

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

DEVELOPMENT OF MATHEMATICAL MODELS FOR INTEGRATION OF LOT SIZING AND FLOW SHOP SCHEDULING WITH LOT STREAMING

NAVID MORTEZAEI

FK 2015 104



DEVELOPMENT OF MATHEMATICAL MODELS FOR INTEGRATION OF LOT SIZING AND FLOW SHOP SCHEDULING WITH LOT STREAMING



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

October 2015

COPYRIGHT

All material contained whithin the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF MATHEMATICAL MODELS FOR INTEGRATION OF LOT SIZING AND FLOW SHOP SCHEDULING WITH LOT STREAMING

By

NAVID MORTEZAEI

October 2015

Chair: Norzima Zulkifli, PhD Faculty: Engineering

In manufacturing industries, production planning and scheduling strategy usually flow in a hierarchical direction. In this direction, the production planning problem is solved first; then the scheduling problem is solved to meet the production targets. This often generates an infeasible production plan because of not considering the details of scheduling. Therefore, it is necessary to develop models that can integrate production planning and scheduling. For manufacturing companies that have identical units of single products and are often grouped in production batches (lots), lot streaming can be used as a scheduling technique. However, there has been no model to integrate production planning (lot sizing) and scheduling, using lot streaming technique which can accelerate production. The main objective of this research is to develop mixedinteger mathematical models for integration of lot sizing and flowshop lot streaming problems such as variable sublots, consistent and equal sublots, scheduling with learning effects and the possibility of preventive maintenance tasks. The objective of these mathematical models is minimization of total costs and also, five goals of problem can simultaneously be solved, namely: determining the sequence among sublots, optimal number of sublots for each lot, size of each lot, inventory levels and size of individual sublots.

The second objective of this research is to propose a solution procedure for problems when data are fuzzy. Finally, the third research objective is to validate the proposed model through a case study. Three software are used to extract the results of mathematical models and validate the solution procedure. The name of these software are: LINGO, MINITAB and MATLAB. In this research, the author proposes the first mixed-integer mathematical models for integration of lot sizing and lot streaming problems. By these proposed models, not only sequencing and timing decisions of multiple products are calculated but also lot size of each product, work-in-process and inventory levels of finished products are calculated when lots can be split into smaller sublots. To get the results of mathematical models, in three examples, 70 randomlygenerated problems are solved by LINGO solver. Moreover, a two-way ANOVA test as a statistical method is applied to validate the mathematical model, using four examples consisting sixteen problems, by MINITAB software. The NDM Company is used as a case study. The mathematical models are used to solve NDM company's problems by LINGO solver. The results showed 32 percent (77.66 hours) reduction in makespan compared to non-integrated mathematical model. Validation of the proposed solution procedure is achieved by comparison of results with max-min method results,



using Shahab Shishe company data. The results of using the proposed mathematical models in this research are first to reduce cost by using these proposed models. Secondly is greater marginal benefits were obtained by intermingled sublot cases than non-intermingled sublot cases. It is concluded that better makespans were obtained by intermingled sublot cases than non-intermingled sublot cases than non-intermingled sublot case.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PEMBANGUNAN MODEL-MODEL MATEMATIK UNTUK INTEGRASI PENSAIZAN LOT DAN PENJADUALAN PENGARUSAN LOT

By

NAVID MORTEZAEI

Oktober 2015

Pengerusi: Norzima Zulkifli, PhD Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Dalam industri pengeluaran, strategi perancangan pengeluaran dan penjadualan selalunya dilaksankan mengikut arah hiraki. Dalam keadaan ini, masalah perancangan pengeluaran akan diselesaikan terlebih dahulu kemudian penjadualan ditentukan untuk memenuhi sasaran pengeluara. Keadaan ini mengakibatkan perancangan pengeluaran yang tidak tepat kerana penjadualan adalah tidak terperinci. Oleh itu, adalah perlu untuk membangunkan model-model yang boleh mencantumkan perancangan pengeluaran dan penjadualan. Untuk syarikat pengeluaran yang mempunyai unit produk, yang sama, satu jenis produk biasanya akan dikumpulkan dalam lot dan lot arus boleh menggunakan teknik penjadualan. Walau bagaimanapun, tiada model yang menggabungkan perancangan pengeluaran (pensaizan lot) dan penjadualan, menggunakan teknik arus yang boleh mempercepatkan pengeluaran. Objektif utama kajian ini ialah untuk membangunkan model matematik integer campuran yang menggabungkan pensaizan lot dan lot arus bagi bengkel aliran seperti sublot boleh ubah, sublot yang konsisten dan sama, penjadualan dengan pengaruh pembelajaran dan kemungkinan untuk aktiviti penyenggaraan pengelakan. Objektif-objektif model ini ialah untuk mengurangkan kos dan juga penyelesaian lima masalah utama iaitu mengenalpasti jujukan sublot, bilangan optimum sublot untuk setiap lot, saiz lot dan tahap inventori dan saiz sublot secara individu.

Objektif kedua kajian ini ialah untuk mencadangkan prosedur penyelesaian bagi data yang 'fuzzy'. Dan akhir sekali, objektif ketiga kajian ini adalah mengesahkan model melalui kajian kes. Tiga perisian telah digunakan untuk menjana keputusan dari model -model matematik tersebut bagi mengesahkan prosedur penyelesaian. Perisian tersebut adalah LINGO, MINITAB dan MATLAB. Dalam kajian ini, pengarang telah mencadangkan model pertama campuran integer untuk menggabungkan pensaizan lot dan lot arus. Dengan cadangan model-model ini, bukan sahaja keputusan jujukan dan pemasaan untuk produk pelbagai dapat ditentukan, tetapi juga saiz lot untuk setiap produk, 'work-in-process' dan tahap inventori untuk produk siap dapat dikenalpasti jika lot dipisahkan menjadi sublot yang lebih kecil. Bagi mendapatkan keputusan model-model, tiga contoh telah diambil dengan 70 masalah rawak telah diselesaikan dengan menggunakan penyelesai LINGO. Tambahan, uian dua arah ANOVA telah digunakan bagi menguji secara statistic dan mengesahkan model menggunakan empat contoh yang mengandungi enam belas permasalahan, dengan menggunakan perisian MINITAB. Syarikat ND telah digunakan sebagai kajian kes. Model-model matematik telah digunakan untuk menyelesaikan masalah perancangan dan penjadualan dengan menggunakan penyelesai LINGO. Keputusan menunjukkan 32 peratus (77.66 jam)



pengurangan dalam jangkamasa pembuatan dapat dicapai dibandingkan dengan menggunakan model tidak bergabung. Validasi untuk cadangan prosedur penyelesaian telah dicapai melalui perbandingan keputusan melalui kaedah maks-min melalui data dari syarikat Shahab Shishe. Keputusan melalui cadangan model matematik dalam kajian ini adalah pertamanya untuk mengurangkan kos. Sebagai rumusan, ianya dapat meningkatkan keuntungan melalui pencampuran sublot berbanding sublot yang tidak bercampur.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to appreciate my supervisor Associate Professor. Dr. Norzima binti Zulkifli, for her helpful and constructive comments and suggestions in my PhD research. I would like to thank from my supervisory committee Professor. Dr. Rosnah Mohd Yusuff and Associate Professor. Dr. Tang Sai Hong for their remarkable suggestions and assistances throughout the years. Also I appreciate my parents and sister for their support during my hardness time in completing this research.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 2 October 2015 to conduct the final examination of Navid Mortezaei on his thesis entitled "Development of Mathematical Models for Integration of Lot Sizing and Flow Shop Scheduling with Lot Streaming" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Shamsuddin bin Sulaiman, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Faieza binti Abdul Aziz, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Mohd Khairol Anuar bin Mohd Ariffin, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Ahad Ali, PhD

Professor Lawrence Technological University United States (External Examiner)



ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 5 November 2015

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Norzima bt. Zulkifli, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Rosnah bt. Mohd. Yusuff, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Tang Sai Hong, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:	Date:	
Name and Matric No.:		

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

C

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to. Signature: Signature:

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:	Norzima bt. Zulkifli, PhD	Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Rosnah bt. Mohd. Yusuff, PhD
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Tang Sai Hong,PhD		

ABS	TRACT	i
ABS	TRAK	iii
ACK	KNOWLEDGEMENTS	v .
APP	ROVAL	V1
DEC	LARATION	V111
LIST	T OF TABLES	X111
LISI	I OF FIGURES	XVI
CHA	APTER	1
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1. Introduction	1
	1.2. Problem statement	3
	1.3. Research aims and objectives	4
	1.4. Scope of study	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Introduction	
	2.2 Aggregate production planning	6
	2.3 Aggregate production planning models	6
	2.4 Scheduling	11
	2.4.1 Reactive scheduling	12
	2.4.2 Predictive-reactive scheduling	14
	2.4.5 The match-up schedule repair heuristics	15
	2.5. Algorithms for solving n job m machine scheduling problem	20
	2.5 1 Modrak and Pandian algorithm (2010)	20
	2.5.2 Slope index method (nalmer 1965)	21
	2.6. Integration of production planning and scheduling	21
	2.6.1 Hierarchical methods	23
	2.6.2 Iterative methods	23
	2.6.3 Full space methods	24
	2.7 Integration of production planning and scheduling with lot	26
	Streaming	
	2.8 Review of heuristic methods	28
	2.9 Linearization	30
	2.10 Summary	32
2	DESEADOU METHODOLOOV	22
3	2 1 Introduction	22
	3.2 Transformation methods from fuzzy models into crisp models	36
	3.2 1 Okada (1991) method for transforming models with fuzzy	36
	narameters into crisp model	50
	3.2.2 Lai and Hwang (1992) method for transforming models with	38
	fuzzy parameters into crisp model	20
	3.3 Methods for solving multi-objective linear programming problems	41
	3.3.1 Max-Min approach	42
	3.3.2 Two-phase approach	42
	1 11	

х

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	43
4.1 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming with random data	43
4.1.1 Mathematical models for integration of lot sizing and lot streaming problems	43
4.1.2 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming problem with variable sublots	44
4.1.3 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming problem with	48
4.1.4 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming problem with preventive maintenance	57
4.1.5 Numerical examples	67
4.1.6 Statistical approach	83
4.1.7 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming with learning effects	84
4.2 The proposed mathematical models and their outputs uniqueness and benefits	91
4.2.1 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming with variable sublots	91
4.2.2 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming with consistent sublots	92
4.2.3 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming with equal sublots	93
4.2.4 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming with consistent sublot and preventive maintenance	94
4.2.5 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming with consistent sublots and learning effects	95
4.2.6 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming with consistent sublots when intermingling of Products is not allowed	95
4.3 Lot sizing and lot streaming problems with fuzzy data	97
4.3.1 Lot sizing problem	97
4.3.2 Solving procedure	100
4.3.3 Implementation of lot sizing model (Case study)	103
4.3.4 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming with fuzzy parameters	110
4.4 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming with real data (case study)	114
4.4.1 Case study description	114
4.4.2 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming without intermingling	115
4.4.3 Results of four equal sublots non-intermingling example	118
4.4.4 Integration of lot sizing and lot streaming with intermingling	119
4.4.5 Results of three equal sublots intermingling example	120
4.4.6 Results of three consistent sublots intermingling example	121
4.4.7 Results of three equal sublots intermingling with preventive	122
maintenance example	126
4.5 Novelty of models	
4.6 Summary	127

xi

5	CONCLUSION	128
	5.1 Introduction	128
	5.2 Conclusion	129
	5.3 Contributions	131
	5.4 Recommendations for future research	132
REI	FERENCES	133
APPENDICES BIODATA OF STUDENT		142
		175
LIST	Γ OF PUBLICATIONS	176



 \bigcirc

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Comparison of fuzzy APP models	13
2.2 Example data	21
2.3 Summary of similar research problem	25
3.1 How author respond to research objective	35
4.1 Processing times of jobs on machines	43
4.2 Input data on different machines	43
4.3 Results of example for variable sublots	48
4.4 Sublot sizes for variable subluts	48
4.5 Results of example for consistent sublots with intermingling cases	50
4.6 Results of example for consistent sublots no-intermingling cases	50
4.7 Sublots sizes for consistent sublots with intermingling cases	51
4.8 Sublots sizes for consistent sublots no-intermingling cases	52
4.9 Results of example for equal sublots with intermingling cases	55
4.10 Results of example for equal sublots no-intermingling cases	55
4.11 Sublots sizes for equal sublots with intermingling cases	56
4.12 Sublots sizes for equal sublots no-intermingling cases	56
4.13 Sublot completion times on different machines in consistent sublots with intermingling setting and maintenance tasks	58
4.14 Results of different integration of lot sizing and lot streaming problems	61
4.15 Results of these eight different lot streaming problems	62

 \bigcirc

4.16 Results of lot streaming problems with and without maintenance	65
4.17 Processing times of jobs on machines	67
4.18 Results of example number 2 for variable sublots with intermingling cases	67
4.19 Sublots sizes of example number 2 for variable sublots with intermingling cases	67
4.20 Results of example number 2 for variable sublots without intermingling cases	68
4.21 Sublots sizes of example number 2 for variable sublots without intermingling cases	68
4.22 Results of example number 2 for consistent sublots with intermingling cases	69
4.23 Sublots sizes of example number 2 for consistent sublots with intermingling cases	69
4.24 Results of example number 2 for equal sublots with intermingling cases	70
4.25 Sublots sizes of example number 2 for equal sublots with intermingling cases	70
4.26 Results of example number 2 for equal sublots without intermingling cases	71
4.27 Sublots sizes of example number 2 for equal sublots without intermingling cases	71
4.28 Results of example number 2 for consistent sublots without intermingling cases	72
4.29 Sublots sizes of example number 2 for consistent sublots without intermingling cases	72
4.30 Results of different integration of lot sizing and lot streaming problems for example number two	73
4.31 Processing times of jobs on machines	74
4.32 Results of example number 3 for consistent sublots with intermingling cases	74

C

4.33 Sublots sizes of example number 3 for consistent sublots with intermingling cases	75
4.34 Results of example number 3 for consistent sublots without intermingling cases	76
4.35 Sublots sizes of example number 3 for consistent sublots without intermingling cases	76
4.36 Results of example number 3 for equal sublots with intermingling cases	77
4.37 Sublots sizes of example number 3 for equal sublots with intermingling cases	78
4.38 Results of example number 3 for equal sublots without intermingling cases	79
4.39 Sublots sizes of example number 3 for equal sublots without intermingling cases	80
4.40 Results of different integration of lot sizing and lot streaming problems for example number three	82
4.41 Analysis of variance for Cmax reduction	83
4.42 Processing times of jobs on machines	84
4.43 Sublot completion times on different machines in consistent sublot with intermingling setting	84
4.44 Processing times of jobs on machines	85
4.45 Processing times of jobs on machines if it is scheduled in each specific position	86
4.46 Results of example for consistent sublots with intermingling cases	89
4.47 sublots start times for consistent sublots with intermingling cases with sublot-attached set up times	90
4.48 sublots completion times for consistent sublots with intermingling cases with sublot-attached set up times	91
4.49 Pairwise comparison of three objectives	100
4.50 Forecast demand and minimum demand data	104

4.51 Cost data	104
4.52 Values of objective functions at different levels of α	107
4.53 Total degree of satisfaction at different levels of α	108
4.54 set up times and fuzzy processing times for fuzzy example	111
4.55 Sublot completion times for three products and three equal sublots with intermingling and fuzzy input data (in hours)	113
4.56 Sublot sizes for three products and three equal sublots with intermingling and fuzzy input data	113
4.57 Set up times and processing times for producing of 1 meter of all three types of tapes (in seconds)	115
4.58 Sublot start times on different machines for three kinds of tapes in a four equal sublots without intermingling case (in hours)	118
4.59 Sublot completion times on different machines for three kinds of tapes in a four equal sublots without intermingling case (in hours)	118
4.60 Sublot sizes on different machines for three kinds of tapes in a four equal sublots without intermingling case (in meters)	119
4.61 Sublot start times on different machines for three kinds of tapes in a three equal sublots with intermingling case	120
4.62 Sublot completion times on different machines for three kinds of tapes in a three equal sublots with intermingling case	121
4.63 Sublot sizes on different machines for three kinds of tapes in a three equal sublots with intermingling case	121
4.64 Sublot start times for three kinds of tapes in a three consistent sublots with intermingling case	122
4.65 Sublot completion times for three kinds of tapes in a three consistent sublots with intermingling case	122
4.66 Sublot sizes for three kinds of tapes in a three consistent sublots with intermingling case	122
4.67 Input data on different machines for case study (in hours)	123

4.68 Sublot completion times for three kinds of tapes in a three equal sublots with intermingling and preventive maintenance case	123
4.69 Sublot sizes for three kinds of tapes in a three equal sublots with intermingling and preventive maintenance case	124
4.70 The number of variables and constraints and computation times for tape industry (the three products, six machines case)	125



 \mathbf{G}

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1 A closed-loop integrated production planning and scheduling system (Riane et al. 2001).	2
2.1 An infeasible schedule for A and B	21
2.2 A feasible schedule for A and B	22
2.3 Flexible flow line assembly.	29
3.1 Research flow chart	34
3.2 triangular fuzzy number Ř	37
4.1 Optimal solutions of example with intermingling integer consistent sublots where all machines need preventive maintenance	59
4.2 Optimal solutions of example with intermingling integer consistent sublots	63
4.3 Optimal solutions of example without intermingling integer consistent sublots	63
4.4 Optimal solutions of example with intermingling integer equal sublots	64
4.5 Optimal solutions of example without intermingling integer equal sublots	64
4.6 Comparison of different lot streaming problems with different number of sublots	66
4.7 Comparison of four different integration of lot sizing and lot streaming problems with different number of sublots	80
4.8 Comparison of different lot streaming problems with different number of sublots (example 3)	81
4.9 Triangular fuzzy number R for above-mentioned problem	98

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The main goal of each manufacturing enterprise is to utilize the resources available in order to satisfy market demand in the most efficient manner possible. Production planning is crucial to achieve this goal. The process of planning of manufacturing in any company or industry called production planning. It uses company resources including machines, workers, materials and production capacity in order to serve different customers.

On the other hand, scheduling is considered as short-term decision planning. The process of assigning operations to a set of resources in the course of time with the objective of optimizing a criterion is defined as scheduling. With regards to the requirements of real-life production planning and scheduling problems, the main objective is to discover a proper schedule that generates a diminished inventory level, high plant efficiency that help to accelerate the production. Plant efficiency means high machine and labour utilization. To achieve the feasible production plan and schedule, these two topics should be integrated. Figure 1-1 illustrates integration between production planning and scheduling.



Figure 1.1 A closed-loop integrated production planning and scheduling system (Riane et al. 2001).

1.2 Problem statement

In this research, production systems are considered in a flow shop configuration. In the manufacturing industry, the commonly used planning and scheduling decision-making strategy generally follows a hierarchical approach, in which the planning problem is solved first to define the production targets and then the scheduling problem is solved next to meet those targets; however, there are some disadvantages to this traditional strategy since, there is no interaction between the two decision levels and no integration between production planning and scheduling. Those disadvantages and problems of non-integrated planning are (Zukui and Lerapetritou, 2010):

- 1) The generated production planning may cause infeasible scheduling sub-problems.
- At the planning level, the effects of changeovers and daily inventories are neglected.
- 3) The neglect of those effects tends to produce optimistic estimates of production plans that cannot be realized at the scheduling level.
- 4) It causes over production or under production

Therefore, it is essential to develop methodologies that can effectively integrate production planning (lot sizing) and scheduling. On the other hand, in this research, lot streaming technique is added within the model as a scheduling technique. Without lot streaming two main problems are detected which are long lead times and high average work-in-process inventory. For the production of medium to high-volume products, identical units of a single product are often grouped into production batch (lot). In traditional batch production systems, a lot is transferred from one machine to the next only when all items of the lot have been completed. This reduces the time lost to setup. However, it also results in long lead times and high average work-in-process inventory (Hall et al., 2003). The lot streaming is a scheduling technique used to reduce makespan time. Lot streaming is a scheduling technique for splitting jobs, each consisting of identical items, into sublots to allow them to overlap on consecutive machines in multi-stage production systems (Chang and Chiu, 2005). Through lot streaming, production can be accelerated and a significant decrease of makespan can be achieved (Kalir and Sarin, 2000; Zhang et al., 2005; Sarin and Jaiprakash, 2007; Feldmann and Biskup, 2008). However, all lot streaming research assumes that the number of identical items of the product on each machine is given in advance. In other words, the lot sizing (production planning) problem is not integrated into the lot streaming problem. Moreover, all lot streaming research assumes that machines are always available, in other words; no breakdowns or scheduled maintenance are allowed which this is unrealistic. In addition, variable sublot type seldom is considered in previous studies. In this research, the author develops mixed-integer linear mathematical models for the integration of lot sizing (production planning) and lot streaming (scheduling) problems where machines are unavailable because they are undergoing preventive maintenance. All sublot types (equal, consistent, and variable) and scheduling with learning effects are considered in the proposed models.

In regard to second objective a solution procedure for problems when data are fuzzy is proposed. In reality, the demands of products are determined based on customer needs. The demand of a product fluctuates especially when demand is seasonal. There are two ways to deal with matter of fluctuations of demand (uncertainty) 1) uncertain demand

is stochastic and follows a probability distribution function (i.e. normal distribution) 2) Uncertain demand is fuzzy. Fuzzy numbers can be allocated in order to solve demand fuzziness. Fuzzy number can be trapezoidal or triangular. In this research fuzzy numbers is supposed to be triangular. Each machine has its own capacity in a flow line. In practice, many circumstances can effect on machine capacity. Unforeseen circumstances such as machine break down, tool failure. These events can cause fluctuations in the use of machine capacity. It shows machine capacity is also uncertain. In this research two situations of company will be considered 1) stable situation 2) unstable situation. Input data of stable situation are crisp and unstable situation are fuzzy. Mixed integer mathematical models for integration of lot sizing and lot streaming proposed in this research can be used for both stable and unstable situations. Examples of instability in a company are old machines, unreliable supplier who deliver poor quality materials and poor quality management. Production costs, machine capacities, processing times and demands of finished products are fuzzy in these situations. Input data of proposed model are devoted fuzzy by managers to deal with these instabilities and get optimal results.

1.3 Research aims and objectives

The main objective is to develop a mathematical model for the integration of production planning (lot sizing) and lot streaming in a flow shop that generates a low inventory level, high plant efficiency and in which machines capacities are respected. The inputs of the model are forecasted demand in a finite planning horizon and detailed loads and schedules for the plant will be found. Research objectives are as follows.

- (1) To develop mathematical models for integration of lot sizing and flow shop lot streaming problems under deterministic data.
- (2) To propose a solution procedure for multi-objective aggregate production planning with fuzzy parameters and integration of lot sizing and lot streaming under fuzzy data.
- (3) To verify the model with a case study.

1.4 Scope of study



There are five machine configurations in literature as follows: flow shop, job shop, open shop, hybrid flow shop, and hybrid job shop. Flow shop configuration is studied in this research. In repetitive manufacturing and cellular production systems flow shop configuration found that a set of jobs should be processed on several sequential machines, each job need to be processed on all machines, and the processing routes of all jobs are exactly the same (i.e., the operations of any job are processed in exactly the same order). If an operation did not require a certain machine, then the processing time of the operation on that machine would be zero (Ziaee and sadjadi (2007)). In the flow shop manufacturing environment the amount of operations of each job is equal to amount of machines and no two machines are capable of doing the same operation. Flow shop and lot streaming technique can be seen and used in medium to high volume

systems, such as autos, personal computers, radios and televisions, and furniture. Mathematical modeling and mixed-integer programing will be applied by author to model and solve problems under consideration. Three following software will be used to find solutions 1) LINGO 2) MINITAB 3) MATLAB. LINGO and MATLAB are two software for solving mathematical models. MINITAB is a software will be used to verify results via statistical methods. As a case study the researcher uses a tape industry that produces three kinds of tapes that have same process and same routine) or produces in the flow shop configuration. Two case studies are used to verify proposed models as follows: 1) Tape industry for integration of lot sizing and scheduling models; 2) Light industry (shahab Shishe Company) for lot sizing model. To solve proposed models, Lingo software for small and medium-scale problem is used.



REFERENCES

- Allahverdi A, Ng C, Cheng T, Kovalyov M (2008). A survey of scheduling problems with setup times or costs. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 187(3), 985–1032.
- Aliev. R. A, Fazlollahi.B, Guirimov. B.G, Aliev. R.R, (2007). Fuzzy-genetic approach to aggregate production-distribution planning in supply chain management. *Journal of information sciences* 177(20), 4241-4255.
- Allouche, M, Aouni, B, Martel, J, Loukil, T & Rebai, A, (2007). Solving multi-criteria scheduling flow shop problem through compromise programming and satisfaction functions. *European journal of operational research*, 192(2), 460-467.
- Andrés, C., Gomez, P., & Garcia-Sabater, J. P. (2006, September). Comparing dispatching rules in dynamic hybrid flow shops. In Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation, 2006. ETFA'06. IEEE Conference on (pp. 233-239). IEEE.
- Baker, K. R., & Jia, D. (1993). A comparative study of lot streaming procedures. Omega, 21(5), 561-566.
- Baykasoglu A, (2001). MOAPPS 1.0: aggregate production planning using the multiple objective tabu search. *International of production research*, 39(16), 3685–3702.
- Baykasoglu A, Gocken. T, (2010). Multi-objective aggregate production planning with fuzzy parameters. *Journal of advances in engineering software*, 41(9), 1124-1131.
- Bean, JC, Birge, JR, Mittenthal, j & Noon, CE, (1991). Match up scheduling with multiple resources, release dates and disruptions. *Journal of operations* research, 39(3), 470-483.
- Behnamian, J., & Zandieh, M. (2013). Earliness and Tardiness Minimizing on a Realistic Hybrid Flowshop Scheduling with Learning Effect by Advanced Metaheuristic. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 38(5), 1229-1242.
- Behnamian.J & Fatemi Ghomi.s, (2011). Hybrid flow shop scheduling with machine and resource-dependent processing times. *Journal of Applied Mathematical modelling* 35(3), 1107-1123.
- Biskup, D. (1999). Single-machine scheduling with learning considerations. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 115(1), 173-178.
- Biskup, D. (2008). A state-of-the-art review on scheduling with learning effects. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 188(2), 315-329.

- Biskup, D., & Feldmann, M. (2005). Lot streaming with variable sublots: An integer programming formulation. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 57(3), 296-303.
- Buxey, G., (2003). Strategy not tactics drives aggregate planning. *International Journal* of Production Economics, 85(3), 331–346.
- Byrne. M.D, Bakir.M.A, (1999). Production planning using a hybrid simulationanalytical approach. *International journal of production economics*, 59(1), 305-311.
- Chang.D.Y, (1996). Application of the extent analysis method on fuzzy AHP. *European journal of operational research*, 95(3), 649-655.
- Chang C.T and Chang C.C, (2000). A linearization method for mixed 0-1 polynomial programs. *Computers & Operations Research*, 27(10), 1005-1016.
- Chang, J. H., & Chiu, H. N. (2005). A comprehensive review of lot streaming. International Journal of Production Research, 43(8), 1515-1536.
- Cheng, T. C. E., Wu, C. C., & Lee, W. C. (2008). Some scheduling problems with deteriorating jobs and learning effects. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 54(4), 972-982.
- Chase, R.B. and Aquilano, N.J. (1992). Production & operations management: a life cycle approach. Boston, MA: Richard D. Irwin.
- Chen, h.k. & Chou, h.w (1996). Solving multiobjective linear programming problemsa generic approach. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 82 (1), 35-38.
- Chen, H, Du, B and Huang, G (2011). Scheduling a batch processing machine with non-identical job sizes: a clustering perspective. *International journal of production research*, 49(19), 5755-5778.
- Chen, Y-K, Liao, H-C, (2003). An investigation on selection of simplified aggregate production planning strategies using MADM approaches. *International of* production research, 41(14), 3359-3374.
- Chiou, C, Chen, W and Wu, M, (2013). A combined dispatching criteria approach to scheduling dual flow-shops. *International journal of production research*, 51(3), 927-939.
- Chiu, H., Chang, J., & Lee, C. (2004). Lot streaming models with a limited number of capacitated transporters in multistage batch production systems. *Computers & Operations Research*, 31(12), 2003-2020.
- Choi.s and Wang.K, (2012). Flexible flow shop scheduling with stochastic processing times: A decomposition-based approach. *Journal of computer and industrial engineering*, 63 (2), 362-373.

- Defersha, F. M., & Chen, M. (2010). A hybrid genetic algorithm for flowshop lot streaming with setups and variable sublots. *International Journal of Production Research*, 48(6), 1705-1726.
- Defersha, F. M., & Chen, M. (2012). Mathematical model and parallel genetic algorithm for hybrid flexible flowshop lot streaming problem. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 62(1-4), 249-265.
- Demir, Y., & İşleyen, S. K. (2014). An effective genetic algorithm for flexible job-shop scheduling with overlapping in operations. *International Journal of Production Research*, 52(13), 3905-3921.
- Drexl.A, Kimms.A, (1997). Lot sizing and scheduling Survey and extensions. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 99 (2), 221-235.
- Dobos, I., (2003). Optimal production-inventory strategies for a HMMS-type reverse logistics system. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 81–82, 351–360.
- Fadaei, M., & Zandieh, M. (2013). Scheduling a Bi-Objective Hybrid Flow Shop with Sequence-Dependent Family Setup Times Using Metaheuristics. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 38(8), 2233-2244.
- Feldmann, M., & Biskup, D. (2008). Lot streaming in a multiple product permutation flow shop with intermingling. *International Journal of Production Research*, 46(1), 197-216.
- Fung. Y. K, Tang. J, Wang. D, (2003). Multi product aggregate production planning with fuzzy demand and fuzzy capacities. IEEE Transactions on systems, man and cybernetics-part A: system and humans, 33(3) 2003, 302-313.
- Galbraith, J., (1973). Designing Complex Organizations. Addison- Wesley, Reading, MA.
- Gen. M, Tsujimura. Y, Ida. K. (1992). Method for solving multi objective aggregate production planning problem with fuzzy parameters. *Journal of computers and industrial engineering*, 23(1), 117-120.
- Gómez-Gasquet, P., Andrés, R. S., Pereyra, R. D. F., & Andrés-Romano, C. (2014). A makespan minimization in an m-stage flow shop lot streaming with sequence dependent setup times: MILP model and experimental approach. In Annals of Industrial Engineering 2012 (pp. 217-224). Springer London.
- Glass, C. A., & Possani, E. (2011). Lot streaming multiple jobs in a flow shop. International Journal of Production Research, 49(9), 2669-2681.
- Glover, F (1977). Heuristics for integer programming using surrogate constraints, *Decision Sciences*, 8(1), 156,166.

- Hall, N. G., Laporte, G., Selvarajah, E., & Sriskandarajah, C. (2003). Scheduling and lot streaming in flowshops with no-wait in process. *Journal of Scheduling*, 6(4), 339-354.
- Ho, C., (1989). Evaluating the impact of operating environments on MRP system nervousness. International Journal of Production Research, 27(7), 1115– 1135.
- Hadda, H. (2012). A polynomial-time approximation scheme for the two machine flow shop problem with several availability constraints. *Optimization Letters*, 6(3), 559-569.
- Ho, Y. C., & Moodie, C. L. (1996). Solving cell formation problems in a manufacturing environment with flexible processing and routeing capabilities. *International Journal of Production Research*, 34(10), 2901-2923.
- Hoque, M. A., & Goyal, S. K. (2005). On lot streaming in multistage production systems. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 95(2), 195-202.
- Huang, k and Liao, c, (2008). Ant colony optimization combined with tabu search for job shop scheduling problem. *Journal of computers and operations research*, 35(4), 1030-1046.
- Hunsucker, J. L., & Shah, J. R. (1994). Comparative performance analysis of priority rules in a constrained flow shop with multiple processors environment. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 72(1), 102-114.
- Hutchinson, G. K., & Pflughoeft, K. A. (1994). Flexible process plans: their value in flexible automation systems. *International journal of production research*, 32(3), 707-719.
- Jung. H. (2011). A fuzzy AHP-GP approach for integrated production-planning considering manufacturing partners. *Expert systems with applications*, 38(5), 5833-5840.
- Kalir, A. A., & Sarin, S. C. (2000). Evaluation of the potential benefits of lot streaming in flow-shop systems. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 66(2), 131-142. doi:10.1016/S0925-5273(99)00115-2.
- Kawtummachai, R, Yanagawa, Y, Ohashi, K & miyazaki, S, (1997). Scheduling in an automated flow shop to minimize cost: Backward-meta scheduling method. *International journal of production economics*, 49(3), 225-235.
- Kalir, A. A., & Sarin, S. C. (2001). A near-optimal heuristic for the sequencing problem in multiple-batch flow-shops with small equal sublots. *Omega*, 29(6), 577-584
- Kalir, A. A., & Sarin, S. C. (2003). Constructing near optimal schedules for the flowshop lot streaming problem with sublot-attached setups. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, 7(1), 23-44.

- Kim, K., & Jeong, I. (2009). Flow shop scheduling with no-wait flexible lot streaming using an adaptive genetic algorithm. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 44(11-12), 1181-1190.
- Kurihara, K, Li, Y, Nishiuchi, N & Masuda, K, (2009). Flow shop scheduling for separation model of set-up and net process based on branch-and-bound method. *Journal of computers and industrial engineering*, 57 (2), 550-562.
- Lai, Y.J., Hwang, C.L., (1992). A new approach to some possibilistic linear programming problems. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 49, 121–133.
- Lee, W. C., & Wu, C. C. (2004). Minimizing total completion time in a two-machine flowshop with a learning effect. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 88(1), 85-93.
- Liang. T. F. (2008). Fuzzy multi-objective production/distribution planning decisions with multi-product and multi-time period in a supply chain. *Journal of computers & industrial engineering*, 55(2008) 676-694.
- Liou T-S, Wang MJ, (1992). Ranking fuzzy numbers with integral value. Fuzzy Sets Systems 1992 50, 247–55.
- Lundrigan, R. (1986). What is this thing called OPT? Production and Inventory Management, 27(2), 2-11.
- Maravelias.c, & Sung.c, (2009), integration of production planning and scheduling: overview, challenges and opportunities. *Journal of computer and chemical engineering*, 33(12), 1919-1930.
- Martin, C. H. (2009). A hybrid genetic algorithm/mathematical programming approach to the multi-family flowshop scheduling problem with lot streaming. *Omega*, 37(1), 126-137.
- Marimuthu, S., Ponnambalam, S., & Jawahar, N. (2008). Evolutionary algorithms for scheduling m-1 machine flow shop with lot streaming. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 24(1), 125-139.
- Masud, A. S., & Hwang, C. L. (1980). An aggregate production planning model and application of three multiple objective decision methods[†]. *International Journal of Production Research*, 18(6), 741-752.
- Modrák, V., & Pandian, R. S. (2010). Flow shop scheduling algorithm to minimize completion time for n-jobs m-machines problem. *Tehnički vjesnik*, 17(3), 273-278.
- Mokhtari, H., Abadi, I. N. K., & Cheraghalikhani, A. (2011). A multi-objective flow shop scheduling with resource-dependent processing times: trade-off between makespan and cost of resources. *International Journal of Production Research*, 49(19), 5851-5875.

- Mula, J, Poler, R, Garcia-sabater, J.P, Lario, F.C, (2006). Models for production planning under uncertainty: A review. International journal of production economics 103:1, 271-285.
- Nejati, M., Mahdavi, I., Hassanzadeh, R., Mahdavi-Amiri, N., & Mojarad, M. (2014). Multi-job lot streaming to minimize the weighted completion time in a hybrid flow shop scheduling problem with work shift constraint. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 70(1-4), 501-514.
- Palaniappan, PL.K, Jawahar, N (2011): A genetic algorithm for simultaneous optimisation of lot sizing and scheduling in a flow line assembly, International journal of production research, 49(2), 375-400.
- Palmer, D. S. (1965). Sequencing jobs through a multi-stage process in the minimum total time – a quick method of obtaining a near optimum, Operations Research. Q. 16, 101-107.
- Pan, Q., Fatih Tasgetiren, M., Suganthan, P. N., & Chua, T. J. (2011). A discrete artificial bee colony algorithm for the lot-streaming flow shop scheduling problem. Information Sciences, 181(12), 2455-2468.
- Pan, Q., Suganthan, P. N., Liang, J. J., & Tasgetiren, M. F. (2011). A local-best harmony search algorithm with dynamic sub-harmony memories for lotstreaming flow shop scheduling problem. Expert Systems with Applications, 38(4), 3252-3259.
- Persona. A, Regattieri. A, Romano. P, (2003). An integrated reference model for production planning and control in SMEs. Journal of manufacturing technology management, 15(7) 2004, 626-640.
- Pinedo, M., & Seshadri, S. (2001). Scheduling and Dispatching. Handbook of Industrial Engineering: Technology and Operations Management, Third Edition, 1718-1740.
- Potts, C., & Baker, K. (1989). Flow shop scheduling with lot streaming. *Operations Research Letters*, 8(6), 297-303.
- Ramasesh, R. V., Fu, H., Fong, D. K., & Hayya, J. C. (2000). Lot streaming in multistage production systems. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 66(3), 199-211.
- Rajendran, C., & Holthaus, O. (1999). A comparative study of dispatching rules in dynamic flowshops and jobshops. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 116(1), 156-170.
- Rimik, J., Rimanek, J., (1985). Inequality relation between fuzzy numbers and its use in fuzzy optimization. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 16(2), 123–138.

- Riane.F, Artiba.A & Iassinnovski.S, (2001). An integrated production planning and scheduling system for hybrid flow shop organizations. *International journal of production economics*, 74(1), 33-48.
- Rudek, R. (2011). Computational complexity and solution algorithms for flowshop scheduling problems with the learning effect. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 61(1), 20-31.
- Saad, G., (1982). An overview of production planning model: Structure classification and empirical assessment. *International Journal of Production Research*, 20(1), 105–114.
- Sadrzadeh A, (2013). Development of Both the AIS and PSO for Solving the Flexible Job Shop Scheduling Problem. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 38(12), 3593-3604.
- Samandhi, A. (1995). Shared computer-integrated manufacturing for various types of production systems in medium-sized batch manufacturing companies. *Integrated Manufacturing Systems*, 10(3), 136-45.
- Sarin, S. C., & Jaiprakash, P. (2007). Flow shop lot streaming problems Springer.
- Singh, A., Mehta, N. K., & Jain, P. K. (2007). Multicriteria dynamic scheduling by swapping of dispatching rules. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 34(9-10), 988-1007.
- Stephen, C.H.L., Wu, Y., & Lai, K.K., (2003). Multi-site aggregate production planning with multiple objectives: A goal programming approach. *Production Planning and Control*, 14 (5), 425–436.
- Tang. J, Fung. Y. K, & Yung .K .L, (2003). Fuzzy modeling and simulation for aggregate production planning. *International journal of systems science*, 34(12-13), 661-673.
- Tavakkoli-moghaddam. R & Daneshmand-mehr.M, (2005). A computer simulation model for job shop scheduling problems minimizing make span. *Journal of computer and industrial engineering*, 48 (2005), 811-823.
- Techawiboonwong. A, & Yenradee .p, (2003). Aggregate production planning with workforce transferring plan for multiple product types. *production planning & control*, 15(5) 2003, 447-458.
- Torabi S. A, Ebadian. & M, Tanha .R, (2009). Fuzzy hierarchical production planning (with a case study). *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 161(11), 1511-1529.
- Torabi S.A. and Hassini E. (2008). An interactive possibilistic programming approach for multiple objective supply chain master planning. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 159(2), 193–214.
- Trietsch, D., & Baker, K. R. (1993). Basic techniques for lot streaming. Operations Research, 41(6), 1065-1076.

- Tseng, C., & Liao, C. (2008). A discrete particle swarm optimization for lot-streaming flowshop scheduling problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 191(2), 360-373.
- Vahedi-Nouri, B., Fattahi, P., & Ramezanian, R. (2013). Minimizing total flow time for the non-permutation flow shop scheduling problem with learning effects and availability constraints. *Journal of manufacturing systems*, 32(1), 167-173.
- Ventura, J. A., & Yoon, S. (2013). A new genetic algorithm for lot-streaming flow shop scheduling with limited capacity buffers. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 24(6), 1185-1196.
- Vijaychakaravarthy, G., Marimuthu, S., & Sait, A. N. (2014). Comparison of Improved Sheep Flock Heredity Algorithm and Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm for lot Streaming in m-Machine Flow Shop Scheduling. *Arabian Journal for Science* and Engineering, 39(5), 4285-4300.
- Wang, C.H, & Liang, T, F, (2004). Applying possibilistic linear programming to aggregate production planning. *International journal of production* economics, 98(3), 328-341.
- Wang, C.H, & Fang. S.C, (1997). A genetics-based approach for aggregated production planning in a fuzzy environment. IEEE Transactions on systems, man and cybernetics-part A: systems and humans, 27(5) 1997 636-645.
- Wang, J. B. (2005). Flow shop scheduling jobs with position-dependent processing times. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing, 18(1-2), 383-391.
- Wang, J. B., & Xia, Z. Q. (2005). Flow-shop scheduling with a learning effect. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 56(11), 1325-1330.
- Watanabe, M., Ida, K., & Gen, M. (2006). Active solution space and search on jobshop scheduling problem. Electrical Engineering in Japan, 154(4), 61-67.
- Wong, T. N., Leung, C. W., Mak, K. L., & Fung, R. Y. K. (2006). Integrated process planning and scheduling/rescheduling—an agent-based approach. *International Journal of Production Research*, 44(18-19), 3627-3655.
- Wong, T.N, Zhang, S, Wang, G & Zhang, L, (2012). Integrated process planning and scheduling-multi-agent system with two-stage ant colony optimization algorithm. *International journal of production research*, 50(21), 6188-6201.
- Wright, T. P. (2012). Factors affecting the cost of airplanes. Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences (Institute of the Aeronautical Sciences), 3(4).
- Xu, J and Zhou, X, (2009). A class of multi-objective expected value decision-making model with birandom coefficients and its application to flow shop scheduling problem. *Information Sciences*, 179(17), 2997-3017.

- Yan.h, Xia.q, Zhu.m, Liu.x & Guo. Z, (2002). Integrated production planning and scheduling on automobile assembly lines. *IIE Transactions*, 35(8), 711-725.
- Yan, H, Zhang, X.D, 2007. A case study on integrated production planning and scheduling in a three-stage manufacturing system. IEEE Transaction on automation science and engineering, 4:1. January 2007.
- Yao J-S, Wu K, (2000). Ranking fuzzy numbers based on decomposition principle and signed distance. *Fuzzy Sets Systems*, 116(2), 275–88.
- Yin, Y., Xu, D., Sun, K., & Li, H. (2009). Some scheduling problems with general position-dependent and time-dependent learning effects. *Information Sciences*, 179(14), 2416-2425.
- Ziaee, M & Sadjadi, S, (2007). Mixed binary integer programming formulations for the flow shop scheduling problems. A case study: ISD projects scheduling. *Journal of applied mathematics and computation*, 185(1), 218-228.
- Zimmermann, H.J, (1978). Fuzzy programming and linear programming with several objective functions, *Fuzzy sets and Systems*, 1(1), 45-55.
- Zhang, C, Rao.Y, (2008). An effective hybrid genetic algorithm for job shop scheduling problem. *The international journal of Advanced manufacturing Technology*. 39(9), 965-974.
- Zhang, W., Yin, C., Liu, J., & Linn, R. J. (2005). Multi-job lot streaming to minimize the mean completion time in m-1 hybrid flowshops. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 96(2), 189-200.
- Zukui, Li, Marianthi G. Ierapetritou, (2010). Production planning and scheduling integration through augmented lagrangian optimization. *computers and chemical engineering*, 34 (6), 996-1006.