

**BACTERIAL CELLULASE FROM A LOCAL ISOLATE,
BACILLUS PUMILUS EB3**

By

HIDAYAH ARIFFIN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of
Science**

September 2006

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**BACTERIAL CELLULASE FROM A LOCAL ISOLATE,
BACILLUS PUMILUS EB3**

By

HIDAYAH ARIFFIN

September 2006

Chairman: Professor Mohd Ali Hassan, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

Cellulase production from bacteria can be an advantage as the enzyme production rate is normally higher due to bacterial high growth rate. Screening of bacteria, optimisation of fermentation conditions and selection of substrates are important for the successful production of cellulase. This study is conducted to produce cellulase from our local isolate *Bacillus pumilus* EB3, using oil palm empty fruit bunch (EFB) and carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) as substrate. The effect of physical, chemical and thermal pretreatment on the EFB chemical composition and physical structure was studied, aimed at reducing lignin and hemicellulose, and making EFB structure more amorphous. The effect of pretreatment on reducing sugars production using commercial cellulase (Celluclast 1.5L) was also examined. Production of cellulase was conducted in shake flask and 2L stirred tank reactor (STR). The effect of initial pH, temperature, nitrogen source and carbon source on cellulase production in the shake flask was investigated. Following that, cellulase produced from *B. pumilus* EB3 was purified using ion exchange chromatography with anion exchanger (HiTrap QXL) for characterisation of the cellulase. The results of EFB pretreatment revealed that combination of pretreatments involving

physical, chemical and thermal treatment was most suitable to affect the chemical composition and physical structure of the EFB. Initial cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin content in untreated EFB were 51%, 28% and 15% respectively. After combination of pretreatments, the cellulose composition increased to 67% while hemicellulose and lignin content decreased to 17% and 10% respectively. The physical structure of the EFB was altered after pretreatments as based on the SEM micrograph. Alteration of EFB was due to removal of lignin and hemicellulose. Combination of pretreatments increased the hydrolysis of the EFB with the yield of 0.53 g reducing sugars / g EFB as compared to the untreated EFB where only 0.07 g reducing sugars being produced from 1 g of EFB. Study on cellulase production confirmed that fermentation parameters such as initial pH, temperature, carbon source and nitrogen source affected cellulase production. Cellulase from *B. pumilus* EB3 was found to be secreted the most at temperature 37°C, initial pH 7.0, 1% CMC as carbon source and 2 g/L of yeast extract as organic nitrogen source. The activity recorded during the fermentation was 0.006 U/mL, 0.076 U/mL and 0.032 U/mL respectively for FPase, CMCase and β -glucosidase. As production in the shake flask showed that EFB gave a competitive cellulase production as CMC, EFB was tested as carbon source in 2L STR. Due to hydrophobic characteristic of the treated EFB, the experiment was not so successful. Comparison of cellulase production using CMC as substrate in shake flask and 2L STR revealed that cellulase productivity was higher in the 2L STR than in the shake flask although overall, the maximum cellulase activity recorded was almost similar. Purification of cellulase from *B. pumilus* EB3 using ion exchange chromatography showed that 98.7% of total CMCcase was recovered. Protein separation was however based on subtractive separation where the contaminants were bound to the column instead of

CMCase. Characterisation of the enzyme found that CMCase from *B. pumilus* EB3 has a molecular weight range from 30-65 kDa and was optimally active at pH 6.0 and temperature 60°C. The CMCase also retained its activity over a wide pH range (pH 5.0–9.0) and temperature range (30-70°C).

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**SELLULASE DARIPADA BAKTERIA TERPENCIL TEMPATAN,
*BACILLUS PUMILUS EB3***

Oleh

HIDAYAH ARIFFIN

September 2006

Pengerusi: Profesor Mohd Ali Hassan, PhD

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Penghasilan enzim sellulase daripada bakteria mempunyai kelebihan oleh kerana kadar penghasilan enzim adalah lebih tinggi disebabkan kadar pertumbuhan bakteria yang lebih tinggi berbanding kulat. Proses penyaringan bakteria, pengoptimuman parameter dalam proses fermentasi dan pemilihan substrat yang sesuai adalah penting untuk menghasilkan sellulase dengan jayanya. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk menghasilkan sellulase daripada *B. pumilus EB3* dengan menggunakan tandan kosong sawit (TKS) dan karboksimetil sellulosa (CMC) sebagai substrat. Kesan pra-rawatan fizikal, kimia dan termal ke atas komposisi kimia dan struktur fizikal TKS dikaji, begitu juga dengan kesan pra-rawatan ke atas penghasilan gula penurun. Penghasilan gula penurun adalah menggunakan enzim komersial (Celluclast 1.5L). Penghasilan enzim seterusnya dijalankan di dalam kelalang dan reaktor 2L berpengaduk. Kesan pH awal, suhu, sumber nitrogen dan karbon ke atas penghasilan sellulase dikaji. Penulenan sellulase kemudiannya dijalankan menggunakan kromatografi penukaran ion dengan penukar anion (HiTrap QXL) digunakan. Penulenan dijalankan untuk pencirian sellulase. Keputusan daripada eksperimen pra-rawatan menunjukkan kombinasi pra-rawatan

fizikal, kimia dan termal paling memberi kesan ke atas komposisi kimia dan struktur fizikal TKS. Kandungan awal sellulosa, hemisellulosa dan lignin di dalam TKS yang tidak dirawat adalah 51%, 28% dan 15%. Selepas kombinasi pra-rawatan, komposisi sellulosa meningkat kepada 67% manakala komposisi hemisellulosa dan lignin menurun kepada 17% dan 10%. Selepas pra-rawatan juga, struktur fizikal TKS berubah seperti yang dilihat di dalam mikrograf SEM. Perubahan adalah disebabkan penyingkiran lignin dan hemisellulosa. Kombinasi pra-rawatan meningkatkan hidrolisis TKS di mana 0.53 g gula penurun dihasilkan daripada 1 g TKS. Perbandingan amat ketara di mana hanya 0.07 g gula penurun dihasilkan daripada 1 g TKS untuk TKS tanpa pra-rawatan. Kajian ke atas penghasilan sellulase pula menunjukkan parameter dalam proses fermentasi seperti pH awal, suhu, sumber karbon dan nitrogen memberi kesan ke atas penghasilan sellulase. Sellulase daripada *B. pumilus* EB3 dilihat dihasilkan pada tahap maksimum apabila suhu 37°C, pH awal 7.0, CMC sebagai sumber karbon dan 2 g/L ekstrak yis digunakan dalam fermentasi. Aktiviti sellulase yang direkodkan ketika fermentasi itu adalah 0.006 U/mL, 0.076 U/mL dan 0.032 U/mL untuk FPase, CMCCase dan β -glucosidase. Disebabkan penghasilan sellulase di dalam kelalang menunjukkan TKS menyebabkan penghasilan aktiviti enzim yang hampir serupa dengan penggunaan CMC, maka TKS telah digunakan di dalam bioreaktor (2L). Bagaimanapun, eksperimen tersebut kurang berjaya disebabkan ciri hidrofobik TKS selepas rawatan. Perbandingan antara sellulase yang dihasilkan di dalam kelalang dan bioreaktor (2L) dijalankan dengan menggunakan CMC sebagai substrat. Penemuan menunjukkan produktiviti enzim yang dihasilkan di dalam bioreaktor lebih tinggi berbanding kelalang walaupun aktiviti maksimum adalah hampir serupa. Sellulase tersebut kemudiannya dituliskan menggunakan kromatografi

penukaran ion dan keputusan menunjukkan 98.7% daripada keseluruhan CMCase berjaya diperolehi semula. Pemisahan protein yang berlaku walau bagaimanapun adalah berdasarkan pemisahan songsang di mana bahan cemar yang terikat di dalam turus, bukannya CMCase. Pencirian enzim menunjukkan CMCase daripada *B. pumilus* EB3 mempunyai julat berat molekul antara 30-65 kDa. Enzim ini juga aktif pada pH 6.0 dan suhu 60°C. CMCase juga mampu mengekalkan aktivitinya pada julat pH dan suhu yang besar, iaitu pada pH 5.0-9.0 dan suhu 30-70°C.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, thanks to ALLAH for giving me the strength and capability to complete my research and this thesis.

I would like to acknowledge my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mohd Ali Hassan for his invaluable advice and encouragement throughout the course of research and writing of this thesis.

My thanks to the supervisory committee; Dr. Umi Kalsom Md. Shah, Dr. Norhafizah Abdullah and Prof. Dr. Yoshihito Shirai. Dr. Umi, thanks for helping me to get the bacterial strain for my research and for your support throughout my study period. Dr. Hafizah, thanks for the hands-on experience in my purification work. I really appreciate your patience. Prof. Shirai, thanks for all the advices and suggestions.

I also would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Baharuddin Abd Ghani for his comments and advices. Not to forget, Dr. Budiatman Satiawihardja and Dr. Noha Mohamed Yahya.

Thanks a lot to Ms. Emmyrafedziawati Aida Kamal Rafedzi from MARDI for the provision of the bacterial strain, Kak Siti and Kak Norlia at MARDI lab and staffs at the Department of Process and Food Engineering and Microscopy Unit, IBS, UPM for their assistance.

An acknowledgement must go to Dr. Ling Tau Chuan for allowing me to use the FPLC for my purification work, Dr. Raha Abd Rahim and her students for helping me in my SDS-PAGE, Dr. Raja Noor Zaliha for the ultra filtration unit in her lab and to her post-doctorate Dr. Leow Thean Chor for his advices and help.

Indebt for the assistance in lab to my friends and RAs; Kak Tengku Elida, Mei Ling, Huzairi and Ang Kong Nian. Not to forget, past and present EB lab members.

I also would like to acknowledge the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) for the financial support from NSF for my Master study and to FELDA Palm Industries (FPI) for allocating a huge amount of money for supporting this research.

Special thanks to Papa and Mama for their love, advices, patience and support; and for accompanying me in lab – once in a while. Without them, I would not be able to finish my Master study. Papa and Mama, thanks for everything. InsyaAllah, I will never let you down.

Last but not least, special acknowledgement must go to Abah. Thanks for your kindness, encouragement, love and concern. Your advices are always on my mind.

Thanks for everything...

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 21 September 2006 to conduct the final examination of Hidayah Ariffin on her Master of Science thesis entitled "Bacterial Cellulase From a Local Isolate, *Bacillus pumilus* EB3" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Professor Russly Abdul Rahman, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Rosfarizan Mohamad, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Ling Tau Chuan, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Professor Abd Jalil Abd Kader, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
(External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 16 October 2006

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Professor Mohd Ali Hassan, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Norhafizah Abdullah, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Umi Kalsom Mohd Shah, PhD

Researcher
Department of Strategic Resources
Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute
(Member)

Professor Yoshihito Shirai, PhD

Professor
Graduate School of Life Science and Systems Engineering
Kyushu Institute of Technology
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 9 November 2006

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

HIDAYAH ARIFFIN

Date: 7 July 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	2
ABSTRAK	5
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	8
APPROVAL	10
DECLARATION	11
LIST OF TABLES	15
LIST OF FIGURES	16
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	20
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	21
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	24
2.1 Bioconversion of lignocellulosic materials for useful bioproducts	24
2.1.1 Lignocellulose	24
2.1.2 Nature of Lignocellulosic Materials	25
2.1.3 Bioconversion	30
2.1.4 Previous and Current Works on Bioconversion of Lignocellulosic Materials	32
2.2 Cellulase: Introduction and Application	36
2.3 Cellulolytic Microorganisms	37
2.3.1 Cellulolytic Fungi	38
2.3.2 Cellulolytic Bacteria	38
2.3.3 Screening and Isolation of Cellulolytic Bacteria	39
2.4 Mode of Action, Regulation and Production of Cellulase	40
2.4.1 Mode of action: Fungal and Bacterial Cellulase Systems	40
2.4.2 Regulation of Cellulase Synthesis: Induction and Repression	43
2.4.3 Cellulase Production	45
2.5 Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Cellulose (Saccharification) and The Effects of Pretreatment	48
2.6 Cellulase Purification	51
3 GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1 Chemical Reagents	53
3.2 Substrates	53
3.2.1 Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch (EFB)	53
3.3 Microorganisms and Maintenance	54
3.3.1 Preparation of Inoculum	54

	3.3.2	Preparation of Media for Cellulase Production	54
3.4		Analytical Methods	55
	3.4.1	Carboxymethyl Cellulase (CMCase) Activity	55
	3.4.2	Filter paperase Activity	56
	3.4.3	β -glucosidase Activity	56
	3.4.4	Protein Content	57
	3.4.5	Reducing Sugars Content	57
3.5		SDS-PAGE	57
3.6		Overall Experimental Overview	58
4		EFFECT OF EFB PRETREATMENT ON THE PRODUCTION OF REDUCING SUGARS	
	4.1	Introduction	59
	4.2	Materials and Methods	60
		4.2.1 Raw material	60
		4.2.2 Pretreatments	60
		4.2.3 Saccharification	62
		4.2.4 Analytical procedures	62
		4.2.5 SEM micrograph	72
	4.3	Results and Discussion	63
		4.3.1 Chemical Composition of EFB	63
		4.3.2 Effect of Various Treatments on EFB Structure	64
		4.3.3 Effect of Various Treatments on Reducing Sugars Production	68
		4.3.4 Effect of Sequence of Pretreatments in The Pretreatment of EFB Fibres	73
	4.4	Conclusion	74
5		CELLULASE PRODUCTION BY <i>B. PUMILUS</i> EB3 USING TREATED EFB AND CMC AS SUBSTRATE	
	5.1	Introduction	76
	5.2	Materials and Methods	77
		5.2.1 Screening of Cellulase Producer	77
		5.2.2 Identification of Cellulase Producer	78
		5.2.3 Growth and Cellulase Production	79
		5.2.4 The Feasibility of Treated EFB to be used as Carbon Source in Cellulase Production and The Effect of Enhancers	79
		5.2.5 The Effect of Temperature and Initial pH on Cellulase Production by <i>B. pumilus</i> EB3.	79
		5.2.6 The Effect of Nitrogen Source	80
		5.2.7 The Effect of Carbon Source	81
		5.2.8 Cellulase Production in 2L Stirred Tank Reactor (STR)	81
		5.2.9 Analytical Procedures	82

5.2.10	Determination of Cells Growth in Insoluble Substrate	82
5.3	Results and Discussion	83
5.3.1	Screening of Cellulase Producer	83
5.3.2	Identification of Bacterium	85
5.3.3	The Feasibility of Treated EFB to be used as Carbon Source in Cellulase Production and The Effect of Enhancer	88
5.3.4	The Effect of pH and Temperature on Cellulase Production	89
5.3.5	The Effect of Nitrogen Source on Cellulase Croduction	94
5.3.6	The Effect of Carbon Source on Cellulase Production	99
5.3.7	Cellulase Production in 2L STR	104
5.3.8	Comparison of Cellulase Production in 2L STR and Shake Flask	109
5.4	Conclusion	114
6	PURIFICATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF CELLULASE FROM <i>B. PUMILUS</i> EB3	
6.1	Introduction	116
6.2	Materials and Methods	118
6.2.1	Enzyme recovery	118
6.2.2	Characterisation of CMCase	122
6.2.3	Saccharification	122
6.3	Results and Discussion	123
6.3.1	Purification of cellulase	123
6.3.2	Characterisation of cellulase from <i>B. pumilus</i> EB3	127
6.3.3	Saccharification	134
6.4	Conclusion	136
7	CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	137
	REFERENCES	140
	APPENDICES	148
	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	168