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A survey was administered using questionnaires to obtain the local frontliners' opinions on ecotourism institutional arrangement for resource protection in Kuala Tahan, Taman Negara Pahang. The survey was conducted to 103 respondents comprising the personnel of the Taman Negara management and local entrepreneurs, including guides, boat operators, floating restaurants owners and chalet operators and resort’s staffs. The questionnaires cover the frontliners’ perception regarding tourism impacts on natural resources, local-level institutional arrangement, and the socio-demographic backgrounds. Descriptive, $\chi^2$ test, factor analysis, and regression analysis were applied to analyse the data.

The results of the study showed that most of the respondent perceived the impacts of ecotourism to be not serious. Further analysis showed that there were significant differences in perception among the various income groups in relation to the impacts on the resources. The results showed that there were significant differences in terms of their perceptions on loss of ground cover plants, wildlife displacement, number of wildlife reduced, loss of Park’s resources (for example, taking of plants and rocks
for souvenirs), littering, and water pollution. Here, the frontliners who received higher income (above RM2000) were more concerned about the resource condition. In addition, there were significant differences between frontliners of park management and non-park management on their perception toward impacts on wildlife.

The results of factor analysis indicated that the local-level institutional arrangement factors in Taman Negara as perceived by local frontliners were joint management operation (eigenvalue of 4.399), sharing of technical skills (2.528), adoption of best practices (2.088) and intellectual forum (1.062), which should be recognised by both parties. Based on the eigenvalues, a conceptual framework for local-level institutional arrangement was developed and discussed to show how different frontliners could involve in the arrangement.

The results of regression analysis showed that socio-demographical backgrounds of frontliners did not influence the agreement upon this institutional arrangement with the exception of age. Older frontliners had better understanding upon the benefits of this type of involvement or participation and able to accept this arrangement that eventually helps to protect the park’s resources. In other words, through years of personal learning and experience in ecotourism, it is expected that the frontliners are willing to accept this initiative in which they will gain direct benefits through the participation of ecotourism activities.
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Keputusan kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa kebanyakan mereka berpersepsi bahawa impak-impak ini adalah tidak serius. Walau bagaimanapun, terdapat perbezaan persepsi yang ketara di antara pekerja-pekerja barisan depan dari kumpulan pendapatan yang berbeza mengenai impak perlancongan terhadap sumber alam. Keputusan menunjukkan terdapat perbezaan yang ketara dari segi kesedaran mereka terhadap kehilangan tumbuhan penutup bumi, hidupan liar terhalau, bilangan
terhadap kehilangan tumbuhan penutup bumi, hidupan liar terhalau, bilangan hidupan liar berkurangan, kecurian sumber taman (contohnya mengambil tumbuhan dan batu sebagai cenderamata), sampah dan pencemaran air sungai. Pekerja barisan depan yang menerima sumber pendapatan yang tinggi (lebih daripada RM 2000) lebih mengambil berat tentang keadaan sumber tersebut. Tambahan pula, terdapat juga perbezaan yang ketara di antara pekerja barisan depan daripada pengurusan taman dan bukan pengurusan taman dari segi kesedaran mereka terhadap impak pada hidupan liar.

Keputusan analysis factor menunjukkan faktor-faktor pengaturan institusi ekoperlancongan peringkat tempatan adalah terdiri daripada operasi pengurusan bersama (dengan nilai eigen 4.399), perkongsian kemahiran teknikal (2.528), perlaksanaan amalan terbaik (2.088) dan forum intelektual (1.062) yang perlu disedari oleh kedua-dua pihak. Satu rangka kerja konsep untuk pengaturan institusi peringkat tempatan telah dibentuk berdasarkan nilai-nilai eigen ini dan dibincangkan untuk menunjukkan penglibatan pekerja barisan depan dalam pengaturan ini.

Daripada keputusan analisis regresi, latar belakang sosial-demografik pekerja barisan depan tidak mempengaruhi persetujuan mereka terhadap pengaturan institusi ekoperlancongan ini kecuali faktor umur. Pekerja barisan depan yang lebih tua mempunyai pemahaman yang lebih dalam terhadap kemanfaatan yang dapat diperolehi daripada penglibatan ini dan mereka adalah sanggup menerimaanya sebagai satu pengaturan yang dapat melindungi sumber di taman ini. Dalam kata lain, mereka yang mempunyai pembelajaran secara peribadi dan pengalaman yang diperolehi dari ekoperlancongan dijangkakan adalah lebih cenderung untuk
menyokong inisiatif ini yang selama ini telah memberi faedah-faedah kepada mereka melalui penglibatan dalam perkhidmatan aktiviti ekoperlancongan.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

In recent years, ecotourism has become a popular term emerged in the tourism industry. The demand for ecotourism is increasing mainly among urban people in developed and developing countries. The process of urbanisation has attracted rural people to live in urban areas. Many people are looking for green belit in the city or elsewhere to escape this rigid urban life. People are likely to retreat to the natural areas for pleasure in their leisure time. Many enjoy visiting the natural area periodically in order to enjoy the nature and to pert-up their emotions. The Malaysian society has changed alongside industrialisation where urbanisation occurred in major parts of the country. Many Malaysians have realised the needs for enjoyment in natural surroundings, which encourage them to take trips to areas with such settings. As such, ecotourism has become a popular word among politicians, tour operators, the public and domestic or foreign visitors (Stecker, 1996; Backhaus, 2000). In relation, the elements of ecotourism have been promoted in the tourism sector. Since early 1990s, Malaysian Tourism Promotion Board (MTPB) has been promoting these areas, for instance by using “Fascinating Malaysia Naturally” as a promotion theme for Visit Malaysia Year 1992 (Mohd Nizam, 1994).
Ecotourism activities are directly dependent on the availability of natural setting in a relatively undeveloped condition. Natural resources including scenery and topography, water elements, flora, and fauna are important for ecotourism industry. Many areas, including terrestrial and marine areas, in the country are still in their nature state, and they are opened to tourists (MTPB, 1999).

Most of these natural areas and the related resources are found within the protected areas. These areas provided relatively undisturbed environment for doing ecotourism activities. Subsequently, in order to gain economic benefits from this sector, the government is promoting ecotourism activities in the protected areas, including national parks, state parks, recreational forests, marine parks, and wildlife reserves and sanctuaries (Sivanathan, 2000; EPU, 2001). Therefore, protected area managements need to ensure the protection of resources is effective in tourist intensive use zones through implementation of visitor management. In the same time, the development of ecotourism industry in Malaysia is mainly based on National Ecotourism Plan (NEP) of Malaysia since mid 1990s. The NEP had identified several protected areas as potential sites for ecotourism development. This plan would be used as guidance in developing and promoting these areas as ecotourism activities sites.

Ecotourism industry is considered as an alternative from the mass nature tourism (Weaver, 2001a). It is one way to protect the natural areas through minimising visitor impacts in term of social, economic or environmental aspects. Therefore, ecotourism should be used to promote sustainable use of natural resources. The main
idea of ecotourism is to strive a balance between ecocentric and anthropocentric use of natural resources. In the case of mass tourism, which emphasised on the anthropocentric needs, priority is given to the convenience of visitors rather than the natural settings. Thus, mass tourism is likely to lead to the degradation of natural resources both in quality and in quantity. However, if full consideration were given on natural resource protection without giving the public to use the area, the real purposes of protecting these areas would be doubtful.

On the other hand, ecotourism industries have provided the opportunities for the local communities to involve themselves in tourism business, which in turn may improve their current living conditions (Abdullah et al., 2000). In most cases where ecotourism activities are held in protected areas, the locals that live nearby or within the protected area are beneficial from the ecotourism development. This has improved their livelihood from traditional practices to a more stable and lucrative business. They might involve in this industry by providing recreational activities and services, such as accommodation, transportation, food, tour guide, and so forth, to the visitors.

Consequently, the industrialisation of ecotourism had lead to the establishment of appropriate and effective institutional arrangements for managing the relationship between tourism activities and the environment (Hall, 2000). Multi scales and levels of institutional arrangement are surrounding the tourism industry and the environment issues, which involved various legislation and regulations in the national and local level. The key aspect of the arrangement is to have an effective
management that would benefit the environment and the ecotourism industry, including communities that are depending on it. As such, local ecotourism frontliners should be treated as allies in resource protection and conservation.

In relation, the establishment of ecotourism must be beneficial to the local communities, which would further encourage their involvement in tourist infrastructure development (Mulholland and Eagles, 2002). The benefits could range from the employment of locals as park management staff, labour of tourism infrastructure development, and tour guides in the area (Loon and Polakow, 2001). This industry should enhance the living of the local communities while considering on the environment aspects. By any means, the main element in ecotourism industry is still the nature settings. The local community would utilise such natural settings for these purposes. Therefore, local communities and the environment are interdependent in the ecotourism industry development. The locals must have pro-conservation attitude for involving in this natural area dependent industry. In order to ensure the sustainability of this industry, they must take responsible on these natural resources (Salleh and Wayakone, 1997).

1.2 Problem Statement

The development of ecotourism has expanded to rural areas in Peninsular Malaysia, particularly in places with rich natural setting. A case in point is the development of ecotourism by local communities in Ulu Tembeling Region, living adjacent to the
Taman Negara. These communities have been involving themselves in the ecotourism industry, especially those who live in Kuala Tahan (Hood, 1996). Majority of them are able to take these economic opportunities brought about by ecotourism to improve their living conditions (Wan Sabri et al., 1991).

Taman Negara is one of the popular ecotourism destinations in Peninsular Malaysia. Ecotourism is able to promote a sustainability use of tourism destinations, especially in protected areas meanwhile providing opportunities to the local communities for improving their quality of life through income generation. The sustainability of this industry is depending on the well being and effective protection of natural resources. Such a responsibility shall not only rest upon the park management. Other stakeholders can take some responsibility. Many protected area management activities have shown to be lack of institutionalised capacity to monitor and regulate human activities in this vast area. Consequently, due to limited capacity on the management side, local communities have to play a vital role in assisting park management in order to achieve sustainable use for tourism and protection of the area. Here, the locals are important as resource stakeholders and they are able to assist in sustainable use of the resources through providing ecotourism activities. However, in many cases, they were always left out from the managerial process. For example, the local representatives were excluded from the Taman Negara Advisory Council. As a result, they are not able to participate or contribute in the management of the park (Abdullah, 1995).