



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**THE EFFECTS OF VERBAL PROBLEM SOLVING INSTRUCTION ON
STUDENTS' STRUCTURING ACQUISITION AND RETENTION OF
KNOWLEDGE IN METHODS OF COOKING**

ALIAH HJ. AHMAD SHAH.

FPP 2005 26



**THE EFFECTS OF VERBAL PROBLEM SOLVING INSTRUCTION ON
STUDENTS' STRUCTURING, ACQUISITION AND RETENTION OF
KNOWLEDGE IN METHODS OF COOKING**

By

ALIAH HJ. AHMAD SHAH

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

May 2005



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

THE EFFECTS OF VERBAL PROBLEM SOLVING INSTRUCTION ON STUDENTS' STRUCTURING, ACQUISITION AND RETENTION OF KNOWLEDGE IN METHODS OF COOKING

By

ALIAH HJ. AHMAD SHAH

May 2005

Chairperson: Associate Professor Mohd. Majid Bin Konting, PhD

Faculty: Educational Studies

The purpose of this study is to examine the effects of teaching verbal problem solving to students' structuring, acquisition and retention of knowledge in Methods of Cooking. The study is carried out on 148 students from two classes each of Catering Technology (n=77) and Food Management (n=71). Taken as intact, the classes are randomly assigned into two experiment (n=37; n=35) and two control (n=40; n=36) groups. Using a quasi-experimental of non-equivalent control group of pretest, posttest and delayed posttest design, 10 treatment of teaching using verbal problem solving is given for a duration of 40 minutes of classroom teaching for four weeks. For the pretest, posttest and delayed posttest, parallel achievement tests are used to demonstrate the amount of knowledge acquired and retained after the treatment. A concept mapping test is used to assess the knowledge structure of the students before



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KESAN PENGAJARAN PENYELESAIAN MASALAH SECARA VERBAL
TERHADAP STRUKTUR, PENGUASAAN DAN PENGEKALAN
PENGETAHUAN DALAM KAEDAH MEMASAK**

Oleh

ALIAH HJ. AHMAD SHAH

Mei 2005

Pengerusi: Professor Madya Mohd. Majid Bin Konting, PhD

Fakulti: Educational Studies

Tujuan kajian ini ialah untuk mengkaji kesan pengajaran penyelesaian masalah secara lisan ke atas penstrukturan, penguasaan dan pengekalan pengetahuan pelajar dalam Kaedah Memasak. Kajian dijalankan ke atas 148 pelajar daripada dua kelas Teknologi Katering (n=77) dan dua kelas Pengurusan Makanan (n=71). Sampel kajian yang berada dalam kelas asal diperuntukkan secara rawak kepada dua kelas eksperimen (n=37; n=35) dan dua kelas kawalan (n=40; n=36). Dengan menggunakan kaedah kuasi eksperimen kumpulan kawalan tak setara dengan ujian pra, ujian pasca dan ujian pasca yang dilewatkan, rawatan menggunakan penyelesaian masalah secara lisan diberi selama 10 kali 40 minit pengajaran dalam bilik darjah untuk tempoh empat minggu. Untuk ujian pra, ujian pasca dan ujian pasca yang dilewatkan, ujian pencapaian setara digunakan bagi menunjukkan

pengetahuan yang dikuasai dan yang dikekalkan selepas rawatan. Ujian peta konsep digunakan untuk mentaksir struktur pengetahuan pelajar sebelum dan selepas rawatan. Ujian pasca yang dilewatkan bagi pengekaln pengetahuan diberi selepas sembilan minggu tempoh rawatan selesai.

Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa pengajaran penyelesaian masalah dapat meningkatkan dengan berkesan min ujian pasca bagi penstrukturan pengetahuan dalam Kaedah Memasak bagi pelajar dalam kumpulan eksperimen bagi Teknologi Katering ($t=18.23$, $p<.01$); Pengurusan Makanan ($t=23.19$, $p<.01$); dan skor bagi Teknologi Katering dan Pengurusan Makanan yang digabungkan ($t=28.27$, $p<.01$). Dapatan kajian juga menunjukkan min ujian pasca untuk penstrukturan pengetahuan dalam Kaedah Memasak bagi pelajar dalam kumpulan eksperimen adalah lebih tinggi daripada min ujian pasca untuk penstrukturan pengetahuan dalam Kaedah Memasak bagi pelajar kumpulan kawalan bagi Teknologi Katering ($F=47.02$, $p<.01$) dan skor Teknologi Katering dan Pengurusan Makanan yang digabungkan ($F=21.97$, $p<.01$). Min skor ujian pasca bagi penguasaan pengetahuan pelajar dalam kumpulan eksperimen juga adalah lebih tinggi secara bererti daripada min skor ujian pasca pelajar dalam kumpulan kawalan bagi pelajar Teknologi Katering ($F=6.33$, $p<.05$), Pengurusan Makanan ($F=15.46$, $p<.01$) dan skor Teknologi Katering dan Pengurusan Makanan yang digabungkan ($F=21.17$, $p<.01$). Bagaimanapun pengajaran kaedah penyelesaian masalah secara verbal ini tidak dapat membantu dalam pengekaln pengetahuan secara berkesan untuk pelajar-pelajar Teknologi Katering ($F=.30$, $p>.05$), Pengurusan

Makanan ($F=1.56$, $p>.05$) dan skor Teknologi Katering dan Pengurusan Makanan yang digabungkan ($F=1.45$, $p>.05$).

Kajian ini menunjukkan kaedah pengajaran penyelesaian masalah secara lisan adalah satu strategi pengajaran yang efektif untuk penstrukturan pengetahuan bagi mata pelajaran Teknologi Katering. Kajian juga menunjukkan pengajaran penyelesaian masalah secara lisan ini merupakan satu teknik yang berkesan untuk penguasaan pengetahuan bagi kedua-dua mata pelajaran Teknologi Katering dan Pengurusan Makanan. Bagaimanapun kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa pengajaran penyelesaian masalah secara lisan tidak menunjukkan kesan yang jelas terhadap pengekal pengetahuan dalam mata pelajaran Teknologi Katering dan Pengurusan Makanan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research has been developed through the cumulative contributions and efforts of many people, without which would not have been able to be conducted successfully. Hence, I would like to express my most sincere gratitude, appreciation and thanks to the following individuals:

I would like to express my sincere thanks and extend my greatest appreciation to my supervisory committee members consisting of Associate Professor Dr. Mohd. Majid Bin Konting, Associate Professor Dr. Rosini Binti Abu and Dr. Samsilah Binti Roslan, for having unwavering concern, kindness, sympathy and patience in discussing meticulously all the problems and obstacles with critical and constructive ideas and also to validate my instruments. And most of all, they have guided me to view events in a clear perspective and comprehensive manner. Their encouragement has given me the courage and strength to strive for excellence in my area of study.

To fellow lecturers from Universiti Putra Malaysia, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia and Universiti Malaya, I would like to convey my sincere thanks for being very generous in sharing their knowledge, expertise, ideas, advice and experiences with me. In addition, the effort and time to validate the instruments for the study is deeply appreciated.



'Thank you' to Professor Joseph D. Novak from Cornell University USA who has kindly guided me in developing the concept mapping instrument for this research and giving me insights about the study. His generosity in giving invaluable views and comments as well as his willingness to communicate through e-mail has helped me to strengthen my theoretical understanding of the Assimilation Learning Theory.

My sincere thanks to Professor Emeritus David P. Ausubel, for being so forthcoming in granting me the permission for modifying his four stage model of problem solving to become the proposed five stage model of problem solving which I have used in my research. I would also like to thank him for giving me his invaluable views about the proposed five stage model of problem solving which have made me more confident in using it for my research.

I would also like to thank Professor Ronald L. VanSickle from University of Georgia Athens and Professor Byungro Son from Korea National University of Education for sharing their thoughts and research findings which have advocated me the confidence in carrying out the research.

I would like to especially thank Dr. Nooreen Binti Noordin of Universiti Putra Malaysia, my husband, Ir. Mohd Zain Bin Tamsir, my brother Abd. Salam Bin Hj. Ahmad Shah and my sister, Mo'minah Binti Hj. Ahmad Shah, for meticulously read, edit and proof read the thesis.

My extension of thanks also goes to the Ministry of Education Malaysia and Technical Education Department of the Ministry of Education for granting permission to conduct the research in the schools concerned and to the supporting staff of various local universities and institution for providing me the assistance and necessary facilities.

My deepest appreciation is also dedicated to the Headmaster of Sekolah Menengah Teknik (ERT) Setapak, Jalan Genting Klang Kuala Lumpur, Pn. Hjh. Zaleha Binti Wahid for granting the permission to carry out the research in her school, the teachers of Sekolah Menengah Teknik (ERT) Setapak, Jalan Genting Klang Kuala Lumpur, especially Pn. Hjh. Narimah Binti Ismail, Pn. Kalsom Binti Ahmad, Pn. Rozita Binti Shahid and Pn. Siti Hawa Binti Md. Salleh, for their endless effort in helping me carry out the research. My deepest gratitude are also to Pn. Hjh. Hassanah Binti Dato' Muhammad Shah of Sekolah Menengah Teknik (ERT) Rembau, Pn. Hjh. Narimah Binti Ismail and Pn. Rozita Binti Shahid of of Sekolah Menengah Teknik (ERT) Setapak, for helping me mark the test papers. My thanks are also for the Form Four students of Catering Technology and Food Management 2003, for their full participation, co-operation and enthusiasm. May their future be always bright prosperous.

To the Headmaster of Sekolah Menengah Teknik (ERT) Rembau, the Headmaster of Sekolah Menengah Teknik (ERT) Azizah Johore Bahru and the Headmaster of Sekolah Menengah Teknik Muar Johore, thank you for allowing me continue to do my pilot study in your school.



To my husband Ir. Mohd Zain Bin Tamsir, I dedicate this special thanks to you for giving me the motivation, encouragement, support, tender loving kindness and consideration for me to pursue my studies.

Last, but not least, thank you very much to my parent in-laws, Hj. Tamsir Bin Hj. Rabidin and Hjh. Zainun Binti Hj. Hussain, my brothers, Abd. Salam and Abd Kudus, my brother in-law, Kamarulizham Bin Hazizi, my sisters, Aminah, Mo'minah and Hanunah, my sister in-law, Zaiton Binti Othman, my son, Muhammad Afiq and daughters, Amanina Fasihah and Aiman Faiqah as well as nieces and nephews, relatives and friends for your prayers, encouragement, invaluable support, loving kindness and compassion.

May you all be well, in good health and always be blessed by Allah.



TABLE OF CONTENT

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	v
AKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
APPROVAL	xii
DECLARATION	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	xix
LIST OF FIGURES	xxii
LIST OF GLOSSARY TERMS	xxvi
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of Problem	6
1.3 Objective	9
1.4 Research Hypotheses	10
1.5 Scope and Limitation of Study	11
1.6 Significance of the Study	15
1.7 Operational Definition of Terms	17
1.7.1 Structuring of knowledge	17
1.7.2 Acquisition of knowledge	19
1.7.3 Retention of knowledge	20
1.7.4 Verbal problem solving instruction	21
1.7.5 Concept Map	22
1.8 Summary	22
2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE	23
2.1 Introduction	23
2.2 Theoretical Background	23
2.3 Assimilation Learning Theory	25
2.3.1 Subsumption	28
2.3.2 Progressive Differentiation	28
2.3.3 Obliterative Subsumption	29
2.3.4 Superordinate Learning	30
2.3.5 Integrative Reconciliation	32
2.3.6 Advance Organizer	33
2.4 Meaningful Learning versus Rote Learning	35
2.5 Structuring, Acquisition and Retention of Knowledge	43
2.6 Problem Solving	49
2.6.1 Definition of Problem Solving	49
2.6.2 Approaches of Problem Solving	52
2.6.3 Models of problem Solving	55
2.6.4 Meaningful Learning and Problem solving	61
2.7 Reception learning versus Discovery Learning	63



2.8	Structuring, Acquisition and Retention of Knowledge and Problem Solving	67
2.9	Assessment of Structures, Acquisition and Retention of Knowledge	76
2.9.1	Tools to Assess Knowledge Structures	76
2.9.2	Concept Mapping as an Assessment Tool	79
2.10	Validity and Reliability of Concept Mapping as an Assessment Tool	81
2.11	Tools to Assess Acquisition and Retention of Knowledge	86
2.12	Conceptual Framework of The Study	89
2.13	Summary	91
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	93
3.2	Research Design	93
3.3	Duration of Study	96
3.4	Schedules and Procedure of the Study	97
3.4.1	Teachers' Training and Briefing	98
3.4.2	The Pretest	102
3.4.3	Concept-mapping Briefing	104
3.4.4	Distribution of Notes on Methods of Cooking (Advance Organizer)	105
3.5	Treatments	105
3.5.1	Development of Problem Solving Instruction Guidelines	108
3.5.2	Verbal Problem Solving Instruction For Experiment Group	123
3.5.3	Traditional Expository Instruction For Control Group	144
3.6	Control of Threats to Internal Validity	146
3.7	Instrumentation	155
3.7.1	Achievement Test	156
3.7.2	Structuring of Knowledge Test	157
3.8	Content Validity of the Instruments	159
3.8.1	Content Validity of the Instruction Guide	159
3.8.2	Content Validity of the Test Instruments	160
3.9	Construct Validation of the Achievement Tests	161
3.10	Reliability of the Test Instruments	163
3.11	Administration of Test Instruments	165
3.12	Scoring Procedure	167
3.13	The Sample	170
3.13.1	The Subjects	170
3.13.2	The Schools	172
3.13.3	The Students	173
3.13.4	Description of the Sample	176
3.14	The Posttest	176



3.15	The Retention Test	177
3.16	Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)	178
3.17	Statistical Analysis	178
3.18	The Pilot Study	184
3.19	Ethics of the Study	187
3.20	Summary	188
4	RESULTS	
4.1	Introduction	189
4.2	Results of Pretest	190
	4.2.1 Pretest for Structuring of Knowledge	191
	4.2.2 Pretest for Acquisition of Knowledge	192
	4.2.3 Summary of Pretests	193
4.3	Posttests	194
4.4	Effects of Problem Solving Instruction on Structuring of Knowledge in Methods of Cooking based on t test and ANCOVA	202
	4.4.1 The Difference in Mean for Structuring of Knowledge Before and After the Treatment	202
	4.4.2 The Difference in Mean for Structuring of Knowledge between the Experimental Group and the Control Group	205
	4.4.3 Summary of the Effects of Verbal Problem Solving Instruction on Structuring of Knowledge in Methods of Cooking	207
	4.4.4 Further Analysis of the Effects of Verbal Problem Solving Instruction on Structuring of Knowledge in Methods of Cooking	209
	4.4.5 Hypotheses Concerning the Effects of Verbal Problem Solving Instruction on Structuring of Knowledge in Methods of Cooking	213
4.5	Effects of Verbal Problem Solving Instruction on Acquisition of Knowledge in Methods of Cooking based on t test and ANCOVA	215
	4.5.1 The Difference in Mean for Acquisition of Knowledge Before and After the Treatment	215
	4.5.2 The Difference in Mean for Acquisition of Knowledge between the Experimental Group and the Control Group	218
	4.5.3 Summary of the Effects of Verbal Problem Solving Instruction on Acquisition of Knowledge in Methods of Cooking	220
	4.5.4 Further Analysis of the Effects of Verbal Problem Solving Instruction on Acquisition of Knowledge in Methods of Cooking	221
	4.5.5 Hypotheses Concerning the Effects of Verbal Problem Solving Instruction on Acquisition of Knowledge in Methods of Cooking	226



4.6	Effects of Verbal Problem Solving Instruction on Retention of Knowledge in Methods of Cooking based on t test and ANCOVA	227
4.6.1	The Difference in Mean between the Retention and the Acquisition of knowledge in Methods of Cooking	228
4.6.2	The Difference in the Retention Mean for Knowledge in Methods of Cooking between the Experimental Group and Control Group	230
4.6.3	Summary of the Effects of Verbal Problem Solving Instruction on Retention of Knowledge in Methods of Cooking	232
4.6.4	Further Analysis of the Effects of Verbal Problem Solving Instruction on Retention of Knowledge in Methods of Cooking	233
4.6.5	Hypotheses Concerning the Effects of Problem Solving Instruction on Acquisition of Knowledge in Methods of Cooking	237
4.7	Summary	238
5	DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION and RECOMMENDATION	
5.1	Introduction	240
5.2	Summary	240
5.3	Discussion	243
5.3.1	The Effects of Problem Solving Instruction on Structuring of Knowledge	244
5.3.2	The Effects of Problem Solving Instruction on Acquisition of Knowledge	250
5.3.3	The Effects of Problem Solving Instruction on Retention of Knowledge	253
5.3.4	Contribution of this Study towards the Development of Knowledge	259
5.4	Conclusion	261
5.5	Theoretical Implication	262
5.6	Practical Implication	266
5.7	Recommendations	268
5.8	Suggestion for Future Research	270
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	272
	APPENDICES	
	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	463

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 The difference between meaningful learning and rote learning	43
2.2 Stages in the learning and retention of a subordinate Idea in relation to its dissociability strength (Taken from Ausubel, 1968 p. 91)	44
2.3 Scoring criteria for concept maps by Novak and Gowin (1984)	85
3.1 Allocation of classes	95
3.2 Schedule of the study in chronology	98
3.3 Adaptations of Proposed Five Stage Model from Ausubel and Robinson's Four Stage Model	111
3.4 Allocation of time for all the teaching sessions for experimental group and control group	115
3.5 Treatment given to experimental group and control groups	117-123
3.6 Statistics of the achievement test	150
3.7 Types of items and constructs measured for pretest, posttest and retention test	162
3.8 Reliability index of the achievement and concept-mapping test	165
3.9 Inter-rater reliability of the achievement and concept-mapping test of the two examiners based on student's scores on individual items and total score	169
3.10 The number of participating Catering Technology and Food Management students in the research	175
3.11 The hypothesis and statistical tests	180

4.1	Independent sample t-test to compare means for structuring of knowledge in Methods of Cooking before the treatment	191
4.2	Independent sample t-test to compare means for acquisition of knowledge in Methods of Cooking before the treatment	192
4.3a	Multivariate test of significance on three dependent variables for Catering Technology group	195
4.3b	Test of between subject effects on three dependent variables for Catering Technology group	196
4.3c	Multivariate test of significance on three dependent variables for Food Management group	197
4.3d	Test of Between subject effects on three dependent variables for Food Management group	198
4.3e	Multivariate test of significance on three dependent variables for Catering Technology and Food Management group combined	199
4.3f	Test of Between subject effects on three dependent variables for Catering Technology and Food Management group combined	200
4.4a	Paired sample t-test for prestructuring-postructuring of knowledge in Methods of Cooking	203
4.4b	Independent sample t-test to compare means for structuring of knowledge in Methods of Cooking after the treatment	206
4.4c	Effect size calculated based on paired sample t-test and independent sample t-test for structuring of knowledge in Methods of Cooking	208
4.4d	Test of between- subject effects using ANCOVA for structuring of knowledge for Catering Technology experimental group after the treatment	210
4.4e	Test of between- subject effects using ANCOVA for structuring of knowledge for Catering Technology and Food Management experimental group combined after the treatment	212
4.4f	Test of between- subject effects using ANCOVA for structuring of knowledge for Food Management experimental group after the Treatment	213



4.5a	Paired sample t-test for pretest-posttest for acquisition of knowledge in Methods of Cooking	216
4.5b	Independent sample t-test to compare means for acquisition of knowledge in Methods of Cooking	219
4.5c	Effect size calculated based on paired sample t-test and Independent sample t-test for acquisition of knowledge in Methods of Cooking	221
4.5d	Test of between- subject effects using ANCOVA for acquisition of knowledge for Catering Technology group	223
4.5e	Test of between- subject effects using ANCOVA for acquisition of knowledge for Food Management group	224
4.5f	Test of between- subject effects using ANCOVA for acquisition of knowledge for Catering and Food Management group combined	225
4.6a	Paired sample t-test for to compare means for retention of knowledge in Methods of Cooking	229
4.6b	Independent sample t-test to compare means for retention of knowledge in Methods of Cooking	231
4.6c	Test of between- subject effects using ANCOVA for retention of knowledge for Catering Technology group with acquisition and pretest scores as the covariates	234
4.6d	Test of between- subject effects using ANCOVA for retention of knowledge for Food Management group with acquisition and pretest scores as the covariates	235
4.6e	Test of between- subject effects using ANCOVA for retention of knowledge for Catering Technology and Food Management group combined with acquisition and pretest scores as the covariates	236

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Basic Principles in Assimilation Learning Theory	27
2.2 Superordinate Learning (Modified from Ausubel 2000)	31
2.3 Rate of Learning between Meaningful Learning and Rote Learning (Novak 1998 pp.62)	39
2.4 Concept learning through the process of concept formation in early Stage and concept assimilation in schooling age (Novak 1998 pp.43)	41
2.5 Derivative Subsumption (Taken from Ausubel 2000 pp.106)	45
2.6 Derivative Subsumption of Boiling as Method of Cooking	46
2.7 Correlative Subsumption (Taken from Ausubel 2000 pp.106)	46
2.8 Correlative Subsumption of Dry Method of Cooking	47
2.9 SSCS model adapted from Pizzini, Abell and Shepardson (1988) pp.24 and DAPIC model adapted from Meier, Hovde and Meier (1996)	56
2.10 IDEAL Model of Problem Solving by Bransford and Stein	58
2.11 Meaningful learning versus rote learning and its connection with reception learning and discovery learning. Adapted from Goodwin and Kalusmeier (1975) pp.187	64
2.12 Continuum of Discovery Learning and Expository Teaching Gorman (1974) pp.99	66
2.13 A concept-map of chromatography (Pendley, Bretz, & Novak, 1994)	78
2.14 Conceptual Framework of the Study	91
3.1 The design of the study	95
3.2 Treatment Used in the Research	107
3.3 Development of Verbal Problem Solving Instruction	109
3.4 Instruments used in this study	156

3.5	A concept-map of boiling that can be constructed based on a given set of words	158
3.6	Catering Technology and Food Management as Home Economics Subjects in Malaysian Technical Schools	171



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ALT	Assimilation Learning Theory
PGT	Proposition Generating Task
N	Number of subjects
df	Degree of freedom
M	Mean
SD	Standard Deviation
SEM	Standard Error Mean
MD	Mean Difference
MS	Mean Square
P	Significant level
η^2	Etta Squared

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The Assimilation Learning Theory (ALT) by Ausubel (1968) consists of six basic concepts for meaningful learning. The six basic concepts are subsumption, progressive differentiation, integrative reconciliation, obliterative subsumption, superordinate learning and advance organizer. Subsumption is a process whereby new knowledge is accreted from the cognitive structure of the learner. Accretion of new knowledge is facilitated by the availability of preacquired ideas in the cognitive structure. The presence of relevant, clear and stable preacquired ideas in the learner's cognitive structure facilitates meaningful learning. Current knowledge that interacts with the relevant background knowledge in the cognitive structure is assimilated to form new knowledge structure. The construction of new knowledge structures continues through the process of meaningful learning.

As new knowledge is acquired, concepts and propositions become more elaborate. The formation of interconnections of concepts and linkages between concepts take place in a manner of 'progressive differentiation'. As new linkages are formed, subordinate concepts acquire new meanings and 'superordinate learning' takes place. Superordinate learning involves



modifications of previously learned concepts and/or propositions, which also results in progressive differentiation of cognitive structure. As superordinate learning occurs, concepts and propositions that are seen as discrete or in conflict may be integrated into new higher order concept meanings. This process is termed by Ausubel (1968; 2000) as 'integrative reconciliation'. Integrative reconciliation continues as learners gain more meanings and linkages to distinct concepts.

Knowledge gained through meaningful learning is subsumed in the cognitive structure. The new meaningful knowledge is retained much longer than knowledge that is rote learned. However, learning and forgetting in rote learning are not equivalent to learning and forgetting in meaningful learning. Ausubel (1968; 2000) coined the term 'obliterative subsumption' to represent forgetting in meaningful learning. By obliterative subsumption, a meaningfully gained knowledge is not forgotten entirely. Residual concepts remain after subordinate concepts and details are lost. The residual concepts form anchoring ideas in cognitive structure. These anchoring ideas will be useful to facilitate new relevant meaningful learning when required.

Knowledge could be more easily linked to existing relevant concepts in cognitive structure by the use of 'advance organizer'. Ausubel emphasizes that advance organizers are different from overviews and summaries. Organizers act as a subsuming bridge between new learning material and existing related ideas. The function of an advance organizer is to connect