



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***EFFECT OF DIFFERENTIATED LEARNING METHOD ON STUDENTS'
MOTIVATION AND ACHIEVEMENT IN LEARNING ARABIC AS A FOREIGN
LANGUAGE***

NAJIBA ABDULLAH MAHDI MEYAD

FPP 2014 68



**EFFECT OF DIFFERENTIATED LEARNING METHOD ON STUDENTS'
MOTIVATION AND ACHIEVEMENT IN LEARNING ARABIC AS A
FOREIGN LANGUAGE**

By

NAJIBA ABDULLAH MAHDI MEYAD

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor
of Philosophy**

December 2014

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright© Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

This study is dedicated to my father who passed away while I was in Malaysia. He supported me and prayed for me all the time. May Allah bless his soul, have mercy on him and grant him al-Janatul Firdaus. Also, this study is dedicated to my mother who supported me during my study. She keeps praying for me and worries about me. May ALLAH bless her and give her a long life.



Abstract of Thesis Presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree
of Doctor of Philosophy

**EFFECT OF DIFFERENTIATED LEARNING METHOD ON STUDENTS'
MOTIVATION AND ACHIEVEMENT IN
LEARNING ARABIC AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE**

By

**NAJIBA ABDULLAH MEYAD
December 2014**

**Chair: Associate Professor. Samsilah Roslan
Faculty: Education Studies**

The purpose of this study was to examine the effects of Differentiated Learning Method (DLM) on students' motivation and achievement towards studying Arabic Language (AL) as a foreign language for non-native speakers. The participants in this study were 100 (47 males and 53 females) form four Malaysian students in secondary school from Kolej Islam Sultan Alam Shah (KISAS). This study applied a quasi-experimental design. The instruments employed were demographics questionnaire, the motivation questionnaire, and the AL achievement tests to determine the students' motivation and achievement towards studying AL (writing, reading, grammar). For this purpose, the researcher taught two different groups. The first group, which is the experimental group, was taught by using DLM. The other group which is the control group was taught by using Teacher-Centered Method (TCM). A descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyze the collected data in this study using SPSS software. An analysis of covariance (one-way ANCOVA) was conducted to compare the students' achievement in AL based on the post-test scores in the aspects of interpretive reading, writing, and grammar between the control and experimental groups while statistically controlled the pre-test effect.

Furthermore, the independent sample t-test was used to compare the students' motivation based on the post-test scores in the aspects of attainment value, extrinsic value, intrinsic value, study habit, cost value, expectancy for success, and ability belief between the experimental and control groups. In addition, Paired sample t-test was conducted to compare the effect of (DLM) on the achievements of the students while learning AL based on the pre-test and post-test scores in one group.

In short, this study found that using DLM helped students perform and achieve significantly better than the control group while learning AL writing, reading, and grammar. The mean score in reading for the

experimental group ($M=20.04$, $SD= 4.43$) was higher than the mean scores for the control group ($M=18.38$, $SD= 4.69$); $t (1.82)$; $p<.05$). The same results have been found in grammar, the mean score for the experimental group ($M=24.02$, $SD= 6.07$) was higher than the mean scores for the control group ($M=19.28$, $SD= 7.66$); $t (3.42)$; $p=.00$). Also, the mean score in writing for experimental groups ($M=10.14$, $SD= 2.79$) was higher than the mean score for the control group ($M=8.20$, $SD= 2.38$); $t (3.73)$; $p=.00$). In addition, the students using DLM were obviously more motivated towards learning AL ($M=155.74$, $SD=12 .66$) than the students using TCM ($M=145.28$, $SD= 14.41$); $t (3.85)$; $p<.05$) in the aspects of attainment value, study habit, extrinsic value, intrinsic value, cost value, expectancy for success, and ability belief.

Finally, this research provides evidence that using DLM improved students' motivation and achievement towards studying AL as a foreign language.

Abstrak tesis Ini dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KESAN-KESAN PEMBELAJARAN BERBEZA TERHADAP MOTIVASI
PELAJAR DAN PENCAPAIAN DALAM PENGAJIAN BAHASA ARAB
SEBAGAI BAHASA ASING**

Oleh

**NAJIBA ABDULLAH MEYAD
December 2014**

**Pengerusi: Profesor Madya. Samsilah Roslan
Fakulti: Pengajian Pendidikan**

Tujuan kajian ini adalah memeriksa kesan Kaedah Pembelajaran Terbeza (DLM/KPT) terhadap motivasi pelajar dan pencapaian mereka dalam pembelajaran bahasa Arab sebagai bahasa asing bagi penutur bukan asli. Peserta dalam kajian ini terdiri daripada 100 orang (47 lelaki dan 53 perempuan) pelajar tingkatan empat di sekolah menengah Kolej Islam Sultan Alam Shah (KISAS), Malaysia. Kajian ini menggunakan reka bentuk kuasi-eksperimen. Instrumen yang digunakan ialah soal selidik demografi, ujian pencapaian bahasa Arab, dan soal selidik motivasi bagi menentukan motivasi pelajar terhadap pembelajaran bahasa Arab. Untuk tujuan ini, penyelidik telah mengajar dua kumpulan yang berbeza. Kumpulan pertama ialah kumpulan eksperimen yang diajar menggunakan Kaedah Pembelajaran Terbeza (DLM/KPT). Kumpulan yang satu lagi ialah kumpulan kawalan yang diajar menggunakan Kaedah Berpusatkan Guru (TCM/KBG). Statistik deskriptif dan inferensi telah digunakan untuk menganalisis data yang dikumpul. Berdasarkan skor pasca-ujian dalam aspek menafsir bacaan, penulisan, dan tatabahasa, analisis kovarians (ANCOVA) telah dijalankan untuk membandingkan pencapaian pelajar dalam bahasa Arab antara kumpulan kawalan dengan kumpulan eksperimen manakala kesan pra-ujian dikawal secara statistik. Selain itu, sampel bebas ujian-t telah digunakan untuk membandingkan motivasi pelajar daripada skor ujian pasca dalam aspek nilai pencapaian, nilai luaran, nilai dalaman, tabiat belajar, nilai kos, jangkaan untuk berjaya, dan kepercayaan terhadap kemampuan antara kumpulan eksperimen dan kumpulan kawalan. Seterusnya, ujian-t berpasangan telah dijalankan untuk membandingkan kesan kaedah KPT (DLM) terhadap pencapaian pelajar semasa mempelajari bahasa Arab daripada skor ujian pra dan ujian pasca.

Kesimpulannya, kajian ini mendapati bahawa KPT (DLM) membantu pelajar mencapai kejayaan dengan lebih baik berbanding kumpulan kawalan dalam mempelajari penulisan, pembacaan, dan tatabahasa Arab. Skor min untuk Pembacaan bagi kumpulan eksperimen ($M=20.04$, $SD=$

4.43) adalah lebih tinggi daripada skor min bagi kumpulan kawalan ($M=18.38$, $SD= 4.69$); $t (1.82)$; $p<.05$). Keputusan yang sama didapati untuk tatabahasa, skor min bagi kumpulan eksperimen ($M=24.02$, $SD= 6.07$) adalah lebih tinggi daripada skor min bagi kumpulan kawalan ($M=19.28$, $SD= 7.66$); $t (3.42)$; $p=.00$). Skor min untuk Penulisan bagi kumpulan eksperimen juga ($M=10.14$, $SD= 2.79$); $t (3.73)$; $p=.00$) adalah lebih tinggi daripada skor min bagi kumpulan kawalan ($M=8.20$, $SD= 2.38$); $t (3.73)$; $p=.00$). Pada masa yang sama, pelajar yang menggunakan KPT (DLM) secara ketaranya lebih bermotivasi mempelajari bahasa Arab ($M=155.74$, $SD=12 .66$) berbanding pelajar yang menggunakan Kaedah Berpusatkan Guru ($M=145.28$, $SD= 14.41$); $t (3.85)$; $p<.05$) dalam aspek nilai pencapaian, tabiat belajar, nilai luaran, nilai dalaman, nilai kos, jangkaan untuk berjaya, dan kepercayaan terhadap kemampuan.

Akhir sekali, kajian ini mengemukakan bukti bahawa dengan menggunakan KPT (DLM) dapat memperbaiki motivasi pelajar dan pencapaian mereka terhadap pembelajaran bahasa Arab sebagai bahasa asing.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am entirely indebted to ALLAH Almighty for guiding me and blessing my time and efforts to bring my research to this stage.

My deepest love is to the ones which all the words are not enough to thank them. My parents, thanks for your unconditional love, continual understanding, sacrifices and endless prayers that have made me the person I am today. I would like to express my warmest feelings to each member of my family for their continuous support and continuous prayers.

My sincere appreciation is to my supportive supervisor, Associate Prof. Dr. Samsiliah Roslan who without her, this research would never be at this stage. Her willingness to help has inspired and motivated me tremendously to work harder on this research. She has been always there with her valuable guidance and advice.

I also would like to thank Dr. Maria for her valuable guidance, and contributing with her valuable expertise and knowledge to make this research even better. Moreover, I would like to thank Dr. Pabyah who has been very generous with her time and never hesitated to share her stupendous knowledge and expertise in the Arabic language.

My millions of thanks are to all my friends and every person who have been source of support and encouraging during my research. Also I will like to extend my sincere gratitude to my colleagues and friends at University Putra Malaysia, especially Dr. Ali Abdullah for his supporting and kind assistance in editing my research writing. Also, Dr. Nasser Alariqe who helped me and guide me to analyse my data. Also, i would like to express my deepest thanks and appreciation to Dr. Somayah Alabsi who supported me during conducted my actual study in Klang.

I also exploit this chance to extend special thanks and deep appreciation to Mr. Yahya Mohammed Saleh who has been very supportive and generous enough to light my pathway throughout the period of my study. Also, i would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my beloved sister Um Hanibal who is being supportive to me throughout all my study stages, since bachelor until the PhD.

I would like to express my gratitude to my brother AbdulKhaleq Meyad and his wife my sister in-law Zalikha Simaa for their continuous support, motivation and help throughout the period of this study.

I would like to express my deepest thanks and appreciation to the principal of KISAS secondary school; Tuan Haji Abdullah bin Jusoh who is very kind and cooperative. I also would like to express my gratitude to all the teachers in the school who helped me with their knowledge and

experience. I would like to express my deepest thanks to the students of the KISAS secondary schools which served as research sites. Moreover, I would like to profess my gratitude to the principles, teachers, and students at Hamidiah school and Persekutuan (SMBP) secondary schools who helped me during developing the teaching method (DLM).

Moreover, I would like to extend special thanks and deep appreciation to my research examiners Prof. Madya Dr. Asmawati Suhid, Prof. Dr. Ruba Fahmi Bataineh, Prof. Madya Dr. Muhammad Fauzi Jumingan, and Prof. Madya Dr. Khairuddin Idris for their insightful comments to make this research even better.

Lastly, I would like to express my appreciation to the faculty and administration staff of the faculty of education studies, University Putra Malaysia, UPM, for providing advice and facilities. I would like to express my particular thanks to the School of Graduate Studies for their assistance and support.

Najiba Abdullah Meyad

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Samsilah Roslan, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Maria Chong Abdullah, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Pabiyah Hajimaming, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Modern Language and Communications
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotation, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Najiba Abdullah Meyad, GS22742

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

ABSTRACT		i
ABSTRAK		iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		v
APPROVAL		vii
DECLARATION		ix
LIST OF TABLES		xvi
LIST OF FIGURES		xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xx
CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Background of the Study	1
	1.2.1 Muslim Scholars View towards Learning and Teaching AL	2
	1.2.2 Challenge Faced by Malay Learners During Learning AL	5
	1.2.3 Motivation in Learning	6
	1.2.4 Differentiated Learning Method (DLM)	7
	1.3 Problem Statement	9
	1.4 Research Objective	12
	1.4.1 General Objective	12
	1.4.2 Specific Objectives	12
	1.5 Research Hypotheses	12
	1.6 Significance of the Study	14

	1.7 Limitation of the Study	15
	1.8 Operational Definitions of Terms	16
	1.8.1 Differentiated Learning Method (DLM)	16
	1.8.2 Motivation	16
	1.8.3 Achievement	17
	1.8.4 Teacher-Centered Method (TCM)	17
	1.9 Summary	17
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	19
	2.1 Introduction	19
	2.2 Learning and Teaching AL in Malaysia	19
	2.2.1 Transformation of AL Teaching Proposed by The MOE and JQAF	22
	2.3 Differentiated Learning Method (DLM)	24
	2.4 Differentiated Learning Method Theories	30
	2.4.1 Differentiated Instruction Model (ID) and DLM	30
	2.4.1.1 Differentiated Content	32
	2.4.1.2 Differentiated Process	32
	2.4.1.3 Differentiated Product	33
	2.4.2 Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and DLM	36
	2.4.3 Kelly' Curriculum Theory (2009) and DLM	37
	2.4.4 Conclusion for DLM Theories	39
	2.5 Motivation towards Learning	40
	2.5.1 Motivation towards Learning any Foreign Language and Learning AL as a Foreign Language	41
	2.5.2 Motivation and DLM	42
	2.6 Academic Achievement and DLM	44
	2.7 Expectancy Value Theory - Eccles and	46

	Wigfield (1995)	
	2.7.1 Expectancy Value Theory and DLM	48
	2.8 The Theoretical Framework of the Research	50
	2.9 The Conceptual Framework	51
	2.10 Summary	52
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	54
	3.1 Introduction	54
	3.2 Research Design	54
	3.3 Control of Internal and External Threats to Validity	55
	3.3.1 Threat of Internal Validity	56
	3.3.2 Threat of External Validity	57
	3.4 Duration of the Study	61
	3.5 Differentiated Learning Method (DLM) (Intervention)	62
	3.6 Teacher Centered Method (TCM)	64
	3.7 Population	65
	3.7.1 Sampling Procedure	67
	3.7.2 Location	69
	3.8 Description of Instruments.	69
	3.8.1 Motivation Questionnaire	69
	3.8.2 Achievement Test in AL (pre-test and post- test)	71
	3.8.3 The Arabic Test Scores	72
	3.9 Validity of the Research Instruments	73
	3.10 Reliability of the Researcher's Instruments	74
	3.10.1 Reliability of the instrument for Achievement tests	74
	3.10.2 Reliability of the Students' Motivation Questionnaire	76

3.10.3 Trail Run for DLM	77
3.11 Study Work	78
3.12 Data Collection Procedure	80
3.13 Data Analysis	81
3.13.1 Descriptive Statistics	81
3.13.2 Inferential Statistics	82
3.14 Sample Characteristics	84
3.15 Attrition of the Sample	84
3.16 Homogeneity Demographic Variables in the Control and Experimental Groups	85
3.17 Checking the Assumptions	86
3.17.1 The Assumption of Equal Variance	87
3.17.2 The Assumption of Normality	87
3.17.3 The Assumption of Correlations Among the Covariates	89
3.18 Homogeneity Between Experimental and Control Groups	91
3.18.1 Conclusion for Pre-tests	93
3.19 Summary	93
4 RESULT & DISCUSSION	94
4.1 Introduction	94
4.2 Findings	94
4.3 Summary for the Research Finding	107
4.4 Discussions	109
4.4.1 The Effect of DLM on the Students' Motivation towards Studying AL.	109
4.4.2 The Effect of DLM on the Students' Achievement towards Studying AL.	111
4.4.3 The Effect of DLM on the Students' Achievement and Motivation towards Learning AL (reading, writing, and grammar).	113

	4.5 Summary	115
5	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS	116
	5.1 Introduction	116
	5.2 Summary	116
	5.3 Theoretical and Implications of the Study	121
	5.3.1 Theoretical Implications	121
	5.3.2 Practical Implication	122
	5.4 Recommendations for Future Research	124
	5.5 Conclusion	124
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	126
	1. English References	126
	2. Arabic References	143
	APPENDICES	145
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	242
	LIST OF THE PUBLICATION	243

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Controlling Internal and External Validity Threats	59
3.2	Comparison between the Methods of Teaching for the Experimental and Control Groups	65
3.3	Arabic Achievement Test Marks	73
3.4	Correlation between Test and Retest for Reading	74
3.5	Correlation between Test and Retest for Writing	75
3.6	Correlation between Test and Retest for Grammar	75
3.7	Cronbach's Alpha for the Instruments from Pilot Study (Achievement Tests)	76
3.8	Summary of the Internal Consistency of the Arabic Motivation Questionnaire	77
3.9	Work Schedule.	79
3.10	Summary of the Research Data Analysis	82
3.11	Distribution of Respondents According to Groups	85
3.12	Group by Background of the Family in Arabic Language.	86
3.13	Chi-Square Test for Background of the Family in AL	86
3.14	Skewness and Kurtosis for the Control and Experimental Groups	89
3.15	Correlation between Pre-test and Post-test Scores in Writing	90
3.16	Correlation between Pre-test and Post-test Scores in Reading	90
3.17	Correlation between Pre-test and Post-test Scores in Grammar	91
3.18	Summary of Pre-test Scores for Experimental and Control Groups, for all Variables before Treatment	92
4.1	Result of Paired Sample T-test for the Pre and Post-test Motivation in the Experimental Group	94

4.2	Result of Paired Sample T-test for Pre-test and Post-test for Motivation in the Control Group	95
4.3	Result of T-test for Motivation between the Experimental and Control Groups	96
4.4	Paired Sample T-test for Pre and Post-test for Reading Achievement in the Experimental Group	97
4.5	Paired Sample T-test for Pre and Post-test for Reading Achievement in the Control Group	98
4.6	Adjusted and Unadjusted Groups Means and Variability for Post-test Using Pre-test as a Covariate in the Reading Achievement.	99
4.7	One-way ANCOVA for the Post-test Scores as a Function of Groups, Using Pre-test as a Covariate for Reading Achievement.	99
4.8	Paired Sample T-test for the Pre- and Post-test for Grammar Achievement in the Experimental Group	100
4.9	Paired Sample T-test for Pre and Post-test for Grammar Achievement in the Control Group	101
4.10	Adjusted and Unadjusted Groups Means and Variability for Post-test Using Pre-test as a Covariate in the Grammar Achievement.	102
4.11	One-way ANCOVA for the Post-test Scores as a Function of Groups, Using Pre-test as a Covariate in the Grammar Achievement.	102
4.12	Paired Sample T-test for Pre and Post-test for Writing Achievement in the Experimental Group	103
4.13	Paired Sample T-test for Pre and Post-test for Writing Achievement in the Control Group	104
4.14	Adjusted and Unadjusted Groups' Means and Variability for Post-test Using Pre-test as a Covariate in the Writing Achievement.	105
4.15	One-way ANCOVA for the Post-test Scores as a Function of Groups, Using Pre-test as a Covariate in Writing Achievement.	105

4.16	Adjusted and Unadjusted Groups' Means and Variability for Post-test Using Pre-test as a Covariate in Overall Achievement in the AL	106
4.17	One-way ANCOVA for the Post-test Scores as a Function of Groups, Using Pre-test as a Covariate in Overall Achievement in the AL.	106
4.18	Summary of the Research Results.	108



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Learning Style	30
2.2	DLM Elements	31
2.3	Different Multiple Levels of Information in AL	32
2.4	Different Multiple Levels of Activities, Assignments A, B and C in the AL	34
2.5	The Theoretical Framework.	51
2.6	The Conceptual Framework	52
3.1	Non-randomized Control Group Pre-test and Post-test Design.	55
3.2	Duration of the Study	61
3.3	The Sampling Procedure	68
3.4	Histograms, Normal Q-Q Plots and Boxplot of the Distribution of Scores for the Two Groups	88

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AL	Arabic Language
C	Control Group
DI	Differentiated Instruction
DLM	Differentiated Learning Method
E	Experimental Group
G	Grammar
IBC	Introduction, Body, and Conclusion
JQAF	Jawi-Quran-Arabic and Fardhu Ain
KISAS	Kolej Islam Sultan Alam Shah
M	Mean
MQ	Motivation Questionnaire
R	Reading
SAR	Sekolah Agama Rakyat
S.D	Standard Deviation
SMA	Sekolah Menengah Agama
SMBP	Sekolah Menengah Berasrama Penuh
TCM	Teacher-Centered Method
UDL	Universal Design for Learning
W	Writing
WK	Week
YW	Compulsory Subject

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

This chapter covers an introduction to the teaching and learning of the Arabic Language (AL) as a foreign language in Malaysia. It also describes the motivation and achievement towards learning the AL in Malaysia, followed by an explanation of the problem statements of the study, research objective, hypotheses, significance of the study, limitations of the study, constitutive definition, and operational definition.

1.2 Background of the Study

The Arabic language is one of the living languages; it is the dominant and official language of more than twenty countries and is spoken by more than three hundred million people around the world. It has also been one of the supported languages in the United Nations and its organizations since 1973. Moreover, the number of people who speak the AL is increasing each year due to a variety of motives, such as religion, family, or identity (Welles, 2004; Husseinali, 2006; Alkkhan, 2010). For instance, in the United States, the number of people learning the AL increased from 5055 to 10584 between 1998 and 2002 (Welles, 2004).

In the fourteenth-century, Islam was introduced to the Malays by traders who came from Muslim countries. Islam then spread and became the religion of the Malays. Since then, the AL has become a language of great value and importance among Malay Muslims (Mohammed, 1996; Baharudin et al., 2008; Che Mat et al., 2009; Mustapha, 2011). Indeed, in order for Muslims to perform their daily worship and prayers, verses of the Holy Qur'an in Arabic, which were revealed to Prophet Muhammad (Peace be upon him), must be recited. In addition, they are obliged to know how to recite the Holy Book (Al-Qur'an) as well as memorizing some parts of it in order to perform prayers. Therefore, Malay parents encourage their children at an early age to learn to read Arabic in order to be able to read Al-Qur'an, pray and learn the basic rules of Islam by sending them to religious schools or places called Madrasah. These religious schools help to build individuals' personality so that they can execute tasks efficiently. Arabic is used in the Madrasah, so learning it not only helps Muslims to know their religion better, but also to know the culture and civilization of Islam better (Hilmi, 2009). As such, there is a strong connection between the Malay culture and the Arab culture; this

strong link can be attributed to religion and belief (Mohammed, 1996; Obeidat, 2005 Baharudin et al., 2008; Che Mat, 2009; Yaakub, 2009). In addition, many people from Arab countries visit Malaysia as tourists or students, and the number of Arab tourists in Malaysia is increasing each year, for example, in 2003, the number of Arab tourists was 80,216 and by 2004 it had increased to 15,600. The increasing number of Arab tourists plays an important role in the economic development of Malaysia, which is another reason besides religion why the Arabic language is important (Che Mat et al., 2009).

The Arabic language is not easy to learn as it has a complex grammar structure, which is why many students deem it a difficult subject (Hussin, 2000; Abdullah, 2005; Hussein, 2005; Alkhan, 2010). Studies conducted in Indonesia and Nigeria show that students held the belief that the AL is difficult. This notion, coupled with the fact that students are not encouraged to practice it outside the classroom, make them perform poorly in the AL (Abdullah, 1996; Abdullah, 2005). This is also in line with Hussin (2000), Ismail and Pa (2006), and Yaakub (2007) who say that many students in Malaysia consider the AL and its grammar to be difficult.

When students strongly believe that they have the ability to succeed in any subject, their motivation and achievement also increases. Whereas, conversely, if their perception of the subject is that it is difficult, their belief in their ability and expectancy for success towards that subject can decrease (Eccles & Wigfield, 1995).

1.2.1 Muslim Scholars View towards Learning and Teaching the AL

Official education in the Arab region has begun since the emergence of the Islamic religion. However, at that time the education and learning process in general was about memorization and only a very limited number of people could actually read. This way of learning remained up until the era of the Caliphs (Al-Khulafa'a Al-Rashideen) when education took another form. During this era, learning was no longer just memorization but involved learning how to read and write. Teaching classes were conducted in the mosques for those who were interested in learning, which included mainly the children and younger generation. This very primitive way of teaching was used until the era of Umayyad and Abbasid, which was the era of the emergence of science and prosperity, when education was transformed dramatically and obtained formal recognition. In fact, due to the recognition of the importance of education, many schools were opened across the Islamic kingdom, with the first school being established in 459 Hijiri by

Seljuk, the minister at that time. Similarly, teaching Arabic was an important pillar in Muslim education due to the fact that non-Arab people also entered Islam and reading the Holy Qur'an needed a particular understanding of the Arabic grammar. These schools were located in certain cities where the Muslim scholars lived, which became the destination for those Muslims who were seeking knowledge; the most well-known cities were Al-Basrah and Al-Kufa in Iraq. In fact, these schools were credited for so many talented Arabic scholars and scientists, such as Al-Akhfash Al-Kabir, Yunus Bin Habib, Ibi Zaid Al-Anssary and Al-Kessa'ei (Shaheen, 1987; Khafaji, 1990).

Furthermore, if we go back to the history of the AL, we realize that most of the AL scientists were not originally Arabs, yet they succeeded and became AL scholars because they loved what they were doing and were motivated enough to succeed. One of the scholars was 'Syboeh' who was not an Arab but a Persian. He had a strong motivation to learn Arabic and to write books about Arabic grammar. Until today, he is well-known and his books are primary sources of reference by learners of Arabic grammar. Furthermore Syboeh used inductive method to explain the AL grammar (Al-Bkaa, 2004).

Inductive Method

The method of teaching that was mainly used during that time was the inductive method, which was conducted by noticing and following closely what was to be learned until the learner arrived at the conclusion and general provisions can be drawn. In fact, this method of teaching is known as the Syboeh method. Syboeh used to observe and analyse all the texts used in poetry, oratory and prose until he concluded that Arabic always raises the actor in the speech whereby he came up with the Arabic grammar of the actor "الفاعل مرفوع" (Khafaji, 1990; Al-Bkaa, 2004).

The method that Syboeh followed mainly depends on identifying some sort of question, discussing these questions and looking for similarities and differences until the conclusion, which fulfils specific criteria, is drawn. In fact, this kind of method undergoes three important stages: First, list down the examples with their clarifications. Second, discuss the examples among the teacher and students until a general clear rule is found. Third, elaborate more on the identified rule and finalize and formulate its final draft. Fourth, come up with related exercises and ask the students to work on them by applying the rules (Khafaji, 1990; Nabhan, 2008).

In addition, the inductive method that Syboeh followed was an effective and well-known method at that time. In fact, this type of method has proven experimental advantages such as the learner using this method can recall the rule of grammar if he/she has forgotten that grammar by retracing the same steps that he used to come up with that grammar. Also, It gives the learner confidence by relying on this method and it arouses the curiosity within the learner to explore in more detail. However, this method has some disadvantages such as this type of method cannot be used for teaching subjects that do not contain general rules and laws; for example, literature, it is time consuming and takes a long time, it is only suitable for students who have strong cognitive skills and it cannot be used for teaching the Arabic language to non-native speakers (Khafaji, 1990; Al-Bkaa, 2004; Nabhan, 2008).

Diction Method

The diction method is one of the oldest methods of teaching in Islamic history. This method came into existence due to that fact that there was no learning book and materials. In fact, this method is still around and being used, particularly for learning the Arabic language and Islam. This type of method is conducted by having the teacher present the subject to be learned orally to the students. Consequently, the students will repeat what they hear and write down notes to help them recall. Conducting this method goes through various stages: First, the teacher starts with lesson preparation and stimulates the students' mind for diction. Second, the teacher speaks aloud rhymes or verses from the Qur'an, which lead to the main object of the lesson. Third, the teacher delves more deeply into the lesson and explains in detail the grammar involved. Fourth, the teacher concludes by summarizing what has been learned (Al-Rubaie, 2006; Nabhan, 2008).

Likewise, this method like the previous method has some advantages and disadvantage. For the advantage this method is easy and does not need much preparation, it is an effective way of teaching a large number of students, it is easy to manage the students inside the class as there is no role to be undertaken by the students and it is time saving as the teacher can cover the lesson quite quickly. For the disadvantage; the students in the class using this method are passive without any role, it induces boredom among the students, which results in poor concentration, it concentrates more on the theory part of the lesson without covering the practical part, it does not address classroom diversity very well or the differences in the capabilities among the students and it focuses on completion of the lesson without

ensuring the benefits that are gained by each student in the class (Khafaji, 1990; Al-Rubaie, 2006; Nabhan, 2008).

1.2.2 Challenges Faced by Malay Learners when Learning the AL

The Arabic language in Malaysia is necessary for Malay Muslims and although it is taught at all school levels – from the primary to the secondary school – the performance of the students is still poor. They face some challenge while studying Arabic (Dahab, 1999; Kirembwe, 2004; Yaakub, 2007; Haron et al., 2010; Samah, 2012; Awang et al., 2013). For instance, Malaysian students face a problem in speaking and writing skills, and, consequently, their performance in the AL is poor. Furthermore, most of the objectives in the AL are not achieved (Dahab, 1999).

This is in line with Kirembwe (2004), who found that Malaysian students face a problem in writing when applying the Arabic writing rules and unique characteristics of Arabic writing. An example of the unique characteristic is in the use of al-Hamz'a. Students, particularly non-native speakers, have problems when they write a word, which has al-Hamz'a (الهمزة) (Ismail & Pa, 2006; Madkour, 2010).

Moreover, the alphabetic letters of the AL have different shapes and patterns when they are used in the middle and at the end of the word. In addition, there are some letters that look alike with the only difference being the dots that are sometimes positioned above or below the letter. Another challenging fact is that there are some letters that may sound the same, which can make the AL more difficult for non-native speakers, particularly when it comes to dictation. Consequently, students make many mistakes when they write the word they hear, especially for learners who do not practice a lot (Ismail & Pa, 2006; Madkour, 2010). As a result, many students do not use or practice the AL in their everyday life. They converse in their mother tongue even in the Arabic classroom (Hawatemeh, 2000 & Haron, 2013).

Awang et al. (2013) found that Malaysian students face a problem and challenge in speaking. In addition, Sahid and Kheir (2013) found that students face a problem in reading. Another challenge experienced by Malay learners is in the pronunciation, due to the interference of their mother tongue. The AL has many unique alphabetical sounds, which pose a challenge for learners to pronounce the Arabic words correctly. The reason is that these sounds are not common in other languages, such as Malay. Writing is yet another challenge. The Malay language has extra letters (e.g. ch, g, nya, nga), which are not found in the AL

and make the AL difficult for Malay learners when they write in Arabic (Ismail & Pa, 2006).

1.2.3 Motivation in Learning

Motivation is very important, especially for students who want to succeed and excel in their studies. Learning does not happen without motivation, as this is what drives the learner to achieve something from the learning experience (Conley & Karabenick, 2006). Students who are motivated and have the desire to succeed push themselves to accomplish every task no matter how difficult it is. Conversely, there are students who would like to be successful but always contemplate the value of the task before attempting it, and, unfortunately, the majority of the students fall into this type. Students who belong in this type feel that certain tasks have no value and do not stimulate their motivation; they choose not to do such tasks even though they are perfectly capable of accomplishing them (Eccles & Wigfield, 1995; Zenzen, 2002). This statement supports the fact that every task has risks. Some people are brave enough to face the challenge whereas some might avoid the task because they dare not take the challenge. This applies to teaching methods as well. If students are instructed using methods that are suitable and good enough to meet their needs and arouse their interest in the AL, they will achieve more compared to students who are instructed by methods that fail to stimulate their interest and motivation (Eccles & Wigfield, 1995; Alkhasawneh et al., 2012; Haron, 2013).

Despite the fact that everyone has a need to achieve something in their life, this need varies from one to another and from one situation to another. Each student acts on the level of motivation differently, with some having little desire to accomplish certain tasks. If the students have enough motivation to study the subject, they will succeed. However, if they do not have enough motivation to study, teachers should try a new method, which motivates the student and stimulates interest in studying the subject including the AL (Atkinson, 1999; Kanaan, 2012).

In fact, motivation is the key to predict students' achievements, as it has been shown by various studies that students are influenced by their motivation (Atkinson, 1999; Spence, 1983). If the students have a high attitude towards success, they will work hard to ensure they are successful (Pintrich, 2003).

1.2.4 Differentiated Learning Method (DLM)

The majority of students in a class are heterogeneous in that they have different levels of interest, need, knowledge and experience. It is rare to find a homogeneous class that has students with the same knowledge, skill, ability and learning style. These various levels of interest and need could be challenging for teachers (Lyons, 2001; Chen & Weiland, 2007; Handoo, 2008).

Although teachers spend time preparing for their classes and make the effort to do their best in teaching, they become disappointed by the students' results when they are not as good as they expect. One reason that could be behind this is that the students' differences are not properly recognized and considered in order to meet their needs (Tomlinson, 2000; Tomlinson et al., 2003). This is in line with Ankrum and Bean (2008), who mentioned that providing students with the same materials could decrease their achievement. Ideally, students should have a variety of materials to suit different reading levels to meet their needs.

The DLM has come into existence in recognition of students' diversity and the need to address such differences. It helps students who have high ability and who need extra information over and above what the textbooks can provide. In addition, the DLM will help students whose abilities are low and often need more support from the teachers because they are allowed to take part in the decision-making process. Therefore, teachers should pay attention to the students' differences, studying profile, interests and readiness, and find a good method that attracts and helps students move forward and be successful (Tomlinson, 2000; Ankrum & Bean, 2008; Alber, 2010; Bradfield, 2013). Teachers should be aware of the differences among students, and deal with them based on these differences. In addition, they should provide individualized attention and modified work program (Subban, 2006; Chen & Weiland, 2007; Alber, 2010).

Students in differentiated learning can participate and be part of the teaching. They can share with the teacher the decision to choose how to learn, practice and assess. Consequently, a new teacher-student relationship and learning environment will be fostered. In fact, the students' motivation towards learning will soar due to the fact that the students will have the feeling that they are the ones who are controlling the class teaching flow (Lyons, 2001; Waterman, 2005; Handoo, 2008; Alber, 2010). Furthermore, the students' confidence will evolve, which can have a constructive impact on the students' subject and learning environment in general (Benjamin, 2003).

Hence, using differentiated teaching, which recognizes students' differences and meets their needs, can help students to achieve and succeed (Handoo, 2008).

Moreover, in the DLM, teachers should recognize that not all students are equal. For example, students who are weak and others with high ability require different types of guidance from the teacher. For instance, students who are weak and face a lot of difficulties during learning the objectives of the textbook need temporary cognitive support until they can follow their classmates. Temporary cognitive support through the "scaffolding strategy" is used in order to help struggling students until they understand and perform the skills on their own. They should have less difficult information in the Arabic language to read until their score is of a level that they comprehend the concept of the Arabic grammar. On the other hand, students who enjoy cruising along may not favour any attention. In this situation, we can conclude that such students do not need the same amount of attention (Waterman, 2005; Clark et al., 2007).

In addition, when teachers recognize the students' differences, they will use different assignments, materials, and different activities, which meet the students' interests, academic level, readiness, and learning styles so that students will become more motivated and will improve themselves both in class and outside of class (Tomlinson, 2000). However, if the students' differences have not been recognized and ways have not been sought to meet these differences, the students will be less motivated and will continue to think that the AL is difficult to learn. Consequently, they will avoid and refuse to rise to the challenge of learning or exploring more about the subject, which will result in lowering their chances in succeeding and accomplishing the lesson (Tomlinson et al., 2003; Alkhasawneh et al., 2012; Haron, 2013).

Furthermore, in the DLM, teachers start by evaluating their students' levels in the foreign language. Emphasis is given to Arabic grammar, as it is the key for learning the language. Each part of the grammar is connected with the other part, meaning that grammar reflects the cumulative information about a student's competency in the language. Therefore, if the student is weak in grammar at the previous academic level of learning, they may not be able to understand the grammar that will be taught at the current academic level (King-shaver & Hunter, 2003).

1.3 Problem Statement

Learning any foreign language needs time and motivation as each language has its own grammar and structure for the students to focus on. Similarly, this concept also applies to teaching and learning the Arabic language, which has its very own structure. In addition, the AL has theories that explain the grammar and the arguments among scholars (Ismail & Pa, 2006; Madkour, 2010; Yaacob & Fadilah, 2014).

The AL is rich in grammatical structures and has a vast vocabulary that makes it extremely challenging to be taught and learned. Even native speakers deem it challenging to learn its grammar (Hawatemeh, 2000; Abdullah, 2005; Al-Hasmy, 2006; Hansen, 2010; Kanaan, 2012). Furthermore, the major challenge to the formation of automatic word recognition is coming from the Arabic writing system which is a prerequisite for reading for native speakers, and can be very difficult for non-native speakers (Abdullah, 2005; Hansen, 2010).

In Malaysia, the performance of students in the AL, at all levels of education, is still poor and unsatisfactory. Malaysian students are not in control of the major language skills, especially in speaking, writing skills and listening, and, hence, they face problems and challenges when studying Arabic (Dahab, 1999; Hawatemeh, 2000; Kirembwe, 2004; Yaackub, 2007; Haron et al., 2010; Samah, 2012; Awang et al., 2013). For instance, Dahab (1999) found that the performance of Malaysian secondary school students in speaking and writing skills is poor. In addition to this finding, the objectives in the AL have not been achieved. He suggested that the context, input, process, and product need more modification. As stated, Malay students perceive that the AL is the most difficult subject (Jassem, 2000).

Consequently, many learners in the world, native and non-native speakers alike, consider the AL a difficult language to learn, and most students deem Arabic classes to be uninteresting or poorly favoured these days. As a result, their motivation and performance in the language are low and will deteriorate if activities to determine the real reasons behind this deterioration are not undertaken. This problem should be identified in order to determine which problem, if solved, might solve the deterioration of students' performance in the AL (Abdullah, 1996; Hawatemeh, 2000; Kirembwe, 2004; Abdullah, 2005; Yaakub, 2007; Hassan, 2014).

Based on the literature review, some of these reasons include the method of teaching; students are not motivated enough; most

teachers do not use appropriate materials, such as differentiated content, process or products during teaching the Arabic language; and most of the teachers use their mother tongue to translate the grammar and the vocabulary for the students (Abdullah, 1996; Dahab, 1999; Hawatemeh, 2000; Kirembwe, 2004; Abdullah, 2005; Yaakub, 2007; 2010a; Al-kukhun & Hania, 2009; Alkhasawneh et al., 2012; Kanaan, 2012; Haron, 2013; Sahid & Kheir, 2013). For instance Haron (2013) conducted study in IIUM to evaluate the perspective of students on the method of teaching Arabic speaking skills and the results demonstrate that students were not satisfied with method of teaching which used by the Arabic teachers because it is concentrated on memorization instated of practice and communicative approach. Moreover, the Arabic books which are used in teaching and learning AL as a foreign language need to add various activities to meet students' interests. In addition, the textbooks lack activities that use modern aids and homework (Osman, 2005). There is a need for more research on teaching the AL and learning methods to enhance students' motivation and achievement in Malaysia (Kirembwe, 2006).

The method of teaching the AL is not a simple task (Yaakub, 2007). It is still poor and needs to be improved to meet students' interests and abilities, and to cater for their differences in order to motivate them to learn the Arabic language. Moreover, there is a lack of research that examines the integration of using an appropriate method in teaching the AL that meets students' needs (Dahab, 1999; Kirembwe, 2004; Abdullah, 2005; Abdul-Hammed, 2006; Yaakub, 2010a; Al-kukhun & Hania, 2009; Haron, 2013; Sahid & Kheir, 2013).

Beside that some of the teachers lack the fundamental experience and have not been adequately trained to come up with appropriate strategies to deliver knowledge in an easy way. Moreover, many teachers do not use a formal language when teaching, but use colloquial dialects instead. In addition, teachers use outdated educational media in teaching and do not attend any workshops to develop their skills in teaching Arabic (Dahab, 1999; Yaakub, 2007; 2009; Haro3, 2012). There is a gap between the method of teaching and the needs of the students, in that most teachers are more concerned about finishing the curriculum on time without taking into consideration the students' interest and motivation or the method used to handle students' differences (Tomlinson, 2000; Gregory & Chapman, 2012). Using appropriate strategies and methods that satisfy the students' interests can help students to understand the AL better and become more motivated towards learning the language (Kirembwe, 2004; Yaakub, 2007; Alkhasawneh et al., 2012; Haron, 2013).

Moreover, although the discoveries and inventions of the twentieth-century have provided various types of information access and technologies, until now, a gap remains between the method of teaching the AL and the information and communication technology that has been developed, such as the Internet, web-based, multimedia, and computer. In general, the method of teaching the AL still uses the old and conventional way, which could be one of the reasons why students perceive the AL to be an uninteresting and unwanted subject (Sahid & Kheir, 2013). Consequently, the teacher should use the teaching materials in an interesting way and link the material to the technology and web-browser as well as link between the method of teaching and students' needs.

In Malaysia, most of the teachers use conventional methods, such as audio-lingual and memorization, and the translation method to teach grammar and vocabulary. Besides that, the classroom is more teacher-centred rather than learner-centred in that the teacher does everything inside the class and the students listen most of the time. Unfortunately, these methods do not give the students the opportunity to learn the AL according to their preferences, needs and circumstances (Dahab, 1999; Che Mat et al., 2009; Haron et al., 2010; Haron, 2013; Sahid & Kheir, 2013). In addition, most teachers of Arabic do not engage students in the classroom activities and some teachers do not make their teaching enjoyable (Dahab, 1999).

Modern schools need a method that organizes lessons and uses modern aids, such as technology, to meet students' needs and individual characteristics. The DLM is a method that tries to provide the students with an effective method and uses technology in the learning process (Benjamin, 2003; Kalin & Valenčič-Zuljan, 2013). The DLM has been accepted and set to work in education and is efficient and effective in increasing students' motivation and achievement in many subjects, such as the sciences, mathematics and languages (Subban, 2006; Kondor, 2007). However, the DLM still needs much research to investigate its effectiveness concerning students' motivation in general, as well as its interrelation with teaching and learning the AL, as, to date, there is no research concerning the DLM with the AL as a first or second language for native or non-native speakers. This study will build on the knowledge of teaching, using the DLM in general as well as in teaching the AL for non-native and native speakers. The DLM is a method that provides multiple options for students to choose to do their reading, writing, homework and assignments in the AL. As a result, this method can be interesting and motivate students to learn the AL. It is also an appropriate method for helping to increase the motivation of students and meet their needs

and learning style. It is crucial to pay serious attention to the diversity of students in a classroom and recognize their ability despite of accepting their limitations. The DLM is important and effective in understanding subjects and helping students to succeed and increase their performance. Teaching and learning in the classroom can be done in more than one way (Tomlinson, 2008).

1.4 Research Objectives

1.4.1 General Objective

The general objective of this study is to help increase students' motivation and achievement in learning the AL by using the differentiated learning method (DLM).

1.4.2 Specific Objectives

This study aims to achieve the following objectives:

1. To evaluate the effect of the DLM and TCM on students' motivation to learn the AL.
2. To determine the effect of the DLM and TCM on students' reading achievement in the AL.
3. To compare the effect of the DLM and TCM on students' grammar achievement in the AL.
4. To determine the effect of the DLM and TCM on students' writing achievement in the AL.
5. To compare the effect of the DLM and TCM on students' overall achievement in the AL (reading, grammar, and writing) based on the post-test scores.

1.5 Research Hypotheses

The hypotheses of this research are non-directional because the cited literature does not provide sufficient information to specify the direction of the differences. The following are the null hypotheses for this study:

Hypothesis 1

H01 (a): There is no significant difference in the mean scores for motivation between the pre-test and post-test in the DLM group towards studying the AL.

H01 (b): There is no significant difference in the mean scores for motivation between the pre-test and post-test in the TCM group towards studying the AL.

H01 (c): There is no significant difference in the mean scores for motivation in the post-test between the DLM and the TCM groups towards studying the AL.

Hypothesis 2

H02 (a): There is no significant difference in the mean scores for reading achievement between the pre-test and post-test in the DLM group.

H02 (b): There is no significant difference in the mean scores for reading achievement between the pre-test and post-test in the TCM group.

H02 (c): There is no significant difference in the mean scores for reading achievement between the DLM and TCM groups in the post-test.

Hypothesis 3

H03 (a): There is no significant difference in the mean scores for grammar achievement between the pre-test and post-test in the DLM group.

H03 (b): There is no significant difference in the mean scores for grammar achievement between the pre-test and post-test in the TCM group.

H03 (c): There is no significant difference in the mean scores for grammar achievement between the DLM and TCM groups in the post-test.

Hypothesis 4

H04 (a): There is no significant difference in the mean scores for writing achievement between the pre-test and post-test in the DLM group.

H04 (b): There is no significant difference in the mean scores for writing achievement between the pre-test and post-test in the TCM group.

H04 (c): There is no significant difference in the mean scores for writing achievement between the DLM and TCM groups in the post-test.

Hypothesis 5

H05: There is no significant difference in the mean scores for the post-test between the DLM and TCM groups in the overall achievement in the AL (reading, grammar, and writing).

1.6 Significance of the Study

This study considers that the differentiated learning method in teaching is important for several reasons. Firstly, to improve the methods currently used for teaching the AL to native and non-native speakers around the world still requires considerable research. In addition, to date, there is a gap between the methods of teaching the AL and technology. Using the DLM, this study will not only contribute towards teaching the AL in Malaysia to non-native speakers but also contribute towards teaching the AL to native speakers. Furthermore, this study contributes to the body of knowledge by comparing the usefulness of the new method in teaching the AL to the conventional method of teaching the AL.

Secondly, using the differentiated learning method in teaching has proved effective in mathematics, science and English classes, and this current study investigates the possible effects of the DLM in teaching Arabic as a foreign language. In addition, this present study will help to provide further understanding of the DLM in relation to teaching and learning the AL, as this is the first study, as far as the researcher knows, that uses the DLM in teaching and learning the AL for native and non-native speakers. Furthermore, studies that investigate the effect of the DLM on secondary schools and any foreign language are limited, and research to prove the effectiveness of the DLM in teaching a foreign language in secondary schools is still needed. In addition, this study contributes and adds evidence towards the learning theory and DI models in respect of the effectiveness of using the differentiated content, process and product in the learning process, in general, and, specifically, in relation to teaching and learning the AL (writing, reading and grammar).

Thirdly, this study will help teachers deal with differentiated learning in the classroom and use the DLM by giving them valuable information and guidelines concerning how to apply the DLM, and examples for when teaching the AL. Specifically, these include how to use the textbooks and teach classes with different needs, interests, and readiness by using different strategies, assignments, and activities. In addition, this study provides teachers with some examples for activities for writing, reading and grammar to motivate students and meet their needs.

Fourth, this study will help to motivate students by providing them with methods that are tailored based on their interests to capture their attention so they can learn effectively. It will help students to achieve more in the AL as a second language. In addition, this study will help

students to learn Arabic grammar in an easy way by providing them with various options of activities that can explain the concept of the complex grammar more effectively. This study can help students learn based on their ability by providing them with assignments with different difficulty levels (high, middle and low), which can be increased steadily and continuously. Therefore, students can learn effectively according to their level and readiness. In addition, this study will help students to increase their thinking skills, critical thinking, creativity and develop new ideas by creating shapes and explanation when applying different products. Moreover, this study can help secondary students to increase their motivation and achievement in learning the Arabic language, including its grammar, reading and writing. In addition, this study will help students improve their performance in reading the Holy Qur'an. In short, this study resolves many of the problems of Malaysian students pertaining to grammar, writing and reading, as well as improves their motivation and achievement in respect of the AL.

Finally, the method of teaching the AL used in this study can be useful for all secondary schools in Malaysia for the teaching and learning of the AL (reading, writing and grammar). The findings will provide the foundation for future studies in the DLM and the Arabic language. This study provides insights into the positive impact of the DLM on students' motivation and achievement while learning the AL. It provides educators with empirical evidence concerning the usefulness of the DLM and can be used as a reference by other researchers in the future who are concerned with the DLM.

1.7 Limitations of the Study

In every research, there are limitations that the researcher tries to control, for example, unwanted variables. One of the limitations of the present study is that the findings of this study cannot be generalized to native speakers because this study was done on non-native speakers in religious secondary schools in which the AL is taught as a subject.

Another limitation is that this study focused on grammar, reading and writing. Therefore, the findings cannot be generalized or applied to listening, rhetoric or poems. Moreover, this study focused on the AL for four students; therefore, the results cannot be generalized to elementary or high schools. In addition, this study focused on the AL, and, hence, assessed the effect of the DLM in teaching the AL, so the findings cannot be applied to other subjects, such as mathematics or science.

Finally, this research used a quasi-experimental study for 14 weeks, so the results cannot be applied using descriptive study. It also focused on students rather than teachers.

1.8 Operational Definition of Terms

1.8.1 Differentiated Learning Method (DLM)

Differentiated learning is also known as differentiated instruction and differentiation. Harris (2013) defined differentiated learning as a method of teaching that intends to reach all the students in one class regardless of their differences, learning styles and abilities. While Jager (2011) defined differentiated learning in terms of the activities, which include all the learner's abilities, and is designed to address all the learners' needs.

In this study, the differentiated learning method (DLM) is used in order to provide an effective teaching method that provides flexibility in teaching students during the study of the AL as a foreign language. It employs a method of teaching and activities that meet the learners' interests, needs, prior knowledge and readiness by using differentiated content, differentiated process and differentiated product.

The components of the DLM are differentiated content, differentiated process and differentiated product. Differentiated content in this study is defined as providing students with several and multiple options, materials and activities while aligning them with the objectives and goals of their textbook in AL. The differentiated process provides the students with tiered activities and multiple options that help students to become interested and engaged in the learning process. While differentiated products in this study refers to asking students to demonstrate their knowledge by using their ideas based on their learning style by writing, drawing, speaking or anything they prefer to use.

1.8.2 Motivation

Motivation is a psychological state within us to move us to perform a specific behaviour in a particular direction to achieve a specific objective (Al-Afnann, 2010). The motivation to learn a second language is the effort by the individual to achieve the goals of learning the target language because of a desire and a positive attitude towards the language (Gardner et al., 1983; Gardner & Tremblay, 1994).

In this study, motivation refers to the level of motivation to be earned by the students on the motivation questionnaire that contains intrinsic values, extrinsic values, cost values, study habits, ability beliefs, and expectancy for success towards learning the AL as a foreign language in writing, reading, and grammar.

1.8.3 Achievement

Achievement is defined as the evaluation of the learners' performance at the end of the lessons based on the feedback on what the learners have learned. Kirembwe (2006) defined achievement as academically succeeded in meeting the objectives determined by the curricula of the AL as a second language in Malaysia.

In this study, achievement refers to the results of the exams based on the students' grades in the writing, reading and grammar tests.

1.8.4 Teacher-Centred Method (TCM)

Tomlinson defined the teacher-centred method (TCM) "as teacher-directed lecture approach, which means that the teacher inside the class dominates the whole class and provides a single standard for grading students' performances" (Tomlinson, 2000).

In this study, the TCM refers to the idea of having the teacher conduct and control the whole class as well as explain the textbook by using a conventional method. Students in TCM do not take any role in the class except listening to the teacher's explanation and following their steps and instructions.

1.9 Summary

The Arabic language is the language of the Holy book Al-Qur'an, and, hence, is of great value and an important language among Malay Muslims. Malay Muslims learn the AL at an early age in order to perform their religion, which is why it is taught at all levels – from the primary until secondary school.

The Arabic language has its own complex structure grammar and extensive vocabulary, which could make studying Arabic a difficult subject for non-native speakers. Many students around the world consider the Arabic language to be a difficult subject and face problems during learning its grammar (Abdullah, 1999; Abdullah, 2005; Awang, 2013). Even native speakers face a problem in learning

the AL and consider its grammar to be a difficult subject (Al-Afnann, 2010; Kanaan, 2012).

Educators and teachers try many types of teaching method to capture students' motivation towards the Arabic language. One of the important methods that is interrelated with students' needs is the differentiated learning method (DLM). The DLM is an approach to teaching that provides an effective method for students by using differentiated content, process, and product to meet students' needs (Tomlinson, 1999; Waterman, 2005). The DLM has been accepted and set to work in education and it is efficient and effective in increasing students' motivation and achievement in the learning process (Subban, 2006; Kondor, 2007). This study investigates the effect of the DLM on students' motivation and achievement towards the AL as a foreign language in Malaysia. Furthermore, to date, there is no study that has investigated the effect of using the DLM in the teaching of the Arabic language as a first or second language for native or non-native speakers. This current study is the first study that examines the differentiated learning method in teaching the AL (writing, reading and grammar).

Hence, this present study aims to investigate the effects of the DLM in teaching the AL on students' motivation and achievement for non-native speakers in Malaysia. It is expected that using the DLM in teaching the AL can improve students' motivation and achievement towards learning the Arabic language (writing, reading and grammar) by using differentiated content, differentiated process, and differentiated product.

The next chapter explains the method of teaching (DLM) that has been used in this study as well as the elements of the DLM – differentiated content, differentiated process, and differentiated product – in detail. In addition, it explains the DLM theory and reviews the previous research and theories to support this study.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. English References

- Abu-Bakar, K., Sulaiman, N. F. & Razaai, Z. A., (2009). Self-Determination Theory And Motivational Orientations Of Arabic Learners: A *Principal Component Analysis GEMA Online™ Journal of Language Studies* 71Volume 10(1) 2010, 71
- Alavinia, P. & S. Farhady (2012). "Teaching Vocabulary through Differentiated Instruction: Insights from Multiple Intelligences and Learning Styles." *Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods* 2(4): 73.
- Alber, R. (2010). *Defining Defferentiated Instruction*. Retrieved June 6, 2012 from the eduTpiQ Web site: <http://www.edutopia.org/blog/differentiated-instruction-definition-strategies-alber>.
- AL-Hasmy, H. A. (2006) *The Role of Educational Supervisors in Developing Teaching Skills: The Perception of Arabic language in Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia*. (Unpublished master dissertation) International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM).
- Alkhasawneh, S, Rahman, F Abd, Ayub, AF bin M, & Daud, S bin M. (2012). Developing Multimedia Text for Reading Comprehension Based on Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Teaching. *International Journal of Innovative Ideas (IJII)*, 12 (4).
- Alkhasawneh, S. (2013). *The Effect of Web-Based Teaching On Female Jordanian Basic School Students' Arabic Language Reading Comprehension Achievement And Motivation*. (Unpublished PhD dissertation). UPM.
- Altintas, E. & Ozdemir, A.S. (2014). The evaluation of the developed differentiation approach: Students' achievements and opinions. *Scopus, Anthropologist*, 18(2): 433-446.
- Ankrum, J. W & Bean R. M. (2008) Differentiated reading instruction: What and how. *Reading Horizons, ebscohost*. 48 (2), 6.
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Razavieh, A., & Sorensen, C. (2006). *Introducation to research in education* (7th ed.). Belmont: Tomson Wadsworth.

- Atkinson, E. S. (1999). "Key factors influencing pupil motivation in design and technology." *Journal of Technology Education*. V 10, N 2.
- Atkinson, J. W., & Feather, N. T. (1966). *A theory of achievement motivation* (Vol. 66): Wiley New York.
- Awang, N. A., Mohamed, M. H., & Sulaiman, R. (2013). Enhancing Arabic Speaking Skills among Malay Students through Group Work Activities. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 3.
- Babbie, E. (2011). *The Basics of Social Research*. WADSWORTH CENGAGE Learning USA Six Education.
- Baharudin, S., Ismail, M., Ismail, I., & Nasir, S. M. (2008). STAR: Story telling for Arabic language. 8, 143-146. *IJCSNS International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*, VOL.8 No.10, October 2008
- Bar-Tal, D. (1978). Attributional analysis of achievement-related behavior. *Review of Educational Research*, 48(2), 259-271.
- Benjamin, A. (2003). *Differentiated instruction: A guide for middle and high school teachers*: Eye on Education, USA. Inc.
- Berger, S. L. (1991). Differentiating curriculum for gifted students: *ERIC Clearinghouse on Handicapped and Gifted Children*.
- Blenkin, G. M., Edwards, G., & Kelly, A. V. (1992). *Change and the Curriculum*. London. Sage Publications Ltd.
- Bobbitt, F. (2004). *Scientific Method in Curriculum-making. The Curriculum Studies Reader*. Flinders & Thornton. New York, Routledge Falmer. 2nd ed.
- Bouteldjoune, A. (2012). Motivation in Foreign Language Learning Settings: The Case of Arabic in the USA. [Online data based]. Retrieved December 29, 2013. From <http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/theses/868/>
- Bradfield, A. D. (2013). The Effects of Differentiated Instruction on Struggling Readers in First Grade. WALDEN UNIVERSITY. ProQuest.

- Burgstahler, S. (2011). "Universal design: Implications for computing education" *ACM Transactions on Computing Education (TOCE)* 11(3): 19.
- CAST UDL TOOLKITS: (2002-2013). UDL: Planning for All Learners (PAL). (n. d.). Retrieved May 22, 2012, from http://www.cast.org/teachingeverystudent/toolkits/tk_introduction.cfm?tk_id=21
- Che Mat, A. C., Zakaria, H. A., & Jusoff, K. (2009). The Importance of Arabic Language in Malaysian Tourism Industry: *Trends during 1999-2004. Canadian Social Science, 5(4), 12-17.*
- Chen, J. J., & Weiland, L. (2007). Helping young children learn mathematics: Strategies for meeting the needs of diverse learners. *EXCHANGE-EXCHANGE PRESS-*, 174, 46.
- Cheng, A. (2006). Effects of differentiated curriculum and instruction on Taiwanese EFL students' motivation, anxiety and interest. University of Southern California. *ProQuest.*
- Clark, K., Assinder, S., Baldwin, C. (2007). *Differentiated Learning (Stretching the most able)* A report of a forum for invited participants sponsored by the Centre for Bioscience, Higher Education Academy. University of Leeds.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Second Edition. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research methods in education* (6th ed.). London: Routledge Falmer.
- Conley, A. M., & Karabenick, S. A. (2006). Construct validity issues in the measurement of Motivation to Learn. *Ann Arbor, East University 1001, 48109.*
- Dahab, M. I. (1999). *Evaluation of the Arabic Language integrated curriculum for Secondary Schools (KBSM)*. Unpublished PhD dissertation. Bangi: Fakulti Pendidikan, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM).
- Demachkie, M. O., & Oweini, A. (2011). Using the collaborative strategic reading strategy to improve seventh graders' reading comprehension in arabic: A pilot study. *International Journal of Pedagogies & Learning, 6(3), 219-231.* Retrieved on December 13, 2013 from

<http://search.proquest.com/docview/1022037217?accountid=27932>

- Dimitrov, D. M. & Rumrill, P. D. (2003). Pretest-posttest designs and measurement of change. *Work: A Journal of Prevention, Assessment and Rehabilitation*.20, 159-165.
- Dörnyei, Z. (1994). Motivation and motivating in the foreign language classroom. *The modern language journal*, 78(3), 273-284.
- Dörnyei, Z. (1998). Motivation in second and foreign language learning. *Language teaching, Cambridge journal Univ Press*, 31(3), 117-135.
- Downey, M. & Kelly, A. V. (1986). *Theory and practice of education*, 3rd ed. British: Atheneum Press, Newcastle upon Tyne.
- Dugard, P. & Todman, J. (1995). "Analysis of Pre-test-Post-test Control Group Designs in Educational Research." *Educational Psychology* 15(2): 181-198.
- Ebata, M. (2008). "Motivation factors in language learning." *The Internet TESL Journal* 14(4).
- Eccles, J. S., & Wigfield, A. (1995). In the mind of the actor: The structure of adolescents' achievement task values and expectancy-related beliefs. *SAGE Social Science collections*, Vol. 21No.3. 215-225.
- Eccles, J. S., & Wigfield, A. (2002). Motivational beliefs, values, and goals. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53(1), 109-132.
- Eccles, J.S. (1984). Sex differences in achievement patterns. In T.Sonderegger (Ed.), *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation* (vol 32, pp 97-132). Lincoln, NE; University of Nebraska Press
- Eccles, J.S., Adler, T.F., Futterman, R. Goff, S.B, Kaczala, C.M., Meece, J.L., & Midgley, C. (1983). Expectancies, values, and academic behaviors. In J.T. Spence (Ed.) *Achievement and achievement motivation* (pp 75-146), San Francisco, CA: W.H. Freedom
- Ellerbrock, R. S. (2011) *Differentiated Instruction in an Inclusive 5th Grade Cotaught Classroom*. (Unpublished master dissertation). Bowling Green State University.

- Feather, N.T. (1982) *Expectations and Actions: Expectancy-Value Models in Psychology*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Freestone, N. (2012). "Differentiated learning." *Journal The Higher Education Academy*. 10.11120
- Ferrier, A. M. (2007). The effects of differentiated instruction on academic achievement in a second-grade science classroom. (Order No. 3255550, Walden University). ProQuest Dissertations and Theses, , 193-193 p. Retrieved on 23 March 2014 from <http://search.proquest.com/docview/304766924?accountid=27932>. (304766924).
- Freire, P. (1985). *The politics of education: Culture, power, and liberation*. 6th ed. Greenwood Publishing Group.
- Frymier, A. B. (1993). The impact of teacher immediacy on students' motivation: Is it the same for all students? *Communication Quarterly*, 41(4), 454-464.
- Frymier, A. B., & Shulman, G. M. (1995). What's in it for me? : Increasing content relevance to enhance students' motivation. *Communication Education*, 44(1), 40-50.
- Gardner, R. C., & Tremblay, P. F. (1994). On Motivation, Research Agendas, and Theoretical Frameworks¹. *The Modern Language Journal*, 78(3), 359-368.
- Gardner, R. C., & Lambert, W. E. (1972). Attitudes and motivation in second language learning. *Rowley, Mass.*
- Gardner, R. C., Lalonde, R. N., & Pierson, R. (1983). The socio-educational model of second language acquisition: An investigation using LISREL causal modeling. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 2(1), 1-15.
- Gardner, R.C. (1985). *Social Psychology and Second Language Learning: The Role of Attitudes and Motivation*. London: Edward Arnold.
- Gardner, Robert C, & Lambert, Wallace E. (1959). Motivational variables in second-language acquisition. *Canadian Journal of Psychology/Revue canadienne de psychologie*, 13(4), 266.

- Good, M. E. (2006). *Differentiated Instruction: Principles and Techniques for the Elementary Grades*. (Unpublished Master dissertation). University of California. WorldCat.
- Gregory, G. H., & Chapman, C. (2012). *Differentiated instructional strategies: One size doesn't fit all*. London: SAGE.
- Guido, D. T. (2003). A study of the relationship between student motivation and student achievement as measured by class grade point averages and Delaware student testing program (DSTP) scores in reading and mathematics. (Order No. 3113385, Wilmington College (Delaware). *ProQuest Dissertations and Theses*, 146-146 p. Retrieved from <http://search.proquest.com/docview/305232631?accountid=27932>. (305232631).
- Hall, T. (2002). Differentiated instruction: Effective Classroom Practices Report. *National Center on Accessing the General Curriculum (NCAC)*.
- Hall, T., Strangman, N., & Meyer, A. (2003). Differentiated instruction and implications for UDL implementation. *Wakefield, MA: National Center on Accessing the General Curriculum*. No. H324H990004
- Handoo, B. L. (2008, April 13). How To Teach Children With Different Abilities?. Retrieved April 13, 2011 from <http://drhandoo.blogspot.com/2008/10/how-to-teach-children-with-different.html>
- Hansen, G. F. (2010). Word Recognition in Arabic as a Foreign Language. *The Modern Language Journal*, 94(4), 567-581
- Haron, S. C., Ahmad, I. S., Mamat, A., & Mohamed, I. H. A. (2010). Understanding Arabic-speaking skill learning strategies among selected Malay learners: A case-study at the International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM). *Contemporary Issues in Education Research (CIER)*, 3(8), 9-20.
- Haron, S. C. (2013). The Teaching Methodology of Arabic Speaking Skills: Learners' Perspectives. *International Education Studies*, 6(2), p55.
- Harris, B. (2013). What are some different teaching methods?. Wise GEEK clear answers for common questions. Retrieved June 26,

2011 from <http://www.wisegeek.com/what-are-some-different-teaching-methods.htm#>

Harris, L. (2011). What are the difficulties with differentiated instruction?. Retrieved on June 15, 2012 from http://www.ehow.com/info_7901173_difficulties-differentiated-instruction.html.

Harter, S. (1981). A new self-report scale of intrinsic versus extrinsic orientation in the classroom: Motivational and informational components. *Developmental Psychology*, 17, 300-312

Hassan, I. (2014). *Teaching the Arabic Language: Problems in language or the speakers*. Paper presented at the meeting of the II International Conference "Methods of Teaching Oriental Languages: Actual Problems and Trends." May 14-15, 2014. Beirut.

Hawatemeh, A. H. R. (2000). *Factors influencing the effectiveness of teaching Arabic as a foreign language at the federal government Islamic higher secondary school in the State Of Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia*. (Unpublished master dissertation). IIUM University

Heacox, D. (2002). *Differentiating instruction in the regular classroom: How to reach and teach all learners, grades 3-12*, Minneapolis, MN. Free Spirit Publishing Co. ETFO.

Hickerson, D. (2012). The Impact of differentiated Reading Homework Assignments on Students' Attitudes toward Homework. Motivation to Read, Interest in Reading and Reading Achievement. *Walden university. ProQuest LLC* (2012).

Hilmi, M. I. (2009). The strategy of teaching Arabic Language in Slatiga Islamic university. Retrieved, October 10, 2011 from <http://www.atida.org/makal.php?id=193&com=1>

Hitchcock, C., Meyer, A., Rose, D., & Jackson. R. (2002). "Providing new access to the general curriculum universal design for learning." *Teaching Exceptional Children* 35(2): 8-17.

Hitchcock, C., Meyer, A., Rose, D., & Jackson. R. (2002). "Technical brief: Access, participation, and progress in the general curriculum." Wakefield, MA: National Center on Accessing the curriculum. Retrieved, (NCAC) Retrieved February 15, 2011

from
http://aim.cast.org/learn/historyarchive/backgroundpapers/tech_brief

Huitt, W., Hummel, J., & Kaeck, D. (1999) Internal and External Validity. General Issues, Retrieved, February 15, 2011 from http://www.islamonline.net/servlet/Satellite?c=ArticleA_C&cid=1180421194966&pagename=Zone-Arabic-ArtCulture%2FACALayout
<http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/topics/intro/valdgn.html>

Husseinali, G. (2005). Why Are You Learning Arabic? Orientations, Motivation and Achievement. *EREC*. N ED532415

Husseinali, G. (2006). Who is studying Arabic and why? A survey of Arabic students' orientations at a major university. *Accademia.edu. Foreign Language Annals*, Vol, 39, N 3, 395-412.

Hussin, N. Z. (2000). *Motivation factors for foreign language teachers*, (Unpublished Master dissertation). International Islamic University Malaysia IIUM 2.

Ismail, m. R., & Pa, M. T. (2006). *Introduction to the linguistic juxtaposition between the Malaysia language and Arabic language. Pengajaran Dan Pembelajaran Bahasa Arab di Malaysia* (1 ed., pp. 111, 145). Kuala Lumpur: Penerbit University Malaya.

Islamic Education Department (2013). Taklimat Pelaporan Program j-QAF 2013 : Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia. Retrieved January 14, 2015. from: www.bpi.edu.my.

Jaasma, M. A., & Koper, R. J. (1999). The relationship of student-faculty out-of-class communication to instructor immediacy and trust and to student motivation. *Communication Education*, 48(1), 41-47.

Jager, T. d. (2011). Guidelines to assist the implementation of differentiated learning activities in South African secondary schools. [pdf]. *International Journal of inclusive Education*, 16.

Jassem, A.J. (2000). *Study on Second Language Learners of Arabic: An Error Analysis Approach*. A.S.Noordeen. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

- Johnsen, S. (2003). Adapting instruction with heterogenous groups. *Gifted Child Today*, 26(3), 5-6
- Jonassen, D. H., & Grabowski, B. L. H. (1993). *Handbook of individual differences, learning, and instruction*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Kadum-Bošnjak, S. & B. Buršič-Križanac (2012). "Impact Of Differentiated Instruction On Achievement In Teaching Mathematics To Lower-Stage Grades." *Metodički obzori* 7(15): 15-29. UDK: 373.1:51:373.32
- Kalin, J., & Valenčič-Zuljan, M., (2013). "Views of Slovenian Teachers on Differentiated Learning in Elementary School1." *Miomir Despotović Emina Hebib*: UDC 371.31:373.3]:371.213.1 (497.4). 71-85.
- Keith, T. Z., & Cool, V. A. (1992). Testing models of school learning: Effects of quality of instruction, motivation, academic coursework, and homework on academic achievement. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 7(3), 207-226.
- Kelly, A. V. (2009). *The curriculum: Theory and practice*. 6th ed. London: SAGE
- Kirembwe, R. A. (2004). *Effect of cooperative learning on achievements in Arabic writing and social skills for form two students in Selangor, Malaysia*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation) University Putra Malaysia (UPM).
- Kirembwe, R. A. (2006). *Arabic Language Programs in Malaysia Need More Scientific Attention*. Paper presented at the meeting of ASIA C@LL Asia Association of Computer Assisted Language Learning 27- 29TH November 2006 (KRF 8A0245) <http://www.asiacall.org>.
- Kirk, R. E. (1982). Experimental design. Wiley Online Library. Retrieved, October 10, 2011 from http://www.corwin.com/upm-data/29173_Millsap__Chapter_2.pdf
- King-Shaver, B., & Hunter, A. (2003). *Differentiated instruction in the English classroom*. USA: Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Klinger, E. (1966). Fantasy need achievement as a motivational construct. *Psychological Bulletin*, 66,291-308.

- Knope, A. (2012). Differentiated Learning: the Pros and Cons. Retrieved March 23, 2013 from http://teacherlinx.com/?_escaped_fragment_=/lesson/4605
- Koeze, P. A. (2007). *Differentiated instruction: the effect on students' achievement in an elementary school*. (Unpublished PhD dissertation) Eastern Michigan University. Digital Commons @ EMU1-1
- Kolb, A. Y. (2005). The Kolb learning style inventory-version 3.1 2005 technical specifications. *Boston, MA: Hay Resource Direct*.
- Köller, O., Baumert, J., & Schnabel, K. (2001). Does interest matter? The relationship between academic interest and achievement in mathematics. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 448-470.
- Kondor, C. A. H. (2007). One Size May Not Fit All, But the Right Teaching Strategies Might: The Effects of Differentiated Instruction on the Motivation of Talented and Gifted Students. *Institute of Education Sciences ERIC*. ED497701
- Latta, R. M. (1974). *The Influence of Achievement Motivation, Success, and Intended Effort on Behavioral Intensity*. Paper presented at the annual Iowa City. IA: Midwestern psychological Association meetings, Chicago, Illinois, May 2, 1974.
- Lawrence-Brown, D (2004). "Differentiated Instruction: Inclusive Strategies For Standards- Based Learning That Benefit The Whole Class". *American Secondary Education* 32 (3): 34–62.
- Leech, N. L., Barrett, K. C., & Morgan, G. A. (2008). *SPSS For Intermediate Statistics Use and Interpretation*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Li, P., & Pan, G. (2009). The Relationship between Motivation and Achievement—A Survey of the Study Motivation of English Majors in Qingdao Agricultural University. *English Language Teaching*, 2(1), P123.
- Lightbown, P. M., Spada, N., Ranta, L., & Rand, J. (2006). *How languages are learned* (Vol. 2): Oxford University Press Oxford.
- Lodico, M. G., Spaulding, D. T., & Voegtle, K. H. (2006). *Methods in educational research* (1ed.): JOSSEY-BASS A Wiley Imprint.

- Luthans, K. W. (1992). *Social Learning Analysis of Behavioral Management*. Amazon: Handbook of Organization performance, 391
- Lyons, A. J. (2001). Paulo Freire's Educational Theory. Retrieved November 26, 2011 from [http://www. New foundations .com/GALLERY/ Freire.html](http://www.Newfoundations.com/GALLERY/Freire.html)
- Madkour, A. A. (2010). *Strategies of teaching Arabic Language*. (2 ed.). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia Daru Almasirah p102.
- Mahadi, T. S. T., & Jafari, S. M. (2012). Motivation, Its Types, and Its Impacts in Language Learning. *International Journal of Business and Social science*, 3.
- Mastropieri, M. A., Scruggs, T. E., Norland, J. J., Berkeley, S., McDuffie, K., Tornquist, E. H., & Connors, N. (2006). Differentiated Curriculum Enhancement in Inclusive Middle School Science Effects on Classroom and High-Stakes Tests. *The Journal of Special Education*, 40(3), 130-137.
- McClelland, D. (1968). Achievement motivation training for potential high school dropouts: Cambridge, MA: Harvard University, Graduate School of Education. *ERIC Document Reproduction Service Number ED 029 067*.
- McQuarrie, L., McRae, P., & Stack-Cutler, H. (2008). Differentiated instruction provincial research review. Edmonton: *Alberta Initiative for School Improvement (AISI) Cycle 2*.
- Midraj, J. & Midraj, S. (2011a). "Parental Involvement and Grade Four Students' English Reading Achievement." *International Journal of Applied Educational Studies* 12(1).
- Midraj, S., & Midraj, J. (2011b). Parental Involvement And Grade Four Students Arabic Reading Achievement. *European Journal of Educational Studies*, 3, 2.
- Mitchell, M. L., & Jolley, J. M. (2010). *Research design Explained*. (1 ed) USA: Wadsworth CengageBrain Learning. 321-328
- Mohammed, A.-R. H. (1996). *Contrastive Studies between Arabic and Malay Language*. Kuala Lumpur Malaysia. A. S. NOORDEEN.

- Morgan, H. (2013). Maximizing Student Success with Differentiated Learning. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, 87(1), 34-38.
- Mori, S. (2004). Significant motivational predictors of the amount of reading by EFL learners in Japan. *RELC Journal*, 35(1), 63-81.
- Mustapha, N. F. b. (2011). *Reading Strategies and the Related Impact on Students' Reading Comprehension among Arabic Students in Putra Malaysia College Knowledge and Humanities Sciences*. (Unpublished PhD dissertation). International Islamic University Malaysia IIUM
- Muthomi, M. W. & Mbugua, Z. K. (2014). "Effectiveness of Differentiated Instruction on Secondary School Students Achievement in Mathematics." *International Journal of Applied Science and Technology*4(1).
- Obeidat, M. (2005). Attitudes and motivation in second language learning. *Journal of faculty of Education, UAEU*, 18 (22), 1-17.
- Ontario, Ministry of Education (2005). "Education for All". Retrieved January 16, 2013. From <http://find.gov.on.ca/?searchType=simple&owner=edu&url=&collection=educationtcu&offset=0&lang=en&type=ANY&q=education+for+all+the+report+of+the+expert+panel>.
- Painter, D. D. (2009). "Providing Differentiated Learning Experiences through Multi genre Projects." *Intervention in School and Clinic* 44(5): 288-293.
- Pallant, J. (2005). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS*. (12 ed) Australia: ALLEN & UNWIN. Open University Press.
- Petersen, T. J. (2008). The spill-over effect: An examination of differentiated curriculum designs in a heterogeneous classroom. (Order No. 3325095, University of Southern California). *ProQuest Dissertations and Theses*, 231-n/a.
- Péter-Szarka, S. (2008) Motivation to Learn Foreign Language. *University of Debrecen, Department of Educational Psychology Aspects*. 66

- Pintrich, P. R. (2003). A motivational science perspective on the role of student motivation in learning and teaching contexts. *Journal of educational psychology*, 95(4), 667.
- Pintrich, P.R., Smith, D.A.F., Garcia, T., & McKeachie, W.J. (1991). *A manual for the use of the motivated strategies for learning questionnaire (MSLQ)*. The Regents, University of Michigan.
- Pintrich, P. R., Smith, D. A., F., Garcia, T., & McKeachie, W. J. (1993). Reliability and predictive validity of the motivated strategies for learning questionnaire (MSLQ). *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 53, 801–813.
- Quick, T. L. (1988). Expectancy theory in five simple steps. *Training and Development Journal*, 42(7), 30-32.
- Razali, N. M., & Wah, Y. B. (2011). Power comparisons of shapiro-wilk, kolmogorov-smirnov, lilliefors and anderson-darling tests. *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics*, 2(1), 21-33.
- Reis, S. M., et al. (2011). "The effects of differentiated instruction and enrichment pedagogy on reading achievement in five elementary schools." *American Educational Research Journal* 48(2): 462-501.
- Reynolds, A. G. (1991). *Bilingualism, Multiculturalism, and Second Language Acquisition*. (1 ed) New York: by Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Richmond, V. P. (1990). Communication in the classroom: Power and motivation. *Communication & Education*, 39(3), 181-195.
- Roger, J. C. (2009) Understanding the Adult Learners' Motivation and Barriers to Learning. *Education Society for Research on the Education of Adults (ESREA)* 6-8.
- Rokeach, M. (1973). *The Nature of Human Values*. New York: Free Press.
- Rose, D., & Meyer, A. (2002). Teaching every student in the digital age: Universal design for learning. Retrived on 26 Desember from <http://www.cast.org/teachingeverystudent/ideas/tes/>
- Ryan, R.M. (1992). *Agency and organization: Intrinsic motivation, autonomy, and the self in psychological development*. In J.

- Jacobs (Ed.), Nebraska Symposium on Motivation (Vol., pp. 1-56). Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press.
- Sahid, M. M. & KHEIR, M. M. (2013). *Prosiding Seminar Pendidikan Bahasa Arab Selangor*. Prosiding Seminar Pendidikan Bahasa Arab Peringkat Negeri Selangor Kali Ke-2 Tahun 2013: Pusat Bahasa Arab Negeri Selangor.
- Samah, R. (2012). Proceedings from PKEBAR '12 UKM National Conference on Teaching and Learning Arabic: *Issues of Learning Arabic Language*.
- Shawashreh, A. (2007). *Effectiveness of the program in educational guidance in raising achievement motivation to the student with low motivation in school (Case Study)* (Unpublished PhD dissertation). Open University (OUM)
- Simpkins, P. M., M. A. Mastropieri, et al. (2009). "Differentiated curriculum enhancements in inclusive fifth-grade science classes." *Remedial and Special Education*, 30(5): 300-308.
- Smith, M. K. (1996, 2000) 'Curriculum theory and practice' the encyclopedia of informal education. Retrieved March 25, 2012 from <http://infed.org/mobi/curriculum-theory-and-practice/>
- Smith, P. C. (2006). The Effects of Technology-Integrated Differentiated Instruction on Language Arts Achievement. Retrieved October 29, 2010 from <http://chiron.valdosta.edu/are/vol5no2/PDF/AREarticlesVol5no2/SmithP-AREarticle-vol5no2.pdf>
- Spence, J. (1983). *Achievement and achievement motives*. San Francisco, CA: W. H. Freeman and Company.
- Subban, P. (2006). Differentiated instruction: A research basis. *International Education Journal*, 7(7), 935-947.
- Theisen, T. (2002). Differentiated instruction in the foreign language classroom: Meeting the diverse needs of all learners. *Austin, TX: Languages Other Than English Center for Educator Development (LOTECED)*.
- Tobin, R., & McInnes, A. (2008). Accommodating differences: Variations in differentiated literacy instruction in grade 2/3 classrooms. *Literacy, Wiley Online Library*. 42(1), 3-9.

- Tobin, R., & Tippet, C. D. (2013). Possibilities and Potential Barriers: Learning To Plan For Differentiated Instruction In Elementary Science. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 1-21.
- Tomlinson, C. A. (1999). *The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners*, USA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (Ascd).
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2000). Differentiation of Instruction in the Elementary Grades, ERIC Digest. *ERIC Clearinghouse on Elementary and Early Childhood Education ED443572*.
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2001). *How to differentiate instruction in mixed-ability classrooms*. (2nd ed) Beauregard USA: (ASCD) Association for Supervision & Curriculum Development.
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2005). Grading and differentiation: Paradox or good practice? *Theory into Practice*, 44(3), ProQuest Education Journals Pg. 262-269.
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2008). Mapping a Route toward Differentiated Instruction. *Educational Leadership*, 57: 12-17.
- Tomlinson, C. A., Brighton, C., Hertberg, H., Callahan, C. M., Moon, T. R., Brimijoin, K., et al. (2003). Differentiating instruction in response to student readiness, interest, and learning profile in academically diverse classrooms: A review of literature. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*, 27(2/3), 119-145.
- Tomlinson, C. A., Disabilities, E. C. o., & Education, G. (1995). Differentiating instruction for advanced learners in the mixed-ability middle school classroom: ERIC Clearinghouse on Disabilities and Gifted Education, the Council for Exceptional Children. (ERIC ED389141)
- Tassel-Baska, J. V. (2003). Differentiating the language arts for high ability learners, K–8. ERIC Digest. Arlington, VA: ERIC Clearinghouse on Disabilities and Gifted Education. (ERIC Document No. ED 474306)
- Tassel-Baska, J. V. (2012). A Teacher's Guide To Differentiating Instruction. "Sahled". Better Education Better Life. Retrieved on September 2013 from: <http://www.sahledu.com/sm/A-Teacher%E2%80%99s-Guide-to-Differentiating-Instruction/MzE=>

- Trautwein, U., Ludtke, O., Koller, O., & Kastens, C., (2006). Effort on homework in grades 5-9: Development, motivational antecedents, and the association with effort on classwork. *Child Development*, 77 (4), 1094-1111.
- Tyler, R. W. (2013). *Basic principles of curriculum and instruction*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Utusan Online, (2006). Sejarah Pembelajaran Bahasa Arab di Malaysia. Retrieved January 14, 2015 from www.utusan.com.my.
- Vaezi, Z. (2008). "Language learning motivation among Iranian undergraduate students." *World Applied Sciences Journal* 5(1): 54-61.
- Van-Voorhis, J. L. (1995). Implementing Cooperative Structures To Increase Motivation and Learning in the College Classroom. *ERIC*.
- Veroff, J. (1975). *Varieties of Achievement Motivation*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American educational research association. 30 March- April 3, 1975.
- Waterman, S. N. (2005). *Handbook on differentiated instruction for middle and high schools*. New York, NY 10017: Eye On Education. ISBN: 978-1-930556-93-5.
- Welles, E. B. (2004). Foreign language enrollments in United States institutions of higher education. *Profession*, 2004(1), 128-153.
- Wigfield, A. (1994). Expectancy-value theory of achievement motivation: A developmental perspective. *Educational Psychology Review* 6(1): 49-78.
- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (1992). The development of achievement task values: A theoretical analysis. *Developmental review*, 12(3), 265-310.
- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (2000). Expectancy-value theory of achievement motivation. *Contemporary educational psychology*, 25(1), 68-81.

- Wigfield, A., Tonks, S., & Klauda, S. L. (2009). Expectancy-value theory. *Handbook of motivation at school*, 55-75.
- Williams, K. (2011). Eight lessons Learned on Differentiating Instruction. Web page, Retrieved January, 12, 2012 from <http://www.scholastic.com/teachers/article/8-lessons-learned-differentiating-instruction>
- Wlodkowski, R. (2011). *Enhancing adult motivation to learn: A comprehensive guide for teaching all adults*. 3th ed. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass publishers, Inc.
- Yaacob, S. & Fadilah, N. N. (2014). The Conflict of Theory in Arabic Grammar. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity (IJSSH)*, 4(1), 1-4.
- Yaakub, M. B. H. (2007). Teaching Arabic as a second language: An evaluation of key word method effectiveness. *Jurnal Teknologi* 46(1): 61–72. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Yaakub, M. B. H. (2009). A Field Observation on the Philosophy of Teaching Islamic and Arabic Studies. *Journal US-China Education* 6 (3).
- Yaakub, M. B. H. (2010) a. The role of educational strategies in human development: An example of using key word method in teaching Arabic as a second language in Malaysia. *Journal of US-China Public Administration* 7(3): 50-58.
- Yaakub, M. B. H. (2010) b. A Wave of Psychological Forces in Second Language Learning: An Arabic Experience at IIUM. *Journal of US-China Public Administration* 7(7): 88-94.
- Yu, c.-h., & Ohlund, B. (2010). Threats to Validity of Research Design. Retrieved 12 January 2012 from <http://www.creative-wisdom.com/teaching/WBI/threat.shtml>
- Zenzen, T. G. (2002). *Achievement Motivation*. (Unpublished Master dissertation). University of Wisconsin-Stout August 2002, 2
- Zubairi, A. M. & Sarudin, I. (2009). Motivation to learn a foreign language in Malaysia. *GEMA Online Journal of Language Studies*, 9(2), 73-

2. Arabic References

- Abdullah, A. M. (2005). *The problem of learning Arabic Language in secondary school in Nigeria*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation) University of King Saud. Education, Faculty.
- Abdullah. S. (1996). *Problems of teaching Arabic Language at Government Religious School in Province of Aceh-Indonesia*. (Unpublished master dissertation) International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM).
- Abu-Amsha, K. H. (1997). *Teaching Arabic Language in Andalus*. (Unpublished master dissertation). International Islamic University (IIUM).
- Al-Afnann, A. A. (2010). *The motivation in Studying Arabic language*. Egypt: Alwffa for publication. 34, 20- 30
- Al-Bkaa, M. K. (2004). *curriculum book of syboeh grammar*. Jordan: Dar Al-Bashir for publication.
- Al-Hulaisy, M. H. M. (2012). The Effect of Using Differentiated Strategy on Academic Achievement in English Language Course at the Sixth Grade of Primary Students. (Published master dissertation) Um Al-Qura University, Education, Saudi Arabia. Retrieved December 2, 2014, from <http://libback.uqu.edu.sa/hipres/ABS/ind14338.pdf>
- Alkhan, A. B. (2010). *Methods of teaching Arabic language and means in public education, reality and ways of promotion*, Retrieved May 23, 2012, from The Academy of Arabic Web site: <http://www.majma.org.jo/majma/index.php/2009-02-10-09-35-28/372-27-6.html>
- Al-kukhun, A., & Hania, L. (2009). The Impact of Using Drama in Teaching Arabic Syntax on the Achievement Among Tenth Grade Female Students. *Educational Jordanian Journal*, 216 - 201.
- Al-Rubaie, M. D. S. (2006) *Methods and Techniques of Contemporary Teaching*. 1ed. The Modern World of Books for Publication and Distribution. Irbid, Jordan.
- Kanaan, A. (2012). *Arabic language and the contemporary challenges and ways of treating them*. Paper presented at the meeting of

the International Conference of the Arabic Language. "The language of the Arab world: the responsibility of the individual, society and state." 23-19 March 2012 Beirut.

Khafaji, M. (1990). *The Arabic literature and its history in the two eras of Umayyad and Abbasid*. Beirut: Dar Al-Jeel.

Nabhan, Y. M. (2008). *Modern Methods of Teaching and Learning*. Dar Al-Yazuri for Publishing and Distribution. Amman, Jordan.

Osman, N. (2005). *Teaching Arabic to non-native speakers' main textbook - Part one - Evaluation Study*. (Unpublished PhD dissertation). International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM).

Shaheen, A. S. (1987). *The impact of the readings in Arabic sound and grammar (Abu Omar bin Ala)*. Khanji Library, Cairo, Egypt. 1ed.

Zainuddin, N., & Sahrir, M. S. (2011) Steps and Procedures of Teaching Writing Skill via Wiki Among Learners of Arabic as Second Language as a Model. *Journal of Linguistic and Literary Studies.IIUM*. ISSN 2180-1665 (In Press).