



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF STIFF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS AND DIFFERENTIAL ALGEBRAIC EQUATIONS
USING ONE-STEP IMPLICIT HYBRID METHODS***

KHOO KAI WEN

IPM 2015 14



**NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF STIFF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS AND DIFFERENTIAL ALGEBRAIC EQUATIONS USING ONE-
STEP IMPLICIT HYBRID METHODS**

By

KHOO KAI WEN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

December 2015

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF STIFF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND DIFFERENTIAL ALGEBRAIC EQUATIONS USING ONE-STEP IMPLICIT HYBRID METHODS

By

KHOO KAI WEN

December 2015

Chairman : Zanariah binti Abdul Majid, PhD
Faculty : Institute for Mathematical Research

The numerical solutions of stiff ordinary differential equations and differential algebraic equations have been studied in this thesis. New one-step implicit hybrid methods are developed to solve stiff ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and semi-explicit index-1 differential algebraic equations (DAEs). These methods are formulated by using Lagrange interpolating polynomial. The developed one-step methods will solve ODEs and DAEs with the introduction of off-step points by constant step size. The source codes were written in C language.

Stiff equations in Mathematics indicate that for a certain numerical method to solve differential equations that may give unstable results unless the step size taken is extremely small. Newton's iteration is implemented together with the developed method to solve stiff equations. The numerical results showed that the performance of the methods outperformed compared to existing method in terms of maximum error and average error.

Further, this study is extended by using the developed method to solve DAEs. Semi-explicit index-1 DAEs is the system of ordinary differential equations with algebraic constrains. Newton's iteration is implemented with the developed methods to solve DAEs. The numerical results showed the performance of the developed methods is more efficient then existing methods in terms of maximum error and average error.

In conclusion, the proposed one-step implicit hybrid methods are suitable for solving stiff ordinary differential equations and semi-explicit index-1 differential algebraic equations.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

**PENYELESAIAN BERANGKA PERSAMAAN PEMBEZAAN KAKU DAN
PERSAMAAN PEMBEZAAN ALGEBRA DENGAN MENGGUNAKAN
KAEDAH SATU-LANGKAH HIBRID TERSIRAT**

Oleh

KHOO KAI WEN

Disember 2015

Pengerusi : Zanariah binti Abdul Majid, PhD
Fakulti : Institut Penyelidikan Matematik

Penyelesaian berangka bagi persamaan pembezaan kaku dan persamaan pembezaan aljabar telah dikaji dalam tesis ini. Kaedah satu-langkah hibrid tersirat yang baharu telah dibangunkan untuk menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan (PP) kaku dan persamaan pembezaan aljabar (PPA) semi-tak tersirat indeks-1. Kaedah ini diperolehi daripada polynomial interpolasi Lagrange. Kaedah satu-langkah ini akan menyelesaikan PP kaku dan PPA dengan memperkenalkan titik langkah luar menggunakan saiz langkah malar. Sumber kod telah ditulis dalam pengaturcaraan C.

Istilah persamaan kaku dalam Matematik menunjukkan bahawa penyelesaian daripada kaedah berangka bagi persamaan pembezaan kaku itu adalah tidak stabil kecuali pengambilan saiz langkah yang amat kecil. Lelaran Newton telah dilaksanakan bersama dengan kaedah yang dibangunkan untuk menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan kaku. Keputusan berangka menunjukkan prestasi kaedah tersebut adalah lebih baik dari segi ralat maksimum dan ralat purata berbanding kaedah sedia ada.

Di samping itu, kajian ini diperluaskan dengan menggunakan kaedah yang dibangunkan untuk menyelesaikan PPA. PPA semi-tak tersirat terdiri daripada sistem persamaan pembezaan biasa dan persamaan aljabar. Lelaran Newton telah dilaksanakan bersama dengan kaedah yang dibangunkan untuk menyelesaikan PPA. Keputusan berangka menunjukkan prestasi kaedah yang dibangunkan memberikan keputusan yang baik dari segi ralat maksimum dan ralat purata.

Kesimpulannya, kaedah satu-langkah hibrid tersirat yang dicadangkan adalah sesuai untuk menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan dan persamaan pembezaan aljabar semi-tak tersirat indeks-1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I am particularly grateful and thankful to the Chairman of the Supervisory Committee, Prof. Dr. Zanariah binti Abdul Majid, for her excellent supervision, invaluable discussions, helpful suggestions, continuous encouragement and motivation for me throughout this research. I am very grateful that having the opportunity to study and work under her supervision.

I would like to thank my co-supervisor, Assoc. Dr. Norazak bin Senu for giving helpful suggestion and comments throughout this research. My sincere appreciation goes to my helpful friends, Phang, Azizah, Atikah, Hoo, Wong, Izzat, Mughti, Shah, and staffs of INSPEM for their help, comments, and encouragements throughout this study. I would like to thank the Graduate Research Fellowship (GRF) from Universiti Putra Malaysia and MyMaster from the Ministry of Higher Education for the financial support.

Lastly, I would like to express my deepest gratitude towards my family members, my dear parents, my late grandmothers, my brothers and sisters, for their supports and encouragements.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Zanariah Abdul binti Majid, PhD

Professor
Institute for Mathematical Research
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Norazak bin Senu, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Khoo Kai Wen GS 38020

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee:

Zanariah Abdul binti Majid, PhD

Signature: _____

Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee:

Norazak bin Senu, PhD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
APPROVAL	iv
DECLARATION	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Objective of the Thesis	2
1.3 Stiff Differential Equations	2
1.4 Differential Algebraic Equations	3
1.5 Scope of Study	4
1.6 Outline of the Thesis	4
2 BACKGROUND RESEARCH AND LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Hybrid Method	6
2.3 Lagrange Interpolation Polynomial	7
2.4 Preliminary Mathematical Concepts	8
2.5 Review of Previous Works	10
2.5.1 Stiff Differential Equations	11
2.5.2 Hybrid Methods	12
2.5.3 Differential Algebraic Equations	12
3 DERIVATION OF HYBRID METHODS USING LAGRANGE INTERPOLATION POLYNOMIAL	14
3.1 Introduction	14
3.2 Derivation of Hybrid Method with One Off-Step Point	15
3.2.1 Derivation of Hybrid Method 1 (HM1)	15
3.2.2 Derivation of Hybrid Method 2 (HM2)	17
3.2.3 Derivation of Hybrid Method 3 (HM3)	19
3.3 Stability and Order of the Hybrid Methods	21
3.4 Discussions	28
4 SOLVING STIFF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS USING HYBRID METHODS WITH CONSTANT STEP SIZE	29
4.1 Introduction	29
4.2 Implementation of the Hybrid Method for Solving Stiff ODEs	29
4.2.1 Implementation of Hybrid Method 1 (HM1)	29
4.2.2 Implementation of Hybrid Method 2 (HM2)	31

4.2.3	Implementation of Hybrid Method 3 (HM3)	32
4.3	Algorithm of HM1	34
4.4	Problems Tested	35
4.5	Numerical Results	38
4.6	Discussion	54
5	SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL ALGEBRAIC EQUATIONS USING HYBRID METHODS WITH CONSTANT STEP SIZE	57
5.1	Introduction	57
5.2	Implementation of the Hybrid Method for Solving DAEs	57
5.2.1	Implementation of Hybrid Method 1 (HM1)	57
5.2.2	Implementation of Hybrid Method 2 (HM2)	60
5.2.3	Implementation of Hybrid Method 3 (HM3)	62
5.3	Implementation of HM1	64
5.4	Algorithm of HM1	65
5.5	Problem Tested	67
5.6	Numerical Results	69
5.7	Discussion	77
6	CONCLUSION	79
6.1	Summary	79
6.2	Future work	80
	REFERENCES	81
	APPENDICES	84
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	88
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	89

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1	Comparison between 2BBDF(5), 2OBBDF, HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 1 of stiff ODEs	39
4.2	Comparison between 2BBDF(5), 2OBBDF, HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 2 of stiff ODEs	40
4.3	Comparison between 3BEBDF, HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 3 of stiff ODEs	41
4.4	Comparison between BDF, BBDF(5), HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 4 of stiff ODEs	42
4.5	Comparison between 3BEBDF, HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 5 of stiff ODEs	43
4.6	Comparison between 3BEBDF, HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 6 of stiff ODEs	44
4.7	Comparison between 2BBDF(5), 2OBBDF, HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 7 of stiff ODEs	45
4.8	Comparison between 3BEBDF, HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 8 of stiff ODEs	46
4.9	Comparison between 3BEBDF, HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 9 of stiff ODEs	47
4.10	Comparison between HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 10 of stiff ODEs	48
5.1	Comparison between 1BDF, 2BDF, 3BDF, HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 1 DAEs	70
5.2	Comparison between 1BDF, 2BDF, 3BDF, HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 2 DAEs	71
5.3	Comparison between HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 3 DAEs	72
5.4	Comparison between HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 4 DAEs	72
5.5	Comparison between HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 5 DAEs	73
5.6	Comparison between 1BDF, 2BDF, 3BDF, HM1, HM2, and HM3 for Problem 6 DAEs	73

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Hybrid method with one off-step point (HM1)	14
3.2	Stability region of HM1	26
3.3	Stability region of HM2	27
3.4	Stability region of HM3	28
4.1	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.000001) for 2BBDF(5), 2OBBDF, HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 1.	49
4.2	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.000001) for 2BBDF(5), 2OBBDF, HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 2.	49
4.3	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.000001) for 3BEBDF, HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 3.	50
4.4	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.000001) for BDF, BBDF(5), HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 4.	50
4.5	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.000001) for 3BEBDF, HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 5.	51
4.6	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.000001) for 3BEBDF, HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 6.	51
4.7	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.000001) for 2BBDF(5), 2OBBDF, HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 7.	52
4.8	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.000001) for 3BEBDF, HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 8.	52
4.9	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.000001) for 3BEBDF, HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 9.	53
4.10	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.000001) for HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 10.	53
5.1	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.0001) for 1BDF, 2BDF, 3BDF, HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 1.	74
5.2	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.0001) for 1BDF, 2BDF, 3BDF, HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 2.	74

5.3	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.0001) for HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 3	75
5.4	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.0001) for HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 4	75
5.5	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.0001) for HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 5.	76
5.6	Graph of maximum errors versus h (0.01-0.0001) for 1BDF, 2BDF, 3BDF, HM1, HM2 and HM3 for solving Problem 6	76



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ODEs	Ordinary Differential Equations
DAEs	Differential Algebraic Equations
RK	Runge-Kutta
HM1	Hybrid method with an off-step point at $y_{n+\frac{5}{4}}$
HM2	Hybrid method with an off-step point at $y_{n+\frac{3}{2}}$
HM3	Hybrid method with an off-step point at $y_{n+\frac{7}{4}}$
2OBBDF	2-point block BDF method with off-step points of order 5
3BEBDF	3-point block extended backward formula
BDF	Classical one-point backward differentiation formula
BBDF(5)	Fifth order block backward differentiation formula
1BDF	1-point sequential BDF
2BDF	2-point block BDF method
3BDF	3-point block BDF method

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Mathematical models are often developed to facilitate the understanding of physical phenomena in the disciplines of science and engineering. One of the common mathematical problems that arise from the formulations of these mathematical models in the field of science and engineering is differential equation.

A differential equation in which the unknown is a function of a single independent variable is prevalently referred to as ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The general form of an ODEs is as follows:

$$y' = f(x, y), \quad y(a) = y_0, \quad a \leq x \leq b. \quad (1.1)$$

Stiffness occurs when some components of the solution decay much more rapidly than the others (Lambert, 1991). When ODEs have different decaying time dependencies, then the ODEs can be classified as stiff ODEs. Stiff ODEs have to be solved by using small step size to get a stable and accurate solution unless an A -stability properties method is used.

A differential algebraic equation (DAE) is an equation that involves an unknown function and its derivatives. A DAE is an equation that involves algebraic equations and is generally difficult to solve. DAEs can be classified by using index number. A higher index number indicates a higher difficulty in solving it.

The application of DAEs arises in a variety of applications in scientific and engineering area. These include chemical process, electric circuit design, chemical, and optimal control. Most commonly, ODEs and DAEs arises from the modeling of physical phenomena and there are no exact solutions. Therefore, the development of numerical methods is essential in order to find the approximate solutions for ODEs and DAEs.

The numerical method is a differential equation involving a number of consecutive approximations $y_{n+j}, j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ from which it will be possible to compute sequentially the sequence $\{y_n \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}$; naturally, this differential equation will also involve the function f (Lambert, 1991). The numerical methods estimate solutions by consecutive approximation steps, using iteration process.

Generally, there are two classes of methods, prevalently known as are one-step method and multistep method. The one-step method uses information from only one of the previous points to determine the next approximate point. The multistep method uses

information that more than one previous point to determine the approximate value at the next point. This research will focus on using one-step method to solve stiff ODEs and DAEs.

1.2 Objective of the Thesis

The main objective of this thesis is to develop three new one-step implicit hybrid methods for the numerical solutions of stiff ODEs and DAEs. These objectives can be achieved by:

1. Deriving three one-step implicit hybrid methods to solve stiff ODEs and semi-explicit index 1 DAEs by using constant step sizes.
2. Analyzing basic properties of the developed methods which include order, error constant and region of absolute stability.
3. Developing the algorithm of implicit hybrid methods to solve stiff ODEs and semi-explicit index 1 DAEs by using constant step sizes.

1.3 Stiff Differential Equations

A stiff equation is a differential equation that gives unstable result and it is a predicament to solve. Based on Curitess (1952), a stiff equation is exceedingly difficult to solve by ordinary numerical procedures.

Definition 1

If a numerical method with a finite region of absolute stability, applied to a system with any initial conditions, is forced to use in a certain interval of integration with a step length which is excessively small in relation to the smoothness of the exact solution in that interval, then the system is said to be stiff in that interval.

Stiff equations in mathematics indicate that for certain numerical methods, solving differential equation will be numerically unstable, unless the step size taken is extremely small. Based on Lambert (1991), a linear constant coefficient system is stiff if all of its eigenvalues have negative real parts and the stiffness ratio is large.

$$y(t) = e^{-\lambda t}. \quad (1.2)$$

Most commonly, the stiffness of the differential equation can be identified by the values of the negative part of the eigenvalues. The higher the value of λ , the more stiff the equation is. The equation will be moderately stiff if $\lambda = 1$ and the equation will be highly stiff if $\lambda = 1000$.

An example of a stiff ODE model from science application is shown as follows:

1. Flame propagation

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= y^2 - y^3, \\ y(0) &= o, \\ 0 < t < \frac{2}{o}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Where initial radius of the flaming ball is o .

1.4 Differential Algebraic Equations

Generally, there are two forms of differential algebraic equations (DAEs), which are fully implicit DAEs and semi-explicit DAEs. The general forms of DAEs are shown as follows:

1. Fully implicit DAEs

$$F(y, y', z) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

2. Semi-explicit DAEs

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= f(y, z), \\ 0 &= g(y, z). \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

A fully implicit DAE is a system of ODEs in which the partial derivative $\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y'}\right)$ is singular. The unknowns are y and z which represent differential variables and algebraic variables respectively. A semi-explicit DAE is a system of ODEs with algebraic constraints. Examples of DAE models from engineering application are shown as follows:

1. Simple pendulum

$$\begin{aligned} x_1' &= x_3, \\ x_2' &= x_4, \\ x_3' &= -\frac{F}{ml} x_1, \\ x_4' &= g \frac{F}{l} x_2, \\ 0 &= x^2 + y^2 - l^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

2. An RLC circuit

$$\begin{aligned}V_C' &= \frac{1}{C} i_L, \\V_L' &= \frac{1}{L} i_L, \\0 &= V_R + R i_E, \\0 &= V_E + V_R + V_C + V_L, \\0 &= i_L - i_L.\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

A remark is that every ODE is a DAE, but not every DAE is an ODE (Petzold, 1982). Fully implicit DAEs can always be transformed into semi-explicit DAEs.

Example: Consider the following ODEs

$$\begin{aligned}(y')^3 - y^2 &= 0, \\y(0) &= 1.\end{aligned}\tag{1.8}$$

Letting $y' = z$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}y' &= z, \\0 &= z^3 - y^2.\end{aligned}\tag{1.9}$$

DAEs can be categorized by using index. The index of a DAE is the minimum number of differentiation steps required to transform DAEs into ODEs. Gear et al. (1984) stated that the higher the index of DAEs, the more complex it is to solve it. This research will only focus on solving semi-explicit index 1 DAEs.

1.5 Scope of Study

This thesis will be pivot around solving stiff ODEs and semi-explicit index 1 DAEs. Three one-step implicit hybrid methods involving adding different positions of future off-step points will be implemented in this thesis. These methods will solve ODEs and DAEs using constant step size.

1.6 Outline of the Thesis

In Chapter 1, the objectives, a brief introduction of stiff differential equations and differential algebraic equations and the scope of study have been presented. The structure of the thesis has also been described in Chapter 1.

Basis definitions and properties related to hybrid method and Lagrange interpolation polynomial will be discussed in Chapter 2. Related preliminary mathematical concepts, background research and the review of previous work also presented in the following chapter.

The derivations of one-step implicit hybrid methods involving additional off-step point, stability and order of the new derived methods are also determined and presented in Chapter 3.

In Chapter 4, the implementations and algorithm of the new hybrid methods with $1 \leq r \leq 7$ with the derived methods and the numerical results are shown.

Some numerical results for solving DAEs are presented. The algorithm to solve DAEs using the new hybrid method is discussed and the numerical results are given.

The summary of the entire thesis, conclusion and recommendation for future research are presented in Chapter 6.

REFERENCES

- Ababneh, O. Y. and Rozita R. 2009. New third order Runge Kutta based on Contraharmonic Mean for stiff problems from *Applied Mathematical Science*, 3(8):365-376.
- Abasi, N., Suleiman, M. B., Ismail, F., Ibrahim, Z. B., and Musa, H. 2014a. A new formulae of variable step 3-point block BDF method for solving stiff ODEs from *Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics: Advances and Applications*, 12(1):49-76.
- Abasi, N., Suleiman, M., Abbasi, N. and Musa, H. 2014b. 2-point block BDF method with off-step points for solving stiff ODEs from *Journal of Soft Computing and Application*, 2014:1-15.
- Abasi, N., Suleima, M., Ibrahim, Z. B. and Ismail, F. 2012. 2-Point and 3-Point BBDF method for solving semi-explicit index-1 DAEs from *Applied Mathematical Science*, 6(134):6679-6689.
- Akinfenwa, O. A., Yao, N. M. and Jator, S. N. 2011. Implicit two step continuous hybrid block methods with four off-Steps points for solving stiff ordinary differential equation from *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 51:425-428.
- Arnold, M., Strehmel, K., and Weiner, R. 1993. Half-explicit Runge-Kutta methods for semi-explicit differential-algebraic equation of index 1 from *Numer. Math*, 64: 409-431.
- Butcher, J. C., A modified multistep method for the numerical integration of ordinary differential equations from *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.*, 12:124-135.
- WFKHU- & DQ25XOOLYDQ1RUGMHFNPHWKRGZLWKDQII -step point from *Numerical Algorithm*, 31:87-101.
- Curtiss, C. F. and Hirdchfelder, J. O. 1952. Integration of stiff equations from *Mathematics: Curtiss and Hirschfelder*, 2:488-490.
- El-Khateb, M. A. and Hussien. H.S. 2009. An optimization method for solving some differential algebraic equations from *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat*, 14:1970-1977.
- Gear, C. W. 1971. Simultaneous Numerical Solution of Differential-Algebraic Equations from *IEEE Trans. Circuit Theory*, CT-18: 89-95.
- Gear, C. W. 1984. Hybrid methods for initial value problems in ordinary differential equations from *J. SIAM Numer. Anal.*, 2(1):69-86
- Gear, C. W. and Petzold. L.R. 1984. ODE methods for the solution of differential algebraic systems from *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 21(4):716-728.

- Gragg, W. B. and Stetter, H. J. 1964. Generalized multistep predictor-corrector methods from *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.*, 11:188-209.
- Ibrahim, Z. B., Othman, K. I. and Suleiman, M. 2007. Implicit r -point block backward differentiation formula for solving first-order stiff ODEs from *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 186: 558±565.
- Ibrahim, Z. B., Suleiman, M., and Othman, I. 2008. Fixed coefficients block backward differentiation formulas for the numerical solution of stiff ordinary differential equations from *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 21(3):508-520.
- Jator, S. N. 2009. On the hybrid method with three off-step points initial value problems from *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 41(1):110-118.
- Kaps, P and Rentrop, P. 1979. Generalized Runge-Kutta Methods of Order Four with Step-size Control for Stiff Ordinary Differential Equations from *Numer. Math.*, 33:55-68.
- Kumleng, G. M., Adey, S. O. and Skwame, Y. 2013. Implicit two step Adam Moulton hybrid block method with two off-step points for solving stiff ordinary differential equations from *Journal of Natural Science Research*, 3(9):77-81.
- Lambert, J. D. 1973. *USA: Computational Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.
- Lambert, J. D. 1991. *Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Systems*, Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Musa, H., Suleiman, M. B. and Senu, N. 2012. Fully implicit 3-point block extended backward differentiation formula for stiff initial value problems from *Applied Mathematical Science*, 6(85):4211±4228.
- Nasir, N. A. A. M., Ibrahim, Z. B., Othman, K. I. and Suleiman, M. 2012. Numerical solution of first order stiff ordinary differential equations using fifth order block backward differentiation formulas from *Sains Malaysiana*, 41(4):489±492.
- Novati, P. 2003. An Explicit One-Step Method for Stiff Problems from *Journal Computing*, 71(2):133±151.
- Petzold, L. 1982. Differential Algebraic Equations are not ODEs from *SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput*, 3(3):376-384
- Petzold, L. R. 1992. Numerical solution of differential-algebraic equations in mechanical systems simulation from *Physica D*, 60:269-279.
- Rosser, J. B. 1967 . A Runge-Kutta for all seasons from *SIAM Review*, 9:417±452.
- Sand, J. 2002. On implicit Euler for higher order index DAEs from *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 42:411-424.

- Sharmila, R. G. and Amirtharaj, E. C. H. 2011. Implementation of a new third order weighted Runge-Kutta formula based on Centroidal Mean for solving stiff initial value problem from *Recent Research in Science and Technology*, 3(10):91-97.
- Skwame, Y., Sunday, J., and Ibijola, E. A. 2012. L-WDEOH EORFN KEULG 6LPSRU methods for numerical solution of initial value problems in stiff ordinary differential equations from *International Journal of Pure and Applied Science and Technology*, 11(2):45-54.
- Stoer, J. and Bulirsch, R. (2002) *Introduction to Numerical Analysis*. New York: Springer.
- Wang, F. S. 2000. A modified collocation method for solving differential-algebraic equations from *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 116:257-278.
- Wu, X. Y. 1997. A sixth-order A-stable explicit one-step method for stiff systems from *Computers Math. Applic.*, 35(9):59-64.
- Zabidi, M. Z. M., Majid, Z. A., and Senu, N. 2014. Solving stiff differential equations using A-stable block method from *International Journal and Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 93(3):409-425.