



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**WATER AND SOLUTE DISTRIBUTION PATTERN IN SOIL UNDER  
POINT SOURCE TRICKLE IRRIGATION**

**AHMED I.M. EKHMAJ.**

**FK 2005 11**



*Dedicated to*  
*my Parents as they did care for me when I was little*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**WATER AND SOLUTE DISTRIBUTION PATTERN IN SOIL UNDER POINT SOURCE TRICKLE IRRIGATION**

By

**AHMED I. M. EKHMAJ**

**December 2005**

**Chairman: Professor Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, PhD, P. Eng**

**Faculty: Engineering**

A basic requirement in the design of a trickle irrigation system is to obtain more information about the shape and size of the wetted soil zone. This will ensure precise placement of water and nutrients in the active root zone to meet the requirements of precision farming. A series of laboratory and field experiments were conducted to determine water and solute distribution pattern in soil under point source trickle irrigation. Three types of experiments were conducted. The focus of the first type of experiment was to study the effect of water application rate and the amount of water on water movement in the lateral and vertical direction. River sand and sandy loam soil were used as the media in a plexiglass container. These experiments were conducted under laboratory conditions where the application rates of 0.75 and 3.4 l/h were used for river sand soil while 1 and 3.0 l/h were used for sandy loam soil. The second type of experiments was conducted on river sand in the laboratory using a wooden box. The purpose of this experiment was to study the effect of application rate and amount of irrigation water on the surface wetted radius. Application rates of 3, 5.5 and 7 l/h were used. The third type of experiment was conducted under field conditions. The



experiments were designed for field evaluation of water and solute movement from a point source. Sandy and sandy loam soils were selected for these experiments and the application rates varied from 1.5 to 6 l/h. The results from the experiments revealed that for all soil types, lateral movement of the wetting front and the surface wetted radius as measured at the soil surface approached a limit with elapsed time. A linear relationship was found between vertical wetting front advance and the square root of elapsed time. The results obtained from both plexi glass and wooden box experiment showed that the water application rates caused a notable effect on the surface wetted radius, where increase in the application rates contributed to an increase in the surface wetted radius. On the other hand the statistical analysis of the field experiment results showed insignificant effect of the application rates on the surface wetted radius. Increase in the discharge rate caused a decrease in the vertical advance of the wetting front for both sandy and sandy loam soils under field conditions, and sandy loam soil in the plexiglass experiments. The maximum volumetric moisture content after irrigation was found in the region just below the irrigation source. The statistical analysis of moisture distribution data under field conditions showed insignificant effect of water application rate on the water content distribution within the boundary of 17.5 and 27.5 cm in radial and vertical distance, respectively. The patterns of the chloride concentration distribution were similar to those for moisture content distribution. The effect of inlet chloride concentration on the distribution of chloride concentration was significant in both soils. The greater the concentration at the inlet, the higher the chloride concentration in the soil. For both types of soil, most of the treatments indicated insignificant effect of application rate on the chloride distribution. Two simple models based on the average change in volumetric water content ( $\Delta\theta$ ), total volume of water



applied ( $V_w$ ), application rate ( $q_w$ ) and the saturated hydraulic conductivity ( $k_s$ ) were developed to determine the surface wetted radius ( $r$ ) and vertical advance of the wetting front ( $z$ ) produced from point source trickle irrigation,  $r = \Delta\theta^{-0.56} V_w^{0.26} q_w^{-0.03} k_s^{-0.03}$  and  $z = \Delta\theta^{-0.38} V_w^{0.36} q_w^{-0.1} k_s^{0.19}$ . These models were verified with the data from this study and other published experiments under different conditions. The results obtained from both types of data improved the capability of using these models for designing a trickle irrigation system. In this study, Hydrus\_2D model was used to simulate water and solute distribution under point source trickle irrigation. Good agreements were found between simulated and experimental results regarding location of the wetting front, water distribution and solute concentration under different application rates.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**BENTUK PERTABURAN AIR DAN SOLUT DALAM TANAH DIBAWAH  
PENGAIRAN CUCUR SUMBER TITIK  
Oleh**

**AHMED I. M EKHMAJ**

**Disember 2005**

**Pengurus: Professor Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, PhD, P.Eng**

**Fakulti: Kejuruteraan**

Keperluan asas dalam rekabentuk sistem pengairan cucur adalah untuk mendapat lebih banyak maklumat tentang bentuk dan saiz kawasan tanah yang dibasahi. Ini memastikan ketepatan pemberian air dan baja di ladang bagi memenuhi keperluan pertanian presis. Kajian di makmal dan di ladang telah dilakukan bagi menentukan bentuk taburan air dan solut dalam tanah di bawah pengairan cucur sumber titik. Tiga jenis kajian telah dilakukan. Tumpuan bagi kajian pertama adalah untuk mengkaji kesan kadar pembubuhan air dan jumlah air bagi gerakan air secara mendatar dan menegak. Pasir sungai dan tanah lom berpasir telah digunakan sebagai media dalam bekas plexiglass. Kajian ini telah dilakukan di dalam makmal yang mana kadar pengairan 0.75 dan 3.4 l/j telah diberi bagi pasir sungai, sementara kadar 1.0 dan 3.0 l/j telah diguna bagi tanah lom berpasir. Kajian kedua telah dilakukan bagi pasir sungai di makmal dengan menggunakan bekas kotak kayu. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji kesan kadar pembubuhan dan jumlah air pengairan keatas jarak lingkungan permukaan yang dibasahi. Kadar pembubuhan 3, 5.5 dan 7 l/j telah digunakan. Kajian yang ketiga telah dilakukan di ladang. Kajian direka untuk penilaian di ladang bagi pergerakan air dari sumber titik. Jenis tanah



dilakukan di ladang. Kajian direka untuk penilaian di ladang bagi pergerakan air dari sumber titik. Jenis tanah berpasir dan lom berpasir telah dipilih bagi semua kajian ini dan kadar pembubuhan 1.5 hingga ke 6 l/j telah digunakan. Keputusan dari semua kajian tersebut di atas menunjukkan bahawa bagi semua jenis tanah, garisan basah dan jarak lingkungan permukaan yang dibasahi bagi gerakan mendatar yang diukur di permukaan tanah adalah terhad dengan masa yang berlalu. Satu hubungkait secara lerns telah diperolehi di antara garisan basah yang tegak dan masa ber lalunya berkuasa seperdua. Keputusan yang terdapat dari kajian plexiglass dan kotak kayu menunjukkan bahawa kadar pembubuhan air menyebabkan kesan yang jelas ke atas jarak lingkungan permukaan yang dibasahi yang mana peningkatan kadar pembubuhan melibatkan peningkatan jarak lingkungan permukaan yang dibasahi. Dalam hal yang sama, keputusan analisis statistik bagi kajian di ladang menunjukkan kesan yang penting bagi kadar pembubuhan ke atas jarak lingkungan permukaan yang dibasahi. Peningkatan kadar luahan menyebabkan kekurangan pergerakan menegak bagi kedua-dua jenis tanah berpasir dan lom berpasir di ladang, dan jenis tanah lom berpasir bagi kajian plexiglass. Kelembapan isipadu yang maksimum selepas pengairan terdapat dibahagian pemancar pengairan cucur. Analisis statistik bagi taburan kelembapan di ladang menunjukkan kesan yang penting bagi kadar pembubuhan air ke atas taburan kelembapan dalam jarak lingkungan sempadan 17.5 hingga 27.5 sm masing-masing bagi jarak lingkungan dan jarak tegak.. Bentuk taburan klorida adalah sama dengan taburan kelembapan. Kesan klorida yang pekat di alur masuk ke atas taburan klorida yang pekat adalah penting bagi kedua-dua jenis tanah. Kepekatan lebih di alur masuk menghasilkan klorida pekat yang tinggi di dalam tanah. Bagi kedua-dua jenis tanah, kebanyakan rawatan menunjukkan kesan yang penting bagi kadar pembubuhan ke atas taburan klorida dalam bentuk

kepekatan tanpa dimensi. Dua jenis model mudah yang berdasarkan purata pertukaran kandungan lembapan isipadu ( $\Delta\theta$ ), jumlah air yang diberi ( $V_w$ ), kadar pembubuhan ( $q_w$ ) dan keberkondukan hidraul tepu ( $k_s$ ) telah dibangunkan untuk menentukan jarak lingkungan permukaan yang dibasahi ( $r$ ) dan garis basah menegah ( $z$ ) yang telah dihasil dari pengairan cucur sumber titik,  $r = \Delta\theta^{-0.56}V_w^{0.26}q_w^{-0.03}k_s^{-0.03}$  dan  $z = \Delta\theta^{-0.38}V_w^{0.36}q_w^{-0.1}k_s^{0.19}$ . Model-model ini telah dibuat pengesahan dengan data dari kajian ini dan kajian lain yang telah diterbitkan dalam keadaan yang berlainan. Keputusan yang dapat bagi kedua-dua jenis data mendorong keupayaan kegunaan kedua-dua model bagi rekabentuk sistem pengairan cucur. Dalam kajian ini, model Hydrus\_2D telah digunakan untuk simulasi taburan air dan solut di bawah pengairan cucur sumber titik. Persetujuan yang baik telah diperolehi di antara keputusan simulasi dan kajian berkenaan lokasi garisan basah, taburan air dan kepekatan dengan kadar pembubuhan yang pembubuhan

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, all praise be to Almighty ALLAH SWT. The only creator, sustainer and efficient assembler of the world, for giving me the strength, ability and patience to complete this work.

I would like to acknowledge and thank my supervisor Prof. Mohd Amin Mohd Soom for accepting me as one of his postgraduate students. I would also like to thank him for his cheery nature, assistance, guidance and mentorship throughout the years. This dissertation would have never been completed without his help. He has provided me with all facilities needed to complete this work. Also I would like to thank my members of supervisory committee, Prof. Salim Said at Faculty of Engineering, Civil Engineering Department University Sarawak Malaysia and Dr. Abdul Aziz Zakaria at Faculty of Engineering Biological and Agricultural Engineering, University Putra Malaysia, for their assistance, constructive suggestions, and guidance for execution of the research project.

Special thank goes to Drs. Jirka Šimůnek and Rien van Genuchten from the U.S. Salinity Laboratory in Riverside, for their assistance and advice regarding to usage of Hydrus 2d model.

I am grateful to the peoples of Libyan Arab Jamahiriya and Al-Fateh University who offer me the scholarship for pursuing the PhD degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank my friends for their friendship. We all have had memorable moments.



I am especially grateful to my mother, a person whose courage, fortitude and patience I have always admired. Last but not least, I would like to gratefully express to my brothers and sisters for their unwavering support, best wishes and encouragement through both good and bad times.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
APPROVAL	xi
DECLARATION	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xxvii
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1.1</b>
1.1 Trickle Irrigation	1.1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	1.3
1.3 Objectives of the Study	1.4
1.4 Scope of the Study	1.5
1.5 Organization of the Thesis	1.6
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>2.1</b>
2.1 Introduction	2.1
2.1.1 Water Flow Mechanism	2.1
2.1.2 Saturated Water Flow	2.4
2.1.3 Unsaturated Water Flow	2.5
2.1.4 Continuity Equation	2.8
2.1.4 Richard's Equation	2.9
2.2 Water Movement under Trickle Irrigation	2.10
2.2.1 Field Studies	2.11
2.2.2 Laboratory Studies	2.16
2.2.3 Mathematical Model Studies	2.19
2.3 Solute Transport in Soil	2.36
2.3.1 Convective Transport	2.37
2.3.2 Diffusion Transport	2.37
2.3.3 Dispersive Transport	2.38
2.3.4 Convection-Dispersion Equation	2.39
2.4 Previous Studies on Solute Movement under Trickle Irrigation	2.41
2.5 Hydrus_2D Model	2.45
2.5.1 The Governing Equations	2.46
<b>3 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>3.1</b>
3.1 Design of Study	3.1
3.2 Design of Study Laboratory Experiments	3.2



3.2.2	Wooden Box Experiments	3.8
3.3	Field Experiments	3.10
3.3.1	Description of Field Experiments	3.11
3.3.2	Soil Water Determination	3.16
3.3.3	Chloride Analysis	3.16
3.4	Semi Empirical Model	3.18
3.4.1	Theoretical Considerations	3.19
3.4.2	Model Optimization	3.24
3.5	Hydrus_2D Numerical Model	3.24
3.5.2	Problem Definition	3.25
3.5.3	Boundary condition	3.27
3.5.4	Inputs for Simulation Model	3.28
3.6	Statistical Criteria	3.29
3.7	Determination of the Soil Hydraulic Properties	3.31
3.7.1	Soil Texture	3.31
3.7.2	Bulk Density	3.31
3.7.3	Porosity	3.32
3.7.4	Soil Water Retention Curve	3.33
3.7.5	Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity	3.33
3.7.6	Soil Water Diffusivity	3.34
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>4.1</b>
4.1	Evaluation of Water and Solute Distribution under Point Source Trickle Irrigation	4.1
4.1.1	Laboratory Experiments	4.1
4.1.2	Field Experiments	4.19
4.2	Predictive Model for wetting Pattern Dimensions	4.62
4.2.1	Wetted Surface Radius Equation	4.62
4.2.2	Vertical Advances of Wetting Front Equation	4.69
4.2.3	Sensitivity Analysis	4.71
4.2.4	Model's Verification	4.82
4.3	Model Evaluation Hydrus_2D	4.128
4.3.1	Wetting Front Movement	4.130
4.3.2	Moisture Content Distribution	4.143
4.4.3	Solute Distribution	4.162
<b>5</b>	<b>SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>5.1</b>
5.1	Summary	5.1
5.2	Conclusions	5.2
5.3	Recommendations for Further Work	5.8
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>R.1</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>A.1</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>	<b>B.1</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Summary differences between saturated and unsaturated flow (adapted from Rattan and Shukia, 2004)	2.6
2.2	Properties of the soils used in moisture movement studies (adapted from Goldberg et al. (1976)	2.12
3.1	Numerical values of input variables used in the predicted models.	3.25
4.1	Parameters for estimation of surface wetted radius (Wooden Box experiments)	4.17
4.2	The regression results of the surface wetted radius as a function of cumulative water infiltration for sandy soil (field experiments)	4.26
4.3	The regression results of the vertical advances of wetting front as a function of the elapsed time (Sandy soil-field experiment)	4.28
4.4	The regression results of the surface wetted radius as a function of the total water applied for sandy loam soil.	4.38
4.5	The regression results of the vertical advances of wetting front as a function of the elapsed time (Sandy loam soil-field experiment)	4.40
4.6	Nonlinear model summary for surface wetted radius	4.69
4.7	Coefficients and diagnostic tests for nonlinear regression model for surface wetted radius	4.69
4.8	Nonlinear model summary for vertical advances of wetting front	4.70
4.9	Coefficients and diagnostic tests for nonlinear regression model for vertical advance of wetting front	4.70
4.10	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for surface wetted radius for sandy soil (field experiment)	4.93
4.11	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for vertical advance of wetting front for sandy soil (field experiments)	4.96



4.12	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for surface wetted radius for sandy loam soil	4.100
4.13	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for vertical advance of wetting front for sandy loam soil	4.104
4.14	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for surface wetted radius and vertical advance of wetting front for sandy soil	4.114
4.15	Summary of observed wetted radius for Cecil sandy loam soil (adapted from Risse et al., 1989)	4.116
4.16	Comparison of the observed and predicted wetted radius for Cecil sandy loam soil	4.117
4.17	Physical properties of three soils studied by Yitayew et al., (1998)	4.118
4.18	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for surface wetted radius for various soil types	4.124
4.19	Soil hydraulic parameters as fitted with Hydrus_2D	4.128
4.20	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for surface wetted radius for sandy soil	4.136
4.21	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for vertical advance of wetting front for sandy soil	4.137
4.22	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for surface wetted radius for sandy loam soil	4.141
4.23	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for vertical advance of wetting front for sandy loam soil	4.142
4.24	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for moisture distribution for sandy soil	4.146
4.25	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for moisture distribution for sandy loam soil	4.156
4.26	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for solute distribution for sandy soil	4.164



4.27	Values of the statistical parameters used in comparison for solute distribution for sandy loam soil	4.167
------	---	-------



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	General elevation for unsaturated conductivity ( $K(\theta)$ ) versus suction $h$ for three different soil textured (adopted from James et al., 1999)	2.7
3.1	Constant head and flow rate point source	3.3
3.2	Front view of the Plexiglass soil containe	3.5
3.3	Side view of plexiglass soil container	3.6
3.4	Inside view of the Wooden box	3.9
3.5	Measuring of surface wetted radius	3.10
3.6	General view of the experimental setup for sandy soil	3.13
3.7	General view of the experimental setup for sandy loam soil	3.13
3.8	Top view of horizontal wetting pattern in sandy loam soil	3.14
3.9	Side view of vertical wetting pattern in sandy loam	3.14
3.10	Wetting front movements in the vertical direction in sandy soil	3.15
3.11	Wetting front movements in the vertical direction in sandy loam soil	3.15
3.12	Schematic diagram showing the physical layout of the trickle irrigation system implemented in Hydrus_2D, including the boundary conditions.	3.26
3.13	Set up of the soil water diffusivity experiment	3.35
4.1	Wetting front advance at different times for river sand soil under trickle discharge rates of 0.75 l/h and 3.40 l/h	4.3
4.2	The distance of the wetting front from the center of the trickle source as a function of elapsed time for river sand	4.5
4.3	Wetting front advances at different times for sandy loam soil	4.5



	under trickle discharge rates of 1.0 and 3.0 l/h	
4.4	The distance of the wetting front from the center of the trickle source as a function of elapsed time for sandy loam soil	4.6
4.5	The distance of the wetting front from the center of the trickle source as a function of elapsed time (measured by Angelakis et al., 1992)	4.7
4.6	Vertical advance of wetting front as a function of cumulative water infiltration as measured by Angelakis et al., 1992	4.9
4.7	Vertical advance of wetting front versus square root of elapsed time for river sand and sandy loam soil	4.11
4.8	Horizontal advance of wetting front versus square root of elapsed time for river sand and sandy loam soil	4.12
4.9	Volumetric moisture distributions in soil at different discharge rates for river sand and sandy loam soil	4.14
4.10	Surface wetted radius as a function of elapsed time for river sand under different application rates	4.15
4.11	Surface wetted radius as a function of the cumulative water applied for river sand under different application rates.	4.16
4.12	Volumetric moisture distribution in river sand at different discharge rates:	4.18
4.13	Final saturated radius as related to emitter application rate for sandy soil	4.20
4.14	The relationship of flux densities, $q$ , versus the reciprocal of steady-state radii, $1/r_s$ for sandy soil	4.21
4.15	Wetting front advances for sandy soil under trickle discharge rates of 2.0, 4.5, 4.8 and 6.0 l/h	4.22
4.16	Surface wetted radius as a function of elapsed time for sandy soil under 1.5, 2.1, 2.6, 3.5, 4.0 and 4.5 l/h application rates	4.24
4.17	Surface wetted radii as a function of elapsed time for sandy soil under 6.0 l/h application rate.	4.25
4.18	Surface wetted radii as a function of cumulative water applied for sandy soil under different application rates	4.25

4.19	Vertical advances of wetting front versus elapsed time for sandy soil under different application rates	4.27
4.20	Vertical advance of wetting front versus square root of elapsed time at different time for sandy soil under application rate of 2.0, 4.5, 4.8 and 6.0 l/h	4.29
4.21	Vertical advances of wetting front versus cumulative water applied for sandy soil under different application rates	4.30
4.22	The distance of the wetting front from the center of the trickle source as a function of cumulative water applied for sandy soil under different application rates	4.31
4.23	Final saturated radius as related to emitter discharge rate for sandy loam soil	4.33
4.24	The relationship of flux densities, $q$ , versus the reciprocal of steady-state radii, $1/r_s$	4.34
4.25	Wetting front advances for sandy loam soil under trickle discharge rates of 2.3, 2.6, 3.0 and 4.0 l/h	4.35
4.26	Surface wetted radius as a function of elapsed time for sandy loam soil under application rates of 2.0, 2.5, 3.5 and 4.0 l/h	4.36
4.27	Surface wetted radius as a function cumulative water applied for sandy loam soil under different application rates	4.38
4.28	Vertical advances of wetting front versus elapsed time for sandy loam soil under different application rates	4.39
4.29	Vertical advance of wetting front versus square root of elapsed time at different time for sandy loam soil	4.41
4.30	Vertical advances of wetting as a function of cumulative water applied for sandy loam soil under different application rates	4.42
4.31	The distance of the wetting front from the center of the trickle source as a function of cumulative water applied for sandy loam	4.43
4.32	Surface wetted radius in sandy and sandy loam soil as a function of cumulative water applied under different application rates	4.45



4.33	Vertical advance of wetting front in sandy and sandy loam soil as a function of cumulative water applied under different application rates under trickle discharge rate of 2.6 l/h	4.46
4.34	Wetting front position as a function of discharge rate $q$ and cumulative infiltration water in liters (the numbers labeling the lines, Bresler; 1978)	4.47
4.35	Volumetric moisture distribution in sandy soil at different discharge rates of 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 2.7 l/h	4.49
4.36	Volumetric moisture distribution in sandy soil at different discharge rates of 3.5, 4.5, 4.8 and 6.0 l/h	4.50
4.37	Volumetric moisture distribution in sandy loam soil at different discharge rates of 2.3, 2.6, 3.0 and 4.0 l/h	4.55
4.38	Chloride distribution (meq/l bulk soil) in sandy soil at different discharge rates of 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 2.7 l/h	4.59
4.39	Chloride distribution (meq/l bulk soil) in sandy soil at different discharge rates of 3.5, 4.5, 4.8 and 6.0 l/h	4.60
4.40	Chloride distribution (meq/l bulk soil) in sandy loam soil at discharge rates of 2.3, 2.6, 3.0 and 4.0 l/h	4.66
4.41	Sensitivity analysis of the surface wetted radius to the change in saturated hydraulic conductivity	4.73
4.42	Sensitivity analysis of the surface wetted radius to the change in emitter discharge rate.	4.74
4.43	Sensitivity analysis of the surface wetted radius to the change in average change of soil moisture content	4.75
4.44	Sensitivity analysis of the surface wetted radius to the change in cumulative infiltrated water	4.77
4.45	Sensitivity analysis of the vertical advance of wetting front to the change in saturated hydraulic conductivity.	4.78
4.46	Sensitivity analysis of the vertical advance of wetting front to the change in emitter discharge rate	4.79
4.47	Sensitivity analysis of the vertical advance of wetting front to the change in average change of soil moisture content	4.81



4.48	Sensitivity analysis of the vertical advance of wetting front to the change in cumulative infiltration water	4.82
4.49	Correlation of observed and predicted surface wetted radius for various application rates for river sand	4.84
4.50	Observed and predicted surface wetted radius for river sand soil under 3.0, 5.5 and 7 l/h application rates	4.86
4.51	Correlation of observed and predicted surface wetted radius for sandy soil (all application rates)	4.88
4.52	Observed and predicted surface wetted radius for sandy soil under 1.5, 2.10 and 2.6 l/h application rates	4.89
4.53	Observed and predicted surface wetted radius for sandy soil under 3.5, 4.0 and 4.5 l/h application rates	4.90
4.54	Observed and predicted surface wetted radius for sandy soil under 6.0 l/h application rate	4.91
4.55	Correlation of observed and predicted vertical advance of wetting front for sandy soil (all application rates)	4.94
4.56	Observed and predicted vertical advance of wetting front for sandy soil under various application rate	4.95
4.57	Correlation of observed and predicted surface wetted radius for sandy loam (all application rates)	4.98
4.58	Observed and predicted surface wetted radius for sandy loam soil under 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.5 and 4.0 l/h application rates.	4.99
4.59	Correlation of observed and predicted vertical advance of wetting front for sandy loam (all application rates)	4.102
4.60	Observed and predicted vertical advance of wetting front for sandy loam soil under various application rate	4.103
4.61	Observed and predicted surface wetted radius for fine sandy loam soil	4.107
4.62	Observed and predicted vertical advance of wetting front for fine sandy loam soil	4.108
4.63	Observed and predicted surface wetted radius for sandy soil	4.112



	under application rate of 0.5, 0.7, 1.0, 1.4 and 2 l/h.	
4.64	Observed and predicted vertical advance of wetting front for sandy soil under application rate of 0.5, 0.7, 1.0, 1.4 and 2 l/h	4.113
4.65	Observed and predicted surface wetted radius for loamy sand soil under application rates of 2.0, 3.0 and 4.0 l/h	4.121
4.66	Observed and predicted surface wetted radius for loamy soil under application rates of 2.0, 3.0 and 4.0 l/h	4.122
4.67	Observed and predicted surface wetted radius for silty clay soil under application rates of 2.0, 3.0 and 4.0 l/h	4.123
4.68	Observed and predicted surface wetted radius for coarser sandy soil under application rates of 3.0 l/h	4.126
4.69	Soil water retention curve fitted by van Genuchten (1980) for sandy and sandy loam soil	4.129
4.70	Soil water diffusivity as a function of soil water content as fitted by van Genuchten (1980) for sandy and sandy loam soil	4.130
4.71	Observed and computed surface wetted radius for sandy soil under application rates of 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 2.7 l/h	4.134
4.72	Observed and computed surface wetted radius for sandy soil under application rates of 3.5, 4.5, 4.8 and 6.0 l/h	4.135
4.73	Observed and computed vertical advance of wetting front for sandy soil under application rates of 2.0 and 4.5 l/h	4.136
4.74	Observed and computed vertical advance of wetting front for sandy soil under application rates of 4.8 and 6.0 l/h	4.137
4.75	Observed and computed surface wetted radius for sandy loam soil under application rates of 2.3, 2.6, 3.0 and 4.0 l/h	4.140
4.76	Observed and computed vertical advance of wetting front for sandy loam soil under application rates of 2.3 and 2.6 l/h	4.141
4.77	Observed and computed vertical advance of wetting front for sandy loam soil under application rates of 3.0 and 4.0 l/h	4.142
4.78	Observed and predicted moisture distribution under application rate of 1.5 l/h for sandy soil, elapsed time 320 min	4.147
4.79	Observed and predicted moisture distribution under application	4.148



	rate of 2.0 l/h for sandy soil, elapsed time 360min	
4.80	Observed and predicted moisture distribution under application rate of 2.5 l/h for sandy soil, elapsed time 290min	4.149
4.81	Observed and predicted moisture distribution under application rate of 2.70 l/h for sandy soil, elapsed time 420min	4.150
4.82	Observed and predicted moisture distribution under application rate of 3.5 l/h for sandy soil, elapsed time 420min	4.151
4.83	Observed and predicted moisture distribution under application rate of 4.5 l/h for sandy soil, elapsed time 420min	4.152
4.84	Observed and predicted moisture distribution under application rate of 4.8 l/h for sandy soil, elapsed time 370min	4.153
4.85	Observed and predicted moisture distribution under application rate of 6.0 l/h, or sandy soil elapsed time 340 min	4.154
4.86	Observed and predicted moisture distribution under application rate of 2.3 l/h for sandy loam soil, elapsed time 270 min	4.158
4.87	Observed and predicted moisture distribution under application rate of 2.6 l/h for sandy loam soil, elapsed time 400min	4.159
4.88	Observed and predicted moisture distribution under application rate of 3.0 l/h for sandy loam soil, elapsed time 350min	4.161
4.89	Observed and predicted moisture distribution under application rate of 4.0 l/h for sandy loam soil, elapsed time 360min	4.161
4.90	Observed and predicted chloride distribution under application rate of 1.5 l/h for sandy soil	4.168
4.91	Observed and predicted chloride distribution under application rate of 2.0 l/h for sandy soil	4.169
4.92	Observed and predicted chloride distribution under application rate of 2.5 l/h for sandy soil	4.170
4.93	Observed and predicted chloride distribution under application rate of 2.7 l/h for sandy soil	4.171
4.94	Observed and predicted chloride distribution under application rate of 3.5 l/h for sandy soil	4.72
4.95	Observed and predicted chloride distribution under application	4.173

