

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

INELASTIC ANALYSIS OF SOIL-STRUCTURE INTERACTION SYSTEM

MOHAMMED ABDULLA ISMAIL AL-GORAFI.

FK 2005 16



INELASTIC ANALYSIS OF SOIL-STRUCTURE INTERACTION SYSTEM

By

MOHAMMED ABDULLA ISMAIL AL-GORAFI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

December 2005



IoMy Family

I Dedicale This Work



Abstract of thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

INELASTIC ANALYSIS OF SOIL-STRUCTURE INTERACTION SYSTEM

By

MOHAMMED ABDULLA ISMAIL AL-GORAFI

December 2005

Chairman: Associate Professor Waleed A. Thanoon, PhD

Faculty : Engineering

Inelastic response of a framed structure is significantly different from the elastic response. Inelastic response can identify the possible locations of distress in a building as well as its failure mode. It also generates useful information such as maximum deformation, forces at important locations and the ductility requirements. Inelastic analysis of 2D frames is well reported by many researchers using either lumped plasticity models or detailed finite element models.

Soil-structure interaction is another important element for a more accurate prediction of stresses in both the structure and the supporting soil. Many structural models were developed and used to solve the soil-structure interaction problems either at macroscopic or microscopic level. In macroscopic approach, Winkler model is the most popular modeling used to solve the soil-structure interaction problems. At microscopic level, finite element method is used to model both the frame structure and soil media. Most of the analyses presented in the literature focused on soilstructure interaction within elastic range of loading. Very limited research focuses on the effect of nonlinearity and inelasticity of soil on the structural response. This study covers the effect of the interaction analysis on the structure inelasticity, moment redistribution and failure mode of a 2D reinforced concrete frame considering nonlinear behaviour of the soil media. The study further highlights the effect of different foundation-soil relative stiffness and the rigidity of beam-column joint on the inelastic response of the frame soil system.

Finite element method integrated with stiffness matrix method is used to analyze the frame-foundation-soil system under combined vertical and lateral loading. A computer code is developed to trace the inelastic response of the frame-foundation-soil system. The developed code predicts the sequential formation of plastic hinges in the frame member and the continuous deterioration of the stiffness of the frame and soil media. The failure criteria used was based on actual nonlinear analysis of reinforced concrete section.

The 2D beam element is used to model the frame members and the combined footing. The beam is assumed to retain elastic property while the inelastic property is assumed to be lumped at the ends of the beam in a form of a plastic hinge. The inelastic property is evaluated considering the actual behaviour of the reinforced concrete section, the stiffness deterioration of the frame members with the loading history, and the behaviour of the yielded section. The actual non-linear behaviour of reinforced concrete sections is carried out so that a 2D yield surface has been evolved. The formation of 2D plastic hinges in a member is based on the interaction of actual moment-axial force in the section.



Plane strain 4-noded element was implemented to model the underlying soil. The stiffness of the soil was formulated using the usual finite element method. The degradation of the soil stiffness with the increase of the stress level was carried out using tangent modulus of elasticity derived from hyperbolic stress-strain model.

The results indicate that the non-interaction elastic analysis underestimates the moment at different beams and columns compared to the interaction elastic analysis. Extending the analysis to the inelastic range will further significantly alters the bending moment diagrams and the percentage increase or decrease in the bending moments compared to inelastic non interaction analysis. Furthermore, the inelastic interaction analysis does not only alter the sequential formation of the plastic hinges in the frame but it will also alter the load factors at which these hinges occurs, and number of plastic hinges and their locations compared to non-interaction analysis.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

ANALISIS TAK KENYAL SISTEM INTARAKSI STRUKTUR-TANAH

Oleh

MOHAMMED ABDULLA ISMAIL AL-GORAFI

December 2005

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Waleed A. Thanoon, PhD

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Tindakbalas kenyal sebuah struktur kerangka adalah sangat berbeza jika dibandingkan dengan tindakbalas tak kenyal. Dengan mengetahui tindakbalas tak kenyal, bahagian-bahagian yang berbahaya dan mod kegagalannya boleh dikenalpasti. Ia juga boleh memberi maklumat seperti deformasi maksimum, dayadaya di bahagian yang mustahak dan kekuatan tegangannya. Analisis struktur kerangka 2D telah banyak dikaji oleh penyelidik-penyelidik sebelum ini tetapi dengan hanya menggunakan kaedah model himpunan plastik ataupun kaedah unsur tidak terhingga.

Interaksi tanah dengan struktur juga adalah merupakan satu lagi topik yang memerlukan kajian dari segi jangkaan tegasan-tegasan yang lebih tepat di bahagian struktur serta tanah. Kebanyakan model sedia ada hanya setakat mikroskopik atau makroskopik. Untuk kaedah makroskopik, model Winkler adalah yang paling terkenal. Untuk mikroskopik pula, kaedah unsur terhingga digunakan. Kebanyakan kajian yang telah dilakukan setakat ini adalah dalam julat kenyal sahaja. Tidak banyak literatur dari segi ketakkenyalan tanah terhadap respons struktur.





Tesis ini melihat kesan analisis interaktif terhadap ketakkenyalan struktur, sebaran semula momen dan mod kegagalan sebuah struktur kerangka konkrit bertetulang dengan mengambil kira kelakuan tidak kenyal tanah. Selain itu, kesan kekukuhan tanah-asas dan kelakuan sambungan rasuk-tiang keatas ketakkenyalan sistem kerangka tanah juga dikaji dari segi respons sistem kerangka-tanah.

Kaedah unsur terhingga diintegrasikan bersama kaedah matriks kekukuhan telah digunakan untuk menganalisis sistem tanah-asas-struktur dibawah beban gabungan tegak dan sisi. Sebuah kod komputer telah dibangunkan untuk mengesan tindakbalas tak kenyal struktur kerangka-tanah. Kod ini boleh meramal urutan bentukan engsel plastik pada anggota kerangka dan kemerosotan kekukuhan media kerangka dan tanah. Kriteria kegagalan yang digunakan adalah berdasarkan analisis tidak kenyal bahagian konkrit bertetulang.

Unsur rasuk 2-D telah digunakan untuk model anggota struktur kerangka dan cantuman asas. Rasuk-rasuk dianggap memelihara sifat kenyal dan ketakkenyalan dianggap sebagai longgokan di bahagian hujung rasuk tersebut dalam bentuk engsel plastik. Sifat tidak kenyal telah dinilai dengan menganggap kelakuan konkrit bertetulang, kekukuhan kemerosotan anggota kerangka dengan sejarah bebanan dan kelakuan mengalah yang sebenarnya.

Unsur permukaan 4 nod telah diimplimentasi untuk model bawah tanah. Kekukuhan tanah diformulasi menggunakan kaedah unsur terhingga biasa. Kemerosotan kekukuhan tanah dengan kenaikan tegasan tanah telah dilakukan dengan modulus tangen kekenyalan yang diterbitkan dari model hiperbolik tegasan-terikan.

vii



Keputusan yang diperolehi menunjukkan bahawa analisis tidak interaktif meremehkan analisis interaktif kenyal. Melanjutkan analisis kepada lingkungan tidak kenyal akan mengubah dengan drastik gambarajah momen lenturan. Selain itu, analisis tidak kenyal interaktif bukan sahaja mengubah urutan terbentuknya engsel plastik, tetapi juga faktor bebanan di engsel serta lokasinya jika dibandingkan dengan analisis tidak interaktif.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express his deepest gratitude to his supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Waleed A. K. Thanoon for his kind supervision, guidance, and valuable suggestions.

Furthermore, great deal of thanks and sincere appreciation also goes to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jamaloddin Noorzaei for his support, encouragement, constitute comments and criticisms towards this project and most importantly for his patience.

Many thanks go to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Saleh Jaafar for his encouragement and the great facilities he has provided to complete this work in a proper and convenient manner.

To all his colleagues and friends, the author would like to express his gratitude for their assistance and support throughout the duration in completing this project.

Finally, a special and immense thanks goes to the author's wife, for being very patient and for sharing the frustrating moments with him.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ix
APPROVAL	
DECLARATION	x
LIST OF TABLES	XV
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii

CHAPTER	INTE	DADICTION	
1		RODUCTION	1.1
	1.1 1.2	General Problem Statement	1.1
	1.2		1.2
	1.5	Scope and Objectives of the Study	1.2
2	LITE	ERATURE REVIEW	2.1
	2.1	Interaction	2.1
	2.2	Modelling of Soil Media	2.1
		2.2.1 Winkler model	2.2
		2.2.2 Elastic continuum model	2.3
		2.2 3 Improved Foundation Models	2.5
		2.2.3.1 Improved Versions of Winkler Model	2.6
		2.2.3.2 Improved versions of continuum model	2.12
		2.2.4 Advanced Modelling	2.13
		2.2.4 1 Elasto-Plastic Idealization	2.14
		2.2.4 2 Nonlinear Idealization	2.16
		2.2.4 3 Viscoelastic Idealization	2.19
	2.3	Non-Linear Models for Two Dimensional R.C. Member	2.21
	2.4	Interaction of Plane Frame- Combined Footing-Soil	2.31
	2.5	System Concluding Remarks	2.45
	2.0	Contracting residence	
3	MET	THODOLOGY	3.1
	3.1	Introduction	3.1
	3.2	Structural Modelling of Plane Frame System	3.1
		3.2.1 The Linear Structural Modelling	3.1
		3.2.2 Inelastic algorithm	3.4
		3.2.2.1 Mathematical Model	3.4
		3.2.2.2 Yield Surface for 2D Frame Analysis	3.4
		3.2.2.3 Relations Formulations	3.5
		3.2.2.4 Axial Load-Moment Interaction Curve	3.6
		& Mathematical Expression for 2D	
		Yield Function	
		3.2.2.5 Elsto-Plastic Stiffness Property	3.7
	3.3	Soil Model of Plane System	3.11

		3.3.1	The Linear Soil Modelling for 2D element soil 3.3.1.1 Physical Modelling of Soil Media	3.11 3.12
		3.3.2	Soil Modelling in Non-Linear	3.15
	3.4		near Computational Methodologies	3.19
	3.5		of Structure-Foundation-Soil Interaction	3.19
	3.6		cal Procedure	3.20
			Elastic Analyses	3.20
		3.6.2	Inelastic Analyses for Frame Member	3.21
			3.6.2.1 Computations for Frame Elements	3.21
		3.6.3	Computations for Soil Elements	3.26
		3.6.4	Convergence	3.28
4		LYTICA GRAM	AL PROCEDURE AND COMPUTER	4.1
	4.1	Introdu	ction	4.1
	4.1		ter Codification	4.1
	4.2	4.2.1	Inelastic Algorithm Package	4.4
		4.2.1	A Modified Frontal Solver	4.4
	4.3		ion of the Developed Computer Program	4.8
	4.5		Example 1	4.9
		4.3.1	Example 2	4.11
		4.3.2	-	4.12
			Example 3 Example 4	4.12
			Example 5	4.15
		4.3.5	Example 6	4.19
5	RES	IILT AN	D DISCUSSION	5.1
5	5.1	Introduc		5.1
	5.2		ive Example	5.1
	0.2	5.2.1	Modelling	5.1
		5.2.2	Structural Response	5.7
	5.3		Stiffness of Soil-Structure Interaction	5.31
	5.5	5.3.1	The Influence of the Type of Soil	5.31
		0.0.1	5.3.1.1 Modelling	5.31
			5.3.1.2 Structural Response	5.32
		5.3.2	The Influence of Foundation Depth	5.44
		0.0.2	5.3.2.1 Modelling	5.45
			5.3.2.2 Structural Response	5.45
	5.4	The Fff	Sect -of Beam-Column Rigidity	5.57
	5.4	5.4.1	Structural Response	5.57
6	SUN	IMARY	AND CONCLUSIONS	6.1
-	6.1	Conclu		6.1
	6.2		tions for Future Work	6.5
REFE	RENCES			R.1
BIODA	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR		B.1	



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1a	Normal Force Result for Reference and Present Study	4.10
4.1b	Shear Force Result for Reference and Present Study	4.10
4.1c	Bending Moment Result for Reference and Present Study	4.10
4.2	Displacement Result for Krishnamoorthy and Own Program	4.11
4.3	Result of Frame Resting on Soil for STAADIII and Present Study	4.13
4.4	Sand Properties and Constants of Hyperbola	4.16
4.5	Geometrical Details and Material Properties of the Plane Frame and Combined Footing	4.19
4.6	Sand Properties and Constants of Hyperbola	4.20
5.1	Geometrical and Cross-Section Details of the Frame Structure	5.3
5.2	Material Properties for the Frame	5.3
5.3	Soil Properties Adopted for Soil Modelling	5.4
5.4	Yield Functions Constant for Different Reinforced Concrete Sections in the Frame	5.4
5.5	Different Types of Analyses Performed in this Study	5.4
5.6	Values of Horizontal Deflection at Different Load Factors	5.10
5.7	Sequential Formation and Locations of Plastic Hinges for NSNS Case	5.11
5.8	Sequential Formation and Locations of Plastic Hinges for SNS Case	5.12
5.9	Sequential Formation and Locations of Plastic Hinges for fix Case	5.12
5.10	Sequential locations of plastic hinges for fixed end case	5.35
5.11	Sequential locations of plastic hinges case (SNS)	5.36
5.12	Sequential locations of plastic hinges case (SNS)	5.47

5.13	Sequential locations of plastic hinges case (NSNS)	5.48
5.14	Sequential Locations of Plastic Hinge Non-interaction Cases for Rigid End (r) and Normal (n)	5.60
5.15	Sequential Locations of Plastic Hinge Interaction Cases for Rigid End (r) and Normal (n)	5.60



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Winkler Foundation (Nainan, 2005)	2.3
2.2	Unit Line Load Acting at and Normal to Surface (Harr, 1966)	2.4
2.3	Filonenko-Borodich Foundation (Kerr, 1964)	2.6
2.4	Hetenyi Foundation (Kerr, 1964)	2.7
2.5	Pasternak Foundation (Kerr, 1964)	2.8
2.6	Kerr Foundation (Dutta et a, 2002)	2.9
2.7	Beam-column analogy model to classical beams on elastic Foundation (Kerr, 1964)	2.11
2.8	Intermeshed Winkler spring model (Dutta et al, 2002)	2.11
2.9	Venant elasto-plastic Unit in Parallel (Dutta et al, 2002)	2.15
2.10	Hyperbolic Stress Strain Representation (Duncan et al, 1970)	2.17
2.11	Viscoelastic Models (Dutta et al, 2002)	2.20
2.12	One Component model (Waleed, 1993)	2.23
2.13	Multi-Component Model (Waleed, 1993)	2.24
2.14	Connected Two Cantilever model (Waleed, 1993)	2.25
2.15	Multi-Spring Model (Waleed, 1993)	2.26
2.16	Spread Plasticity Model (Waleed, 1993)	2.27
2.17	inelastic modelling of frame member (Waleed, 1993)	2.27
2.18	Sectional Geometry and Possible Stress-Strain Distribution (Waleed, 1993)	2.28
2.19	Fitted and Actual Theoretical Moment-Axial Force	2.29
2.20	Interaction Curves (Waleed, 1993) Idealization of Soil-Structure (King, 1977)	2.33

2.21	coupled idealization for frame combined footing soil system (Noorzaei et al, 1993)	2.35
2.22	Finite element modeling of soil-structure of a 10-storey building (Pandey et al, 1994)	2.37
2.32	Various elstio-plastic Models (Noorzaei. et al, 1995)	2.39
2.24	Result the Effect of Soil-Structure Interaction	2.42
2.25	(Dutta et al, 1999) Spring & Intermeshed Spring Modes (Nainan, 2001)	2.44
3.1	Mathematical Model of Building Structure (Waleed, 2005)	3.2
3.2	Inelastic Modelling of Frame Member (Waleed, 2005)	3.2
3.3	Stiffness Matrix for a Space Beam-Column Element with Two Rigid Ends 'a' and 'b' (Waleed, 2005)	3.3
3.4	Sectional Geometry and Possible Stress-Strain Distribution (Waleed, 2005)	3.5
3.5	Fitted and Actual Theoretical Moment-Axial Force Interaction Curves (Waleed, 2005)	3.7
3.6	Finite Element Modelling	3.11
3.7	Isoperimetric Element	3.12
3.8	Hyperbolic Stress Strain Representation	3.16
3.9	Algorithm for Inelastic Redistribution of Forces for 2D Analysis	3.26
4.1	Junction of 2-Node Beam Bending Element with 4-Node Plan Isoperimetric Element	4.1
4.2	Flow chart Computer Program	4.2
4.3	Flow Chart of the NSSI Program	4.3
4.4	Example 1:Plane Frame	4.9
4.5	Example 2: Plane Stress Problem	4.11
4.6	Example 3: Plane Frame Resting on Soil	4.12
4.7 4.8	Inelastic Plane Frame Inelastic Response of Deflection at Joint 3	4.14 4.14



4.9	Sequence of Plastic Hinge Formation	4.15
4.10	Varadarajan and Arora 1979 Modelling (Noorzaei, 1991)	4.17
4.11-a	Pressure-Settlement Plot for Centre Point of the Footing (Noorzaei, 1991)	4.18
4.11-b	Vertical Settlement along Centre Line of Rigid Footing (Noorzaei, 1991).	4.18
4.12	Noorzaei (1991) Modelling	4.20
4.13	Variation of Vertical Displacement below the Combined Footing for Present Study and Noorzaei (1991)	4.21
5.1	Finite Element Modelling	5.5
5.2	Different Loading Types	5.5
5.3	Geometry Data for Fixed Support Case	5.6
5.4	Geometry Data for Soil-Structure Interaction Cases	5.6
5.5	Horizontal Deflection at Joint 433 at Top Floor	5.9
5.6	Stiffness at Different Load Factors	5.10
5.7	Bending Moment Diagrams at Load Factor= 6.0	5.16
5.8	Percentage of increase/decrease in Bending Moment at Load Factor=6	5.16
5.9	Bending Moment along Foundation at Load Factor 6.0	5.17
5.10	Moment vs. Load Factor at Joint 27 Member 397	5.19
5.11	Moment vs. Load Factor at Joint 9 Member 395	5.19
5.12	Moment vs. Load Factor Joint 18 Member 396	5.19
5.13	Moment vs. Load Factor Joint 423 Member 399	5.20
5.14	The Variation of Force State (p, m) Path at the Ends of	5.20
5.15	Normal Force at Load Factor 6	5.22
5.16	Percentage of Difference of Normal Force at Load Factor 6.0	5.23
5.17	Normal Force vs. load factor joint 27 member 397	5.24

5.18	Normal Force vs. Load Factor Joint 9 Member 395	5.24
5.19	Soil Deflection under Centre Line and the Ends of Foundation along of Depth under Load Factor.3	5.26
5.20	Soil Deflection under Centre Line and the Ends of Foundation along of Depth under Load Factor.6	5.26
5.21	Deflection along Foundation Load Factor 3	5.27
5.22	Deflection along Foundation Load Factor 6	5.27
5.23	Variation of Different Components of Stresses in Soil at the Foundation Level Due to Vertical Load	5.29
5.24	Vertical Stresses in Soil at the Foundation Level at Load Factor 3.0	5.30
5.25	Vertical Stresses in Soil at the Foundation Level at Load Factor 6.0	5.30
5.26	Horizontal Deflection for Joint 433 (SNS) Case	5.33
5.27	Horizontal Deflection for Joint 433 for Different Types of Soil at Different Load Factor	5.33
5.28	Sequential Formation of Plastic Hinges	5.35
5.29	Bending Moment at Load Factor 3.0	5.39
5.30	Percentage of Increase/Decrease in Bending Moment at Load Factor= 5.6	5.39
5.31	Bending Moment along Foundation at Load Factor 5.6	5.40
5.32	Deflection along foundation (at L.F. 3)	5.42
5.33	Deflection along foundation (at L.F. 5.62)	5.42
5.34	Differential Settlement for Different Soil (L.F. =3, 5.62)	5.42
5.35	Vertical Stress under Foundation at Load Factor 3.0	5.44
5.36	Vertical Stress under Foundation at Load Factor 5.62	5.44
5.37	Horizontal Deflection for Joint 433 (NSNS) Case	5.46
5.38 5.39-a	Horizontal Deflection for Joint 433 (SNS) Case Sequential Form of Plastic Hinges (NSNS) Case	5.46 5.48



5.39-b	Sequential Form of Plastic Hinges (SNS) Case	5.48
5.40	Bending Moment Foundation Depth=600mm, (SNS) Case	5.51
5.41	Bending Moment Foundation Depth=2000mm, (SNS) Case	5.51
5.42	Bending Moment Fixed End Condition	5.52
5.43	Percentage of Increase/Decrease in Bending Moment at Load Factor= 5.6 SNS case	5.52
5.44	B.M. along foundation case SNS L.F. 5.6	5.53
5.45	Deflection under Foundation at L.F. 5.6 SNS case	5.54
5.46	Maximum the Vertical Deflection at Foundation al Load Factor 5.6	5.54
5.47	Vertical Stress under Foundation NSNS Case at Load Factor 3.0	5.56
5.48	Vertical Stress under Foundation NSNS Case at Load Factor 5.6	5.56
5.49	Horizontal Deflection at Joint 433 NSNS and fix (r, n) Cases	5.58
5.50	Horizontal Deflection for Joint 433	5.59
5.51	Sequential Form of Plastic Hinge	5.60
5.52	Non-Interaction Analysis Load Factor 6.4	5.62
5.53	Non-Interaction Analysis Load Factor 6.4	5.63
5.54	Vertical Deflection along Foundation at L.F. 3 NSNS Case	5.63
5.55	Vertical Stress under Foundation NSNS Case at Load Factor 3.0	5.64
5.56	Vertical Stress under Foundation NSNS Case at Load Factor 5.5	5.64



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

The response of any system that consists of more than one component is always interdependent. The frame superstructure; its foundation and the soil, on which it rests, together constitute a complete system. However, the common conventional design assumes that the superstructure is fixed at the base of the foundation, hence neglecting the flexibility of the foundation, the compressibility of the soil and the effect of the foundation settlement on distribution of bending moments, shear forces and axial forces in the superstructure.

Soil structure interaction is an important consideration for a more accurate prediction of stresses in both the structure and the supporting soil. Many structural models had been developed and used to model and analyze soil-structure interaction problems either at macroscopic or microscopic level. In macroscopic approach, Winkler model is considered to be the most popular model used to include the soil-structure interaction. At microscopic level, finite element method was used to model both the superstructure and the soil media.

Inelastic response of a framed structure as well as underneath soil media might be significantly different from the elastic response. Inelastic response can identify the possible locations of distress in a building. It also generates useful information such



as maximum deformation, forces at important locations and the ductility requirements.

1.2 Problem Statement

Most of the analyses presented in the literature focused on the soil-structure interaction within an elastic range of loading. Limited researches focus on the effect of soil non-linearity on the structural response. Moreover, the effect of the interaction analysis on the superstructure inelasticity has not been known yet and it needs to be investigated. Special attention is supposed to be given to the way the superstructure fails compared to that predicted using non-interaction analysis.

This research focuses on the effect of the interaction analysis on the inelasticity in the framed structure considering linear as well as non-linear soil responses. The deformational characteristic, moment distribution, sequentional formation and location of the plastic hinges and stresses in soil resulted from elastic and inelastic interaction and non-interaction analyses will be discussed.

1.3 Scope and Objectives of the Study

The scope of this research is to investigate the effect of soil-structure interaction on the inelasticity of the superstructure compared to non-interaction analysis.





The main objectives of this study are:

- i- To develop a computer code capable of analyzing soil-structure system at elastic as well as inelastic range of loading.
- ii- To investigate the structure response of soil-structure system with consideration on the effect of :
 - 1- Inelasticity and failure of superstructure
 - 2- Interaction and non-interaction analysis.
 - 3- Linear and non-linear behaviour of soil.
 - 4- Relative stiffness of soil-structure interaction
 - 5- The effect of beam-column end rigidity.

The structure response will be investigated in terms of horizontal deformation, bending moment variation, formation of plastic hinges in frame member and the stresses in soil media.

