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Basal stem rot (BSR) is a major threat to the oil palm industry. The disease is caused by Ganoderma boninense, which rots the internal tissues at the trunk base resulting in stem fracture and death of palm. The present study investigated the efficacy of two isolates of Trichoderma harzianum (BIO T32 and BIO T66) as potential biological control agents against BSR based on in vitro and in vivo trials.

The study revealed that treatment applied as a soil drench using conidial suspension (mean of 1.61 x 10^8 spores/ml) of BIO T32 in addition to a Trichoderma-incorporated palm press fibre (ppf) surface mulch, performed better with a significant difference compared to the use of BIO T66. The disease severity index (DSI) of the former was 28.35 compared to 76.67 of the latter. BIO T32 was also a competent biological control agent in the delayed treatment given to pre-infected seedlings at 6 weeks before treatment with BIO T32, giving a DSI of 45, which was statistically significant compared to the infected and untreated control seedlings with a DSI of 86.67. In testing the synergistic
effect by combining the 2 isolates, a poorer performance was observed based on the DSI and plant biomass compared to single application of BIO T32. Isolate BIO T66 which showed good antagonistic properties in the in vitro assessment was not found to display similar results in the in vivo trials.

A series of treatments were evaluated for their potential as a BIO T32 carrier. Out of the 3 studies, only ppf and compost exhibited promising results in their capacity as surface mulches, where treatments with either one gave a DSI of 30. Both are food base carriers for they increased the growth of oil palm seedlings significantly, with compost displaying better results. Treatment with compost in terms of vegetative growth gave the highest plant biomass, leaf area measurement, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (NPK) content in the seedlings compared to the other 2 treatments of ppf and the untreated control seedlings.

In total, the experiment revealed that the application of BIO T32 as a single inoculum was the best treatment, giving a DSI of 28.35. Trials using a single application of BIO T66 and BIO T66 mixed with BIO T32 performed poorly, giving a DSI of 76.67 each and were not significantly different from the infected non-treated control plants. An appropriate interval of conidial suspension’s application played a pertinent role in the inhibition of disease as demonstrated in the delayed treatment. The application of compost was found to be an interesting alternative to ppf as surface mulch, which functions also as a Trichoderma carrier. Finally, in terms of vegetative growth both ppf
and compost as food base carriers significantly increased plant biomass, total leaf area measurement and N uptake compared to the untreated control.
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Penyakit reput pangkal batang adalah serius bagi industri pokok kelapa sawit. Penyakit ini disebabkan oleh *Ganoderma boninense* yang menyebabkan reputan pada pangkal pokok yang mengakibatkan kerosakan batang pokok dan akhirnya kematian. Kajian ini menyiasat 2 isolat *Trichoderma harzianum* (BIO T32 dan BIO T66) dalam kebolehan sebagai agen kawalan biologi yang berpotensi terhadap penyakit reput pangkal melalui ujian makmal dan rumah hijau.

Kajian ini telah membuktikan rawatan aplikasi tunggal menggunakan suspensi konidia (purata 1.61 x 10^8 spora/ml) bersama serabut kelapa sawit BIO T32 memberikan keputusan yang lebih baik berbanding dengan BIO T66. Keputusan keparahan index penyakit (DSI) bagi BIO T32 adalah lebih baik dengan 28.35 berbanding BIO T66 dengan 76.67. BIO T32 juga terbukti sebagai agen kawalan biologi yang berpotensi apabila ia memberikan keputusan yang memberangsangkan bagi rawatan 6 minggu lewat
kepada anak pokok kelapa sawit yang dijangkiti EGB 01 berbanding dengan anak pokok yang tidak dirawat (Kawalan II). Dalam menentukan keberkesanan kombinasi 2 isolate tersebut keputusan yang tidak baik diperolehi melalui DSI, berat kering anak pokok jika dibandingkan dengan rawatan menggunakan BIO T32. Isolat BIO T66 yang memberikan keputusan baik dalam ujian in vitro tidak memberikan keputusan yang sama dalam ujian in vivo.

Beberapa rawatan telah dikaji sebagai pengangkut BIO T32. Dari 3 rawatan yang dikaji, hanya serabut kelapa sawit dan kompos memberikan keputusan yang memberangsangkan dengan DSI 30 untuk kedua-dua rawatan di akhir eksperimen. Kedua-dua agen pengangkut ini juga telah meningkatan pertumbuhan anak pokok dengan kompos memberikan keputusan yang lebih tinggi dan bererti dalam penyerapan nitrogen, fosforus dan kalium (NPK), berat kering anak pokok serta jumlah luas daun yang paling tinggi berbanding dengan 2 rawatan iaitu serabut kelapa sawit dan kawalan (tidak dirawat).

Secara keseluruhan, kajian ini mendapati rawatan menggunakan BIO T32 secara tunggal lebih berkesan dengan DSI 28.35. Rawatan menggunakan BIO T66 dan kombinasi bersama BIO T32 tidak memberikan keputusan yang memberangsangkan dengan DSI bernilai 76.67 untuk setiap satu. Suspensi konidia yang diberikan pada masa yang betul memberikan keputusan yang memberangsangkan dalam supresi penyakit terutamanya pada anak pokok yang dirawat lewat setelah dijangkiti awal oleh G.boninense. Kompos menunjukkan keputusan yang memberangsangkan sebagai agen pengangkut alternatif
kepada serabut kelapa sawit. Akhir sekali, kedua-dua agen iaitu serabut kelapa sawit dan kompos sebagai pengangkut memberikan keputusan yang bererti dalam meningkatkan berat kering anak pokok, jumlah luas daun dan pengambilan nitrogen (N), jika dibandingkan dengan anak pokok yang tidak dirawat.
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5.9 Top: Treatment I (ppf surface mulch) – Oil palm seedlings infected with EGB 01, which was treated with conidial suspension of BIO T32 (mean of $1.68 \times 10^8$ spores/ml). Bottom: Control II – Oil palm seedlings infected with EGB 01 without treatment.

5.10 Top: Control I – Oil palm seedlings without infection and treatment. Bottom: Treatment II (compost) – Oil palm seedlings infected with EGB 01, which was treated with conidial suspension of BIO T32 ($1.67 \times 10^8$ spores/ml).

5.11 Top: Treatment II (compost) – Oil palm seedlings infected with EGB 01, which was treated with conidial suspension of BIO T32 ($1.67 \times 10^8$ spores/ml). Bottom: Control II – Oil palm seedlings infected with EGB 01 without treatment.
5.12 Top: Control I – Oil palm seedlings without infection and treatment. Bottom: Treatment III (conidial suspension) – Oil palm seedlings infected with EGB 01 which was treated with conidial suspension of BIO T32 (1.68 x 10^8 spores/ml)

5.13 Top: Control II – Oil palm seedlings infected with EGB 01 without treatment; Bottom; Treatment III (conidial suspension) - Seedlings infected with EGB 01 which was treated with only conidial suspension of BIO T32 (1.68 x 10^8 spores/ml)

5.14 Top: Treatment IV (Hydrogel) – Oil palm seedlings infected with EGB 01 which was treated with hydrogel suspension of BIO T32 (1.67 x 10^8 spores/ml). Bottom: Control I – Oil palm seedlings without infection and treatment.

5.15 Top: Treatment IV - Seedlings infected with EGB 01, which was treated with hydrogel suspension of BIO T32 (1.67 x 10^8 spores/ml). Bottom: Control II – Oil palm seedlings infected with EGB 01 without treatment

5.16 Mean reading of top dry weight over 24 weeks

5.17 Mean reading of root dry weight over 24 weeks

5.18 Mean reading of leaf area measurement on 16th week of experiment

5.19 Mean reading of NPK percentage in oil palm seedlings

5.20 Comparison of seedlings of control (left) and seedlings treated with compost (right)

5.21 Up rooted seedlings of compost (right) being compared with seedlings of control (left)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSI</td>
<td>Disease Severity Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>Analysis of Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMRT</td>
<td>Duncan’s Multiple Range Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDA</td>
<td>Potato Dextrose Agar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEA</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDW</td>
<td>Top dry weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDW</td>
<td>Root dry weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIRG</td>
<td>Percentage Inhibition of Radial Growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPK</td>
<td>Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppf</td>
<td>palm press fibre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cfu</td>
<td>colony forming unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w. a. i.</td>
<td>weeks after infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>gram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ml</td>
<td>mililitres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>liter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cm</td>
<td>centimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kg</td>
<td>kilogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>celcius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpm</td>
<td>rotation per minute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The oil palm, *Elaeis guineensis*, is the highest yielding among the oil-producing crops (Ariffin et al., 2000). It is an important species in the tropical regions because of its two main raw materials namely; palm oil and palm kernel oil. Palm oil commands an average yield of about 4 tonnes oil ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$. In the year 2002, Malaysia produced 60% of the world’s palm oil with a total production of about 11 million tonnes (World Oils & Fats, 2002).

Like any other crop, the oil palm also faces a lot of pest and disease (P&D) tribulations. From seed germination right up to field planting, the crop is exposed to several P&D problems, some of which is caused by fungi. Some of the P&D problems faced by oil palm industry are the basal stem rot, brown germ, upper stem rot, *Rhinoceros* beetles and bagworm (Turner, 1981). Among these, the current most serious disease is Basal Stem Rot (BSR). For the past 50 years or more, BSR had been causing serious damage to the oil palm plantation in Malaysia. The disease is also prevalent in Indonesia, Zaire, Ghana, Nigeria, Cameroon, San Tome, Principe, Angola, Rhodesia and Papua New Guinea (PNG) (Turner, 1981) with incidence being relatively low in PNG (Pilotti, 2001).

The causal pathogen of this disease is the fungus *Ganoderma*. Not only does it attack oil palms, it is also the causal agent of root and stem rots of other crops namely; coconut,