

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# THOUGHT PROCESSES AMONG TEACHERS TEACHING SPECIFIC SUBJECTS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS

**ROSMA OSMAN.** 

FPP 2004 28



# THOUGHT PROCESSES AMONG TEACHERS TEACHING SPECIFIC SUBJECTS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS

## **ROSMA OSMAN**

# DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA 2004



# THOUGHT PROCESSES AMONG TEACHERS TEACHING SPECIFIC SUBJECTS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS

#### Вy

#### **ROSMA OSMAN**

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

August 2004



### **DEDICATION**

### This thesis is dedicated to:

My late parents for all their love and sacrifices

My brothers and sisters, nephews and nieces-you are

the wind beneath my wings



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

# THOUGHT PROCESSES AMONG TEACHERS TEACHING SPECIFIC SUBJECTS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS

#### Вy

#### **ROSMA OSMAN**

#### August 2004

Chairman

Ghazali Mustapha, Ph.D

**Faculty** 

**Educational Studies** 

This research seeks to study thought processes among teachers teaching specific subjects in secondary schools. The research design was a descriptive correlational study and the data were collected using mailed questionnaires. The study employed the survey method. A stratified sampling technique was used to select 400 teachers. A response rate of 71.75% (287) was considered to be acceptable. Both the descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyse the data.

The research finding showed that two-thirds of the teachers' thought processes was low. Almost one-third of the teachers were at the



intermediate-level and a very minimal percentage was high-level. There was no significant difference between regular and residential school teachers' thought processes. The result also suggested that the mean of Science and Mathematics teachers' thought processes scored significantly higher than Bahasa Melayu and Bahasa Inggeris teachers in their level of thought processes. However, teachers' professional qualification did not show any significant difference. With the exception of teachers' critical thinking disposition and teachers' concern, all the other variables did not correlate significantly with teachers' thought processes.

Multiple Regression Analysis showed that the significant predictors for teachers' thought processes in curriculum instruction are critical thinking disposition and teachers' concern. Based on the findings of the study, two new variables for educational change were proposed.

The study mainly recommended that policymakers should find means to improve teachers' level of commitment and emphasize moral purpose explicitly into the instructional objectives. This is because even if all the relevant factors for successful educational change are taken care of, the intended outcome will fail to occur if teachers are not committed and did not see that the change has professional value



to them. Finally, other recommendations for practice and future research were put forward.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan memperoleh ijazah Doktor Falsafah

# PROSES PEMIKIRAN DIKALANGAN GURU YANG MENGAJAR MATA PELAJARAN TERTENTU DI SEKOLAH MENENGAH

#### Oleh

#### **ROSMA OSMAN**

**Ogos 2004** 

Pengerusi:

Ghazali Mustapha Ph.D

Fakulti

Pengajian Pendidikan

Penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji proses pemikiran di kalangan guru yang mengajar mata pelajaran tertentu di sekolah menengah di Malaysia. Reka bentuk penyelidikan adalah kajian korelasi deskriptif dan data dihimpun melalui pos dengan menggunakan soal selidik. Kajian ini mengguna kaedah tinjauan yang menggunakan teknik persampelan berstrata untuk memilih 400 guru. Kadar respon adalah 71.75% (287) dan dianggarkan memadai. Kedua-dua perangkaan deskriptif dan statistik inferensi digunakan untuk menganalisis data kajian.



Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa proses pemikiran dalam pengajaran kurikulum oleh hampir dua pertiga jumlah guru adalah rendah. Hampir satu pertiga guru berada di tahap sederhana dan guru yang menggunakan kemahiran berfikir dalam pengajaran kurikulum pada tahap tinggi adalah sangat minima. Seterusnya, tidak ada perbezaan yang signifikan di antara guru sekolah menengah biasa dengan guru sekolah menengah berasrama penuh dari segi tahap proses pemikiran dalam pengajaran kurikulum. Dapatan juga menunjukkan bahawa skor min guru Sains dan guru Matematik adalah lebih signifikan berbanding guru Bahasa Melayu dan Bahasa Inggeris dalam proses pemikiran. Walaubagaimanapun, kelayakan professional guru tidak menunjukkan perbezaan signifikan dalam proses pemikiran. Semua pembolehubah tidak menunjukkan korelasi yang signifikan bagi tahap proses pemikiran dalam pengajaran kurikulum di sekolah, kecuali kecenderungan guru berfikir secara kritis dan keperihatinan guru.

Analisis regresi berbilang menunjukkan bahawa kecenderungan guru berfikir secara kritis dan keperihatinan guru merupakan faktor signifikan dalam proses pemikiran di kalangan guru yang mengajar mata pelajaran tertentu. Berdasarkan dapatan kajian, dua



pembolehubah baru untuk kejayaan pelaksanaan innovasi dalam pendidikan dicadangkan.

Kajian ini mencadangkan supaya pembuat dasar mencari cara yang terbaik untuk meningkatkan tahap komitmen guru dan menekankan tujuan murni secara eksplisit dalam objektif pengajaran. Ini kerana walaupun semua faktor yang berkaitan dengan kejayaan pelaksanaan innovasi dalam pendidikan diambil kira, namun hasil yang dihasratkan tidak akan tercapai jika guru tidak komited untuk melaksanakannya dan tidak nampak yang perubahan yang dihasratkan itu membawa nilai professional kepada mereka. Akhir sekali, dikemukakan juga cadangan lain untuk amalan dan penyelidikan selanjutnya.



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to extend my heartfelt thanks and appreciation to Dr.Ghazali Mustapha, the Chairperson for my supervisory committee, for his guidance and support. To other members of my supervisory committee, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hj. Turiman Suandi, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Ibrahim Nazri and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Bahaman Abu Samah, I wish to express my appreciation and gratitude for their incisive review and thoughtful comments throughout the preparation of this thesis.

I am also grateful to the Ministry of Education, Malaysia for granting the scholarship to enable me to pursue the study. To the Director of Curriculum Development Centre (CDC), Dr. Sharifah Maimunah Syed Zin, the officers from CDC; Dr. Sharifah Nor Putih, En. Lee Gwo Jiuh, Dr. Yeap Chin Heng, En. Ahmad Hozi Abd. Rahman, Cik Sivagnanachelvi, Pn. Hjh Zahirah Hj.Abd. Aziz, Cik Rosnani Sirin, Pn. Jagdeesh Kaur, En. Faudzan Hamzah, Cik Nor Azian Kamel and Cik Saidatul Azwa Hashim, thank you for facilitating my study.

I wish also to express my thanks and appreciation to Prof. Dr. Hj. Azimi Hj. Hamzah, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ab. Rahim Bakar, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jegak Uli and Dr. Jamaliah Abd. Hamid of UPM, also Dr. Amir Mohd.



Salleh, Deputy Director of the Private Education Division, Ministry of Education, for their review comments and valuable thoughts.

My deep appreciation to Hajar, Asmayati, Dr.Shirin, Dr.Suhaimi, Sahara, Sarimah and Dr.Rohaida for their help and support - I certainly could not have done it alone!

For help of resource materials and procedure, my gratitude to Cik Zaljiah Abu Chik and Cik Maheran Abu Amin of the Educational Planning and Research Division, Ministry of Education Malaysia. My thanks to all my friends pursuing the same goal- Alia, Tim, Rod, Umi, Anisa, Zarinah, Faizah, Sharifah, Haiza and others - you have inspired me. To all the teachers and friends who have facilitated my study in one way or another, I thank you.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|     |   | Page     |
|-----|---|----------|
| DEI | DICATION  | ii       |
| ABS | STRACT  | iii      |
| ABS | STRAK   | vi       |
| ACI | KNOWLEDGEMENT                                     | ix       |
| APF | PROVAL  | xi       |
| DE  | CLARATION   | xiii     |
| LIS | Γ OF TABLES                                       | xix      |
| LIS | r of figures                                      | xxvii    |
| CH  | APTER   |          |
| I   | INTRODUCTION                                      |          |
|     | Background of the Study                           | 1        |
|     | The Malaysian Educational Background              | 3        |
|     | Thinking Skills Programme: The International Scen | e 7      |
|     | Thinking Skills in KBSM Curriculum                | 10       |
|     | Thinking Skills Development in the Malaysian      | 13       |
|     | Education System Thinking Skills Models Adopted   | 16       |
|     | Thinking Skills Models Adopted                    | 27       |
|     | Thinking as a Skill Thinking Skills and Learning  | 28       |
|     | The Essential Features of Thoughtful Teacher      | 29       |
|     | Behaviour as Aspired by the MoE                   | 20       |
|     | Training of Teachers                              | 31       |
|     | Teaching Strategies                               | 35       |
|     | Statement of the Problem                          | 36       |
|     | Objectives of the Study                           | 39       |
|     | Significance of the Study                         | 41       |
|     | Scope of the Study                                | 44       |
|     | Limitation of the Study                           | 45       |
|     | Operational Definitions                           | 46       |
| II  | LITERATURE REVIEW                                 |          |
| 11  | Introduction                                      | 50       |
|     | Some Definitions of Curriculum                    | 50<br>50 |
|     | The Curriculum Development Process                | 52       |
|     | Curriculum Implementation                         | 54       |
|     |   |          |



|   | The Nature of Implementation                     | 55         |
|---|--|------------|
|   | Change Communication Models                      | 58         |
|   | The Diffusion of Innovations Model               | 58         |
|   | Ely's Conditions of Change                       | 61         |
|   | Fullan's New Meaning of Educational Change       | 62         |
|   | The Concerns-Based Adoption Model (CBAM)         | <b>6</b> 8 |
|   | The Implementation of Innovation                 | 73         |
|   | Curriculum Innovation                            | 76         |
|   | The term 'Change' and 'Innovation'               | 78         |
|   | Change as a Process                              | 80         |
|   | The Need for Innovation                          | 84         |
|   | Types of Curriculum Innovation                   | 86         |
|   | Stakeholders as Change Agents                    | 91         |
|   | Teachers   | 92         |
|   | Approaches to Teaching Thinking Skills           | 94         |
|   | The Conception of Thinking Skills                | 102        |
|   | Taxonomies of Educational Objectives             | 105        |
|   | Teachers' Thought Processes                      | 109        |
|   | Questioning Strategies and Thought Processes     | 111        |
|   | Factors Influencing Teachers' Level of Thought   | 118        |
|   | Processes in Curriculum Instruction              |            |
|   | Critical Thinking Disposition                    | 121        |
|   | Identification of Teachers' Thought Processes    | 125        |
|   | Using Perception Study                           |            |
|   |  |            |
| Ш | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY                             |            |
|   | Introduction                                     | 127        |
|   | The Conceptual Framework                         | 127        |
|   | Research design                                  | 132        |
|   | Determining Sufficiency of Sample Size           | 133        |
|   | Population and Sampling                          | 134        |
|   | Instrumentation                                  | 140        |
|   | Research Procedure                               | 144        |
|   | The Questionnaire                                | 147        |
|   | Teachers' Background                             | 148        |
|   | Teachers' Level of Concern in the Utilization    | 149        |
|   | of TS in Curriculum Instruction                  |            |
|   | Teachers' Level of Critical Thinking Disposition | 150        |
|   | Characteristics of Innovation                    | 151        |
|   | Teachers' Level of Thought Processes             | 152        |
|   | Validity and Reliability of the Instrument       | 154        |
|   | Validity of the Instrument                       | 155        |
|   | -  |            |



|     | Reliability of the Instrument  | 156         |
|-----|--|-------------|
|     | Translation of the Instrument  | 158         |
|     | Preliminary Investigation  | 158         |
|     | Pre-Testing the Instrument   | 159         |
|     | Data Analysis  | 160         |
| IV. | FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION  | 165         |
|     | Introduction   | 165         |
|     | The Exploratory Data Analysis  | 166         |
|     | Teachers' Professional Qualifications  | 168         |
|     | Teaching Experience  | 169         |
|     | Teachers' Initial Source of Exposure on TS                                     | 171         |
|     | Teachers' Concern on the Utilization of TS                                     | 175         |
|     | Intensity of Self Concern  | 176         |
|     | Overall Teachers' Informational and Personal Concern                           | 184         |
|     | Overall Response in Self Concern Dimension                                     | 186         |
|     | Intensity of Task Concern  | 188         |
|     | Teachers' Overall Intensity of Task Concern                                    | 193         |
|     | Intensity of Impact concern  | 197         |
|     | Teachers' Overall Intensity of Impact Concern                                  | 208         |
|     | Teachers' Overall Response in Concern<br>Dimension                             | 208         |
|     | Teachers' Disposition Toward Critical Thinking                                 | 216         |
|     | Inquisitiveness  | 217         |
|     | Open-mindedness  | 222         |
|     | Systematicity  | 226         |
|     | Analyticity  | 231         |
|     | Truth-seeking  | 236         |
|     | Thinking Skill Self Confidence   | 241         |
|     | Maturity Scale   | 245         |
|     | Overall Teachers' Level of Disposition Towards                                 | 250         |
|     | Critical Thinking Skills   |             |
|     | Teachers' Perception on Innovation   | 254         |
|     | Need   | 256         |
|     | Clarity  | 262         |
|     | Complexity   | 266         |
|     | Quality and Practicality   | 271         |
|     | Teachers' Overall Perception of TS as an Innovation                            | 277         |
|     | Teachers' Level of Thought Processes   | 282         |
|     | Teachers' Level of Thought processes in the Formulation of Teaching Objectives | <b>2</b> 84 |
|     | Teachers' Level of Thought Processes in Questioning                            | 286         |



|     | Teachers' Level of Thought Processes in Planning | 287         |
|-----|--|-------------|
|     | Educational Tasks/Activities                     |             |
|     | The Overall Teachers' Level of Thought Processes | 289         |
|     | The Comparison of Teachers' Level of Thought     | 291         |
|     | Processes in Curriculum Instruction and          |             |
|     | Demographic Variables                            |             |
|     | Teachers' Level of Thought Processes in          | 292         |
|     | Curriculum Instruction According to              |             |
|     | Type of Schools                                  |             |
|     | Teachers' Level of Thought Processes in          | 293         |
|     | Curriculum Instruction According to              |             |
|     | Subject Matter                                   |             |
|     | Teachers' Level of Thought Processes in          | 296         |
|     | Curriculum Instruction According to              |             |
| •   | Professional Qualification                       |             |
|     | Teachers' Level of Thought Processes in          | <b>29</b> 8 |
|     | Curriculum Instruction According to              |             |
|     | Initial Exposure                                 |             |
|     | The Relationship of the Dependent and the        | 300         |
|     | Independent Variables                            |             |
|     | Teachers' Concern                                | 300         |
|     | Critical Thinking Disposition                    | 301         |
|     | Teachers' Teaching Experience                    | 302         |
|     | Teachers' Perception on TS as Innovation         | 303         |
|     | Significant Predictor(s) for Teachers' Level of  | 304         |
|     | Thought Processes in Curriculum Instruction      |             |
| V   | SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, IMPLICATIONS AND            | 308         |
|     | RECOMMENDATION                                   |             |
|     | Introduction                                     | 308         |
|     | Summary  | 309         |
|     | Methodology                                      | 309         |
|     | Summary of Findings                              | 310         |
|     | Conclusion                                       | 316         |
|     | Implications                                     | 318         |
|     | Implication for Change Theories                  | 319         |
|     | Implications for Curriculum Theory               | 320         |
|     | Implications for Practice                        | 322         |
|     | Recommendations                                  | 327         |
|     | Recommendations for Practice                     | 327         |
|     | Recommendations for Future Research              | 332         |
| REI | FERENCES   | 334         |
| APF | PENDICES   | 356         |









## LIST OF TABLES

| <b>l'able</b> |  | Page |
|---------------|--|------|
| 1.            | Compatibility of Change Communication Models<br>between Fullan's, Rogers's and Ely's | 67   |
| 2.            | Comparison between Bloom's and Krathwohl's Taxonomy                                  | 107  |
| 3.            | Using Bloom's Taxonomy to Frame Questions  | 114  |
| 4.            | Maximum Sampling Error for Samples of Varying Sizes                                  | 133  |
| 5.            | Distribution of States by Zones  | 136  |
| 6.            | Total Number of Schools According to States  | 137  |
| 7.            | Number of Teachers by States and Selected Samples                                    | 138  |
| 8.            | Number of Selected Teachers According to<br>Subject Matter and States                | 139  |
| 9.            | Strength of Correlation Coefficient  | 162  |
| 10.           | Summary of Statistical Used According to Research Questions                          | 164  |
| 11.           | Teachers' Professional Training Level  | 169  |
| 12.           | Teachers by Years of Experience  | 170  |
| 13.           | Teachers' Initial Source of Exposure to TS   | 173  |
| 14.           | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Awareness in Thinking<br>Skills                              | 176  |
| 15.           | Bahasa Inggeris Teachers' Awareness in Thinking<br>Skills                            | 177  |
| 16.           | Science Teachers' Áwareness in Thinking Skills                                       | 177  |



| 17. | Mathematics Teachers' Awareness in Thinking Skills              | 178 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 18. | Overall Teachers' Awareness on Thinking Skills                  | 179 |
| 19. | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Informational and<br>Personal Concern   | 181 |
| 20. | Bahasa Inggeris Teachers' Informational and<br>Personal Concern | 182 |
| 21. | Science Teachers' Informational and Personal<br>Concern         | 183 |
| 22. | Mathematics Teachers' Informational and Personal<br>Concern     | 184 |
| 23. | Overall Teachers' Informational and Personal<br>Concerns        | 185 |
| 24. | The Overall Response in Self Concern Dimension                  | 187 |
| 25. | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Intensity of Task<br>Concern            | 189 |
| 26. | Bahasa Inggeris Teachers' Intensity of Task<br>Concern          | 190 |
| 27. | Science Teachers' Intensity of Task Concern                     | 192 |
| 28. | Mathematics Teachers' Intensity of Task Concern                 | 193 |
| 29. | Teachers' Intensity of Task Concern                             | 194 |
| 30. | The Overall Intensity of Task Concern                           | 196 |
| 31. | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Intensity of Impact<br>Concern          | 198 |
| 32. | Bahasa Inggeris Teachers' Intensity of Impact                   | 200 |



# Concern

| 33.             | Science Teachers' Intensity of Impact Concern                      | 202 |
|-----------------|--|-----|
| 34.             | Mathematics Teachers' Intensity of Impact<br>Concern               | 204 |
| 35.             | Teachers' Intensity of Impact Concern                              | 206 |
| 36.             | The Overall Intensity of Impact Concern                            | 208 |
| 37.             | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Overall Response in<br>Concern Dimension   | 210 |
| 38.             | Bahasa Inggeris Teachers' Overall Response in<br>Concern Dimension | 210 |
| 39.             | Science Teachers' Overall Response in Concern<br>Dimension         | 211 |
| 10.             | Mathematics Teachers' Overall Response in<br>Concern Dimension     | 212 |
| <b>41.</b>      | Teachers' Overall Response to Concern Dimension                    | 212 |
| 12.             | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Inquisitiveness     | 218 |
| <b>1</b> 3.     | Bahasa Inggeris Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Inquisitiveness   | 219 |
| 14.             | Science Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Inquisitiveness           | 219 |
| <del>1</del> 5. | Mathematics Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Inquisitiveness       | 220 |
| 16.             | Teachers' Disposition Towards Inquisitiveness                      | 221 |



| 47. | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Disposition Towards Open-mindedness      | 222 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 48. | Bahasa Inggeris Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Open-mindedness | 224 |
| 49. | Science Teachers' Disposition Towards Open-<br>mindedness        | 224 |
| 50. | Mathematics Teachers' Disposition Towards Open-<br>mindedness    | 225 |
| 51. | Teachers' Disposition Towards Open-mindedness                    | 226 |
| 52. | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Systematicity     | 227 |
| 53. | Bahasa Inggeris Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Systematicity   | 228 |
| 54. | Science Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Systematicity           | 228 |
| 55. | Mathematics Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Systematicity       | 230 |
| 56. | Teachers' Disposition Towards Systematicity                      | 230 |
| 57. | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Analyticity       | 232 |
| 58. | Bahasa Inggeris Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Analyticity     | 232 |
| 59. | Science Teachers' Disposition Towards Analyticity                | 234 |
| 60. | Mathematics Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Analyticity         | 235 |
| 61. | Teachers' Disposition Towards Analiticity                        | 235 |





| 62.        | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Truth-Seeking        | 236         |
|------------|---|-------------|
| 63.        | Bahasa Inggeris Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Truth-Seeking      | 238         |
| 64.        | Science Teachers' Disposition Towards Truth-<br>Seeking             | 238         |
| 65.        | Mathematics Teachers' Disposition Towards Truth-<br>Seeking         | 239         |
| 66.        | Teachers' Disposition Towards Truth-seeking                         | 240         |
| 67.        | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>TS Self-Confidence   | 241         |
| 68.        | Bahasa Inggeris Teachers' Disposition Towards TS<br>Self-Confidence | 242         |
| 69.        | Science Teachers' Disposition Towards TS Self-<br>Confidence        | 244         |
| 70.        | Mathematics Teachers' Disposition Towards TS<br>Self-Confidence     | 244         |
| 71.        | Teachers' Disposition Towards TS Self-Confidence                    | 245         |
| 72.        | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Maturity             | <b>24</b> 6 |
| 73.        | Bahasa Inggeris Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>TS Maturity        | 247         |
| 74.        | Science Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>TS Maturity                | 248         |
| <b>75.</b> | Mathematics Teachers' Disposition Towards<br>Maturity               | 248         |
| 76.        | Teachers' Disposition Towards Maturity                              | 250         |
| 77.        | Bahasa Melayu Teachers' Level of Disposition                        | 251         |

xxiii

