



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***SOIL MICROBIAL POPULATIONS IN FELDA OIL
PALM PLANTATION IN JENKA 24, MALAYSIA***

LOW YING CHIANG

FP 2015 37



**SOIL MICROBIAL POPULATIONS IN FELDA OIL PALM PLANTATION IN
JENGA 24, MALAYSIA**

By

LOW YING CHIANG

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies,
Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

September 2015

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia
in fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**SOIL MICROBIAL POPULATIONS
IN FELDA OIL PALM PLANTATION IN JENGA 24, MALAYSIA**

By

LOW YING CHIANG

September 2015

Chairman : Zainal Abidin Mior Ahmad, PhD
Faculty : Agriculture

The main objective for this research was to analyse soil microbial diversity in the oil palm plantation in order to understand the soil microbial community which could help to formulate new and innovative management of the basal stem rot (BSR) disease. Soil sampling was conducted at FELDA Jengka 24. Sampling areas include sites with empty fruit bunches (EFB) application, high BSR incidence and no BSR incidence. For comparisons, soils from the adjacent forest were sampled. Plate count technique was adopted for evaluation of overall soil microbial populations. Functional microbes were evaluated using specific media. Identification and characterisation of functional microbes were carried out by molecular means. BIOLOG EcoPlate was used to analyse microbial community. Plate count data (Colony forming unit = CFU) were subjected to Student's *t*-Test for significance test. Optical density (OD) values from the BIOLOG EcoPlate were subjected to Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Results showed that significant difference was not detected for fungal populations in EFB applied versus non-EFB applied sites. Similar observations were recorded for bacterial and actinomycetes. This has indicated that EFB application didn't seem to affect fungal, bacterial and actinomycetes populations. Although non-significant differences were reported for fungal, bacterial and actinomycetes in high BSR versus BSR-free sites, observations that prompt further investigations were discovered. Fungal population in high BSR sites fluctuates, ranging between 3.2×10^2 to 2.3×10^5 CFU/gram, as opposed to stable bacterial and actinomycetes populations. Sites with low fungal but high bacterial count could be potential indicator for BSR infestation. Phosphates solubilisers assessments revealed that they were not influenced by BSR incidence, supported by non-significant difference with average population of 1×10^5 CFU/gram. Similar observations were recorded for nitrogen fixers. Although not significantly different, lignin degraders in BSR-free sites (average 1.3×10^3 CFU/gram) were higher than BSR infested sites (average 63 CFU/gram). This has suggested that soils with low lignin degraders are conducive for BSR. EFB applied sites recorded with significantly higher lignin degraders population, indicating EFB contributing to lignin degraders. *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* bacteria were not affected by BSR

incidence indicated by significance test. EFB applications seemed to contribute to both with high and consistent population with average count of 2.13×10^6 CFU/gram and 1.2×10^4 CFU/gram for *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* respectively. *Trichoderma* sp. fungi with average 2.8×10^4 CFU/gram population was not affected by BSR incidence and EFB application. A total of 27 microbes from various functional groups were identified including bacteria (*Burkholderia* sp., *Klebsiella* sp., *Bacillus* sp., *Chryseobacterium* sp., *Streptomyces* sp., *Chromobacterium* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp.) and fungi (mainly from orders Hypocreales and Eurotiales). PCA for microbial community assessment have revealed the closely related microbial communities in oil palm cultivated sites. In contrast, sparsely tabulated data points recorded for forests soils indicated low relative similarity of microbial communities. These results were further supported by analysis of carbon sources utilisation. The PCA analyses for EFB and non-EBF applied sites yielded non-distinct difference, indicating high relative similarity of microbial communities in both sites. It has also indicated that the application of EFB might not cause drastic changes to the soil microbial community. Similarly, PCA analyses for microbial communities in high BSR and BSR free sites yielded high relative similarity. The closely related microbial communities in BSR and BSR free sites also indicated that BSR disease infestation did not seem to affect soil microbial communities. Both comparisons above demonstrated presence of stable resilient microbial communities in oil palm soils. This research will provide valuable information on soil microbial community in oil palm soil and draw leads for future research.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**POPULASI MIKROB TANAH DI LADANG KELAPA SAWIT FELDA
JENKA 24, MALAYSIA**

Oleh

LOW YING CHIANG

September 2015

Pengerusi : Zainal Abidin Mior Ahmad, PhD
Fakulti : Pertanian

Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menganalisa kepelbagaian mikrob tanah dalam tanah kelapa sawit bagi memahami komuniti mikrob dalam tanah supaya boleh membantu merumus cara menangani penyakit repur pangkal kelapa sawit (BSR) yang baru dan inovatif. Pengambilan sampel tanah telah dijalankan di FELDA Jenka 24. Tempat pengambilan tanah termasuk kawasan penggunaan tandan sawit kosong (EFB), kawasan dengan kejadian penyakit BSR tinggi dan kawasan yang bebas dari BSR. Untuk perbandingan, sampel tanah juga telah diambil dari hutan yang terletak di bersebelahan. Kaedah pengiraan plat telah digunakan untuk penilaian populasi mikrob tanah secara menyeluruh. Mikrob berfungsi juga dinilai menggunakan media tertentu. Pengenalpastian dan pencirian mikrob berfungsi telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan cara molekul. BIOLOG EcoPlate telah digunakan untuk menganalisa komuniti mikrob. Data yang didapati daripada kaedah pengiraan plat dalam bentuk "Colony Forming Unit" (CFU) telah dianalisa dengan menggunakan Student's *t*-Test. Keputusan yang didapati daripada BIOLOG EcoPlate adalah dalam bentuk "optical density" (OD) pula dianalisa menggunakan kaedah statistik yang dipanggil "Principal Component Analysis" (PCA). Keputusan analisa menunjukkan bahawa perbandingan jumlah populasi kulat di antara kawasan penggunaan EFB dan kawasan yang tidak menggunakan EFB tidak menunjukkan perbezaan yang signifikan. Pemerhatian yang sama juga direkod bagi populasi bakteria dan actinomycetes. Ini telah menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan EFB tidak menjejaskan populasi kulat, bakteria dan actinomycetes. Walaupun perbezaan yang tidak signifikan telah dilaporkan untuk kulat, bakteria dan actinomycetes di kawasan perbandingan antara kawasan kejadian BSR tinggi dengan kawasan bebas BSR, pemerhatian untuk siasatan lanjut juga telah ditemui. Populasi kulat di kawasan kejadian BSR tinggi adalah turun naik di sekitar 3.2×10^2 hingga 2.3×10^5 CFU/gram. Populasi bakteria dan actinomycetes pula dilaporkan stabil. Kawasan dengan populasi kulat yang rendah tetapi populasi bakteria tinggi berkemungkinan jadi tanda untuk serangan BSR. Penilaian populasi mikrob berfungsi terutamanya mikrob pelarut fosfat menunjukkan bahawa ia tidak dipengaruhi oleh kejadian BSR, disokong dengan keputusan perbandingan populasi secara purata 1×10^5 CFU/gram yang tidak signifikan.

Pemerhatian yang serupajuga didapati untuk mikrob pengikat nitrogen. Walaupun dengan perbezaan yang tidak signifikan, populasi pereput lignin di kawasan bebas BSR (purata 1.3×10^3 CFU/gram) adalah lebih tinggi daripada kawasan kejadian BSR tinggi (purata 63 CFU/gram). Ini telah mencadangkan bahawa kawasan dengan populasi pereput lignin yang rendah adalah kondusif untuk penyakit BSR. Kawasan penggunaan EFB telah mencatatkan populasi pereput lignin yang tinggi dan signifikan, menunjukkan penyumbangan dari EFB kepada pereput lignin. Bakteria *Pseudomonas* dan *Bacillus* tidak dipengaruhi oleh kejadian BSR seperti yang disokong oleh ujian signifikasi. Penggunaan EFB didapati menyumbang kepada kedua-dua bakteria tersebut dengan purata populasi yang tinggi dan konsisten sekitar 2.13×10^6 CFU/gram dan 1.2×10^4 CFU/gram bagi *Pseudomonas* dan *Bacillus* masing-masing. Kulat *Trichoderma* sp. juga tidak dipengaruhi oleh kejadian BSR dan aplikasi EFB dengan purata populasi 2.8×10^4 CFU/gram. Sejumlah 27 mikrob dari pelbagai kumpulan mikrob berfungsi telah dikenalpasti. Ianya termasuk bakteria (*Burkholderia* sp., *Klebsiella* sp., *Bacillus* sp., *Chryseobacterium* sp., *Streptomyces* sp., *Chromobacterium* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp.) dan kulat (kebanyakan dari orders Hypocreales and Eurotiales). Analisis PCA untuk penilaian komuniti mikrob mendapati bahawa komuniti mikrob di kawasan tanaman kelapa sawit adalah berkait rapat. Sebaliknya, taburan titik data bagi kawasan hutan yang jarang menyatakan komuniti mikrob yang tidak berkaitan (rendah persamaan). Keputusan ini juga disokong oleh analisis penggunaan sumber karbon. Analisis PCA untuk kawasan penggunaan EFB dan kawasan tanpa penggunaan EFB juga menunjukkan perbezaan yang tidak ketara, menunjukkan komuniti mikrob di kedua-dua kawasan yang lebih berkaitan (tinggi persamaan). Ini juga bermaksud aplikasi EFB berkemungkinan besar tidak menyebabkan perubahan ketara pada komuniti mikrob tanah. Begitu juga dengan PCA analisis untuk membandingkan komuniti mikrob di kawasan kejadian BSR tinggi dan kawasan bebas BSR. Komuniti mikrob di kedua-dua kawasan dengan persamaan yang tinggi menunjukkan bahawa serangan penyakit BSR tidak akan mempengaruhi komuniti mikrob dalam tanah. Kedua-dua perbandingan di atas menunjukkan bahawa adanya komuniti mikrob yang stabil dan tahan dalam tanah kelapa sawit. Penyelidikan ini akan memberikan informasi tentang komuniti mikrob di tanah kelapa sawit yang bernilai dan akan memberi petunjuk untuk kajian masa akan datang.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My heartiest thanks to all, particularly to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Zainal Abidin Mior and Associate Professor Dr. Radziah Othman Ahmad for his valuable time spent and endless efforts in guiding me to the success and completion of this research. Endless support and constructive comments from my supervisory committee members Professor Dr. Sariah Meon and Dr. Lum Keng Yeang are much appreciated.

I am in debts to CABI South East Asia for funding and supporting the whole of my study programme. I am grateful and honoured to be part of CABI SEA. Not to forget FELDA Global Ventures (previously known as FELDA Agricultural Services Sdn. Bhd.) for providing the sampling sites and Science Fund (Project number 05-01-04-SF0208) for providing the research funding.

Last but not least, much appreciation to my wife and parents for their patience and love in supporting my study.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Zainal Abidin Mior Ahmad, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Sariah Meon, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Radziah Othman, PhD

Associate Professor
Department of Land Management
Faculty of Agriculture
(Member)

Lum Keng Yeang, PhD

Chief Scientist
CABI South East Asia
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PHD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Low Ying Chiang, GS16689

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: Associate Professor
Dr. Zainal Abidin
Mior Ahmad

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Prof. Dr. Sariah Meon

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Associate Professor
Dr. Radziah Othman

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Lum Keng Yeang

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	3
3 EVALUATION OF SOIL MICROBIAL POPULATION IN OIL PALM CULTIVATED SOILS AND RELEVANT FUNCTIONAL SOIL MICROBES	16
3.1 Introduction	16
3.1.1 Background of Sampling Sites	17
3.2 Materials and Methods	17
3.2.1 Soil Sampling	17
3.2.2 Processing of Soil Samples	19
3.2.3 Analysis of Soil Microorganisms	20
3.2.3.1 Plate count for fungal population enumeration on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA)	20
3.2.3.2 Plate count for bacterial population enumeration on Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA)	21
3.2.3.3 Plate count for actinomycetes population enumeration on Actinomycetes Isolation Agar (AIA)	21
3.2.4 Soil Functional Microbes Enumeration	21
3.2.5 Fungal Macroscopic Characterisation and Identification	22
3.2.6 Functional Microbes Identification	23
3.3 Results	25
3.3.1 Plate Count for Comparing Fungal Population in Sampling Sites with and without EFB Application	25
3.3.2 Plate Count for Comparing Bacterial Population in Sampling Sites with and without EFB Application	27
3.3.3 Plate Count for Comparing Actinomycetes Population in Sampling Sites with and without EFB Application	29
3.3.4 Plate Count for Comparing Fungal Population in High BSR Incidence and BSR	31

	Free Sampling Sites	
3.3.5	Plate Count for Comparing Bacterial Population in High BSR Incidence and BSR Free Sampling Sites	33
3.3.6	Plate Count for Comparing Actinomycetes Population in High BSR Incidence and BSR Free Sampling Sites	35
3.3.7	Functional Microbes Enumeration	37
	3.3.7.1 Phosphate Solubilisers Enumeration	37
	3.3.7.2 Lignin Degraders Enumeration	40
	3.3.7.3 Nitrogen Fixers Enumeration	43
	3.3.7.4 Chitin Degraders Enumeration	46
	3.3.7.5 <i>Pseudomonas</i> Group Bacteria Enumeration	47
	3.3.7.6 <i>Bacillus</i> Group Bacteria Enumeration	49
	3.3.7.7 <i>Trichoderma</i> Group Fungi Enumeration	51
3.3.8	Fungal Characterisation and Identification	53
3.3.9	Functional Microbes Identification	54
3.4	Discussion	57
4	ASSESSMENT OF MICROBIAL COMMUNITY IN OIL PALM PLANTATION AND FOREST SOILS USING BIOLOG ECOPLATE	61
4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	Materials and Methods	61
4.2.1	Soil Sampling	61
4.2.2	Soil Microbial Community Analyses Utilising BIOLOG EcoPlate for Community Level Physiological Profiling	62
4.3	Results	65
4.3.1	Soil Microbial Community Analyses in Soils Sampled from Sites with and without Empty Fruit Bunch Application	65
	4.3.1.1 Soil Microbial Community Analysis – EFB Applied Sites	65
	4.3.1.2 Soil Microbial Community Analysis – Comparisons of EFB Applied Sites and Non-EBF Applied Sites	67
	4.3.1.3 Analysis of Carbon Sources Utilisation in EFB and Non-EBF Applied Sites	69
4.3.2	Soil Microbial Community Analyses in High BSR Incidence and BSR Free Sampling Sites	71
	4.3.2.1 Soil Microbial Community Analysis – High BSR Incidence Sites	71
	4.3.2.2 Soil Microbial Community Analysis – No BSR Incidence Sites	73
	4.3.2.3 Soil Microbial Community Analysis – Comparisons of High BSR Sites and No BSR Sites	75
	4.3.2.4 Analysis of Carbon Sources Utilisation in High BSR Sites and No BSR Sites	77

4.4	Discussion	79
5	SUMMARY, GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	81
5.1	General Summary and Conclusions	81
5.2	Recommendations for Future Research	84
5.2.1	The sampling sites selection	84
5.2.2	The duration of study	84
5.2.3	Methodology for microbial analysis	84
	REFERENCES	87
	APPENDICES	95
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	144



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Comparison of functional microbes (<i>Trichoderma</i> group fungi) population count between sampling sites with no BSR incidence, high BSR incidence and EFB applied sites	52
3.2	Population count of dominating fungi from various sampling sites	53
3.3	List of identified bacteria and actinomycetes	55
3.4	List of identified fungi	56

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Sampling points in oil palm plantation	18
3.2	Soil sampling points within drip zone of each palm; screw auger	19
3.3	Comparison of total fungal count in sampling sites with and without application of Empty Fruit Bunches at six months intervals	26
3.4	Comparison of total bacterial count (CFU) in sampling sites with and without application of Empty Fruit Bunches at six months intervals	28
3.5	Comparison of total actinomycetes count (CFU) in sampling sites with and without application of Empty Fruit Bunches at six months intervals	30
3.6	Comparison of total fungal count (CFU) in sampling sites with high BSR and no BSR disease incidence at six months intervals	32
3.7	Comparison of total bacterial count (CFU) in sampling areas with high BSR and no BSR disease incidence at six months intervals	34
3.8	Comparison of total actinomycetes count (CFU) in sampling areas with high BSR and no BSR disease incidence at six months intervals	36
3.9	Comparison of functional microbes (phosphate solubilisers) population count between sampling sites with no BSR incidence, high BSR incidence and EFB applied sites	38
3.10	Correlation of phosphorus content in soils with phosphate solubilisers population count in the soils	39
3.11	Comparison of functional microbes (lignin degraders) population count between sampling sites with no BSR incidence, high BSR incidence and EFB applied sites	41
3.12	Correlation of percentage of carbon content with lignin degraders population count in the soils	42

3.13	Comparison of functional microbes (nitrogen fixers) population count between sampling sites with no BSR incidence, high BSR incidence and EFB applied sites	44
3.14	Correlation of available nitrogen content with nitrogen fixers population count in the soils	45
3.15	Halo zone formation by <i>Chromobacterium violaceum</i> on chitin supplemented agar plate and purified single cell culture of the isolate	46
3.16	Comparison of functional microbes (<i>Pseudomonas</i> group bacteria) population count between sampling sites with no BSR incidence, high BSR incidence and EFB applied sites	48
3.17	Comparison of functional microbes (<i>Bacillus</i> group bacteria) population count between sampling sites with no BSR incidence, high BSR incidence and EFB applied sites	50
3.18	Gel documentation images for bacterial and actinomycetes isolates	54
3.19	Gel documentation images for fungal isolates	56
4.1	Chemical structure of tetrazolium violet dye in oxidised and reduced stages	62
4.2	Details and layout of carbon sources content in each BIOLOG EcoPlate.	63
4.3	Principal Component Analysis of EFB applied sites and forest soils data	66
4.4	Principal Component Analysis of data comparing microbial communities from EFB applied sites, non-EBF applied sites and forest soils	68
4.5	BIOLOG EcoPlate carbon sources utilisation by microbial communities in EFB application sites (+EBF), non-EBF application sites (-EBF) and forest (Forest)	70
4.6	Principal Component Analysis of high BSR incidence sites and forest soils data	72
4.7	Principal Component Analysis of BSR free sites and forest soils data	74

4.8	Principal Component Analysis of data comparing microbial communities from BSR free sites, high BSR incidence sites and forest soils	76
4.9	BIOLOG EcoPlate carbon sources utilisation by microbial communities in high BSR incidence sites, BSR free sites and Forest	78



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ARDRA	Amplified Ribosomal DNA Restriction Analysis
ARISA	Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis
BIOLOG-PM	BIOLOG Phenotypic Micro-arrays
BSA	Bovine Serum Albumin
BSR	Basal Stem Rot
BUG	BIOLOG Universal Growth Media
CCA	Canonical Correspondence Analysis
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
CIRP	Christmas Island Rock Phosphate
CLPP	Community Level Physiological Profile
DCA	Detrended Correspondence Analysis
DGGE	Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
dNTP	Deoxyribonucleotide TriPhosphate
EFB	Empty Fruit Bunch
FAME	Fatty Acid Methyl Ester
FASSB	FELDA Agriculture Services Sdn. Bhd. (Private Limited)

FELDA	Federal Land Development Authority
G-	No BSR Incidence
G+	High BSR Incidence
GC content	Guanine-Cytosine content
GN	Gram Negative
GP	Gram Positive
IPC	International Planter's Conference
ITS	Internal Transcribed Spacer
KOH	Potassium Hydroxide
LCC	Leguminous Cover Crop
MPOB	Malaysian Palm Oil Board
NA	Nutrient Agar
OPBC	Oil Palm Biomass Center
P&C	Principles and Criteria
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PDA	Potato Dextrose Agar
PIPOC	International Palm Oil Conference

PLFA	Phospholipid Fatty Acid
PM	Phenotypic Micro-array
POME	Palm Oil Mill Effluent
RAPD	Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA
rDNA	Ribosomal Deoxyribonucleic Acid
RFLP	Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism
RISA	Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis
rRNA	Ribosomal Ribonucleic Acid
RSPO	Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SSCP	Single Strain Conformation Polymorphism
TBE	Tris-Borate-EDTA
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscope
TGGE	Temperature Gradient Gel Electrophoresis
T-RFLP	Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism
TSA	Tryptic Soy Agar
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
USA	United States of America

VF

Virgin Forest/Jungle



© COPYRIGHT UPM

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

All organisms in the biosphere depend on microbial activity (Pace, 1997) and soil microbial biodiversity constitutes an important component of the world's biodiversity. Plant-soil microorganisms interactions ranging from mutualism to parasitism are known to have important consequences for both plant growth and health. Soil microbes play important roles in these interactions and have been utilized as bio-indicators for assessing soil health and sustainable productivity in cropping systems (Moscatelli *et. al.*, 2005). However, there is a need for more research to improve and enhance knowledge on soil microbial diversity and the major functions played by soil microbial communities. Varieties of essential functions in the soils such as nitrogen fixation and phosphate solubilisation are championed by different group of microorganisms or possibly even one. The simple yet efficient cell structure of the microorganisms and their sensitivity to the surrounding environment has been acknowledged. Soil microbes are the first organisms to react to changes in the environment due to their short life cycle and quick turnover. Therefore, they are considered the best candidates to be indicators for soil health and sustainability of cropping systems. An understanding of the relationships between functional microbial diversity and current agricultural practices may contribute to scientific information to elucidate the sustainability of oil palm plantations.

In this research, basal stem rot (BSR) disease of oil palm was chosen as one of the key components. It is caused by the fungal pathogen, *Ganoderma boninense* that had created a major setback in oil palm industries particularly in Malaysia. This disease causes serious economic losses due to palm stand loss and reduction in productivity as well as economic lifespan of oil palm. Over the years, the BSR disease has thrived from the coastal soils and making advance into the inland and peat soils (Rao *et.al.*, 2003). The concerns were worsened when the fungus caused serious damage to young oil palm trees as well. To date, there has been no effective method to manage BSR. Areas like the biology of the fungus, epidemiology (spreading of the disease, either via root contact or basidiospores, monokaryotic and dikaryotic mycelia) have been well studied over the years. Understanding the relationships between the BSR fungus and the surrounding soil microbial communities could assist future innovative options for improving the management of the BSR disease.

Hypothetically, the disturbed ecosystems (oil palm plantations) would have distinctly different microbial population profiles as compared to undisturbed ecosystem (forest). Applying this hypothesis, if the oil palm plantation is affected by the BSR disease, this shall be reflected by the microbial populations in the soil. Interventions to the environment, including fertilisers and EFB applications should also be reflected. Forest soils taken from the forest in adjacent to the plantation were included as reference points where

efforts to restore the diversity of soil microbes e.g. EFB applications should steer the similarity of soil microbial profiles of oil palm soils closer forest soils.

This research was therefore undertaken with these points in view and supported by the following specific objectives:

- i) To evaluate soil microbial populations in oil palm soils in relation to BSR disease incidence and standard agronomical practices (chemical fertiliser and EFB application) with inclusion of isolation, identification and characterisation of functional microbes
- ii) To assess microbial community of oil palm soils in relation to BSR disease incidence and standard agronomical practices (chemical fertiliser and EFB application)

REFERENCES

- Amann, R.I., Ludwig, W. and Schleifer, K.H. 1995. Phylogenetic identification and in situ detection of individual microbial cells without cultivation. *Microbiol. Rev.*, 59: 143-169
- Anderson, J. M. and Swift, M. J. 1983. Decomposition in tropical forests. In: S. L. Sutton, T. C. Whitmore, and A. C. Chadwick. (Eds.). *Tropical Rainforest: Ecology and Management*, pp. 287–309. *Blackwell Scientific Publications*, Oxford.
- Avidano, L., E. Gamalero, G.P. Cossa and Carraro, E. 2005. Characterization of soil health in an Italian polluted site by using microorganisms as bioindicators. *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 30: 21-33
- Bauhus J. and Khanna P. K. 1999. The significance of microbial biomass in forest soils. In: Rastin, N. and Bauhus, J. (eds.) *Going Underground - Ecological Studies in Forest Soils*. Research Signpost, Trivandrum, India, 77-110
- Benjamin, M. and K.H. Chee, 1995. Basal stem rot of oil palm-a serious problem on inland soils. *MAPPS Newslett.*, 19: 3-3.
- BIOLOG, Inc., Hayward, CA, USA
- Bossio, D. A., Scow, K. M., Gunapala, N. and Graham, K. J. 1998. Determinants of soil microbial communities: effects of agricultural management, season, and soil type on phospholipid fatty acid profiles. *Microb. Ecol.* 36: 1–12.
- Brookes, D.S., Powlson, D.S. and Jenkinson, D.S. 1982. Measurement of microbial biomass in phosphorus in soil. *Soil Biol. and Biochem.* 14: 319-329
- Campbell, C. A., Lafond, G. P., Moulin, A. P., Townley-Smith, L. and Zentner, R. P. 1997. Crop production and soil organic matter in long-term rotations in the sub-humid Northern Great Plains of Canada. Pp. 297–315. In: Paul, E. A., Elliott, E. T., Paustian, K. and Cole, C. V., eds. *Soil organic matter in temperate agro-ecosystems: Long term experiments in North America*. RC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Chan, K. W. 2003. Best developed practices and sustainable development of the oil palm industry. *The Planter.* 79: 455-473
- Chandran, M. R. 2005. Directions for the Plantation Industry to Focus for Productivity and Yield Enhancement. In *BCDSM Seminar 2005: Latest Developments on Sustainability in the Palm Oil Industry*
- Cho, J. C. and Tiedje, J. M. 2001. Bacterial species determination from DNA-DNA hybridisation by using genome fragments and DNA microarrays. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67: 3677-3682.

- Choi, K. H. and Dobbs, F. C. 1999. Comparison of two kinds of Biolog microplates (GN and Eco) in their ability to distinguish among aquatic microbial communities. *J. Microbiol. Methods*. 36: 203-213.
- Chung, G. F. and Sharma, M. 1999. Integrated pest and disease management and associated impacts of pesticides. *Oil Palm and the Environment: a Malaysian Perspective* (Gurmit Singh *et. al.*, eds.). MOPGC, Kuala Lumpur. 83-111.
- Classen, A. T., Boyle, S. I., Haskins, K. E., Overby, S. T. and Hart, S. C. 2003. Community-level physiological profiles of bacteria and fungi: plate type and incubation temperature influences on contrasting soils. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 44: 319-328
- Colwell, R. R., Clayton, R. A., Ortiz-Conde, B. A., Jacobs, D and Russek-Cohen, E. 1995. The microbial species concept and biodiversity. In: Allsopp, D., Colwell, R. R., Hawksworth, D. L. (Eds.) *Microbial diversity and ecosystem functions*. Proceedings of the IUBS/IUMS workshop held at Egham, UK, 10-13 August 1993 in support of the IUBS/UNESCO/SCOPE 'DIVERSITAS' programme. *CAB International*, Cambridge, 3-15
- Dakora, F. D., and Phillips, D. A. 2002. Root exudates as mediators of mineral acquisition in low-nutrient environments. *Plant and Soil* 245: 35–47.
- Diaz-Ravina, M., Acea, M. J. and Carballas, T. 1993. Microbial biomass and its contribution to nutrient concentration in forest soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 25: 25-31
- Dix, N. J. and Webster, J. 1995. *Fungal Ecology*. Chapman and Hall, London
- Dodd, S. L., Crowhurst, R. N., Rodrigo, A. G., Samuels, G. J., Hill, R. A. and Stewart, A. 2000. Examination of *Trichoderma* phylogenies derived from ribosomal DNA sequence data. *Mycol. Res.* 104:23-34
- Doi, R. 2004. Soil bacterial community profiling with Biolog kinetic and antibiotic resistance most probable number approaches showed multidimensionality of land degradation. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.* 6: 284 – 288.
- Dobrovol'skaya, T.G., Lysak, L.V., Zenova, G.M. and Zvyagintsev, D.G. 2001. Analysis of soil bacterial diversity: Methods, potentiality and prospects. *Microbiol.* 70: 149 – 167.
- Doran, J.W. and Safley, M. 1997. Defining and assessing soil health and sustainable productivity. In: Pankhurst, C. *et. al.* (eds.). *Biological indicators of soil health*. *CAB International*. 1–28
- Elliott, E. T., Paustian, K. and Frey, S. D. 1996. Modeling the measurable or measuring the modelable: A hierarchical approach to isolating meaningful soil organic matter fractionations. In *Evaluation of Soil Organic Matter*

- Models. Eds. Powlson, D. S., Smith, P. and Smith, J. U. pp 161–179. NATO ASI Series, Springer-Verlag.
- Garland, J. L. 1996b. Patterns of potential C source utilization by rhizosphere communities. *Soil. Biol. Biochem.* 28:223–230
- Garland, J. L. 1997. Analysis and interpretation of community level physiological profiles in microbial ecology. *FEMS, Microbial Ecology*, 24: 289-300.
- Garland, J. L. 1997. Potential and limitations of BIOLOG for microbial community analysis. *Proc. of the 8th Intl. Symp. On Microbial Ecology*.
- Garland, J. L. and Mills, A. L. 1991. Classification and characterization of heterotrophic microbial communities on the basis of patterns of community-level-sole-carbon-source utilization. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 57: 2351-2359.
- Garland, J. L., Mills, A. L. and Young, J.S. 2001. Relative effectiveness of kinetic analysis vs single point readings for classifying environmental samples based on community-level physiological profiles (CLPP). *Soil Biol. and Biochem.* 33: 1059-1066.
- George, E., Marschner, H. and I. Jakobsen, I. 1995. Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in uptake of phosphorus and nitrogen from the soil. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 15: 257-270.
- Graham, J. H., Hodge, N. C. and Morton, J. B. 1995. Fatty acid methyl ester profiles for characterization of glomalean fungi and their endomycorrhizae. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 61:58–64
- Gray, T.R.G. 1990. Methods for studying the microbial ecology of ecology of soil. In: Grigorova, R., Norris, J.R. (eds.) *Methods in Microbiology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 310-342
- Green, P.A., Vörösmarty, C.J., Meybeck, M., Galloway, J.N., Peterson, B.J. and Boyer E.W. 2004. Pre-industrial and contemporary fluxes of nitrogen through rivers: a global assessment based on typology. *Biogeochemistry*. 68: 71-105.
- Greene, E. A. and Voordouw, G. 2003. Analysis of environmental microbial communities by reverse sample genome probing. *J. Microbiol. Methods*. 53: 211-219
- Gurmit, S. 1999. The Malaysian oil palm industry: progress towards environmentally sound and sustainable crop production. *Industry and Environment*. 22: 45-50
- Hammond, P. 1990. Insect abundance and diversity in the Dumoga-Bone national park, North Sulawesi, with special reference to the beetle fauna of lowland rainforest in the Toraut region. In: Knight, W. J. and Holloway, J.

- D. (eds). Insects and the rainforest of Southeast Asia (Wallacea). *Royal Entomological Society of London, United Kingdom*. 197-254
- Harley, J. L. and Smith, S. E. 1983. Mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Academic Press*. 483pp.
- Hartley, C.W.S. 1977. The Oil Palm. 1st Edn., *Longmans*, London, 618pp.
- Herbert, R. A. 1991. Methods of enumerating microorganisms and determining biomass in natural environments. In: Grigorova, R. and Norris, J. R. (eds). *Methods in microbiology*. *Academic Press*, London 22: 1-39.
- Hofman, J., Dusek, L., Klanova, J., Bezchlebova, J. and Holoubek, I., 2004. Monitoring microbial biomass and respiration in different soils from the Czech republic - a summary of results. *Environ. International*. 30: 19-30.
- Holben, W. E., Schroeter, B. M., Calabrese, V. G. M., Olsen, R. H., Kukor, J. K., Biederbeck, V. O., Smith, A. E. and Tiedje, J. M. 1992. Gene probe analysis of soil microbial populations selected by amendment with 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 58: 3941-3948.
- Ibekwe, A. M. and Kennedy, A. C. 1998. Fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) profiles as a tool to investigate community structure of two agricultural soils. *Plant and Soil*. 206: 151-161
- Kirk, J. L., Beaudette, L. A., Hart, M., Moutoglis, P., Klironomos, J. N., Lee, H. and Trevors, J. T. 2004. Methods of studying soil microbial diversity. *J. Microbiol. Methods*. 58: 169-188.
- Lee, K. E. and Pankhurst, C. E. 1992. Soil organisms and sustainable productivity. *Australian J. Soil Res.* 30: 855-92.
- Lim, C. H. and Chan, K. W. 1993. Impact of palm oil mill effluent application on heavy metal content in oil palm and soils. In: 1993 *PIPOC PORIM Int. Palm Oil Conf.: Update and Vision*, Kuala Lumpur: Preprint.
- Martin, A. 1991. Short- and long-term effects of the endogeic earthworm *Millsonia anomala* (Omodeo) (Megascolecidae, Oligochaeta) of tropical savannas, on soil organic matter. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 11: 234-238.
- Mathews, C. 1998. The introduction and establishment of a new leguminous cover crop, *mucuna bracteata* under oil palm in Malaysia. *The Planter*. 74: 359-368
- Meliani, A., Bensoltane, A. and Mederbel, K. 2012. Microbial diversity and abundance in soil: Related to plant and soil type. *Am. J. Plant Nutr. Fert. Technol.* 2: 10 – 18.
- Mendez, M.O., Neilson, J.W. and Maier, R.M. 2008. Characterisation of a bacterial community in an abandoned semiarid lead-zinc mine tailing site. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74: 3899 – 3907.

- Mohd. Hashim, T., Mohd Noor, A. G. and Ho, C. T. 2000. Is biodiversity and plantation agriculture mutually exclusive? - Golden Hope's experience. *Proc. of the International Planter Conference 2000: Plantation Tree Crop in the New Millennium - The Way Forward* (Pushparajah, E ed.). *The Incorporated Society of Planters, Kuala Lumpur*. p. 853-867.
- Moscatelli, M.C., Lagomarsino, A., Marinari, S., De Angelis, P. and Grego, S. 2005. Soil microbial indices as bioindicators of environmental changes in a poplar plantation. *Ecol. Indicators*. 5: 171 - 179
- MPOB, 2008. Overview of Malaysian Oil Palm Industry 2008
- Nannipieri, P., Ascher, J., Ceccherini, M.T., Landi, L., Pietramellara, G. and Renella, G. 2003. Microbial diversity and soil functions. *Eur. J. Soil Science*. 54: 655 - 670
- Nielsen, M.N. and Winding, A. 2002: Microorganisms as Indicators of Soil Health. *National Environmental Research Institute, Denmark*. Technical Report No. 388
- Nüsslein, K. and Tiedje, J. M. 1999. Soil bacterial community shift correlated with change from forest to pasture vegetation in a tropical soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65:3622-6.
- Øvreås, L and Torsvik, V. 1998. Microbial diversity and community structure in two different agricultural soil communities. *Microb. Ecol.* 36:303–315
- Pace, N. R. 1997. A molecular view of microbial diversity and the biosphere. *Science*. 276: 734-740.
- Pankhurst, C. E., Hawke, B. G., McDonald, H. J., Kirkby, C. A., Buckerfield, J. C., Michelsen, P., O'Brien, K. A., Gupta, V. V. S. R. and Doube, B. M. 1995. Evaluation of soil biological properties as potential bioindicators of soil health. *Australian J. of Experimental Agric.* 35: 1015-28.
- Pankhurst, C. E., Ophel-Keller, K., Double, B. M and Gupta, V. V. S. R. 1996. Biodiversity of soil microbial communities in agricultural systems. *Biodiversity and Conservation*. 5: 197-209.
- Paoletti, M.G. 1999. Using bioindicators based on biodiversity to assess landscape sustainability. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 74: 1 – 18.
- Parkinson and Coleman, 1991 Parkinson, D. and Coleman, D. C. 1991. Microbial communities activity and biomass. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 34: 3 - 33.
- Pascaud, A., Soulas, M.L., Amellal, S. and Soulas, G. 2012. An integrated analytical approach for assessing the biological status of the soil microbial community. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 49, 98 – 106.

- Paul, E.A. 2007. Soil microbiology, ecology and biochemistry. 3rd Ed. *Academic Press*.
- Paul, E. A. and Clark, F. E. 1996. Soil microbiology and biochemistry. *Academic Press*, New York, 340pp
- Preston, G. M., Bertrand, N. and Rainey, P. B. 2001. Type III secretion in plant growth-promoting *Pseudomonas fluorescens* SBW25. *Molecular Microbiol.* 41: 999-1014
- Preston-Mafham, J., Boddy, L and Randerson, P. F. 2002. Analysis of microbial community functional diversity using sole-carbon source utilization profiles—a critique. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 42:1–14
- Prosser, J. I. 2002. Molecular and functional diversity in soil micro-organisms. *Plant Soil.* 244: 9-17
- Rao V, Lim CC, Chia CC and Teo KW, 2003. Studies on Ganoderma spread and control. *The Planter* 79: 367-83
- Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO). 2006. Principles and Criteria for sustainable palm oil production. Guidance document
- Singh, G. 1991. *Ganoderma* – The scourge of oil palm in the coastal areas. *The Planter.* 67: 421-444
- Singh, M.P. 2009. Application of Biolog FF MicroPlate for substrate utilisation and metabolite profiling of closely related fungi. *J. Microbiol. Methods.* 77: 102 – 108.
- Smalla, K., Wieland, G., Buchner, A., Zock, A., Parzy, J., Kaiser, S., Roskot, N., Heuer, H., and Berg, G. 2001. Bulk and rhizosphere soil bacterial communities studied by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis: Plant-dependent enrichment and seasonal shifts revealed. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67: 4742 – 4751
- Smith, K. P., and Goodman, R. M. 1999. Host variation for interactions with beneficial plant-associated microbes. *Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.* 37: 473-491.
- Sylvia, D. M., Fuhrmann, J. J., Hartel, P. G. and Zuberer, D. A. 1999. Principles and applications of soil microbiology. *Prentice Hall*.
- Tabacchioni, S., Chiarini, L., Bevivino, A., Cantale, C. and Dalmastrì, C., 2000. Bias caused by using different isolation media for assessing the genetic diversity of a natural microbial population. *Microb. Ecol.* 40: 169 – 176.
- Tan, K.S. 1983. The Botany of the Oil Palm. *The Incorporated Society of Planters*. Kuala Lumpur.
- Teoh, C. H. 2004. Selling the green advantage? *Oil Palm Industry Economic Journal.* 4: 22-31

- Theron, J. and Cloete, T. E. 2000. Molecular techniques for determining microbial diversity and community structure in natural environments. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 26: 37-57
- Thompson, A. 1931. Stem rot of oil palm in Malaya. *Bull. De. Agr. S. S. and F.M.S., Sci. Ser.* 6.
- Tiedje, J.M., Asuming-Brempong, S., Nusslein, K., Marsh, T. L and Flynn, S. J. 1999. Opening the black box of soil microbial diversity. *Appl. Soil Ecology* 13:109-122.
- Torsvik, V., Golsoyr, J. and Daae, F., 1990. High diversity in DNA of soil bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 56: 782–787
- Torsvik, V., Salte, K., Sorheim, R. and Goksoyr, J. 1990b. Comparison of phenotypic diversity and DNA heterogeneity in a population of soil bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 56: 776–781.
- Torsvik, V., Sorheim, R. and Goksoyr, J. 1996. Total bacterial diversity in soil and sediment communities – a review. *J. of Industrial Microbiol.* 17: 170-178
- Trevors, J.T. 1998b. Bacterial biodiversity in soil with an emphasis on chemically contaminated soils. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 101: 45– 67.
- Turner, P. D., 1981. Oil Palm Diseases and Disorders. *Oxford University Press*, 242-273.
- van Elsas, J. D., Frois-Duarte, G., Keijzer-Wolters, A. and Smit, E. 2000. Analysis of the dynamics of fungal communities in soil via fungal-specific PCR of soil DNA followed by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *J. Microbiol. Methods.* 43: 133-151
- Varghese, G. 1972. Soil microflora of plantations and natural rain forest of West Malaysia. *Mycopathologia* 48: 43-61.
- Varghese, G. 2001. *Ganoderma* diseases of perennial crops, Book reviews. *Australasian Plant Pathology.* 30: 185-188
- Wall, D.H. and Virginia, R.A. 1999. Controls on soil biodiversity: Insights from extreme environments. *Applied Soil Ecol.*, 13: 137-150.
- Whitton, B.A. 1992 Diversity, ecology and taxonomy of the cyanobacteria. In: N.H. Mann, N. H. and Carr, N. G. [Eds] *Photosynthetic Prokaryotes.* Plenum Press, New York, 1-51.
- Winding, A., Hund-Rinke, K. and Rutgers, M. 2005. The use of microorganisms in ecological soil classification and assessment concepts. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 62: 230-48

- Wintzingerode, F. v., Gobel, U. B. and Stackebrandt, E. 1997. Determination of microbial diversity in environmental samples: pitfalls of PCR-based rRNA analysis. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 21: 213-229
- Yao, H., He, Z., Wilson, M. J., Campbell, C. D. 2000. Microbial biomass and community structure in a sequence of soils with increasing fertility and changing land use. *Microb. Ecol.* 40(3): 223–237
- Zak, J. C., Willig, M. R., Moorhead D. L. and Wildman, H. G. 1994. Functional diversity of microbial communities: a quantitative approach. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 26: 1101-1108



BIODATA OF STUDENT

Low, Ying Chiang was born in 1981 at Malacca (Melaka), a town where the history of Malaysia started centuries ago. A small piece of land with merely two meters wide and three meters length has triggered his interest in agriculture since he was a small boy. Upon completing his primary and secondary education, he has chosen to pursue his interest in agriculture by taking up the opportunity offered by Universiti Putra Malaysia to further his study in Diploma in Agriculture. This happened in the year 2000. This has given him a chance to further his interest in agriculture and has strengthened his knowledge in the similar field, particularly in plant protection. He has continued his study in Bachelor degree in Bio-Industry in same university without hesitation. During this period, he has decided to be a "Plant Doctor" and chosen his major in plant protection in the final year of his first degree. Graduated with first class honours in year 2005, he has joined CAB International (Southeast Asia Regional Centre) as research assistant in the same year. Here, his knowledge acquired from the Universiti Putra Malaysia have been fully utilised and has been groomed further as Plant Pathologist. During this period, CAB International has granted him an opportunity to pursue his doctoral degree while still being a full time staff. Over the years working with in CAB International, experiences gained include skills and knowledge in microbial characterization and identification using BIOLOG systems and also microbial diversity studies and data analysis. Involvements in different projects have also helped to gain more knowledge in plant pathology involving both mycology and bacteriology. He has been involved in various national and international projects which are mainly related to plant pathology (isolation, pathogenicity test and fulfilment of Koch's Postulates), environmental microbial studies involving diversity assessment and characterisation (using BIOLOG Phenotypic Micro-array) and biological resource collection projects. He is also actively involved in global project led by CAB International called "PlantWise" where he is tasked to train Plant Doctors and establish Plant Clinics in Cambodia, Myanmar, Thailand and Vietnam.



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

STATUS CONFIRMATION FOR THESIS / PROJECT REPORT AND COPYRIGHT

ACADEMIC SESSION : _____

TITLE OF THESIS / PROJECT REPORT :

SOIL MICROBIAL POPULATIONS IN FELDA OIL PALM PLANTATION IN
JENKA 24, MALAYSIA

NAME OF STUDENT: LOW YING CHIANG

I acknowledge that the copyright and other intellectual property in the thesis/project report belonged to Universiti Putra Malaysia and I agree to allow this thesis/project report to be placed at the library under the following terms:

1. This thesis/project report is the property of Universiti Putra Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia has the right to make copies for educational purposes only.
3. The library of Universiti Putra Malaysia is allowed to make copies of this thesis for academic exchange.

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

*Please tick (✓)

CONFIDENTIAL

(Contain confidential information under Official Secret Act 1972).

RESTRICTED

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization/institution where research was done).

OPEN ACCESS

I agree that my thesis/project report to be published as hard copy or online open access.

This thesis is submitted for :

PATENT

Embargo from _____ until _____
(date) (date)

Approved by:

(Signature of Student)
New IC No/ Passport No.:

Date :

(Signature of Chairman of Supervisory Committee)
Name:

Date :

[Note : If the thesis is **CONFIDENTIAL** or **RESTRICTED**, please attach with the letter from the organization/institution with period and reasons for confidentially or restricted.]