

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

PREDICTING ATMOSPHERIC HUMIDITY AND TEMPERATURE BASED ON SATELLITE SIGNAL FIELD STRENGTH MEASUREMENTS

MOHD IZZAT BIN SAIRI

FS 2015 17



## PREDICTING ATMOSPHERIC HUMIDITY AND TEMPERATURE BASED ON SATELLITE SIGNAL FIELD STRENGTH MEASUREMENTS

By

MOHD IZZAT BIN SAIRI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

October 2015

## COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

## DEDICATION

To my beloved mother Habibah binti Rahim Bakhas, father Sairi bin Saimon, Brother and Sisters Thank You for everything



C

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

## PREDICTING ATMOSPHERIC HUMIDITY AND TEMPERATURE BASED ON SATELLITE SIGNAL FIELD STRENGTH MEASUREMENTS

By

#### MOHD IZZAT BIN SAIRI

October 2015

Chairman : Zulkifly Abbas, PhD

Faculty : Science

Early measurements of rainfall made using radar systems although have been used qualitatively by weather forecasters for more than 40 years, and by some operational hydrologists, acceptance still contain a lot of uncertainties in the quality of the data. As most of the communication satellites (ie: MEASAT) were limitedly used for communication purpose, very few were looking to extend the application of these satellites for other application such as weather forecasting. Furthermore, weather satellite currently present were expensive to buy, thus this project aims to find a cheaper method to forecast weather. Therefore, this work investigates the application of a communication satellite as a new method for predicting the atmospheric temperature and humidity. The chosen satellite was a MEASAT3 operating in the frequency range between 11 GHz and 12 GHz with 120 kW transmitting power. The electric field strengths of the satellite signals were measured using a Prodig-5 TV Explorer instrument. The measurements were carried out daily between 8.30 am to 5.00 pm from September 2012 to March 2014. The one-metre parabolic dish antenna was positioned on the roof top of the Department of Physics building (3° 1' 19.1928" N, 101° 42' 19.9476" E) of the Universiti Putra Malaysia. The mean field strength of the MEASAT3 signal (DVB-S) during clear sky was 83.6 dBuV. These signal strengths were affected by the ambient temperature and atmospheric humidity. Torrential rain reduced the field strength signal to 75 dBµV, i.e, the threshold detection level of the Low-noise Block Downconverter (LNB). Empirical models to predict the humidity and temperature based on the measured field strengths were established. The humidity and temperature data were obtained from the WeatherWatcher Live software based on the measured data collected at local meteorological station. The accuracies for temperature and humidity predictions obtained from this work were within 5.9%



and 5.5% when compared to the real data obtained from Meteorological Department database.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Sarjana Sains

## RAMALAN SUHU DAN KELEMBAPAN ATMOSFERA BERDASARKAN PENGUKURAN MEDAN KEKUATAN ISYARAT SATELIT

Oleh

#### MOHD IZZAT BIN SAIRI

#### Oktober 2015

Pengerusi

rusi : Zulkifly Abbas, PhD

#### Fakulti : Sains

Pengukuran hujan terdahulu yang dibuat dengan menggunakan sistem radar, walaupun telah digunakan secara kualitatif oleh peramal cuaca dan eloh beberapa ahli hidrologi operasi untuk lebih daripada 40 tahun, penerimaannya masih mengandungi banyak ketidaktentuan dalam kualiti data. Oleh kerana kebanyakan satelit komunikasi seperti MEASAT digunakan secara terhad untuk tujuan komunikasi, tidak ramai yang cuba untuk meluaskan penggunaan satelit ini untuk aplikasi lain seperti ramalan cuaca. Tambahan lagi, satelit cuaca yang telah sedia adalah mahal untuk dibeli, lalu projek ini menyasarkan untuk mencari kaedah yang lebih murah untuk meramal cuaca. Oleh itu, kerja ini mengkaji penggunaan satelit komunikasi bagi tujuan ramalan suhu dan kelembapan atmosfera. Satelit yang diguna pakai ialah MEASAT3 beroperasi pada rangkaian frekuensi di antara 11 GHz dan 12 GHz dengan kuasa pemancaran 120 kW. Medan kekuatan isyarat elektrikal satelit diukur menggunakan alat Prodig-5 TV Explorer. Pengukuran dilakukan pada setiap hari di antara 8.30 pagi dan 5.00 petang dari September 2012 sehingga Mac 2014. Antenna parabola berdiameter satu meter telah diletakkan di atas bumbung bangunan Jabatan Fizik (3° 1' 19.1928" N, 101° 42' 19.9476" E) Universiti Putra Malaysia. Julat medan kekuatan isyarat MEASAT3 (DVB-S) sewaktu cuaca cerah ialah 83.6 dBuV. Kekuatan isyarat ini dipengaruhi oleh kelembapan atmosfera dan suhu persekitaran. Hujan lebat mengakibatkan medan kekuatan isyarat menurun serendah 75 dBµV, i.e. paras terendah pengesanan blok penukar bawah rendah bunyi (LNB). Model empirikal bagi meramal kelembapan dan suhu berdasarkan kepada medan kekuatan yang diukur telah di wujudkan. Data kelembapan dan suhu telah diperolehi daripada perisian WeatherWatcher Live berdasarkan data yang diukur di stesen kaji cuaca tempatan. Ketepatan ramalan kelembapan dan suhu adalah di antara 5.9% dan

5.5% berbanding dengan data sebenar yang diperolehi dari pangkalan data Jabatan Meteorologi.



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

## Zulkifly Abbas, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### Zaidan Abdul Wahab, PhD

Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## Mohamad Faiz Zainuddin, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Environmental Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## **BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

| Signature:           | Date: |
|----------------------|-------|
| Name and Matric No.: |       |
|                      |       |
|                      |       |

## **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

| Signature:<br>Name of Chairman<br>of Supervisory<br>Committee: |  |
|--|--|
| Signature:<br>Name of Member of<br>Supervisory<br>Committee:   |  |
| Signature:<br>Name of Member of<br>Supervisory<br>Committee:   |  |
|  |  |

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                       | Page |
|-----------------------|------|
| ABSTRACT              | i    |
| ABSTRAK               | iii  |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS      | V    |
| APPROVAL              | vi   |
| DECLARATION           | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES        | xii  |
| LIST OF FIGURES       | xiii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xvi  |

## CHAPTER

| СН | IAPTER   |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 1  | INTRO<br>1.1<br>1.2<br>1.3<br>1.4<br>1.5               | DUCTION<br>Research background<br>Effect of Rain on Satellite Field Strength<br>Problem Statement<br>Objectives of the project<br>Outline of the study   | 1<br>1<br>2<br>3<br>3                        |
| 2  | <b>LITER</b><br>2.1<br>2.2                             | <b>TURE REVIEW</b> Weather Forecasting      2.1.1    Qualitative Forecasts      2.1.2    Relative humidity and ambient temperature      Microwave attenuation due to rain and variation      of cloud thickness  | 5<br>5<br>7<br>8                             |
| 3  | 3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3<br>3.4                               | Atmospheric Parameters<br>3.1.1 Cloud<br>3.1.2 Rain<br>3.1.3 Humidity<br>3.1.4 Temperature<br>Basic Microwave Properties<br>Path Loss<br>Parabolic Antenna   | 10<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>15 |
|    | <b>METHO</b><br>4.1<br>4.2<br>4.3<br>4.4<br>4.5<br>4.6 | <b>DOLOGY</b><br>Field Strength Measurement<br>TV-Explorer Configuration<br>Cable Attenuation<br>Antenna Measurement Setup<br>Humidity and Temperature Measurement<br>Measurement of Error<br>4.6.1 Mean Relative Error<br>4.6.2 Gaussian Probability Density Function | 18<br>19<br>20<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>24       |

#### 5 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

G

| 5.1                         | Path loss determination based on cloud thickness variations | 26       |
|-----------------------------|---|----------|
| 5.2                         | Diurnal Field Strength of a Clear Sky                       | 28       |
| 5.3                         | Distribution of Field Strength during Cloudy                | 33       |
|                             | Weather and In The Presence of Rain                         |          |
| 5.4                         | Relation of Humidity with Respect to Time                   | 35       |
| 5.5                         | Relation of Temperature with Respect to Time                | 38       |
| 5.6                         | Relation between Field Strength, Humidity and               | 40       |
|                             | Temperature with Respect to Time                            |          |
| 5.7                         | Relation between Field Strength, Humidity                   | 42       |
|                             | And Temperature   |          |
|                             | 5.7.1 Relation between Field Strength and                   | 42       |
|                             | Humidity  |          |
|                             | 5.7.2 Relation between Humidity and<br>Temperature          | 43       |
|                             | 5.7.3 Relation between Field Strength and                   | 45       |
|                             | Temperature   |          |
| 5.8                         | Relation between Temperature and Humidity                   | 47       |
| 6 CONCLU                    |   |          |
| 6 LONCE                     |   | 50       |
| 0.1                         | Main Contributions  | 50       |
| 0.2                         | Main Contributions  | 51       |
| 0.3                         | Suggestions for Further Studies                             | 51       |
| REFERENCES<br>BIODATA OF \$ | STUDENT   | 53<br>57 |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table |   | Page |  |
|-------|---|------|--|
| 3.1   | Clouds Classification According to US<br>National Oceanic and Atmospheric<br>Administration Sky cover terminology | 11   |  |
| 5.1   | Results of path loss based on signal loss during different weather conditions                                     | 28   |  |
| 5.2   | Profile of rain distribution based on the field strength (dBµV)   | 35   |  |



 $\bigcirc$ 

## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure |  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 3.1    | Illustration on the effects of the temperature towards relative humidity   | 14   |
| 3.2    | Basic structure of a dish antenna  | 15   |
| 3.3    | Defining parameters for parabolic antenna dish   | 16   |
| 3.4    | The attenuation versus rain rate at elevation angle 51° via three frequencies (Mostafa H et al., 2007)   | 17   |
| 4.1    | Illustration of Measat-3 coverage throughout the East Asia   | 18   |
| 4.2    | Preview of Promax Prodig-5 TV-Explorer   | 19   |
| 4.3    | Illustration of different layers in a 1530A<br>Coax - CATV Cable   | 20   |
| 4.4    | Illustration on alignment setup of an antenna<br>(a) angle measurement for antenna direction,<br>(b) angle indicator on the stand of the antenna   | 21   |
| 4.5    | Preview of setup for field strength measurement;<br>(a) complete setup consists of the parabolic antenna,<br>LNB and the TV-Explorer, (b) LNB direction<br>towards the parabolic antenna | 21   |
| 4.6    | Ground sensor based at Serdang Control Station   | 22   |
| 4.7    | Preview of the WeatherWatcher Live software interface  | 23   |
| 5.1    | Variation of path loss by cloud at different thickness through ranges of frequencies (GHz)   | 26   |
| 5.2    | Diurnal variation in field strength (dB $\mu$ V) between 8am and 5pm   | 29   |
| 5.3    | Diurnal variation in between real and predicted field strength (dB $\mu V)$  | 30   |
| 5.4    | Graph of relative error of field strength vs time;<br>(a) from 8.30am to 1.15pm, (b) from 1.30pm to<br>2.45pm, (c) from 3.00pm to 3.30pm, (d) from<br>3.45pm to 5.00pm.                  | 31   |
| 5.5    | Diurnal variation of relative error of field strength  | 32   |
| 5.6    | Gaussian probability density function distribution   | 32   |

G

for field strength

| 5.7  | Average diurnal variation in field strength (dBµV)<br>during cloudy weather           | 33 |
|------|---|----|
| 5.8  | Average diurnal variation in field strength (dBµV)<br>during rainy day                | 34 |
| 5.9  | Average diurnal variation in field strength (dBµV) during raining period              | 34 |
| 5.10 | Diurnal variation of humidity (%)   | 36 |
| 5.11 | Diurnal variation in between real and predicted humidity (%)                          | 36 |
| 5.12 | Diurnal variation of relative error of humidity (%)                                   | 37 |
| 5.13 | Gaussian probability density function for humidity                                    | 37 |
| 5.14 | Diurnal variation of temperature (°C)   | 38 |
| 5.15 | Diurnal variation in between real and predicted temperature (°C)                      | 39 |
| 5.16 | Diurnal variation of relative error of temperature (°C)                               | 39 |
| 5.17 | Gaussian probability density function for temperature                                 | 40 |
| 5.18 | Diurnal variation between field strength (dBµV),<br>humidity (%) and temperature (°C) | 40 |
| 5.19 | Variation of humidity (%) measurement based on field strength (dB $\mu$ V)            | 42 |
| 5.20 | Comparison between predicted and real humidity<br>(%) based on field strength (dBµV)  | 43 |
| 5.21 | Variation of relative error of humidity based on field strength (dB $\mu$ V)          | 43 |
| 5.22 | Variation of temperature (°C) measurement based on humidity (%)                       | 44 |
| 5.23 | Comparison between real and predicted value of temperature (°C) based on humidity (%) | 44 |
| 5.24 | Variation of relative error of temperature (°C) based on humidity (%)                 | 45 |
| 5.25 | Variation of temperature (°C) measurement based on field strength (dB $\mu V)$        | 45 |
|      |   |    |

| 5.26 | Comparison between predicted and real temperature (°C) based on field strength (dBµV)   | 46 |
|------|---|----|
| 5.27 | Variation of relative error of temperature based on field strength (dB $\mu$ V)   | 46 |
| 5.28 | Variation of humidity (%) measurement based on temperature (°C)   | 47 |
| 5.29 | Comparison between predicted and real humidity (%) based on temperature (°C)  | 47 |
| 5.30 | Variation of relative error of humidity based on temperature (°C)   | 48 |
| 5.31 | Variation of $\Delta$ humidity (%) based on $\Delta$ temperature (°C)   | 48 |
| 5.32 | Comparison of relative error of $\Delta$ humidity value calculated between Labajo's equation and equation (5.12) based on $\Delta$ temperature (°C) | 49 |

C

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| NWP     | -  | Numerical Weather Prediction   |
|---------|----|--------------------------------|
| MEASAT  | -  | Malaysia East Asia Satellite   |
| GHz     | -  | Giga Hertz                     |
| dBµV    | -  | Decibel Micro Volt             |
| UTM     | -  | Univesity Teknologi Malaysia   |
| ANN     | -  | Artificial Neural Network      |
| MLP     | -  | Multilayer Perceptron Networks |
| ERNN    | 21 | Elman Recurrent Neural Network |
| RBFN    |    | Radial Basis Function Network  |
| HFM     |    | Hopfield Model                 |
| MSE     |    | Mean Square Error              |
| RMSE 🦯  | -  | Root Mean Square Error         |
| MAE     | -  | Mean Absolute Error            |
| MSL     | -  | Mean Sea Level                 |
| US      |    | United States                  |
| °C      | -  | Degree Celcius                 |
| °F      | -  | Degree Fahrenheit              |
| К       | -  | Kelvin                         |
| SI unit | -  | International System of Units  |
| F       | -  | Focal Point                    |
| D       | -  | Diameter                       |
| kW      | -  | Kilo Watt                      |
| LNB     | -  | Low-Noise Block Downconverter  |
| MHz     | -  | Mega Hertz                     |
| ULPC    | -  | Up-Link Power Control          |
| BCCS    | -  | Bare Copper-covered Steel      |
| FPE     | -  | Foam Polyethylene              |
| PVC     | -  | Polyvinyl-chloride             |

| Rx                              | - | Receiving Signal  |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Тх                              | - | Transmitting Signal   |
| CATV                            | - | Community Antenna Television  |
| Δx                              | - | absolute deviation  |
| PDF                             | - | Probability Density Function  |
| Ā                               | - | Mean  |
| $\sigma^2$                      | - | Variance  |
| f                               | - | Frequency   |
|                                 |   | Creard of Light   |
| С                               | - | Speed of Light  |
| c<br>Gt                         |   | Transmitter Gain  |
| c<br>Gt<br>Gr                   | М | Transmitter Gain<br>Receiving Gain  |
| c<br>Gt<br>Gr<br>km             | М | Transmitter Gain<br>Receiving Gain<br>Kilo Meter                                      |
| c<br>Gt<br>Gr<br>km<br>MRE      | M | Transmitter Gain<br>Receiving Gain<br>Kilo Meter<br>Mean Relative Error               |
| c<br>Gt<br>Gr<br>km<br>MRE<br>% | M | Transmitter Gain<br>Receiving Gain<br>Kilo Meter<br>Mean Relative Error<br>Percentage |

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Research Background

Many numerical models for weather forecasting have been proposed since the 1940s. These interdependence of remotely sensed data and Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) models and their outputs are progressively driving the further development of radar and other remote sensing methodologies for measuring and forecasting rainfall. Palmer (2001) notes that conventional parametrization schemes in weather-and-climate prediction models describe the effects of subgrid-scale physical processes. Indeed, he suggests that the remaining errors in these models may have their origin in the neglect of grid-scale variability, and he proposes that such variability should be parametrized by non-local dynamically based parametrization schemes. A complementary approach to the parametrization of sub-grid-scale variability is the assimilation of remotely sensed observational data explicitly representing such variability using complex numerical schemes. The problem with such an approach is that these data contain errors which may cause numerical integrations to become unstable whatever numerical methods are used. Hence small differences in input data may lead to very different forecast outcomes. This problem is causing major effort being devoted to the development of ensemble prediction schemes (Trevisan et al. 2001). Numerical predictions also provides fresh impetus efforts to improve the quality of radar estimation of precipitation, however this need is called for by the requirements of nowcasting (forecasts from 0 to 3-6 hours ahead).

It is worth noting in the past that the introduction of advanced computing technologies into hydrology, such as more complex distributed models can be run cost effectively (Apostolopoulos & Georgakakos 1997), but will not lead to improvements in operational flood forecasts due to this error-propagation problem. In spite of the difficulties in using remotely sensed data, it is clear that major improvements in rainfall and flood forecasting are only likely to come through improvements with these data and the methods in which they are used.



## 1.2 Effect of Rain on Satellite Field Strength

Microwave signals are transmitted directly from a source to a receiver site. A microwave communication satellite is equipped with antennas and transponders

that receive and retransmit signals. It is generally located at its geostationary orbit, i.e, stationary at one spot from the Earth. The Malaysia East Asia Satellite (MEASAT) carries 24 transponders for each C-band and Ku-band microwave transmitter in order to provide good quality services. The presence of rain can have a significant detrimental effect on the propagation of an electromagnetic signal. The rain degradation increases as the frequency increases. At C- band (6/4 GHz), rain has little effect, except for brief periods of unusually heavy rain, but at Ku-band (14/12 GHz) it can be important. Nevertheless, typical rain margins on the order of 7 dB can be allocated to accommodate loss at Ku-band in most geographic regions to ensure availability comparable to that of C-band. At higher frequencies in Ka-band (30/20 GHz), rain can have a very large effect that simply cannot be overcome at the usual levels of availability. Thus the field strengths from satellite wave transmission is very much affected by rainfall.

However, at frequency above 10 GHz (Ku-band), signal attenuation by ambient conditions such as humidity, temperature and in particular, rain have to be considered. Therefore, data need to be measured and analysed in order to understand the behaviour and relation of these parameters with the strength of the satellite signal, also known as the field strength measured in dBµV.

#### **1.3 Problem statement**

In Malaysia the accuracy of forecasting unexpected severe or hazardous weather within the next six hours (often referred to as nowcasting) is crucial to protect life and property. While operational hydrologists use numerical weather prediction (NWP) forecasts to provide warnings of the likelihood of flood conditions on time-scales beyond 6 hours or so ahead, the basis of shorterperiod forecasts depends upon the acquisition and use of observational data, particularly rain-gauge and radar data. Unfortunately, measurements of rainfall made using radar systems although have been used qualitatively by weather forecasters for more than 40 years, and by some operational hydrologists, acceptance has been limited as a consequence of uncertainties in the quality of the data. New algorithms for improving the accuracy of radar measurements of rainfall have been developed where data from mesoscale numerical models are being blended with observational data, and remotely sensed data are being inputted to NWP, to improve both measurements and forecasts of precipitation (Golding 2000). However, these data contain errors which may cause numerical integrations to become unstable whatever numerical methods are used. Rain attenuation model has been proposed by UTM researchers. However their application is for link budget estimation for microwave satellite communication systems (Abdul Rahman et al., 2010).

This project presents a new technique to predict humidity and temperature of the troposphere region from satellite field strength measurements. The proposed method involves deployment of a receiving parabolic antenna located on a rooftop building for measuring field strengths from MEASAT satellites. An

empirical model relating humidity, temperature and field strength shall be established for temperature and humidity forecasting.

## 1.4 Objectives of the project

The investigation on the electric field strengths of the satellite involves many task and objectives:

- To investigate the daily diurnal field strength of a clear sky, cloudy and rainy conditions
- To determine the relationship between humidity, temperature and field strength in troposphere region
- To develop empirical model to predict temperature and humidity from field strength

## 1.5 Outline of the study

A brief introduction on communication satellite signal and atmospheric parameters were explained in Chapter 2. However, more details will be discussed on the field strength of the MEASAT3 communication satellite, rainfall, humidity and temperature as these are the parameters that were being investigated in this work. Previous study on information and the correlation of all these parameters have also been presented.

To understand the behavior of these atmospheric parameters towards the field strength of the satellite signal, it is important to find the correlation between them. Data analysis was done in order to investigate the way all three parameters interact with each other. This analysis was aided by finding the model regression in order to understand their relationship. In order to validate the relationships presented by these model equation, two means of error analysis were done. This is to make sure that the regression contains an acceptable amount of error besides relegating external factors in the form of random errors such as noise. The means to achieve all these are well presented in Chapter 3.

Chapter 4 studies the physics of the field strength of the communication satellite and the atmospheric parameters. Each of them were carefully elaborated and the theories behind them were emphasized on in details. Discussion on how they should interact with each other was also made. Based on all the measurements made, the data was analyzed and studied thoroughly. The results were discussed and explained in details. The correlation between field strength towards humidity and temperature were perceived by finding the model regression. These model regressions translate the relationship between them quantitatively while further analysis were made to discuss qualitatively. Discussion on error analysis of the regression were made at the end of Chapter 5. At the end of this thesis, conclusion to this research is presented. Along with that, recommendations and suggestions for future work were presented as well.



### REFERENCES

- Abdulrahman, A. Y., Rahman, T. A., Rahim, S. K. B. A., & Rafi Ul Islam,
  M. (2010). A new rain attenuation conversion technique for tropical regions. *Progress In Electromagnetics Research B*, 26, 53-67.
- Berne, A., & Uijlenhoet, R. (2007). Path-averaged rainfall estimation using microwave links: Uncertainty due to spatial rainfall variability. *Geophysical research letters*, 34(7).
- Bril, A., Oshchepkov, S., & Yokota, T. (2012). Application of a probability density function based atmospheric light-scattering correction to carbon dioxide retrievals from GOSAT over-sea observations. *Remote Sensing* of *Environment*, 117, 301-306.
- Charney J. G., R. Fjortoft & J. von Neumann (1950). Numerical integration of the Barotropic vorticity equation. *Tellus* 2, 237-254.
- Charney, J. G. (1949). On a physical basis for numerical prediction of large-scale motions in the atmosphere. *Journal of Meteorology*, 6(6), 372-385.
- Chu, C. R., Parlange, M. B., Katul, G. G., & Albertson, J. D. (1996).
  Probability density functions of turbulent velocity and temperature in the atmospheric surface layer. Water resources research, 32(6), 1681-1688.
- Collier C.G, & Knowles J.M. (1986). Accuracy of rainfall estimates by radar, Part III: Application for short-term flood forecasting. *Journal of Hydrology* 83: 237–249.
- Davis, C. A., Ahijevych, D. A., Haggerty, J. A., & Mahoney, M. J. (2014). Observations of temperature in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere of tropical weather disturbances. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 71(5), 1593-1608.
- Deque, M. (2003), Uncertainties in the temperature and precipitation response of PRUDENCE runs over Europe. Abstract from the European Science Foundation and PRUDENCE 3rd Annual Conference on "Regional Climate Change in Europe", Wengen, Switzerland, September 29–October 3, 2003.
- Ebert, E. E. (2002). Verifying satellite precipitation estimates for weather and hydrological applications. In *International Precipitation Working Group 1st Workshop*, Madrid Spain.
- Elfadil, N., Nadir, Z., Salam, M. A., & Rao, J. (2005). Microwave attenuation studies due to rain for communication links operating in Malaysia. *Georgian Electronic Scientific Journal*: Computer Science & Telecommunication, (5).

- Flatté, S. M., Bracher, C., & Wang, G. Y. (1994). Probability-density functions of irradiance for waves in atmospheric turbulence calculated by numerical simulation. *Journal of the Optical Society of America A* (JOSA A), 11(7), 2080-2092.
- Goddard, L., Barnston, A. G., & Mason, S. J. (2003). Evaluation of the IRI's "Net assessment" seasonal climate forecasts: 1997-2001. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 84(12), 1761-1781.
- Golding B.W (2000) Quantitative precipitation forecasting in the UK. J Hydrol, 239 (14):286-305.
- Grabner, M., & Kvicera, V. (2011). Analysis of rain effect on free space optical and microwave communication links. In Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Telecommunications.
- Held, I. M., & Shell, K. M. (2012). Using relative humidity as a state variable in climate feedback analysis. *Journal of Climate*, 25(8), 2578-2582.
- Hippert, H. S., Pedreira, C. E., & Souza, R. C. (2000). Combining neural networks and ARIMA models for hourly temperature forecast. In The International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN) (p. 4414).
- Howard, L. (1803). XVIII. On the modifications of clouds, and on the principles of their production, suspension, and destruction; being the substance of an essay read before the Askesian Society in the session 1802–3. The Philosophical Magazine: Comprehending the Various Branches of Science, the Liberal and Fine Arts, Agriculture, Manufactures, and Commerce, 16(62), 97-107.
- Karl, T. R., Hassol, S. J., Miller, C. D., & Murray, W. L. (2006). Temperature trends in the lower atmosphere. *Steps for understanding and reconciling differences*.
- Labajo, J. L., de Pablo, F., & García, E. L. (1991). An equation relating temporal changes of relative humidity and temperature: case of variable intervals of time. *Atmósfera*, 4(4), 257-264.
- Leijnse, H., Uijlenhoet, R., & Stricker, J. N. M. (2007). Rainfall measurement using radio links from cellular communication networks. *Water resources research*, 43(3).
- Mason I.T. (2003). Binary events. Forecast Verification: A Practitioner's Guide in Atmospheric Science. *Wiley: Chichester*, 37–76.
- Mclaren, J. R., Thomas, J. C., Mackintosh, J. L., Mudge, K. A., Grant, K. J., Clare, B. A., & Cowley, W. G. (2012). Comparison of probability density functions for analyzing irradiance statistics due to atmospheric turbulence. *Applied optics*, 51(25), 5996-6002.

Mostafa, H., Hassan, S. I. S., Mandeep, J. S., Ain, M. F., & Khedher, H. A.

(2007). Study of the Effect of Simulated Rain on the Offset Parabolic Antenna at Ku-Band with Different Elevation Angles. *International Journal of Antennas and Propagation*, 2007.

- Murphy, A. H. (1988). Skill scores based on the mean square error and their relationships to the correlation coefficient. *Monthly weather review*, 116(12), 2417-2424.
- Palmer, T. N. (2001), A nonlinear dynamical perspective on model error: A proposal for non-local stochasticdynamic parametrization in weather and climate prediction models, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 127, 279–304.
- Pascal, (2006) On the role of heat flow, lithosphere thickness and lithosphere density on gravitational potential stresses, *Tectonophysics*, 425 (2006), pp. 83–99
- Pasternack's Free Space Path Loss Calculator, Pasternack Enterprises, Inc. 1999-2015 Retrieved from http://www.pasternack.com/t-calculatorfspl.aspx
- Pessoa, M. L., Bras, R. L., & Williams, E. R. (1993). Use of weather radar for flood Forecasting in the Sieve river basin: a sensitivity analysis. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 32(3), 462-475.
- Potgieter, A. B., Hammer, G. L., Doherty, A., & De Voil, P. (2005). A simple regional Scale model for forecasting sorghum yield across North-Eastern Australia. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 132(1), 143-153.
- Richardson L. F. (1965), Weather Prediction by Numerical Processes. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambs. Reprinted Dover, New York.
- Satbeams SPRL 2007-2014, Retrieved from https://www.satbeams.com/footprints?beam=5610
- Simmons, A. J. (1986). Numerical prediction: Some results from operational forecasting at ECMWF. *Advances in geophysics*, *29*, 305-338.
- Simmons, A. J., Burridge, D. M., Jarraud, M., Girard, C., & Wergen, W. (1989). The ECMWF medium-range prediction models development of the numerical formulations and the impact of increased resolution. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, 40(1-3), 28-60.
- Soon, W., & Baliunas, S. (2003). Lessons & Limits of Climate History: Was the 20<sup>th</sup> Century Climate Unusual? *George C. Marshall Institute*, 32 p.
- Stephenson, D. B., Coelho, C. A. S., Doblas-Reyes, F. J., & Balmaseda,
  M. (2005). Forecast assimilation: a unified framework for the combination of multi-model weather and climate predictions. *Tellus A*, 57(3), 253-264.

Suzuki, K., Stephens, G., Bodas-Salcedo, A., Wang, M., Golaz, J. C.,

Yokohata, T., & Koshiro, T. (2015). Evaluation of the warm rain formation process in global models with satellite observations. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 72(10), 3996-4014.

- Terai, C. R., Wood, R., Leon, D. C., & Zuidema, P. (2012). Does precipitation susceptibility vary with increasing cloud thickness in marine stratocumulus?, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12(10), 4567-4583.
- Theodore K. Apostolopoulosa, & Konstantine P. Georgakakosb, (1997), Parallel computation for streamflow prediction with distributed hydrologic models, *Journal of Hydrology*, Volume 197, Issues 1–4, 1 October 1997, Pages 1–24
- Thomas C. Peterson, Peter A. Stott, & Stephanie Herring, (2012). Explaining Extreme Events of 2011 from a Climate Perspective. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 93, 1041–1067.
- Trevisan, A., Pancotti, F. & Molteni, F. (2001), Ensemble prediction in a model with Flow regimes. *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 127: 343–358. doi: 10.1002/qj.49712757206
- US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Sky, National Weather Service, Retrieved from http://w1.weather.gov/glossary/index.php?letter=s
- Wagner, T., Beirle, S., Dörner, S., Friess, U., Remmers, J., & Shaiganfar, R. (2013). Cloud detection and classification based on MAX-DOAS observations. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions, 6, 10297-10360.
- Wilkes, A., & Williams, D. (2015). Measurement of humidity. *Anaesthesia* & Intensive Care Medicine, 16(3), 128-131.
- Wilks, D. S., & Godfrey, C. M. (2002). Diagnostic verification of the IRI net assessment forecasts, 1997-2000. *Journal of climate*, 15(11), 1369-1377.