



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**IRANIAN COTTONSEED MEAL VARIETIES AS SUBSTITUTE FOR
SOYBEAN MEAL IN RAINBOW TROUT
(*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) FEEDS**

SHAHRAM DADGAR

FP 2009 16



**IRANIAN COTTONSEED MEAL VARIETIES AS SUBSTITUTE
FOR SOYBEAN MEAL IN RAINBOW TROUT
(*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) FEEDS**

By

SHAHRAM DADGAR

**Thesis submitted to the school of graduate studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

July 2009



Dedication

“THE END DEPENDS UPON THE BEGINNING”

This Thesis Is Dedicated To My Family and My Late Father *Manouchehr Dadgar*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Iranian Cottonseed Meal varieties as Substitute for Soybean Meal in Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Feeds

By

SHAHRAM DADGAR

Chairman: Associate Profesor Che Roos Bin Saad, PhD

Faculty: Agriculture

This study was set up to investigate the nutritional value and the optimum inclusion level of several Iranian cottonseed meals i.e. *var.* Pak, Sahel and Akra (CSMP, CSMS, and CSMA) as a substitute for soybean meal (SBM) in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) feed. Apparent digestibility coefficients (ADC) were calculated in experiment 1 by using an indigestible marker. At the end of this experiment, the ADCs of CSMP, CSMS, CSMA and SBM were measured. Results showed that ADC values for most nutrients of CSMP, CSMS, and CSMA were different from those of SBM and when the varieties were compared; ADC values for CSMP (62.7% from DM and 82.4% for CP and 66.6% for crude fat) were higher than the two other CSM varieties i.e. CSMS and CSMA. Three separate studies were carried out to investigate the nutritional value of each Iranian cottonseed meal varieties (CSM) as soybean meal substitute in quality low cost rainbow trout feeds. Six formulated feeds consisting different substitution levels (0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100%) of SBM with CSMP, CSMS, and CSMA, respectively, were fed to a total of 540 rainbow trout with initial mean body weight of 50 ± 5 g. Fish were randomly stocked into eighteen 100 L. fiberglass tanks with 30 fish per tank and 3 tanks per diet and fed to apparent satiation 3 times a day and 7 days per week for





Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

Penggantian Pelbagai Mil bijikapas Iran bagi Mil Kacang Soya untuk Makanan Ikan Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)

Oleh

SHAHRAM DADGAR

Pengerusi: Profesor Che Roos Bin Saad, PhD

Fakulti: Pertanian

Penilaian untuk Pekali Penghadaman Nyata (ADC) bagi pelbagai jenis biji kapas Iran (CSMP, CSMS dan CSMA) dalam kajian 1 telah dikira dengan penggunaan kromik oksida (Cr_2O_3) dalam diet sebagai penanda yang tidak boleh dihadamkan. Kajian 2, 3, dan 4 telah dijalankan untuk mencari nilai pemakanan bagi setiap jenis mil biji kapas (CSM) sebagai pengganti kepada mil kacang soya (SBM) dalam perumusan makanan berkualiti tetapi harga yang rendah bagi ikan trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Dalam kajian ini, enam jenis formulasi makanan mengandungi pelbagai tahap CSMP, CSMS, dan CSMA (0, 20, 40, 60, 80 dan 100%) menggantikan kandungan SBM dan diberi makan kepada 540 ekor ikan trout yang mempunyai min berat badan 50 ± 5 g. Ikan-ikan dimasukkan secara rawak kedalam 18 tangki (100 L) fiber, setiap tangki mengandungi 30 ekor ikan dan setiap formulasi makanan disediakan untuk 3 tangki dan ikan diberikan makan 3 kali sehari setiap hari selama 60 hari. ADC untuk CSMP, CSMS, CSMA dan SBM dikirakan. Selepas 8 minggu kajian, purata pertambahan berat badan, nisbah pertukaran makanan (FCR) untuk ikan yang diberikan 6 jenis makanan dikirakan. Bagi setiap rawatan, peratus kemandirian adalah

melebihi 98%. Nilai ADC bagi CSMP, CSMS, dan CSMA adalah berbeza dari SBM. Pertambahan berat badan dan peratus kemandirian adalah tidak bererti ($P>0.05$) bagi kumpulan ikan yang diberi makanan CSMP jika dibandingkan dengan ikan yang menerima makanan kawalan, tetapi perbezaan FCR, nisbah pertumbuhan spesifik (SGR), dan pertambahan berat badan harian (DWG) adalah bererti antara ikan yang mendapat pelbagai diet ($P<0.05$). Dalam kajian kesesuaian, penggantian sepenuhnya SBM oleh CSMP dan penggantian separa SBM dengan CSMS dan 20% CSMA menunjukkan ianya lebih menguntungkan dan berasaskan analisis gossypol dan jumlah gossypol menunjukkan ianya tidak memberi kesan keracunan keatas hati ikan oleh semua jenis bijikapas Iran (CSMP, CSMS dan CSMA).



Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deep appreciation to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Che Roos Bin Saad, the chairman of my supervisory committee, for providing me with a wonderful opportunity to complete my doctoral studies under his guidance. This work would not have been possible without his help, constant encouragement and more than anything else, his friendship during my entire stay in Malaysia. In addition to his support in the academic area, Dr. Che Roos also enabled me to gain valuable knowledge on the diverse culture and splendid natural beauty of Malaysia and Malaysian society.

My sincere appreciation goes to Professor Dr. Abdul Razak Alimon , Mohd. Salleh Kamarudin and Associate Professor Dr. Mahmoud Nafisi, members of my Supervisory committee, for their extraordinary help and devotion on guidance and correction of my thesis.

I would also like to thank Iranian Fisheries Research Organization (IFRO) for their partial financial support; the staffs of Cold Water Fishes Research Center in Tonekabon-Iran specially; Mr. Rezaikhah, Mr. Bahramian, Mr. Aghaie and Mr. Farzanfar; Mr. Akbar for his valuable assistance; Dr. Alijanpour, Dr. Alizade, and Mr. Sarshar for their critical reviews, helpful comments and suggestions; Dr. Siamak Yoosefi, Dr. Motalebi, Dr. Sharif Rohani and Mr. Seyed Meisam Mazaheri for their help and friendship. Moreover, I deeply thank anybody who has helped me with continuing my study, from the first day of school until now. The last but not the least, I owe thanks to my family for their never-ending support.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 16 June 2009 to conduct the final examination of Shahram Dadgar on his degree thesis entitled “Iranian Cottonseed Meal Varieties as a Substitute for Soybean Meal in Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Feeds“in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Chairman, PhD

Professor Madya Dr. Aziz Arshad
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Examiner 1, PhD

Professor Madya Dr. Sharr Azni Harmin
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Examiner 2, PhD

Dr. Mustafa Kamal Abd. Satar
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

External 1, PhD

Professor Dr. Roshada Hashim Dekan
Institut Pengajian Siswazah
Universiti Sains Malaysia
(External Examiner)

Bujang Kim Huat, PhD
Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Che Roos Bin Saad, PhD

Associated Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Abdul Razak Alimon, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd. Salleh Kamarudin, PhD

Associated Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 16 October 2009



Declaration

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citation which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

SHAHRAM DADGAR

Date: 22 July 2008



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL	viii
DECLARATION	x
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
CHAPTER 1	1
Introduction	1
1.1 Background of study	1
1.2 Statement of problem	3
1.3 Significance of study	5
1.4 Objectives of the study	6
CHAPTER 2	7
Literature Review	7
2.1 Rainbow trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>)	7
2.2 Rainbow trout culture in Iran	11
2.3 Environmental requirements	13
2.3.1 Temperature	13
2.3.2 Oxygen	14
2.3.3 Salinity	14
2.3.4 pH	15
2.3.5 Water quality requirement	15
2.3.6 Feeding rate	17
2.4 Nutrition of Salmonids	17
2.4.1 Protein and amino acids requirements of rainbow trout	18
2.5 Cottonseed meal (CSM)	21
2.6 Gossypol	26



CHAPTER 3	29
General Methodology	29
3.1 Location of study	29
3.2 Preparation of tanks	30
3.3 Proximate analyses	31
3.3.1 Determination of dry matter (DM)	31
3.3.2 Determination of crude protein (CP)	32
3.3.3 Determination of crude fat	32
3.3.4 Determination of crude fiber	33
3.3.5 Determination of Ash	34
3.3.6 Gross energy determination	34
3.4 Extraction of oil from cottonseed	35
3.5 Water quality monitoring	35
3.6 Feed Preparation	35
3.7 Apparent protein and dry matter digestibility	36
3.8 Determination of Chromic oxide (Cr ₂ O ₃)	36
3.9 Determination of Gossypol	37
3.10 Calculations of the growth and feed utilization parameters	39
3.11 Experimental design	40
3.12 Data collection	40
3.13 Statistical analyses	40
CHAPTER 4	42
Nutritional Value of Cottonseed Meal Varieties, Soybean Meal and other ingredients	
4.1 Introduction	42
4.2 Materials and methods	43
4.2.1 Determination of proximate composition	43
4.2.2 Digestibility study	43
4.4 Results	46
4.4.1 Free and total gossypol contents	47
4.4.2 Proximate composition content of the test diets	47
4.4.3 Apparent protein digestibility	48
4.5 Discussion	49
CHAPTER 5	51
The Effect of Substituting SBM with Different Iranian Varieties of CSM on Growth and Feed Utilization of the Rainbow Trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>)	51
5.1 Introduction	51



5.2 Materials and methods	52
5.2.1 Diet preparation	52
5.2.2 Experimental animals	56
5.2.3 Culture system	57
5.2.4 Feeding	57
5.3 Results	60
5.3.1 Proximate composition of diets	60
5.3.2 Feed intake	63
5.3.3 Survival	63
5.3.4 Growth and feed utilization	63
5.3.5. Proximate composition of whole fish body and liver analysis	87
5.4. Discussion	90
5.4.1 Growth and feed utilization	90
CHAPTER 6	96
The Effect of Substituting SBM With Best Level of Each Iranian Varieties of CSM on Growth and Feed Utilization of the Rainbow Trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>)	96
6.1 Introduction	96
6.2 Materials and methods	96
6.2.1 Diet preparation	96
6.2.2 Experimental animals	98
6.2.3 Culture system	98
6.2.4 Feeding	98
6.3 Results	99
6.3.1 Proximate composition of diets	99
6.3.2 Feed intake and survival	99
6.3.3 Growth and feed utilization	99
6.4 Discussion	110
CHAPTER 7	113
REFERENCES	115
APPENDICES	124
List of Publications	128
Biodata of Student	129



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1. Classification of rainbow trout	9
2.2. Water quality parameters for rainbow trout	16
2.3. Standards for heavy metals and insecticides for rainbow trout	17
2.4. The estimated dietary protein requirements of salmonids (percentage of the diet)	21
2.5. Scientific classification of Cotton	23
4.1. Composition of reference diet (%)	39
4.2. Proximate composition of reference diet (% , as is basis, average of three samples)	46
4.3. Proximate composition of CSM varieties, SBM and the other ingredients	47
4.4. Apparent protein, dry matter and fat digestibility (%) of CSM varieties and SBM	49
5.1. Diets formulated for the substitution of SBM with CSMP (% as fed basis)	52
5.2. Diets formulated for the substitution of SBM with CSMS (% as fed basis)	53
5.3. Diets formulated for the substitution of SBM with CSMA (% as fed basis)	54
5.4. Proximate composition of the control (Diet 1) and CSMP-based diets (Diets 2 to 6) (% as fed basis, average of three samples)	61
5.5. Proximate composition of the control (Diet 1) and CSMS-based diets (Diets 2 to 6) (% as fed basis, average of three samples)	62
5.6. Proximate composition of the control (Diet 1) and CSMA-based	



diets (Diets 2 to 6) (% as fed basis, average of three samples)	63
5.7. Average initial weight, final weight, weight gain, total length, FCR, SGR, PER, DWG, PWG, CF and survival percentage for rainbow trout fed with different CSMP-based diets for a period of 8 weeks	65
5.8. Average initial weight, final weight, weight gain, total length, FCR, SGR, PER, DWG, PWG, CF and survival percentage for rainbow trout fed with different CSMS-based diets for a period of 8 weeks	74
5.9. Average initial weight, final weight, weight gain, total length, FCR, SGR, PER, DWG, PWG, CF and survival percentage for rainbow trout fed different diets for 2 months	82
5.10: Proximate composition of whole fish body (% , as net weight basis)	91
5.11. Proximate composition of whole fish body (% , as net weight basis)	91
5.12. Chemical analysis of whole fish body (% , as net weight basis)	92
6.1. Diets formulated for the substitution of SBM with the best levels of CSM varieties (% as fed basis)	98
6.2. Proximate composition of diets (% as fed basis, average of three samples)	101
6.3. Average initial weight, final weight, weight gain, total length, FCR, SGR, PER, DWG, PWG, CF and survival percentage for rainbow trout fed with different diets for a period of 8 weeks	102
6.4. Proximate composition of whole fish body (% , as net weight basis)	110



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1. A picture showing a Rainbow trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>)	8
2.2. The map of Iran showing major rainbow trout producing region	12
2.3. A diagram showing the chemical structure of Gossypol	22
3.1. The map indicates the location of the study in the North of Iran	25
3.2. The fiberglass tank used for studying the effect of SBM substitution by different Iranian CSM varieties	26
4.1. The experimental pellets for digestibility study with Chromic oxide	39
4.2. A typical arrangement for flow-through digestibility tank	40
5.1. CSM varieties (from left to right): CSMP, CSMS, and CSMA	55
5.2. The eighteen fiberglass tanks used for each experiment	57
5.3. The method used for the measurement of the total length of fish	57
5.4. Fish from each treatment were sacrificed and pooled for body composition and liver analysis	58
5.5. Liver sample of sacrificed fish for gossypol analysis	59
5.6. Relationship between fish final weight and CSMP-based diets	66
5.7. Relationship between fish total length and CSMP-based diets	67
5.8. Relationship between FCR and CSMP-based diets	68
5.9. Relationship between SGR and CSMP-based diets	69
5.10. Relationship between PER and CSMP-based diets	70
5.11. Relationship between DWG and CSMP-based diets	71
5.12. Relationship between PWG and CSMP-based diets	72



5.13. Relationship between CF and CSMP-based diets	73
5.14. Relationship between fish final weight and CSMS-based diets	75
5.15. Relationship between fish total length and CSMS-based diets	76
5.16. Relationship between FCR and CSMS-based diets	77
5.17. Relationship between SGR and CSMS-based diets	78
5.18. Relationship between PER and CSMS-based diets	79
5.19. Relationship between DWG and CSMS-based diets	80
5. 20. Relationship between PWG and CSMS-based diets	80
5.21. Relationship between CF and CSMS-based diets	81
5.22. Relationship between fish final weight and CSMA-based diets	83
5.23. Relationship between fish total length and CSMA-based diets	84
5.24. Relationship between FCR and CSMA-based diets	85
5.25. Relationship between SGR and CSMA-based diets	86
5.26. Relationship between PER and CSMA-based diets	87
5.27. Relationship between DWG and CSMA-based diets	88
5.28. Relationship between PWG and CSMA-based diets	88
5.29. Relationship between CF and CSMA-based diets	89
6.1. Relationship between fish final weight and different diets	93
6.2. Relationship between fish total length and different diets	94
6.3. Relationship between FCR and different diets	95
6.4. Relationship between SGR and different diets	96
6.5. Relationship between PER and different diets	97
6.6. Relationship between DWG and different diets	98



6.7. Relationship between PWG and different diets	98
6.8. Relationship between CF and different diets	99



List of Abbreviations

ADC	Apparent Digestibility Coefficient
ANFs	Anti-Nutritional Factors
AOAC	Association of Official Analytical Chemists
AOCS	American Oil Chemists Society
APD	Apparent Protein Digestibility
CF	Condition Factor
CP	Crude Protein
CRD	Completely Randomized Design
CSM	Cottonseed Meal
CSMA	Cottonseed Meal Akra
CSMP	Cottonseed Meal Pak
CSMS	Cottonseed Meal Sahel
DWG	Daily Weight Gain
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FCR	Feed Conversion Ratio
FG	Free Gossypol
FM	Fish Meal
GE	Gross Energy
NAS	National Academy of Sciences
NRC	National Research Council
PER	Protein Efficiency Ratio
PWG	Percent Weight Gain



SBM	Soybean Meal
SE	Standard Error
SGR	Specific Growth Rate
SBM	Soy Bean Meal
TG	Total Gossypol
WG	Weight gain



CHAPTER 1

Introduction

1.1 Background of study

Fish is a cheap source of high quality animal protein in many developing countries. According to FAO (1997), annual human demand for food fish will increase to about 110 million tonnes by the year 2010. Consequently, total world fish production by fisheries and aquaculture will remain very important for global food security. Today, more attention is given to fish farming because of the fact that on one hand the capture fisheries have long dominated the fisheries sector and over-fishing due to improper fisheries management led to lower production, although it is believed that potential exists in a few cases for further expansion of capture fisheries. In general, it has been recognized that there are upward limits to further expansion of capture fisheries and for this reason, attention has increasingly focused on the possibilities of fish farming.

World aquaculture production, including aquatic plants, reached 45.7 million tonnes by weight and 56.5 billion USD by value in 2000 (FAO, 2002). Global aquaculture production in 2001 showed a further increase to 48.2 million tonnes with a value of 60.9 billion USD. According to FAO (2002), total fish production reached its peak of 12.8 million tonnes in 2001; aquaculture contributes 37.5 million tonnes. Asia is by far the most important continent for aquaculture activity with Iran having a very basic role in

this matter. FAO (2006) reported that Iran was the sixth country among the top ten producers in terms of growth between the years 2002 and 2004.

In Asia and the Pacific region, aquaculture production in China and Southeast Asian countries primarily consists of cyprinids, while the rest of East Asian countries such as Japan, are mostly dependant on high-value marine fish. In global terms, some 99.8% of cultured aquatic plants, 97.5% of cyprinids, 87.4% of penaeids and 93.4% of oysters come from Asia and the Pacific. Meanwhile, 55.6% of the world's farmed salmonids is produced by Western Europe, mainly the northern part of the continent. However, carps dominate in the Central and Eastern European regions, both in quantity and in value. Generally, in developing countries, or "The Third World", where the problem of overpopulation is critical, it is believed that fish farming can offer one of the solutions for the ever-increasing food (protein) crisis (FAO, 1997; FAO, 2001).

Aquaculture contribution to global supply of fish increased from 3.9% of total production by weight in 1970 to 27.1% in 2000 and 32.4% in 2004. It provided 20% of global fisheries production (and 29% of food fish) in 1996, and increased to 29.1% of global fisheries production in 2001 (FAO, 2002). The share of aquaculture in the total world food fish production is set to increase from 29.1% in 2001 to 38% by the year 2010. According to FAO (1998), aquaculture output grew dramatically during the millennium while capture fisheries production registered a slight increase. In fact, aquaculture has become the fastest growing food production sector of the world, with an average annual increase of about 9.2% since 1970, compared to



capture fisheries with only 1.4% and 2.8% for terrestrial farmed meat production systems (FAO, 2002). Most of the world aquaculture production is carried out predominantly by low-income food-deficit countries (FAO, 1998).

1.2 Statement of problem

It is generally believed that a proportional increase in the production of fish feeds or aquafeeds is required to increase fish farming practices and consequently aquaculture production in developing countries. Aquafeed production is currently one of the fastest expanding agricultural industries of the world with a fast annual growth of 4.5 million tonnes in 1999 to 16.8 million tonnes in 2000 (FAO, 2002). The major bulk of commercial aquafeed, especially protein, comes from fishmeal (FM) and soybean meal (SBM). In fact, almost one third of the 122 million tonnes of fish harvested in the year 1997 were used for fishmeal or fish oil production to be used as an animal protein source in producing animal feeds, including aquafeed (FAO, 1998). From the total global production of fishmeal in 1996, two million tonnes were used in aquaculture, with 18.85% and 10.9% of them used for salmon and trout production respectively. FAO (1999), estimate that about 40 percent of the total aquafeed production is used for carnivorous finfish species.

Soybean (SB) is the main plant protein source in rainbow trout diets but it has its own drawbacks such as its increasing price in Iran (Iranian Agriculture Ministry, 2004). Moreover, since the sugars inherently present in soybeans are water-soluble, some of



these sugars will naturally dissolve into the water before consumed by the fish and thus contribute to the water pollution. SB production is rather localized in some regions of the world such as India, China and Indonesia. FAO (2004) reported that the world production of SB in 1994, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004 was 136, 176, 180, 188 and 204 million tonnes, respectively. In Iran, the total SB consumption is about 2.3 million tonnes per year (Iranian Agriculture Ministry, 2004) while SB production has decreased from 0.235 in 1994 to 0.135 million tonnes in 2004, which has increased the share of imported SB in the market and consequently its market price. Besides, apart from fish diet, SB is vastly used in the domestic animal diets as well which this competition has contributed to the increased price of SB.

Furthermore, SB is becoming more expensive and difficult to supply in many developing countries practicing aquaculture. Therefore, the need for alternative protein sources to replace FM and SBM in aquafeeds is obvious. Consequently, the need for research that can introduce technologies for producing practical, cheap and readily available feedstuffs for fish is stressed (FAO, 1997). Hence, it seems that the quest for low-cost practical fish diet that can enhance the development of semi-intensive aquaculture is a worthwhile priority.

Given the current very rapid increase in the intensification of fresh water farming in Asia, intense future competition for limited global supplies of FM and SBM is very likely. It is predicted that strong demand in Asia for available feed resources will have a

