



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**THE FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY OF RETAINING WALLS AND
WINBREAKS AS MEASURES OF OIL CONSERVATION IN WADI
ZABID, YEMEN**

ALLADEEN MOHAMAD ABDALLA AL-SHARJABI.

FH 2004 1

***To
all those people whom
I love, respect and in debt to.***



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**THE FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY OF RETAINING WALLS AND
WINDBREAKS AS MEASURES OF SOIL CONSERVATION IN WADI
ZABID, YEMEN**

By

ALLADEEN MOHAMAD ABDALLA AL-SHARJABI

May 2004

Chairman: Associate Professor Awang Noor Abd. Ghani, Ph.D.

Faculty: Forestry

Wadi Zabid is one of the major agricultural areas of Yemen that faces serious soil erosion (SE) problem caused by water and wind. Some of the farmers in the area have constructed retaining walls (RW) and windbreak (WB) to conserve their farmland soil but many do not. As the SE is becoming serious and soil conservation activity is not progressing, there is a need to reveal the feasibility of soil conservation investment, obstructions to soil conservation and farmers SE perception.

Data for this study were collected through questionnaires during the agricultural season of 1999/2000. The total sample was 264 comprising four groups; i.e., “with” and “without” RW and “with” and “without” WB. The financial benefit cost analysis was the analytical technique and the decision criteria used were the net present value (NPV), internal rate of return (IRR) and benefit cost ratio (BCR). Order logit and logistic models have been applied to reveal farmers characteristics related to the perception of the soil erosion and to the decision of soil conservation, respectively.

The study found that the farmer age, number of family working force and number of permanent labourers all have positive relations with the serious perception of soil erosion by water. However, the family size, RW length and farmer experience all have shown negative relations. The model of RW adoption showed that farm-home distance, neighbours complaints and the minor perception of soil erosion by water have positive relation. On the contrary, the size of rented area and farm-market distance both have shown negative relations with RW adoption. In the perception of wind erosion model, the farming period, numbers of WB, presence of demoplots and awareness of soil conservation programmes all have shown positive relations. However, the farmer experience, WB age and neighbours complaints all have shown negative relations with the perception. Nonetheless, the farmer will not plant WB unless he is aged, literate, has more family working force, asked by neighbours and has attended the extension night gatherings. The size of the family and the size of rented farm area have shown negative relations with the adoption of WB measure.

In addition, the study found that the investments in RW and WB have been financially feasible. The farmer who has invested in RW has got Yemen Riyals (YRs) 33,652 as NPV (US\$ 1= YRs 150). In term of BCR and IRR the farmer returns are 1.14 and 14 percent, respectively. The farmer who has invested in WB has got YRs 54,190 as NPV and 1.8 and 27 percent as BCR and IRR, respectively.

Therefore, as RW and WB proved to be financially feasible then government subsidies are justified and will attract more farmers to conserve their farmland soil. In addition, as the determinants of the perceptions of water and wind erosion are not identical then separate strategies and extension programmes are justified.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KEBERUNTUNGAN DINDING PENAMBAK DAN PERINTANG ANGIN
BAGI PEMULIHARAAN TANAH DI WADI ZABID, YEMEN**

Oleh

ALLADEEN MOHAMAD ABDALLA AL-SHARJABI

Mei 2004

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Awang Noor Abd. Ghani, Ph.D.

Fakulti: Perhutanan

Wadi Zabid sebagai salah satu kawasan pertanian terbesar di Yemen, menghadapi masalah hakisan tanah serius yang disebabkan oleh air dan angin. Hanya sesetengah petani telah membina dinding sebagai penambak (RW) atau perintang angin (WB) sebagai langkah kawalan, manakala sebahagian besar tidak berbuat begitu. Memandangkan masalah hakisan tanah menjadi semakin serius dan tiada usaha untuk menghalangnya, maka adalah perlu di kaji potensi pelaburan bagi pemuliharaan tanah, halangan pemuliharaan tanah dan persepsi petani terhadap status hakisan tanah.

Data kajian telah dikumpul melalui sesi soal selidik pada musim pertanian 1999/2000. Sejumlah 264 petani daripada empat kumpulan responden merangkumi kumpulan 'dengan' dan 'tanpa' dinding penambak, dan 'dengan' dan 'tanpa' perintang angin. Teknik yang digunakan adalah analisis faedah kos sementara kriteria pemilihan adalah melalui nilai bersih semasa (NPV), kadar pulangan dalaman (IRR) dan kadar faedah kos (BCR). Model order logit dan logistic digunakan untuk

menentukan ciri-ciri petani berkenaan persepsi terhadap hakisan tanah dan keputusan berkenaan pemuliharaan tanah.

Secara ekonominya, kajian mendapati kedua-dua pelaburan untuk dinding penambak dan perintang angin adalah wajar. Petani yang melabur di dalam (RW) beroleh Yrs 33652, 1.14 dan 14 peratus masing-masing bagi NPV, BCR dan IRR. Petani yang menganggap hakisan air adalah serius berada dalam kumpulan berumur, tenaga kerja keluarga yang ramai, pekerja kekal yang lebih dan mempunyai RW yang kurang di ladangnya. Bagaimanapun petani tidak akan membina RW kecuali dia mempunyai dan menyewakan kawasan ladang yang kecil, jauh dari tempat tinggal tetapi dekat dengan pasar, menganggap hakisan sebagai ancaman kecil, tidak mengambil bahagian didalam program pengembangan malam dan mendapat gesaan dari jiran. Petani akan menganggap hakisan angin sebagai serius jika dia berpengalaman, ladangnya dekat dengan pusat pengembangan dan plot demonstrasi, sedar akan program pemuliharaan, mendapat gesaan jiran, mempunyai lebih WB dan telah menanam WB untuk jangka masa yang lama. Bagaimanapun, keputusan untuk memilih WB ditentukan oleh faktor umur petani, celik huruf, jumlah tenaga kerja keluarga, keluasan ladang, jumlah sesi pengembangan yang dihadiri, jarak ke pusat pengembangan dan pasar, keselamatan hakmilik tanah dan gesaan jiran. Petani juga cenderung untuk membina WB jika bilangan ahli keluarga kurang dan menganggap hakisan tanah sebagai masalah kecil.

Memandangkan RW dan WB satu pelaburan yang menguntungkan, maka sejumlah kecil subsidi kerajaan dikira wajar dan akan menarik lebih ramai petani untuk memulihara tanah pertanian mereka. Selain itu, strategi berasingan dan program

pengembangan untuk kedua-dua jenis hakisan yang berbeza adalah perlu memandangkan perbezaan faktor-faktor yang berkaitan persepsi terhadap hakisan dan langkah-langkah adoptasi pemuliharaan tanah.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Firstly and foremost, all praises and thanks are due to Allah; and peace and blessings are upon His Trustworthy and Honest Messenger. I would like here to state my deep thanks to the Chairman of my Supervisory Committee Associate Professor Dr. Awang Noor Abd. Ghani for his guidance, advices and constructive criticism throughout the course of this study. My thanks and gratitude are also due to the members of the Supervisory Committee Associate Prof. Dr. Rusli Bin Mohd and Dr. Khamuruddin Mohd Noor for their valuable comments, guidance and constructive thoughts. My thanks are extended also to the Independent Examiner Prof. Dr. Dudung Darusman, the Chairman of the Examination Committee Prof. Dr. Ahmad Said Sajap, the Internal Examiners Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmad Husni Bin Mohd. Hanif and Dr. Jamaluddin Basharrudin for their valuable comments and suggestions.

I would like to thank Prof. Dato' Dr. Sheikh Omar Abdul Rahman and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Azmi Omer for their unlimited generosity, continuous support and assistant. I would like to thank to all members of the Faculty of Forestry in UPM but special thanks are for Associate Prof. Dr. Ahmad Ainuddin and Associate Prof. Dr. Lai Food Sea for their cooperation and permission that allow me to use the space in the Hydrology laboratory. I also want to thank Mr. Mahmoud Yousif and Ahmat Bin Madsoum for their cooperation and assistance. I would like to thank, as well, the Directorate of Forests and Desertification Control, the Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation in Yemen and the Food and Agriculture Organisation for nominating me and administrating my fellowship.

I have special thanks and good prayers to my late father, whom I lost during the course of this study. My thanks are also due to all of my family members starting with my mother and ending with the lovely baby, Yaser. Last but not least, I have special thanks and prayers to my brothers Abdulrahman, Abdulhafeed and Abdullatif, my cousin Dr. Ahmad Shamsan, my dear friends Dr. Mohammed Ugool and Eng. Fahmi Qaid, Ali Alsaleemi, Yahya Alsurabi, Eng. Mohamad Alassar and Eng. Mohamad Hassan, whom I indebt a lot for their support and assistances in all possible ways.



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	Asian Development Bank
BCA	Benefit cost analysis
BCR	Benefit cost ratio
CBCA	Conventional benefit cost analysis
CoS	Consumer surplus
CP	Cut-off period
CS	Compensating surplus
CV	Compensating variation
EBCA	Economic benefit cost analysis
ES	Equivalent surplus
EV	Equivalent variation
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations
FBCA	Financial cost benefit analysis
GDFDC	General Directorate of Forests and Desertification Control
INB	Incremental net benefit
IRR	Internal rate of return
MAI	Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation
NARR	Net average rate of return
NPV	Net present value
PBP	Pay-back period
PPI	Potential Pareto-improvements
PS	Producer surplus



pua	Per unit area
RW	Retaining wall
SA	Sensitivity analysis
SBCA	Social benefit cost analysis
SC	Soil conservation
SCM	Soil conservation measure
SE	Soil erosion
SRTDA	Southern Region of Tihama Development Authority
SV	Switching value
TDA	Tihama Development Authority
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
WB	Windbreaks
WRW	With retaining wall
WTA	Willingness to accept
WTP	Willingness to pay
WtRW	Without retaining wall
WtWB	Without windbreaks
WWB	With windbreaks
YRs	Riyal of Yemen

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
APPROVAL	x
DECLARATION	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xix
LIST OF FIGURES	xxii
 CHAPTER	
 I	
INTRODUCTION	1.1
General Background	1.1
Problem Statement	1.3
Justification of the Study	1.5
Objectives of the Study	1.5
Organization of the Thesis	1.6
 II	
GENERAL BACKGROUND OF YEMEN	2.1
Introduction	2.1
Geographical Situation and Population	2.1
Climate of Yemen	2.3
Soil	2.4
Temperature	2.5
Rainfall	2.6
Socio-economic Activities	2.8
Agriculture	2.8
Livestock and Grazing	2.10
Fishing	2.11
The Problem of Soil Erosion	2.12
The Soil Conservation Efforts	2.14
The Yemen Economy	2.16
Characteristics of Yemen Economy	2.16
Development Plans	2.19
Economic Problems and Reforms	2.22
 III	
LITERATURE REVIEW	3.1
Introduction	3.1
Population and Resources	3.1
The Poverty Link	3.1
Population and Soil Degradation Link	3.2
Population and Food Scenarios	3.3



	Soil Resource and Threats	3.4
	Sustainable Agricultural Development	3.4
	Desertification and Land Degradation	3.5
	Causes of Soil Degradation	3.6
	The Impacts of Soil Erosion	3.11
	Mechanism of Soil Erosion	3.13
	Soil Conservation Aspects	3.15
	Techniques of Soil Conservation	3.16
	Policy of Soil Conservation	3.17
	Role of Incentives and Subsidies	3.18
	Criteria of Successful Soil Conservation Projects	3.20
	Investment in Soil Conservation	3.22
	The Feasibility of Soil Conservation	3.22
	Models Used for Conservation Feasibility	3.26
	Implications of Benefit Cost Analysis	3.27
	Soil Conservation and Farmers Behaviours	3.28
	Dependent Factors in Soil Conservation Models	3.29
	Independent Factors in Soil Conservation Models	3.30
	Summary	3.41
IV	BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: A Theoretical Background	4.1
	Introduction	4.1
	History of Benefit Cost Analysis	4.1
	The Social Welfare Foundations of BCA	4.2
	Consumer Welfare Theory	4.4
	Consumer Welfare Measure	4.7
	Producer Welfare and Producer Surplus	4.11
	Social Welfare Measures and Choice	4.12
	Types of Benefit Cost Analysis	4.14
	Conventional Benefit Cost Analysis	4.14
	Social Benefit and Cost Analysis	4.15
	Benefits and Cost Determination	4.18
	Calculation of Benefit and Cost	4.19
	Sources of Errors in BCA	4.20
	Conceptual Strength of BCA	4.22
	Critics and Limitations of BCA	4.24
	Economic Decision Criteria	4.29
	Benefit-Cost Ratio	4.29
	Net Present Value	4.30
	Internal Rate of Return	4.31
	Summary	4.31
V	RESEARCH METHODS	5.1
	Introduction	5.1
	The Conceptual Framework	5.1
	The Study Design	5.4
	The Study Site	5.4
	Population Sample Selection	5.6
	Sampling Procedure	5.9

Computation of Costs and Benefits	5.11
The Cost of Retaining Walls	5.12
The Costs of Windbreaks	5.13
Benefits of RW and WB	5.16
The “With” and “Without” Approach	5.18
Cash Flow	5.20
Modelling Farmer’s Behaviours on Soil Conservation	5.21
Farmer's Perception of Soil Erosion	5.22
Farmer’s Decision on RW/WB Adoption	5.26
Description of the Models’ Variables	5.31
Data Collection	5.32
Questionnaire Design, Pre Testing and Reliability	5.33
Structured Interview	5.35
Data Analysis	5.36
Descriptive Statistics	5.36
t- Test	5.37
INB and “With” and “Without” Approach	5.37
Sensitivity Analysis and Switching Value	5.37
 VI	
FARMING CHARACTERISTICS AND SOIL CONSERVATION BEHAVIOURS	6.1
Introduction	6.1
Farmers Socio-economics Characteristics	6.1
The Household Age Profile	6.1
The Household Size	6.3
Household Working Force	6.4
Literacy	6.5
Farming Experiences	6.7
Farm and Farming Characteristics	6.8
Farm Size Ownership	6.8
Farming Period	6.10
Size of Rented and Shared Farm Area	6.11
Rent and Share Justifications	6.12
Farming Characteristics of Wadi Zabid	6.13
Agricultural Requirements and Soil Conservation Enthusiasm	6.18
Farmers’ Willingness to Conserve the Agricultural Soil	6.20
Justifications for Loan Objections	6.21
The Farmers’ Behaviours Towards Soil Conservation	6.23
The Perception of the Water Erosion Severity	6.23
The Determinants of Adoption of the RW Measure	6.26
The Perception of Wind Erosion Severity	6.29
The Determinants of Adoption of WB Measure	6.32
 VII	
THE FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY OF SOIL CONSERVATION IN WADI ZABID	7.1
Introduction	7.1
Benefits and Costs of Soil Conservation Measures	7.1
Benefits of Retaining Walls	7.2

Benefits of Windbreaks	7.6
Analysis of the Soil Conservation Cost	7.10
Analysis of the Investment in Soil Conservation in Wadi Zabid	7.15
Performance of Farms “WRW” versus Farm “WtRW”	7.16
Performance of Farms “WWB” versus Farm “WtWB”	7.18
Sensitivity Analysis	7.21
The RW Sensitivity Analysis for	7.22
The WB Sensitivity Analysis for	7.25
Switching Values Analysis	7.28
The RW Switching Values	7.29
The WB Switching Values	7.30
VIII CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS	8.1
Introduction	8.1
Prospects of Soil Conservation Investment	8.1
Policy Implications	8.3
Soil Conservation Policy	8.3
Implication for Soil Conservation Extension	8.5
Implication for Research in Soil Conservation	8.6
Limitation of the Study	8.7
REFERENCES	R.1
APPENDICES	A.1
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	B.1



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Climatic Regions of Yemen	2.4
2.2 Land uses Classifications and Percentage	2.8
2.3 Yemen Cultivable and Cultivated Areas (000 ha)	2.9
2.4 Main Crops and Quantity of Production (000 tonnes)	2.9
2.5 Livestock Resources (000 heads)	2.10
2.6 Annual GDP in Percentage for 1996-2001 (as percentage)	2.17
2.7 Yemen Gross Domestic Product and Gross National Product	2.19
2.8 Balance of Trade 1991-2000 (000 YRs)	2.21
2.9 Financial and Monetary Indicators (as Pct of GDP)	2.23
4.1 Conventional and Social Benefit Cost Analysis	4.17
5.1 A Tally of Farms in Wadi Zabid Agricultural Centres	5.7
5.2 Sample Size According to Centre and SCM	5.7
5.3 Classification of Centres according to the Type of Erosion	5.9
5.4 The RW Construction Costs	5.12
5.5 WB Species, Numbers and Seedlings Costs	5.14
5.6 Cost of Windbreaks Planting and Equipment	5.14
5.7 Windbreaks Irrigation Frequency and Costs	5.15
5.8 Annual Costs of Windbreaks Maintenance	5.16
5.9 The Benefit of Crop Production Increment	5.17
5.10 Windbreaks Pole and Firewood Production	5.18



Table	Page
5.11 Descriptions of the Models' Variables	5.32
6.1 Distribution of Wadi Zabid Farmers' Age	6.2
6.2 Distribution of Household Size in Wadi Zabid	6.3
6.3 Distribution of Family Working Force in Wadi Zabid	6.5
6.4 Distribution of Literacy Among Wadi Zabid's Farmers	6.6
6.5 Distribution of Farmers' Farming Experiences	6.7
6.6 Distribution of Farm Size Ownership	6.9
6.7 Distribution of Farming Period in Wadi Zabid	6.11
6.8 Distribution of the Size of Rented and Shared Farm Areas	6.11
6.9 Distribution of the Justifications for Farmland Renting and Sharing	6.12
6.10 Major Characteristics of Farming Activities in Wadi Zabid	6.14
6.11 Farmers' Agricultural Requirements in Wadi Zabid	6.19
6.12 Distribution of Farmers Willingness in Soil Conservation	6.20
6.13 Justifications to Reject Loans for Soil Conservation	6.21
6.14 Reasons to Refrain from Government Soil Conservation Project	6.22
6.15 The Determinants of the Perception of severe Water Erosion	6.24
6.16 The Determinants of the Adoption of RW Measure	6.27
6.17 The Determinants of the Perception of severe Wind Erosion	6.29
6.18 The Determinants of the Adoption of WB Measure	6.32
7.1 Banana Land Preparation Costs (YRs)	7.2
7.2 Land Preparation Costs for Sorghum Crop (YRs)	7.3
7.3 Land Preparation Costs for Banana Production (YRs)	7.4
7.4 Differences in Quantity of Crops Production (Kg/maad)	7.4

Table	Page
7.5 Cotton/Sorghum Land Preparation Costs (YRs)	7.7
7.6 Differences in Quantity of Crops Production (Kg/maad)	7.8
7.7 Windbreaks Pole and Firewood Production	7.9
7.8 RW Establishment Costs	7.10
7.9 WB Establishment Costs	7.13
7.10 Cash Flow for the Farm “With” and “Without” Retaining Wall	7.15
7.11 The Net INB for the Retaining Walls at Different Economic Criteria	7.16
7.12 Cash Flow for the Farm “With” and “Without” WB	7.18
7.13 The Net INB for the Windbreaks at Different Economic Criteria	7.19
7.14 The RW Sensitivity Analysis	7.22
7.15 The WB Sensitivity Analysis	7.25
7.16 The RW Switching Values	7.29
7.17 The WB Switching Values	7.31

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Geographical Situation of Yemen	2.2
2.2 The Average Monthly Rainfall Distribution in Yemen	2.6
2.3 The Rainfall Map of Yemen	2.7
2.3 The Vegetation Map of Yemen	2.13
4.1 Dupuit Surplus	4.5
4.2 Consumer Surplus	4.6
4.3 The Four Measures of Welfare Change	4.8
4.4 The Producer Surplus	4.11
5.1 Soil Erosion and Conservation Framework	5.2

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

General Background

Soil is an essential natural resource for the production of food that supports human life. However, the soil resource is exposed to many threats that lowered its potential for food production. One of the greatest problems that the soil resource is facing is the soil degradation. Oldeman (1994) defined soil degradation as human induced phenomenon that lowers the current and/or future capacity of the soil to support human life. The major forms of soil degradation are the soil erosion that initiated by water and wind actions. Usually, it is hard to draw a line that would segregate the term of soil erosion from soil degradation as the terms are interchangeably used to describe the same thing. However, Morgan (1995) defined soil erosion as the process that detrimentally affects the soil essential properties and subsequently reduces crop production and the farmer income.

Soil erosion is a worldwide problem that goes back to the time when man began cultivation of the land. The main factors of the soil erosion are wind and water, and it has become widely accepted to use the terms of water and wind erosion to distinguish between soil erosion that caused by water and wind, respectively. Nevertheless, water erosion is a major problem in non-arid regions while wind erosion is the major problem in the arid regions, because of the combined effects of drought and overgrazing. However, it is not unusual to notice both types in a country of relatively small area.

The soil erosion leads to the loss of topsoil and reduction in soil productivity; however the data that quantify such reduction are varied in quality and relevance (Schertz *et al.*, 1989). The effects of severe forms of erosion such as desert encroachment and gully erosion are easy to be identified, but a small change in the topsoil layer caused by soil erosion action might go on for years without notice. The use of fertilisers and improved seeds/seedlings varieties have in many times hidden the effect of soil erosion and prolonged the time to realise the reduction in crop productivity and loss of fertile topsoil. In addition, soil erosion deteriorates the soil production potential by affecting its physical characteristics.

The impacts and losses caused by soil erosion are striking. Kovda (1983) reported that 430 millions hectares of productive land worldwide have been destroyed by the soil erosion process and every year there is a loss of 5 to 7 million hectares of land due to soil erosion (Clarke, 1994). Unless efficient measures are applied to control soil erosion, the world will face a difficult time to meet the increasing population food requirement. The danger of soil erosion exaggerates when it is realized that the rate of soil erosion and population growth rate both are high in developing countries that already have many problems. These entails questions like: how would the coming generations meet the demand for food? Is there is enough land to produce sufficient food quantity? Does the existing land will continue to produce the same food quantity? What are the chances for protecting and reclaiming the existing land? Nonetheless, considering soil as a non-renewable resource then controlling erosion would become an ethical obligation for this generation to the future generations or an expression of land stewardship.

Problem Statement

Due to the diversity in Yemen physiographic characteristics two major forms of soil erosion; i.e., water and wind erosion, can be identified. Yet, the available old terrace system has implied that soil erosion by the runoff water is an old problem compared to the soil erosion by the wind action (Scholte *et al.*, 1991). The problem of wind erosion has advanced in recent decades due to incidences of drought, over-grazing and tree over-cutting in the marginal lands. In contrast, the two major forms of soil conservation measures in Yemen are retaining walls (RW) and windbreaks (WB). The former, RW, is a form of terrace system to control soil erosion by runoff water. The WB is a group of trees planted in certain arrangements to reduce the wind speed and to protect the farm land soil from blowing wind.

The impact of soil erosion (SE), either by runoff water and/or wind, will be noticed first at the farm level as the soil characteristics will experience gradual changes till it become economically and ecological unsuitable to support crop production. However, the uses of fertilisers have succeeded in compensating the loss of soil nutrients but not the changes in the soil characteristics. Moreover, the SE impacts at the macroeconomics level will be through the raising in the imported fertilizers and food bills. In sever SE incidences, farmers will abandon their farm lands and quit farming, which would mean that the rate of unemployment will proliferate then negatively affect the society welfare.

The government efforts in controlling the SE have been initiated in the early years of the 1980's. The government efforts have been in forms of technical assistant,