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This research seeks to study thought processes among teachers teaching specific subjects in secondary schools. The research design was a descriptive correlational study and the data were collected using mailed questionnaires. The study employed the survey method. A stratified sampling technique was used to select 400 teachers. A response rate of 71.75% (287) was considered to be acceptable. Both the descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyse the data.

The research finding showed that two-thirds of the teachers' thought processes was low. Almost one-third of the teachers were at the
intermediate-level and a very minimal percentage was high-level. There was no significant difference between regular and residential school teachers' thought processes. The result also suggested that the mean of Science and Mathematics teachers' thought processes scored significantly higher than Bahasa Melayu and Bahasa Inggeris teachers in their level of thought processes. However, teachers' professional qualification did not show any significant difference. With the exception of teachers' critical thinking disposition and teachers' concern, all the other variables did not correlate significantly with teachers' thought processes.

Multiple Regression Analysis showed that the significant predictors for teachers' thought processes in curriculum instruction are critical thinking disposition and teachers' concern. Based on the findings of the study, two new variables for educational change were proposed.

The study mainly recommended that policymakers should find means to improve teachers' level of commitment and emphasize moral purpose explicitly into the instructional objectives. This is because even if all the relevant factors for successful educational change are taken care of, the intended outcome will fail to occur if teachers are not committed and did not see that the change has professional value.
to them. Finally, other recommendations for practice and future research were put forward.
Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan memperoleh ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PROSES PEMIKIRAN DIKALANGAN GURU YANG MENGAJAR MATA PELAJARAN TERTENTU DI SEKOLAH MENENGAH
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ROSMA OSMAN
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Pengerusi : Ghazali Mustapha Ph.D
Fakulti : Pengajian Pendidikan

Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa proses pemikiran dalam pengajaran kurikulum oleh hampir dua pertiga jumlah guru adalah rendah. Hampir satu pertiga guru berada di tahap sederhana dan guru yang menggunakan kemahiran berfikir dalam pengajaran kurikulum pada tahap tinggi adalah sangat minima. Seterusnya, tidak ada perbezaan yang signifikan di antara guru sekolah menengah biasa dengan guru sekolah menengah berasrama penuh dari segi tahap proses pemikiran dalam pengajaran kurikulum. Dapatan juga menunjukkan bahawa skor min guru Sains dan guru Matematik adalah lebih signifikan berbanding guru Bahasa Melayu dan Bahasa Inggeris dalam proses pemikiran. Walaubagaimanapun, kelayakan professional guru tidak menunjukkan perbezaan signifikan dalam proses pemikiran. Semua pembolehubah tidak menunjukkan korelasi yang signifikan bagi tahap proses pemikiran dalam pengajaran kurikulum di sekolah, kecuali kecenderungan guru berfikir secara kritis dan keperihatinan guru.

Analisis regresi berbilang menunjukkan bahawa kecenderungan guru berfikir secara kritis dan keperihatinan guru merupakan faktor signifikan dalam proses pemikiran di kalangan guru yang mengajar mata pelajaran tertentu. Berdasarkan dapatan kajian, dua
pembolehubah baru untuk kejayaan pelaksanaan innovasi dalam pendidikan dicadangkan.

Kajian ini mencadangkan supaya pembuat dasar mencari cara yang terbaik untuk meningkatkan tahap komitmen guru dan menekankan tujuan murni secara eksplisit dalam objektif pengajaran. Ini kerana walaupun semua faktor yang berkaitan dengan kejayaan pelaksanaan innovasi dalam pendidikan diambil kira, namun hasil yang dihasratkan tidak akan tercapai jika guru tidak komited untuk melaksanakannya dan tidak nampak yang perubahan yang dihasratkan itu membawa nilai professional kepada mereka. Akhir sekali, dikemukakan juga cadangan lain untuk amalan dan penyelidikan selanjutnya.
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