



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**THE EFFECT OF CANOPY ARCHITECTURE AND SEASONAL
VARIATIONS ON SEVERAL SEED QUALITY ATTRIBUTES IN
SOYBEAN (GLYCINE MAX L. MERR.)**

ERENSO DEGU GUTEMA.

FP 2006 4



**THE EFFECT OF CANOPY ARCHITECTURE AND SEASONAL VARIATIONS
ON SEVERAL SEED QUALITY ATTRIBUTES IN SOYBEAN
(*Glycine max* L. Merr.)**

By

ERENSO DEGU GUTEMA

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

February 2006



DEDICATION

**To:
My beloved wife Yeshihareg Kebebew,
My children Lidia, Henock and Girum
and
to the memory of my parents.**



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**THE EFFECT OF CANOPY ARCHITECTURE AND SEASONAL VARIATIONS
ON SEVERAL SEED QUALITY ATTRIBUTES IN SOYBEAN
(*Glycine max* L. Merr.)**

By

ERENSO DEGU GUTEMA

February 2006

Chairman: Adam Puteh, PhD

Faculty : Agriculture

Producing high quality soybean seed in the hot humid tropics is no easy task. During seed production, several environmental factors and plant morphological characteristics can exert their influences on seed quality. A study was undertaken at Universiti Putra Malaysia to study the effect of canopy architecture and seasonal variations on several seed quality attributes in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.). Four soybean cultivars namely, Palmetto, AGS190, Deing and Cikurai were grown in the field for four seasons during 2003 and 2004. Four levels of defoliation treatments [0% defoliation ($\pm 170.89 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ light intensity), 25% defoliation ($\pm 324.33 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ light intensity), 50% defoliation ($\pm 473.01 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ light intensity) and 75% defoliation ($\pm 642.84 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ light intensity)], were imposed at the pod initiation stage (R3). Weather factors such as light intensity, canopy, air and soil temperatures, canopy and air relative humidity, soil moisture and leaf area index were recorded at seven-day intervals starting from the imposition of defoliation until plants reached physiological maturity (R7). Seeds harvested at harvest maturity (R8) were used to determine seed yield,



viability, vigour, 100-seed weight and for *Phomopsis* bioassay. *Phomopsis* sp. seed infection was predicted using weather factors and leaf area index. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to study the progression and colonization of *Phomopsis* sp. on the stem, pod and seed starting from R3 until R8.

Defoliation treatments were found to have inconsistent effects on seed yield (kg ha^{-1}) and pods per plant for AGS190, Deing and Palmetto. Pod number of Palmetto was affected during season III only whereas the pod number for Cikurai was significantly affected for all seasons. However, defoliation treatments affected 100-seed weight for all cultivars except for AGS190 (season I), Deing (season IV) and Cikurai (season III).

From the combined analysis of data over the four seasons, defoliation improved percent seed germination from 6.8 to 13.2%. Increasing the level of defoliation resulted in increasing percent germination and 3-day seedling height and reduced *Phomopsis* incidence for all cultivars. The highest germination was recorded during season II which coincided with the least level of *Phomopsis* sp. seed infection. Moreover, this disease was influenced by seasonal variations. The disease incidence was high during seasons III (51.3%) and IV (49.5%) characterized by high rainfall during seed development and maturation as compared to seasons I and II which encountered low rainfall situations (33.3% and 32.5%, respectively). AGS190, a large seeded cultivar, was severely affected by the seed-borne disease (51.5% infection) whereas Deing, a small seeded cultivar, was the least affected (34.7%).



Defoliation treatments increased light intensity within the plant canopy for all cultivars studied. Light intensity and canopy temperature revealed negative correlation with percentage *Phomopsis* incidence indicating that high light intensity and temperature inside plant canopy reduced *Phomopsis* sp. seed infection. On the contrary, positive relationship was observed between canopy and air relative humidity, soil moisture and leaf area index with percentage *Phomopsis* incidence. From the stepwise multiple regression analysis, *Phomopsis* sp. seed infection can be predicted by leaf area index, soil moisture and canopy relative humidity; while its reduction can be predicted by increased light intensity and canopy temperature during seed development and maturation.

Scanning electron microscopy revealed that fungi progression and colonization started at different growth stages for different plant parts. Stems were infected during the early reproductive stage (R3) whereas pods became noticeably infected during the full seed stage (R6) and seeds were the last to be infected. The fungi were internally-borne within the infected seeds. Fungal hyphae were observed externally on the surface of the plant parts studied and internally both in the pod and in all the three layers of the seed coat: palisade cell, hourglass cell and parenchyma cell layers. Fungi colonization was highest at the late plant growth stages of R7 and R8.

A progressive increase in pod and seed infection was detected during subsequent growth stages between R6 and R8. From the pod, the pathogen can infect and colonize the seed. The SEM results suggested that the reproductive growth period of R6 to R7 was more critical with respect to *Phomopsis* sp. seed infection than earlier reproductive growth

periods, since more severe colonization of pods and seeds took place at the later stages of plant growth. SEM revealed that stem infection allowed buildup of inoculum for subsequent infection of the pod, whereas pod infection was necessary for further infection of seeds. Since pod infection is the prerequisite for seed infection, this study suggests that fungicide would be best applied between R4 and R5 before the seed-borne fungi reach the seed during R6 and the subsequent growth stages.

Prediction model based on four seasons' data accurately described the relationship between the environmental conditions and leaf area index during seed development and maturation and the levels of seed infection by *Phomopsis* sp. Moreover, the model fitted well with the field and laboratory data collected. However, this model needs to be tested at multilocational trials for validity.

The results of the present study have shown that plant canopy modification through defoliation appears to improve quality of seeds produced under wet and warm tropical environments. In addition, the study also suggested that growing of soybean cultivars with open canopies and having low leaf area index, coupled with rain-free harvesting seasons can result in the production of high quality seeds. Although the prediction model so developed in this study needs to be tested for validity at different locations and variable environments, it has the potential to be used as a practical tool in plant disease forecasting programs.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KESAN RUPABENTUK KANOPI DAN PERBEZAAN MUSIM KEATAS CIRI KUALITI BIJIBENIH KACANG SOYA (*Glycine max* L. Merr.)

Oleh

ERENSO DEGU GUTEMA

Februari 2006

Pengerusi: Adam Puteh, PhD

Fakulti: Pertanian

Menghasilkan biji benih kacang soya di kawasan tropika yang panas dan lembab bukannya satu kerja mudah. Semasa pengeluaran biji benih, beberapa faktor persekitaran dan ciri morfologi tumbuhan boleh mempengaruhi kualiti biji benih. Satu kajian telah dijalankan di Universiti Putra Malaysia untuk mengkaji kesan rekabentuk kanopi dan perbezaan musim ke atas beberapa ciri kualiti biji benih kacang soya (*Glycine max* L. Merr.). Empat kultivar kacang soya, iaitu, Palmetto, AGS190, Deing dan Cikurai telah ditanam di ladang untuk empat musim dalam tahun 2003 dan 2004. Empat tahap rawatan defoliasi [0% defoliasi ($\pm 170.89 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ intensiti cahaya), 25% defoliasi ($\pm 324.33 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ intensiti cahaya), 50% defoliasi ($\pm 473.01 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ intensiti cahaya) dan 75% defoliasi ($\pm 642.84 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ intensiti cahaya)] telah dilakukan di peringkat permulaan pembentukan lenggai (R3). Faktor cuaca seperti intensiti cahaya, suhu silara, udara dan tanah, kelembapan relatif silara dan udara, kelembapan tanah dan indeks keluasan daun telah direkodkan selang tujuh hari bermula dari tarikh rawatan defoliasi dikenakan sehinggalah tanaman mencapai kematangan



fisiologi (R7). Biji benih yang dituai semasa kematangan ladang (R8) telah digunakan untuk penentuan hasil, kecergasan, berat 100 biji dan viabiliti biji benih, dan untuk bioassay *Phomopsis*. Jangkitan *Phomopsis* sp. pada biji benih telah diramal menggunakan faktor cuaca dan indeks keluasan daun. Imbasan mikroskop elektron telah digunakan untuk mengkaji kemajuan dan pengklonian *Phomopsis* sp. pada batang, lenggai dan biji benih bermula dari R3 sehingga R8.

Rawatan defoliasi menunjukkan kesan tidak konsisten keatas hasil bijibenih (kg ha^{-1}) dan jumlah lenggai per pokok bagi AGS190, Deing dan Palmetto. Defoliasi memberi kesan keatas lenggai per pokok bagi Palmetto pada musim III sahaja, manakala kesannya terhadap kultivar Cikurai adalah untuk semua musim penanaman. Rawatan defoliasi didapati mempengaruhi berat 100-bijibenih untuk semua kultivar kecuali bagi AGS190 (musim I), Deing (musim IV) dan Cikurai (musim III).

Dari analisis gabungan data untuk ke empat-empat musim, defoliasi meningkatkan percambahan biji benih dari 6.8 ke 13.2%. Meningkatkan tahap defoliasi menghasilkan peningkatan peratus percambahan dan ketinggian anak benih 3-hari dan mengurangkan kehadiran *Phomopsis* untuk semua kultivar. Percambahan paling tinggi telah direkodkan pada musim II dan jangkitan *Phomopsis* terhadap biji benih juga adalah paling rendah pada musim itu. Lebih-lebih lagi, penyakit ini adalah dipengaruhi oleh perbezaan musim. Kehadiran penyakit adalah tinggi pada musim III (51.3%) dan IV (49.5%) bilamana hujan banyak semasa pembentukan dan kematangan biji benih. AGS190, satu kultivar berbiji benih besar, telah dijangkiti secara serius oleh penyakit

bawaan biji benih (51.5%) manakala Deing, satu kultivar berbiji benih kecil, adalah paling sedikit dijangkiti (34.7%).

Rawatan defoliasi meningkatkan intensiti cahaya di dalam silara tumbuhan untuk semua kultivar yang dikaji. Intensiti cahaya dan suhu silara menunjukkan korelasi negatif dengan peratus kehadiran *Phomopsis*, sekaligus menunjukkan bahawa intensiti cahaya dan suhu yang tinggi di dalam silara mengurangkan jangkitan *Phomopsis* terhadap biji benih. Di sebaliknya, korelasi positif telah diperhatikan di antara kelembapan relatif silara dan udara, kelembapan tanah dan indeks keluasan daun dengan peratus kehadiran *Phomopsis*. Dari analisis regresi berganda, jangkitan *Phomopsis* sp. terhadap biji benih boleh diramalkan oleh indeks keluasan daun, kelembapan tanah dan kelembapan relatif silara; manakala pengurangannya boleh diramalkan dengan pengurangan intensiti cahaya dan suhu silara semasa pembentukan dan kematangan biji benih.

Imbasan mikroskop elektron menunjukkan bahawa kemajuan dan pengklonion kulat bermula pada peringkat pertumbuhan berbeza bagi bahagian-bahagian tumbuhan yang berbeza. Batang dijangkiti pada peringkat reproduktif awal (R3) manakala lenggai kelihatan jelas dijangkiti di peringkat pertumbuhan biji benih penuh (R6) dan biji benih pula adalah yang paling akhir dijangkiti. Kulat tersebut didapati berada di dalam biji benih yang dijangkiti. Beberapa hypha diperhatikan berada di luar permukaan beberapa bahagian tumbuhan yang dikaji dan berada di dalam lenggai dan ketiga-tiga lapisan kulit biji: sel palisad, sel "hourglass" dan sel parenkima. Pengklonion kulat adalah tertinggi pada peringkat akhir pertumbuhan, R7 dan R8.

Jangkitan progresif terhadap biji benih dan lenggai telah dikesan semasa peringkat pertumbuhan di antara R6 dan R8. Dari lenggai, patogen boleh menjangkiti dan mengkloni biji benih. Hasil imbasan mikroskop elektron menunjukkan bahawa peringkat reproduktif R6 ke R7 adalah lebih kritikal berhubung dengan jangkitan biji benih oleh *Phomopsis/ Colletotrichum* sp. dari peringkat reproduktif yang lebih awal, kerana pengklonian yang teruk terhadap lenggai dan biji benih berlaku di peringkat akhir pertumbuhan. Imbasan mikroskop elektron juga membuktikan bahawa jangkitan batang membenarkan pengumpulan inokulum yang menyebabkan jangkitan lenggai kemudiannya, manakala jangkitan lenggai pula adalah satu keperluan untuk menyebabkan jangkitan pada biji benih. Oleh kerana jangkitan lenggai merupakan keperluan untuk jangkitan biji benih, maka kajian ini menyarankan agar aplikasi racun kulat adalah sangat sesuai dilakukan di antara R4 dan R5 sebelum kulat bawaan biji benih sampai ke biji benih di peringkat R6 atau peringkat pertumbuhan selanjutnya.

Model ramalan berdasarkan data dari empat musim penanaman dengan tepat menerangkan hubungan di antara keadaan persekitaran dan indeks keluasan daun semasa pembentukan dan kematangan biji benih dengan tahap jangkitan *Phomopsis* sp. terhadap biji benih. Lagi pun, model ini adalah padan dengan data yang dikumpul dari lapangan dan juga makmal. Walau bagaimana pun, model ini perlu diuji di kajian multilokasi untuk menentukan kesahihannya.

Hasil kajian ini telah menunjukkan bahawa modifikasi rekabentuk silara melalui defoliasi boleh meningkatkan kualiti biji benih yang dihasilkan di persekitan tropika yang lembab dan panas. Tambahan lagi, kajian ini juga menunjukkan bahawa

penghasilan biji benih kacang soya oleh kultivar yang mempunyai silara terbuka dan mempunyai indeks keluasan daun yang rendah, disekalikan dengan musim penuaian tanpa hujan boleh menghasilkan biji benih berkualiti tinggi. Walaupun model ramalan yang dihasilkan dalam kajian ini masih perlu ditentukan kesahihannya melalui ujian di pelbagai lokasi dan persekitaran berbeza, ia berpotensi untuk digunakan sebagai satu alat yang praktikal dalam program peramalan penyakit tumbuhan.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis is the culmination of my PhD studies at the Faculty of Agriculture and hence I would like to make use of this opportunity to extend my sincere gratitude to all staff members of the Faculty of Agriculture who directly or indirectly got involved in my studies and my fellow compatriots at UPM, for making my studies fruitful.

I earnestly owe my most sincere gratitude and appreciation to my major supervisor, Dr. Adam Puteh for his constant encouragement, guidance, and constructive criticisms in the preparation of this manuscript by sacrificing his precious time. Indeed, he has timelessly and tirelessly guided and encouraged me throughout the course of my studies and thesis completion. Despite his immense tasks and responsibilities (lecture offerings, research work and other social commitments, to mention a few) he has virtually made himself available at my disposal and offered me his limitless counseling, and constructive suggestion. Moreover, he has inspired and aroused my interests for further research in the area of soybean seed technology and related fields. Really, Dr. Adam Puteh is genuine and has been a very great considerate, inspiring, supportive and very brotherly during the course of this program.

I am very grateful for the special contribution from Associate Professor Dr Kamaruzama, Sijam for his unreserved support in dealing and interpreting the pathological aspects of my research activities. Great valuable suggestions pertaining to seed-borne disease study has been provided by him. In fact his continuous help and consultation on pathological issues is highly acknowledged.



Sincere appreciation also goes to the other members of my Supervisory committee; Associate Professor Dr Mohd. Fauzi Ramlan and Associate Professor Dr Mohammad Mohd. Lassim for their constructive and valuable suggestions and guidance which contributed immensely in giving a shape for this thesis. Indeed, the author is pleased to further express their deepest appreciation and thanks for their unfailing advice in making this thesis a reality.

Thanks go to Mulugeta Negeri, Mandefro Negusie, Ahmed Sied, Mekasha Chichaybelu, Eshetu Derso, Zelalem Tesfaye, Abayneh Isayas, Deribe Gurmu, Tesfaye Shimbir, Melaku Addisu, Seyum Kelemwork and Ahmed Sharif, my fellow compatriots in Malaysia, for all the support and for being there always especially at times when it mattered most and necessary. Indeed, without their help, this study could not have been completed on time. Their support was very great. Also, special thanks go to Negash Demissie for being very helpful on several occasions.

It would be a remiss if I do not thank some of Faculty of Agriculture staff, colleagues and friends, who directly or indirectly provided support. To mention a few, Mr. Zulkifli Mohammad and Mrs. Nor Rafidah from Seed Technology Laboratory for their immeasurable assistance in the field and laboratory. Thanks are also extended to the staff members of the Department of Plant Protection, Botany Laboratory (Mr. Daud) and the Plant Physiology Laboratory staff at UPM; Messers Mazlan and Azhar for all their valuable assistance during field and laboratory work. Thanks to Mr. Antarjo Dikin for always helping in Scanning Electron Microscopy and bioassay techniques for the seed-borne diseases. Moreover, thanks to Field 2 staff members, especially Mr. Abdol Rahman bin Sharif who was always at my disposal for any help required in the field



work. Special thanks to the Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization for financial support and study leave. Thanks are also extended to the staff members of Agricultural Research and Training Project for facilitating financial requirements during my PhD study.

Sincere appreciation and thanks to Girma Mamo and Beyene Seboka for their moral support, inspiring and friendship from a distant world. Special thanks also go to Dr Fassil Kebebew and Mr Amare Mergia for their moral support, encouragement and unreservedly supported my family members during my study leave.

Last but not least, I am greatly indebted and wish to thank my beloved parents, family members, wife, children, other relatives and friends , who have persevered and endured my absence from home and all those who in diverse ways supported me during my study leave in Malaysia. Really I greatly appreciate my wife, Yeshihareg Kebebew whose contribution was immeasurable, specially for her support both in the field and in the laboratory. Her patience, continuous encouragement and managing the family upon my absence from home are much acknowledged. Sincere appreciation and thanks to my beloved children once again, Girum, Henock and Lidia for their constant encouragement throughout the course of this study.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| DEDICATION | ii |
| ABSTRACT | iii |
| ABSTRAK | vii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | xii |
| APPROVAL | xv |
| DECLARATION | xvii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xx |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xxii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xxiv |
| CHAPTER | |
| I INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| Canopy Architecture and Plant Growth | 5 |
| Influence of Defoliation on Seed Yield | 7 |
| Seed-borne Diseases in Soybean and Their Effects on Seed Yield and Seed Quality | 9 |
| The <i>Diaporthe/Phomopsis</i> Complex | 10 |
| Factors Influencing <i>Phomopsis/Diaporthe</i> Disease Incidence in Soybean | 14 |
| Environmental Influences | 14 |
| Microclimate in Canopy | 21 |
| Genetic Influence | 23 |
| Disease Management | 25 |
| Predicting Seed-borne Diseases in Soybean during Seed Production | 29 |
| The Dynamics of <i>Phomopsis</i> during Seed Development and Maturation | 31 |
| III THE EFFECT OF PLANT CANOPY ARCHITECTURE MODIFICATION AND SEASONAL VARIATIONS ON SEED YIELD, SEED QUALITY AND <i>DIAPORTHE/PHOMOPSIS</i> DISEASE DEVELOPMENT | 36 |
| Introduction | 36 |
| Materials and Methods | 40 |
| Seed Yield | 43 |
| Seed Quality Evaluations | 44 |
| Seed Viability | 44 |
| Seed Vigour | 45 |
| Germination Test and Seedling Height | 45 |
| Hot Water Stress Test | 45 |
| Conductivity Test (EC) | 46 |



| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Bioassay for Seed-borne Disease | 46 |
| Scanning Electron Microscopy | 47 |
| Data Analysis | 47 |
| Results and Discussion | 48 |
| The Effect of Plant Canopy Architecture Modification on Seed Yield and Yield Components | 49 |
| The Effect of Plant Canopy Architecture Modification on Seed Quality | 54 |
| Bioassay for Seed-borne Disease | 58 |
| Seed Discolouration | 60 |
| Abnormal Seedling | 62 |
| Seed Vigour | 62 |
| The Dynamics of <i>Phomopsis</i> during Seed Development and Maturation | 75 |
| Conclusions | 89 |
| | |
| IV FUNCTIONAL RELATIONSHIP OF <i>PHOMOPSIS</i> SP. SEED INFECTION WITH SEED QUALITY PARAMETERS, WEATHER FACTORS, AND LEAF AREA INDEX IN SOYBEAN | 91 |
| Introduction | 91 |
| Materials and Methods | 93 |
| Results and Discussion | 95 |
| Relationship between <i>Phomopsis</i> Incidence and Other Characters Estimated in Soybean | 95 |
| <i>Phomopsis</i> Disease Development in Relation to Weather Factors and Leaf Area Index in Soybean | 101 |
| Predicting <i>Phomopsis</i> sp. Seed Infection in Individual Soybean Cultivars | 105 |
| Conclusions | 112 |
| | |
| V GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS | 113 |
| | |
| REFERENCES | 122 |
| APPENDICES | 131 |
| BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR | 152 |



| Table | LIST OF TABLES | Page |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| 3.1 | Mean seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹) and 100 seed weight (g) for each season for the four cultivars at each level of defoliation (%) | 50 |
| 3.2 | Mean number of pods per plant for each season for the four cultivars at each level of defoliation (%) | 52 |
| 3.3 | Mean seed germination and percent <i>Phomopsis</i> incidence for each season for the four cultivars as influenced by level of defoliation (%) | 55 |
| 3.4 | Season and soybean cultivar effects on percent <i>Phomopsis</i> sp. seed infection | 57 |
| 3.5 | Level of defoliation and cultivar effects on percent <i>Phomopsis</i> sp. seed infection | 59 |
| 3.6 | Mean percent discolored seeds and abnormal seedlings for each season for the four cultivars as influenced by level of defoliation (%) | 61 |
| 3.7 | Mean electrical conductivity and 3-day germination percentage for each season for the four cultivars as influenced by level of defoliation (%) | 63 |
| 3.8 | Mean 3-day seedling height and percent germination after hot water treatments for each season for the four cultivars as influenced by level of defoliation (%) | 64 |
| 3.9 | Mean leaf area index per m ² for each season for each soybean cultivar studied at different levels of defoliation treatments (%) | 66 |
| 3.10 | Mean light intensity and percent light interception for each season for each soybean cultivar studied at different levels of defoliation (%) | 68 |
| 3.11 | Mean canopy relative humidity for each cultivar for each season | 70 |
| 3.12 | Mean canopy and air relative humidity for each season for each soybean cultivar studied at different levels of defoliation (%) | 71 |
| 3.13 | Mean canopy and soil temperature for each season for each soybean cultivar studied at different levels of defoliation (%) | 73 |
| 3.14 | Mean air temperature and soil moisture for each season for each soybean cultivar studied at different levels of defoliation (%) | 74 |
| 4.1 | Correlation coefficients (r) among various characters in four soybean cultivars | 96 |



| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 4.2 | Correlation coefficients among weather factors, leaf area index and <i>Phomopsis</i> sp. seed infection in soybean | 99 |
| 4.3 | Defoliation and season effects on canopy relative humidity | 100 |
| 4.4 | Defoliation and season effects on air relative humidity | 100 |
| 4.5 | Partial coefficients (b) and coefficient of multiple determinations (R^2) of different stepwise multiple regression equations for prediction of <i>Phomopsis</i> sp. seed infection Y (Phom) of soybean cultivars included in the study | 105 |
| 4.6 | Partial coefficient (b) and coefficient of multiple determination (R^2) of different stepwise multiple regression equations for prediction of <i>Phomopsis</i> sp. seed infection Y (Phom) of soybean cultivar Palmetto | 106 |
| 4.7 | Partial coefficient (b) and coefficient of multiple determination (R^2) of different stepwise multiple regression equations for prediction of <i>Phomopsis</i> sp. seed infection Y (Phom) of soybean cultivar AGS190 | 107 |
| 4.8 | Partial coefficient (b) and coefficient of multiple determination (R^2) of different stepwise multiple regression equations for prediction of <i>Phomopsis</i> sp. seed infection Y (Phom) of soybean cultivar Deing | 108 |
| 4.9 | Partial coefficient (b) and coefficient of multiple determination (R^2) of different stepwise multiple regression equations for prediction of <i>Phomopsis</i> sp. seed infection Y (Phom) of soybean cultivar Cikurai | 109 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 3.1 | Soybean stems at growth stages of R3 0%, (A), R4 25% (B), and R5 0% (C) defoliation showing fungal hyphae (arrows) | 76 |
| 3.2 | Soybean stems at growth stages R6 25%, (A), R7 75% (B), R8 0% (C & D) defoliation showing fungal hyphae (A &B) and conidia (C & D, red arrows) | 78 |
| 3.3 | Soybean pod at growth stage R3 75% defoliation showing the presence of a ropy white fungal mycelia (arrow) | 79 |
| 3.4 | Soybean pods at growth stages R4 and R6 0% (A, B) defoliation showing the presence of fungal hyphae penetrating <i>via</i> stomata of the pods | 79 |
| 3.5 | Soybean pods at growth stages R7 and R8 0% (A), 25% (B), 0% (C) and 75% (D) defoliation showing the presence of fungal hyphae on the surface of the pods invading the stomata of the pods (A, C & D) | 80 |
| 3.6 | Soybean pods at growth stage R8 50% defoliation showing conidia of <i>Colletotrichum</i> sp. (arrows) | 81 |
| 3.7 | Soybean pods at growth stages R6 and R8 0% (A), 25% (B) and 0% (C) defoliation showing internal colonization of the fungi, with hyphae of <i>Colletotrichum</i> sp. showing prominent oil globules (arrow B) (Kunwar <i>et al.</i> , 1985) and D mycelium of <i>Phomopsis</i> sp. without distinct oil globules (arrow) | 82 |
| 3.8 | Soybean seed coat with mycelia at growth stages R6 and R8 0% defoliation depicting hyphae of the fungi penetrating the seed (arrow) intercellular presence of the hyphae and disintegrating the tissues of the cell (A) | 84 |
| 3.9 | Soybean seed coat at growth stage R8 0%, (A) & (C) 25% (B) & (D) defoliation, showing externally (A, C&D) and internally (B) colonised seed coat, by the fungi hyphae. Dense white mycelium of <i>Phomopsis</i> sp. (D) covering the seed coat | 85 |
| 3.10 | Soybean seed coat at growth stage R8 75% defoliation with hyphae of the fungi colonising the hourglass cell layer (B) and penetrating the palisade cell layer (A, arrow) | 87 |
| 4.1 | Relationship between <i>Phomopsis</i> incidence and standard germination in soybean | 97 |
| 4.2 | The relationship between % <i>Phomopsis</i> incidence and the level of defoliation treatments in soybean | 101 |
| 4.3 | Relationships between <i>Phomopsis</i> incidence and soil moisture (%) | 102 |
| 4.4 | Relationships between <i>Phomopsis</i> incidence and canopy relative humidity | 103 |



| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 4.5 | Relationship between <i>Phomopsis</i> incidence and light intensity ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) | 104 |
| 4.6 | Relationships between <i>Phomopsis</i> incidence and canopy relative humidity in soybean cultivars Palmetto and AGS190 | 110 |
| 4.7 | Relationships between <i>Phomopsis</i> incidence and canopy relative humidity in soybean cultivars Deing and Cikurai | 111 |



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------|--|
| ANOVA | Analysis of variance |
| AOSA | Association of seed analysts |
| d | Day (s) |
| DPC | <i>Diaporthe/Phomopsis</i> complex |
| EC | Electrical conductivity of seed leachates |
| ISTA | International Seed Testing Association |
| LAI | Leaf area index |
| NPK | N= nitrogen, P = phosphorus and K= potassium |
| PDA | Potato dextrose agar |
| Phom | Phomopsis |
| PM | Physiological maturity |
| RH | Relative humidity |
| SAS | Statistical Application System |
| SEM | Scanning electron microscopy |
| SG | Standard germination |
| sp. | Species |
| UPM | Universiti Putra Malaysia |

