

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

TREE GROWTH AND FINANCIAL EVALUATION OF AGROFORESTRY SYSTEM IN KAMPUNG TEBUK PULAI, SABAK BERNAM, SELANGOR

JULSUN @ JOSEPH SIKUI.

FH 2005 4



TREE GROWTH AND FINANCIAL EVALUATION OF AGROFORESTRY SYSTEMS IN KAMPUNG TEBUK PULAI, SABAK BERNAM, SELANGOR

By
JULSUN @ JOSEPH SIKUI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

March 2005



Specially Dedicated To My Late Mother, Angela Jingkiep Lojimoh

You Gave Me Life Gave Me Your Heart And Your Shoulder When I Needed To Cry

You Gave Me Hope When All My Hope Is Gone Wings So My Dreams Can Fly

And I Haven't Told You Enough Haven't Been Good Enough Making You See.....

> My Love For You Will Live In My Heart Until Eternity's Through

I See Your Smile In The Eyes Of My Child I Am Who I Am Mama Thanks To You

You Gave Me Your Word Gave Me Your Voice You Gave Me Everything

Each Breath Of My Life
You Believe, When I Can't Remember How
You Teach My Faith To Survive

And I Never Can Do Enough Never Thank You Enough For All That You Are

I Know The Treasure I'm Filled With Grace Whenever I See Your Face



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

TREE GROWTH AND FINANCIAL EVALUATION OF AGROFORESTRY SYSTEMS IN KAMPUNG TEBUK PULAI, SABAK BERNAM, SELANGOR

By

JULSUN @ JOSEPH SIKUI

March 2005

Chairman: Professor Dato' Nik Muhamad Nik Abd. Majid, PhD

Faculty: Forestry

A study was conducted at Kg. Tebuk Pulai, Sabak Bernam, Selangor to assess the growth performance and financial aspects, evaluate the financial component and also to determine the optimum combination of agroforestry system practised by the farmers. There are three farms involved in the study with the holding size of two hectares each. The farms were planted with teak trees as the major component and practised different types of agroforestry system. This study involved collection of data on growth of teak and Tongkat Ali and other information obtained through informal interviews with the farmers. The results of this study are explained in terms of assessment on growth performance and financial aspects of three different agroforestry systems, evaluation of financial component and also determination of the optimum combination of agroforestry system. In terms of growth performance. the diameter and height growth of teak trees in the three farms are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$). Trees in Farm C performed the best followed by trees in Farms A and B. Farms A and B had a total of 1122 and 2173 teak trees, respectively and most of the trees are in the diameter class ranging from 12-14cm for both farms. For Farm C, a total of 1651 teak trees were



recorded and most of them occurred in the diameter class range of 14-16cm. The total volume projected in Farms A, B and C is 111.9m³/ha, 92.2m³/ha, 120.6m³/ha with the mean growth of 17.4m, 16.4m and 20.0m, respectively. Similarly, the basal diameter and height of Tongkat Ali seedlings in Farm C were significantly higher (P ≤ 0.05) than those in Farm B. Farms B and C had 1522 and 1976 Tongkat Ali seedlings, respectively. Most of the seedlings in Farm B are in the basal diameter class range of 1.1-2.0cm with a total of 276.80kg of root weight and in Farm C most of the seedlings are in the basal diameter class range of 3.1-4.0cm with a root weight of 783.20kg. The project financial appraisal as "Type B With Project Approach" of Farm C was projected as the most economically viable project among the three farms giving the highest return to the farmer. The agroforestry system introduced under agrosilvopastoral in this farm (Scenario III) shows the IRR, NPV and B/C Ratio of 34.5%, RM150,100.91 and 1.12, respectively. If the project implemented as "Without Project Approach" and "Type A With Project Approach", Farm C is still the most economically viable project. Although, the project implemented in Farms A and B offers another option, they are still considered economically viable to be implemented as the results are acceptable for project analysis requirement. Under Scenario I, Farm A shows the IRR, NPV and B/C Ratio of 19.9%, RM27,648.05 and 3.08, while Farm B has 23.6%, RM32,469.12 and 4.05, respectively. The farmers of Farms A and B will maximize the return at a 15-year rotation and the value are decreasing with the increases in the number of years.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

PERTUMBUHAN POKOK DAN PENAKSIRAN KEWANGAN SISTEM PERHUTANANTANI DI KAMPUNG TEBUK PULAI SABAK BERNAM, SELANGOR

Oleh

JULSUN @ JOSEPH SIKUI

Mac 2005

Pengerusi: Prof. Dato' Nik Muhamad Nik Abd. Majid, PhD

Fakulti: Perhutanan

Satu kajian telah dijalankan keatas tiga kawasan ladang petani di Kg. Tebuk Pulai, Sabak Bernam, Selangor untuk menilai tahap pertumbuhan dan aspek kewangan, taksiran keatas komponen kewangan dan juga penentuan kombinasi sistem perhutanantani yang optimum yang diusahakan oleh petani. Kawasan ladang meliputi dua hektar setiap satu yang ditanam dengan Jati sebagai tanaman utama dan mengamalkan sistem perhutanantani yang berbeza-beza. Kajian ini meliputi pengumpulan data pertumbuhan pokok Jati dan Tongkat Ali, dan juga pengumpulan maklumat secara temuramah tidak rasmi dengan petani yang terlibat dalam kajian. Hasil kajian merangkumi tiga bahagian iaitu penilaian tahap pertumbuhan dan aspek kewangan keatas tiga sistem perhutanantani, penaksiran komponen kewangan dan juga penentuan kombinasi sistem perhutanantani yang optimum. Dari segi tahap pertumbuhan diameter dan ketinggian pokok Jati bagi tiga ladang, ia menunjukkan perbezaan yang ketara (P ≤ 0.05). Pertumbuhan di Ladang C adalah yang terbaik diikuti dengan Ladang A and B. Ladang A dan B masing-masing mempunyai bilangan 1122 dan 2173 pokok Jati. Kebanyakkan berada dalam



kelas diameter 12-14cm. Bagi Ladang C, sebanyak 1651 bilangan pokok direkodkan dan kebanyakkan berada dalam kelas diameter 14-16cm. Jumlah isipadu unjuran bagi Ladang A, B dan C adalah 111.9m3/ha, 92.2m3/ha, 120.6m³/ha dengan min pertumbuhan 17.4m, 16.4m dan 20.0m. Perbezaan diameter pangkal dan ketinggian anak pokok Tongkat Ali di Ladang C adalah sangat ketara (P ≤ 0.05) berbanding dengan ladang B. Sebanyak 1522 dan 1976 anak pokok Tongkat Ali direkodkan di Ladang B dan C. Kebanyakkan anak pokok di Ladang B adalah berada dalam kelas diameter pangkal 1.1-2.0cm dengan jumlah berat akar sebanyak 276.80kg dan di Ladang C. kebanyakkan anak pokok berada dalam kelas diameter pangkal 3.1-4.0 dengan jumlah berat akar sebanyak 783.20kg. Taksiran kewangan dengan "Pendekatan Jenis B dengan Projek" menunjukkan Ladang C adalah yang paling menguntungkan petani. Sistem perhutanantani-ternakan yang diperkenalkan di ladang ini (Gambaran III) menunjukkan IRR, NPV dan Nisbah B/C sebanyak 34.5%, RM150,100.91 dan 1.12. Sekiranya projek ini dijalankan dengan "Pendekatan Tanpa Projek" dan "Pendekatan Jenis A dengan Projek", Ladang C masih merupakan projek yang ekonomik. Sungguhpun projek yang diusahakan di Ladang A dan B adalah sebagai alternatif, ianya masih dianggap ekonomik untuk diusahakan kerana memenuhi syarat analisis kewangan projek. Bagi Gambaran I, Ladang A menunjukkan IRR, NPV dan Nisbah B/C sebanyak 19.9%, RM27,648.05 dan 3.08, manakala Ladang B mempunyai 23.6%, RM32,469.12 dan 4.05, masing-masing. Projek perhutanantani yang diusahakan petani diladang A dan B akan dapat memaksimumkan pulangan pada 15 tahun kitaran dan nilai pulangan ini akan susut dengan peningkatan bilangan tahun perlaksanaan.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise and thanksgiving to the LORD, GOD Almighty for HIS Bless, unfailing love and grace through the Holy Angels in guiding me to complete my Master of Science Thesis successfully.

This research effort has taken three years to complete and many people encourage me during the entire process. There were times when it seemed like I'd never get through it. However, with the persistence, discipline, dedication and a keen focus, it is finally complete. I want to acknowledge everyone who participated for their help and support, without which this research would never have been finished.

First and foremost, a heartfelt thanks goes to my dynamic and fantastic supervisor, Professor Dato' Dr. Nik Muhamad Nik Abd. Majid for his tremendous encouragement, feedback, guidance, criticisms and support that made it possible for me to successfully complete this study. My special thanks are extended to other members of the thesis committee, Dr. Ab. Rasip Ab. Ghani for his excellent and wonderful ideas, creative comments and assistance, and to Dr. Mohemad Azani Alias and also Kapt. Dr. Mohd. Zaki Hamzah for their incredible suggestions and supports during the entire course of the study.

My special thanks goes to the farmers; Hj. Jalani Bin Hj. Abdullah, Hj. Basran Bin Hj. Abd. Rahman, Hj. Mohd. Yakup Bin Hj. Thani and also to JPS Meteorological team in Sungai Besar, for their assistance, help and favour in one way or another in providing the information for the purpose of this study.

My sincere appreciation to Mr. Ong Tian Hock (FRIM), Mr. Dunstan Christopher Gissong, and Mr. Stephen Muk Tzer Chyi for their fortitude, warmth and help with data collection. Without their help in the field, it would have been impossible for me to complete this study.



A very special thanks to Mr. Claysius Raphael Kongoi for his tremendous and great moral support, concern and generous assistance in various ways through the study; a warm and special thanks to Mr. Frisco Nobilly who kindly assisted me in analyzing the data using SPSS software.

My deepest gratitude and affection also goes to my father, Mr. Sikui Molijip, my great brothers; Mr. Mailedy, Mr. Noidy, Mr. Willy, Mr. Willon and Mr. Aaron and also to my wonderful sisters; Mdm. Margareth and Dr. Clarice and every member of my family for their support, patience, understanding, encouragement and consistent prayers throughout the course of my study in UPM.

I also acknowledge the many examples and citations people provided directly and indirectly by friends and organization. I may have neglected to mention others who helped along the way. If so, please accept my apologies, and know that you are appreciated.

Last but not least, I grateful acknowledge the award of the PASCA scholarship from UPM, which enable me to undertake this programme.

May the Blessed of GOD Almighty be with you all.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
AB AB	DICATION STRACT	T T	ii iii V
		EDGEMENTS	vii
	PROVAL		ix
	CLARA		Хİ
	T OF TA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	xvi
	T OF FI		xix
LIO	OF AL	BBREVIATIONS	XX
СН	APTER		
1		ODUCTION	
		General Background	1
	1.2		5
	1.3	Objectives of the Study	8
2	REVI	EW OF LITERATURE	
	2.1	Definition and Concept of Agroforestry	10
	2.2	Objectives and Advantages of Agroforestry	
		Practises	15
	2.3	Problems and Constraints of Agroforestry	
		Practises	19
		2.3.1 Environmental Constraints	20
		2.3.2 Socio-Economic Constraints	21
		2.3.3 Institutional Constraints	22
	2.4	Agroforestry Systems and Practises	24
		2.4.1 Indigenous Agroforestry Systems	25
		2.4.1.1 The Harmonic, Polycultural	
		Swidden	25
		2.4.1.2 Accelerated Swidden System	26
		2.4.1.3 Farm and Grove System	27
		2.4.2 Modern Agroforestry Systems	27
		2.4.2.1 Agrisilvicultural System	27
		2.4.2.2 Silvopastural System	28
		2.4.2.3 Agrosilvopastoral System	29
	2.5	2.4.2.4 Agrosilvofishery System	30
	2.5	Agroforestry Systems in Malaysia	30
	2.6	Financial Assessment of Agroforestry Systems	4.4
	27	and Forest Plantation Projects	44
	2.7	Teak (Tectona grandis Linn.f.)	49
		2.7.1 Morphological, Silviculture and Management of Teak	5 0
		2.7.2 Edaphic Factors Affecting Growth	50
		of Teak	5 0
		OI I GAN	52



		2.7.3		emical Properties for Optimum	
				of Teak	53
		2.7.4	Teak Pl	anting in Malaysia	55
3			S AND M	· · ·	
	3.1	Gene	eral Backo	ground	57
	3.2	Loca			58
		3.2.1	Experim	nental Area	58
				aphy and Soil	58
		3.2.3	Climate		60
	3.3	Agro	forestry Sy	ystem Component and Practises	61
		3.3.1	Agrofore	estry System and Practises in the	
			Study A	rea	61
		3.3.2	System	Component in Farm A	62
		3.3.3	System	Component in Farms B and C	63
				al Component: Poultry Farming	•
			in Farm		64
	3.4	Asse	ssment of	Financial Aspects and Growth	0.
				of the Three Farms	73
	3.5			tion of System Components for	, 0
		the T	hree Fam	ns	77
				Projection of Teak	77
				y Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	, ,
				in Three Farms	79
		3.5.3		al Analysis for Tongkat Ali in	15
		0.0.0	Two Far		80
		3.5.4		eight Projection of Tongkat Ali	80
	3.6			sis of Agroforestry Systems	00
		Pract		yold of Agroloredity Cystellis	81
				d Tongkat Ali Assumptions	01
		0.07.		nancial Analysis for the	
			Three Fa		85
		362		ty Analysis Assumption of the	65
		0.0.2		Il Analysis for the Three Farms	86
	3.7	Deter		of the Optimum Combination of	00
	0.1			stem Practised by the Farmers	00
		Agioi	Oresuly Cy	stem Fractised by the Familiers	88
Ļ	RES	JLTS A	AND DISC	USSION	
	4.1	Grow	th Perforn	nance and Management Aspects	
			stems Pra		91
		4.1.1	Density I	Distribution of Teak in the Study	- •
			Sites	,	92
			4.1.1.1	Diameter Growth of Teak	92
			4.1.1.2		02
				of Teak	97
			4.1.1.3	Volume Estimation of the	01
				Teak Trees for the Three Farms	102
			4.1.1.4	Growth Projection of Teak Trees	102
				for the Three Farms	106
		412	Distributi	ion of Tongkat Ali in the Study	100
			Sites	on or ronghact in the olday	110
					110



		4.1.2.1	Distribution of Tongkat Ali by	
			Basal Diameter Class (cm)	110
		4.1.2.2	Comparisons of Basal Diameter	
			of Tongkat Ali for the Two Farms	111
		4.1.2.3	Distribution and Comparison of	
			Tongkat Ali by Height Class (m)	
			for the Two Farms	113
		4.1.2.4	Root Weight of Tongkat Ali for the	110
		7.1.27	Two Farms	115
4.2	Finan	cial Asnec	cts for the Three Farms	116
4.3			sis of Agroforestry Systems	110
4.0			e Three Farms	123
	4.5.1		Project Approach (Farm A)	124
		4.3.1.1	Without Project Approach	404
		4040	of Farm A (Scenario I)	124
		4.3.1.2	Without Project Approach	
			of Farm A (Scenario II)	125
		4.3.1.3	Without Project Approach	
			of Farm A (Scenario III)	126
		4.3.1.4	Financial Appraisal of	
			Farm A	127
		4.3.1.5	Sensitivity Analysis of Farm A	128
		4.3.1.6	Cost Analysis of Farm A	130
	4.3.2	With Pro	ject Approach – Type A (Farm B)	133
		4.3.2.1	Type A With Project Approach of	
		•	Farm B (Scenario I)	133
		4.3.2.2	Type A With Project Approach of	
			Farm B (Scenario II)	134
		4.3.2.3	Type A With Project Approach of	
			Farm B (Scenario III)	135
		4.3.2.4	Financial Appraisal of	,
			Farm B	136
		4.3.2.5	Sensitivity Analysis of Farm B	139
		4.3.2.6	Cost Analysis of Farm B	143
	4.3.3		ject Approach – Type B (Farm C)	145
	4.0.0	4.3.3.1	Type B With Project Approach of	170
		7.0.0.1	Farm C (Scenario I)	145
		4.3.3.2	Type B With Project Approach of	145
		4.3.3.2	Farm C (Scenario II)	146
		4222		140
		4.3.3.3	Type B With Project Approach of	4 47
		4004	Farm C (Scenario III)	147
		4.3.3.4	Financial Appraisal of	
			Farm C	148
		4.3.3.5	Sensitivity Analysis of Farm C	150
	_	4.3.3.6	Cost Analysis of Farm C	158
4.4			of the Optimum Combination of	
	_		stem Practised by the Farmers	160
	4.4.1		inancial Appraisal comparisons	
		as "Witho	out Project Approach"	160
	4.4.2	Project F	inancial Appraisal Comparisons	
		as "With	Project Approach"	162



		4.4.3 The Ranking of the Project Financial Appraisal for the Three Farms	164		
5	CON	ICLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS			
	5.1	Conclusions	167		
	5.2	Recommendations	169		
REF	EREN	CES	171		
APF	PENDIC	ES	184		
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR					



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Gross Domestic Product (RM Million) of agriculture and forestry activity in purchaser values, at 1987 prices, Malaysia, 1990, 1998 – 2000	2
1.2	Distribution and extent of major fores t types in Malaysia 2003 (Million ha.)	3
1.3	Permanent Reserved Forest (PRFs) in Malaysia 2003 (Million ha.)	3
3.1	Baseline financial information of the study sites	73
3.2	Diameter (cm) and Height (m) classes of teak	75
3.3	Basal Diameter (cm) and Height (m) classes of Tongkat Ali	76
4.1	Total number of teak trees by diameter class for the three farms	93
4.2	Percentage of teak trees by diameter class for the three farms	93
4.3	Total number of teak trees by height class for the three farms	98
4.4	Percentage of teak trees by height class for the three farms	98
4.5	Growth parameters for teak trees by farm	104
4.6	Total number of Tongkat Ali seedlings by basal diameter class for the two farms	110
4.7	Percentage distribution of Tongkat Ali seedlings by basal diameter class for the two farms	110
4.8	Total number of Tongkat Ali seedlings by height class for the two farms	113
4.9	Percentage distribution of Tongkat Ali seedlings by height class for the two farms	113
4.10	Financial aspects of three systems practised by the farmers in Kg. Tebuk Pulai, Sabak Bernam, Selangor	118
4.11	Total Projected financial revenue for the three farms	120



4.12	Total projected financial cost for the three farms	121
4.13	Projected net cash flow for the three farms	122
4.14	Farm A project financial appraisal at 10 percent discounting rate as "Without Project Approach"	127
4.15	Net Present Value (NPV) for sensitivity analysis of teak at 10 percent discounting rate (RM'000) for farm A	129
4.16	Internal Rate of Return (IRR) for sensitivity analysis of teak at 10 Percent discounting rate (Value in %) for farm A	130
4.17	Benefit-Cost Ratio (B/C Ratio) for sensitivity analysis of teak at 10 percent discounting rate for farm A	130
4.18	The total costs projected for the project in farm A (Based on the project implemented in 2.0 hectares)	131
4.19	Farm B project financial appraisal at 10 percent discounting rate with "Type A With Project Approach"	136
4.20	Net Present Value (NPV) for sensitivity analysis of Tongkat Ali at 10 percent discounting rate (RM'000) for farm B	140
4.21	Internal Rate of Return (IRR) for sensitivity analysis of Tongkat Ali at 10 percent discounting rate (Value in %) for farm B	140
4.22	Benefit-Cost Ratio (B/C ratio) for sensitivity analysis of Tongkat Ali at 10 percent discounting rate for farm B	141
4.23	Net Present Value (NPV) for sensitivity analysis of Tongkat Ali with seedlings cost at 10 percent discounting rate (RM'000) for farm B	141
4.24	Internal Rate of Return (IRR) for sensitivity analysis of Tongkat Ali with seedlings cost at 10 percent discounting rate (Value in %) For farm B	142
4.25	Benefit-Cost Ratio (B/C Ratio) for sensitivity analysis of Tongkat Ali with seedlings cost at 10 percent discounting rate for farm B	142
4.26	The total costs incurred and projected for the projects in farm B (Based on the project implemented in 2.0 hectares)	144
4.27	Farm C project financial appraisal at 10 percent discounting rate as "Type B With Project Approach"	148



4.28	Net Present Value (NPV) for sensitivity analysis of Tongkat Ali at 10 percent discounting rate (RM'000) for Farm C	152
4.29	Internal Rate of Return (IRR) for sensitivity analysis of Tongkat Ali at 10 percent discounting rate (Value in %) for Farm C	152
4.30	Benefit-Cost Ratio (B/C Ratio) for sensitivity analysis of Tongkat Ali at 10 percent discounting rate for farm C	153
4.31	Net Present Value (NPV) for sensitivity analysis of Tongkat Ali at 10 percent discounting rate (RM'000) for farm C	154
4.32	Internal Rate of Return (IRR) for sensitivity analysis of Tongkat Ali at 10 percent discounting rate (value in %) for farm C	154
4.33	Benefit-Cost Ratio (B/C Ratio) for sensitivity analysis of Tongkat Ali at 10 percent discounting rate for farm C	155
4.34	Net Present Value (NPV) for sensitivity analysis of poultry farming at 10 percent discounting rate (RM'000) for farm C	156
4.35	Internal Rate of Return (IRR) for sensitivity analysis of Poultry farming at 10 percent discounting rate (Value in %) for farm C	157
4.36	Benefit-Cost ratio (B/C Ratio) for sensitivity analysis of poultry farming at 10 percent discounting rate for farm C	157
4.37	The total costs incurred for the projects in farm C (Based on the project implemented in 2.0 hectares)	159
4.38	Project Financial Appraisal at 10 percent discounting rate of farm A, as "Without Project Approach"	161
4.39	Project financial appraisal at 10 percent discounting rate of, farm B as "Without Project Approach"	161
4.40	Project financial appraisal at 10 percent discounting rate of farm C,as "Without Project Approach"	161
4.41	Project financial appraisal at 10 percent discounting rate of farm B as "Type A With Project Approach"	163
4.42	Project financial appraisal at 10 percent discounting rate of farm C as "Type A With Project Approach"	163
4.43	The ranking of the scenarios of the project financial appraisal for three farms	165



LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	re	Page
2.1	Conceptual framework of an interface between agriculture and forestry in tropical developing countries	15
3.1	Map showing location of the study area	59
3.2	Rainfall distribution (mm) in Sungai Besar JPS Meteorological Station for a year 2001	61
3.3	Layout of teak trees during establisment in 1994 for the three farms	62
3.4	Layout for farm A after teak planting in 1994	63
3.5	Layout for farms B and C after 41/2 years of teak planting	64
3.6	Layout of farm A when teak was 9 years old	66
3.7	Layout of farm B when teak was 9 years old	67
3.8	Layout of farm C when teak was 9 years old	68
3.9	Height measurement of teak tree	74
3.10	Basal diameter measurement of Tongkat Ali with callipers	76
3.11	Framework for appropriate financial appraisal comparisons of the farms in three different scenarios	89
4.1	Diameter class distribution of teak trees for the three farms	95
4.2	Height class distribution of teak trees for the three farms	100
4.3	Site index guide curve with value estimated for teak trees at the base age of 10 years old for farm A	107
4.4	Site index guide curve with value estimated for teak trees at the base age of 10 years old farm B	108
4.5	Site index guide curve with value estimated for teak trees at the base age of 10 years old farm C	109
4.6	Basal diameter distribution of Tongkat Ali in farms B and C	112
4.7	Height distribution of Tongkat Ali in farms B and C	114
4 8	Root weight distribution of Tongkat Ali in farms B and C	115



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

B/C Ratio Benefit-Cost Ratio

CATIE Tropical Agricultural Research and Higher Education Center

DBH Diameter at Breast Height

FDSM Forestry Department of Sabah

FELCRA Federal Land Consolidation and Rehabilitation Authority

FELDA Federal Land Development Authority

FRI Forest Research Institute

FRIM Forest Research Institute Malaysia

GDP Gross Domestic Product

ICRAF The International Center for Research in Agroforestry

INB Incremental Net Benefit

IRR Internal Rate of Return

JPS Drainage and Irrigation Department

MARDI Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute

MIRA Manejo de Información sobre Recursos Arbóreas

MPOB Malaysia Palm Oil Board

MPTS Multipurpose Tree Species

NAP3 The Third National Agricultural Policy

NGO Non-Governmental Organization

NPV Net Present Value

PBP Pay Back Period

RISDA Rubber Industry Smallholder Development Authority

RRIM Rubber Research Institute Malaysia

SALT Slopping Agricultural Land Technology



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Background

Malaysia is one of the most successful developing countries in the world. One of the major contributors to economic development of the country is the agriculture and forestry sectors. These sectors have been the driving force behind the economic growth of the country over the last four decades. It was used to finance the development of the country, which progressively led to the transformation of the economy towards industrialization. In terms of gross domestic product (GDP) in purchaser' values at 1987 prices, for a year 1990, 1998 to 2000, agricultural sector component still one of the major contributor to the country's economic revenues with trend increasing consistently (Table 1.1).

This country is blessed with relatively large tracts of natural forest which is a highly complex ecosystem and is considered as having a very rich biodiversity. This has made the forestry sector one of the top foreign exchange earners for Malaysia, and there is a growing awareness of the vital role forestry is playing in the socio-economic development and environmental protection of the country.



Table 1.1: Gross Domestic Product (RM Million) of Agriculture and Forestry Activity in Purchaser' Values, At 1987 Prices, Malaysia, 1990, 1998 – 2000

Item	1990	1998	1999	2000
Agriculture and Livestock Production	10,579	11,531	12,422	12,226
Forestry and Logging	5,194	3,648	3,237	3,092
Fishing	1,534	2,236	2,335	2,369
GDP in Purchasers' Values	105,977	182,219	192,712	209,365
Agricultural Sector Component	17,307	17,415	17,994	17,687
Agricultural Sector Component in Percentage	16.3	9.6	9.3	8.4

Source: Department of Statistics, Malaysia, 2000.

Rapid population growth in the country has undoubtedly posed many socioeconomic and environmental problems causing unabated need for food,
fodder, energy and wood. Thus, there exists an increasing pressure on the
two main renewable resources that is forestry and agriculture which are
related in many aspects but apparently, incapable of meeting the increasing
demand even though there is functional allocation of land for both purposes.
Such problems, coupled with urbanization, industrialization and other aspects
of socio-economic development, resulted in rapid deforestation leading to
serious degradation of the ecosystem and diminution of arable land areas.
Moreover, there also exists land use conflict between agriculture and
forestry, amidst the need for rural development and growing environmental
issues.

Agriculture and forestry sectors are also facing major rural land use challenges, including increasing scarcity of timber products and



environmental degradation on fragile lands. In 2000, the total export value of wood and wood-based products was RM17.6 billion or 4.7 percent of the country's total export value (Anonymous, 2001). Further exploitation of forest land area also contributed to environmental issues such as decreasing in the total number of natural forest lands. Tables 1.2 and 1.3 shows the remaining

Table 1.2: Distribution and Extent of Major Forest Types in Malaysia 2003 (Million ha.)

Region	Land Area	Dipterocarp Forest	Swamp Forest	Mangrove Forest	Plantation Forest	Total Forest Land	Percentage Total of Forest Land
Peninsular Malaysia	13.16	5.40	0.30	0.10	0.08	5.88	44.70
Sabah	7.37	3,83	0.12	0.34	0.11	4.40	59.70
Sarawak	12.30	7.92	1.12	0.14	0.06	9.24	75.10
Malaysia	32.83	17.15	1.54	0.58	0.25	19.52	59.50

Source: Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia, 2003.

Table 1.3: Permanent Reserved Forest (PRFs) in Malaysia 2003 (Million ha.)

Region	Protection Forest	Production Forest	Total Land Area Under PFE	Percentage of Total Land Area
Peninsular Malaysia	1.52	3.18	4.70	35.70
Sabah	0.59	3.00	3.59	48.70
Sarawak	1.10	5.00	6.10	49.60
Malaysia	3.21	11.18	14.39	43.80

Source: Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia, 2003.



distribution and extent of major forest types and permanent reserved forest in Malaysia. In order to protect and avoid further exploitation of the remaining forest land, action plan have to be considered to develop better solution for land development strategies.

One of the responses to these problems is the development and promotion of agroforestry practise to be implemented in the country. Agroforestry, which integrates forest management, food crop production and environmental conservation appears to be a promising alternative system of land use. The objective of agroforestry is to maximize land usage and economic return especially to the rural communities (Wan Razali and Abd. Razak, 1987), and reducing shifting cultivation in Permanent Forest Estate (Morningstar and Knight, 1990).

In general, agroforestry as it is being researched and practised today is not new but it is a modification of systems that have been used by farmers for hundreds of years. In Malaysia it has been practised on a trial basis since 1930 and it was only being encouraged since the Fourth Malaysian Plan (1981-1985), due to the realization of the importance of both agriculture and forestry sectors (Nor Aini and Jalil, 1989).

