



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***A STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING (SHM) OF COMPOSITE  
PATCH REPAIR FOR AIRCRAFT PART AND STRUCTURES USING  
PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS***

**KHAIRUL DAHRI MOHD ARIS**

**FK 2015 149**



**A STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING (SHM) OF COMPOSITE  
PATCH REPAIR FOR AIRCRAFT PART AND STRUCTURES USING  
PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS**

**By**

**KHAIRUL DAHRI MOHD ARIS**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti  
Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy**

**MARCH 2015**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**A STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING (SHM) OF COMPOSITE PATCH  
REPAIR FOR AIRCRAFT PART AND STRUCTURES USING PRINCIPAL  
COMPONENT ANALYSIS**

By

**KHAIRUL DAHRI MOHD ARIS**  
**March 2015**

**Chairman: Associate Professor Faizal Mustapha, Ir**

**Faculty: Engineering**

The use of advanced composite structures on aircraft is increasing. New aircraft, such as the Airbus A350 and the Boeing B787, have more than 50% of their structure originating from composite materials especially carbon fiber reinforced plastic (CFRP). Delamination, disbonding, voids and barely visible internal damage (BVID) are some of the damages uniquely found in the composite structure. Once the repair is carried out, the structural health monitoring (SHM) has the ability to detect structural anomalies where human dependency can be minimized. However, most SHM works are concentrating on pristine structure on repaired structure. Therefore the behaviour of SHM at undamaged, damaged and repaired conditions on carbon fiber reinforced plastic (CFRP) are being investigated in this research.. The motivation of the research is to optimize the structural health monitoring (SHM) in comparing the structural response undamaged, damaged and repaired of CFRP structures by using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) through Amplitude Based Assessment (ABA) and Conditional Structural Index (CSI). The objectives of the research are to undertake a feasibility study on Lamb wave propagation over undamaged, damaged and repaired composite panels via smart PZT sensor by using PCA to evaluate the structural conditions of CFRP panels. PZT sensors are used to interrogate and retrieve the surface wave across the investigated structures via online and offline on the undamaged damaged and repaired structures of similar origin. Result shows that although the structural integrity has returned back to its pristine condition, the repaired structure response is diverging from the undamaged conditions. The novelty in this research is the comparative results between undamaged, damaged and repaired response of an aircraft structures originated from CFRP panels which will assist in interpreting the structural health status at maintenance level.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PEMANTAUAN KESIHATAN STRUKTUR PEMBAIKAN PERLEKATAN  
KOMPOSIT UNTUK BAHAGIAN PESAWAT DAN STRUKTUR  
MENGUNAKAN ANALISA KOMPONEN UTAMA**

Oleh

**KHAIRUL DAHRI MOHD ARIS**  
March 2015

**Pengerusi: Professor Madya Faizal Mustapha, Ir**

**Fakulti: Kejuruteraan**

Penggunaan struktur komposit termaju di dalam pesawat semakin meningkat. Pesawat-pesawat baru, seperti Airbus A350 dan Boeing B787, menggunakan bahan komposit sebanyak 50% untuk struktur pesawat terutamanya dari serat karbon bertetulang plastik (CFRP). Kelompangan penyah-ikatan dan kerosakan dalaman yang tidak kelihatan (BVID) adalah sebahagian daripada kerosakan yang unik yang hanya boleh didapati pada struktur komposit. Setelah proses pembaikan dijalankan, Pemantauan Kesihatan Struktur (SHM) mempunyai keupayaan untuk mengesan anomaly-anomali struktur yang mana pergantungan kepada tenaga manusia dapat dikurangkan. Walau bagaimanapun, kebanyakan kerja-kerja penyelidikan kini lebih tertumpu kepada struktur-struktur yang normal. Oleh yang demikian, kajian ini bertumpu kepada tingkah laku untuk struktur CFRP yang normal, rosak dan dibaiki. Motivasi utama penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mengoptimumkan pemantauan kesihatan struktur (SHM) dalam membandingkan tindak balas struktur-struktur CFRP yang normal, rosak dan dibaiki dengan menggunakan Analisis Komponen Utama (PCA) melalui Penilaian Berasaskan Amplitud (ABA) dan Indeks Struktur Bersyarat (CSI). Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menjalankan penyiasatan mengenai rambatan gelombang Lamb terhadap struktur-struktur panel komposit dari CFRP yang normal, rosak dan dibaiki dengan menggunakan sensor PZT pintar seterusnya mengaplikasikan prosedur PCA untuk menilai keadaan struktur panel CFRP. Terdapat dua sensor PZT yang digunakan untuk menyoal siasat dan mengambil gelombang-gelombang yang dihasilkan di permukaan struktur yang normal, rosak dan dibaiki asal. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa walaupun integriti struktur telah kembali semula kepada keadaan asli, tindak balas struktur yang dibaiki adalah menyeleweng daripada keadaan normal. Novelti dari kajian ini adalah hasil perbandingan antara tindak balas yang dilakukan pada struktur CFRP yang normal, rosak dan dibaiki akan membantu dalam mentafsirkan status SHM untuk krew-krew menyelenggaraan dan pembaikan pesawat.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the chair of the supervisory committee, Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Faizal Mustapha, for his encouragement, valuable advice and guidance throughout my years as a PhD's student.. It is a pleasure and an honour to be his student. I would like also to thank my committee member Prof. Ir. Dr. Mohd Sapuan Salit and also Dr. Dayang Laila Abang Abdul Majid for their kind assistance, advice and suggestions throughout this work and during the preparation of this thesis. Not to forget to my dearest wife Puan Inarni Juliana Binti Baidzawi in understanding and supporting me thought out the study period. Special thanks to my family especially my mother, Puan Hajjah Paridah Sam who has encouraged me during the course of studies and supported me endlessly. Thank you all for your understanding and time. Lastly, thanks to Universiti Kuala Lumpur in approving my study leave and MOSTI in funding the research by grant no. SF/000006.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 30<sup>th</sup> April 2015 to conduct the final examination of Khairul Dahri Mohd Aris on his thesis entitled **“A Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) of Composite Patch Repair for Aircraft Part and Structures using Principal Component Analysis”** in accordance with the Universities and Universities Collages Act 1971 and Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U. (A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Professor Ir. Harijono Djojodihardjo, PhD**

Faculty of Engineering,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Associate Professor Rizal bin Zahari, PhD**

Faculty of Engineering,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Associate Professor Ir. Mohd Khairol Anuar bin Mohd Ariffin, PhD**

Faculty of Engineering,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Professor Keith Worden, PhD**

Department of Mechanical Engineering  
The University of Sheffield  
United Kingdom  
(External Examiner)

**Associate Professor Noritah Omar, PhD**

Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Associate Professor Ir. Faizal Mustapha, PhD**

Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Professor Ir Mohd Sapuan Salit, PhD**

Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Dayang Laila Binti Abang Abdul Majid, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**Bujang Kim Huat, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: \_\_\_\_\_

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Chairman of  
Supervisory  
Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: \_\_\_\_\_



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	i
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	ii
<b>APPROVAL</b>	iii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	iv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	vi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xi
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xii
	xvi

<b>CHAPTER</b>		
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	1
	1.3 Scope of Works	3
	1.4 Limitation	3
	1.5 Research Objectives	3
	1.6 Thesis Organization	4
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 Application of advanced composite structures on aircraft.	5
	2.3 Nondestructive Inspection- Present damage detection	11
	2.3.1 Visual inspection method	12
	2.3.2 Tap test methods	13
	2.3.3 X-radiography methods	14
	2.3.4 Ultrasonic methods	15
	2.3.6 Other types of NDT	16
	2.4 Composite Repairs	18
	2.4.1 Resin Injection	18
	2.4.2 Bolted on metal or cured composite repair	19
	2.4.3 Bonded on metal or cured composite repair	20
	2.4.4 Laminating new repair plies	21
	2.4.5 Overview of laminating new repair plies	22
	2.5 Damage Detection Techniques	25
	2.5.1 Conditioning Monitoring	26
	2.5.2 Non Destructive Inspection	26
	2.5.3 Structural Health Monitoring (SHM)	27
	2.6 Structural Health Monitoring Overviews	28
	2.6.1 Motivation in SHM	29
		32
		36

	2.6.2 SHM Challenges	37
	2.6.3 SHM and relevant techniques	37
	2.7 Types of sensors in SHM	38
	2.7.1 PZT sensors	39
	2.7.2 Fiber Bragg Grating (FBG)	40
	2.7.3 Comparative Vacuum Monitoring (CVM)	41
	2.7.4 Optical Laser Ultrasonic	43
	Propagation	44
	2.7.5 Other sensors systems	50
	2.8 PZT Sensor Application	51
	2.8.1 Guided Lamb Waves	53
	2.8.2 Intelligent Signal Acquisition	54
	2.9 Statistical Pattern Recognition (SPR)	55
	2.10 Operational Evaluation	56
	2.11 Data Acquisition	60
	2.12 Feature Extraction and Data Compression	63
	2.13 Statistical model development	65
	2.14 Sensing Technique	65
	2.14.1 Continuous Wavelet Analysis	
	2.14.2 Principal Component Analysis	
	2.15 SHM in aircraft composite structure repair	
	2.16 Summary	
3	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	67
	3.1 Introduction	67
	3.2 Specimen Fabrications	69
	3.3 Data Analysis by Statistical Pattern recognition	70
	3.4 Summary	75
4	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	77
	4.1 Introduction	77
	4.2 Specimen Fabrication and Fabrication	77
	4.3 Non Destructive Inspection.	80
	4.3.1 Specimen Fabrication.	80
	4.3.2 Tap test Wichitech RD3 Tap Hammer	81
	4.3.3 Ultrasonic Inspection – A	83
	Scan	85
	4.3.4 Radiography Inspection	86
	4.3.5 PZT sensor	92
	4.3.6 Discussion	
	4.4 Sensor response subjected to tensile	93

test for undamaged, damaged and repaired CFRP specimens.	95
4.4.1 Specimen preparation.	97
4.4.2 Physical examination	
4.4.3 Visual Inspection	
4.4.4 Result for mechanical tensile test for undamaged, partial and full repaired specimens.	98
4.4.5 Discussion	104
4.5 PZT smart sensor response correlates with the tensile test.	105
4.5.1 Discussion	111
4.6 CFRP panels fabrication	112
4.6.1 CFRP data acquisition result by using Vpp	113
4.6.2 CFRP data acquisition result by using Morlet Wavelet Analysis	118
4.6.3 CFRP data acquisition result by using CSI and ABA	120
4.6.4 Result for the CFRP panel using Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	124
4.6.5 Discussion	126
4.7 Aircraft Spoiler Analysis	127
4.7.1 Vpp result on aircraft spoiler test	128
4.7.2 Condition Structural Index (CSI) and Amplitude Based Analysis (ABA) for aircraft spoiler	132
4.7.3 PCA for aircraft spoiler	137
4.7.4 Discussion	138
<b>5 CONCLUSION</b>	140
6.1 Summary	140
6.2 Key contributions	141
6.3 Recommendation	142
<b>REFERENCES</b>	145
<b>APPENDICES</b>	167
<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	172
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	175



## LIST OF TABLES.

Table		Page
2.1	Typical reinforcing fiber usage on aircraft structure.	6
2.2	Typical matrices usage on aircraft structure.	7
2.3	Composite materials as aircraft components	8
2.4	Types of aircraft structures	10
2.5	NDI capability based on each method	17
2.6	Sensor Utilization	42
3.1	Distribution of specimens	69
3.2	Ply orientation table for embedded defect specimens.	70
4.1	Distribution of the specimens	77
4.2	Recommended curing parameters from Hexcel Corps.	79
4.3	Setting parameter for function generator and oscilloscope	87
4.4	PZT placement configuration	92
4.5	Specimen final size	96
4.6	CFRP panel physical conditions	98
4.7	Comparison between the experimental and actual data.	104
4.8	Conditions at three different states for full laminated panel	112
4.9	Morlet analysis for CFRP panel conditions	119
4.10	Unsupervised data retrieved from Morlet Analysis	121
4.11	Morlet wavelet analysis on aircraft's spoiler	133



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Monolithic structure/ laminate and sandwich structure.	7
2.2	Percentage of composite materials used on aircraft.	8
2.3	Typical defects found on composite structure a) abrasion due to environment b) fiber pull out c) impact damage.	10
2.4	Typical visual inspection carry out on composite structure from a) magnifying glass, b) borescope/ videoscope, c) inspection mirror and d)light assistance.	13
2.5	a) Coin tap test, b)digital tap hammer from Wichitech and c) Woodpecker from Mitsui	14
2.6	Typical x-ray inspection result on aircraft component.	14
2.7	Typical scanning method for ultrasonic inspection.	16
2.8	An example of phase array inspection	16
2.9	Resin injection method	19
2.10	Bolted-type repair	19
2.11	Bonded patches	20
2.12	a) stepped cut repair and b) scarf cut repair	21
2.13	Typical scarfing methods for ply replacement from a) Boeing and b) Airbus manufacturers.	22
2.14	Scarfing angle	24
2.15	Typical damage removal by using a) angle sander and b) router	25
2.16	The evolution of damage detection techniques	26
2.17	SHM philosophy	27
2.18	Damage detection approach.	30
2.19	Classification of damage.	36
2.20	PZT sensor acquired from APC International	38
2.21	Typical schematic diagram for FBG System	39
2.22	Typical Schematic diagram of Comparative Vacuum Monitoring system	40
2.23	Schematic diagram of the UPI system	41
2.24	a) symmetric and b) anti-symmetric Lamb wave mode	45
2.25	Thickness of plate with distance of $2d$	45
2.26	Dispersion curve of a typical aluminum plate.	48
2.27	SPR paradigm	51
2.28	SPR flow	52
2.29	Operational Evaluation assessment	53
2.30	Data Acquisition flow	54
2.31	Damage rectification process	57
2.32	Acoustic Emission from PZT parameters used for damage detection.	59
2.33	Wavelet response between undamaged and damage specimens.	60

2.34	Transformation of data from time base to frequency base by using FFT.	61
2.35	Input classification	62
3.1	Research flow.	68
3.2	Statistical pattern recognition approach	72
3.3	$V_{pp}$ on time domain response	73
3.4	Methodology for Advanced Statistical Analysis by using Morlet Wavelet Analysis and Fast Fourier transform	74
3.5	Methodology for CSI, ABA and PCA Analysis	75
4.1	Fabrication process for CFRP prepreg panels	78
4.2	Heat blanket system for heat assisted curing	79
4.3	Typical arrangement of the heat blanket system during curing process	80
4.4	PZT placement and defect location on the CFRP panels	81
4.5	Preparation for embedded damage panel.	81
4.6	Tap Test from Whichitech RD-3	82
4.7	A color scale produced by the RD3 Digital Tap test with classification from the tap test feedback.	83
4.8	Ultrasonic A-scan from Sonatest Sitescan D-10	83
4.9	Results show for the calibration block	84
4.10	Results from the Sonatest D-10 Ultrasonic Reading on CFRP Panel.	84
4.11	Ir 192 typical procedure	85
4.12	Result from Ir-92 Radiography film	86
4.13	Hardware configuration for data acquisitioning system.	87
4.14	Input signal from APC 850	87
4.15	CFRP grid between E1 and E5	88
4.16	CFRP grid between C2 and C4	89
4.17	CFRP grid between C1-C3 and C5-C3	90
4.18	CFRP grid between C3- A1 and C3-E5	91
4.19	Composite structure repair by using heat blanket system	93
4.20	The scarf cutting configuration as per Boeing SRM	94
4.21	Trimming to ASTM D638 specimen sizes.	94
4.22	ASTM D638 specimen configuration	95
4.23	Tensile testing machine Shimadzu AGx-50kN	96
4.24	Undamaged specimens with cross section look of 50x and 200x respectively.	97
4.25	Repaired specimens with cross section look of 50x and 200x respectively.	97
4.26	Typical failure for the undamaged specimen	99
4.27	Physical analysis of the failure	99
4.28	Magnification at breakage area.	100
4.29	Stress Strain Diagram for undamaged panel and determination of average Modulus of Elasticity of the CFRP specimens.	100
4.30	Stress Strain Diagram for full damage repaired	

	panel.	101
4.31	Stress Strain Diagram for partial damage repaired panel.	102
4.32	The ultimate strength result	103
4.33	The Modulus of Elasticity result	103
4.34	Zoning of the of the stress-strain diagram	105
4.35	Actuating signal response	106
4.36	Receiving signals for undamaged specimens with respect to tensile test.	106
4.37	Receiving signals for full repaired specimens with respect to tensile test.	107
4.38	Receiving signals for partial repaired specimens with respect to tensile test.	108
4.39	Overlay pattern at Zone 1	109
4.40	Overlay pattern at Zone 2	110
4.41	Vpp readout for Zone 1 and Zone 2 for each condition.	111
4.42	Equipment set up for the panel data acquisition.	112
4.43	Signal response for partial damage CFRP panels for a) undamaged, b) damaged and c) repaired conditions	114
4.44	Signal response for partial damage CFRP panels panels for a) undamaged, b) damaged and c) repaired conditions	115
4.45	Vpp Overlay analysis for fully damaged panels	116
4.46	Vpp Overlay analysis for partially damaged panels	116
4.47	Vpp wavelet analysis from the partially damaged panel	117
4.48	Vpp wavelet analysis from the fully damaged panel	118
4.49	Typical Gaussian distribution from FFT analysis for partial CFRP panel conditions.	122
4.50	Typical Gaussian distribution from FFT analysis for full CFRP panel conditions.	122
4.51	CSI values for CFRP panels	123
4.52	ABA values for CFRP panels	123
4.53	PCA for CFRP panel for CSI assessment	124
4.54	PCA for CFRP panel for ABA assessment	125
4.55	PC1 and PC2 distributions.	126
4.56	Configuration of the studied conditions on the spoiler.	127
4.57	Aircraft spoiler with PZT sensor on specimen's set-up.	128
4.58	Typical wave response after extracting the interested features.	129
4.59	Wave response from undamaged, damaged and repaired conditions	130
4.60	Vpp distribution among the structural conditions	131
4.61	Overlay Outlier Pattern for different structural condition	132
4.62	Average reading of the Vpp with respect to the	

	exhibit items	133
4.63	Overlaid conditions for the aircraft spoiler for ABA and CSI analysis.	134
4.64	Average CSI values of aircraft spoiler	135
4.65	FFT Analysis for CSI values of the aircraft spoiler	135
4.66	FFT Analysis for ABA value of the aircraft spoiler	136
4.67	Average ABA values of aircraft spoiler	136
4.68	PCA for CSI of the aircraft spoiler	137
4.69	PCA for ABA of the aircraft spoiler	138



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABA	Amplitude based assessment
ANN	Artificial Neuro Network
AR	Auto Regression
ASTM	American Society of Testing Material
BITE	Built in Test Equipment
BMI	Bislamiamide
BVID	Barely visible internal damage
CAR	Civil Aviation Regulation
CBM	Condition based monitoring
CFRP	Carbon Fiber reinforced plastic
CM	Condition Monitoring
CRS	Certificate Release to Service
CSI	Condition Structural Index
CVM	Comparative Vacuum bagging
CWT	Continuous wavelet transform
DFT	Discrete Fourier Transform
DI	Damage Index
DP	Damage Prognosis
DSSL	Diode-pumped solid state laser
DWT	Discrete wavelet transform
EASA	European Aviation Safety Agency
ECM	Engine Condition Monitoring
EMI	Electrical and mechanical impedance
FAA	Federal Aviation Administrator
FAR	Federal Aviation Regulation
FBG	Fiber Bragg Grating
FD	Full damaged
FFT	Fast Fourier Transform
FR	Full repaired
FS	Factor of Safety
FT	Fourier Transform
FU	Full undamaged
GFRP	Glass Fiber Reinforced Plastic
GLARE	Glass Aluminum Reinforced
HFR	High frequency response
HMV	Heavy Maintenance Visit
HT	Hard Time
HUMS	Health and Usage Monitoring

ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organization
IVHMS	Integrated Vehicle Health Monitoring System
JAA	Joint Aviation Authority
LCC	Life Cycle Cost
LMS	Laser Mirror Scanner
MFAI	Metastable Ferrous Alloy Inserts
MIL-HDBK	Military – Handbook
MRO	Maintenance, Repair and Overhaul
MSG	Maintenance Steering Group
MW	Morlet wavlet
NDE	Non Destructive Evaluation
NDI	Non Destructive Inspection
NDT	Non destructive testing
NN	Neuro Network
OA	Outlier Analysis
OE	Operational Evaluation
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
PD	Partial damaged
POD	Probability of Damage
PR	Partial repaired
PU	Partial undamaged
PZT	Lead Zirconate Titanate
SD	Spoiler damaged
SHM	Structural Health Monitoring
SM	Service Manual
SMART	Stanford Multi Actuator Receiver Transduction
SPC	Statistical Process Control
SPR	Statistical Pattern Recognition
SR	Spoiler repaired
SRM	Structural Repair Manual
SU	Spoiler undamaged
UD	Uni-directional
UPI	Ultrasonic Propagation Inspection
UV	Ultra violet
$V_{pp}$	Voltage peak to peak

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

This chapter represents the research background, problem statement, objectives, scope of the research work and the importance of the study to the Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) system implementation especially in the Maintenance, Repair and Overhaul (MRO) research studies in academia and by the SHM enthusiast in particular.

### 1.1 Research Background

Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) is defined as the “acquisition, validation and analysis of technical data to facilitate life cycle management decisions” (Sohn et al., 2001). According to Farrar and Worden, (2007), SHM denotes a system with the ability to detect and interpret adverse “changes” in a structure in order to improve reliability and reduce Life Cycle Costs (LCC). The most fundamental challenge in designing an SHM system is knowing what “changes” to look for and how to identify them. The characteristics of damage in particular structures play a key role in defining the architecture of the SHM system. The resulting “changes” or damage signature dictates the type of sensors that are required, which determines the requirement for the rest of the components in the systems.

The present research project concentrates on the use of PZT sensors on an aircraft structural part, which in this case is the Airbus A 320 spoiler. The sensors are used to detect the changes in the materials behavior under undamaged, damaged and repaired conditions. Others types of sensor have been cited throughout the literature such as the Fiber Bragg Grating (FBG), non-contact laser, comparative vacuum monitoring (CVM), Shape Memory Alloy (SMA) etc. These sensors detect structural anomalies through a variety of techniques which have different advantages over the others. PZT sensors are chosen due to their versatility, conformability, low power consumption and high bandwidth. Other sensors are also mentioned in the later chapter.

### 1.2 Problem Statements

SHM is widely used in worldwide engineering applications. Currently, the aerospace industry is gearing towards using SHM systems to tap their benefits in detecting damage more effectively. An investigation by Hall and Conquest (1999) noted that 27% of the average military and commercial aircraft LCCs are spent on inspection and repair excluding the cost associated with unscheduled maintenance visits and defect rectification. In aviation economics this event leads to a hefty cost to ensure the aircraft's readiness and airworthiness for its intended operation.



Current commercial and military aircrafts are using more composite materials for their structural parts. Based on the JEC Composite Report (2010), beyond 2010, the use of advanced composite materials in heavy primary structures have reached more than 50% of the aircraft's structural weight with the current examples are the Boeing 787 and the Airbus A350. However, composite materials pose unique challenges and defects. (Goulios and Marioli-riga, 2001). Examples of damage are delamination, disbonding, barely visible impact damage (BVID) and interacting damage modes. In addition, damage detection in a composite structure has been complicated by the conductivity of the fibers, matrix insulations and the BVID. Nondestructive Inspections (NDI) are one of the effective tools used to detect damage in composite structures such as ultrasonic, x-ray and thermography techniques. However, NDI consumes time and man power as the scope of inspections is concentrating in one area. One technique may not be feasible to conclude the findings. Additional inspection methods are required to confirm the defects since the damage is very much related to the type of inspection, the level of the inspectors and the type of equipment, especially for composite materials when compared to metallic structures.

In order to improve detectability of damage in the composite structure, Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) techniques have been developed by using embedded or mounted sensors. An ideal SHM system takes advantage of active-online in which continuous information can be retrieved and processed for evaluation. Airbus are still investigating and testing such a system with the intention to test flight it in 2018 with fully integrated systems. Boeing Inc. is currently exploring and integrating SHM as part of its Integrated Vehicle Health Monitoring System (IVHMS) (Maley et al., 2007).

The current state of the SHM systems is mainly to detect damage in the structure. A damaged structure needs to be repaired in order to return the aircraft back to flight. Any repair of composite materials requires material removal and replacement. Therefore, the structural integrity is compromised although the damage has been repaired. Therefore, the sensors' behaviors are likely to change within the undamaged, damaged and repaired states due to the integration of parent structures and repair structures. Recent development in SHM technology in repair category is still limited to metallic structures and metallic bonding due to the availability of the structures on current aircraft. As the use of advanced composite structures are increasing, the implementation of SHM systems on these structures is yet to be concluded in terms of type, location, suitability and reliability as indicated by Wang and Yuan, (2007). This is due to anisotropic properties, different material compositions, fiber orientation, and matrix formulation, which lead to multiple responses compared to metallic structures. In addition, the local area is changed physically when damaged structures are repaired, thus the response from the SHM registers an anomaly. Therefore, an investigation of the SHM system response both at before and at post repair is needed, with the intention of assessing the health status of the structure. The state of the problem can be portrayed as follows:

1. Does the compromised structural integrity interfere with the sensor response between the undamaged, damaged and repaired structures?



2. Can the new sensor placement identify the true structural status or the health state of the compromised composite structures?
3. Does the result lead to differentiation between the typical undamaged, damaged and repaired composite structures?

All of these issues are being investigated in this thesis. The above problem statement shows originality regarding damage detection in the post repair situation, and the novelty of implementing PZT sensors to develop the sensors and of the interpretation algorithm developed to assess the structure's condition.

### **1.3 Scope of the Work**

The research work focuses on the use of smart PZT sensors of a circular disc type (produced by APC International, USA) which are bonded on the desired panels. The bonded sensors act as an actuator for interrogating and a receiver for data acquisition on undamaged, damaged and repaired panels made from carbon fiber reinforced plastic (CFRP) pre-impregnating materials. The CFRP panels originated from Hexcel's graphite/epoxy composite pre-preg materials and the Airbus A320 spoilers are provided by Spirit Aerosystem (M) Sdn. Bhd. The research covers the experimental analysis of the mechanical properties and signal analysis from coupon sizes to the actual aircraft component. The interpretation algorithm incorporating wavelet analysis is carried out by using Principal Component Analysis to analyze the Lamb wave generated by the PZT sensors. A time and frequency domains analysis using Statistical Pattern Recognition (SPR) by using the multivariate analysis through Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is used to identify features of the structures' conditions.

### **1.4 Limitations**

The limitations of the research are:-

1. All experimental analysis was conducted in a lab environment which does not simulate external forces and at room temperature.
2. Due to limitation of the CFRP pre-preg materials, the selected studied conditions were tailored to give maximum response for the PZT.
3. The assessment of the PCA was concentrate on the waveform produced by the actuated PZT. It is assumed uninterrupted at its time of flight from the actuator to the receiver.

### **1.5 Research Objectives**

The overall objectives of this research are to acquire the Structural Health Monitoring response from the experimental structural integrity point of view in order to characterize the surface conditions of the tested panels and structure by using PCA. The specific objectives of this research are:

1. To fabricate CFRP panels for the feasibility study on Lamb wave propagation over undamaged, damaged and repaired composite panels via smart PZT sensors.
2. To assess the effectiveness of the advanced signal processing technique in differentiating the structural status for the patch repair of CFRP panels and inherently an aircraft component.
3. To characterize the CFRP component and parts by using Principal Component Analysis under undamaged, damaged and repaired conditions.

## **1.6 Thesis Organization**

The overall thesis covers the development of one of the SHM tools in order to evaluate the repair integrity of a damaged part. This is due to the removal and replacement of the plies and/or core which can change the reliability of the component strength. The thesis is organized in the following way.

### **Chapter 2: Literature Review**

This chapter represents the background of the research which is divided into three main sections. The first section depicts the application of composite materials in today's aero structures, challenges in the structure from the maintenance, repair and overhaul (MRO), and current damage assessment through various NDT. The second section represents sensors technology for the SHM approach. The final section describes the Statistical Pattern Recognition approach using the PZT sensors.

### **Chapter 3: Methodology**

This chapter highlights the methodology used in implementing the experiment. More detailed information on the materials used, the apparatus, the software and the programming is given in this section.

### **Chapter 5: PZT Smart Sensors in Damage Detection**

This chapter examines the results obtained by applying the PZT sensors on CFRP panels and aircraft parts. Principal Component is used to identify the studied conditions. It also provides indications which can act as the seeding research for future endeavors in this area.

### **Chapter 6: Conclusions and Recommendations**

The final overview of the thesis findings provides a comprehensive conclusion in which all the steps taken in preparing this thesis are aligned with the problem statement and objectives.

## REFERENCES

- Achenbach, J.D. 2009. Structural health monitoring – What is the prescription?, *Mechanics Research Communication*, 36: 137-142.
- Adams R.D. and Cauley P. 1988. A review of defect types and nondestructive testing techniques for composites and bonded joints, *NDT International*, 21(4): 208-222.
- Adams, R.D. and Peppiatt, N. A. 1974. Stress Analysis of adhesive bonded lap joints. *Journal of Strain Analysis*, 9:185-196.
- Airbus Industries. 2002. Chapter 51-77-12: Composite Repairs-Skin Damage All Structures, *A320 Structural Repair Manual*, Germany: Airbus Inc.
- Albedah A., Sohail M.A. Khan S.M.A., Benyahia, F. and B. Bachir Bouiadjra B.B. 2015, Experimental analysis of the fatigue life of repaired cracked plate in aluminum alloy 7075 with bonded composite patch, *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*
- Ali, A.H., Balint, D., Temple, A. and Leever, P. 2012. The reliability of defect sentencing in manual ultrasonic inspection, *NDT and E International*, 51: 101-110.
- Amenabar, I., Mendikute, A. Lopez- Arraiza, A., Lizaranzu, M. and Aurrekoetxea, J. (2011). Comparison and analysis of nondestructive testing techniques suitable for delamination inspection in wind turbine blades, *Composites: Part B*, 42: 1298-1305.
- Amer, K.B. 1998. A new philosophy of structural reliability, fail safe versus safe life, *Proceedings of the 44<sup>th</sup> Annual Forum of the American helicopter Society*, pp. 3-16.
- Arby, J.C., Choi, Y.K., Chateauminois, A., Dalloz, B. Giraud G. and Salvia, M. 2001. In-situ monitoring of damage in CFRP laminates by means of AC and Dc measurement, *Composite Science and Technology*, 61: 855-864.
- ASTM International. 1998. ASTM D638 “Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics *ASTM International*, West Conshohocken, PA,
- Auld, B.A. 1990. *Acoustic field and waves in solid*, 2nd ed. Malabar, Florida: Kreiger.
- Baker, A.A, Dutton, S. and Kelly D.W. 2004a. *Composite Materials for Aircraft Structures*, USA: AIAA.
- Baker, A.A., Dutton, S. and Kelly, D.W. 2004b. *Composite materials for aircraft structures*, Virginia: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc.

- Baker, W., McKenzie, I and Jones, R. 2004. Development of life extension strategies for Australian military aircraft, using structural health monitoring of composite repairs and joints, *Composite Structures*, 66: 133-143.
- Balageas, L. 2002. Structural health monitoring R and D at the “European Research Establishments in Aeronautics” (EREA), *Aerospace Science and Technology*, 6: 159-170.
- Baldwin, M.A. 2013. Aircraft economic life: Is age just a number?, *Airline Fleet Management*, 13 February 2013, Charlottesville, VA.
- Bar-Cohen, Y. and Crane, R.L. 1985. Ultrasonic inspection of composite materials, *Composites*, 16(4): 35-42.
- Basehore, M.L. and Dickson, W. 1998. HUMS loads monitoring and damage tolerance: An operational evaluation, *Proceedings on Exploitation of Structural Load/ Health for Reduced Life Cycle Cost*, pp. 1001-1013.
- Beard, S. J., Kumar, A, Qing, X., Chang, H. L., Zhang, C. and Oii, T. K. 2005. Practical issues in real world implementation of structural health monitoring system Report, *Acellent Technologies Inc.*,
- Becker, C., and Rauber, A. 2010. Improving component selection and monitoring with controlled experimentation and automated measurements, *Information and Software Technology*, 52(6): 641-655.
- Belanger, P., Berry A., Pasco, Y., Robin, O., St-Amant, Y., Rajan, S. 2009. Multi-harmonic active structural acoustic control of a helicopter main transmission noise using the principal component analysis, *Applied Acoustics* 70 :153–164.
- Bement, M.T. and Farrar, C.R. 2002, Issues for Application of Ststistical Model in damage detection in *International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC 18)*, San Antonio.
- Bernascon, A., Kharshiduzzaman, M. and Comolli, L, 2015, Strain Profile Measurement for Structural Health Monitoring of Woven Carbon-Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composite Bonded Joints by Fiber Optic Sensing Using an Optical Backscatter Reflectometer, *Adhesion*. (Doi: 10.1080/00218464.2015.104300)
- Bendada S., Sfarra, S., Genest, M., Paoletti, D., Rott, S., Talmy, E., Ibarra-Castanedo, C. and Maldague X. 2013. How to reveal subsurface defects in Kevlar- composite materials after an impact loading using infrared vision and optical NDT techniques?, *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 108: 195–208.

- Benedettini, O., Baines, T.S., Lightfoot, H.W. and Greenough, R.N. 2009. State of the art in integrated vehicle health management, *Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, 233: 157-170.
- Berner, J., 2009. Bolted repair in composite structure – 787 Wing/Emppennage/Landing Gear, *MIAR Workshop* presented in June 4, 2009.
- Bhalla, S., Gupta, A., Bansal, S. and Garg, T. 2009. Ultra low cost adaptations of electro-mechanical impedance technique for structural health monitoring , *Journal of Intelligent material Systems and Structures*, 20: 991- 999.
- Biswas, P., Bandyopadhyay, S., Kesavan, K. , Parivallal, S., Sundaram, B.A., Ravisankar, K. and Dasgupta, K. 2010. Investigation on packages of fiber Bragg grating for use as embeddable strain sensor in concrete structure , *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 157(1): 77-83.
- Boe, P.D., Berry, A., Passco, Y., Robin, O., Amant, Y.S. and Rajan, S. 2009. Multi harmonic active structural acoustic control of a helicopter main transmission noise using the Principal Component Analysis, *Applied Acoustic*, 70: 153-164.
- Boeing Inc. 2001. Chapter 51-70-05: Graphite, Aramid, and Graphite/Aramid/Glass Hybrid Reinforced Epoxy Laminates And Nonmetallic Honeycomb Sandwich Repairs - 250°F (121°C) CURE, *Boeing 737-400 Structural Repair Manual*, Seattle: Boeing Commercial Airplanes Group.
- Bornn, L., Farrar, C.R. and Park, G. 2010. Damage detection in initially nonlinear systems *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 48(10): 909-920.
- Bouiadjra, B. Anchor, T., Berrahou, M., Ouinas D. and Feugas, X. 2010. Numerical estimation of the mass gain between double symmetric and single bonded composite repairs in aircraft structures, *Materials and Design*, 31(6): 3073-3077.
- Breysse, D. 2012, Nondestructive evaluation of concrete strength: An historical review and a new perspective by combining NDT methods Construction and Building Materials, *NDT International*, 33: 139-163.
- Budelmann, C. and Krieg-Brückner, B. 2013. From sensorial to smart materials: Intelligent optical sensor network for embedded applications, *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures*, 24: 2183-2188.
- Caliskan, M. 2006. Evaluation of bonded and bolted repair techniques with finite element method, *Materials and Design*, 27: 811–820.

- Caminero, M.A. , Lopez-Pedrosa M., Pinna C. and Soutis C. Damage monitoring and analysis of composite laminates with an open hole and adhesively bonded repairs using digital image correlation, Vol 53: 76-91
- Campbell, F.C. 2003. Chapter 13 - Nondestructive inspection and repair: Because things do not always go as planned, *Manufacturing Processes for Advanced Composites*, 471-512
- Campilho, R.D.S.G. de Moura, M.F.S.F., Ramantani, D.A., Morais J.J.L. and Domingues, J.J.M.S. 2009. Tensile behavior of the three dimensional carbon epoxy bonded single and double strap repairs, *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesive*, 29: 678-686.
- Chandola, V., Banerjee, A. and Kumar, V. 2009, Anomaly detection - A survey, *ACM Computer. Survey*, 41(3): 1-44
- Chattopadhyay, A., Peralta, P., Papandreou-Suppapola, A. and Kovalli, N. 2009. A multidisciplinary approach to structural health monitoring and damage prognosis of aerospace hotspots, *The Aeronautical Journal*, 113(1150): 799-810.
- Chaumette, D. 1985. Certification problems for composite airline structures, *Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> International European SAMPE Conference*, (1985), 19-28.
- Chen, Y.C., Hsieh, C.C. and Lin, C.C. 2011. Strain measurement for composite tubes using embedded, fiber Bragg grating sensor, *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 167(1): 63-69.
- Chen, Y.Z. 1984. General Case of Multiple Crack Problems in an Infinite Plate, *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 20(4): 591-597.
- Chetwind, D., Mustapha, F., Worden, K., Ronggong, J.A., Pierce, S.G. and Dulieu-Barton, J.M. 2008. Damage localization in a stiffened composite panel., *Strain*, 44: 298-307.
- Cheung, A., Cabrera, C., Sarabandi, P., Nair, K.K., Kiremidjian, A. and Wenzel, H. 2008. The application of statistical pattern recognition methods for damage detection to field data, *Smart Material Structure*, 17: 1 – 12.
- Chia, C.C., Lee, J.R., and Park, C.Y. 2012. Radome health management based on synthesized impact detection, laser ultrasonic spectral imaging, and wavelet-transformed ultrasonic propagation imaging methods, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 43(8): 2898-2906.
- Ching J. and Back, L.J. 2004. New Bayesian Model updating algorithm Applied to a Structural Health Monitoring Benchmark, *Structural Health Monitoring*, 3(4): 313-332.
- Chiu, K, Koh, Y.L, Galea, S.C. and Rajic, N. 2000. Smart structure application in bonded repairs, *Composite Structures*, 50: 433-444.

- Chiu, W.K., Rees, D., Chaukley P. and Jones, R. 1994. Designing for damage tolerant composite repairs, *Composite Structures*, 28: 19-37.
- Chui, C.H.K. 1992. Wavelet analysis and its application -1: *Introduction to wavelets*, Boston: Academic Press.
- Ciampa, F. and Meo, M. 2010. A new algorithm for acoustic emission localization and flexural group velocity determination in anisotropic structure, *Composites: Part A*, 41: 1777-1786.
- Cornell, R.W. 1953. Determination of stresses in cemented lap joints, *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 20: 355-364.
- Dance, W.E. 1976. Neutron radiographic non-destructive evaluation of aerospace structures Practical Applications of Neutron Radiography, ASTM STP 586 Philadelphia: *ASTM International*, 137-151.
- Daniel, I.M. 2006. *Engineering Mechanics of Composite Materials*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Dantec Dynamics GmbH. 2013. Product Brochure Laser shearography system Q-800, Dantec Dynamics GmbH, Germany, retrieved on 21 August 2013 from [http://www.dantec\\_dynamics.com/shearography-non-destructive-testing](http://www.dantec_dynamics.com/shearography-non-destructive-testing).
- Davis, A. 1998. *Handbook of Conditioning Monitoring Techniques and Methodology*, Great Britain: Chapman and Hall.
- de Lautour, O.R. and Omenzetter, P., 2010. Damage classification and estimation in experimental structures using time series analysis and pattern recognition, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 24: 1556-1569.
- Dehm, S. and Wurzel, D. 1989. Fast in-situ repair of aircraft panel components. *Journal of Aircraft*, 26(5): 476-481.
- Dekker, S.W.A., 2004. *Human Factors and Aerospace Safety* 4(1): 1-18.
- Devroye, L., Györfi, L. and Lugosi, G. 1996. *A Probabilistic Theory of Pattern Recognition*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag,
- Diamanti, K. and Soutis, C. 2010 Structural Health Monitoring Techniques for Aircraft Composite Structures, *Progress in Aerospace Sciences* 46: 342-352.
- Dilger, R., Hickethier, H. and Greenhalgh, M.D. 2009. Eurofighter a safe life aircraft in the age of damage tolerance, *International Journal of Fatigue*, 31(6): 1017-102.
- Doebeling, S.W. 1996. Damage Identification and Health Monitoring of Structural and Mechanical Systems From Changes in their Vibration

Characteristics: A literature Review,” *Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-13070-MS*.

Doebling, S.W., Farrar, C.R., and Prime, M.B., (1998). A Summary Review of Vibration-Based Damage Identification Methods, *The Shock and Vibration Digest*, 30(2): 91-105.

Dorworth, L.C., Gardiness, G.L. and Mellema, G.M. 2009. *Essentials of Advanced Composite Fabrication and Repair*, USA: ASA Publication.

Drinkwater, B.W. and Wilcox, P.D, (2006). Ultrasonic arrays for non-destructive evaluation: A review, *NDT and E International*, 39(7): 525-541.

Duong, C.N. and Wang, C.H., 2007. *Composite Repair Theory and Design*, Great Britain: Elsevier.

Dupuy, M.J., Wesely, D.E. and Jenkins, C.S. *Airline fleet maintenance: Trade-off analysis of alternate aircraft maintenance approaches*, 29-29 April 2011 , Charlottesville, VA.

Duxbury D., Russell, J. and Lowe, M. 2013. The effect of variation in phased array element performance for Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE), *Ultrasonics*, 53(6): 1065-1078.

Elangovan, M., Devasenapati, S.B., Sakthivel, N.R. and Ramachandran, K.I. 2011. Evaluation of expert system for condition monitoring of a single point cutting tool using principle component analysis and decision tree algorithm, *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(4): 4450-4459.

Elforjani, M., Mba, D., Muhammad, A. and Sire, A. 2012, Condition monitoring of worm gears *Applied Acoustics*, 73(8): 859-863.

Falzon, G. 2009. Impact damage and repair of composite structures, *The Aeronautical Journal*, 113(1145): 431-445.

Fan, W. and Qiao, P. Z. 2010 Vibration-based damage identification methods: A review and comparative study," *Structural Health Monitoring*, 10(1): 83-111.

Farrar, C.R. and Worden K. 2007. An introduction to structural health monitoring, *Philosophy Transaction of Royal Society A*, 365: 303–315.

Farrar, C.R., Park, G, and Farinholt, Kevin, (2009). A Comparison of Structural Health Monitoring and Condition Monitoring *The Engineering Institute NREL Wind Turbine Condition Monitoring Workshop* October 8-9th, 2009, Broomfield, CO.

Federal Aviation Administration, 1994. Federal Aviation Regulations, Part 25. *Airworthiness Standards: Transport Category Airplanes*, U.S. Department of Transportation., Oct, 1994.



Federal Aviation Administration, 2012. *Acceptable Methods, Techniques and Practices Aircraft Inspection, Repair and and Alteration*, Washington DC: U.S. Department of Transportation.

Federal Aviation Administration. 2012. Federal Aviation Regulations-Aeronautical Information Manual (FAR/AIM): *Rules and Procedures for Aviators*, Washington: Aviation Supplies and Academics Inc.

Flynn, M. and Todd, M. D., 2010a. A bayesian approach to optimal sensor placement for structural health monitoring with application to active sensing, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 24(4): 891-903.

Foreman, C., 2002. *Advanced composites*, Colorado: Jeppensen Sanderson, Inc.

Frövel, M., Sanmillan, J, Maroto, J., Pintado, J.M., Kressel, I., Balter, J., Gorbato, N., and Tur, M. 2014, Repair patch monitoring with embedded optical sensors by a residual strain release technique, 7th European Workshop on Structural Health Monitoring, July 8-11, 2014. La Cité, Nantes, France

Fu, T., Liu, Y. Li, Q. and Leng, J., 2009. Fiber Optic acoustic emission sensor and its applications in the structural health monitoring of CFRP materials, *Optics and Lasers in Engineering*, 47: 1056-1062.

Garg, A.C. 1988. Delamination - A damage mode in composite structures *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 29(5): 557-584.

Garg, D.P., Zikry, M.A., Anderson, G.L. and Stepp, D. 2002. Health Monitoring and reliability of adaptive heterogeneous structures, *Structural health monitoring*, 1(1): 23- 39.

Garnier, C., Pastor, M., Eym. F. and Lorrain, B. 2011. The detection of aeronautical defects in situ on composite structures using Nondestructive testing, *Composite Structures*, 93(5): 1328-1336.

Genest, M., Martinez, M., Mrad, N., Renaud, G. and Fahr, A. (2009). Pulsed thermography for non-destructive evaluation and damage growth monitoring of bonded repairs, *Composite Structures*, 88(1): 112-120.

Georgeson, G., Lea, S., and Hensen, J. 2013. Electronic Tap hammer for composite damage assessment, retrieved on 21 August 2013 from <http://www.wichitech.com/pdf/electronic-tap-hammer.pdf>.

Ghobbar, A.A, and Friend, C.H, (2002), Sources of intermittent demand for aircraft spare parts within airline operations, *Journal of Air Transport Management*, 8(4): 221-231.

Giurgiutiu, V. 2005. Tuned Lamb wave excitation and detection with piezoelectric wafer active sensors for structural health monitoring, *Journal of Intelligent materials Systems and Structures*, 16(4): 291-306.

- Giurgiutiu, V. 2008. „Structural Health Monitoring with piezoelectric *Wafer active sensors*, USA: John Wiley.
- Giurgiutiu, V., Zagrai, A. and Bao, J.J. 2002. Piezoelectric wafer embedded active sensors for aging aircraft structural health monitoring, *Structural Health Monitoring*, 1(41): 41-61.
- Goulios, G. and Marioli-riga, Z. 2001. Composite patch repairs for commercial aircraft: Compres, *Air and Space Europe*, 3(¾): 143-147.
- Greenbank, S.J. 1991. Landing Gear—the Aircraft Requirement, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part G: *Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, January; 205(1): 27-34.
- Gudmundsson, S. 2014. Chapter 1 - The Aircraft Design Process, *General Aviation Aircraft Design*, 1-3.
- Gul, M., and Catbas, F.N. 2009. Statistical Pattern Recognition for structural health monitoring using time series modeling: Theory and experimental verifications, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 23:2192 – 2204.
- Ha N.V. and Golinval J. 2010. Damage localization in linear form structures based on sensitivity investigation for Principal Component Analysis, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 329: 4550~4566.
- Hall, S.R. and Conquest, T.J. 1999. The Total Data Integrity Initiative-Structural Health Monitoring . *The Next Generation Proceeding of the USAF ASIP*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.
- Halmshaw, R. 1991. *Nondestructive testing techniques*, UK:NDT Data Fusion.
- Hayes, S. 2001. Reduced Maintenance costs for Boeing 737-600/700/ 800/900 family of airplanes, *Aero magazines*, 15: 25-31.
- He, P., Li, P. and Sun H. 2011. Feature Extraction of Acoustic Signals Based on Complex Morlet Wavelet *Procedia Engineering*, 15: 464-468.
- Heida, J.H. and. Platenkamp D.J. 2011. Evaluation of Non-Destructive Inspection Methods for Composite, Aerospace Structures, 6th NDT in Progress 2011, *International Workshop of NDT Experts*, Prague, 10-12 Oct 2011.
- Henderson, I.R., 2002. *Piezo Ceramics: Principles and Applications*, APC USA: International Inc.
- Hendricks, W.R. 1991. The Aloha Airlines Accident — A New Era for Aging Aircraft, Structural Integrity of Aging Airplanes, *Springer Series in Computational Mechanics*, 153-165.

- Herrera, J. M. and Vasigh, B. 2009. A basic analysis of aging aircraft, region of the world and accidents, *Journal of Business and Economics Research*, 7(5): 121-132.
- Hexcel Corp. 2011a. Sandwich panel fabrication technology, May 2011 USA: Hexcel Composites.
- Hexcel Corp. 2011b. Pre-preg Technology retrieved on 14 February 2011 from [http://www.hexcel.com/Resources/DataSheets/Brochure-Datasheets/Prepreg\\_Technology.pdf](http://www.hexcel.com/Resources/DataSheets/Brochure-Datasheets/Prepreg_Technology.pdf).
- Hexcel Corp. 2012. Reinforcement for composites, (2012). USA: Hexcel Corporation, Heatcon Inc. retrieved from on July 30, 2012
- Hexcel Corporation. 2000. HexWeb™ Honeycomb Sandwich design technology, December 2000 Hexcel Composites USA.
- Hexcel Corporation. 2005. Hexply® M10/38%/UD300/CHS/460mm,. USA, Hexcel Corporation.
- Hill, K.O., Fujii, F., Johnson, D.C. and Kawasaki, B. 1978. Photosensitivity on optical fiber waveguides: Application to reflection filters fabrication, *Applied Physics Letters*, 32: 647-649.
- Hillger, W. and Pfeiffer, U. 2006. Structural Health Monitoring Using Lamb Waves, *9th European Conference on Non-Destructive Testing - ECNDT*, Berlin, Germany.
- Hindrichsen, C.G., Lou-Møller, R. Hansen, K. and Thomsen, E.V. 2010. Advantages of PZT thick film for MEMS sensors, *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 163(1): 9-14.
- Hislop, J.D. 1969. Flaw size evaluation in immersed UT, *Nondestructive Testing*, 2(3): 182-183.
- Holmes, C., Drinkwater, B.W. and Wilcox, P.D. 2008. Advanced post-processing for scanned ultrasonic arrays: Application to defect detection and classification in non-destructive evaluation, *Ultrasonics*, 48(6-7): 636-642.
- Hoskin, B.C. and Baker, A.A. 1986. *Composite Materials for aircraft structures*, New York: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics.
- Hsu D.K. 2008. Nondestructive Inspection of Composite Structures: Methods And Practice, *17th World Conference on Nondestructive Testing*, 25-28 Oct 2008, Shanghai, China
- Huang, Y., Meyer, D. and Nemat-Nasser, S. 2009. Damage detection with spatially distributed 2D Continuous Wavelet Transform *Mechanics of Materials*, 41(10): 1096-1107.

- Huda, Z. and Edi, P. 2013. Materials selection in design of structures and engines of supersonic aircrafts: A review, *Materials and Design*, 46: 552-560.
- Hutchins, D.A., Billson, D.R., Bradley, R.J. and Ho K.S. 2011. Structural health monitoring using polymer-based capacitive micro-machined ultrasonic transducers (CMUTs) *Ultrasonics*, 51(8): 870-877.
- Imperial Inc. 2013. Product Brochure: Acousto Imaging System, retrieved on 21 August 2013 from <http://www.imperiuminc.com/>.
- Jain, A.K., Duin, R.P.W. and Mao, J. 2000. Statistical Pattern Recognition: A Review, *IEEE Transactions of Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 22(1): 4-37.
- Jardine, K.S., Lin, D. and Banjevic, D. 2006. A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition based maintenance, *Mechanical System and Signal Processing*, 20: 1483-1510.
- Jang, I, Park, I and Lee, U. 2014. Guided waves in a Timoshenko beam with a bonded composite patch: Frequency domain spectral element modeling and analysis, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, Volume 60, April 2014, Pages 248–260.
- JEC Composite. 2013. *Strategic Study: The Worldwide Composite Industry Structure*, Trends and Innovation.
- Johnson D. 1996. A review of fault management techniques used in safety critical avionics systems, *Progress in Aerospace Structures*, 32(5): 415-431.
- Johnson, T.J., Brown, R.L., Adams D.E. and Schiefer, M. 2004. Distributed structural health monitoring with a smart sensor array, *Mechanical Systems and Signal processing*, 18: 555-572.
- Joint Aviation Airworthiness. 1986. ACJ 25.603, *Composite Aircraft Structure Acceptable Means of Compliance*.
- Jones, T.S., Polansky, D. and Berger, H. 1988. Radiation inspection methods for composites Original Research Article, *NDT International*, 21(4):277-282.
- Junyan, L., Liqiang, L. and Yang, W. 2013. Experimental study on active infrared thermography as a NDI tool for carbon–carbon composites, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 45(1): 138-147.
- Kamsu-Foguem, B. 2012. Knowledge-based support in Non-Destructive Testing for health monitoring of aircraft structures *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 26(4): 859-869.

- Katnam, K.B., Da Silva, L.F.M. and Young, T.M. 2013. Bonded repair of composite aircraft structures: A review of scientific challenges and opportunities *Progress in Aerospace Sciences*, 61: 26-42.
- Kehlenbach, M. and Das, S., 2002. Identifying damage in plates by Analyzing Lamb wave propagation characteristics, *Proceeding of SPIE, Smart Non destructive evaluation for Health Monitoring of Structural and Biological Systems*, 4702: 364-375.
- Kesser, S.S. 2002. PhD Thesis: Piezoelectric-based insitu damage detection of composite materials for structural health monitoring systems, in Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Massachusetts
- Kessler, S.S. and Spearing, S.M. 2002. In-situ sensor based damage detection of composite materials for structural health monitoring, *AIAA*, 1545: 1-11
- Kessler, S.S., Spearing, S.M., Atalla, M.J., Cesnik, C.E.S. and Soutis, C. 2002, Damage detection in composite materials using frequency response methods, *Composites: Part B*, 33: 87-95.
- Kim, I., Lee, H. and Kim, J. 2005. Impact damage detection in composite laminates using PVDF and PZT sensor signals, *Journal of Intelligent Materials Systems and Structures*, 16(457): 1007-1013.
- Kim, Y.H., Jo, Y. and Murakami, Y. 2011. A computational analysis of the scarf angle on a composites repair, *International Journal of Ocean System Engineering*, 1(1): 9-15
- Kinnison, H.A., 2004. *Aviation Maintenance Mangement*, USA:Mc Graw Hill Inc.
- Kirikera, G.R., Shinde, V., Schulz, M.J., Ghoshal, A., Sundaresan, M.J. and Allenmang, R.J. 2008. A Structural neural system for real time health monitoring of composite materials, *Structural Health Monitoring*, 7(65): 65-83.
- Ko, J.M. and Ni, Y.Q. 2005. Technology developments in structural health monitoring of large-scale bridges *Engineering Structures*, 27(12): 1715-1725.
- Koh, T.M., Isa, M.D., Chang, P. and Mouritz, A.P. 2012. Improving the structural properties and damage tolerance of bonded composite joints using z-pins *Journal of Composite Materials*, 46(26): 3255-3265
- Kopsaftopoulos, F.P., and Fassois, S.D. 2013. A functional model based statistical time series method for vibration based damage detection, localization, and magnitude estimation *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 39(1-2): 143-161.

- Kousourakis, A., Bannister, M.K. and Mouritz, A.P. 2008. Tensile and compressive properties of polymer laminates containing internal sensor cavities, *Composites: Part A*, 39: 1394 – 1403.
- Kroes, M.J., Watskins W.A. and Delp, F. 1993. *Aircraft Maintenance and Repair*, Singapore: Macmillan/ McGraw Hill Co.
- Lamb H. 1910. *The dynamical theory of sound*, London: Edward Arnold
- Lanzara, G., Yoon, Y., Kim, Y. and Chang, F.K. 2009. Influence of interface degradation o the performance of piezoelectric actuators, *Journal of Intelligent Materials Systems and Structures*, 20: 1699-1710.
- Lasser, R., Lasser, M., Kula, J., Rich, D. and Westernik, R. 2010. Remote, Simple Nondestructive Testing Of Composite Defects With An Ultrasound Camera: A Boeing Study COMPOSITES 2010, American Composites Manufacturers Association, February 9-11, 2010, Las Vegas, Nevada USA.
- Lee, J., Chia, C.C., Shin, H.J., Park, C. and Yoon, D.J. 2011. Laser ultrasonic propagation imaging method in the frequency domain base on wavelet transformation, *Optics an lasers in Engineering*, 49: 167-175.
- Lee, J.R. and Yoon, C.Y. 2009. Development of an optical system for simultaneous ultrasonic wave propagation imaging at multi-points, *Experimental Mechanics*, 50(7): 1041-1049
- Leng, J. and Asundi, A. 2003. Structural health monitoring of smart composite materials by using EFPI and FBG sensors, *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 103(3): 330-340.
- Leong, M., Overgaard, L.C.T., Thomsen, O.T, Lund, E. and Daniel, I.M. 2012. Investigation of failure mechanisms in GFRP sandwich structures with face sheet wrinkle defects used for wind turbine blades, *Composite Structure*, 94(2): 768–778.
- Li, H.C.H., Wang, J. and Baker, A.A. 2012. Rapid composite bonded repair for helicopter tail drive shafts, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 43(3): 1579-1585.
- Lin, J. and Qu, L. 2000. Feature extraction based on Morlet wavelet and its application for mechanical fault diagnosis, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 234(1), 29 June 2000, Pages 135-148.
- Liu, F., Mao, Z. and Su, W. 2011. Outlier detection for process control data based on a non-linear Auto-Regression Hidden Markov Model method, *Transactions of the Institute of Measurement and Control*, 34(5): 527-538.
- Lopez, I. and Klijn, N. S. 2010. A review of uncertainty in flight vehicle structural damage monitoring, diagnosis and control: Challenges and opportunities, *Progress in Aerospace Sciences*, 46: 247-273.

- Low S.,(2013) *Jane's All the world's aircraft*, UK: Jane Publication Group, 102-165.
- Madani, K., Touzain, S., Feaugas, X., Cohendouz S. and Ratwani, M. (2009). Experimental and numerical study of repair techniques for panels with geometric discontinuity, *Computational Materials Science*, 48(1): 1407-1418.
- Madgav, A.V.G. and Soh, C.K. 2008. Uniplexing and Multiplexing of PZT Transducers for Structural health Monitoring, *Journal of Intelligent Materials Systems and Structures*, 19: 457-467.
- Mahoon, A. 1998. The role of nondestructive testing in airworthiness certification of civil aircraft composite structures, *Composites*, 19: 229-235.
- Maldague, X., Ziadi, A. and Klein, M. 2004. Double pulse infrared thermography, *NDT and E International*, 37:559–564.
- Maley, S., Plets, J. and Phan, N.D. 2007. US Navy Roadmap to Structural Health and Usage Monitoring – *The Present and Future Presented at the American Helicopter Society 63rd Annual Forum*, Virginia Beach, VA, May 1-3, 2007.
- Martin, W.N., Ghoshal, A., Sunaresan, M.J., Lebby, G., Pratap, P.R. and Schulz, M.J. 2004. An artificial neural receptor systems for structural health monitoring,, *Structural Health Monitoring*, 4: 153-160.
- Marsh, G, 2012, The challenge of composite fuselage repair, *Reinforced Plastic*, Vol 56(3): 30-35.
- Maruvada, G.T and McDonald, N. 2001. Human Systems and aircraft Maintenance, *Air and Space Europe*, 3(¾): 221-224.
- Michaels, J.E and Michaels, T.E. 2007. Guided wave signal processing and image fusion for insitu damage localization in plates, *Wave Motion*, 44: 482-492.
- Michie, C. 2000. Optical fiber sensors for advanced composite materials, *Comprehensive Composite Materials*, USA: Elsevier
- Miguel, J.T. Prad, A. and Hollmén, J. 2010. Novelty detection in projected spaces for structural health monitoring, *Proceeding IDA'10 Proceedings of the 9th international conference on Advances in Intelligent Data Analysis*, 208-219
- Mindlin, R. 1961. Higher Frequency Vibrations of Crystal Plates Quarterly *Applied Mathematics*, 19: 51–61.

- Mitsui Corp, (2013), Product brochure: Woodpecker WP632 retrieved on 21 August 2013 from <http://wp632.cadex.co.jp/products/wp632am.htm>.
- Montalvao, D., Maia, N.M.M., and Ribeiro, A.M.R. 2006. A review of vibration-based structural health monitoring with special emphasis on composite materials," *Shock and Vibration Digest*, 38(4): 295-326.
- Mrad, N. 2002. Optical fiber sensor technology: Introduction and evaluation and application: *Encyclopedia of Smart Materials*, Vol. 2. , USA: John Wiley and Sons, 715-737.
- Mujica, L.E., Vehi, J., Staszewski W. and Worden, K. 2008. Impact damage detection in aircraft composites using knowledge based reasoning, *Structural Health Monitoring*, 7(3): 215-230.
- Mustapha, F, Manson, G., Worden, K. and Pierce, S.G. 2007. Damage location in an isotropic plate using a vector of novelty indices, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 21: 1885-1906.
- Mustapha, F., Ng, K.T., Majid, D.L.A. 2012. Novitect; a Novelty Detection Soft-Computing Algorithm for Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) System, *Procedia Engineering*, 50: 494-504.
- Mustapha, F., Worden, K., Pierce S.G. and Manson, G. 2007. Damage detection using stress waves and multivariate statistics: *An Experimental case study of an aircraft component*, *Strain*, 43: 47-53.
- Mutel, F. 2010. *The world wide composite industry: structure, trends and innovation*, France, JEC Composites.
- Mutel, F. 2013. Strategic Study: *Key stakes for composite materials: Aeronautics industry the next decade*, First Edition, JEC Group.
- Naticchia, B., Vaccarini, M., Carbonari, A. and Scorrano, P. 2011. Auto-regressive Compensation technique for a reliable non-invasive Structural health System, 2011 *Proceedings of the 28th ISARC*, Seoul, Korea, S24-5, pp. 826-831.
- Niu, M.C.Y. 1992. *Composite Airframe Structures Practical Design Information and Data*, Hong Kong Conmilit Press.
- Nyman T. 1996. Composite fatigue design methodology: A simplified approach, *Composite Structures*, 2: 183-194.
- Olympus Inc. (2013). Product brochure: Phased array flawdetector-Omniscan® MX2, Olympus NDT Inc., retrieved on 21 August 2013 from <http://www.olympus-ims.com/en/omniscan-mx2/>
- Ostachowicz, W, Kudela, P, Malinowski P and Wandowski, T. 2009. Damage localization in plate like structures based on PZT sensors, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 23: 1805-1829.



- Ouyang, Y. 2005. Evaluation of river water quality monitoring stations by Principal Component Analysis, *Water Research*, 39: 2621~2635..
- Panigrahi, S.K. and Pradhan, B. 2009. Through the Width Delamination Damage Propagation Characteristics in Single Lap Laminated FRP Composite Joints, *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesive*, 29: 114-124.
- Park G., Rutherford, A.C., Sohn, H., and Farrar, C.R. 2005. An Outlier analysis framework for impedance based structural health monitoring, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 286: 229-250.
- Park, C.Y. and Kim, I.G. 2008. Prediction of impact forces on an aircraft composite wing, *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures*, 19: 319-324
- Park, G., Rutherford, A.C., Wait, J.R., Nedler, B., Farrar, C.R. and Claytor, T. N. 2005. High frequency response for composite plate monitoring with ultrasonic validation, *AIAA Journal*, 43(11): 2431-2437.
- Park, G., Sohn, H., Farrar, C.R. and Inman, D.J. 2003. Overview of Piezoelectric Impedance-based Health Monitoring and Path Forward, *The Shock and Vibration Digest*, 35(12): 33-37.
- Pavlopoulou S., Grammatikos S.A., Kordatos E.Z, Worden K., Paipetis A.S., Matikas, T.E. Soutis C (2015), Continuous debonding monitoring of a patch repaired helicopter stabilizer: Damage assessment and analysis *Composite Structures* 127: 231-244
- Peply, H.E. 1991. Volume 2, Chapter 2.3, *Engineered Materials Handbook*, Ohi: ASM International.
- Percetakan Nasional Malaysia Bhd. 2006. Laws of Malaysia-Act 3: Civil Aviation Act, 1969 The Commissioner Of Law Revision, Malaysia.
- Pipes, R.B. and Pagano, N.J. 1970. Interlaminar Stresses in Composite Laminates Under Uniform Axial Tension, *Journal of Composite Materials*, 4:538-548.
- Polimeno, U. and Meo, M. 2009. Detecting barely visible impact damage detection on aircraft composite structures, *Composite Structures*, 91: 398-402.
- Posser, S.J. 2005. Advanced in sensors for aerospace application. *Sensors and Actuators: A*, 37: 128-134.
- Price, M., Raghunathan, S. and Curran, R. 2006. An integrated systems engineering approach to aircraft design *Progress in Aerospace Sciences*, 42(4): 331-376.

- Qing, X.P., Chan, H., Beard, S.J., Ooi, T.K., and Marotta, S.A. 2006. Effect of adhesive on the performance of piezoelectric elements used to monitor structural health, *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 26(8): 622-628.
- Qiu, L. and Yuan, S. 2009. On development of a multi-channel PZT array scanning system and its evaluating application on UAV wing box, *Sensors and Actuator*, 15: 220-230.
- Raišutis, R., Kažys, R., Žukauskas, E., Mažeika, L. and Vladišauskas, A. 2010. Application of ultrasonic guided waves for non-destructive testing of defective CFRP rods with multiple delaminations, *NDT and E International*, 43(5): 416-42.
- Ren, B.Y. and Lissenden, C.J. 2013. Ultrasonic guided wave inspection of adhesive bonds between composite laminates *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 45: 59–68.
- Roach, D. 2009. Real time crack detection using mountable comparative vacuum monitoring sensors, *Smart Structures and Systems*, 5(4): 317-328.
- Robertson, A.N., Farrar, C.R. and Sohn, H. 2003. Singularity detection for structural health monitoring using Holder exponents, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 17(6): 1163-1184.
- Rose, J.L. 2002. Chapter 18 - Ultrasonic inspection of adhesive bonds, *Adhesion Science and Engineering*, 2: 699-724.
- Rose, J.L. 1999. *Ultrasonic Waves in Solid Media*, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Russell, A.J., and Bowers, C.P. 1991. Repair of Delamination and Impact Damage in Composite Aircraft Structures, *Composite Structures* 6, London: Elsevier Applied Science, pp 145-159.
- Růžek, R., Lohonka, R. and Jironč J. 2006. Ultrasonic C-Scan and shearography NDI techniques evaluation of impact defects identification , *NDT and E International*, 39(2):132-142.
- Ryu, C., Lee, J., Kim, C., and Hong, C. 2008. Buckling behavior monitoring of a composite wing box using multiplexed and multi-channel built in fiber Bragg grating strain sensors, *NDT & E*, 41: 534-543.
- Salas, K.I. and Cesnik, C.E.S. 2009. CLoVER: An Alternative concept for damage interrogation in structural health monitoring systems, *The Aeronautical Journal*, 113(1144): 339- 357.
- Savage, G. and Oxley, M., 2010, Repair of composite structures on Formula 1 race cars, *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 17:70-82.
- Schijve, J. 1994. Fatigue of aircraft materials and structures, *International Journal of Fatigue*, 16(1): 21-32.

- Schmid, V.J. and Meyer-Baese, A. 2004. Statistical and syntactic pattern recognition, *Pattern Recognition and Signal Analysis in Medical Imaging*, USA: Elsevier Academic Press.
- Schulz, M.J., Ghoshal, A., Sundaresan, M.J., Pai P.F. and Chung, J.H. 2003. Theory of Damage Detection using Constrained Vibration Deflection shapes, *Structural Health Monitoring*, 2(1): 75-99.
- Scott, I.G. and Scala, C.M. 1982. A Review of Non-Destructive Testing of Composite Materials, *NDT International*, 15(2):75-86.
- Shao, R., Jia, F., Martin, E.B. and Morris. A.J. 1999. Wavelets and non-linear principal components analysis for process monitoring. *Control Engineering Practice*, 7(7): 865-879
- Shimadzu AGx-50kN retrieved on 8 Mac 2011 from [www.ssi.shimadzu.com/products/literature/Testing/AG-X\\_Series.pdf](http://www.ssi.shimadzu.com/products/literature/Testing/AG-X_Series.pdf)
- Siffuzzaman, M., Islam M.R., and Ali M.Z. 2009 Application of wavelet transform and its advantages compared to Fourier Transform., *Journal of Physical Science*, 13: 121-134.
- Smith, P.J. and Wilson, R.D. 1985. Damage tolerant composite wing panels for transport aircraft. NASA Technical Report, CR-3951.
- Sohn, H., Farrar, C.R., Hunter, N.F. and Worden, K. 2001. Structural health monitoring using Statistical Pattern Recognition, *Journal of Dynamics System, Measurement and Control*, 123(4): 1-29.
- Sonatest Inc. 2013. Sitiescan D+ Series, Sonatest Limited, Part No. 147359, Issue 2, Product Brochure retrieved on 28 August 2013 from <http://www.sonatest.com/products/range/transducers/probes/single/>.
- Speckman, H. 2007. Focal Point for SHM, *IMRBPB Meeting, EASA*, Cologne, April 22, 2007.
- Sriram, C. and Haghani, A. 2003. An optimization model for aircraft maintenance scheduling and re-assignment. *Transportation Research, Part A*, 37: 29-48.
- Staszewski, W.J., Mahzan, S. and Traynor, R. 2009. Health monitoring of aerospace composite structures-active and passive approach, *Composite Science and Technology*, 69: 1678-1685.
- Staszewski, W.J., Boller, C., and Tomlinson, G. 2003. *Health monitoring of Aerospace structures, Smart Sensors Technologies and Signal Processing*, Great Britain: John Wiley.
- Strang, A. 1993. Wavelet transforms versus Fourier transform, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 28(2): 288 – 305.

- Strutt, J. W. 1877. *The Theory of Sound*, UK: Macmillan, London,
- Su, Z., Ye, L. and Lu, Y. 2006. Guided Lamb waves for identification of damage in composite structures: A review, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 295(3-5): 753-780.
- Sultan, M.T.H., Worden, K., Pierce S.G., Hickey, D., Staszewski, W.J., Dulieu-Barton, J.M. and Hodzic, A. 2011. On impact damage detection and quantification for CFRP laminates using structural response data only, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 25: 3135–3152.
- Sundaresan, M.J., Pai, P.F., Ghoshal, A., Schulz, M.J., Ferguson F. and Chung, J.H. 2001. Methods of distributed sensing for health monitoring of composite material structures, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 32(9): 1357-1374.
- Suresh S. 1998. *Fatigue of Materials*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, UK: Cambridge Press.
- Takeda, N., Okabe, T. and Mizutani, T. 2007. Damage detection in composites using optical fiber sensors, *Journal of Aerospace, Proc. IMechE*, 22(G): 497-508.
- Takeda, S., Aoki, Y. and Nagao, Y. 2012. Damage monitoring of CFRP stiffened panels under compressive load using FBG sensors, *Composite Structures*, 94(3): 813-819.
- Tan, X., Chen, Y. and Jin, P. 2005. Corrosion Fatigue Life Prediction of Aircraft Structure Based on Fuzzy Reliability Approach, *Chinese Journal of Aeronautics*, 18(4): 346-351.
- Thunga, M., Larson, K., Lio, W., Weerasekera, T., Akinc, M. and Kessler M.R. 2013. Low viscosity cyanate ester resin for the injection repair of hole-edge delaminations in bismaleimide/carbon fiber composites, *Composites: Part A*, 52: 31–37.
- Tooren, M.V., Kasapoglou, C. and Bersee, H. 2011. Composite Materials, composite structures, composite systems, *The Aeronautical Journal*, 115(1174): 779-787.
- Usamentiaga R., Venegas, P., Guerediaga, J., Vega, L. and López, I. 2012. Non-destructive inspection of drilled holes in reinforced honeycomb sandwich panels using active thermography b, *Infrared Physics and Technology*, 55:491–501.
- Valdes S.H.D. and Soutis C. 1999. Delamination detection in composite laminates from variation of their modal characteristics”, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 1: 1-9.
- VanderKlok, A., Dutta, A. and Tekalur, S.A. 2013. Metal to composite bolted joint behavior evaluated at impact rates of loading *Composite Structures*, 106: 446-452.

- Verijenko, B. and Verijenko, V. 2005. A new structural health monitoring for composite laminates, *Composite Structures*, 21: 315-319.
- Veríssimo, M.I.S., Mantas, P.Q., Senos A.M.R., Oliveira, J.A.B.P. and Gomes, M.T.S.R. 2009. *Preparation of PZT discs for use in an acoustic wave sensor Ceramics International*, 35(2): 617-622.
- Wagner, M. and Norris, G. 2009. *Boeing 787 Dreamliner*, USA: Zenith Imprint.
- Wait, J.R., Park, G. and Farrar, C.R. 2005. Integrated Structural Health Assessment using Piezoelectric Active Sensors, *Shock and Vibrations*, 12(6): 1-38.
- Wallace, M., Azzam, H and Newman, S. 2004. Indirect approaches to individual structural monitoring, *J Mech*, 218(G): 329-346.
- Wang C.H. and Gunnion, A.J. 2009. Optimum shapes of scarf repairs, *Composites: Part A*, 40: 1407-1418.
- Wang, C.H., Gunnion, A.J. and Baker, A.A. 2009. Battle damage repair of a helicopter frame skin junction, Part 1: Depot Repair, *Composite Part A*, 40: 1433-1446.
- Wang, J., Stankiewicz, M., Zhou, Z. Baker A.A. and Chiu, W.K. 2010. Battle damage repair of a helicopter frame-to-skin junction: A sole external repair approach, *Composite Structures*, 92:936-949.
- Wang, L. and Yuan, F.G. 2006, Experimental study of Lamb wave propagation in composite laminates, *Smart Structures and Materials*, 6174: 132-144.
- Wang, L. and Yuan, F.G. 2007. Active damage localization technique based on energy propagation of Lamb waves, *Smart Structures and Systems*, 3(2): 201-217.
- Wang, S., Kovalik, D.P. and Ching, D.D.L. 2004 Self sensing attained in carbon fiber polymer matrix structural composites by using the interlaminar interface as a sensor, *Smart Material Structure*, 13: 570-592.
- Ward, P. and Parish, H.E. 1969. The Choice of Fail Safe and Safe Life Fatigue Philosophies in Aircraft Design, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, *Conference Proceedings*, 184(2): 238-261.
- Webb, R. 2005. Statistical Pattern Recognition, England: John Wiley and Sons.
- White, C., Herszberg, I. and Mouritz, A.P. 2009. Structural Consequences of Sensor Cavities In Scarf Repairs, *Materials Forum*. 33: 427-434

- Whittingham, B., Baker, A.A., Harman A. and Bitton, D. 2009. Micrographic studies on adhesively bonded scarf repairs to thick composite structures, *Composites, Part A*, 40:1419-1432.
- Wiedemann, M. 2009. The future aircraft maintenance of CFRP Structural parts, *Forum Proceedings Aeronautic Forum presented at JEC Composite Asia* on October 14, 2009.
- Wilkins, D.J., Eisenmann, J.R., Camin, R.A., Margolis, W.S., and Benson, R.A., (1982). *Characterizing Delamination Growth in Graphite-Epoxy*, *ASTM STP 775*, 168-183, 1982.
- Worden, K. and Dulieu-Barton, J.M. 2004, An Overview of Intelligent Fault Detection in Systems and Structures, *Structural Health Monitoring*, 31(1): 85-98.
- Worden, K. and Manson, G. 2007. The application of machine learning to structural health monitoring, *Phil. Trans R Soc*, 365: 515-537.
- Worden, K., Manson, G., and Fieler, N.R.J. 2000. Damage Detection using Outlier Analysis, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 229(3): 647-667.
- Worlton, D.C., 1961, Experimental Confirmation of Lamb Waves at Megacycle Frequencies, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 32: 967-971.
- Wu, W., and Syau, J. 1993. Study of the nondestructive inspection frequency based on structural reliability considerations, *NDT and E International*, 26(5): 249-254
- Wu, Z., Qing X.P. and Chang, F.K. 2009. Damage detection for composite laminate plates with a distributed hybrid PZT/ FBG sensor network, *Journal of Intelligent Systems and Structures*, 20: 1069-1077.
- Xiao, H., Li, H. and Ou, J., 2011. Strain sensing properties of cement-based sensors embedded at various stress zones in a bending concrete beam, *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 167(2): 581-587.
- Yan, A.M., Kerschen, G., Boe, P.D. and Golinval, J.C. 2005. Structural damage diagnosis under varying environmental conditions—Part I: *A linear analysis*, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 19(4): 847-864.
- Yang, Y., Hu, Y. and Lu, Y. 2008. Sensitivity of PZT Impedance Sensors for Damage Detection of Concrete Structures *Sensors*, 8(1): 327-346.
- Yonggang, W. and Honglang, L. 2011. Summary and Analysis of the Aging Aircrafts' Failure, *Procedia Engineering*, 17: 303-309.
- Yuan, S., Lai, X., Zhao, X., Xu, X. and Zhang, H. 2006. Distributed structural health monitoring system based on smart wireless sensor and multi agent technology, *Smart Materials and Structures*, 15: 101-113.

Zhang, H., Schulz M.J. and Feruson, F. 2002. Structural health monitoring using transmittance functions, *Mechanical Systems and Signal processing*, 2: 357-378.

Zhao, X., Qian, T., Mei, G., Kwan, C., Zane, R., Walsh, C. Paing, T. and Popovic, Z., 2007. Active Health monitoring of an aircraft wing with an embedded piezoelectric sensor/actuator network: II. Wireless approaches, *Smart Materials and Structures*, 16: 1218-1225.

