- [48] Sbiai A, Maazouz A, Fleury E, Sautereau H, Kaddami H. Short date palm tree fibers/polyepoxy composites prepared using RTM process: effect of tempo mediated oxydation of the fibers. BioResources. 2010;5:672-89.
- [49] Mohanty JR, Das SN, Das HC, Swain SK. Effective mechanical properties of polyvinylalcohol biocomposites with reinforcement of date palm leaf fibers. Polymer Composites. 2013;34:959-66.
- [50] Mirmehdi SM, Zeinaly F, Dabbagh F. Date palm wood flour as filler of linear low-density polyethylene. Composites Part B: Engineering. 2014;56:137-41.
- [51] Nasser RA, Al-Mefarrej HA. Midribs of date palm as a raw material for woodcement composite ndustry in Saudi Arabia. World Applied Sciences Journal. 2011:15:1651-8.
- [52] Saadaoui N, Rouilly A, Fares K, Rigal L. Characterization of date palm lignocellulosic by-products and self-bonded composite materials obtained thereof. Materials & Design. 2013;50:302-8.
- [53] Abdal-hay A, Suardana NPG, Jung DY, Choi K-S, Lim JK. Effect of diameters and alkali treatment on the tensile properties of date palm fiber reinforced epoxy composites. International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing. 2012;13:1199-206.

- [54] Agoudjil B, Benchabane A, Boudenne A, Ibos L, Fois M. Renewable materials to reduce building heat loss: Characterization of date palm wood. Energy and Buildings. 2011;43:491-7.
- [55] Kriker A, Bali A, Debicki G, Bouziane M, Chabannet M. Durability of date palm fibres and their use as reinforcement in hot dry climates. Cement and Concrete Composites. 2008;30:639-48.
- [56] Dehghani A, Madadi Ardekani S, Al-Maadeed MA, Hassan A, Wahit MU. Mechanical and thermal properties of date palm leaf fiber reinforced recycled poly (ethylene terephthalate) composites. Materials & Design. 2013;52:841-8.
- [57] Shalwan A, Yousif B. Investigation on interfacial adhesion of date palm/epoxy using fragmentation technique. Materials & Design. 2014;53:928-37.
- [58] Ibrahim H, Farag M, Megahed H, Mehanny S. Characteristics of starch-based biodegradable composites reinforced with date palm and flax fibers. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2014;101:11-9.
- [59] Al-Kaabi K, Al-Khanbashi A, Hammami A. Date palm fibers as polymeric matrix reinforcement: DPF/polyester composite properties. Polymer Composites. 2005;26:604-13.
- [60] Biron M. Thermosets and Composites: Technical Information for Plastics Users. Oxford: Elsevier; 2003.
- [61] Wirawan R. Thermo-Mechanical Properties of Sugarcane Bagasse-Filled Polyvinyl Chloride Composites [PhD Thesis]: Universiti Putra Malaysia; 2010.
- [62] Greco A, Musardo C, Maffezzoli A. Flexural creep behaviour of PP matrix woven composite. Composites Science and Technology. 2007;67:1148-58.
- [63] Dweiri F, Al-Oqla FM. Material selection using analytical hierarchy process. International Journal of Computer Applications in Technology. 2006;26:182-9.
- [64] Dieter GE. ASM Handbook, Materials Selection and Design. Ohio: ASM International; 1997.
- [65] Shah DU. Natural fibre composites: Comprehensive Ashby-type materials selection charts. Materials & Design. 2014;62:21-31.
- [66] Sapuan SM, Kho JY, Zainudin ES, Leman Z, Ali B, Hambali A. Materials selection for natural fiber reinforced polymer composites using analytical hierarchy process. Indian Journal of Engineering & Materials Sciences. 2011;18:255-67.
- [67] Rathod MK, Kanzaria HV. A methodological concept for phase change material selection based on multiple criteria decision analysis with and without fuzzy environment. Materials & Design. 2011;32:3578-85.
- [68] Rao R, Patel B. A subjective and objective integrated multiple attribute decision making method for material selection. Materials & Design. 2010;31:4738-47.

- [69] Opricovic S, Tzeng G-H. Compromise solution by MCDM methods: A comparative analysis of VIKOR and TOPSIS. European Journal of Operational Research. 2004:156:445-55.
- [70] Jahanshahloo GR, Lotfi FH, Izadikhah M. An algorithmic method to extend TOPSIS for decision-making problems with interval data. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2006;175:1375-84.
- [71] Rao R, Davim J. A decision-making framework model for material selection using a combined multiple attribute decision-making method. International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology. 2008;35:751-60.
- [72] Wang T-C, Chang T-H. Application of TOPSIS in evaluating initial training aircraft under a fuzzy environment. Expert Systems with Applications. 2007;33:870-80.
- [73] Dağdeviren M, Yavuz S, Kılınç N. Weapon selection using the AHP and TOPSIS methods under fuzzy environment. Expert Systems with Applications. 2009;36:8143-51.
- [74] Hambali A, Sapuan SM, Ismail N, Nukman Y. Material selection of polymeric composite automotive bumper beam using analytical hierarchy process. Journal of Central South University of Technology. 2010;17:244-56.
- [75] Saaty TL, Vargas LG. Models, Methods, Concepts & Applications of the Analytic Hierarchy Process. New York: Springer; 2012.
- [76] Tavana M, Hatami-Marbini A. A group AHP-TOPSIS framework for human spaceflight mission planning at NASA. Expert Systems with Applications. 2011;38:13588-603.
- [77] Mansor MR, Sapuan SM, Zainudin ES, Nuraini AA, Hambali A. Hybrid natural and glass fibers reinforced polymer composites material selection using Analytical Hierarchy Process for automotive brake lever design. Materials & Design. 2013;51:484-92.
- [78] Davoodi MM, Sapuan SM, Ahmad D, Aidy A, Khalina A, Jonoobi M. Concept selection of car bumper beam with developed hybrid bio-composite material. Materials & Design. 2011;32:4857-65.
- [79] Koronis G, Silva A, Fontul M. Green composites: a review of adequate materials for automotive applications. Composites Part B, Engineering. 2013;44:120-7.
- [80] Majumdar A. Selection of raw materials in textile spinning industry using fuzzy multi-criteria decision making approach. Fibers and Polymers. 2010;11:121-7.
- [81] Majumdar A, Sarkar B, Majumdar PK. Application of analytic hierarchy process for the selection of cotton fibers. Fibers and Polymers. 2004;5:297-302.

- [82] Majumdar A, Sarkar B, Majumdar P. Determination of quality value of cotton fibre using hybrid AHP-TOPSIS method of multi-criteria decision-making. Journal of the Textile Institute. 2005;96:303-9.
- [83] Saaty TL. Decision-making with the AHP: Why is the principal eigenvector necessary. European Journal of Operational Research. 2003;145:85-91.
- [84] Wong JK, Li H. Application of the analytic hierarchy process (AHP) in multicriteria analysis of the selection of intelligent building systems. Building and Environment. 2008;43:108-25.
- [85] Ritchie J, Lewis J, Nicholls CM, Ormston R. Qualitative Research Practice: A Guide for Social Science Students and Researchers. Trowbridge: Sage; 2013.
- [86] Golafshani N. Understanding reliability and validity in qualitative research. The qualitative report. 2003;8:597-607.
- [87] Giele JZZ, Elder GH. Methods of Life Course Research: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. London: Sage Publications; 1998.
- [88] Ahmadi A. Aircraft Scheduled Maintenance Programme Development: Decision Support Methodologies and Tools [PhD Thesis]: Luleå: Luleå University of Technology; 2010.
- [89] Rao RV. Decision making in the manufacturing mnvironment msing mraph mheory and muzzy multiple mttribute mecision making methods. London: Springer; 2013.
- [90] Mela K, Tiainen T, Heinisuo M. Comparative study of multiple criteria decision making methods for building design. Advanced Engineering Informatics. 2012;26:716-26.
- [91] Saaty TL. The modern science of multicriteria decision making and its practical applications: the AHP/ANP approach. Operations Research. 2013;61:1101-18.
- [92] Aczél J, Saaty TL. Procedures for synthesizing ratio judgements. Journal of mathematical Psychology. 1983;27:93-102.
- [93] Mir A, Zitoune R, Collombet F, Bezzazi B. Study of mechanical and thermomechanical properties of jute/epoxy composite laminate. Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites. 2010;29:1669-80.
- [94] Pickering KL, Beckermann GW, Alam SN, Foreman NJ. Optimising industrial hemp fibre for composites. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing. 2007;38:461-8.
- [95] Sarikanat M. The influence of oligomeric siloxane concentration on the mechanical behaviors of alkalized jute/modified epoxy composites. Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites. 2010;29:807-17.

- [96] Shen L, Haufe J, Patel MK. Product overview and market projection of emerging bio-based plastics PRO-BIP 2009. Report for European Polysaccharide Network of Excellence (EPNOE) and European Bioplastics. 2009:243.
- [97] Ashby F, Johnson M. Materials and design, theart and science of material selection in product design. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann; 2002.
- [98] John MJ, Anandjiwala RD. Recent developments in chemical modification and characterization of natural fiber-reinforced composites. Polymer Composites. 2008;29:187-207.
- [99] Lewin M. Handbook of Fiber Chemistry. Boca Raton: Taylor & Francis Group; 2007.
- [100] Majeed K, Jawaid M, Hassan A, Abu Bakar A, Abdul Khalil HPS, Salema AA, et al. Potential materials for food packaging from nanoclay/natural fibres filled hybrid composites. Materials & Design. 2013;46:391-410.
- [101] Lee K-Y, Delille A, Bismarck A. Greener Surface Treatments of Natural Fibres for the Production of Renewable Composite Materials. Cellulose Fibers: Bioand Nano-Polymer Composites: Green Chemistry and Technology. Heidelberg: Springer; 2011. p. 155-78.
- [102] Ghosh SK., Nayak LK., Day A., Bhattacharyya SK. Manufacture of particle board from date-palm leaves a new technology product. Indian Journal of Agriculture Resources. 2007;41 132 6.
- [103] Madsen B. Properties of plant fibre yarn polymer composites: an experimental study. Technical University of Denmark. Report BYG.DTU. R-082. 2004. 2004.
- [104] Jaradat AA., Zaid A. Quality traits of date palm fruits in a center of origin and center of diversity. Food, Agriculture & Environment. 2004;2 208-17.
- [105] Alshuaibi A. The econometrics of investment in date production in Saudi Arabia. The International Journal of Applied Economics and Finance. 2011 5:177-84.
- [106] Louwagie G, Northey G, Finn JA, Purvis G. Development of indicators for assessment of the environmental impact of livestock farming in Ireland using the Agri-environmental Footprint Index. Ecological Indicators. 2012;18:149-62.
- [107] Zhang H, Matsuto T. Comparison of mass balance, energy consumption and cost of composting facilities for different types of organic waste. Waste Management. 2011;31:416-22.
- [108] AL-Oqla FM, Hayajneh MT. A design decision-making support model for selecting suitable product color to increase probability. Design Challenge Conference: Managing Creativity, Innovation, and Entrepreneurship. Amman, Jordan, 2007.

- [109] Al-Widyan MI, Al-Oqla FM. Utilization of supplementary energy sources for cooling in hot arid regions via decision-making model. International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications. 2011;1:1610-22.
- [110] Al-Widyan MI, Al-Oqla FM. Selecting the most appropriate corrective actions for energy saving in existing buildings A/C in hot arid regions. Building Simulation. 2014;7:537-45.
- [111] Al-Oqla FM, Omar AA. A decision-making model for selecting the GSM mobile phone antenna in the design phase to increase over all performance. Progress In Electromagnetics Research C. 2012;25:249-69.
- [112] Malekmohammadi B, Rahimi Blouchi L. Ecological risk assessment of wetland ecosystems using Multi Criteria Decision Making and Geographic Information System. Ecological Indicators. 2014;41:133-44.
- [113] Luz SM, Caldeira-Pires A, Ferrao P. Environmental benefits of substituting talc by sugarcane bagasse fibers as reinforcement in polypropylene composites: Ecodesign and LCA as strategy for automotive components. Resources, Conservation and Recycling. 2010;54:1135-44.
- [114] Rajendran S, Scelsi L, Hodzic A, Soutis C, Al-Maadeed MA. Environmental impact assessment of composites containing recycled plastics. Resources, Conservation and Recycling. 2012;60:131-9.
- [115] Vilaplana F, Strömberg E, Karlsson S. Environmental and resource aspects of sustainable biocomposites. Polymer Degradation and Stability. 2010;95:2147-61.
- [116] Puglia D, Tomassucci A, Kenny J. Processing, properties and stability of biodegradable composites based on Mater- Bi® and cellulose fibres. Polymers for Advanced Technologies. 2003;14:749-56.
- [117] Bajpai PK, Singh I, Madaan J. Comparative studies of mechanical and morphological properties of polylactic acid and polypropylene based natural fiber composites. Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites. 2012;31:1712-24.
- [118] Alawar A, Hamed AM, Al-Kaabi K. Characterization of treated date palm tree fiber as composite reinforcement. Composites Part B: Engineering. 2009;40:601-6.
- [119] Hoang TQT, Lagattu F, Brillaud J. Natural fiber-reinforced recycled polypropylene: microstructural and mechanical properties. Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites. 2010;29:209-17.
- [120] Abu-Sharkh B, Hamid H. Degradation study of date palm fibre/polypropylene composites in natural and artificial weathering: mechanical and thermal analysis. Polymer Degradation and Stability. 2004;85:967-73.

- [121] Shi J, Shi SQ, Barnes HM, Pittman Jr CU. A chemical process for preparing cellulosic fibers hierarchically from kenaf bast fibers. BioResources. 2011;6:879-90.
- [122] Zaman HU, Khan MA, Khan RA. Comparative experimental measurements of jute fiber/polypropylene and coir fiber/polypropylene composites as ionizing radiation. Polymer Composites. 2012;33:1077-84.
- [123] Tajvidi M, Motie N, Rassam G, Falk RH, Felton C. Mechanical performance of hemp fiber polypropylene composites at different operating temperatures. Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites. 2010;29:664-74.
- [124] AL-Oqla FM, Sapuan SM. Natural fiber reinforced polymer composites in industrial applications: feasibility of date palm fibers for sustainable automotive industry. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2014;66:347-54.
- [125] Chao CT, Krueger RR. The date palm (Phoenix dactylifera L.): Overview of biology, uses, and cultivation. HortScience. 2007;42:1077-82.
- [126] Mahdavi S, Kermanian H, Varshoei A. Comparison of mechanical properties of date palm fiber-polyethylene composite. BioResources. 2010;5:2391-403.
- [127] Tahir PM, Ahmed AB, SaifulAzry SO, Ahmed Z. Retting process of some bast plant fibres and its effect on fibre quality: a review. BioResources. 2011;6:5260-81.
- [128] Zini E, Scandola M. Green composites: an overview. Polymer Composites. 2011;32:1905-15.
- [129] Monteiro SN, Lopes FPD, Barbosa AP, Bevitori AB, Da Silva ILA, Da Costa LL. Natural lignocellulosic fibers as engineering materials—an overview. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A. 2011;42:2963-74.
- [130] AL-Oqla FM, Sapuan MS, Ishak MR, Aziz NA. Combined multi-criteria evaluation stage technique as an agro waste evaluation indicator for polymeric composites: date palm fibers as a case study. BioResources. 2014;9:4608-21.
- [131] Célino A, Fréour S, Jacquemin F, Casari P. The hygroscopic behavior of plant fibers: a review. Frontiers in Chemistry. 2014;1:1-12.
- [132] Symington MC, Banks WM, West D, Pethrick R. Tensile testing of cellulose based natural fibers for structural composite applications. Journal of Composite Materials. 2009;43:1083-108.
- [133] Placet V, Cisse O, Boubakar ML. Influence of environmental relative humidity on the tensile and rotational behaviour of hemp fibres. Journal of Materials Science. 2012;47:3435-46.

- [134] Rowell RM, Sanadi AR, Caulfield DF, Jacobson RE. Utilization of natural fibers in plastic composites: problems and opportunities. In: leâo AL, carvalho FX, frollini E, editors. Lignocellulosic-Plastic Composites. São Paulo: USP/UNESP; 1997. p. 21-51.
- [135] Abral H, Kadriadi D, Rodianus A, Mastariyanto P, Arief S, Sapuan SM, et al. Mechanical properties of water hyacinth fibers—polyester composites before and after immersion in water. Materials & Design. 2014;58:125-9.
- [136] Kuciel S, Jakubowska P, Kuźniar P. A study on the mechanical properties and the influence of water uptake and temperature on biocomposites based on polyethylene from renewable sources. Composites Part B: Engineering. 2014;64:72-7.
- [137] Alamri H, Low IM. Effect of water absorption on the mechanical properties of nano-filler reinforced epoxy nanocomposites. Materials & Design. 2012;42:214-22.
- [138] Dhakal H, Zhang Z, Richardson M. Effect of water absorption on the mechanical properties of hemp fibre reinforced unsaturated polyester composites. Composites Science and Technology. 2007;67:1674-83.
- [139] Costa F, d'Almeida J. Effect of water absorption on the mechanical properties of sisal and jute fiber composites. Polymer-Plastics Technology and Engineering. 1999;38:1081-94.
- [140] Kastensson Å. Developing lightweight concepts in the automotive industry: taking on the environmental challenge with the SåNätt project. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2014;66:337-46.
- [141] Chiappetta Jabbour CJ, Lopes de Sousa Jabbou AB, Govindan K, Teixeira AA, Ricardo de Souza Freitas W. Environmental management and operational performance in automotive companies in Brazil: The role of human resource management and lean manufacturing. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2013;47:129-40.
- [142] Arbelaiz A, Cantero G, Fernandez B, Mondragon I, Ganan P, Kenny J. Flax fiber surface modifications: effects on fiber physico mechanical and flax/polypropylene interface properties. Polymer Composites. 2005;26:324-32.
- [143] Thakur VK, Thakur MK, Gupta RK. Review: Raw Natural Fiber–Based Polymer Composites. International Journal of Polymer Analysis and Characterization. 2014;19:256-71.
- [144] Thakur V, Singha A, Thakur M. Green composites from natural fibers: Mechanical and chemical aging properties. International Journal of Polymer Analysis and Characterization. 2012;17:401-7.
- [145] Hula A, Jalali K, Hamza K, Skerlos SJ, Saitou K. Multi-criteria decision-making for optimization of product disassembly under multiple situations. Environmental Science & Technology. 2003;37:5303-13.

- [146] Al-Oqla FM, Omar AA. An expert-based model for selecting the most suitable substrate material type for antenna circuits. International Journal of Electronics. 2014.D.O.I: 10.1080/00207217.2014.961041.
- [147] Saaty T. The Analytic Hierarchy Process. New York: McGrawHill, ; 1980.
- [148] AL-Oqla FM, Salit MS, Ishak MR, Nuraini AA. A novel evaluation tool for enhancing the selection of natural fibers for polymeric composites based on fiber moisture content criterion. BioResources. 2015;10:299-312.
- [149] Mathiyazhagan K, Govindan K, Noorul Haq A. Pressure analysis for green supply chain management implementation in Indian industries using analytic hierarchy process. International Journal of Production Research. 2014;52:188-202.
- [150] Luc Toupe J, Trokourey A, Rodrigue D. Simultaneous optimization of the mechanical properties of postconsumer natural fiber/plastic composites: Phase compatibilization and quality/cost ratio. Polymer Composites. 2014;35:730-46.
- [151] Ojha S, Raghavendra G, Acharya S. A comparative investigation of bio waste filler (wood apple-coconut) reinforced polymer composites. Polymer Composites. 2014;35:180-5.
- [152] Nurwaha D, Han W, Wang X. Effects of processing parameters on electrospun fiber morphology. Journal of the Textile Institute. 2013;104:419-25.
- [153] Kaddami H, Dufresne A, Khelifi B, Bendahou A, Taourirte M, Raihane M, et al. Short palm tree fibers—Thermoset matrices composites. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing. 2006;37:1413-22.
- [154] Alsaeed T, Yousif B, Ku H. The potential of using date palm fibres as reinforcement for polymeric composites. Materials & Design. 2012; 43:177–84.
- [155] Ho W, Xu X, Dey PK. Multi-criteria decision making approaches for supplier evaluation and selection: A literature review. European Journal of Operational Research. 2010;202:16-24.
- [156] Saaty TL, Shang JS. An innovative orders-of-magnitude approach to AHP-based mutli-criteria decision making: Prioritizing divergent intangible humane acts. European Journal of Operational Research. 2011;214:703-15.
- [157] Almomani MA, Abdelhadi A, Mumani A, Momani A, Aladeemy M. A proposed integrated model of lean assessment and analytical hierarchy process for a dynamic road map of lean implementation. International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology. 2014;72:161-72.
- [158] Saaty TL, Tran LT. On the invalidity of fuzzifying numerical judgments in the Analytic Hierarchy Process. Mathematical and Computer Modelling. 2007;46:962-75.

- [159] Zhü K. Fuzzy analytic hierarchy process: Fallacy of the popular methods. European Journal of Operational Research. 2014; 236:209-17.
- [160] Mattiussi A, Rosano M, Simeoni P. A decision support system for sustainable energy supply combining multi-objective and multi-attribute analysis: An Australian case study. Decision Support Systems. 2014;57:150-9.
- [161] Moghassem A. Application of TOPSIS approach on parameters selection problem for rotor spinning machine. Fibers and Polymers. 2010;11:669-75.

