



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***COMPARISON BETWEEN SPECIFICATIONS OF LINEAR REGRESSION
AND SPATIAL-TEMPORAL AUTOREGRESSIVE MODELS IN MASS
APPRAISAL VALUATION FOR SINGLE STOREY RESIDENTIAL
PROPERTY***

EBRAHIM JAHANSHIRI

FK 2013 55



**COMPARISON BETWEEN SPECIFICATIONS OF LINEAR REGRESSION
AND SPATIAL-TEMPORAL AUTOREGRESSIVE MODELS IN MASS
APPRAISAL VALUATION FOR SINGLE STOREY RESIDENTIAL
PROPERTY**

By

EBRAHIM JAHANSHIRI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

May 2013

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis of non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**COMPARISON BETWEEN SPECIFICATIONS OF LINEAR REGRESSION
AND SPATIAL-TEMPORAL AUTOREGRESSIVE MODELS IN MASS
APPRAISAL VALUATION FOR SINGLE STOREY RESIDENTIAL
PROPERTY**

By

EBRAHIM JAHANSHIRI

May 2013

Chairman: Assoc. Prof. Abdul Rashid b. Mohamed Shariff, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

Property valuation is an area of interest for property owners, real estate agents, government bodies and researchers. There are various approaches to estimate a property value. Among them, the statistical and spatio-temporal methods incorporate the location and time in the valuation modelling. These models however, are not widespread as the simple linear models due to scarcity of proper data and incomprehensive research findings on their implementation issues. Effects such as normality treatment, definition of neighbourhoods and weights and choice of autocorrelation parameter and parameter estimation are some of the complexities that are inherent to these models. This study therefore, was designed to investigate different aspects of spatial and spatio-temporal autoregressive modelling. Further, the performance of these models compared to the standard linear model that is widely used in mass appraisal of real properties, was studied. Datasets of transacted terrace houses over the period 1999-2009 from Selangor,

Malaysia were obtained and geocoded for analyses using cadastral and topographic maps and online mapping services. A complete data analysis was carried out on the datasets. Furthermore, various spatial, temporal and spatio-temporal neighbourhood and weighting schemes, optimization algorithms and lag and error modelling scenarios were created and tested with the data. A hold-out validation was performed for different sets of experiments. The best set of parameters that could produce more accurate results in the validation process, were selected and their associated neighbourhood and weights were used to compare with the linear models. The experiments were replicated on three different treatments based on removal of outliers and transformation of variables with high value of skewness. The results showed that although there was a strong presence of spatial autocorrelation in the dataset, especially when the outliers are removed, the results of linear and spatio-temporal models are mixed. The best result using criteria of coefficient of determination and the uniformity level of prediction belonged to the spatio-temporal lag and spatial lag models respectively. The error variant of the abovementioned models could only reduce the problem of heteroscedasticity in regression error residuals. Linear regression model could provide better uniformity level at the expense of very low R^2 and higher heteroscedasticity in residuals. It was also found that the graph based neighbours would increase the chance of the spatial model to predict better. Furthermore, the row-standardized or stochastic weight matrices showed to be more effective compared to other weighting schemes. Finally, it was demonstrated that incorporating the space and time interaction ($S \times T$ or $T \times S$) autocorrelation in the spatio-temporal model along with higher time interval between dates of transactions in temporal neighbourhood selection would produce more reliable results in prediction for spatio-temporal autoregressive models.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PERBANDINGAN ANTARA SPESIFIKASI BAGI LINEAR REGRASI DAN
MODEL autoregresif SPATIAL-keduniaan MASS PENILAIAN PENILAIAN
UNTUK STOREY SINGLE RUMAH BERKELOMPOK**

Oleh

EBRAHIM JAHANSHIRI

Mei 2013

Penyelia: Prof. Madya Abdul Rashid b. Mohamed Shariff, PhD

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Penilaian hartanah adalah kawasan yang menarik untuk pemilik harta, ejen hartanah, badan-badan kerajaan dan penyelidik. Terdapat pelbagai pendekatan untuk menganggarkan nilai hartanah. Antaranya, kaedah statistik dan spatio-temporal menggabungkan lokasi dan masa dalam pemodelan penilaian. Model-model ini bagaimanapun tidak meluas sebagai model linear mudah kerana kekurangan data yang betul dan hasil penyelidikan mengenai isu-isu Partial pelaksanaannya. Kesan seperti rawatan normal, definisi kawasan kejiranan dan berat dan pilihan parameter autokorelasi dan penganggaran parameter adalah beberapa kerumitan yang wujud untuk model ini. Kajian ini oleh itu, telah direka untuk menyiasat aspek pemodelan autoregresif ruang dan spatio-temporal. Selanjutnya, pelaksanaan model ini berbanding dengan model linear standard yang digunakan secara meluas dalam penilaian besar-besaran hartanah sebenar, telah dikaji. Dataset rumah teres yang diurusniagakan dalam tempoh yang 1999-2009 dari Selangor, Malaysia telah diperolehi dan Geocode untuk

analisis menggunakan kadaster dan peta topografi dan perkhidmatan pemetaan dalam talian. Analisis data lengkap telah dijalankan ke atas dataset. Tambahan pula, pelbagai kejiranan dan pemberat skim spatial, temporal dan spatio-temporal, algoritma pengoptimuman dan lag dan kesilapan senario telah dimodelkan yang dicipta dan diuji dengan data. Satu pengesahan memegang keluar telah dilaksanakan ke atas set eksperimen. Set terbaik parameter yang boleh menghasilkan keputusan yang lebih tepat di dalam proses pengesahan, telah dipilih dan yang berkaitan kejiranan mereka dan berat telah digunakan untuk membandingkan dengan model linear. Kajian ini telah ditiru kepada tiga rawatan yang berbeza berdasarkan penyingkiran unsur luaran dan transformasi pembolehubah dengan nilai yang tinggi kepencongan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa walaupun terdapat kehadiran yang kukuh autokorelasi spatial dalam dataset, terutamanya apabila unsur luaran dikeluarkan, keputusan model linear dan spatio-temporal dicampurkan. Keputusan terbaik menggunakan kriteria pekali penentuan dan tahap keseragaman ramalan milik lag spatio-temporal dan model lag ruang masing-masing. Varian ralat daripada model tersebut di atas hanya dapat mengurangkan masalah heteroskedastisiti dalam sisa ralat regresi. Model regresi linear boleh menyediakan tahap keseragaman yang lebih baik dengan mengorbankan sangat rendah R^2 dan heteroskedastisiti lebih tinggi dalam sisa. Ia juga mendapati bahawa jiran graf berdasarkan akan meningkatkan peluang model ruang untuk meramalkan yang lebih baik. Tambahan pula, matriks berat badan barisan seragam atau stokastik menunjukkan untuk menjadi lebih berkesan berbanding dengan skim pemberat lain. Akhirnya, ia telah menunjukkan bahawa menggabungkan ruang dan masa interaksi ($S \times T$ atau $T \times S$) autokorelasi dalam model spatio-temporal bersama-sama dengan jarak waktu yang lebih tinggi di antara tarikh urusan dalam pemilihan kejiranan duniawi

akan menghasilkan keputusan yang lebih tepat dalam ramalan untuk spatio model autoregresif-sementara.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my foremost appreciation to my supervisors Dr. Taher Buyong and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdul Rashid b. Mohamed Shariff, for their encouragement through the course of this thesis.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 9 May 2013 to conduct the final examination of Ebrahim Jahanshiri on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled “Comparison Between Linear Regression, Spatial and Spatial-Temporal Autoregressive Models in Mass Appraisal Prediction for Single Storey Residential Property” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

SAMSUZANA ABD AZIZ, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

BISWAJEET PRADHAN, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

MOHD AMIN B MOHD SOOM, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

WILLIAM JAMES MCCLUSKEY, PhD

Professor
School of Built Environment
Universiti of Ulster
(External Examiner)

SEOW HENG FONG, PHD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Abdul Rashid Mohammad Shariff, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Taher Buyong, PhD

Senior Research Fellow
Institute of Advanced Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Ahmad Rodzi Mahmud, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Univerisiti Putra Malaysia or other institutions.

EBRAHIM JAHANSHIRI

Date: 9th May 2013



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
APPROVAL	vii
DECLARATION	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives of the Study	6
1.4 Scope of the Study	7
1.5 Significance of the Study	8
1.6 Organization of Thesis	9
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Property Valuation	11
2.2 Statistical Methods of Mass Appraisal	14
2.2.1 Time-based Forecasting	15
2.2.2 Linear Regression Analysis	16
2.2.3 Uncertainty in Regression Modelling	16
2.3 Spatio-temporal Dependence	19
2.3.1 Limitations and Opportunities	20
2.3.2 Spatio-temporal Models	21
2.4 Spatial Autoregressive Models	26
2.4.1 Spatial Error and Lag Models	26
2.4.2 Spatio-temporal Error and Lag Models	30
2.5 Parameter Estimation	33
2.5.1 Maximum likelihood for Simple Linear Models	33
2.5.2 Log-likelihood for the Lag Models	36
2.5.3 Log-likelihood for the Error Model	38
2.6 Statistical Tests	40
2.6.1 Test of Spatial Autocorrelation	40
2.6.2 Test of Non-constancy of Variance	41
2.7 Assessment of Prediction Accuracy	43
2.7.1 Prediction Level	44
2.7.2 Uniformity Level	44

2.7.3	Vertical Inequity	45
2.8	Normality Treatments	47
2.9	Summary	48
3	METHODOLOGY	50
3.1	Data	50
3.1.1	Data Acquisition	52
3.1.2	Data Preparation	52
3.2	Description of Methodology	57
3.2.1	Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)	60
3.2.2	Exploratory Spatial Data Analysis (ESDA)	60
3.2.3	Modelling and Validation	61
3.2.4	Spatial Neighbourhood Type	62
3.2.5	Temporal Neighbours	68
3.2.6	Spatial and Temporal Weights	69
3.2.7	Parameter Estimation	73
3.3	Modelling	75
3.3.1	Linear Models	77
3.3.2	Spatial Autoregressive Models	77
3.4	Validation	80
3.4.1	Comparing the Validation Results	83
3.5	Experiment Implementation	86
3.5.1	Software	86
3.5.2	Computational Issues	87
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	90
4.1	Exploratory Spatial Data Analysis	91
4.1.1	Preliminary Results	91
4.1.2	Autocorrelation	101
4.1.3	Temporal Trend	107
4.2	Validation Results	109
4.2.1	Discussion on Validation Results	113
4.2.2	Coefficient Estimates	116
4.2.3	Test of Residual Spatial Autocorrelation	120
4.3	Spatial Neighbours	124
4.3.1	Distance-Based Neighbours	124
4.3.2	Graph-based Neighbours	128
4.4	Temporal Neighbours	130
4.5	Spatial and Temporal Weights	132
4.5.1	Spatial Weights	133
4.5.2	Temporal Weights	134
4.6	Spatial Lag and Error	137
4.7	Maximum Likelihood Algorithm	139
4.7.1	Residual Spatial Autocorrelation	143
4.7.2	Residual Plots	146
4.8	Summary	149

5	CONCLUSIONS	152
5.1	Summary	152
5.2	Recommendations	156
5.3	Future Work	157
	REFERENCES	159
	APPENDIX 1	170
	Some Results in Matrix Calculus	170
	APPENDIX 2	177
	Abbreviated R Code	177
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	210



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1: Performance standards for ratio analysis, IAAO (2010)	46
3.1: Models, Variables and estimated parameters	74
4.1: Statistics for the original data set ($n = 316$)	94
4.2: Statistics after removal of outliers based on price ($n = 304$)	96
4.3: Logarithm transformation of the variables	98
4.4: Statistics after removing outliers and transforming variables in need	100
4.5: Moran's I test results for 4 treatments of price	103
4.6: The results of different treatments on the spatial and linear regressions	115
4.7: Parameter estimates for the outlier-removed treatment and original data	118
4.8: Parameter estimation for the transformed variables	120
4.9: Results of Moran test on the residual autocorrelation	123
4.10: Results of distance-based spatial neighbour selection on the SAR and STAR models	127
4.11: Results of graph-based spatial neighbour selection on SAR and STAR models	129
4.12: The results of different types of temporal neighbour selection on the SAR and STAR models	132
4.13: The results of different spatial weight selection on the SAR and STAR models	134
4.14: The results of different temporal weight selection on SAR and STAR models	135
4.15: Neighborhood and weights specifications for the STAR and SAR models against different treatments	137
4.16: Comparison between two spatial autoregressive models error and lag	139
4.17: The effect of optimization algorithm on the result of STAR model	142

4.18: Moran test of residual autocorrelation for STAR algorithms	145
4.19: Comparison between best criteria in terms of R^2 and COD of prediction	151



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1: Distribution of single storey terrace transacted data overlaid on Google streets (Google maps, 2012)	51
3.2: Strategy on creating data treatments (Kerry & Oliver, 2007)	57
3.3: Flowchart of preliminary analysis	59
3.4: Graph based neighbours for the single storey terrace dataset	65
3.5: Distance based neighbours for modelling dataset	67
F3.6: A representation of temporal neighbours that are linked together and distribution date differences	69
3.7: A print out of neighbourhood summary and spatial weight matrix W for both space and time neighbours	72
3.8: Flowchart of modelling procedure	76
3.9: Flowchart of validation procedure	82
4.1: Histogram of the original variables	95
4.2: The change in histogram after removal of outliers based on price	97
4.3: Histograms of variables after transformation	99
4.4: Histogram of the transformed variables after removal of outliers	101
4.5: Moran scatterplot with the observations with high influence labelled	104
4.6: p -value of local Moran's I tests of local spatial autocorrelation	106
4.7: time series of the observation 1999 to 2009	108
4.8: Over all relationship between the time of transaction and the price of transacted houses	109
4.9: Residual plot for the STAR lag models	147



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BP:	Breusch-Pagan
CBD:	Central Business District
COD:	Coefficient of Dispersion
DGP:	Data Generating Process
ESDA:	Exploratory Spatial Data Analysis
GIS:	Geographic Information Systems
GWR:	Geographically Weighted Regression
KURT:	Kurtosis
ML:	Maximum Likelihood
MRA:	Multiple Regression Analysis
OLS:	Ordinary Least Square
OR:	Outlier-removed
ORTR:	Outlier Remove and Transfomred
PDP:	Percentage Deviation of <i>i</i> th prediction (PDPi)
PRD:	Price Related Differentials
SAR:	Spatial Autoregressive
SD:	Standard Deviation
SHM:	Spatial Hedonic Modelling
SKEW:	Skewness
STAR:	Spatio-temporal Autoregressive
TR:	Transformed

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Introduction

Statistical methods are now an important part of the data analyses in disciplines that deal with data and information. These methods provide a level of assurance for the uncertain activities like measurements, pattern recognition, forecasting and prediction.

The methods of data analyses are always evolving due to an increase in our understanding of natural and human-induced phenomena through the science of data analysis. The growth of data collection facilities, computing power and infrastructure in the recent years has definitely leveraged scientific conclusions (Anselin, 1998; Rowley, & Fisher, 1998).

One of the most important advancements in the statistical methods was the introduction of spatial analysis, based on the laws of geography, to the statistical methodologies (De Smith; Longley, Goodchild, Maguire & Rhind, 2006). These methods that are now classified into the spatial statistical methods have become widespread in the regional sciences that deal with the geographical data. This introduction provided an exciting opportunity for econometric researchers to apply these methods to real estate data. These methods were then improved and a branch of research called spatial econometrics was proposed (Anselin, 1988). The foundation of spatial econometrics is

spatial regression that deals with the two important criteria, spatial autocorrelation and heterogeneity. Positive or negative spatial autocorrelation reduces the total information derived from the observations, because “nearby observations can be used to predict each other” (Cliff & Ord , 1970; Bivand, Pebesma & Gómez-Rubio, 2008).

Real estate valuation have also benefited from these methods through analyses of economic variables or econometrics, hypothesis testing and prediction using multiple regression analysis (MRA). Numerous spatial statistical methods have been devised to use spatial autocorrelation in prediction. Nonetheless, MRA is still considered the standard method for prediction of house prices and many computer-assisted mass appraisal systems (CAMAs) have been developed using MRA methodology. In these systems the “spatial” characteristics of real estate data is only available in the form of simple maps showing the location of properties. The proximity as locational indicator in the form of closeness to amenities and central business district are closest that these systems can get to utilize the spatial effects in prediction. The spatial methods however, are gaining appreciation in the mass appraisal through extensive research on different aspects of these methods. Many of the functions specific to spatial econometrics are now available to researchers (Bivand, et al., 2012) and lots of research is going to be done on these methods that will facilitate the adoption of these methodologies into the CAMAs (McCluskey & Adair, 1997).

Similar to other data that happen on the space-time continuum, the real estate data has also temporal characteristics. Time series forecasting has been used in econometrics analysis. The interaction of space and time and its impact on the spatial autocorrelation

are gaining attention and the use of spatio-temporal methods that only recently have been introduced in the literature is becoming widespread (Cressie, 2011).

One of the important challenges in spatial hedonic modelling (SHM) using the autocorrelation effect is to identify the “relationships or influences” over the spatial, temporal and spatio-temporal domains. Issues such as the degree of effectiveness of spatial neighbours and time frame of temporal neighbours need to be addressed as well.

Such effects are normally added to the hedonic model with the weight matrices that aim to filter the spatial, temporal and spatial temporal effects, thus, increasing the prediction accuracy. The variables used in the model were designated as lagged variables for both dependant (price) and also error terms (residuals). One question to investigate is the definition of these lagged variables and how to improve the model using the contiguity weight matrices. Also as the real estate data can be considered mostly irregularly spaced data both in space and time, there is a challenge on the decomposition of spatial dependence into spatial and temporal weight matrices so that the integrity of prediction results are preserved. These aspects make the research on the spatial methods interesting.

1.2 Problem Statement

Property managers are mandated by the tax laws and rates policies to standardize their valuations of market. Creating standard models in addition to consistency will lead to stable real estate market and macro economy. A value that is announced by the real estate companies need to be justified through declaring all its components and therefore using specifically tailored and standardized models will assist both real estate companies and the government bodies to stabilize the market. In Malaysia, the appraisal is done through professional valuers that are trained both in industry and university. Valuer's judgment is an important part of any appraisal. However, repeatability, measurement of error and speed of assessment are some of the reasons that using manual valuation is not suggested in tasks that need mass appraisal. The assessment techniques can help the appraisers to achieve higher accuracy in relatively shorter amount of time. However the initial modelling effort is needed to fine tune these somewhat complex techniques.

Multiple regression models are long being used in Malaysia and other parts of the world to create values for the rating and other purposes. Rigorous assumptions like independency of observations may render the results of these models invalid. Spatial autoregressive models as the extension of the simple linear models have been introduced before, however, their specifications, modelling aspects and implications especially when the time domain is added to the data, have not been comprehensively studied. The evidence for it is the hesitation of the mass appraisers to use these somewhat theoretically complicated models in the prediction of valuation and CAMA.

Therefore, more detailed studies such as comparison between their different specifications and overall performance compared to the de facto model (MRA) is required. Therefore, it is important to address the behaviour of these models, and to provide insight as to how these models can be easily used in the prediction given the availability of data and computing power.

Some other issues that have not been well addressed:

- The effect of time and space-time contiguity on the prediction of irregularly spaced real estate data that are dispersed both in space and time domain, using spatial and spatio-temporal autoregressive models.
- The effect of increasing the number of autocorrelation parameters in spatial autoregressive models and their interaction on the prediction and accuracy of spatial models.
- The effects of different treatments i.e. removal of outliers and transformation on the results of prediction neither for autoregressive models nor for the MRA model have been studied.
- The implication of different model specifications on real estate prediction scene in Malaysia is not well known.
- The concept of “market delineation” using the known categories of houses in Malaysia has not been considered.

Using the above problem set several hypotheses can be developed. For example a null hypothesis can be formed on the efficiency of the prediction using the submarkets based on the type of houses. Also between the removing of the outliers and transforming the

variables, a hypothesis can be developed so that the efficiency of each of these methods can be examined against the other. Also usage of time in the autoregressive models of mass appraisal prediction can be formulated into a hypothesis.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

Appraisal community has used the benefits of multiple regression analysis in mass appraisal prediction. This type of model provides the basic necessities, but fails to make accurate prediction due to assumptions and its complete negligence of inherent characteristics of transacted data. One of the major advancements in the prediction models is the introduction of spatial and quite recently spatio-temporal effects to the regression modelling. The specification of these models especially the latter have not been studied well in the literature and it is possible that the lack of clear methodology on the performance of these models have hindered the broad usage of these models in the real estate sector. Therefore, this research was devised to comprehensively study the important spatial regression models. Specifically, the objectives of this research are:

- 1 To compare the performance of different “spatial” and “spatio-temporal” “neighbourhood” and “weight” as well as “lag” and “error” specifications of autoregressive models using Malaysian transacted data.
- 2 To ascertain the kind of normality treatment that provides the spatial models the means to do better predictions.

- 3 To determine the best optimization algorithms for the maximum likelihood (ML) estimation of spatio-temporal models.

1.4 Scope of the Study

In this experiment, the main purpose is to show the strength and weakness of spatial and spatio-temporal modelling that utilize spatial autocorrelation using available data from Malaysian real estate market. Therefore, this study was set to examine the modelling results using different normality treatments, maximum likelihood parameter estimation, performance in validation and their reaction to different data treatments both in space and space-time domain. This study considered a relatively homogeneous market data so that determining the best model that utilizes spatial autocorrelation is possible. The scope of research covers a hold-out validation on a randomly selected portion of the original data so that the best models that emerge can be recommended to the industry for implementation.

As different specifications of the neighbourhood (both space and time) and weight matrices lead to different results and predictions, these models need to be compared to the widely used multiple regression model. Moreover, for the multi-autocorrelation parameter models there is an uncertainty on how these parameters would perform in optimization and between themselves and also in comparison to other models. This objective was added to the study so that pinpointing the best characteristics of the models is easier for the mass appraisal practitioners.

1.5 Significance of the Study

Although using statistical methodology is straightforward, the process of reaching to the point of fair value is far too difficult and involves many important considerations (Slack, 2001). That is the reason for the common mass appraisal firms and institutions adherence to only the application of multiple regression analysis which is the regression of the dependant variable over a series of independent variables. These variables are either endogenous to the dependant variable or exogenous variables. Using of the inherent nature of data that is dependency, adjacency and relativeness are neglected by the industry that often need a readymade and tailored methodology and robust results to reach the decisions. Therefore, research on advanced models and standards of mass appraisal is absolutely necessary for each country. Moreover given the complexity of these models, different aspects of data and models are not normally studied extensively in a typical mass appraisal prediction project.

The situation with mass appraisal prediction in Malaysia does not differ significantly from the world. Malaysia as a developing country that aims to become a developed country, is dealing with the same challenges in mass appraisal prediction. For example justifying the re-evaluation by the government bodies is challenging since in most cases the subjectivity can influence the results and also lack of consensus on the usage of unified modelling systems has caused many disputes in the recent years. The current re-evaluation period which is 10 years in Malaysia does not reflect the current pace of developing in the country. This may result in the decrease of government revenue and therefore, down-pacing growth in the future (Daud, Alias & Muthuveerappan, 2008).

Moreover neglecting the inclusion of standards in mass appraisal that utilize the spatial domain that is already implemented in some countries like US and Australia (Parker, Lockwood & Marano, 2011), will result in disputes over the fairness of the process of mass appraisal.

This research therefore, strives to pave the way for the use of the advance models in the mass appraisal prediction by the industry contributing towards (i) automated valuation for real estate properties particularly the determination of the regression coefficients and (ii) elimination of subjectivity in the valuation of properties (iii) providing methodologies to encourage the usage new methods in the mass appraisal prediction. There is a great need for increasing our understanding about the state of the art statistical and regression based models globally, and this research will contribute to that understanding. Moreover, as the ultimate integration of spatial and spatio-temporal mass appraisal models into valuation systems is inevitable, the methods and codes developed for this research will be part of such systems in the future.

1.6 Organization of Thesis

Chapter one introduces the work and provides motive on how the research on the spatial models are necessary so that these models can be used widely in the real estate sector.

Chapter two provides the literature review of the models, theoretical basis for the models and parameter estimation. Chapter three describes the methodology of the experiments, the organization of code and preparations of the results. Chapter four presents the results and discussion on different specifications of the spatio-temporal

autoregressive models as well as the discussion on the performance of all of the models. Chapter five concludes the thesis and provides key achievements and challenges of the research based on the objectives of the current study as well as recommendations and future work.



REFERENCES

- Adair A. S., Berry J. N., & McGreal W. S. (1996). Hedonic modelling, housing submarkets and residential valuation. *Journal of Property Research*, 13, 67–83.
- Adair, A., & McGreal, S. (1988). The application of multiple regression analysis in property valuation. *Journal of Property Valuation and Investment*, 6(1), 57 – 67.
- Aluko, B. T. (2007). Examining valuer's judgement in residential property valuations in metropolitan Lagos, Nigeria. *Property Management*, 25(1), 98–107.
- Anselin, L. (1988). Lagrange multiplier test diagnostics for spatial dependence and spatial heterogeneity. *Geographical analysis*, 20(1), 1–17.
- Anselin, L. (1988). *Spatial Econometrics: Methods and Models* (1st ed.). Keluar.
- Anselin, L., & Kelejian, H. H. (1997). Testing for Spatial Error Autocorrelation in the Presence of Endogenous Regressors. *International Regional Science Review*, 20(1-2), 153–182. doi:10.1177/016001769702000109
- Anselin, L. (1998). GIS Research Infrastructure for Spatial Analysis of Real Estate Markets. *Journal of Housing Research* 9, 113–134.
- Babyak, M. A. (2004). What You See May Not Be What You Get: A Brief, Nontechnical Introduction to Overfitting in Regression-Type Models. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 66(3), 411–421. doi:10.1097/01.psy.0000127692.23278.a9.
- Baltagi, B. H. (2005). *Econometric Analysis of Panel Data* (3rd ed.). Wiley.
- Besag, J. (1974). Spatial interaction and the statistical analysis of lattice systems. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 192–236.
- Besner, C. (2002). A Spatial Autoregressive Specification with a Comparable Sales Weighting Scheme. *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 24(2), 193–212.
- Bivand, R. (2002). Spatial econometrics functions in R: Classes and methods. *Journal of Geographical Systems*, 4(4), 405–421. doi:10.1007/s101090300096.
- Bivand, R. S., & Portnov, B. A. (2004). Exploring spatial data analysis techniques using R: The case of observations with no neighbors. *Advances in Spatial Econometrics: Methodology, Tools and Applications*, 121–142.
- Bivand, R. S., Pebesma, E. J., & Gómez-Rubio, V. (2008). *Applied Spatial Data Analysis with R* (1st ed.). Springer.

- Bivand, R. S., Anselin, L., Assunção, R., Berke, O., Bernat, A., Blanchet, G., Blankmeyer, E., ... Danlin Yu. (2012). *spdep: Spatial dependence: weighting schemes, statistics and models*. R package version 0.5-46. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=spdep>.
- Blackledge, M. (2009). *Introducing Property Valuation* (1st ed.). Routledge.
- Breusch, T. S., & Pagan, A. R. (1979). A simple test for heteroscedasticity and random coefficient variation. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 1287–1294.
- Brunsdon, C. (1996). Geographically weighted regression: a method for exploring spatial nonstationarity. *Geographical Analysis*, 28(4), 281–298.
- Buyong, T., & Ismail, S. (2010). Advanced Methods of Mass Appraisal. *Proceedings of the International real estate research symposium (IRERS)* (pp. 171–183). Presented at the International real estate research symposium (IRERS), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: National Institute of Valuation (INSPEN).
- Bourassa, S. C., Hoesli, M., & Peng, V. S. (2003). Do housing submarkets really matter? *Journal of Housing Economics*, 12(1), 12–28.
- Bourassa, S., Cantoni, E., & Hoesli, M. (2010). Predicting House Prices with Spatial Dependence: A Comparison of Alternative Methods. *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 32(2), 139–159.
- Can, A. (1992). Specification and estimation of hedonic housing price models. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 22(3), 453–474. doi:10.1016/0166-0462(92)90039-4
- Cassel, E., & Mendelsohn, R. (1985). The choice of functional forms for hedonic price equations: comment. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 18(2), 135–142.
- Carnero, M. Á., Peña, D., & Ruiz, E. (2001). Outliers and conditional autoregressive heteroscedasticity in time series. *N.º.: UC3M Working Papers. Statistics and Econometrics 2001-04*. Retrieved from <http://e-archivo.uc3m.es/handle/10016/151>
- Chin, L., & Fan, G.-Z. (2005). Autoregressive analysis of Singapore's private residential prices. *Property Management*, 23(4), 257 – 270. doi:10.1108/02637470510618406.
- Choy, L. H. T., Ho, W. K. O., & Mak, S. W. K. (2012). Housing attributes and Hong Kong real estate prices: A quantile regression analysis. *Construction Management and Economics*, 30(5), 359–366.
- Chica-Olmo, J. (2007). Prediction of Housing Location Price by a Multivariate Spatial Method: Cokriging. *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 29(1), 92–114.
- Cliff, A. D., & Ord, K. (1970). Spatial autocorrelation: A review of existing and new measures with applications. *Economic Geography*, 46, 269–292.

- Cliff, A., & Ord, K. (1972). Testing for spatial autocorrelation among regression residuals. *Geographical Analysis*, 4(3), 267–284.
- Cressie, N., & Wikle, C. K. (2011). *Statistics for Spatio-temporal Data* (1st ed.). Wiley.
- Crespo, R., Fotheringham, A. S., & Charlton, M. (2007). Application of geographically weighted regression to a 19-year set of house price data in London to calibrate local hedonic price models. *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Geocomputation*.
- Croissant, Y., & Giovanni, M. (2008). Panel Data Econometrics in R: The plm Package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 27(2).
- Daud, D. @ Z., Alias, B., & Muthuveerappan, C. (2008). The Needs For Capacity Building In Local Government In Malaysia (With Regards To Property Taxation Administration). Presented at the International Real Estate Research Symposium (IRERS) 2008 Benchmarking, Innovating and Sustaining Real Estate Market Dynamics, PWTC Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Retrieved from <http://eprints.utm.my/5595/>
- DeMers, M. N. (2008). *Fundamentals of geographic information systems*. Wiley India Pvt. Ltd.
- Demšar, U., Fotheringham, A. S., & Charlton, M. (2008). Exploring the spatio-temporal dynamics of geographical processes with geographically weighted regression and geovisual analytics. *Information Visualization*, 7(3-4), 181–197.
- De Smith, M. J., Goodchild, M. F., & Longley, P. (2006). *Geospatial analysis: a comprehensive guide to principles, techniques and software tools*. Troubador Publishing.
- Diaz III, J. D., & Wolverton, M. L. (1998). A Longitudinal Examination of the Appraisal Smoothing Hypothesis. *Real Estate Economics*, 26(2), 349–358. doi:10.1111/1540-6229.00749.
- Dubin, R., Pace, R. K., & Thibodeau, T. G. (1999). Spatial Autoregression Techniques for Real Estate Data. *Journal of Real Estate Literature*, 7(1), 79–96. doi:10.1023/A:1008690521599.
- Dunse, N., & Jones, C. (1998). A hedonic price model of office rents. *Journal of Property Valuation and Investment*, 16, 297–312.
- Ecker, M. D., & De Oliveira, V. (2008). Bayesian spatial modeling of housing prices subject to a localized externality. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, 37(13), 2066–2078.
- Edmonds, R. G. (1984). A Theoretical Basis for Hedonic Regression: A Research Primer. *Real Estate Economics*, 12(1), 72–85.

- Elhorst, J. P. (2003). Specification and estimation of spatial panel data models. *International Regional Science Review*, 26(3), 244.
- Eng, O. S. (1994). Structural and vector autoregressive approaches to modelling real estate and property stock prices in Singapore. *Journal of Property Finance*, 5(4), 4–18.
- Englund, P., & Ioannides, Y. M. (1997). House Price Dynamics: An International Empirical Perspective. *Journal of Housing Economics*, 6(2), 119–136. doi:10.1006/jhec.1997.0210.
- ESRI (Environmental Research Institute). (2011). Using ArcGIS. ArcGIS software manual. Redlands, CA 92373-8100. USA.
- Farooq, B., Miller, E. J., & Haider, M. (2010). Hedonic Analysis of Office Space Rent. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 2174(-1), 118–127.
- Fernandes, M., & Grammig, J. (2006). A family of autoregressive conditional duration models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 130(1), 1–23. doi:10.1016/j.jeconom.2004.08.016
- Ferretti, N., Kelmansky, D., Yohai, V. J., & Zamar, R. H. (1999). A class of locally and globally robust regression estimates. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 174–188.
- Freddie Mac. 2009. Forecast Standard Deviation. Retrieved Aug. 2012 from <http://www.freddiemac.com/hve/fsd.html>.
- Fletcher, M., Gallimore, P., & Mangan, J. (2000). Heteroscedasticity in hedonic house price models. *Journal of Property Research*, 17(2), 93–108.
- Fotheringham, A. S. (1998). Geographically weighted regression: a natural evolution of the expansion method for spatial data analysis. *Environment and Planning A*, 30(11), 1905–1927.
- Fotheringham, A. S., Brunson, C., & Charlton, M. (2002). *Geographically Weighted Regression: The Analysis of Spatially Varying Relationships*. Wiley.
- Gelfand, A. E. (2004). The dynamics of location in home price. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 29(2), 149–166.
- Gelfand, A. E., Ghosh, S. K., Knight, J. R., & Sirmans, C. F. (1998). Spatio-temporal Modeling of Residential Sales Data. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 16(3), 312–321. doi:10.2307/1392507.
- Gludemans, R. J. (1999). *Mass Appraisal of Real Property*. International Association of Assessing Officers.

- Griffith, D. A., & Arbia, G. (2010). Detecting negative spatial autocorrelation in georeferenced random variables. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 24(3), 417. doi:10.1080/13658810902832591.
- Goodman, A. C. (1998). Housing Market Segmentation. *Journal of Housing Economics*, 7(2), 121–143.
- Google Maps. (2013). Kajang Selangor Malaysia. Street map. Retrieved from <https://maps.google.com/>
- Google Maps. (2012). [Kajang Selangor Malaysia] [Street map]. Retrieved from <https://maps.google.com/>.
- Gujarati, D. N. (2002). *Basic Econometrics* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Haining, R. (2003). *Spatial Data Analysis: Theory and Practice* (1st ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Hawkins, D. M. (2004). The problem of overfitting. *Journal of chemical information and computer sciences*, 44(1), 1–12.
- Heuvelink, G. B. M., Musters, P., & Pebesma, E. J. (1997). Spatio-temporal kriging of soil water content. *Geostatistics Wollongong*, 96, 1020–1030.
- Huang, B., Wu, B., & Barry, M. (2010). Geographically and temporally weighted regression for modeling spatio-temporal variation in house prices. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 24(3), 383–401.
- Huerta, G., Sansó, B., & Stroud, J. R. (2004). A spatialtemporal model for Mexico City ozone levels. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 53(2), 231–248.
- Hurvich, C. M., & Tsai, C. L. (1993). A corrected Akaike information criterion for vector autoregressive model selection. *Journal of time series analysis*, 14(3), 271–279.
- International Association of Assessing Officers. (2010). Improving real property assessment. Retrieved March 20, 2009, from <http://openlibrary.org/b/OL4749629M/Improving-real-property-assessment>.
- Ismail, S. (2006). Spatial Autocorrelation and Real Estate Studies: A Literature Review. *Malaysian Journal of Real Estate*. Retrieved August 22, 2012, from <http://eprints.utm.my/438/>.

- Ismail, S., Buyong, T., Sipan, I., Hashim, M. G., & Navaneethan, R. (2008). Spatial Hedonic Modelling (SHM) For Mass Valuation. *International Real Estate Research Symposium (IRERS)*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Retrieved from <http://eprints.utm.my/5588/>.
- Jahanshiri, E. (2006, September). Gis-Based Soil Sampling Methods For Precision Farming Of Rice. (Master's thesis). University Putra Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur. Retrieved February 11, 2010, from <http://psasir.upm.edu.my/612/>.
- Jahanshiri, E., Buyong, T., & Shariff, A. R. M. (2011). A review of property mass valuation models. *Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology*, 19(SPEC. ISSUE), 23–30.
- Jahanshiri, E., & Bivand, R. (2011). [R-sig-Geo] Spatio-temporal regression in spdep. Retrieved from <https://stat.ethz.ch/pipermail/r-sig-geo/2011-January/010741.html>
- Jahanshiri, E., Taher, B., Abd. Rahman, R., Shariff, A. R. M., & Mahamud, A. R. (2012). Spatial Auto-Correlation Characteristics of Malaysian Transacted Data. Presented at the 7th Mass Appraisal Valuation Symposium – 21st Century Demands in Developing Pragmatic & Innovative Solutions, UTM, Malaysia: International Property Tax Institute.
- Janssen, C., Soderberg, B., & Zhou, J. (2001). Robust estimation of hedonic models of price and income for investment property. *Journal of Property Investment & Finance*, 19(4), 342–360. doi:10.1108/EUM0000000005789
- Kaboudan, M. (2008). Genetic programming forecasting of real estate prices of residential single-family homes in Southern California. *Journal of Real Estate Literature*, 16(2), 219–239.
- Kaboudan, M., & Sarkar, A. (2008). Forecasting prices of single family homes using GIS-defined neighborhoods. *Journal of Geographical Systems*, 10(1), 23–45.
- Kato, T. (2012). Prediction in the lognormal regression model with spatial error dependence. *Journal of Housing Economics*, 21(1), 66–76.
- Kerry, R., & Oliver, M. A. (2007). Determining the effect of asymmetric data on the variogram. I. Underlying asymmetry. *Computers & Geosciences*, 33(10), 1212–1232. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2007.05.008.
- Kemp, S. E., & Jefferis, G. (2010). Package RANN Fast Nearest Neighbour Search. Retrieved from <http://www.rforge.net/src/contrib/Documentation/RANN.pdf>.
- Kissling, W. D., & Carl, G. (2008). Spatial autocorrelation and the selection of simultaneous autoregressive models. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 17(1), 59–71. doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2007.00334.x
- Kochin, L. A., & Parks, R. W. (1982). Vertical Equity in Real Estate Assessment: A Fair Appraisal. *Economic Inquiry*, 20(4), 511–532. doi:10.1111/j.1465-7295.1982.tb00364.x.

- Komsta, L. (2011). outliers: Tests for outliers. Retrieved from <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=outliers>.
- Komsta, L. & Novomestky, F. (2012). moments: Moments, Retrieved from <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=moments>.
- Kuhn, F., Wattenhofer, R., Zhang, Y., & Zollinger, A. (2003). Geometric ad-hoc routing: of theory and practice. *Proceedings of the twenty-second annual symposium on Principles of distributed computing, PODC '03* (pp. 63–72). New York, NY, USA: ACM. doi:10.1145/872035.872044
- Kummerow, M. (2003). Theory for Real Estate Valuation: An Alternative Way to Teach Real Estate Price Estimation Methods. *research monograph, Department of Land Economy and Valuation, Curtin University, Perth, 29*. Retrieved from <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.123.12&rep=rep1&type=pdf>.
- Kupke, V., & Rossini, P. (2011). An exploratory analysis of land and house price data over time. Presented at the 17th Pacific Rim Real Estate Society Conference, Gold Coast, Australia. Retrieved from http://www.prres.net/Proceedings/..%5CPapers%5CKupke_Land_Pricing.pdf.
- Kutner, M. H., Nachtsheim, C., & Neter, J. (2004). *Applied linear regression models*. McGraw-Hill.
- Lebel, C., Walker, L., Leemans, A., Phillips, L., & Beaulieu, C. (2008). Microstructural maturation of the human brain from childhood to adulthood. *NeuroImage, 40*(3), 1044–1055. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.12.053.
- LeSage, J. P. (1997). Bayesian Estimation of Spatial Autoregressive Models. *International Regional Science Review, 20*(1-2), 113–129. doi:10.1177/016001769702000107.
- LeSage, J. P. (1999). *The Theory and Practice of Spatial Econometrics*.
- LeSage, J. P., & Pace, R. K. (2004). *Spatial and Spatiotemporal Econometrics, Volume 18* (1st ed.). JAI Press.
- Levine, J. H. (1972). The sphere of influence. *American Sociological Review, 14*–27.
- Liao, W.-C., & Wang, X. (2012). Hedonic house prices and spatial quantile regression. *Journal of Housing Economics, 21*(1), 16–27.
- Lophaven, S., Carstensen, J., & Rootzen, H. (2004). Space-time modeling of environmental monitoring data. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics, 11*(3), 237–256.

- Longley, P., Goodchild, M. F., Maguire, D. J., & Rhind, D. W. (2005). *Geographical information systems and science*.
- Löchl, M., & Volkswirtschaft, B. (2010). *Application of spatial analysis methods for understanding geographic variation of prices, demand and market success*. Retrieved from <http://e-collection.ethbib.ethz.ch/eserv/eth:1839/eth-1839-01.pdf>
- Lütkepohl, H., & Xu, F. (2012). The role of the log transformation in forecasting economic variables. *Empirical Economics*, 42(3), 619–638. doi:10.1007/s00181-010-0440-1.
- Matula, D. W., & Sokal, R. R. (1980). Properties of Gabriel graphs relevant to geographic variation research and the clustering of points in the plane. *Geographical Analysis*, 12(3), 205–222.
- McCluskey, W. J., & Adair, A. S. (1997). *Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal: An International Review*. Ashgate.
- McCluskey, W. J. (2012, July). *Evolution of CAMA*. Presented at the 7th Mass Appraisal Valuation Symposium – 21st Century Demands in Developing Pragmatic & Innovative Solutions,, UTM, Malaysia. Retrieved from <http://www.ipti.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/07/MAVS-2012-Program-and-Registration-Form-Final9.pdf>.
- McGough, T., & Tsolacos, S. (1995). Forecasting commercial rental values using ARIMA models. *Journal of Property Valuation and Investment*, 13(5), 6 – 22. doi:10.1108/14635789510147801.
- Militino, A. F., Ugarte, M. D., & García-Reinaldos, L. (2004). Alternative models for describing spatial dependence among dwelling selling prices. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 29(2), 193–209.
- Microsoft. Microsoft Excel. Redmond, Washington: Microsoft, 2012. Computer Software.
- Moran, P. A. P. (1950). Notes on continuous stochastic phenomena. *Biometrika*, 37(1/2), 17–23.
- Nappi-Choulet, I. P., & Maury, T.-P. (2009). A spatiotemporal autoregressive price index for the paris office property market. *Real Estate Economics*, 37(2), 305–340.
- O’Roarty, B., Patterson, D., McGreal, S., & Adair, A. (1997). A case-based reasoning approach to the selection of comparable evidence for retail rent determination. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 12(4), 417–428. doi:10.1016/S0957-4174(97)83769-4.
- Ou, B.-L., Long, Z.-H., & Lin, K.-P. (2010). Simulation analysis of power of Bootstrap tests in spatial econometric models. *Huanan Ligong Daxue Xuebao/Journal of South China University of Technology (Natural Science)*, 38(11), 155–160.

- Pace, R. K., & Gilley, O. W. (1998). Generalizing the OLS and Grid Estimators. *Real Estate Economics*, 26(2), 331–347. doi:10.1111/1540-6229.00748.
- Pace, R. K., Barry, R., Clapp, J. M., & Rodriguez, M. (1998). Spatialtemporal Autoregressive Models of Neighborhood Effects. *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 17(1), 15–33. doi:10.1023/A:1007799028599.
- Pace, R. K., Barry, R., Gilley, O. W., & Sirmans, C. F. (2000). A method for spatio-temporal forecasting with an application to real estate prices. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 16(2), 229–246. doi:10.1016/S0169-2070(99)00047-3.
- Pfeifer, P. E., & Deutsch, S. J. (1990). A statima model building procedure with application to description and regional forecasting. *Journal of Forecasting*, 9(1), 50–59.
- Parker, D., Lockwood, A., & Marano, W. (2011). Mass appraisal certification standards—the spatial dimension. In 17th Pacific Rim Real Estate Society Conference, Gold Coast (pp. 16-19).
- R Development Core Team. (2010). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from <http://www.R-project.org>.
- Razali, R. N. (2012). Model penilaian massa berdasarkan sistem maklumat geografi bersepadu bagi tujuan kadaran (masters). Penerbit UTM, Johor. Retrieved from <http://eprints.utm.my/28152/>
- Revelle, W. (2011). psych: Procedures for personality and psychological research. *Northwestern University, Evanston. R package version, 1(09)*.
- Ratio Study Statistics, Appraiser's Office (Shawnee County, Kansas). (2012). Retrieved August 25, 2012, from <http://www.snco.us/ap/statistics.asp>.
- Rouhani, S., & Hall, T. J. (1989). Space-time kriging of groundwater data. *Proceedings 3rd International Geostatistics Congress. Avignon, France, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht* (Vol. 2, pp. 639–651).
- Rowley, S., & Fisher, P. (1998). A national valuation evidence database: the future of valuation data provision. *Journal of Property Valuation and Investment*, 16(1), 99 – 108. doi:10.1108/14635789810205155.
- Selim, H. (2009). Determinants of house prices in Turkey: Hedonic regression versus artificial neural network. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(2P2), 2843–2852.

- Slack, E. (2001). *Property Taxation*. In: Friere, M. and Stren, R. (2001). *The Challenge of Urban Government: Policies and Practices*. Washington, DC: World Bank Institute. Pp.269-79, at 272.
- Smirnov, O., & Anselin, L. (2001). Fast maximum likelihood estimation of very large spatial autoregressive models: a characteristic polynomial approach. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 35(3), 301–319.
- Smith, T. E., & Wu, P. (2009). A spatio-temporal model of housing prices based on individual sales transactions over time. *Journal of Geographical Systems*, 11(4), 333–355.
- Sun, H., Tu, Y., & Yu, S.-M. (2005). A Spatio-temporal Autoregressive Model for Multi-Unit Residential Market Analysis. *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 31(2), 155–187. doi:10.1007/s11146-005-1370-0.
- Tiefelsdorf, M., Griffith, D. A., & Boots, B. (1999). A variance-stabilizing coding scheme for spatial link matrices. *Environment and Planning A*, 31, 165–180.
- The Board of Valuers, Appraisers and Estate Agents Malaysia. (2006). Valuation Standards. Retrieved from <http://www.lppeh.gov.my/standards.html>
- Toussaint, G. T. (1980). The relative neighbourhood graph of a finite planar set. *Pattern recognition*, 12(4), 261–268.
- Tse, R. Y. C. (2002). Estimating Neighbourhood Effects in House Prices: Towards a New Hedonic Model Approach. *Urban Studies*, 39(7), 1165–1180. doi:10.1080/00420980220135545
- Tsutsumi, M., & Seya, H. (2009). Hedonic approaches based on spatial econometrics and spatial statistics: application to evaluation of project benefits. *Journal of Geographical Systems*, 11(4), 357–380. doi:10.1007/s10109-009-0099-3.
- Tu, Y., Yu, S.-M., & Sun, H. (2004). Transaction-based office price indexes: A spatialtemporal modeling approach. *Real Estate Economics*, 32(2), 297–328.
- Ullah, A. (1998). *Handbook of Applied Economic Statistics* (1st ed.). CRC.
- Valuation department. (2012). The Malaysian House Price Index by house type. Valuation departement Malaysia. Retrieved from <http://napic.jppeh.gov.my/portal>.
- Vandell, K. D. (2007). Expanding the academic discipline of real estate valuation: A historical perspective with implications for the future. *Journal of Property Investment & Finance*, 25(5), 427 – 443. doi:10.1108/14635780710776657.
- Waller, L. A., & Gotway, C. A. (2004). *Applied Spatial Statistics for Public Health Data* (1st ed.). New Jersey: Wiley-Interscience.

Wilson, I. D., Paris, S. D., Ware, J. A., & Jenkins, D. H. (2002). Residential property price time series forecasting with neural networks. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 15(5), 335–341.

Zeileis, A., & Hothorn, T. (2002). Diagnostic checking in regression relationships. *R News*, 2(3), 7–10.



© COPYRIGHT UPM