

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF A SEGMENTED RUBBER-TRACKED VEHICLE FOR SEPANG PEAT TERRAIN IN MALAYSIA

MD. ATAUR RAHMAN.

FK 2005 17



DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF A SEGMENTED RUBBER-TRACKED VEHICLE FOR SEPANG PEAT TERRAIN IN MALAYSIA

MD. ATAUR RAHMAN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

October 2005



Dedicated to the Eternal Souls of

Parents : Allahuarham Taher Uddin and Allahyarhamah Khodaja Begum Brother: Allahyarham Rohul Islam



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senat of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF A SEGMENTED RUBBER-TRACKED VEHICLE FOR SEPANG PEAT TERRAIN IN MALAYSIA

By

MD.ATAUR RAHMAN

October 2005

Chairman: Associate Professor Azmi Yahya, PhD

Faculty : Engineering

The study describes the design and development of a segmented rubber tracked vehicle for operating on unprepared peat terrain. The vehicle was to traverse accurately and reliably on the 18.79kN/m² low bearing capacity peat terrain. The study observed four main contributions towards determining the mechanical properties of peat terrain, developing simulation models for optimizing the design parameters of the vehicle, designing and developing the vehicle to be able to traverse accurately on low bearing capacity peat terrain, and designing an innovative instrumentation system on the vehicle for collecting relevant data to measure vehicle tractive performance.

An analytical framework for determining the mechanical properties of peat soil in view of predicting the tractive performance of tracked vehicle was presented. It took into account the load-sinkage and shearing characteristics of peat. An experimental study on the mechanical properties of peat was conducted in Sepang, Selangor, Malaysia. The



stiffness values of surface mat and underlying weak peat deposit from load-sinkage test were determined by specially made bearing capacity apparatus. The mean values of surface mat stiffness before and after drainage were found to be 31kN/m³ and 46kN/m³, respectively. The mean value of underlying peat stiffness before and after drainage were found to be 252kN/m³ and 380kN/m³, respectively. The mean value of internal frictional angle, cohesiveness and shear deformation modulus of the peat soil sample were determined using a direct shear box apparatus in the laboratory. The mean value of internal frictional angle, cohesiveness and shear deformation modulus of the peat soil sample were determined using a direct shear box apparatus in the laboratory. The mean value of internal frictional angle, cohesiveness and shear deformation modulus of the peat soil before and after drainage were found to be 22.80° and 24.31°, 2.63kN/m² and 2.89kN/m², and 1.21cm and 1.37cm, respectively.

A new simulation technique for studying the basic design parameters of the vehicle with rigid link tracks system on Sepang peat terrain in Malaysia was also presented. The proper track width, ground contact length, pitch and grouser height, idler diameter and location, sprocket diameter and location, road-wheel diameter and geometrical arrangement, the ratio of the road-wheel spacing to track pitch, location of the center of gravity (CG) of the vehicle are important to select to ensure good tractive performance of the vehicle on unprepared peat terrain. Simulation technique was then used to optimize the design parameters of the vehicle by establishing mathematical models for the track-terrain interaction mechanism. In the simulation study, the 25.5kN vehicle including payloads of 5.89kN was considered to traverse on the peat terrain at 10km/h.

The simulation study for the vehicle of straight running motion showed that the nominal ground pressure of the vehicle was 23.3% lower than the bearing capacity of the peat



terrain. From simulated tractive performance results, vehicle average motion resistance coefficient of 6.8 to 7.9%, drawbar pull coefficient of 25.22 to 47%, and tractive efficiency of 74 to 77%, were found for the slippage of 5 to 20%. For the simulation study on the vehicle of turning motion, the result showed that the vehicle ground contact pressure exit from outer track was 14.61% and from the inner track was 6.67% lower than the bearing capacity of the Sepang peat terrain, the sinkage of the vehicle outer track 10.5% lower and inner track 22.5% lower, torque of the outer track sprocket 7.85% higher than the turning moment resistance of the vehicle, and lateral resistance 7.4% higher than the centrifugal force of the vehicle which was ensured the vehicle to maintain the steady state turn on the Sepang peat terrain at a turning speed of 10km/h.

The vehicle field tests were conducted on three different types of peat terrains: *Terrain Type* II, *Terrain Type* II, and *Terrain Type* III with two loading conditions at travel speeds of 6km/h and 10km/h. The results showed that the tractive effort of the vehicle was increased 13.71% for *Terrain Type* I, 11.09% for *Terrain Type* II, and 13.53% for *Terrain Type* III when the traveling speed was increased from 6km/h to 10km/h. From the variation of the vehicle tractive operating environment, it was found that the tractive effort of the vehicle at traveling speed of 6km/h increased 8.08%, 5.12%, and 14.14% for changing the vehicle operating environment from *Terrain Type* II to *Terrain Type* III, *Terrain Type* III, and *Terrain Type* I to *Terrain Type* III, respectively. Similarly, the tractive effort for the vehicle at a traveling speed of 10km/h increased 6.32%, 7.42%, and 14.22% for changing the vehicle operating environment from *Terrain Type* III, and *Terrain Type* I to *Terrain Type* I to *Terrain Type* II, *Terrain Type* II to *Terrain Type* II, to *Terrain Type* I to *Terrain Type* II, *Terrain Type* II, *Terrain Type* II to *Terrain Type* III, and *Terrain Type* III, to *Terrain Type* I to *Terrain Type* III, to *Terrain Type* III, to *Terrain Type* I to *Terrain Type* I to *Terrain Type* I to *Terrain Type* III, to *Terrain Type* III, to *Terrain Type* I to *Terrain Type* III, to *Terrain Typ*



speed of 6km/h increased 2.28% for *Terrain Type* I, 5.124% for *Terrain Type* II, and 6.46% for *Terrain Type* III when the vehicle changing operating loading condition from without payload to with full payload. Similarly, the tractive effort of the vehicle at a traveling speed of 10km/h increased 1.76% for *Terrain Type* I, 2.61% for *Terrain Type* II, and 6.69% for *Terrain Type* III when the vehicle changing operating loading condition from without payload to with full payload.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan Kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluaan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

MEREKA BENTUK DAN MEMBINA SEBUAH KENDERAAN TAPAK BERSEGMEN GETAH UNTUK PERMUKAAN TANAH GAMBUT SEPANG DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

MD. ATAUR RAHMAN

October 2005

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Azmi Yahya, PhD

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Kajian ini menjelaskan pengujian dan mereka bentuk pembangunan bagi sebuah kenderaan tapak bersegmen getah untuk beroperasi di atas rupa bumi tanah gambut yang tak tersedia. Kenderaan ini seharusnya melakukan pejalanan secara mandatar secara tepat dan berupaya bengerak dengan 18.79 kN/m². Kapasiti galas rendah untuk rupa bumi tanah gambut iaitu 18.79 kN/m². Objektif tersebut adalah sukar dan memerlukan ketepatan sifat reka bentuk dan binaan pengeseran mantap untuk mengabut kenderaan ini di kedua dua pengerakan samada lurus atau membelok. Kajian ini melaksanakan empat sumbangan utama kearah pencapaian objektif tersebut dan menentukan sifat sifat mekanikal rupa bumi tanah gambut, membangunkan model simulasi untuk mengoptimalkan parameter reka bentuk kenderaan, mereka bentuk dan membangun kenderaan yang lebih tepat bagi mengawal kenderaan tersebut ketika melakukan perjalanan mendatar di rupa bumi tanah gambut



yang terselia dan mereka bentuk pembinaan data sistem instrumentasi kenderaan untuk mendapatkan data yang berkenaan bagi mengukur keupayaan bekas tapak kenderaan.

Analisis rangka kejuteraan dilaksanakan untuk menentukan sifat-sifat tanah gambut dan ciri tanah gambut mericih. Kajian penyelidikan terhadap sifat sifat mekanikal tanah gambut dilakukan di kawasan Sepang, Selangor, Malaysia. Nilai kekakuan untuk permukaan balas dan lapisan kemah tanah gambut diperolehi dari ujian beban-bebanan dari ianya ditentukan mengunakan alat kapasiti galas yang telah direka bentuk.

Nilai min untuk lapisan tanah gambut kaku sebelum dan selepas pengairan yang diperolehi adalah 252 kN/m³ dan 380 kN/m³. Nilai min untuk suatu rintangan dalaman kelekatan dan modulus kerosakan ricih bagi sampel tanah gambut telah ditentukan dengan menggunakan alat direct shear box. Nilai min untuk suatu rintangan dalaman kelekatan dan modulus kerosakan ricih untuk tanah gambut bagi sebelum dan selepas pengairan adalah 22.80^o dan 24.31^o, 2.63 kN/m² dan 2.89 kN/m², dan 1.21 cm dan 1.37 cm, masing-masing.

Sebuah teknik simulasi telah dihasilkan parameter reka bentuk asas bagi kenderaan tersebut melalui sistem rantaian keras bekas tapak di kawasan tanah gambut di Sepang. Lebar bekas tapak yang bersesuaian panjang, sukatan tanah pit dan tinggi gronser diameter idler dan posisinya diameter sprocket dan posisinya diameter roda-jalan dan penyasunan geometri. Teknik simulasi tersebut kemudiannya digunakan untuk mengoptimumkan reka bentuk parameter kenderaan dengan mungujudkan model



matematik untuk mekanisma interaksi bekas tapak-permukan bumi melalui simulasi ini. Kenderaaan dengan dengan berat 25.5 kN yang menanggung beban penuh sejumlah 5.89 kN adalah dipertimbangkan bergerak mendatar di atas rupa bumi pada 10 km/h.

Kajian simulasi untuk kendaraan yang bergerak lurus telah menunjukan bahawa tekanan kecil bumi adalah 23.3% lebih rendah dari keupayaan galas bumi tanah gambut daripada simulasi perlakuan bekas tapak. Dari simulasi rintangan pengerakan pada 6.8 hingga 7.9% pekali tarikan heret pada 25.22% hingga 47% dan kekuatan tukisan pada 74 hingga 77% dan peratusan gelinciran pada 5 hingga 20%. Kajian simulasi untuk pengerakkan pusingan kenderaan menunjukkan bahawa tekanan sentuhan bumi kendaraaan keluar berlaku dari bekas tapak luaran 14.61% lebih rendah dari keupayaan galas permukaan tanah gambut Sepang. Kikisan bekas tapak luaran 10.5% lebih rendah dan bekas tapak dalaman 22.5% lebin rendah. Nilai kilasan gegancu bekas tapak luaran adalah 7.85% daripada momen pusingan rintangan kenderaan dan rintangan sisi adalah 7.4% lebih tinggi daripada gaya sentifugal yang mana menjamin kendraan tanah gambut pada kelajuan pusingan 10 km/h.

Ujiankenderaan di dalam ladang telah dilaksanakan pada tiga keadaan permukaan tanah gambut. Jenis permukaan I, jenis permukaan II dan jenis permukaan III. Dengan 2 keadaan bebanan dengan kelajuan perjalana 6 km/h dan 10 km/h. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa daya tarikan telah meningkat 13.71% untuk jenis permukaan I, 11.04% untuk jenis permukaan II dan 13.53% untuk jenis permukaan III dengan



peningkatan kelajuan pengerakan dari 6 km/h di pada 10km/h. Daripada perlbagai daya tarikan untuk permukaan berlainan didapati bahawa daya tukisan pada kelajuan pergerakan 6km/h meningkat sebanyak 8.05%, 5.12% dan 14.14% bagi pertukaran persekitaran operasi kenderaan dari jenis permukaan I ke jenis permukan II, jenis permukan II ke jenis permukan III dan dari jenis permukan I ke jenis permukan III, begitu juga, daya tukisan untuk kelajuan pergerakkan 10km/h telah meningkat 6.32%, 7.42% dan 14.21% . Perubahan persekitaran operasi kenderaan dari jenis permukan I ke jenis permukan I ke jenis permukan II ke jenis permukan I ke jenis permukan I ke jenis permukan II ke jenis permukan II ke jenis permukan I ke jenis permukan II ke jenis permukan II dan dari jenis permukan I ke jenis permukan II ke jenis permukan II dan dari jenis permukan I ke jenis permukan II masing-masing. Tambahan lagi apabila operasi kenderaan 6km/h telah meningkat 2.28% untuk jenis permukan I, 5.124% untuk jenis permukan II dan 6.46% untuk jenis permukan III. Begitu juga dengan daya tukisan untuk pergerakan pada kelajuan 10km/h telah meningkat 1.76% untuk jenis permukaan I, 2.6% untuk jenis permukaan II apabila beroperasi dari pada tiada beban ke bebanan penuh.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

At the outset, I bow to the grace and mercy of the Almighty Allah without whose desire I could not have materialized my dream to complete this thesis.

I would like to acknowledge my sincere gratitude to my respected supervisor Associate Prof. Dr. Ir. Azmi Yahya, Dean, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia, for his untiring inspiration, encouragement and invaluable guidance towards the write up of this thesis. Without his scholastic criticisms, whole-hearted assistance, unfailing interest, constructive criticism, continuous supervision and valuable suggestions throughout the period of this research, I could not conclude this thesis.

I would also like to express my deepest gratitude and indebtedness for the kind cooperation of my co-supervisors Prof. Dato' Dr. Ir. Mohd. Zohadie Bardaie, Vice Chancellor, Prof. Dr. Ir. Wan Ishak Wan Ismail, Director, Institute of Advanced Technology, and Prof. Dr. Ir. Desa Ahmad, Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia in the successful completion of this study. Their contribution and suggestions helped to overcome many errors and showed me the right path during the course of this work.

I would also like to express my gratitude to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia for granting Graduate Research Assistantship through the RM7 IRPA



project, Ministry of Science Technology and Environment, Malaysia for the financial support during study in Universiti Putra Malaysia.

There are many other people whom I would like to thank for their help and support during study in Universiti Putra Malaysia especially Mr. Abdul Aziz, Mr. Rushdi, Mr P.C Tuang of MAC Engineering SDN. BHD. and Mr. William Wu of UEW SDN. BHD. for their assistance in the fabrication process of the machine.

Thanks also go to the other members of the research group namely Dr. Mutalib, Darius El Pebrian, Wee, Skgew, Boon, Hafiz, Rozlan and Yap with whom I shared idea for four years. They not only helped me to complete this study but also helped me to make my time in Malaysia more enjoyable.

I owe my greatest debt to my late mother and my father and my brother who taught me how to live and study. I would like to express my special thanks to my wife Dr. Rafia Afroz who has given me love and support throughout my time here in Malaysia.

Finally, I would like to show my confidence in the manner that the followers will drive maximum benefit from this study.

The Author



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xi
APPROVAL	xiii
DECLARATION	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
LIST OF FIGURES	XX

CHAPTER

1	INTRODU	JCTION	1
	1.1 Backg	round of the Study	1
	1.2 Staten	nent of Problems	3
	1.3 Objec	tives of the Study	8
2	LITERAT	URE REVIEW	10
	2.1 Vehic	le Performance Comparison	10
	2.1.1	Performance Comparison Between Tracked and Wheel Vehicle	10
	2.1.2	Performance Comparison Between Metal and Rubber Tracked	13
		Vehicle	
	2.2 Param	etric Study Method	14
	2.2.1	Effect of Track Ground Contact Length and Track Width	16
	2.2.2	Effect of Track Pitch	16
	2.2.3	Effect of Grouser Size	17
	2.2.4	Effects of Number of Roadwheels	19
	2.2.5	Effects of Ratio of Road-Wheel Spacing to Track Pitch	20
	2.2.6	Effects Track Initial Tension	21
	2.2.7	Effects of Vehicle Weight	22
	2.2.8	Effects of the Center of Gravity Location	22
	2.2.9	Effects of the Sprocket Location	23
	2.3 Study	on Developed Tracked Vehicle	23
	2.5.1	Localized Vehicle	23
	2.3.2	Globalize Tracked Vehicle	33
3	MATERIA	LS AND METHODS	38
	3.1 Mecha	anical Properties of Peat	39
	3.1.1	Moisture Content and Bulk Density	41
	3.1.2	Cohesiveness, Internal Friction Angle, and Shear Deformation	42
	3.1.3	In-Situ Shearing Strength	43



		3.1.4	Surface Mat Stiffness and Underlying Stiffness of Peat	45
	3.2	Develo	opment of Mathematical Models	49
		3.2.1	Vehicle Design Consideration	50
		3.2.2	Description of Mathematical Model	51
		3.2.3	Mathematical Model for Vehicle of Straight Motion with UPGPD	53
		324	Mathematical Modeling for Vehicle of Straight Motion with	71
		325	Mathematical Model for Vehicle of Turning Motion	90
	33	Vehicl	e Design Parameter Ontimization	100
	3.4	Vehicl	e Development	100
	5.1	3 4 1	Hydraulic Pressure Estimation for Proposed Tracked Vehicle	107
		342	Vehicle Instrumentation	120
	35	Vehic	vende instrumentation	134
	5.5	v enne		140
4	RES	SULTS	AND DISCUSSIONS	150
	4.1	Mecha	nical Properties of Sepang Peat	150
		4.1.1	Moisture Content and Bulk Density	151
		4.1.2	Cohesiveness, Internal Friction Angle, and Shear Deformation	152
		4.1.3	In-Situ Shearing Strength	157
		4.1.4	Surface Mat and Underlying Stiffness of Peat	160
	4.2	Vehicl	e Simulated Performance	164
		4.2.1	Vehicle Performance During Straight Motion	164
		4.2.2	Vehicle Performance During Turning Motion	171
	4.3	Vehicl	e Design Parameter Optimization	180
		4.3.1	Track Width and Ground Contact Length	180
		4.3.2	Track Grouser Size	185
		4.3.3	Sprocket Location and Size	186
		4.3.4	Idler location and size	190
		4.3.5	Road-wheel diameter, Track pitch, and Number of road-wheel	190
		4.3.6	Center of Gravity Location	194
		4.3.7	Basic Design parameters	197
	4.4	Vehic	cle Development	198
		4.4.1	Vehicle Instrumentation	199
	4.5	Vehic	cle Field Testing	206
		4.5.1	During Straight motion	207
		4.5.2	During Turning Motion	235
		4.5.3	Model Validity	242
5	CON		ONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND LIMITATIONS	251
5	51	Conch	isions	251
	5.2	Recom	imendations	251
	53	Limita	tions	257
	5.5			259
RE	FER	ENCES		261
AF	PEN	DICES		268
BI	ODA	FA OF '	THE AUTHOR	274



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Performance parameters at 20% slip for various track pitch	18
2.2	Technical specifications of Super Crawler	27
2.3	Technical specifications of peat tractor prototype	29
2.4	Technical specifications of peat tractor prototype	31
2.5	Technical specifications of Tracked FFB Picker MRK-1	33
2.6	Technical specifications of KC-50L	36
2.7	Technical Specification of EG30 Rubber Crawler Carrier	38
3.1	Values of K_0 and A_u for various Types of Terrain	53
3.1	Basic design parameters of the special segmented rubber tracked vehicle	53
4.1	Variation of moisture content and bulk density of peat	152
4.2	Variation of the shearing strength of peat in laboratory analysis	154
4.3	ANOVA of the shearing strength of peat	156
4.4	Variation of the cohesiveness, internal friction and shear deformation modulus of peat	157
4.5	Variation of the in-situ shear shearing strength of peat with depth	158
4.6	ANOVA of the <i>in-situ</i> shear testing shearing strength of peat	159
4.7	Variation of the surface mat stiffness of peat with depth	162
4.8	ANOVA of the surface mat stiffness of peat	162
4.9	Variation of the underlying stiffness of peat with depth	162
4.10	ANOVA of the underlying stiffness of peat soil	163
4.11	Basic design parameters of the special segmented rubber tracked vehicle	197
4.12	Regression Analysis of the sprocket Torque	200
4.13	ANOVA on the torque of the sprocket	200
4.14	Regression analysis for actual velocity transducer	204



4.15	Summaries of the moisture content	207
4.16	Analysis of variance on the vehicle tractive effort for without payload	226
4.17	Variation of the tractive effort of the vehicle without payload on	227
	different peat terrains	
4.18	Comparison on tractive effort of the vehicle having without payload on	227
	different peat terrains	
4.19	Analysis of variance on the slippage of the vehicle without payload	229
4.20	Variations of the slippage of the vehicle without payload on different	229
	peat terrains	
4.21	Comparison on slippage of the vehicle without payload on different peat	230
	terrains	
4.22	Analysis of variance on the tractive effort of the vehicle full payload	231
4.23	Variations of the tractive effort of the vehicle full payload on different	231
	peat terrains	
4.24	Comparison on tractive effort of the vehicle without payload on	232
	different peat terrain	
4.25	Analysis of variance on the slippage of the vehicle having full payload	232
4.26	Slippage of the vehicle with full payload on different peat terrain	234
4.27	Comparison on the slippage of the vehicle having full payload on	235
	different peat terrains	
4.28	Analysis of variance on tractive effort of the vehicle outer track during	240
	turning motion	
4.29	Variations of tractive effort of the vehicle outer track during turning	240
	motion	
4.30	Analysis of variance on tractive effort of the vehicle's inner track during	241
	turning motion	
4.31	Variations of tractive effort of the vehicle's inner track during turning	242
	motion	
5.1	Peat terrain parameters	252



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Ground Pressure Distributions Under a Track	16
2.2	Ground Pressure Distribution Under the Track System	20
2.3	Super Crawler	26
2.4	Peat Tractor Prototype	28
2.5	Photo of MALTRAK	30
2.6	FFB Picker Mrk-1	32
2.7	KC-50L KUBOTA Tracked Vehicle	35
2.8	Hitachi EG30- Rubber Crawler Carrier	37
3.1	Tested Site before Drainage	41
3.2	Tested Site after Drainage	42
3.3	Bearing Capacity Measuring Scenario	43
3.4	Wykeham Farrance 25402 Shear Box Apparatus	45
3.5	Typical Trend of Shearing Stress Versus Normal Stress	45
3.6	RMU I012 Digital Vane Shear Test Apparatus	47
3.7	In Situ Shearing Test Determinng Scenerio	47
3.8	Bearing Capacity Measuring Apparatus	49
3.9	Typical Load-Sinkage Trend of Peat	49
3.10	Track System	53
3.11	Flow Chart for the Mathematical Model of Vehicle Straight Motion with	55
3.12	Force Acting on the Driven Belt of Vehicle with UGPD	57
3.13	Variation of the Terzaghi Bearing Capacity Factors	58
3.14	Flow chart for the mathematical model of vehicle straight motion with	73
3.15	Forces Acting on the Driven Track Belt of Vehicle with NUGPD	74



3.16	Sinkage of the Vehicle Track System During Traversing	76
3.17	Force Diagram on the Track System Components	81
3.18	Flow Chart for the Mathematical Model of Vehicle Turning Motion	92
3.19	Six Degrees of Freedom of the Vehicle Movement	94
3.20	Track System for the Vehicle During Turning	94
3.21	Force Acting on the Track During Turning at 6km/Hr	98
3.22	Force Acting on the Track During Turning at 10km/Hr	98
3.23	3D-CAD Drawing and Geometrical Dimension of the Vehicle	111
3.24	3D -CAD Assembly Drawing of Undercarriage	114
3.25	3D-CAD Drwaing of Vehicle Track System	114
3.26	Track Frame and its Geometrical Dimension	116
3.27	Track Frame Cross-sectional Area	116
3.28	Front Idler and its Geometrical Dimension	119
3.29	Road-Wheel and its Geometrical Dimension	119
3.30	Rear Sprocket and its Geometrical Dimension	123
3.31	Driving Shaft and its Geometrical Dimension	123
3.32	Notch Sensitivity and Notch Radius	124
3.33	Stress Concentration Factor	124
3.34	Driving Unit Assembly Drawing	125
3.35	Supporting Wheel and Its Geometrical Dimension	126
3.36	Track Initial Tension Unit	126
3.37	Integrated Hydraulic Circuit Diagram for the Vehicle	128
3.38	Dewe-2010 PC Instrument	136
3.39	Pictorial Programming on Dasylab [®]	137
3.39a	Touch-Screen Virtual Control Interface	138
3.40	Torque Transducer	139
3.41	Torque Transducer Calibration Setup	139



3.42	Output Electrical Circuit of Torque Transducer	140
3.43	DICKEY-John Radar II Velocity Sensor (RVSII).	142
3.44	Calibration Setup of the Radar II Ground Speed Sensor	143
3.45	Output Electrical Circuit Diagram of the RVSII Sensor	143
3.46	Proximity Sensor Electrical Circuit Layout	145
3.47	Speed Transducer	146
3.48	Terrain Type I	148
3.49	Terrain Type II	148
3.50	Terrain Type III	149
3.51	Scenario of the Vehicle CG Measurement	150
4.1	Typical Trend of Moisture Content Versus Depth	153
4.2	Typical Trend of Bulk Density and Depth	153
4.3	Typical Consolidation Test of Peat	155
4.4	Example of Shearing Strength Variation with Shear Displacement	155
4.5	Typical Trend of Shearing Strength versus Depth	159
4.6	Typical Load-Sinkage Trend of Peat	161
4.7	Effect of Vehicle Slip on Sinkage	165
4.8	Effect of Vehicle Slip on Vehicle External Motion Resistance	167
4.9	Effect of Vehicle Slip on Vehicle Drawbar Pull	16 8
4.10	Effect of Vehicle Slip on Vehicle Tractive Efficiency	169
4.11	Relationship Between Ground Contact Pressure and Turning Radius	172
4.12	Relationship Between Vehicle Sinkage and Turning Radius	173
4.13	Relationship Between Vehicle Sprocket Torque and Turning Moment	175
	Resistance	
4.14	Relationship Between Vehicle Tractive Effort and Turning Radius	177

4.16	Variation of Ground Pressure Distribution	181
4.17	Variation of Vehicle Sinkage	182
4.18	Effect of Track Size on Vehicle Tractive Performance	184
4.19	Variation of Sprocket Torque and Turning Moment with Turning Radius	188
4.20	Variation of Tractive Efficiency and Sprocket Torque with Sprocket	188
	Diameter	
4.21	Relationship Between Track Entry Angle, Sinkage and Idler Diameter	192
4.22	Track Entry Angle, Tractive Performance and Slippage	192
4.23	Relationship between Tractive Efficiency and Ratio of the Road-wheel	193
	Spacing to Track Pitch	
4.24	Relationship Between Tractive Efficiency and Slippage for CG location	195
4.25	Relationship Between Tractive Efficiency and Slippage for loading	195
	condition	
4.26	Developed Vehicle	19 8
4.27	Calibration Curve for the Right Track Torque Transducer	202
4.28	Calibration Curve for the Left Torque Transducer	202
4.29	Verifications Curve of Measurements Accuracy for Torque Transducer	203
4.30	Calibration Curve for the Vehicle Actual Velocity Transducer	205
4.31	Verification Curve of Measurements Accuracy for Velocity Transducer	205
4.32	Tractive Effort of the Vehicle during Traveling on the Terrain Type I	209
	without Payload	
4.33	Tractive Effort of the Vehicle during Traveling on the Terrain Type I	210
	with full Payload	
4.34	Slippage of the vehicle during straight motion on the Terrain Type I	211
	without payload	



- 4.35 Slippage of the Vehicle During Straight Motion on *Terrain Type* I with 212 full Payload
- 4.36 Tractive Effort of the Vehicle during Straight Motion on *Terrain Type* II 215 without Payload
- 4.37 Tractive Effort of the Vehicle during Straight Motion on *Terrain Type* II 216 with full Payload
- 4.38 Slippage of the Vehicle during Straight Motion on *Terrain Type* II 217 without full Payload
- 4.39 Slippage of the Vehicle during Straight Motion on *Terrain Type* II with 218 full Payload
- 4.40 Tractive Effort of the Vehicle on *Terrain Type* III During Straight 220 Motion without Payload.
- 4.41 Tractive Effort of the Vehicle on *Terrain Type* III During Straight 221 Motion with full Payload
- 4.42 Slippage of the Vehicle on the *Terrain Type* III During Straight Motion 222 without Payload.
- 4.43 Slippage of the vehicle on the *Terrain Type* III During Straight Motion 223 with full Payload.
- 4.44 Tractive Effort of the Outer and Inner Track of the Vehicle without 236 Payload during Turning on the *Terrain Type* I
- 4.45 Tractive Effort of the Outer and Inner Track of the Vehicle without 237Payload during Turning on the *Terrain Type* II
- 4.46 Tractive Effort of the Outer and Inner Track of the Vehicle without 238

