

# UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

# FACTORS AFFECTING CONSTRUCTION SPEED OF INDUSTRIALIZED BUILDING SYSTEM IN MALAYSIA

WA'EL ABDULMOGHNI MOHAMMED AL-AGHBARI.

FK 2005 52



# FACTORS AFFECTING CONSTRUCTION SPEED OF INDUSTRIALIZED BUILDING SYSTEM IN MALAYSIA

By

## WA'EL ABDULMOGHNI MOHAMMED AL-AGHBARI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduated Studies, University Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

June 2005

i



## **DEDICATION**

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

To My Beloved Family

- To My **Kind Parents**: Who Provided Me Generously With Advice, Kindness, and Tenderness
- To My **Excellent Brothers**: Who Are My Good Example And Real Supporters
- To My Nice Wife: My Journey Mate in Life
- To My Lovely Children: Where I See My Promising Future

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of University Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science



## FACTORS AFFECTING CONSTRUCTION SPEED OF INDUSTRIALIZED BUILDING SYSTEM IN MALAYSIA

By

#### WA'EL ABDULMOGHNI MOHAMMED AL-AGHBARI

**June 2005** 

#### Chairman : Associate Professor Mohd. Razali Abd. Kadir, Ph.D.

## Faculty : Engineering

Malaysia is presently taking a serious look at IBS as an answer to the housing shortage problem. There are many advantages using the IBS according to a report published by the Malaysian Ministry for Local Government and Housing. The main advantages are the speed of construction, quality and price. All of these are required to meet the large demand for housing.

This thesis presents the speed of construction methods which are the conventional system, cast-in-situ method, composite methods, and the Industrialized Building System; including the factors that affect the speed of IBS and the factors causing delay in building construction projects.



The data were collected through mailing questionnaires distribution. The questionnaire took into consideration the factors on sample size, time, speed and causes of delay. The questionnaire was distributed to four hundred and fifty respondents among developers, government boards, main contractors, consultants and manufactures who were concerned with the building systems of construction projects in Malaysia. The return was seventy eight respondents.

The statistical descriptive analysis was used to identify whether the respondents have the necessary sufficient qualification to respond correctly to the questionnaire. However, spearman correlation analysis was used to find out the significant scenario of the construction method. The Logit linear analysis was used to choose between the IBS and the conventional methods. In addition, it is used to test these hypotheses since it is a useful mean of both summarizing the results of data analysis and comparing the effects of different speed factors on the probability to choose the construction method. The results have been analyzed to rank the factors affecting the speed of IBS and the factors causing the delay of building construction projects.

As a result from the analysis, it was observed that the full-prefabricated method was the fastest construction method compared to the other methods. Moreover, the high productivity of structural elements (in-situ or off-situ) is the most important factor that had an effect on the speed of IBS. The study also revealed many factors causing delay in building construction projects in Malaysia. These factors could be financial from both the contractors and the owners' side. Poor

management is also an important factor causing delay. However, a very important factor for the delay could be from the consultant's side where the supervision is conducted at a very late stage during the execution of the project and the incompetence in giving timely instructions. Finally, the most important external factor causing delay is the lack of materials in the market.

The result of the study is that there should be continuous improvement of the IBS which focus mainly on innovating techniques to improve the productivity The financial support as well as technical support is very necessary and urgen for building construction projects.



Abstrak tesis yang di kemukakan Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

## FAKTOR YANG MEMBERI KESAN KEPADA KELAJNAN PEMBINAAN DALAM SISTEM BINAAN BERINDUSTRI (IBS) DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

#### WA'EL ABDULMOGHNI MOHAMMED AL-AGHBARI

**Jun 2005** 

#### Pengerusi : Prof. Madya Mohd. Razali Abd. Kadir, Ph.D.

#### Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Malaysia, pada masa kini, sedang meneliti Sistem Pembangunan Industri (IBS) sebagai jalan untuk mengatasi masalah kekurangan perumahan. Menurut laporan yang dikeluarkan oleh Kementerian Perumahan dan Kerajaan Tempatan, terdapat banyak kebaikan Sistem Pembangunan Industri ini. Di antara kebaikan utama sistem ini adalah pembinaan yang pesat, kualiti dan harga, yang kesemuanya adalah diperlukan untuk memenuhi permintaan yang tinggi terhadap perumahan.

Thesis ini menyampaikan kaedan kelajuan pembinaan, iaitu melalui sistem konvensional, kaedah "cast-in-situ", kaedah komposit, dan juga sistem binaan berindustri (IBS), termasuk factor yang memberi kesan kepada kelajuan sistem



binaan berindustri dan juga factor yang menyebabkan kelewatan di dalam projek pembinaan bangunan.

Data kajian ini dikumpul melalui temuduga dan soal selidik. Walau bagaimanapun, kaedah utama pengumpulan data adalah melalui soal selidik. Soal selidik untuk kajian ini mengambil kira faktor-faktor berkenaan saiz sampel, masa, kepantasan dan penyebab kelewatan. Soal selidik telah dihantar kepada empat ratus lima puluh responden di serata lokasi di Malaysia.

Analisis statistik deskriptif telah digunakan untuk mengenal pasti sama ada responden-responden mempunyai kelayakan yang secukupnya untuk menjawat soal selidik kajian ini. Analisis korelasi Spearman turut digunakan untuk mengetahui senario kaedah pembinaan yang signifikan. Analisis logit linear telah dijalankan untuk memilih di antara IBS dan kaedah pembinaar konvensional. Selain daripada itu, analisis logit linear turut digunakan untuk menguji hipotesis kerana kaedah ini boleh memberi ringkasan keputusar analisis data dan memberi perbandingan kesan ke atas faktor-faktor kepantasar yang berbeza dalam memilih kaedah pembinaan. Keputusan kajian akar menyenaraikan faktor-faktor mempengaruhi kepantasan IBS dan faktor-fakto penyebab kelewatan projek pembinaan.

Hasil daripada analisis data, kajian ini mendapati bahawa kaedah pasang siaj adalah kaedah pembinaan yang terpantas berbanding dengan kaedah-kaedal pembinaan yang lain. Produktiviti struktur elemen (in-situ dan off-situ) yang tinggi turut didapati sebagai faktor yang paling penting dalam mempengaruhi kepantasan IBS. Kajian ini juga telah mengenal pasti faktor-faktor utama yang menyebabkan kelewatan projek pembinaan di Malaysia. Faktor-faktor ini termasuklah faktor kewangan kedua-dua pihak kontraktor dan pemilik. Pengurusan yang tidak cekap turut menjadi faktor penting yang menyebabkan kelewatan. Pihak pakar runding turut menyumbang kepada kelewatan projek di mana pengawasan dan penyeliaan hanya dilakukan pada peringkat terakhir perlaksanaan projek dan arahan yang terlalu lambat. Faktor luaran yang paling penting dalam mempengaruhi kelewatan projek pembinaan adalah kekurangan bekalan di pasaran. Keputusan kajian ini mendapati bahawa terdapat keperluan untuk pembaikan berterusan IBS yang seharusnya memfokus kepada membangunkan inovasi teknik-teknik untuk meningkatkan produktiviti. Sokongan kewangan dan teknikal turut diperlukan dan adalah amat penting dalam perlaksanaan projek pembinaan.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the most gracious and merciful

Many people have in different ways contributed with information or assistance to this research work. It is not possible to mention all of you, and therefore I herewith express my gratitude for your support.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my entire committee for all of its support and guidance over the past two years. Both Associate Prof. Dr Mohd Razali A. Kadir and Associate Prof. Dr. Azizah Salim have contributed greatly to my education and my personal development throughout my graduate career. For this I am thankful again. However, without the persistent encouragement of my supervisor: Associate Prof. Dr. Mohd Razali, this thesis would never have come to fruition.

I would like to thank **Dr. Adnan Asanoy** and **Dr. Ernawati** for all of the hard work they have put in; they have made me think and explore to take this research further. I am grateful for their support and dedication. Also, thank you to **Dr. Mohammad Samaun Safa** for all of their work and assistance on the preliminary work for this study. Your participation and comments have been most helpful, establishing balanced guidelines for this research. Your personal work and group discussions contributed to develop a better understanding on the subject. I would like to also thank **Puan Sabariah** from Putrajaya Holding S/B and all the respondents who participated in this study. I certainly could not have completed this work without their helpful, knowledge, and cooperation.

It is important for me to thank all the Housing Research Center (HRC) staff, ir University Putra Malaysia. I have shared an office with over the past years **Encik Nuzul, Cik Juhaida, Cik Illiana, Puan Khairiah, Puan Haslina, Puan Wan Fatimah,** and **Encik Mazlan**. I appreciate all of their support as well as al of the wonderful conversations we have had. Also, I would like to thank some special friends for all of the valuable time they have spent recommending anc guiding me: **Mohd. Maiyas, Ramzia Salem, A.Rahman Alkhirbash, Thekra Alshargabi, Murad Alazani,** and **Riniati**. Thank you so much to all of you Thank you not only for stimulating academic discussions but also for sharing part of your lives in moments of joy and struggle.

Last but not least, my sincere thanks from the deepest of my heart to m beloved father **Dr. AbdulMoghni Al-Aghbari**, my beloved mother, my eldes brother **Ra'ed** and his family and my youngest brother **Wagdi** for their love support and encouragement.

Finally I express my gratitude from the deepest of my heart to my family; m beloved wife **Rowida**, and my lovely sons **Ameer Adin** and **Mohmmed**. Withou their love, support and encouragement this thesis would not have been possible to be accomplished.



# TABLE OF CONTENET

DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEGMENTS	ix
APPROVAL	xi
DECLARATION	xiii
TABLE OF CONTENET	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii

# CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION

1.0	General	1.1
1.1	Industrialized Building Systems (IBS)	1.2
1.2	Speed and saving time in construction projects in Malaysia	1.4
1.3	Problem Statement	1.7
1.4	Objectives of this study	1.8
1.5	Justification	1.9
1.6	Scope and Limitation	1.9
1.7	Organization of the Study	1.10
1.8	Summary	1.10

# CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0	Introduction	2.1	
2.1	Definitions of IBS		
2.2	Classification of Industrialized Building System	2.5	
2.3	Types of Construction Methods	2.8	
	2.3.1 Conventional Building System	2.10	
	2.3.2 Cast-In-Situ Construction Method	2.11	
	2.3.2.1 Tunnel Formwork System	2.12	
	2.3.2.2 Module System	2.13	
	2.3.3 Composite Construction Method	2.14	
	2.3.4 Partially and Full-Prefabricated Construction Method	2.15	
	2.3.4.1 Full-Prefabricated Construction	2.16	
	2.3.4.2 The Framing system	2.17	
	2.3.4.3 Big Panel System	2.18	
	2.3.4.4 Box System	2.20	
	2.3.4.5 Partially Prefabricated	2.22	
2.4	Advantages and Disadvantages of Conventional and	2.24	
	Industrialized Building Systems IBS		
	2.4.1 The benefits and limitations of industrialized building systems (IBS)	2.24	
	2.4.2 Advantages and Disadvantages of Industrialised	2.26	



**Building System** 

	2.4.3	Advantages and Disadvantages of Full Prefabrication	2.29
	2.4.4	Advantages and Disadvantages of On-Site Precasting	2.30
	2.4.5	Advantages of Interlocking Block	2.31
	2.4.6	Advantages of Steel Frame System	2.32
2.5	Delay	and Cost Overruns of Construction Projects	2.33
	2.5.1	General	2.33
	2.5.2	Types of delays	2.36
		2.5.2.1 Compensable Delays	2.36
		2.5.2.2 Non- Compensable Delays	2.37
		2.5.2.3 Excusable Delays	2.37
		2.5.2.4 Concurrent Delays	2.39
		2.5.2.5 Non-Excusable Delays	2.39
	2.5.3	Delay responsibility	2.40
	2.5.4	Causes of Delays	2.40
2.6	Summ	lary	2.42

# CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.0	Introduction		3.1
3.1	Data C	Collection	3.1
	3.1.1	Sampling frame of the study	3.1
	3.1.2	Period of data collection	3.2
	3.1.3	The instrument	3.2
3.2	Questi	onnaire Design	3.3
	3.2.1	Pre-testing of the questionnaire	3.5
	3.2.2	Population and sampling	3.5
3.3	Data P	Processing and Analysis	3.6
3.4	Conce	ptual Framework	3.6
3.5	5 Analytical Framework		3.8
	3.5.1	Statistical descriptive analysis	3.8
	3.5.2	Ranking the speed of construction methods used	3.8
	3.5.3	Ranking the speed factors of IBS and delay factors of	3.9
	251	construction projects	2 10
	3.5.4	Logit log linear analysis	3.10
3.6	Summ	ary	3.13

# CHAPTER IV: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.0	Introduction			
4.1	4.1 Respondents' Background			
	4.1.1	Respondents' Academic Qualification	4.2	
	4.1.2	Respondents' Academic Discipline	4.3	
	4.1.3	Respondents Working Experience in Construction	4.4	
		Industry		
	4.1.4	Respondents' Current Position	4.5	
4.2	Compa	anies and Projects Information	4.6	

	4.2.1 Companies Nature of Business	4.6
	4.2.2 Companies Experiences in Construction Industry	4.9
	4.2.3 Companies Current Projects	4.10
	4.2.4 Formwork System Used	4.13
	4.2.5 Materials Type Used for Non- Structural Wall	4.14
	4.2.6 Project Delivery Methods	4.15
	4.2.7 Form of Contract	4.16
4.3	Construction Methods Speed	4.17
4.4	The Factors Causing Delay in Building Construction Projects	4.20
	4.4.1 The Contractor's Factors Causing Delay in Construction	4.20
	Projects	
	4.4.2 The Owner's Factors Causing Delay in Construction	4.22
	Projects	
	4.4.3 The Consultant's Factors Causing Delay in Construction	4.23
	Projects	
	4.4.4 The External's Factors Causing Delay in Construction	4.24
	Projects	
4.5	Ranking the Top Ten Factors Causing Delay in Construction	4.27
	Projects and the Responsibilities	
4.6	The Factors that affecting the Speed of IBS	4.30
4.7	Logit Analysis: Respondents Preference for the Probability of	4.32
	Choosing the IBS and Non-IBS	
4.8	Summary	4.36
CHAPTER V	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Conclusion	5.1
	5.1.1 The fastest construction method	5.2
	5.1.2 The important factors cause delay in construction	5.2
	projects	
	5.1.3 Delay responsibilities in construction projects	5.3
	5.1.4 The factors affect the speed of IBS	5.4

5.1.5Logit linear model was used to find out the probability5.5level5.2Recommendations5.5REFERENCESR 1APPENDIX A: COPY OF THE RESUTLY SUMMERYAp.A1APPENDIX B: THE QUESTIONNAIREAp.B.1

APPENDIX C: THE LIST OF THE PARTICIPATION COMPATNIES Ap.C.1

APPENDIX D: BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR



# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1:	Classification of Building Systems by Weight	2.6
Table 4-6:	Ranking the Speed of Construction Methods	4.18
Table 4-7:	Ranking the Contractor's Factors Causing Delay in Construction	4.21
	Projects	
Table 4-8:	Ranking the Owner's Factors Causing Delay in Construction	4.22
	Projects	
Table 4-9:	Ranking the Consultant's Factors Causing Delay in Construction	4.23
	Projects	
Table 4-10:	Ranking the External's Factors Causing Delay in Construction	4.24
	Projects	
Table 4-11:	Ranking the Top Ten Factors Causing Delay in Construction	4.27
	Projects	
Table 4-12:	Ranking the Factors Affecting the Speed of IBS	4.30
Table 4-13:	The Estimate Result of Logit Model of Respondents Preference	4.34



# LIST OF FIGURES

/

Figure 2-1:	Industrialized Building Systems	2.6
Figure 2-2:	Building Systems Industry	2.8
Figure 2-3:	Construction Methods Classification	2.0
Figure 2-4:	Classification of Cast-In-Situ Construction Method	2.11
Figure 2-5:	Tunnel form method (a) table form (b) wall form	2.13
Figure 2-6:	Tunnel form method	2.13
Figure 2-7:	Full- Prefabricated Construction Method Classification	2.14
Figure 2-8:	Examples of linear systems	2.17
Figure 2-9:	Panel Systems elements	2.10
Figure 2-10:	Box system different arrangements	2.20
Figure 2-11:	Construction Methods Classification	2.21
1 iguie 2-11.	Construction Methods Classification	2.23
Figure 3-1:	Questionnaire Design	3.4
Figure 3-2:	Conceptual framework for the study	3.7
Figure 4-1:	Academic Qualification	4.2
Figure 4-2:	Academic Discipline	4.3
Figure 4-3:	Working Experience in the Construction Industry	4.4
Figure 4-4:	Respondents Current Position	4.5
Figure 4-5:	Companies Nature of Business (A)	4.7
Figure 4-6:	Companies Nature of Business (B)	4.8
Figure 4-7:	Companies Experience in Construction Industry	4.9
Figure 4-8:	Companies Current Projects type	4.10
Figure 4-9:	The Construction methods used in current projects	4.11
Figure 4-10:	Construction Methods the Respondents Preference	4.12
Figure 4-11:	Formwork System Used in Companies' Projects	4.13
Figure 4-12:	Materials Type Used for Non- Structural Wall	4.14
Figure 4-13:	Project Delivery Methods Used	4.15
Figure 4-14:	Form of Contract Used in Malaysian Projects	4.16



#### **CHAPTER I**

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.0 General

In Malaysia, it has been found that there are many types of industrialized building systems (IBS), which are useful for wide range of specific use, but none of them is applicable to all construction sites. They are dependent upon and influenced by many other aspects of the housing situation such as land use, density, volume, environmental conditions, user needs, continuity of demand and labour (Badir, 1998).

Chen, (2000) and Lew, (2003) stated that between the years 1995 to 2020, Malaysia will need a total of 8,850,554 houses, including 4,964,560 units of new housing to cater for increase in population during this period. Unfortunately, only 1,382,917 units were constructed under the 6<sup>th</sup> (1991-1995) and 7<sup>th</sup> (1996-2000) Malaysia Plan. Another 3,581,643 units have to be built within the next twenty years. That is, on average 1,790,820 units to be built for every ten years. It is clear that unless a drastic change of policy pertaining to population growth is adopted, or some new solution for this increase housing demand is implemented, the housing problem is expected to continue for years to come. While the problem of housing grows more acute, Malaysia is struggling to meet its own housing needs by increasing application of advanced technology. The



conventional construction method is not able to meet the demand, due to the slow pace of construction and higher cost (Agus, 1997).

Malaysia is presently taking a hard look at IBS as an answer to the housing shortage problem. The main advantages of using IBS according to a report published by the Malaysian Ministry for Local Government and Housing (National Housing Department, 1997) are; speed of construction, quality, and economic advantage, all of which are required to meet such a large demand for housing (Badir and Kadir, 2002).

## 1.1 Industrialized Building Systems (IBS)

As Malaysia progressively marches towards industrialization, the role of the building industry is greatly enhanced with the idea to transform the aspirations and needs of the people into reality. There is thus an urgent need to mass produced quality housing, affordable to all Malaysians. New and innovative approaches and technology in the design and construction of houses are needed to enable the nations to achieve this target (National Housing Department, 1997). In the Malaysian context of the government's policy on housing is that, the traditional building practices must be replaced by industrialized Building System (IBS), which could save on labour, cost and time of construction and confers quality and durability.



Prefabrication is a key towards increasing building ability. However, pre-cast concrete components and prefabricated reinforcement are still not commonly used in the private sector (Tan, 1997).

A clear understanding of the current status of IBSs will help to set the housing programs under the 8<sup>th</sup> Malaysia Plan. However, the first step in any advance study on building systems and its technology should be initiated by knowing the status quo (Badir and Kadir 2002).

There are many types of IBSs existing in Malaysia; formwork, precast loadbearing wall panel, precast frame, precast floor and hollow core slab, sandwich, block panel, and steel frame. These IBSs represent most of the IBSs that are exit worldwide. Quality, speed of construction, and cost savings are the main advantages of these systems. These factors are very important in implementing the Seventh Malaysia plan. The main disadvantages of the IBSs in Malaysia are that they are highly capital intensive and there is a need for experts at the construction site for some of them. The main reason to recommend the use of IBSs in Malaysia is that the raw materials used in the IBSs can be produced locally in order to overcome the shortages that are being faced by the IBSs construction industry (Badir and Kadir, 2002).

Almost all the IBSs in Malaysia are suitable for all numbers of storeys, especially for three to five storey buildings. At the same time, all the classes of construction



from the unit cost point of view, which are arranged from low-cost house class to high-cost house class.

## 1.2 Speed and saving time in construction projects in Malaysia

The quality, speed of construction, and cost saving are the main emphases given in the building construction industry in Malaysia

Malaysia is a rapidly developing country in the Asian region, with its economy heavily dependent on agriculture, forestry, petroleum and more recently, manufacturing. Malaysia had undergone rapid economic growth since the 70s. In 1996, the Malaysian economy expanded at more sustainable pace, following a period of rapid expansion in the previous eight years. The gross domestic product (GDP) in 1996 registered a slower growth of 8.5% when compared with the 1995 figure which had a growth rate of 9.6%. The key sector that contributes to the continuous growth is manufacturing, which recorded a double-digit growth, while the service and construction sectors are also expected to perform better than the national average growth (Chan, 2001).

The Malaysian construction industry is undergoing a transitional change from an industry employing conventional technologies to a more systematic and mechanized industry employing the latest computer and communication technologies. This is vital for the future health of the industry, given the trend towards global competition and the advent of the K-economy (Ali, 2000).

1.4



Malaysia first experimented with the idea of industrialized prefabrication system in early 1960's. The then minister of Housing and Local Government visited several European countries to study and evaluate their IBS. All of them were impressed by the potential benefits of industrialized prefabrication systems. Din, (1994) identified some of the potential benefits as follow;

- Saving in time if there is proper planning and effective management in its implementation.
- Saving in cost, given sufficient number of units to minimize the amortization cost of capital equipment and faster turnover in funds.

Malaysian government in 1964 identified two pilot projects in order to try out the industrialized prefabrication system. The first of these projects was in Kuala Lumpur about 3,000 units of low cost and 40 shops. The second pilot project was in Pulau Pinang about 3,699 units and 66 shops. After the completion of the two pilot projects, an evaluation was done to compare the advantages and disadvantages of industrialized system with conventional systems in terms of cost, speed of construction, labour requirement and quality control. The result of the evaluation of speed was as follows:

 Both projects took 27 months to complete, inclusive of the time taken in setting up the precasting factories. The construction period was comparable to the fastest conventional construction. Thus industrialized building has lived up to its claim of fast construction. Generally, the

1.5



industrialized building has the following advantages in terms of time saving (Din, 1994):

- 1. Saving of time and materials involved in the erection of scaffoldings.
- 2. Shorter construction time as a result of well planned and coordinated sequence of construction.
- 3. Not affected by weather condition as building components are manufactured in the factory, and there is no on-site concreting.