

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

FRACTIONATION OF CATFISH PROTEIN HYDROLYSATES USING ULTRAFILTRATION MEMBRANE

DONYA NOVIN

FK 2014 136



FRACTIONATION OF CATFISH PROTEIN HYDROLYSATES USING ULTRAFILTRATION MEMBRANE

By

DONYA NOVIN

Thesis Submitted to School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

July 2014

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

DEDICATION

To my dearly beloved father and mother for their endless love, support, care and encouragement.

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

FRACTIONATION OF CATFISH PROTEIN HYDROLYSATES USING ULTRAFILTRATION MEMBRANE

By

DONYA NOVIN

July 2014

Chairman: Khairul Faezah Md. Yunos, PhD Faculty: Engineering

Membrane filtration process of different protein solutions has attracted the interest of many recent researchers. By manipulating the operating parameters it can be an efficient process to concentrate, separate and purify with the purpose of increasing the biological activity of proteins. The objective of this study was to investigate the effect of operating parameters experimentally, such as transmembrane pressure (TMP), pH, ionic strength and feed concentration on permeate flux and transmission of protein hydrolysates. Regenerated cellulose (RC) membranes of 5kDa and 10 kDa were employed in dead-end ultrafiltration mode. The selection of best parameters was based on the highest transmission considering the appropriate amount of permeate flux. The protein hydrolysates were prepared by enzymatic hydrolysis of Catfish muscle. The enzymatic hydrolysis was performed at pH 9, 50°C, 2.5% w/v of substrate to buffer and 1% enzyme. The degree of hydrolysis of two selected samples at time of 0.5 h and 5 h hydrolysates for filtration process were 44.88 % and 58.72 % respectively. The two selected samples of hydrolysates (0.5 h and 5 h) were used to compare the antioxidant activity as well as the separation efficiency of hydrolysates based on their molecular weights.

Screening for the optimum parameters showed that the highest transmission was achieved at pH 7, pressure of 1.5 bar, 0.15 M of NaCl and 1.5 mg/ml concentration of feed for 0.5 h hydrolysate. The transmission was found to range between 64.54%-88.89% for 5 kDa and 84.62%-93.14% for 10 kDa membrane. For 5 h hydrolysate, the highest transmission was achieved at pH 5.1, pressure of 2 bar, 0.15 M of NaCl and feed concentration of 1.5 mg/ml. The transmission ranged between 52.96% - 56.37% for 5 kDa and 74.70%-77.76% for 10 kDa. The best value of antioxidant activity from DPPH-scavenging assay was 69.04% for 10 kDa fraction; and from metal ion chelating assay was 74.06% for fraction of 10 kDa for 0.5 h hydrolysate. The highest absorbance of 0.44 ± 0.011 was obtained from reducing power assay for 5 h hydrolysate using 5 kDa membrane. The results of hydrolysis and ultrafiltration process showed that by manipulating and appropriate selection of the operating parameters, the degree of hydrolysates)can improve. Also, the results showed the

effectiveness of ultrafiltration to achieve higher antioxidant activity based on the molecular weight separation.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat of Universiti Putra Malaysia Sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

PEMISAHAN HIDROLISAT PROTEIN IKAN KELI MENGGUNAKAN MEMBRAN ULTRATURASAN

Oleh

DONYA NOVIN

Julai 2014

Pengerusi: Khairul Faezah Md. Yunos, PhD Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Proses penurasan membrane bagi larutan protein yang berbeza telah menarik minat ramai penyelidik. Dengan memanipulasikan operasi parameter, ia boleh menjadi satu proses yang efisien untuk pemekatkan, pemisahan dan penulenan dengan tujuan meningkatkan aktiviti biologi protein. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menyelidik kesan operasi parameter seperti tekanan, pH, kekuatan ion dan kepekatan larutan masuk ke atas fluks telap dan transmisi hidrolisat protein.Membran selulosa yang telah diperbaharui bersaiz 5kDa dan 10 kDa telah digunakan pada system ultraturasan hujungmati.Pemilihan parameter yang optimum adalah berdasarkan kepada transmisi tertinggi dengan mempertimbangkan kesesuaian fluks.Hidrolisat protein disediakan daripada tindak balas hidrolisis berenzim ke atas ikan keli. Hidrolisat protein telah disediakan oleh hidrolisis enzimatik otot Keli..Hidrolisis berenzim telah dijalankan pada pH 9, 50 °C, 2.5% (w/v) kepekatan substrat dan kepekatan enzim 1%. Darjah hidrolisis bagi dua sampel yang dipilih untuk proses penurasan pada masa 0.5 jam dan 5 jam ialah 44.88% dan 58.72%. Dua sampel hidrolisat yang berbeza pada 0.5 jam dan 5 jam telah digunakan untuk membandingkan aktiviti ntioksida dan juga kecekapan pemisahan hidrolisat berdasarkan kepada berat molekul. Pemilihan parameter yang optimum menunjukkan transmisi tertinggi dicapai pada pH 7, tekanan 1.5 bar, 0.15 M NaCl dan 1.5mg/ml kepekatan larutan masuk bagi hidrolisat 0.5 jam. Bagi membrane saiz 5 kDA, transmisi yang diperolehi antara 64.54-88.89% dan 84.62-93.14% bagi membrane bersaiz 10 kDa.Bagi hidrolisat 5 jam, transmisi tertinggi dicapai pada pH 5.1, tekanan 2 bar, 0.15 M NaCl dan kepekatan larutan masuk 1.5 mg/ml. Nilai transmisi berada pada julat 52.96-56.37% bagi membrane bersaiz 5 kDa dan 74.70-77.76% bagi membrane bersaiz 10 kDa. Hasil terbaik dicapai bagiaktiviti antioksida daripada pencerakinan DPPH adalah 69.04% bagi membran 10 kDa dan kadar ujian ion metal bagi 10 kDa adalah 74.06% yang diperolehi selama 0.5 jam. Serapan tertinggi iaitu 0.44±0.011 diperolehi daripada pencerakinan kuasa penurunan yang diperolehi bagi hidrolisat 5 jam menggunakan membrane ber saiz 5 kDa. Keputusan bagi hidrolisis dan proses ultraturasan menunjukkan bahawa dengan me manipulasikan dan pemilihan operasi parameter yang sesuai, dapat memper baiki darjah hidrolisis dan hasil proses penurasan (ketelapan fluks dan transmisi protein hidrolisat). Selain itu, keputusan menunjukkan kecekapan ultraturasan

untukmencapai aktiviti antioksida yang tinggi berdasarkan kepada pemisahan berat molekul.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Khairul Faezah binti Md Yunos for her guidance, knowledge and her supervision.

I would also like to thank Dr.Mohd Shukuri bin Mohamad Ali for his help and giving me the access to his laboratory facilities during my thesis work. Special thank toDr. Azhari bin Samsu Baharuddin for his assistance. It was my honour to have them as my supervisory committee.

Personally, I would like to thank my family members for their love, patience, encouragement and support. Specially my dear father, mother and my lovely sister that I could not have finished my study without their cooperation.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Khairul Faezah Md. Yunos, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Azhari Bin Samsu Baharuddin, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Mohd Shukuri Bin Mohamad Ali, PhD

Senior Lecturer Facultyof Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by the student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced
- the thesis has not been submitted previously or comcurrently for any other degree at any institutions
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be owned from supervisor and deputy vice –chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Donya Novin GS29065

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	V
APPROVAL	vi
DECLERATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	xviii

CHAPTER

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	LITERATURE REVIEW	3
	2.1 Fish muscle proteins	3
	2.2 Protein hydrolysates	3
	2.2.1 Bioactive fish protein hydrolysates and peptides	3
	2.3 Hydrolysis methods	4
	2.3.1 Chemical methods	4
	2.3.2 Biochemical methods	4
	2.4 Fish protein hydrolysis	6
	2.5 Determination of degree of hydrolysis (DH)	6
	2.6 Oxidation	7
	2.6.1 Lipid oxidation	7
	2.6.2 Methods of measuring antioxidant activity of proteins	8
	2.7 Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	9
	2.8 Isoelectric point (IEP) 10	0
	2.9 Membrane separation process 10	0
	2.10 Membrane process in protein and peptide separation 1	1
	2.10.1 Membranes process and separation of antioxidative protein	S
	and peptides 12	2
	2.11 Mode of operation of Membrane Process 13	3
	2.11.1 Dead end mode 13	3
	2.11.2 Cross flow mode 1.	3
	2.12 Membrane materials 14	4
	2.12.1 Advantages and disadvantages of different membran	e
	materials 14	4
	2.13 Membrane modules 14	4
	2.13.1 Flat sheet module 14	4
	2.13.2 Spiral wound modules 14	4
	2.13.3 Tubular module 14	4
	2.13.4 Hollow fiber modules 15	5
	2.14 Membrane operation 1:	5
	2.14.1 Flux 1:	5
	2.14.2 Concentration polarization 1:	5
	2.14.3 Fouling 10	6

3.	МАТ	ERIALS AND METHODS	18
	3.1	Materials	18
	3.2	Methods	18
	3.2.1	Short summary of work	18
	3.2.2	Proximate analysis	18
	3.2.3	Enzymatic hydrolysis of CFPHs	20
	3.2.4	Catfish protein hydrolysates characterization	21
	3.2.5	Antioxidant activity assays	22
	3.2.6	Determination of isoelectric point (IEP) of CFPHs	23
	3.2.7	Ultrafiltration membrane (UF)	23
	3.2.8	calculations	25
4.	RES	ULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	26
	4.1	Effect of operating parameters on DH% of CFPHs	26
	4.1.1	Effect of pH on DH	26
	4.1.2	Effect of temperature on DH	28
	4.1.3	Effect of substrate concentration on DH	28
	4.1.4	Effect of ratio of enzyme to substrate on DH	29
	4.1.5	Effect of time on DH	30
	4.2	Results of proximate analysis	30
	4.3	Effect of hydrolysis and ultrafiltration on antioxid	lant
		activity	31
	4.3.1	DPPH radical scavenging activity of CFPHs	32
	4.3.2	DPPH radical scavenging activity of CFPH fractions	of
		ultrafiltration	32
	4.3.3	Metal ion chelating activity of CFPHs	33
	4.3.4	Metal ion chelating activity of different CFPH fractions	s of
		ultrafiltration	33
	4.3.5	Reducing power of CFPHs	34
	4.3.6	Reducing power of different CFPH fractions	of
		ultrafiltration	35
	4.4	Molecular weight distribution of protein hydrolysates	36
	4.5	Effect of operating parameters on permeate flux of CFPHs	37
	4.5.1	Effect of pressure on permeate flux	37
	4.5.2	Effect of pH on permeate flux	41
	4.5.3	Effect of ionic strength on permeate flux	45
	4.5.4	Effect of feed concentration (Cf) on permeate flux	49
	4.6	Effect of operating parameters on transmission of CFPHs	53
	4.6.1	Effect of pressure on transmission	53
	4.6.2	Effect of pH on transmission	57
	4.6.3	Effect of ionic strength on transmission	61
	4.6.4	Effect of feed concentration (C_f) on transmission	65
5.	CON	CLUSION AND RECOMENDATIONS	69
	5.1	Conclusion	69
	5.2	Recommendations	69

REFERENCES	71
APPENDIX	82
BIODATA OF STUDENT	108
LIST OF PUBLICATION	109

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1	Proximate composition of catfish muscle and 0.5 h and 5 h CFPHs	30

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	The applicability ranges of different separation processes based on the size.	11
2.2	The schematic diagrams of the dead-end mode and its effect on the permeate flux and the height of the cake layer.	13
2.3	The schematic diagrams of the cross-flow mode and its effect on the permeate flux and the height of the cake layer.	13
2.4	Concentration polarization on the membrane surface.	16
2.5	Fouling mechanisms of porous membranes.	16
3.1	Summary of the workflow.	19
3.2	Amicon stirred ultrafiltration cell.	23
3.3	Regenerated cellulose (RC) membrane.	23
4.1	Effect of pH on degree of hydrolysis.	27
4.2	Effect of temperature on degree of hydrolysis.	27
4.3	Effect of ratio of substrate/buffer (w/v) on degree of hydrolysis.	28
4.4	Effect of ratio of enzyme/substrate on degree of hydrolysis.	29
4.5	Effect of time on degree of hydrolysis.	30
4.6	Free radical-scavenging activities of different CFPHs.	32
4.7	Free radical-scavenging activity of 0.5 h CFPH before and after ultrafiltration.	33
4.8	Fe ²⁺ chelating activity of different CFPHs.	34
4.9	Fe^{2+} chelating activity of 0.5h CFPH before and after ultrafiltration.	34
4.10	Reducing power activity of different CFPHs.	35
4.11	Reducing power activity of 5 h CFPH before and after ultrafiltration.	35
4.12	SDS-PAGE of catfish muscle proteins without enzymatic hydrolysis.	36

4.13	SDS-PAGE of catfish protein hydrolysates.	36
4.14	Effect of pressure on permeate flux of 5 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	38
4.15	Effect of pressure on permeate flux of 10 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	38
4.16	Effect of pressure on permeate flux of 5 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	39
4.17	Effect of pressure on permeate flux of 10 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	39
4.18	Initial flux (after 2 min of fouling) and final flux (after 20 min of fouling).	40
4.19	Initial flux (after 2 min of fouling) and final flux (after 20 min of fouling).	40
4.20	Effect of pH on permeate flux of 5 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	42
4.21	Effect of pH on permeate flux of 10 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	42
4.22	Effect of pH on permeate flux of 5 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	43
4.23	Effect of pH on permeate flux of 10 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	43
4.24	Initial flux (after 2 min of fouling) and final flux (after 20 min of fouling).	44
4.25	Initial flux (after 2 min of fouling) and final flux (after 20 min of fouling).	44
4.26	Effect of ionic strength on permeate flux of 5 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	46
4.27	Effect of ionic strength on permeate flux of 10 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	46
4.28	Effect of ionic strength on permeate flux of 5 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	47
4.29	Effect of ionic strength on permeate flux of 10 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	47

4.30	Initial flux (after 2 min of fouling) and final flux (after 20 min of fouling).	48
4.31	Initial flux (after 2 min of fouling) and final flux (after 20 min of fouling).	48
4.32	Effect of feed concentration on permeate flux of 5 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	50
4.33	Effect of feed concentration on permeate flux of 10 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	50
4.34	Effect of feed concentration on permeate flux of 5 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	51
4.35	Effect of feed concentration on permeate flux of 10 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	51
4.36	Initial flux (after 2 min of fouling) and final flux (after 20 min of fouling).	52
4.37	Initial flux (after 2 min of fouling) and final flux (after 20 min of fouling).	52
4.38	Effect of pressure on transmission of 5 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	54
4.39	Effect of pressure on transmission of 10 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	54
4.40	Effect of pressure on transmission of 5 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	55
4.41	Effect of pressure on transmission of 10 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	55
4.42	Initial transmission (after 2 min of fouling) and final transmission (after 20 min of fouling).	56
4.43	Initial transmission (after 2 min of fouling) and final transmission (after 20 min of fouling).	56
4.44	Effect of pH on transmission of 5 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	58
4.45	Effect of pH on transmission of 10 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	58
4.46	Effect of pH on transmission of 5 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	59

4.47	Effect of pH on transmission of 10 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	59
4.48	Initial transmission (after 2 min of fouling) and final transmission (after 20 min of fouling).	60
4.49	Initial transmission (after 2 min of fouling) and final transmission (after 20 min of fouling).	60
4.50	Effect of ionic strength on transmission of 5 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	62
4.51	Effect of ionic strength on transmission of 10 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	62
4.52	Effect of ionic strength on transmission of 5 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	63
4.53	Effect of ionic strength on transmission of 10 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	63
4.54	Initial transmission (after 2 min of fouling) and final transmission (after 20 min of fouling).	64
4.55	Initial transmission (after 2 min of fouling) and final transmission (after 20 min of fouling).	64
4.56	Effect of feed concentration on transmission of 5 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	66
4.57	Effect of feed concentration on transmission of 10 kDa membrane for 0.5 h CFPH.	66
4.58	Effect of feed concentration on transmission of 5 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	67
4.59	Effect of feed concentration on transmission of 10 kDa membrane for 5 h CFPH.	67
4.60	Initial transmission (after 2 min of fouling) and final transmission (after 20 min of fouling).	68
4.61	Initial transmission (after 2 min of fouling) and final transmission (after 20 min of fouling).	68

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

2, 2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazylradical	(DPPH)
Acrylamide + bisacrylamide	(AB)
Alkoxy	(RO°)
Alkyl	(R°)
Amino acid composition	(AAC)
Ammonium persulfate	(APS)
Angiotensin-converting-enzyme	(ACE)
Bovine Serum Albumin	(BSA)
Butylated Hydroxyanisole	(BHA)
Butylated Hydroxytoluene	(BHT)
Catfish protein hydrolysate	(CFPH)
Cellulose acetate	(CA)
Chitosan	(CHI)
Degree of hydrolysis	(DH)
Deionized water	(DI)
Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid	(EDTA)
Fat adsorption capacity	(FAC)
Feed concentration	(C f)
Ferric ion	(Fe ³⁺)
Ferrous ion	(Fe ²⁺)
Fish protein hydrolysate	(FPH)
Free amino acid	(FAA)
Gel buffer	(GB)

Hemoglobin	(Hb)
Hydrochloric acid	(HCl)
Iron (II) chloride	(FeCl ₂)
Isoelectric point	(IEP)
Microfiltration	(MF)
Molecular weight cut-off	(MWCO)
Molecular weight	(MW)
Nanofiltration	(NF)
O-phthaldialdehyde	(OPA)
Permeate concentration	(C _p)
Peroxide	(ROO°)
Polyamide	(PA)
Polyethersulfone	(PES)
Polypropylene	(PP)
Polystyrenesulfonate	(PSS)
Polysulfone	(PS)
Polyvinylidene fluoride	(PVDF)
Propyl Gallate	(PG)
Protein solubility	(PS)
Reactive oxygen species	(ROS)
Retention	(Ret)
Reverse osmosis	(RO)
Sodium chloride	(NaCl)
Sodium dodecyl sulphate	(SDS)
Sodium hydroxide	(NaOH)

Tert Butylhydroquinone	(TBHQ)
Tetramethylethylenediamine	(TEMED)
Transmembrane pressure	(TMP)
Transmission	(Tr)
Trichloroacetic acid	(TCA)
Trinitro-benzene-sulphonic acid	(TNBS)
Ultrafiltration	(UF)
Water holding capacity	(WHC)

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The separation or purification of proteins is a crucial process in biotechnology due to its wide range of applications in biomedical and food industries. The common laboratory techniques for protein separation such as chromatography. electrophoresis, and affinity operations due to the difficulty in scale-up and high operating cost with low throughput are not useful in biomedical and food industries (Scopes, 1994; Sarfert and Etzel, 1997; Lin et al., 2008). Besides, some methods like chromatography and electrophoresis require complex instrumentation support to run efficiently. Hence, the separation techniques that can yield high throughput of the products at a low cost are highly desired in biotechnological industries. Ultrafiltration (UF) has attracted a considerable amount of attention in recent years for the separation of proteins due to comparatively gentler towards the proteins than separation process on phase changes and more economical than gel chromatography (Lin et al., 2008).

In fishery industry, membrane process is applied to purify or separate valuable marine molecules from effluents, by-products from seafood processing industries (Bourseau *et al.*, 2009), to recover marine flavours(Vandanjon *et al.*, 2002) and concentrate polysaccharides (Lignot *et al.*, 2003). In membrane process where an ultrafiltration membrane is considered for protein separation, it is desirable to have a reasonable transmission of particular proteins by controlling of fouling which is considered as a main limiting factor on transmission and rejection. This issue could be controlled by manipulating the operating parameters. The studied operating parameters in this work, included: transmembrane pressure (TMP), pH, ionic strength and feed concentration which are related to physicochemical properties of feed and membranes (Field *et al.*, 1995; Lin *et al.*, 2008).

Recently, membrane separation process specially, ultrafiltration (UF) of single protein and proteins mixture by varying operating parameters has been considered. The effectiveness of membrane separation and filtration were reported in many research works such as, fractionation of whey protein (Almécija *et al.*, 2007), recovery of proteins from casein whey (Sarkar *et al.*, 2009), fractionation of BSA and lysozyme (Ghosh and Cui, 1998), ultrafiltration of mixed protein solutions of lysozyme and lactoferrin (Rabiller *et al.*, 2001), fractionation of fish protein hydrolysates (Bourseau *et al.*, 2009).

Annually, more than 100 million tons of fish are harvested, of which 29.5% is converted into fish meal (FAO, 2006). However, more than 50% of remaining fish tissue is converted to non-edible by-product material(Kristinsson and Rasco, 2000; Ovissipour *et al.*, 2010). Recognition of the limited biological resources and increasing environmental pollution have emphasized the need for better and more value-added utilization of under-utilized fish and by-products from the fishing industries (Guerard *et al.*, 2002; Ovissipour *et al.*, 2010). Hence, enzymatic hydrolysis was carried out to produce Catfish protein hydrolysates in this research work condition. Hydrolysis can improve the functional properties of proteins

(Quaglia and Orban, 1990; Klompong *et al.*, 2007)due to the changes in molecular size, hydrophobicity and polar groups of the hydrolysates (Adler-Nissen, 1986; Kristinsson and Rasco, 2000; Klompong *et al.*, 2007). Different applications and properties of this type of fish protein hydrolysates (nutritional and pharmaceutical)were mentioned in many latest works such as: Antioxidant and antiradical activity (Zhou *et al.*, 2012), anti cancer (Picot *et al.*, 2006), preservatives in foods and cosmetics (Guerard, 2007)and as a nitrogenous substrates for microorganisms growth (Guerard *et al.*, 2001).

In this study alcalase enzyme was applied for enzymatic hydrolysis due to the high activity and high yield of hydrolysis among the other proteolytic enzymes (Shahidi *et al.*, 1995; Benjakul and Morrissey, 1997). Recently, the tendency to use the natural additives (due to their bio-properties like antioxidant activity) instead of synthetic ones specially in food industries is increasing. This desire has led to the production of protein hydrolysates from different animal and plant sources, such as Alaska Pollack frame (Je *et al.*, 2005),egg-yolk (Sakanaka *et al.*, 2004) and alfalfa leaf (Xie *et al.*, 2008).

In addition, the most reported results on bioactivity of these hydrolysates is related to molecular weight range of less than 10 kDa (Wu *et al.*, 2003; He *et al.*, 2012; Li *et al.*, 2013; Wang *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, the aim in this research should be finding suitable methods and tools to increase the concentration of these value added products. A few works have been reported about separation and fractionation of fish protein hydrolysates so far (Chabeaud *et al.*, 2009a; Chabeaud *et al.*, 2009b; Saidi *et al.*, 2013).

The overall objective of this research was to study the behaviour of flux and transmission through ultrafiltration (UF) of catfish protein hydrolysates by manipulating the operating parameters. The specific objectives of this work were:

- To investigate the best parameters of enzymatic hydrolysis based on the degree of hydrolysis to produce high yield of catfish protein hydrolysates.
- To evaluate the performance of ultrafiltration to enhance the antioxidant activity of protein hydrolysates based on the molecular weight.

REFERENCES

- Adler-Nissen, J. (1979). Determination of the degree of hydrolysis of food protein hydrolysates by trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 27:1256-1262.
- Adler-Nissen, J. (1984). Control of the proteolytic reaction and of the level of bitterness in protein hydrolysis processes. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 34:215-222.
- Adler-Nissen, J. 1986. *Enzymic hydrolysis of food proteins*. Elsevier Applied Science Publishers.
- Adler-Nissen, J. (1986). Enzymic hydrolysis of food proteins. *New York: Elsevier Applied Science Publishers*. p:110-169.
- Almécija, M. C., Ibáñez, R., Guadix, A., and Guadix, E. M. (2007). Effect of pH on the fractionation of whey proteins with a ceramic ultrafiltration membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science* 288 (1–2):28-35.
- Amiza, M. A., Kong, Y. L., and Faazaz, A. L. (2012). Effects of degree of hydrolysis on physicochemical properties of Cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*) frame hydrolysate. *International Food Research Journal* 19:199-206.
- Amiza, M. A. N. A., S.; Faazaz, A. L. (2011). Optimization of enzymatic protein hydrolysis from silver catfish (Pangasius sp.) frame. *International Food Research Journal* 18 (2):775.
- AOAC. (2005). Official methods of analysis Washington, DC:Horowit. 7.
- Aspmo, S. I., Horn, S. J., and H Eijsink, V. G. (2005). Enzymatic hydrolysis of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua L.*) viscera. *Process Biochemistry* 40 (5):1957-1966.
- Aspmo, S. I., Horn, S. J., and H. Eijsink, V. G. (2005). Enzymatic hydrolysis of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) viscera. *Journal of Process Biochemistry* 40:1957-1966.
- Baek, H. H.and Cadwallader, K. R. (1995). Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Crayfish Processing By-products. *Journal of Food Science* 60 (5):929-935.
- Baker, R. W. 2004. Ultrafiltration. In *Membrane Technology and Application.*, ed. M. Park, pp 237-272. Wiley.
- Balakrishnan, M.and Agarwal, G. P. (1996). Protein fractionation in a vortex flow filter. I: Effect of system hydrodynamics and solution environment on single protein transmission. *Journal of Membrane Science* 112:47-74.
- Bateman, L., Hughes, H. and Morris, A.L. (1953). Hydroperoxide decomposition in relation to the initiation of radical chain reactions. *Discussions of the Faraday Society* 14:190-199.
- Benjakul, S.and Morrissey, M. T. (1997). Protein hydrolysates from Pacific whiting solid wastes. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 45:3423-3430.

- Benjakul, S.and Morrissey, M. T. (1997). Protein hydrolysates from Pacific whiting solid wastes. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 45 (9):3423-3430.
- Bhaskar, N., Benila, T., Radha, C., and Lalitha, R. G. (2008). Optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis of visceral waste proteins of Catla (*Catla catla*) for preparing protein hydrolysate using a commercial protease. *Bioresource Technology* 99:335-343.
- Blenford, D. E. (1994). Protein hydrolysates: functionalities and uses in nutritional products. *International Food Ingredients* 3:45-49.
- Bougatef, A., Nedjar-Arroume, N., Manni, L., Ravallec, R., Barkia, A., Guillochon, D., and Nasri, M. (2010). Purification and identification of novel antioxidant peptides from enzymatic hydrolysates of sardinelle (*Sardinella aurita*) by-products proteins. *Food Chemistry* 118:559-565.
- Bougatef, A., Nedjar-Arroume, N., Ravallec-Ple, R., Leroy, Y., Guillochon, D., Barkia, A., and Nasri, M. (2008). Angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activities of sardinelle (*Sardinella aurita*) by-products protein hydrolysates obtained by treatment with microbial and visceral fish serine proteases. *Journal of Food Chemistry* 111:350-356.
- Bouhallab, S.and Touzé, C. (1995). Continuous hydrolysis of caseinomacropeptide in a membrane reactor kinetic-study and gram-scale production of antithrombotic peptides. *Dairy Science and Technology* 75:251-258.
- Bourseau, P., Vandanjon, L., Jaouen, P., Chaplain-Derouiniot, M., Massé, A., Guérard, F., Chabeaud, A., Fouchereau-Péron, M., Le Gal, Y., Ravallec-Plé, R., Bergé, J. P., Picot, L., Piot, J. M., Batista, I., Thorkelsson, G., Delannoy, C., Jakobsen, G., and Johansson, I. (2009). Fractionation of fish protein hydrolysates by ultrafiltration and nanofiltration: impact on peptidic populations. *Journal of Desalination* 244:303-320.
- Centenaro, G. S., Centenaro, M. S., and Hernandez, C. P. (2011). Antioxidant activity of protein hydrolysates of fish and chicken bones. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology* 3:280-288.
- Chabeaud, A., Vandanjon, L., Bourseau, P., Jaouen, P., Chaplain-Derouiniot, M., and Guerard, F. (2009a). Performances of ultrafiltration membranes for fractionating a fish protein hydrolysate: Application to the refining of bioactive peptidic fractions. *Journal of Separation and Purification Technology* 66:463-471.
- Chabeaud, A., Vandanjon, L., Bourseau, P., Jaouen, P., and Guérard, F. (2009b). Fractionation by ultrafiltration of a saithe protein hydrolysate (*Pollachius virens*): Effect of material and molecular weight cut-off on the membrane performances. *Journal of Food Engineering* 91:408-414.
- Chen, H. M., Muramoto, K., Yamauchi, F., Fujimoto, K., and Nokihara, K. (1998). Antioxidative properties of histidine-containing peptides designed from peptide fragments found in the digests of a soybean protein. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 46:49-53.
- Choe, T. B., Masse, P., Verdier, A., and Clifton, M. J. (1986). Membrane fouling in the ultrafiltration of polyelectrolyte solutions: Polyacrylic acid and bovine serum albumin. *Journal of Membrane Science* 26:17-30.

- Christians, N. D. L.and Unruh, J. B. 2010. The Use of Protein Hydrolysates for Weed Control. In *Protein Hydrolysates in Biotechnology*, ed. V. K. Pasupuleti and A. L. Demain, pp. Springer Netherlands.
- Church, F. C., Swaisgood, H. E., Porter, D. H., and Catignani, G. L. (1983). Spectrophotometric Assay Using *O*-Phthaldialdehyde for Determination of Proteolysis in Milk and Isolated Milk Proteins. *Journal of Dairy Science* 66:1219-1227.
- Cui, Z. F., Jiang, Y., and Field, R. W. 2010. Fundamentals of Pressure-Driven Membrane Separation Processes. In *Membrane Technology*, ed. Z. F. Cui and H. S. Muralidhara, pp 1-18. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Cumby, N., Zhong, Y., Naczk, M., and Shahidi, F. (2008). Antioxidant activity and waterholding capacity of canola protein hydrolysates. *Journal of Food Chemistry* 109:144-148.
- Das, R., Bhattacherjee, C., and Ghosh, S. (2009). Effects of operating parameters and nature of fouling behavior in ultrafiltration of sesame protein hydrolysate. *Journal of Desalination* 237:268-276.
- Decker, E. A.and Welch, B. (1990). Role of ferritin as a lipid oxidation catalyst in muscle food. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 38:674-677.
- Diaz, M. N., B. Frei, J.A. Vita and J.F. Keaney, (1997). Antioxidants and atherosclerotic heart disease. *The New England journal of medicine* 337:408-416.
- Diniz, F. M. M., Antonio M. (1997). Optimization of nitrogen recovery in the enzymatic hydrolysis of dogfish (Squalus acanthias) protein. Composition of the hydrolysates. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition* 48 (3):10.
- Dong, S., Zeng, M., Wang, D., Liu, Z., Zhao, Y., and Yang, H. (2008). Antioxidant and biochemical properties of protein hydrolysates prepared from Silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*). Food Chemistry 107:1485-1493.
- Dufosse, L., De La Broisse, D., and Guerard, F. (1997). Fish protein hydrolysates as nitrogen sources for microbial growth and metabolite production. *Recent Res. Dev. Microbiol* 1:365-381.
- Ebrahimzadeh, M. A., Pourmorad, F., and Hafezi, S. (2008). Antioxidant activities of Iranian corn silk. *Turk Journal Biology* 32:43-49.
- Fane, A. G., Fell, C. J. D., and Suki, A. (1983). The effect of ph and ionic environment on the ultrafiltration of protein solutions with retentive membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science* 16:195-210.
- Fane, A. G., Fell, C. J. D., and Waters, A. G. (1983). Ultrafiltration of protein solutions through partially permeable membranes - the effect of adsorption and solution environment. *Journal of Membrane Science* 16:211-224.
- FAO. 2006. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. Year book of fishery statistics.

- Fenton, M., R.I., Hill, C. G., and Amundson, C. H. (1971). Use of ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis systems for the concentration and fractionation of whey. *Journal of Food Science* 36:14-21.
- Fernández, A.and Riera, F. A. (2012). Membrane Fractionation of a β-Lactoglobulin Tryptic Digest: Effect of the Hydrolysate Concentration. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 51 (48):15738-15744.
- Field, R. W., Md Yunos, K. F., and Cui, Z. (2009). Separation of proteins using sandwich membranes. *Desalination* 245:597-605.
- Field, R. W., Wu, D., Howell, J. A., and Gupta, B. B. (1995). Critical flux concept for microfiltration fouling. *Journal of Membrane Science* 100:259-272.
- Frankel, E. N. (1984). Lipid oxidation: Mechanisms, products and biological significance. Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society 61:1908-1917.
- Frankel, E. N. (1999). Food antioxidants and phytochemicals: present and future perspectives. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology* 101:450-455.
- Fujita. H, Y. M. (1999). A prodrug-type ACE-inhibitory peptide derived from fish protein. *Immunopharmacology* 44:123-127.
- G.S. Centenaro, M. S. M. a. C. P. H. (2011). Antioxidant Activity of Protein Hydrolysates of Fish and Chicken Bones. Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology 3(4):280-288.
- Ghosh, R.and Cui, Z. F. (1998). Fractionation of BSA and lysozyme using ultrafiltration: effect of pH and membrane pretreatment. *Journal of Membrane Science* 139:17-28.
- Guerard, F. (2007). Enzymatic extraction methods for by-product recovery. *Maximising the value of marine by-products, Part* 1:107-143.
- Guerard, F., Dufosse, L., De La Broise, D., and Binet, A. (2001). Enzymatic hydrolysis of proteins from yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) wastes using Alcalase. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic* 11:1051-1059.
- Guerard, F., Guimas, L., and Binet, A. (2002). Production of tuna waste hydrolysates by a commercial neutral protease preparation. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic* 19–20:489-498.
- Halliwell, B. a. J. M. C. G. (1984). Oxygen toxicity, oxygen radicals, transition metals and disease. *Biochemistry Journal* 219:1-14.
- Haslaniza, H., Maskat, M. Y., Aida, W., W.M., and Mamot, S. (2010). The effects of enzyme concentration, temperature and incubation time on nitrogen content and degree of hydrolysis of protein precipitate from cockle (Anadara granosa) meat wash water. *International Food Research Journal* 17:147-152.
- He, R., Girgih, A. T., Malomo, S. A., Ju, X., and Aluko, R. E. (2012). Antioxidant activities of enzymatic rapeseed protein hydrolysates and the membrane ultrafiltration fractions. *Journal of Functional Foods* 5:219-227.

- Howell, N. K.and Kasase, C. (2010). Bioactive peptides and proteins from fish muscle and collagen. *Bioactive Proteins and Peptides as Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals* 29:203.
- Hoyle, N.and Merritt, J. (1994). Quality of fish protein hydrolysates from herring (Clupea harengus). *Journal of Food Science* 59:76-79.
- Huisman, I. H., Pradanos, P., and Hernandez, A. (2000). The effect of protein-protein and protein-membrane interactions on membrane fouling in ultrafiltration. *Journal of Membrane Science* 179:79-90.
- Hung, N. D., Vas, M., Cheke, E., and Bolcsi, S. Z. A. (1984). Relative tryptic digestion rates of food proteins. *Journal of Food Science* 49:1535-1542.
- Ingham, K., Busby, T., Sahlestrom, Y., and Castino, F. 1981. Separation of Macromolecules by Ultrafiltration: Influence of Protein Adsorption, Protein-Protein Interactions, and Concentration Polarization. In *Ultrafiltration Membranes and Applications*, ed. A. Cooper, pp 141-158. Springer US.
- Jayanthi, P.and Lalitha, P. (2011). reducing power of the solvent extracts of *Eichhornia* crassipes (mart) Solms. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences 3:126-128.
- Je, J.-Y., Park, P.-J., and Kim, S.-K. (2005). Antioxidant activity of a peptide isolated from Alaska pollack (Theragra chalcogramma) frame protein hydrolysate. *Food Research International* 38:45-50.
- Jeon, Y. J., Byun, H. G., and Kim, S. K. (2000). Improvement of functional properties of cod frame protein hydrolysates using ultrafiltration membranes. *Journal of Process Biochemistry* 35:471-478.
- Jirjis, B. F.and Luque, S. 2010. Chapter 9 Practical Aspects of Membrane System Design in Food and Bioprocessing Applications. In *Membrane Technology*, ed. Z. F. Cui and H. S. Muralidhara, pp 179-212. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Jun, S. Y., Park, P. J., Jung, W. K., and Kim, S. K. (2004). Purification and characterization of an antioxidative peptide from enzymatic hydrolysate of yellowfin sole (*Limanda aspera*) frame protein. *European Food Research and Technology* 219:20-26.
- Kim, S. Y., Je, J. Y., and Kim, K. (2007). Purification and characterization of antioxidant peptide from hoki (Johnius belengerii) frame protein by gastrointestinal digestion. *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* 18:31-38.
- Klompong, V., Benjakul, S., Kantachote, D., and Shahidi, F. (2007). Antioxidative activity and functional properties of protein hydrolysate of yellow stripe trevally (*Selaroides leptolepis*) as influenced by the degree of hydrolysis and enzyme type. *Food Chemistry* 102:1317-1327.
- Ko, J. Y., Lee, J. H., Samarakoon, K., Kim, J. S., and Jeon, Y. J. (2013). Purification and determination of two novel antioxidant peptides from flounder fish (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) using digestive proteases. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 52:113-120.
- Kong, X., Zhou, H., and Qian, H. (2007). Enzymatic hydrolysis of wheat gluten by proteases and properties of the resulting hydrolysates. *Food Chemistry* 102:759-763.

- Kristinsson, H. G.and Rasco, B. A. (2000). Fish Protein Hydrolysates: Production, Biochemical, and Functional Properties. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 40:43-81.
- Kristinsson, H. G.and Rasco, B. A. (2000). Kinetics of the hydrolysis of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) muscle proteins by alkaline proteases and a visceral serine protease mixture. *Process Biochemistry* 36:131-139.
- Labuza, T. P.and Dugan, L. R. (1971). Kinetics of lipid oxidation in foods. C R C Critical Reviews in Food Technology 2 (3):355-405.
- Laemmli, U. K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature (London)* 227:680-685.
- Lajoie, N., Gauthier, S. F., and Pouliot, Y. (2001). Improved storage stability of model infant formula by whey peptides fractions,. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 49:1999-2007.
- Lee, C., Qian, Y., Sensibar, J. A., and Harrison, H. H. 1996. 9 Two-dimensional Gel Electrophoresis of Proteins. In *Endocrine Methods*, ed. A. T. John, pp 203-219. Academic Press.
- Lee, S. H., Qian, Z. J., and Kim, S. K. (2010). A novel angiotensin I converting enzyme inhibitory peptide from tuna frame protein hydrolysate and its antihypertensive effect in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Food Chemistry* 118:96-102.
- Li, Z., Wang, B., Chi, C., Gong, Y., Luo, H., and Ding, G. (2013). Influence of average molecular weight on antioxidant and functional properties of cartilage collagen hydrolysates from *Sphyrna lewini*, *Dasyatis akjei* and *Raja porosa*. *Food Research International* 51:283-293.
- Liceaga Gesualdo, A. M.and Li Chan, E. (1999). Functional properties of fish protein hydrolysate from herring (*Clupea harengus*). Journal of Food Science 64:1000-1004.
- Lignot, B., Lahogue, V., and Bourseau, P. (2003). Enzymatic extraction of chondroitin sulfate from skate cartilage and concentration-desalting by ultrafiltration. *Journal of Biotechnology* 103:281-284.
- Lin, C. C.and Liang, J. H. (2002). Effect of antioxidants on the oxidative stability of chicken breast meat in a dispersion system. *Journal of Food Science* 67:530-533.
- Lin, S. H., Hung, C. L., and Juang, R. S. (2008). Effect of operating parameters on the separation of proteins in aqueous solutions by dead-end ultrafiltration. *Desalination* 234:116-125.
- Linder, M., Fanni, J., Parmentier, M., Sergent, M., and Phan-Than-Luu, R. (1995). Protein recovery from veal bones by enzymatic hydrolysis. *Journal of Food Science* 60:949-952.
- Liu, Z. Y., Dong, S. Y., Xu, J., Zeng, M. Y., Song, H. X., and Zhao, Y. H. (2008). Production of cysteine-rich antimicrobial peptide by digestion of oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) with alcalase and bromelin. *Food Control* 19:231–235.

- Masomian, M., Rahman, R. N. Z. R. A., Salleh, A. B., and Basri, M. (2010). A unique thermostable and organic solvent tolerant lipase from newly isolated Aneurinibacillus thermoaerophilus strain HZ: physical factor studies. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 26:1693-1701.
- Matthiasson, E. (1983). The role of macromolecular adsorption in fouling of ultrafiltration membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science* 16:23-36.
- McCalla, J., Waugh, T., and Lohry, E. 2010. Protein Hydrolysates/Peptides in Animal Nutrition. In *Protein Hydrolysates in Biotechnology*, ed. V. K. Pasupuleti and A. L. Demain, pp 179-190. Springer Netherlands.
- McDonogh, R. M., Bauser, H., Stroh, N., and Chmiel, H. (1990). Concentration polarisation and adsorption effects in cross-flow ultrafiltration of proteins. *Desalination* 79:217-231.
- Mine, Y., Li-Chan, E., and Jiang, B. 2010. *Bioactive proteins and peptides as functional foods and nutraceuticals*. Vol. 29. John Wiley & Sons.
- Mo, H., Tay, K. G., and Ng, H. Y. (2008). Fouling of reverse osmosis membrane by protein (BSA): Effects of pH, calcium, magnesium, ionic strength and temperature. *Journal* of Membrane Science 315:28-35.
- Molyneux, P. (2004). The use of the stable free radical diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) for estimating antioxidant activity. *Songklanakarin journal of science and technology* 26:211-219.
- Moure, A., Dominguez, H., and Parajo, J. C. (2006). Antioxidant properties of ultrafiltrationrecovered soy protein fractions from industrial effluents and their hydrolysates. *Process Biochemistry* 41:447-456.
- Najafpour, G. D. 2007. Membrane Separation Processes. In *Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology*, pp 351-389. Elsevier.
- Nazlin, K.and Chitundu, K. (2010). Bioactive Peptides and Proteins from Fish Muscle and Collagen *In* Bioactive Proteins and Peptides as Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals. E. L.-C. Yoshinori Mine, Bo Jiang, editor. A John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 203-223.
- Nielsen, P. M. 1995. *Enzyme Technology for Production of Protein-based Flavor*. Translated by translator, *Series Tile*. Novo Nordisk.
- Nielsen, P. M., Petersen, D., and Dambmann, C. (2001). Improved method for determining food protein degree of hydrolysis. *Journal of Food Science* 66:642-646.
- Oktay, M., Gülçin, İ., and Küfrevioğlu, Ö. İ. (2003). Determination of in vitro antioxidant activity of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) seed extracts. *LWT Food Science and Technology* 36:263-271.
- Ovissipour, M., Abedian, A., Motamedzadegan, A., Rasco, B., Safari, R., and Shahiri, H. (2009). The effect of enzymatic hydrolysis time and temperature on the properties of protein hydrolysates from Persian sturgeon (*Acipenser persicus*) viscera. *Food Chemistry* 115:238-242.

- Ovissipour, M., Benjakul, S., Safari, R., and Motamedzadegan, A. (2010). Fish protein hydrolysates production from yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares) head using Alcalase and Protamex. *international aquatic research* 2:87-95.
- Pearce, K. E. N., Karahalios, D. A., and Friedman, M. E. (1988). Ninhydrin Assay For Proteolysis in Ripening Cheese. *Journal of Food Science* 53:432-435.
- Peričin, D., Radulović-Popović, L., Vaštag, Ž., Mađarev-Popović, S., and Trivić, S. (2009). Enzymatic hydrolysis of protein isolate from hull-less pumpkin oil cake: Application of response surface methodology. *Food Chemistry* 115:753-757.
- Picot, L., Bordenave, S., Didelot, S., Fruitier Arnaudin, I., Sannier, F., Thorkelsson, G., Bergé, J. P., Guérard, F., Chabeaud, A., and Piot, J. M. (2006). Antiproliferative activity of fish protein hydrolysates on human breast cancer cell lines. *Process Biochemistry* 41:1217-1222.
- Pihlanto, L.and Anne. (2000). Bioactive peptides derived from bovine whey proteins: opioid and ace-inhibitory peptides. *Trends in Food Science & Technology* 11:347-356.
- Quaglia, G. B.and Orban, E. (1990). Influence of Enzymatic Hydrolysis on Structure and Emulsifying Properties of Sardine (Sardina pilchardus) Protein Hydrolysates. *Journal of Food Science* 55:1571-1573.
- Rabiller, B. M., Chaufer, B., Lucas, D., and Michel, F. (2001). Ultrafiltration of mixed protein solutions of lysozyme and lactoferrin: role of modified inorganic membranes and ionic strength on the selectivity. *Journal of Membrane Science* 184:137-148.
- Rajapaske, N., Mendis, E., Byun, H. G., and Kim, S. K. (2005). Purification and in vitro antioxidative effects of giant squid muscle peptides on free radical-mediated oxidative systems. *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* 16:562-569.
- Ranathunga, S., Rajapaske, N., and Kim, S. K. (2006). Purification and characterization of antioxidative peptide derived from muscle of conger eel (Conger myriaster). *European Food Research and Technology*. 222:310-315.
- Ricq, L., Narçon, S., Reggiani, J. C., and Pagetti, J. (1999). Streaming potential and protein transmission ultrafiltration of single proteins and proteins in mixture: β-lactoglobulin and lysozyme. *Journal of Membrane Science* 156:81-96.
- Rutherfurd, S. M. (2010). Methodology for determining degree of hydrolysis of proteins in hydrolysates: a review. *Journal of AOAC International* 93 (5):1515-1522.
- Saidi, S., Deratani, A., Ben Amar, R., and Belleville, M. P. (2013). Fractionation of a tuna dark muscle hydrolysate by a two-step membrane process. *Separation and Purification Technology* 108:28-36.
- Sakanaka, S., Tachibana, Y., Ishihara, N., and Raj Juneja, L. (2004). Antioxidant activity of egg-yolk protein hydrolysates in a linoleic acid oxidation system. *Food Chemistry* 86:99-103.
- Salwanee, S., Wan Aida, W., Mamot, S., and Maskat, M. (2013). Effects of enzyme concentration, temperature, pH and time on the degree of hydrolysis of protein extract from viscera of tuna (*Euthynnus affinis*) by using alcalase. *Sains Malaysiana* 42:279-287.

- Sarfert, F. T.and Etzel, M. R. (1997). Mass transfer limitations in protein separations using ion-exchange membranes. *Journal of chromatography A* 764:3-20.
- Sarkar, P., Ghosh, S., Dutta, S., Sen, D., and Bhattacharjee, C. (2009). Effect of different operating parameters on the recovery of proteins from casein whey using a rotating disc membrane ultrafiltration cell. *Desalination* 249:5-11.
- Sastre, A. M., Pabby., A. K., and Rizvi., S. S. H. (2009). Membrane Applications in Chemical and Pharmaceutical Industries and in Conservation of Natural Resources. *In* Handbook of Membrane Separations Chemical, Pharmaceutical, Food, and Biotechnological Applications. Vol. 1210. S. S. H. R. Anil K. Pabby, Ana Maria Sastre, editor. CRC Press.
- Schägger, H. (2006). Tricine-SDS-PAGE. Nature protocols 1:16-22.
- Schägger, H.and von Jagow, G. (1987). Tricine-sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis for the separation of proteins in the range from 1 to 100 kDa. *Analytical Biochemistry* 166:368-379.
- Scopes, R. K. 1994. Protein purification: principles and practice. Springer.
- See, S., Hoo, L., and Babji, A. (2011). Optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis of Salmon (*Salmo salar*) skin by Alcalase. *International Food Research Journal* 18:1359-1365.
- Shahidi, F., Han, X. Q., and Synowiecki, J. (1995). Production and characteristics of protein hydrolysates from capelin (*Mallotus villosus*). *Food Chemistry* 53:285-293.
- Shimada, K., Fujikawa, K., Yahara, K., and Nakamura, T. (1992). Antioxidative properties of xanthan on the autoxidation of soybean oil in cyclodextrin emulsion. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 40:945-948.
- Simpson, B. K., Nayeri, G., Yaylayan, V., and Ashie, I. N. A. (1998). Enzymatic hydrolysis of shrimp meat. *Food Chemistry* 61:131-138.
- Song., R., Wei., R. B., Luo., H. Y., and Wang., D. F. (2012). Isolation and Characterization of an Antibacterial Peptide Fraction from the Pepsin Hydrolysate of Half-Fin Anchovy (*Setipinna taty*). *Molecules* 17:2980-2991.
- Souissi, N., Bougatef, A., Triki-Ellouz, Y., and Nasri, M. (2007). Biochemical and functional properties of Sardinella (*Sardinella aurita*) by-product hydrolysates. *Food Technology and Biotechnology* 45:187.
- Spellman, D., McEvoy, E., O'Cuinn, G., and FitzGerald, R. J. (2003). Proteinase and exopeptidase hydrolysis of whey protein: Comparison of the TNBS, OPA and pH stat methods for quantification of degree of hydrolysis. *International Dairy Journal* 13:447-453.
- Suki, A., Fane, A. G., and Fell, C. J. D. (1984). Flux decline in protein ultrafiltration. *Journal of Membrane Science* 21:269-283.
- Surowka, K.and Fik, M. (1992). Studies on the recovery of proteinaceous substances from chicken heads. I. An application of neutrase to the production of protein hydrolysate. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology* 27:9-20.

- Tang, C. H., Wang, X. S., and Yang, X. Q. (2009). Enzymatic hydrolysis of hemp (*Cannabis sativa* L.) protein isolate by various proteases and antioxidant properties of the resulting hydrolysates. *Food Chemistry* 114:1484-1490.
- Tessier, B., C., H. S., and Marc, I. (2006). Contribution of electrostatic interactions during fractionation of small peptides complex mixtures by UF/NF membranes. *Desalination* 200 (1-3):333-334.
- Ummadi, M. C. B. 2010. Use of Protein Hydrolysates in Industrial Starter Culture Fermentations. In *Protein Hydrolysates in Biotechnology*, ed. V. K. Pasupuleti and A. L. Demain, pp. Springer Netherlands.
- van den Berg, G. B.and Smolders, C. A. (1989). Concentration polarization phenomena during dead-end ultrafiltration of protein mixtures. The influence of solute-solute interactions. *Journal of Membrane Science* 47:1-24.
- Vandanjon, L., Cros, S., Jaouen, P., Quéméneur, F., and Bourseau, P. (2002). Recovery by nanofiltration and reverse osmosis of marine flavours from seafood cooking waters. *Desalination* 144:379-385.
- Vandanjon, L., Grignon, M., Courois, E., Bourseau, P., and Jaouen, P. (2009). Fractionating white fish fillet hydrolysates by ultrafiltration and nanofiltration. *Journal of Food Engineering* 95:36-44.
- Vandanjon, L., Johannsson, R., Derouiniot, M., Bourseau, P., and Jaouen, P. (2007). Concentration and purification of blue whiting peptide hydrolysates by membrane processes. *Journal of Food Engineering* 83 (4):581-589.
- Vandanjon, L., Johannsson, R., Derouiniot, M., Jaouen, P., and Bourseau, P. (2007). Concentration and purification by ultrafiltration of marine peptides solutions. *Journal of Food Engineering* 83:581-589.
- Vieira, G. H., Martin, A. M., Saker-Sampaiao, S., Omar, S., and Goncalves, R. C. (1995). Studies on the enzymatic hydrolysis of Brazilian lobster (*Panulirus spp*) processing wastes. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 69:61-65.
- Wang, B., Li, L., Chi, C. F., Ma, J. H., Luo, H. Y., and Xu, Y. f. (2013). Purification and characterisation of a novel antioxidant peptide derived from blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*) protein hydrolysate. *Food Chemistry* 138:1713-1719.
- Wang, Y. N.and Tang, C. Y. (2011). Protein fouling of nanofiltration, reverse osmosis, and ultrafiltration membranes-The role of hydrodynamic conditions, solution chemistry, and membrane properties. *Journal of Membrane Science* 376:275-282.
- Wasswa, J., Tang, J., Gu, X. h., and Yuan, X. q. (2007). Influence of the extent of enzymatic hydrolysis on the functional properties of protein hydrolysate from grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) skin. *Food Chemistry* 104:1698-1704.
- Wu, H. C., Chen, H. M., and Shiau, C. Y. (2003). Free amino acids and peptides as related to antioxidant properties in protein hydrolysates of mackerel (*Scomber austriasicus*). *Food Research International* 36:949-957.

- Xie, Z., Huang, J., Xu, X., and Jin, Z. (2008). Antioxidant activity of peptides isolated from alfalfa leaf protein hydrolysate. *Food Chemistry* 111:370-376.
- Yildirim, A., Mavi, A., Oktay, M., Kara, A. A., Algur, Ö. F., and Bilaloglu, V. (2000). Comparison of antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of Tilia (*Tilia argentea Desf* ex DC), sage (*Salvia triloba L.*), and Black tea (*Camellia sinensis*) extracts. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 48:5030-5034.
- Yin, S. W., Tang, C. H., Cao, J. S., Hu, E. K., Wen, Q. B., and Yang, X. Q. (2008). Effects of limited enzymatic hydrolysis with trypsin on the functional properties of hemp (*Cannabis sativa* L.) protein isolate. *Food Chemistry* 106:1004-1013.
- You, L., Zhao, M., Cui, C., Zhao, H., and Yang, B. (2009). Effect of degree of hydrolysis on the antioxidant activity of loach (*Misgurnus anguillicaudatus*) protein hydrolysates. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies* 10:235-240.
- Yu, S. Y.and Ahmad, R. (1998). Hydrolysis of proteins from Liza subviridis. Asian Fisheries Science 10:251-257.
- Zhang, T., Li, Y., Miao, M., and Jiang, B. (2011). Purification and characterisation of a new antioxidant peptide from chickpea (*Cicer arietium* L.) protein hydrolysates. *Food Chemistry* 128:28-33.
- Zhao, G., Liu, Y., Zhao, M., Ren, J., and Yang, B. (2011). Enzymatic hydrolysis and their effects on conformational and functional properties of peanut protein isolate. *Food Chemistry* 127:1438-1443.
- Zhou, D. Y., Tang, Y., Zhu, B. W., Qin, L., Li, D. M., Yang, J. F., Lei, K., and Murata, Y. (2012). Antioxidant activity of hydrolysates obtained from scallop (*Patinopecten yessoensis*) and abalone (*Haliotis discus hannai* Ino) muscle. *Food Chemistry* 132:815-822.