

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# ASSESSING VISUAL COMMUNICATION AND CONTENT PERFORMANCE OF MOBILE LEARNING AMONG INTERNET USERS

# **EIZAN AZIRA BINTI MAT SHARIF**

FBMK 2015 44



# ASSESSING VISUAL COMMUNICATION AND CONTENT PERFORMANCE OF MOBILE LEARNING AMONG INTERNET USERS

By

EIZAN AZIRA BINTI MAT SHARIF

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree Doctor of Philosophy

### **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

# ASSESSING VISUAL COMMUNICATION AND CONTENT PERFORMANCE OF MOBILE LEARNING AMONG INTERNET USERS

By

### EIZAN AZIRA BINTI MAT SHARIF

**July 2015** 

Chair: Associate Professor Siti Zobidah binti Omar, PhD Faculty: Modern Languages and Communication

The growth of mobile technologies and the accession of a new mobile network that is 4G or Forth Generation are deemed as a breakthrough to launch new tool in the pedagogical paradigm known as Mobile Learning. Mobile Learning or M-learning is a distance learning system that gives opportunity for the working adults as well as for those who wants to further their study. Mobile Learning is learning accomplished by the use of small, portable computing devices such as Smartphones and Tablets which help M-learners typically view content and/or lessons in small, manageable formats that can be applied when the laptop or fixed station computers are unavailable. Mobile Learning also an arsenal to support learners and teachers as they navigate the options available in the expanding world of distance learning (McConatha, 2007).

Mobile Learning considered as a stride ahead of e-learning because of its features; fast and extensive, reaching away to the geographically wide-spread learners, even though they have no Internet connectivity. The purpose of this study is to assess visual communication and content performance of Mobile Learning and to identify the performance of 4G mobile network in Malaysia using of Smartphones and Tablets among mobile users. The study applied modified UTAUT (Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology) model introduced by Liu (2008) which consists of nine key constructs. The four key constructs which were performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence and facilitating conditions were based on the original UTAUT introduced by Venkatesth et al. (2003) while the five key constructs were presented by Liu (2008) were self efficacy, mobility, self management, perceived enjoyment and attainment values directly helped to identify the factors of Mobile Learning usage among internet users.

The survey was conducted in Klang Valley area and applied a qualitative in-depth interview using phenomenology approach. The informants were interviewed until the data reaches the saturation point, and they were selected based on purposive and snowball sampling. Thirty informants involved in this study were based on a high and moderate mobile internet and internet users. NVivo 10 software was used to analyze the data and to create themes and categories, apart from using triangulation to ensure the reliability and validity of the study. There were constructive effects of Mobile Learning results. It appears that the future generations are extremely subject to use new technology as a matter of fact, they appear to embrace it. All of the informants were seemed to be amazed with collaboration between Mobile Learning and 4G mobile network; as an educational platform for users/learners which they uttered will be a good learning program. They were also expressed that it may be the learning future program for those who want to study, but yet do not have time to attend classes due to busy with works, attending late meetings and outstations. The results of the study show that the informants were satisfied with the visual communication and content performance of Mobile Learning as well as the 4G mobile network performance and recommended to execute Mobile Learning policies and program as soon as possible.

Mobile Learning considered to be a new program that will continue to gain popularity in the future based on its convenience. With the development of social networking such as Facebook, Twitter, MySpace, Instagram and many others, blogging and YouTube, the users expect to be able to utilize mobile technology on the fly to connect anywhere and anytime of the daytime. The fact was that convenience is everything in today's busy society and will remain to be in generations to occur. Generally, it can be concluded that Mobile Learning had received an encouraging outcomes from the informants. Further, it is suggested that more research need to be conducted in the future, focusing on different modes of devices such iPod and MP3.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukan kepada Senat University Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah.

# MENILAI KOMUNIKASI VISUAL DAN PRESTASI KANDUNGAN TENTANG PEMBELAJARAN MUDAH ALIH (MOBILE LEARNING) DI KALANGAN PENGGUNA INTERNET

Oleh

### EIZAN AZIRA BINTI MAT SHARIF

**Julai 2015** 

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Siti Zobidah binti Omar, PhD

Fakulti: Bahasa Moden dan Komunikasi

Pertumbuhan teknologi mudah alih dan penglibatan rangkaian mudah alih yang baru iaitu 4G atau Generasi Ke-4 merupakan satu kejayaan membulus pelancaran alat baru dalam paradigma pedagogi yang dikenali sebagai *Mobile Learning* atau *M-Learning*. *Mobile Learning* merupakan satu sistem pembelajaran jarak jauh yang memberi peluang kepada golongan dewasa yang bekerja dan juga mereka yang ingin melanjutkan pelajaran mereka. *Mobile Learning* merupakan pembelajaran yang dicapai dengan penggunaan alat yang kecil, komputer mudah alih seperti telefon pintar dan *Tablet* bagi membantu M-pelajar atau *M-learners* secara kebiasaannya melihat kandungan dan atau pelajaran yang unik, format terkawal yang boleh digunakan apabila komputer riba atau komputer stesen tetap tidak tersedia. *Mobile Learning* juga merupakan alat bagi menyokong pelajar-pelajar serta guru-guru yang ingin mengemudi pilihan masing-masing dalam dunia pembelajaran jarak jauh yang dikatakan semakin berleluasa (McConatha, 2007).

Mobile Learning dianggap sebagai satu langkah maju ke hadapan kepada pembelajaran secara elektronik atau *e-learning* kerana mempunyai ciri-ciri; cepat dan meluas, dapat mencapai pelajar-pelajar dari kawasan geografi secara meluas, walaupun mereka tidak mempunyai sambungan Internet. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menilai komunikasi visual dan prestasi kandungan *Mobile Learning* dan juga mengenalpasti prestasi rangkaian mudah alih 4G di Malaysia dengan penggunaan telefon pintar dan *Tablet* di kalangan pengguna-pengguna telefon bimbit.

Kajian ini telah dijalankan di sekitar Lembah Klang dan telah menggunakan kaedah kualitatif wawancara secara mendalam serta menggunakan pendekatan fenomenologi. Pemberi maklumat ditemubual sehingga data mencapai tahap tepu dan mereka telah

dipilih menerusi kaedah persampelan bertujuan bola salji (purposive and snowball sampling). Seramai tiga puluh pemberi maklumat yang terlibat merujuk kepada penggunaan Internet mudah alih dan Internet yang tinggi dan rendah. komputer NVivo telah digunakan untuk menganalisa data-data dan membantu membentuk tema-tema serta kategori-kategori, selain daripada menggunakan triangulasi untuk memastikan kebolehpercayaan dan kesahan kajian. Terdapat kesan yang membina berdasarkan keputusan *Mobile Learning*. Ini merujuk kepada generasi akan datang adalah amat tertakluk kepada menggunakan teknologi baru sebagai alat untuk mencari informasi, mereka mudah menerimanya. Kesemua pemberi maklumat kagum dengan gabungan di antara Mobile Learning dan rangkaian mudah alih 4G; sebagai platform pendidikan untuk pengguna/pelajar yang keseluruhan mengatakan program pendidikan ini adalah yang terbaik. Mereka juga berpendapat bahawa ia akan menjadi program pembelajaran di masa depan bagi mereka yang ingin melanjutkan pelajaran, tetapi tidak lagi mempunyai masa untuk menghadiri kelas kerana sibuk dengan kerja-kerja harian/pejabat, menghadiri mesyuarat dan ke luar-luar bandar. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa pemberi maklumat berpuas hati dengan komunikasi visual dan prestasi kandungan Mobile Learning serta prestasi rangkaian mudah alih 4G dan disyorkan untuk dilaksanakan dasar-dasar Mobile Learning dan program ini secepat mungkin.

Mobile Learning dianggap sebagai satu program baru yang akan terus menjadi semakin popular pada masa akan datang berdasarkan kepada kemudahannya. Dengan adanya rangkaian sosial seperti Facebook, Twitter, MySpace, Instagram dan lain-lain lagi, blog dan YouTube, pengguna-pengguna berharap dapat menggunakan teknologi mudah alih dengan cepat dengan penyambungan di mana-mana sahaja dan pada bila-bila masa. Hakikatnya kemudahan adalah segala-galanya dalam masyarakat yang sentiasa sibuk dan ini akan kekal dalam generasi yang akan datang. Secara umumnya, ia boleh disimpulkan bahawa Mobile Learning telah menerima sambutan yang amat menggalakkan daripada pemberi maklumat. Justeru it, di masa akan datang, dicadangkan perlu membuat lebih banyak kajian dan tumpuan perlu diberikan kepada cara yang berbeza iaitu dengan penggunaan peranti iPod itu dan MP3.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

In the name of God, Most Gracious, Most Merciful, Syukur Alhamdulillah, thanks to ALLAH, the Almighty for giving me the strength and confidence to complete this thesis.

My greatest thanks and appreciation to Associate Professor Dr. Siti Zobidah binti Omar, my supervisor for whom I respect and salute to the utmost degree. Thank you for giving this golden opportunity to do the thesis under your privileges supervision. Thank you, Dr. Siti Zobidah for your support, advice, patience, cooperation and also understanding. Your guidance has extremely contributed a lot in my self-improvement. Also, I would like to say thank you to my committee members, Dr. Nizam Bin Osman and Professor Dr. Rusli Bin Haji Abdullah for their time, great ideas, opinions and support as well.

To my beloved husband, En. Mohd Zaki bin Abdul Razak for his thorough moral support, thorough patience and understanding, sharing of knowledge as well as heatedly assisting me in the preparation of this thesis.

To all those who have been selected as informants and happily squeezed some of their valuable time to be interviewed, taking the initiatives to answer the questions given, sometimes even being irritated with me for being so pushy, thank you very much, for your cooperation. It is with your support approach that I am able to obtain the data needed and completed my research. Thank you all so much.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 14 July 2015 to conduct the final examination of Eizan Azira binti Mat Sharif on her thesis entitled "Assessing Visual Communication and Content Performance of Mobile Learning Among Internet Users" in accordance with the Universities and University College Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

### Zulhamri bin Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

### Jusang bin Bolong, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

### Ameritus Dato' Sulaiman bin Md. Yassin, PhD

Professor
Institute for Social Science Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

### Deddy Mulyana, PhD

Professor Universitas Padjadjaran Indonesia (External Examiner)

### **ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PHD**

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 5 November 2015

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

### Siti Zobidah binti Omar, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

### Mohd Nizam bin Osman, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

# Rusli bin Haji Abdullah, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

# **BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

### **Declaration by graduate student**

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published in book form
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity was upheld as according to Rule 59 in Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013). The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature:	Date:	
Name and Matric No.:		

# **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in Rule 41 in Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature:	Signature:
Name of	Name of
Chairman of	Member of
Supervisory	Supervisory
Committee:	Committee:
Signature:	Signature:
Name of	Name of
Member of	Member of
Supervisory	Supervisory
Committee:	Committee:

# TABLE OF CONTENT

INTRODUCTION	ABSTRACT ABSTRAK ACKNOWLE APPROVAL DECLARATIO DECLARATIO LIST OF TAB LIST OF ABB	ON BY ( ON BY I LES URES	GRADUA' MEMBER	TE STUDENT S OF SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE	Page i iii v vi viii ix xvi xviii xx
1       INTRODUCTION         1.1       Background       1         1.2       Problem Statement       2         1.3       Research Questions       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         1.5       Significant of Research       5         1.6       Research Scope       6         1.7       Definitions of Keywords       7         1.7.1       Visual Communication       7         1.7.2       Content Performance       7         1.7.3       M-Learning       7         1.7.4       Mobile Technology       8         1.7.5       Smartphones       8         1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.7.7       Assess       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       15         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning applications       16	CHAPTER				
1.2       Problem Statement       2         1.3       Research Questions       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         1.5       Significant of Research       5         1.6       Research Scope       6         1.7       Definitions of Keywords       7         1.7.1       Visual Communication       7         1.7.2       Content Performance       7         1.7.3       M-Learning       7         1.7.4       Mobile Technology       8         1.7.5       Smartphones       8         1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.7.7       Assess       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       15         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning applications       16         2.3.5       The arrival of M-Learning       16		INTR	ODUCTI	ON	
1.3       Research Questions       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         1.5       Significant of Research       5         1.6       Research Scope       6         1.7       Definitions of Keywords       7         1.7.1       Visual Communication       7         1.7.2       Content Performance       7         1.7.3       M-Learning       7         1.7.4       Mobile Technology       8         1.7.5       Smartphones       8         1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.7.7       Assess       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       15         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning applications       16         2.3.5       The arrival of M-Learning       16		1.1	Backgro	ound	1
1.4       Research Objectives       5         1.5       Significant of Research       5         1.6       Research Scope       6         1.7       Definitions of Keywords       7         1.7.1       Visual Communication       7         1.7.2       Content Performance       7         1.7.3       M-Learning       7         1.7.4       Mobile Technology       8         1.7.5       Smartphones       8         1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       13         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       15         2.3.3       Characteristics of e-learning applications       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning       16		1.2	Problen	n Statement	2
1.5       Significant of Research       5         1.6       Research Scope       6         1.7       Definitions of Keywords       7         1.7.1       Visual Communication       7         1.7.2       Content Performance       7         1.7.3       M-Learning       7         1.7.4       Mobile Technology       8         1.7.5       Smartphones       8         1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.7.7       Assess       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       13         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       15         2.3.3       Characteristics of e-learning applications       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning       16		1.3	Researc	ch Questions	
1.6       Research Scope       6         1.7       Definitions of Keywords       7         1.7.1       Visual Communication       7         1.7.2       Content Performance       7         1.7.3       M-Learning       7         1.7.4       Mobile Technology       8         1.7.5       Smartphones       8         1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.7.7       Assess       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       13         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       15         2.3.3       Characteristics of e-learning       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning       16         2.3.5       The arrival of M-Learning       16		1.4	Researc	ch Objectives	5
1.7       Definitions of Keywords       7         1.7.1       Visual Communication       7         1.7.2       Content Performance       7         1.7.3       M-Learning       7         1.7.4       Mobile Technology       8         1.7.5       Smartphones       8         1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.7.7       Assess       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       13         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       15         2.3.3       Characteristics of e-learning applications       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning       16         2.3.5       The arrival of M-Learning       16		1.5	Signific	eant of Research	5
1.7.1       Visual Communication       7         1.7.2       Content Performance       7         1.7.3       M-Learning       7         1.7.4       Mobile Technology       8         1.7.5       Smartphones       8         1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.7.7       Assess       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       13         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       15         2.3.3       Characteristics of e-learning applications       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning       16         2.3.5       The arrival of M-Learning       16		1.6	Researc	ch Scope	6
1.7.2       Content Performance       7         1.7.3       M-Learning       7         1.7.4       Mobile Technology       8         1.7.5       Smartphones       8         1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.7.7       Assess       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       13         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       15         2.3.3       Characteristics of e-learning       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning applications       16         2.3.5       The arrival of M-Learning       16		1.7	Definiti	ons of Keywords	7
1.7.3       M-Learning       7         1.7.4       Mobile Technology       8         1.7.5       Smartphones       8         1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.7.7       Assess       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       13         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       15         2.3.3       Characteristics of e-learning       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning applications       16         2.3.5       The arrival of M-Learning       16			1.7.1	Visual Communication	7
1.7.4       Mobile Technology       8         1.7.5       Smartphones       8         1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.7.7       Assess       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       13         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       15         2.3.3       Characteristics of e-learning       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning applications       16         2.3.5       The arrival of M-Learning       16			1.7.2	Content Performance	7
1.7.5       Smartphones       8         1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.7.7       Assess       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       13         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       15         2.3.3       Characteristics of e-learning       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning applications       16         2.3.5       The arrival of M-Learning       16			1.7.3	M-Learning	7
1.7.6       Tablets       9         1.7.7       Assess       9         1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       13         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       15         2.3.3       Characteristics of e-learning       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning applications       16         2.3.5       The arrival of M-Learning       16			1.7.4	Mobile Technology	8
1.7.7 Assess       9         1.8 Summary       10         2 LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1 Introduction       11         2.2 Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3 History of E-learning       13         2.3.1 The acceptance of e-Learning       13         2.3.2 The Nature of e-learning       15         2.3.3 Characteristics of e-learning       16         2.3.4 The advantages of e-learning applications       16         2.3.5 The arrival of M-Learning       16			1.7.5	Smartphones	
1.8       Summary       10         2       LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       11         2.2       Distance Learning Experience       12         2.3       History of E-learning       13         2.3.1       The acceptance of e-Learning       13         2.3.2       The Nature of e-learning       15         2.3.3       Characteristics of e-learning       16         2.3.4       The advantages of e-learning applications       16         2.3.5       The arrival of M-Learning       16			1.7.6	Tablets	
2 LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Introduction 11 2.2 Distance Learning Experience 12 2.3 History of E-learning 13 2.3.1 The acceptance of e-Learning 13 2.3.2 The Nature of e-learning 15 2.3.3 Characteristics of e-learning 16 2.3.4 The advantages of e-learning applications 16 2.3.5 The arrival of M-Learning 16			1.7.7	Assess	9
2.1 Introduction 11 2.2 Distance Learning Experience 12 2.3 History of E-learning 13 2.3.1 The acceptance of e-Learning 13 2.3.2 The Nature of e-learning 15 2.3.3 Characteristics of e-learning 16 2.3.4 The advantages of e-learning applications 16 2.3.5 The arrival of M-Learning 16		1.8	Summa	ry	10
2.1 Introduction 11 2.2 Distance Learning Experience 12 2.3 History of E-learning 13 2.3.1 The acceptance of e-Learning 13 2.3.2 The Nature of e-learning 15 2.3.3 Characteristics of e-learning 16 2.3.4 The advantages of e-learning applications 16 2.3.5 The arrival of M-Learning 16	2	I ITE	DATUDE	DEVIEW	
2.2 Distance Learning Experience 12 2.3 History of E-learning 13 2.3.1 The acceptance of e-Learning 15 2.3.2 The Nature of e-learning 15 2.3.3 Characteristics of e-learning 16 2.3.4 The advantages of e-learning applications 16 2.3.5 The arrival of M-Learning 16	4				11
2.3 History of E-learning  2.3.1 The acceptance of e-Learning  2.3.2 The Nature of e-learning  15  2.3.3 Characteristics of e-learning  16  2.3.4 The advantages of e-learning applications  2.3.5 The arrival of M-Learning  16					
2.3.1 The acceptance of e-Learning 13 2.3.2 The Nature of e-learning 15 2.3.3 Characteristics of e-learning 16 2.3.4 The advantages of e-learning applications 16 2.3.5 The arrival of M-Learning 16					
2.3.2 The Nature of e-learning 15 2.3.3 Characteristics of e-learning 16 2.3.4 The advantages of e-learning applications 16 2.3.5 The arrival of M-Learning 16		2.3	•		
2.3.3 Characteristics of e-learning 16 2.3.4 The advantages of e-learning applications 16 2.3.5 The arrival of M-Learning 16					
2.3.4 The advantages of e-learning applications 16 2.3.5 The arrival of M-Learning 16					
2.3.5 The arrival of M-Learning 16					
E C					
		2.4			16
2.4.1 The Nature of M-Learning 18		2.7			
2.4.2 The Status of learning 19				S S	
2.4.3 The Mobile revolution has arrived 19					

		2.4.4	The M-Learning Era	20
		2.4.5	M-learning versus E-learning	20
		2.4.6	M-learning Examples	22
			- M-Learning Using Handheld Computers	22
			- M-Learning Using Mobile Phones	23
			- M-Learning Using Tablet	24
2	.5	Charact	eristic of M-Learning	24
		2.5.1	Pervasive learning	24
		2.5.2	Ubiquitous learning	25
		2.5.3	Bite sized and on demand	25
		2.5.4	Naturally blended	25
		2.5.5	Can be collaborative	25
		2.5.6	Can be location dependent	25
2	.6	M-Learn	ning as an Education Platform	26
2	.7	Assess a	and Delivery M-Learning Content	28
2	.8	Visual C	Communication in Education	32
2	.9		For Mobile Learning	33
2	.10	Advanta	ges and Disadvantage of M-Learning	34
2	.11	Research	h Theory	35
		2.11.1	Individual Acceptance of Information	
			Technologies	37
		2.11.2	Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	39
		2.11.3	TAM2	40
		2.11.4	Diffusion of Innovation Theory (DIM)	42
		2.11.5	M-Learning with Diffusion of Innovation	
			Theory	44
		2.11.6	Unified Theory of Acceptance and	
			Use of Technology (UTAUT)	45
		2.11.7	M-Learning Research Model	47
			- Performance Expectancy	48
			- Effort Expectancy	48
			- Self-Efficacy	48
			- Social Influence	49
			- Facilitating Conditions	49
			- Mobility	49
			- Self-management of Learning	49
			- Attainment Value	50
			- Perceived Enjoyment	50
			- Behavioral Intention	50
			- Use Behavior	50
			- Strenghts of UTAUT Model	52
			- Shortcomings of UTAUT Model	53
			- Consideration to use UTAUT Framework	53
			- Consumer Behavior	54

	2.12		ning Framework	55
		2.12.1	The domain mobile learning system	55
		2.12.2	A framework for m-learning design	
			Requirement	56
		2.12.3	M-Learning application	57
		2.12.4	General Architecture for M-Learning	58
	2.13	Generat	tion of Mobile Wireless Technology	60
		2.13.1	History of Mobile Wireless Generation fr	om
			O Generation (0G) to 4 Generation (4G)	60
		2.13.2	Boundaries of 3G and drivers for 4G	63
		2.13.3	Evolution to 4G and its relation to	
			m-learning	64
	2.14	Summa	ry	65
•	DECE	A DOIL M	HETHODOL OCV	
3	3.1		ETHODOLOGY	
	3.1	Introdu		66
	3.2		ch Design	66
		3.2.1	Qualitative Research Methodology	66
			- In-depth Interview Approach	66
		222	- Observation	67
		3.2.2	Phenomenology Approach	67
			- Research Method	67
		2.2.2	- Data Collection	68
		3.2.3	Setting and Participants	70
			- Purposive Sampling	70
		224	- Snowball Sampling	70
		3.2.4	Research Instrumentation	71
		3.2.5	Pre-test	71
			- Pre-test Procedure	72
			- Pre-test Data Analysis	72
			- Pre-Test Research Prototype	73
			- Pre-Test Outcome	74
	3.3		lity and Validity	74
			gulation of Data Analysis and Techniques	75
	3.4		ants in In-Depth Interview	76
	3.5		ollection	77
	3.6		ch Coding and Themes	77
	3.7		ng the interview data	78
	3.8		Considerations	80
	3.9	Summa	ry	81
4	DATA	ANALY	SIS AND DISCUSSION	
-	4.1	Introdu		82
	4.2		ry of Informants	82

4.3		ated codes (categories) to themes	85
		rch Questions	89
4.4		nalysis discussion	89
	4.4.1	Understanding the nine keys contruct	89
		Visual Communication	
		First Key Construct:	
		Perceived enjoyment	90
		i) Friendly	90
		ii) Attractive, Not interactive	92
		Content Performance	
		Second Key Construct:	
		Performance Expectancy	94
		i) Convenient	94
		ii) Anywhere and anytime	95
		Third Key Construct:	
		Effort Expectancy	100
		i) Easy to read	100
		ii) Easy to use	102
		iii) Easy to follow	103
		iv) Easy to operate	104
		Forth Key Construct:	
		Self-efficacy	105
		i) Conduct Training	106
		Fifth Key Construct:	
		Facilitating Conditions	107
		i) M-Learning can be launched in	
		Malaysia	108
		ii) Need additional resources	109
		iii) Should have enough resources	110
		Others	110
		Sixth Key Construct:	
		Social Influence	111
		i) A little knowledge about it	113
		ii) Going to use M-Learning	114
		iii) Important to get recommendation	115
		Seventh Key Construct:	113
		Mobility	116
		i) Preferred both education program	117
		Eight Key Construct:	11/
		Self-Management	119
			119
		•	
		ii) Self-discipline	120
		Ninth Key Construct:	100
		Attainment value	122

General Issues  i) 4G Performance ii) M-Learning Design  4.4.2 Understanding the lack of motivation factors of Malaysian Internet/Internet Mobile users i) Costs  4.4.3 Additional Information: Interviews results Of Informants who use mobile internet/ internet users below than 50 percent Visual Communication First Key Construct: Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Solf-Management Ninth Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues  4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory  4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			i) Well in education	124
i) 4G Performance ii) M-Learning Design  4.4.2 Understanding the lack of motivation factors of Malaysian Internet/Internet Mobile users i) Costs  4.4.3 Additional Information: Interviews results Of Informants who use mobile internet/ internet users below than 50 percent Visual Communication First Key Construct: Perceived enjoyment Content Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues  4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.5. Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory  4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning Interface 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on Tentative Integrated Model Based on Tentative Integrated Model Based on			ii) Create Values	123
ii) M-Learning Design  4.4.2 Understanding the lack of motivation factors of Malaysian Internet/Internet Mobile users i) Costs  4.4.3 Additional Information: Interviews results Of Informants who use mobile internet/ internet users below than 50 percent Visual Communication First Key Construct: Perceived enjoyment Content Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTautive Integrated Model Based on			General Issues	128
4.4.2 Understanding the lack of motivation factors of Malaysian Internet/Internet Mobile users i) Costs  4.4.3 Additional Information: Interviews results Of Informants who use mobile internet/ internet users below than 50 percent Visual Communication First Key Construct: Perceived enjoyment Content Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues  4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory  4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			i) 4G Performance	126
factors of Malaysian Internet/Internet Mobile users i) Costs  4.4.3 Additional Information: Interviews results Of Informants who use mobile internet/ internet users below than 50 percent Visual Communication First Key Construct: Perceived enjoyment Content Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning Interface 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			ii) M-Learning Design	128
Mobile users i) Costs  4.4.3 Additional Information: Interviews results Of Informants who use mobile internet/ internet users below than 50 percent Visual Communication First Key Construct: Perceived enjoyment Content Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues  4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on		4.4.2	Understanding the lack of motivation	
Mobile users i) Costs  4.4.3 Additional Information: Interviews results Of Informants who use mobile internet/ internet users below than 50 percent Visual Communication First Key Construct: Perceived enjoyment Content Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues  4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			factors of Malaysian Internet/Internet	
4.4.3 Additional Information: Interviews results Of Informants who use mobile internet/ internet users below than 50 percent Visual Communication First Key Construct: Perceived enjoyment Content Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions from the informants 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning Interface 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on				129
Of Informants who use mobile internet/ internet users below than 50 percent Visual Communication First Key Construct: Perceived enjoyment Content Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions from the informants 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning Interface 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			i) Costs	129
internet users below than 50 percent Visual Communication First Key Construct: Perceived enjoyment Content Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Solid Influence Seventh Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues  4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on		4.4.3	Additional Information: Interviews results	
Visual Communication First Key Construct: Perceived enjoyment Content Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues  4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions for M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			Of Informants who use mobile internet/	
First Key Construct: Perceived enjoyment Content Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues  4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory  4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			internet users below than 50 percent	132
Content Performance Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 4.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning Interface 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			Visual Communication	
Second Key Construct: Performance Expectancy Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 4.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning Interface 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			First Key Construct: Perceived enjoyment	133
Expectancy Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 4.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning Interface 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on				
Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 4.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			Second Key Construct: Performance	
Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 4.5 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			Expectancy	134
Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary 4.5 Summary 4.6 Summary 4.7 Summary 4.8 SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions for M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			Third Key Construct: Effort Expectancy	135
Conditions Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 15 5.5 Recommendations 16 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 17 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 18 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 19 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			Forth Key Construct: Self-efficacy	136
Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 1.5 1.5 Recommendations 1.5 1.6 Suggestions from the informants 1.5 1.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 1.5 1.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 1.5 1.5 1.6 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			Fifth Key Construct: Facilitating	
Seventh Key Construct: Mobility Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 4.5 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			Conditions	137
Eight Key Construct: Self-Management Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues  4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory  4.5 Summary  14  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 15 5.5 Recommendations 15 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 15 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 15 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 15 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			Sixth Key Construct: Social Influence	138
Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			Seventh Key Construct: Mobility	140
General Issues 4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 4.5 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			Eight Key Construct: Self-Management	140
4.4.4 Extension and modification of original UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 15 5.5 Recommendations 15 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 15 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 15 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 15 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			Ninth Key Construct: Attainment Value	141
UTAUT Model 4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 15 5.5 Recommendations 15 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 15 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 15 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 15 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			General Issues	142
4.4.5 Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary 14  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 15 5.5 Recommendations 15 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 15 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 15 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 15 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on		4.4.4	Extension and modification of original	
UTAUT Theory 4.5 Summary 14  SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 15 5.5 Recommendations 15 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 15 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 15 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 15 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			UTAUT Model	145
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH  5.1 Introduction 14 5.2 Summary of Research 14 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 15 5.5 Recommendations 15 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 15 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning 115 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 15 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on		4.4.5	Tentative Integrated Model Based on	
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH  5.1 Introduction 14 5.2 Summary of Research 14 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 15 5.5 Recommendations 15 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 15 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 15 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 15 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on			UTAUT Theory	147
FUTURE RESEARCH  5.1 Introduction 14 5.2 Summary of Research 14 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 15 5.5 Recommendations 15 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 15 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 15 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 15 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on	4.5	Summa	ary	148
FUTURE RESEARCH  5.1 Introduction 14 5.2 Summary of Research 14 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 15 5.5 Recommendations 15 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 15 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 15 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 15 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on				
5.1 Introduction 5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on	SUMN	IARY, C	CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION FO	OR
5.2 Summary of Research 5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 15 5.5 Recommendations 15 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 15 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 15 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 15 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on		RE RES	EARCH	
5.3 Conclusion 14 5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 15 5.5 Recommendations 15 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 15 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 15 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 15 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on				149
5.4 Implications and benefits of M-Learning 5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on		Summa	ry of Research	149
5.5 Recommendations 5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on				149
5.6 Suggestions from the informants 5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface 5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on		Implica	tions and benefits of M-Learning	151
<ul> <li>5.7 Suggestions to improve M-Learning Interface</li> <li>5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning</li> <li>5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on</li> </ul>				152
5.8 Other suggestions For M-Learning 15 5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on				152
5.9 Tentative Integrated Model Based on				153
				154
UTAUT Theory 15	5.9			
•		UTAU	Γ Theory	155

5

		- Researcher's Observations	156
	5.10	Answering Research Objectives	161
	5.11	Suggestions for Research Future	164
REFERENCES			165
APPENDICES			207
APPENDIX A	Exa	mple of Interview Consent Form For Individuals	207
APPENDIX B	Inte	rview Protocol	209
APPENDIX C	Info	rmants Demographic	212
<b>BIODATA OF S</b>	STUDEN	NT	217
LIST OF PUBL	ICATIO	ONS	218

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	A structured definition of E-Learning	14
2.2	Terminology Comparisons between E-Learning and M-Learning	26
2.3	Broadcast Platform Components	27
2.4	Mobile Learning Capabilities	33
2.5	Models and Theories of Individual Acceptance	36
2.6	Eight Primary Models of How and Why Individuals Adopt New Information Technologies	39
2.7	UTAUT variables, corresponding models, Constructs and Definitions	48
2.8	Extension and Modification of UTAUT of all nine variables that contributed toward Behavioural Intention and Use Intention of Mobile Learning	51
3.1	Summary of informants	72
3.2	Data Generated from NViVo software for the research codes and its themes	73
4.1	Summary of Informants	83
4.2	Informants identification number	84
4.3	Data Generated from NVivo software for codes/categories and its Themes	85
4.4	Key construct, Definition and Research Questions	87
4.5	Themes were generated based on Perceive Enjoyment Categories	90
4.6	Themes were generated based on Performance Expectancy Categories	94

1.7	Themes were generated based on Effort Expectancy Categories	100
1.8	Themes were generated based on Self-efficacy Categories	106
1.9	Themes were generated based on Facilitating Conditions Categories	108
4.10	Themes were generated based on Social Influence Categories	112
4.11	Themes were generated based on Mobility Category	117
4.12	Themes were generated based on Self-Management Categories	119
4.13	Themes were generated based on Attainment Value Categories	122
1.14	Themes were generated based on General Issue Categories	126
4.15	Themes were generated based on demotivated factor(s) Categories	129
4.16	Summary of the Informants viewed about M-Learning	131
1.17	Summary of Informants (Below 50 percent)	133
1 18	Summary of the Informant's views about M- Learning	144

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figur	re	Page
2.1	The condition of m-learning as element of e-learning and dlearning	18
2.2	M-Learning versus E-Learning	20
2.3	Relationship concerning D-Learning, E-Learning and M-learning	21
2.4	Today and Tomorrow	22
2.5	The Occurrence of Individual Acceptance of IT	37
2.6	Basic Concept Underlying User Acceptance Models	38
2.7	Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	40
2.8	Technology Acceptance Model 2 (TAM2)	41
2.9	Diffusion of innovation model	43
2.10	The UTAUT model with four causes of intention and Usage	46
2.11	The UTAUT Model with brief description of independent variables	46
2.12	An Adoption Model for M-Learning	48
2.13	The domains in the M-Learning system	55
2.14	A Framework form M-Learning design requirement	57
2.15	General and Generic M-Learning architecture	60
4.1	Perceived Enjoyment Model	93
4.2	Performance Expectancy Model	99
4.3	Effort Expectancy Model	105
4.4	Self-efficacy Model	107

4.5	Facilitating Condition Model	111
4.6	Social Influence Model	116
4.7	Mobility Model	119
4.8	Self- Management Model	122
4.9	Attainment Value Model	126
4.10	General Issues	129
4.11	Demotivated Factor(s)	130
4.12	UTAUT Model	146
4.13	Tentative Integrated Model Based on UTAUT Theory	147
5 1	Tentative Integrated Model Rased on UTAUT Theory	155

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2G 2 Generations

2.5 Generations

3G 3 Generations

4G 4 Generations

AMTS Advanced Mobile Telephone System

CBI/L/T Computer Based Instruction/Learning/Training

CD Compact Disc

CDMA Code Division Multiple Access

CMC Computer Mediated Communication

DARPA Defence Advanced Research Projects Agency

DIM Diffusion of Innovation

DSL Digital subscriber line

EC European Commission

eLMS Electronic Learning Management System

EMS Enhanced Messaging Service

FDMA Frequency Division Multiple Access

FTF Face to Face

GPRS General Packet Radio Service

GPS Global Positioning System

GSM Global System for Mobile Communication

HR Human Resource

HTC High-Tech Computer

HTML Hyper Text Markup Language

ICT Information and Communication Technology

ID Identification

IEEE Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

IIN Informant's Identification Number

IMTS Improved Mobile Telephone Service

IP Internet Protocol

IrDA Infrared Data Association

IT Information Technology

LAN Local area network

LOD Learning Object Download

LTE Long Term Evolution

MMIC Monolithic Microwave Integrated Circuit

MMS Multimedia Messaging Service

MPCU Model of PC Utilization

MTD Mobiltelefonisystem D

MTS Mobile Telephone System

OLT Offentlig Landmobil Telefoni

OS Operating System

OUM Open Universiti Malaysia

PC Personal Computer

PDA Personal Digital Assistant

PLE Personal Learning Environments

PTT Push To Talk

QWERTY Standard computer or typewriter keyboard

RSS Really Simple Syndication

SCT Social cognitive theory

SIM Subscriber Identity Module

SMS Short Message Service

SPM Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia

TAM Technology Acceptance Model

TDMA Time Division Multiple Access

TPB Theory of planned behaviors

TRA Theory of Reasoned Action

UM Universiti Malaya

UMTS Universal Mobile Telecommunications System

UPM Universiti Putra Malaysia

UTAUT Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of

Technology

W/H Watt hours

WAP Wireless Application Protocol

WebCT Web Course Tools

WIFI Wireless Fidelity

WiMAX Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access

xxii

WLAN Wireless local area network

WOU Wawasan Open Universiti

XP Extreme Programming



#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Mobile technologies are already pervasive and have become a familiar part of the lives of almost everyone. Newer developments in mobile phone technology are also beginning to offer the potential for rich multimedia experiences and for location specific resources (Naismith et al., 2004). Mobile technologies offer an opportunity for a fundamental change in education away from irregular use of a personal computer in a lab towards more embedded use in the classroom and beyond (Hennessy, 1999). Latest developments in mobile technology have demonstrated that they possess a great amount of potential for educational use (qrcodescanning, 2015). The dominant of mobile technologies is in itself that acts as a motivator to develop an individual for learning. This means personal mobile devices such as mobile phones and tablets are now ubiquitous amongst learner populations at colleges and university. technologies offer learning experiences which can effectively engage and educate contemporary learners and which are often markedly different from those afforded by traditional desktop computers. These devices are used dynamically, in many different settings, giving access to a broad range of uses and situated learning activities. The personal nature of these technologies means that they are well suited to engaging learners. Educators should seek to exploit the ability of the technologies an individual brings with them and find ways to put them into good use for the benefit of learning practice (Sharples, 2003).

Mobile technologies are becoming more embedded, ubiquitous and networked with improved capabilities for rich social connections, context recognition and internet connectivity. Such technologies can have a great effect on learning. Learning will go beyond than classroom parameter and move into the learner's environments, both real and virtual, thus becoming more situated, personal, collaborative and lifelong. The challenge will be to discover how to use mobile technologies to transform learning into a seamless part of daily life to the point where it is not recognized as learning at all (Naismith, Lonsdale, Vavoula & Sharples, 2004).

Education acts as a process that depends on a great deal of direction of learners and resources. Mobile devices can be utilized by teachers for attendance reporting system, reviewing student marks, general access of central school data, and managing their schedules more effectively. In higher education, mobile devices can provide course material to students, including due dates for assignments and information about timetable and room changes. The examples of using mobile technologies in this context include a mobile learning organizer which has been developed and tested at the University of Birmingham (Holme & Sharples 2002; Sharples et al., 2003; Corlett et al., 2004), and the use of mobile phone technologies to support computing students (Riordan & Traxler 2003).

The forecast shows the number of mobile phone users in the Asia Pacific region from 2011 to 2018 is approximately 2.27 billion, which is expected to increase to almost 3 billion by 2017 (Statista, 2015). Among the fields that are improving, considerate and commercial is the learning discipline. With such convenient technological development, it encourages the growth of M-Learning (Mobile Learning) which can be simply reached at anytime and anyplace. E-Learning on the other hand, also lets the learners to study at any place at any time, however, they must own a suitable designed computer (Howstuffworks, 2010).

M-Learning can be defined as the development of ubiquitous handheld technologies, together with wireless and mobile phone networks, to facilitate, help, enhance and outspread the movement of learning and teaching (Tribal, 2010). According to McConatha and Praul (2007), M-Learning as it is oftentimes known as a somewhat latest tool in the academic areas that helps students and instructors to explore the choices offered in the growing world of space training. The designer aims to project M-Learning function that can be experienced by everyone at any place and at any time.

### 1.2 Problem Statement

When M-Learning project commenced in 2001, there were only a few people who were familiar with the M-Learning concept and certainly could visualise the ability of mobile devices in learning program. The M-Learning blueprint was sponsored by the European Commission and the project allies (in England). The Learning and Skills Council believed that M-Learning is an unconventional and exclusive learning program compared to E-Learning. The main issue is to enrich the learning resources or materials in order to run with handheld or mobile tools in a time of rapid technological growth. It is also targeted to those uninterested or reluctant youth and adult learners along with inadequate literacy and expertise (Attewell, 2004). The recognition of M-Learning does not merely triumph in Europe (UNESCO, 2012) and United Stated of America (Donaldson, 2011), but also in Asian countries for instance India (Hemabala & Suresh, 2013), China (Zhu, Guo & Hu, 2012), Philippines (UNESCO, 2012), Indonesia (Yusri & Goodwin (2013) as well as in Singapore (So, Kim & Looi, 2008). Even in Africa (Brown, 2003), M-Learning has been implemented to help those rural area people (the learners) to get educated. The main reason is - their home is so far away from school, thus they have to walk miles away in order to get to school. So, the institution decided to provide mobile phone with content for the learners, but this is basically Short Message Service (SMS) which is text based.

Since then, M-Learning has become a component of learning program in most of their colleges and universities. In contrast, although the growth of awareness and usage of mobile devices such as Smartphones and Tablets is increasing tremendously in Malaysia, the purposes of these devices are limited to updating news on Facebook, Twitter and Instagram, besides connecting with people through chat applications like WhatsApp, Telegram and WeChat. This shows that the focus is merely on social media and e-social interaction rather than exploring the benefits of the mobile applications itself. Malaysians only concentrate on the usage of free access of WiFi and mobile Internet, and the most frequent online activities are; booking movies, ordering food,

playing games and watching YouTube or movie (Song, Murphy & Farley, (2013); Jambulingam & Sorooshian, (2013)). Based on the history of E-Learning in Malaysia, the acceptance of Malaysian societies towards this application takes time. Since OUM launched an E-Learning program in 2000, only 743 working adults enrolled (Ali, 2011). Every year, people enrolled in e-learning, but the process was very slow. It took almost 10 years for the Malaysians to acknowledge E-Learning. This may apply to the acceptance of M-Learning as well. Even so, with current mobile technologies and the consistent growth of Malaysian mobile phone users who are obsessed with the current gadgets such as iPhone 5, Samsung Galaxy Tab, HTC, Nokia C7 and Nokia E8, the participation of Malaysians to learn more about M-Learning can be expected.

The evolution of E-Learning in Malaysia is due to its flexibility or ubiquitousness of learning and the concept of anytime and anyplace which draw the attention of learners who are working adults yet unable to go to class. The presence of E-Learning major equipment was focused on Personal Computers (PC) where most of the learners received their modules of selected course, assignments as well as assistance from the tutors through virtual learning. Nevertheless, the management of PC was imited, thus learners were more comfortable to check emails and other internet activities through mobile phones and devices. This shows the use of mobile phone and devices such as Smartphones and Tablets is capable of allowing pedagogical procedures to be carried out at anytime and anyplace. This also contributes to the evolvement of a new value of education scheme that is emerged and transformed from a PC such as E-Learning to M-Learning.

Currently, M-Learning is believed to be a new exercise in the pedagogical study. The growth of E-Learning in Malaysia is due to the needs of the working adults, where they needed to be able to retrieve material at their spare time. Hence the materials were being made available via E-Learning. Per se, the existence of Open University Malaysia (OUM) which is based in Kuala Lumpur and Wawasan Open University (WOU) which is based in Penang specified an encouraging reaction. Since E-Learning, retrieved good reaction from the working adults, the researcher wanted to find whether they are trained to utilize mobile devices such as Smartphones (with wide screen) and Tablets which is also known as M-Learning. Malaysians are extremely exposed to mobile technologies, for both Smartphones and Tablets, it is considered the best time to initiate M-Learning as a part of learning plans. Though, the acknowledgement of mobile technologies is deemed as subtle because most of Malaysians are at ease to use simple application such as the Short Message Service (SMS), making and answering calls and reading emails.

Undoubtedly, the new technology will be recognised as well as familiarized by Malaysian Internet mobile/Internet users as a part of daily life sooner or later. The questions focus more on preparation to practice and adapt M-Learning as a pedagogical program. Equally we recognise that education is the direction or teaching skills that one obtains or gains. It comprises activities related to knowledge or information, usually in schools, colleges or university institutions. The educationalists are recognising computer and multi-based educational tools as facilitating learning and enhancing in terms of social communication (Saipunidzam et al. 2008). With such

facilitating feature it offers, it will encourage the learners to practice on acceptance and adaptation of new technology.

To date, only a few studies had been done pertaining to M-Learning in Malaysia and most of these inquiries were conducted exclusively via quantitative research method, through survey. In this research, qualitative research method is utilized via in-depth interview technique to congregate the data. To further support this research, the researcher used the eLMS (electronic learning management system) as a part of M-Learning prototype to assist and to provide firsthand experience for the informants. From here, the researcher may identify whether the informants are comfortable to use M-Learning or otherwise. As M-Learning is considered new in Malaysia, to assess visual communication and content performance among the informants while they are experiencing M-Learning is extremely critical. Based on previous research, M-Learning was nly emphasized in terms of Short Message Service (SMS), learning exchanges based on text messages (syllabus, schedules, learning content, quizzes, information, results and others). For this research, the researcher decided to emphasize eLMS using Smartphones and Tablets for learning. The learning contents are presented via video which is known as panopto video platform (the lecturers provide their tutorial chapters via videos). It supports pdf. files and html documents (hypertext markup language). The learners can retrieve courses chapters via online and the materials can be printed. In-depth interview technique expectantly assists the process of identifying the feedbacks of both of the outcomes from the new technology and M-Learning itself. Furthermore, it serves to find out the degree of adaptation towards the innovation among the informants including innovators, early adopters, early majority, late majority and laggards. Previous research did not specify any behavioral changes and effect on M-Learning.

For this research, the researcher also focuses on motivational issues as these will help the researcher to identify the issues or factors that lead to lack of motivation among the informants to engage with M-Learning. Regardless whether the informants are high, moderate or low Internet/mobile internet users, this information is extremely vital because it will support the improvement of M-Learning as an education platform in the future.

### 1.3 Research Questions

Based on the above scenario, research questions for this study are divided into several key constructs: -

- a) For visual communication: how far factor of perceived enjoyment and content performance: how far the factors of performance and effort-expectancy, self-eficacy and facilitating conditions, and other factors such as social influence, mobility, self-management, and attainment values can be achieved among mobile users.
- b) What will be the lack of motivation factors among mobile users to engage with M-Learning?

### 1.4 Research Objectives

The general objective of this research is to assess visual communication and content performance of Mobile Learning (M-Learning) among mobile users.

Based on the statement of research problem outlined earlier, the specific objectives of this research are:

- For visual communication: to understand factor of perceived enjoyment of mobile learning and content performance: to understand the factors of performance and effort-expectancy, self-efficacy and facilitating conditions and other factors such as social influence, mobility, self-management and attainment values can be achieved among mobile users.
- 2) To understand the lack of motivation factors among mobile users to engage with M-Learning.

## 1.5 Significance of the Study

As mentioned previously, to date, there are a few studies documented to explore M-Learning application. Hence, this study is significant to provide some insights along the practice of M-Learning application approach with Malaysian mobile phone users. Based on the study, the fact that M-Learning may help to change the attitude of Malaysian Internet mobile/internet users to adapt and accept M-Learning application may be discovered. This will serve as a useful information for other researchers as well as academicians.

The researcher decided to apply the qualitative research method. According to Merriam (2009), qualitative researchers are involved in understanding the consequence, people have structured, that is in what way public make sense of their world and the involvements they have in the world. Hence, in order to ascertain the usage of M-Learning among the informants, qualitative research is believed to be the most significant method. Since this research is more on the acceptance of technology, the researcher determined to use Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT). UTAUT has been developed by Venkatesh et al. (2003). It published the outcomes of a research that are formed and proven as a new research model with several key constructs which are theorized to be vital features of the user's behavioral intention of information technology. However, for this research, the researcher used the UTAUT model as guidelines to help to discover the reasons an individual engages with M-Learning. In order to understand peoples' acceptance process towards M-Learning, previous adoption models are called for proper modifications and extensions on their original structure when using it in a social context. As Carlsson et al. (2006) stated, Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and UTAUT were developed to describe and explain organisational adoption of information technologies, "but that the mobile technology adoption is more individual, more personalised and focused on the services made available by the technology" (Carlsson et al. 2006). In addition to this, Diffusion of Innovation theory which is also widely used in Information System (IS) field does

not give a particularly exact guidance regarding the adoption of mobile technology, as mobile technology tends to be adopted by non-tech-savvy users once they considered technologies are useful, according to the empirical study of Carlsson et al. (2005). This analysis may be an eye opener to related practitioners of the importance of having M-Learning intervention in training with diverse groups of masses. It may also help in M-Learning policy making in Malaysia.

# 1.6 Research Scope

For this research, the researcher only focuses the high (70-80 per cent) and moderate (50-60 per cent) Internet/mobile Internet users in Malaysia because the researcher have had difficulties with low Internet/mobile Internet users (below 50 per cent). The low Internet/mobile Internet users do not know how to use the Internet. They also have difficulties to understand the M-Learning application itself. Nevertheless, this event is considered necessary as it helps the researcher to understand thoroughly the factors leading to lack of motivations to engage with M-Learning.

For pre-testing, the researcher has conducted four focus groups. Each group consists of 10 people who are university and college students, lecturers, school students and working adults. As for in-depth interviews, the researcher decided to interview 10 people that consists of university and college students, lectures, school students and working adults. The devices are two iPads, four Smartphones, two Galaxy Tab 7, two Galaxy Tab 10, 4G Zoom and 4G Huddle. As an overall result, the researcher failed to identify the reactions from each participant as they all agreed with one particular individual whom they called a group leader. The researcher observed that the participants simply nodded their heads as a sign of agreement to the comments given by the group leader. There was no argument among the participants along the discussions. The researcher also observed that the group leader was competent in technology and M-Learning. The group leader told the researcher that he or she used mobile Internet at about 80 per cent. When the researcher asked for a second view from the others, they appeared to recapitulate the responses that have been passed on by the group leader. For in-depth interview, the results seem to be positive as the informants straightaway gave their opinion based on the given questions. Thus, the researcher had decided to conduct in-depth interviews for this research. The research only focusses in Klang Valley and Selangor areas because of strong 4G mobile network coverage.

### 1.7 Definitions of Keywords

#### 1.7.1 Visual Communication

According to Hilligos and Howard (2002), visual communication means all the ways that writers and readers interact through the feel of the pages and screens. Visual design means the structured process of designing for this interaction. There are other, similar, overlapping terms. The widely used term, document design covers much the same ground as visual communication, leave out that document design may also refer to matters of oral communication, such as employing certain types of paragraph and sentence structure that have been demonstrated to be well read by readers (Shriver; Felker et al., (N.d.)). Communication design and information design also refer broadly to visual communication. Texts and documents refer to both paper and on-screen writing. Likewise, images and graphics are used interchangeably for visuals that are distinct from verbal material. Visual communication is the exchange of ideas through the visual presentation of information, in other words, it is generating a sense with visuals (Griffin, 2008).

#### 1.7.2 Content Performance

Content refers to text material of a file or journal in some form. The content is both information and communication: the total aggregate of the freshness, readability, relevancy, and usefulness of the data offered, and the pattern in which it is dealt out of the information presented, and the way in which it is delivered. It is too known as essence of a communicated message or discourse, as comprehended or received by its intended audience (businessdictionay, 2014). Performance refers to the result of activities over a given period of time. Content performance refers to message and communication that are readable, relevant, usefulness and convenience of the information given in any manner (Illmer, 2011).

### 1.7.3 M-Learning

M-Learning is a learning method that can happen at any time, and anywhere with the support of a mobile computer device (Dye et al., 2003). M-Learning will introduce new strategies, practices, tools, applications, and resources to recognize the potential of ubiquitous, personal and linked with learning (Wagner, 2005). "M-Learning: A new stage of e-learning": M-Learning provides the facility to study ubiquitously at every time minus with a permanent physical link to cable networks (Georgiev et al., 2004). Convergence is the combination of diverse media, featuring new customized services. The acceptance of high-performance computers, shift to digital platforms, and formation of high-speed, computer networks (Wilkinson, 2003). Performance expectancy is about the mental processes of selection or choosing. It explains the developments that an individual experiences make preferences. (ValueBasedManagement, 2010). Effort-expectancy is described as the level of easiness that is connected with the utilization of the system (Jvanhengel, 2009). Social influence outlines the stage to which an individual perceives that others consider he or she should utilize a special system (Venkatesh et al., 2003). Facilitating condition is to take in the hesitant act much easier (businessdictonary, 2010). M-Learning prototype

is the application of learning within mobile phone model (Hosseini & Mustajärvi, 2005).

### 1.7.4 Mobile Technology

Mobile technology is exactly what the name implies – technology that is portable; it signifies to any device that somebody can carry with, to function a wide kind of projects. It is a technology that leaves those tasks to be achieved via cellular telephone, PDA (Personal Digital Assistant), vehicles, laptops, etc. A typical mobile device has extinct from being no more than a simple two-way pager to being a cell phone, a GPS navigation system, a web browser, the instant messenger system, a video gaming system, and a lot more. It comprises the usage of a variety of transmission media such as: radio wave, microwave, infrared, GPS and Bluetooth to allow the transfer of data via voice, text, video, two dimensional barcodes and more (Daichendt, 2012). Examples of mobile IT devices include (Transformyx, 2004):

- The laptop and notebook computers
- The tablet devices
- The palmtop computers or PDA's
- The mobile phones and smart phones

Mobile devices can be enabled to use a mixture of communication technologies such as:

- The wireless fidelity (WiFi) a type of wireless local area network technology
- The Bluetooth links mobile devices wirelessly
- The 'third generation' (3G), global system for mobile communications (GSM) and general packet radio service (GPRS) data services data networking services for mobile phones
- The dial-up services data networking services using modems and phone cables
- The virtual private networks secure access to a private network

### 1.7.5 Smartphones

A Smartphone is a mobile device that offers cellular attributes along with Internet access abilities. Furthermore, they come with media players, high resolution cameras, touch screens, GPS (Global Positioning System) navigators, WI-FI and several other user applications. Smartphones have formidable computing facilities associated to that of a personal computer. As smart phones are proliferating, the smart phone users are turning off the cord of computers (Engineersgarage, 2012). A Smartphone also offers the ability to broadcast and receive e-mail and edit Office files. Some Smartphones can sustain multiple e-mail accounts. Others include access to the popular instant messaging services, like AOL's (America Online Instant Messaging) AIM and Yahoo! Messenger (Cassavoy 2012).

#### 1.7.6 Tablets

A tablet PC (personal computer) is a wireless, portable personal computer with a touch screen interface. The feature of tablet form is usually smaller than a notebook computer, but larger than a Smartphone. The most common form of tablets is the tab style, like Apple's iPad, Samsung Galaxy Tab or Microsoft's Surface. These devices, which are what most people intend when they refer to a tablet, have electronics integrated into the touch screen unit. However, external keyboards are offered in slate-style tablets. Some keyboards also work as docks for the devices (Rouse, 2010).

Tablet operating systems, singular operating system is invented to make the most of touch interface. This provides the tablet cool and interactive impression that makes it fun and convenient. A good operating system is the main part of a great tablet computer. Popular tablet operating systems involve the Honeycomb version of Google Android, mobile versions of Windows 7 and XP, and Apple's iPad OS (Operating System). All of these operating systems have different benefits and drawbacks and all features are registered with app stores which boost the capabilities of the tablets. A touchscreen is a very sensitive control system, so tablet manufacturers try to provide the most user-friendly operating systems and applications to make sure their tablets offer a diverse experience from what a user would get from a laptop or netbook. Keyboards and docks are available in quite a few patterns in which a tablet can benefit from the accession of a keyboard or keyboard-equipped docking station. While it is possible to type on the face of a tablet, an attached keyboard can make this method easier. Cameras are crucial. Most of the current generation tablets have forward and rise up-facing cameras. These cameras are intended to make the use of videoconferencing applications easy and to take a quick high resolution photo (Carmitchel, 2012).

### **1.7.7** Assess

According to NSW Education (2014), assess is defined as making a judgement of value, quality, outcomes, results or size of something. For this research, the researcher wanted to identify the people's assessment on the value and quality of M-Learning visual communication and content performance by using Smartphones and Tablets with 4G mobile network. This assessment facilitates the researcher to know how to encourage or stimulate the usage of M-Learning program among working adults and whomever wanted to use M-Learning as a constituent of their training plan. The assessment may be considered as the key to initiate the development of M-Learning as a part of Malaysian education platform in the future. Furthermore, the assessment will also assist the researcher to distinguish any features of M-Learning that can be introduced and enhanced. In addition, the assessment helps the researcher to decide new strategies to implement and to set up new codes or policies to affirm and improve M-Learning to be a component of the training program in Malaysia.

# 1.8 Summary

M-Learning is considered new in Malaysia. However, with thorough exposure and usage of new technologies as well as mobile devices which include Smartphones and Tablets among Malaysians, M-Learning will be accepted inevitably in Malaysia. The intuition about the latest or new technologies regardless Tablets, Smartphones and its applications will definitely boost their interest to know further about mobile learning.



## REFERENCES

- A UK Learning and Skills Networks. (2011). *M-Learning Guide*. Retrieved from www.mlearn.adlnet.mobi/basics.html
- Abernathy, D.J. (2001). Get Ready for M-Learning. *Training and Development*, 55(2), 20-21.
- Abode System Software. (2013). *Adobe® Flash® Lite™ For Manufacturer and developers*. Retrieved from http://www.adobe.com/mena\_en /products/flashlite/
- Adi, A., Zhang D.Y., and Li H.B. (2008). M-learning in review: Technology, standard and evaluation. *Journal of Communication and Computer*, 5(11). Retrieved from www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:214003/ FULLTEXT01.pdf
- Adler, P.A. and Adler, P. (1987). *Membership roles in field research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Agarwal, R., and Prasad, J. (1997). The Role of Innovation Characteristics and Perceived Voluntariness in the Acceptance of Information Technologies, *Decision Sciences*, 28(3), 557-582. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-5915.1997.tb01322.x
- Agarwal, R., Sambamurthy, V. and Stair, R.M. (2000). Research report: The evolving relationship between general and specific computer self-efficacy An empirical assessment. *Information Systems Research*, 11(4), 418–430.
- Agarwal, R. and Prasad, J. (1998). A Conceptual and Operational Definition of Personal Innovativeness in the Domain of Information Technology. *Information Systems Research*, 9(2), 204-215. doi:10.1287/isre.9.2.204
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Hutman Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211. doi: 10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T
- Akyildiz, I.F., Su, W., Sankarasubramaniam, Y. and Cayirci, E. (2002). Wireless sensor networks: a survey. *Computer Networks (Elsevier)*, 38(4), 393–422.
- Al-Hakim, L. (2007). *Global e-government: Theory, Applications and Benchmarking*. Hershey: PA Idea Group Publishing.
- AlHinai, Y.S., Kurnia, S., and Smith, S. *The Impact of Consumer-Service Provider Interaction on the Adoption of Advanced Mobile Services: A Research Model.* Paper presented at the 7th Global Mobility Roundtable Conference on Innovative Services through Mobile Technologies, Auckland, New Zealand. November 2008.
- AlHinai, Y. S., Kurnia, S. and Johnston, R.B. *Adoption of Mobile Commerce Services* by *Individuals: A Meta-Analysis of the Literature* (pp. 62). doi: 10.1109/ICMB.2007.8. Paper presented at the International Conference on the Management of Mobile Business ICMB., Toronto, Ontario, Canada. July 2007.

- Algharibi, A. J. and Arvanitis, T.N. (2003). Adapting the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) as a Tool for Validating User Needs on the Implementation of e-Trial Software Systems, (pp. 526-530). Retrieved from http://ewic.bcs.org/upload/pdf/ewic\_hci11\_dc1.pdf.
- Ali, A (2011). Issues and Challenges in Implementing E-Learning in Malaysia. Retrieved from http://asiapacific-odl.oum.edu.my/c33/f80.pdf
- Ally, M., Schafer, S., Cheung, B., McGreal, R., and Tin, T. *Use of Mobile Learning Technology to Train ESL Adults* (pp. 7-12). Paper presented at the International Conference on Mobile Learning Melbourne, Australia. June 2007.
- Alsop, G., Briggs, J., Stone, A., and Tompsett, C. (2002). *M-learning as a Means of Supporting Learners: Tomorrow's Technologies Are Already Here, How Can We Most Effectively Use Them in The E-learning Age?* Retrieved from http://www.networkedlearningconference.org.uk/past/nlc2002/proceedings/papers/3 8.htm
- Alshehri, M., Drew, S., Alhussain, T., and Alghamdi, R. (2012). The Effect of Website Quality on Adoption of E-Government Service: An Empirical Study Applying UTAUT Model Using SEM. Retrieved from arXivpreprintarXiv: 1211.2410.
- Altes, T. K. (2008). Biomimicry guild, HOK in alliance. *Environmental Building News*, 17:12. Retrieved from http://www.buildinggreen.com
- Alzaabi1, M., Berri, J. and Zemerly, M. J. (2010) Web-based Architecture for Mobile Learning. *Journal for Infornomics (IJI)*, 3(1), 213-222.
- Ambient Insight (2006). *Mobile Learning Content and Services Market Accelerating in the U.S.* Retrieved from http://mobileanalystwatch.blogspot.com/2006/05/ambient-insight-mobile-learning 31.html
- Anderson, T. (2002). An Updated and Theoretical Rationale for Interaction. IT Forum. Retrieved from http://it.coe.uga.edu/itforum/paper63/paper63.htm
- Andronico, A., <u>Carbonaro</u>, A., Colazzo, L., Molinari, A., Ronchetti, M. and Trifonova, A. (2004). Designing Models and Services for Learning Management system In Mobile Settings. *In Lecture Notes of Computer Science, Springer-Verlag* 2954, pp 90-106. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-24641-1
- Anuwar Ali. (2011). *Issues and Challenges in Implementing e-learning in Malaysia*. Retrieved from http://eprints.oum.edu.my/145/1/issues\_and \_challenges.pdf
- Arbaugh, J.B. (2000). How classroom environment and student engagement affect learning in internet-based MBA courses, *Business Communication Quarterly*, 63(4), 9–26. doi:10.1177/108056990006300402

- Arbaugh, J.B. (2000). Virtual classroom characteristics and student satisfaction with internet-based MBA courses, *Journal of Management Education*, 24(1), 32–54. doi: 10.1177/1052 56290002400104
- Attwell G. (2007). Personal Learning Environments the future of eLearning? *Open Education Europa*, 2(1), 27-33.
- Attewell, J (2005). Mobile technologies and learning: A technology update and mlearning project summary. Technology Enhanced Learning Research Centre. Retrieved from www.m-learning.org/reports.shtml
- Attewell, J and Savill-Smith, C. *Mobile learning and social inclusion: focusing on learners and learning in Learning with Mobile Devices Research and Development.*Paper presented at MLEARN International Conferences in Odescalchi Castle on the Bracciano's Lake in Rome, Italy. July 2004.
- Attewell, J. and Savill-Smith C. (2004). Learning with mobile devices: research and development. London: Learning and Skills Development Agency.
- Attewell, J. and Savill-Smith, C. (2003). *Young People, Mobile Phones and Learning*. London: Learning and Skills Development Agency.
- Attewell, J. and Stead, G. *mLearning in Europe*. Paper presented at the European Parliament (EP), Brussels, Belgium. October 2004.
- Attewell, J., (2005). *Mobile technologies and learning: A technology update and m-learning project summary*. Retrieved from http://www.m-learning.org/docs/The%20m-learning%20project%20-%20technology%20update%20and%20project%20summary.pdf
- Attewell, J., (2007). *Mobile Technologies and Learning*. Retrieved from http://www.m-learning.org/docs/The%20m-learning%20project%20-%20technology%20update%20and%20project%20summary.pdf
- Attwell G. (2007). Personal Learning Environments. eLearning Papers, 2(1), 1-8.
- Baker, R. K. (2003). A Framework for Design and Evaluation of Internet-Based Distance Learning Courses Phase One Framework Justification, Design and Evaluation. *Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, 6(2). Retrieved from http://www.westga.edu/%7 Edistance/ojdla/search\_results\_id.php?id=201
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-Efficacy: The exercise of control. New York: W.H.Freeman.
- Barber, W. J. (1997). *Crusader for Social Causes*. Retrieved from http://itdl.org/Journal/Feb\_06/article04.htm

- Barchino, Gutiérrez, J.M., Otón, S., Martínez, J.J., Gutiérrez, J.A., Hilera, J.R. Lourdes, M.J. and Carmen. (2004). An Example of Application in Mobile Learning Technologies. Retrieved from citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary? doi=10.1.1.143.1723
- Barker, A., Krull, G., and Mallinson, B. (2005). *A Proposed Theoretical Model for M-Learning Adoption in Developing*. Retrieved from http://mlearn.org/mlearn 2005/CD/papers/Barker.pdf.
- Baxter, P. and Jack, S. (2008). Qualitative case study methodology: Study design and implementation for novice researchers. *The Qualitative Report*, 13(4), 544-559.
- Beetham, H., and Sharpe, R. (2007). Rethinking pedagogy for a digital age: Designing and delivering e-learning. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Behar, R. and Gordon, D.A. (1995). Women Writing Culture Chicago: University of Chicago Press
- BenMessaoud, C., Kharrazi, K., and MacDorman, K.F. (2011). Facilitators and barriers to adopting robotic-assisted surgery: Contextualizing the Unified Theory of Use of Technology. *PLos One*, 6(1), 63-95.
- Benson, D. and Darracq (2001). Integrating multiple contexts for environmental education. In Field, R., Warren, R.J., Okarma, H., and Sievert, P.R. (Ed.) *Wildlife, land, and people: Priorities for the 21st century* (273-276). Bethesda, MD: The Wildlife Society.
- Berg, B. L. (2006). Qualitative research methods for the social sciences. Boston: Pearson.
- Bergeron, F., Raymond, L., Rivard, S., and Gara, M. (1995). Determinants of EIS use: Testing a behavioral model. *Decision Support Systems*, 14(2), 131-146, doi: 10.1016/0167-9236(94)00007-F.
- Berkebile, B. and McLennan, J. (1999). The living building. *The World and I Magazine*. Washington, DC: Washington Times Publication.
- Berns, M., Townend, A., Khayat, Z., Balagopal, B., Reeves, M., Hopkins, M., and Kruschwitz, N. (2009). *The business of sustainability: Findings and insights from the first annual business of sustainability survey and the global thought leaders' research project.* Hollywood: Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Retrieved from http://sloanreview.mit.edu/special-report/the-business-of-sustainability/
- Bhalla, M.R and Bhalla, A.V. (2010). Generations of Mobile Wireless Technology: A Survey. *International Journal of Computer Application*, 5(4).
- Bogdan, R.C. and Biklen, S.K. (1992). *Qualitative research for education: An introduction to theory and methods* (2nd Ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon

- Bowu, Y., Shengli, M., Li, R. (2010). Research on E-Learning and its related issues. *Computer Science and Information Technology (ICCSIT)*, 8, 331-334. doi:10.1109/ICCSIT.2010.5564798.
- Boyce, C., and Neale, P. (2006) Conducting In-depth Interviews: A Guide for Designing and Conducting In-Depth Interviews for Evaluation Input. Retrieved from <a href="http://www2.pathfinder.org/site/DocServer/m\_e\_tool\_series\_indepth\_interviews.pdf">http://www2.pathfinder.org/site/DocServer/m\_e\_tool\_series\_indepth\_interviews.pdf</a>
- Boyinbode, O. K. and Akinyede R. O. (2008). Mobile Learning: An Application of Mobile and Wireless Technologies in Nigerian Learning System. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*, 8(11), 386-392.
- Bradley, K.D., Cunningham, J., Haines, R.T., Harris, W.E., Jr., Mueller, C.E., Royal, K.D., Sampson, S.O., Singletary, G. and Weber, J.A. (2006). *Constructing and Evaluating Measures: Applications of the Rasch Measurement Model* (pp. 1-54). Retrieved from http://www.uky.edu/~kdbrad2/Rasch\_Symposium.pdf
- Brand, J. and Kinash, S. (2010). *Pad-agogy: A quasi-experimental and ethnographic pilot test of the iPad in a blended mobile learning environment*. Retrieved from <a href="http://ascilite.org.au/conferences/sydney10/procs/Brand-con cise.pdf">http://ascilite.org.au/conferences/sydney10/procs/Brand-con cise.pdf</a>
- Brantmeier, E., Aragon, A., and Yoder, B. (2009). Multicultural peace education: Empowering pre-service teachers toward a paradigm of social justice beyond colorblindness. In Ndura-Ouedraogo, E. and Amster, R. (Ed.) Building cultures of peace: Transdisciplinary voices of hope and action (pp. 8-28). Newcastle upon Tyne, UK: Cambridge Scholars Publication Ltd.
- Briggs, J. and Stone, A. *ITZ GD 2 TXT How to Use SMS Effectively in M-Learning*. Paper presented at the IADIS International Conference Mobile Learning, Birmingham, United Kingdom. June 2002.
- Briggs, L. (2006). The age of the 'smart' cell phone. *Campus Technology*, 19(5), 24–57.
- Brown, B., Green, N. and Harper, R. (2003). Wireless World: Social and Interactional Aspects of the Mobile Age. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*, 12(1), 33-136.
- Brown, J and Haag, J. (2011). *Advanced Distributed Learning (ADL): Mobile Learning Handbook*. Retrieved from http://mlhandbook.adlnet.gov
- Brown, T.H. (2005). Towards a model for MLearning, *International Journal on E-Learning*, 4(3), 299-315.
- Brown, T.H. *The role of m-learning in the future of e-learning in Africa*. Paper presented at ICDE World Conference, Hong Kong. June 2003

- Bruton, R. (1996). Shaping our Future: A Strategy for Enterprise in Ireland in the 21st Century. Retrieved from http://www.forfas.ie/publications/archive/sof/chap1.htm
- Bryman, A. (2001). Social research method. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bukowski, R. W. and Peacock, R. D. *Global Dissemination of Performance Data and Information*. Paper presented at the International Council for Innovation and Research in Building and Construction, Wellington, New Zealand. April 2001
- Buraway, M. (1991). Teaching participant observation. *Ethnography Unbound: Power and Resistance in the Modern Metropolis*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics (2009). Employment situation summary. *Economic News Release*. Retrieved from http://www.bls.gov/news.release/empsit.nr0.htm
- Burton, D. (2000). Research Training for Social Scientists. London: Sage Publications.
- Burton, D. (2000). Research Training for Social Scientists: A Handbook for Postgraduate Researchers. London: Sage Publications.
- Butts, M. (2007). Global consumers vote Al Gore, Oprah Winfrey and Kofi Annan most influential to champion global warming cause: Nielsen Survey. Neilsen. Retrieved from http://nz.nielsen.com/news/ GlobalWarming Jul07.shtml
- Callum, K.M. (2009). Adoption Theory and the Integration of Mobile Technology in Education. Retrieved from deanz.org.nz/dnzwp/wp-content/uploads/2009/08/MacCallum.pdf
- Candela, L., Castelli, D., Pagno, P. and Manuele S. (2001). The Digital Library Mediator Layer, Istituto di Scienza e-Tecnologie dell'Informazione. Retrieved from http://delos.cab.unipd.it/WorkFlow/ProcessInstances/1138196748.1/paper/Author\_ 01
- Carlsson, C., Carlsson, J., Hyvonen, K., Puhakainen, J., and Walden, P. (2006). *Adoption of Mobile Devices/Services—Searching for Answers with the UTAUT* (pp.132). Paper presented at the 39th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'06). Hawaii, USA. January 2006.
- Carlsson, C., Fullér, R., Lassfolk, A., (eds.) (2005). A Conceptual Framework for Mobile Learning, *Institute for Advanced Management Systems Research Annual Report*. Retrieved from iamsr.abo.fi/publications/openFile.hp?pub id=464.
- Carlsson, C., Hyvonen, K., Repo, P., and Walden, P. *Asynchronous Adoption Patterns of Mobile Services* (pp. 189a). Paper presented at the 38th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'05) Hawaii, USA. January 2005.

- Carlsson, C., Störling-Sarkkila, S., (eds.) (2006) A Conceptual Framework For Mobile Learning, *Institute for Advanced Management Systems Research Annual Report*. Retrieved from iamsr.abo.fi/publications/ openFile.php?pub\_id=464.
- Carmitchel, J. (2012). What is a Tablet PC? Retrieved from http://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/tech-tips-and-tricks/a-guide-to-tablet-pcs.html
- Cassavoy, L. (2012). *What is a Smartphone?* Retrieved from http://cellphones.about.com/od/glossary/g/smart defined.htm
- Center for Whole Communities. (2009). About Us. Retrieved from http://www.whole communities.org/about/history.shtml
- Cetron, J.F. (2007). Wireless acceptance in a university setting using the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology. Master's thesis, University of Delaware. Available from ProQuest Dissertations & Theses database.
- Chen Y.S., Kao T.C. and Sheu J.P. (2003) A mobile learning system for scaffolding bird watching learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19(3), 347–359. doi: 10.1046/j.0266-4909.2003.00036.x
- Chen, Y.S., Kao, T.C., Sheu, J.P. and Chiang, C.Y. (2002). *A mobile scaffolding aid based bird watching learning system*. Retrieved from http://www.csie.ntpu.edu.tw/~yschen/conpapers/bird.pdf
- Cheng, Y.S., Yu, T.F., Huang, C.F., Yu, Chien and Yu, C.C. (2011). The Comparison of Three Major Occupations for User Acceptance of Information Technology: Applying the UTAUT Model. *iBusiness*, 3(2), 147-158. doi:10.4236/ib.2011.32021.
- Cheong, J. H., Park M. C., and Hwang, J.H. *Mobile Payment Adoption in Korea: Switching from Credit Card.* Paper presented at the ITS 15th Biennial Conference. Berlin, Germany. September 2004.
- Cheong, L.S. (2004) Transfer of Learning among Malaysian Learners. *Masalah Pendidikan*, 27, 99-108.
- Chiu, C. M. and Wang, E. T. G. (2008). Understanding Web-based learning continuance intention: The role of subjective task value. *Journal Information and Management*, 45(3), 194-201.
- Chuttur M.Y. (2009). Overview of the Technology Acceptance Model: Origins, Developments and Future Directions. *Sprouts: Working Papers on Information Systems*, 9(37). Retrieved from http://sprouts.aisnet.org/9-37
- Clarke, R. (1999). A Primer in Diffusion of Innovations Theory. Retrieved from http://www.rogerclarke.com/SOS/InnDiff.html

- Clarke, B and Svanaes, S. (2014). An Updated Literature Review on the Use of Tablets in Education. Retrieved from http://www.e-learningfoundation.com/Websites/elearningfoundation/images/PDF% 20Documents/T4S-Use-of-Tablets-in-Education.pdf
- Cole, R. (2005). Building environmental assessment methods: Redefining intentions and roles. *Building Research and Information*, 33(5), 455-467.
- Collett, M. and Stead, G., *Meeting the Challenge: Producing M-Learning Materials for Young Adults with Numeracy and Literacy Needs* (pp. 61-62). Paper presented at the European Workshop on Mobile and Contextual Learning, Birmingham, United Kingdom. June 2002.
- Compeau, D. R. and Higgins, C. A. (1995b), Computer self-efficacy: development of a measure and initial test. *MIS Quarterly*, 19(2), 189-211.
- Corbeil, J. R. and Valdes-Corbeil, M. E. (2007). Are you ready for mobile learning? *Educause Quarterly*, 30(2), 51-58.
- Corlett, D., Sharples, M., Bull, S. and Chan, T. (2005) Evaluation of a mobile learning organiser for university students. *Journal of Computer Assisted learning*, 21, 162-170.
- Corlett, D, Sharples, M, Chan, T and Bull, S. A mobile learning organiser for university students. Paper presented at the 2nd International Workshop on Wireless and Mobile Technologies in Education. JungLi, Taiwan. March 2004.
- Coursaris, C. and Hassanein, K. (2002). Understanding m-commerce a consumer centric model. *Quarterly Journal of electronic commerce*, 3(3), 247-271.
- Creswell, J. W. and Miller, D. L. (2000). Determining validity in qualitative inquiry. *Theory into Practice*, 39(3), 124-131.
- Creswell, J.W. (1998). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five traditions*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Creswell, J.W. (2003). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Creswell, J.W. (2007). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Degisn Choosing Among Five Approaches* (2nd ed.) Thousand Oaks, CA:Sage Publications.
- Creswell, J.W. (2009). *Research Degisn Qualitative, Quantitave and Mixed Methods* Approaches (3rd ed.) Thousand Oaks, CA:Sage Publications.
- Crotty, M. (1998). *The foundations of social research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

- CTIA (2007). *Wireless quick facts*. Retrieved from http://www.ctia.org/media/industry\_info/index.cfm/AID/10323
- Daichendt, L. (2012). What is Mobile Technology? Retrieved from http://www.strategicgrowthconcepts.com/growth/mobile-technology-facts. html.
- Daniel, S. J. (2004). *International Perspectives on Open Learning and Distance Education*. Retrieved from http://www.unisa.ac.za/contents/management/ProVC/docs/Sir\_John\_Daniel-Conference\_paper.pdf
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–339.
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., and Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User Acceptance of Computer Technology: A comparison of Two Theoretical Models Science. *Journal of Management*, 35(8), 982-1003.
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R.P. and Warshaw, P.R. (1992). Extrinsic and intrinsic motivation to use computers in the workplace. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*. 22(14), 1111-1132. doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.1992.tb00945.x
- Dearing, J.W. (2009) Applying Diffusion of Innovation Theory to Intervention Development *Sage Journal*, 19(5), 503-518. doi: 10.1177/1049731509335569
- Denzin, N. K. (2000). *Handbook of qualitative research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Detterman, D. K. (1993). The case for prosecution: Transfer as an epiphenomenon. In D. K. Detterman and R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), Transfer on Trial: Intelligence, Cognition, and Instruction (pp. 39–67) Stamford, CT: Ablex Publishing Corp.
- Dias, A., Carvalho, J., Keegan, D., Kismihok, G., Mileva, N., Nix, J. and Rekkedal, T. (2008). *An Introduction to Mobile Learning*. Retrieved from www.ericsson.com/...of\_mobile\_learning.../socrates\_wp1\_english.pdf.
- Dixon, A., Dobberstein, N., Hwang, H. and Menon, N. (2011). *Mobile Ecosystem in Asia Pacific*. Retrieved from http://www.atkearney.com/documents/4409500/4415806/the\_mobile\_ecosystem\_in\_asia\_pacific.pdf/d1335d2c-b629-4941-a54b-2bc0c948fa62
- De La Salle University Manila (2003). *Short messaging system*. Retrieved from http://www.dasma.dlsu .edu.ph/wise/
- Donaldson, R. L. (2011): *Student Acceptance of Mobile Learning*. Dissertation. The Florida State University College of Communication & Information. Retrieved from http://etd.lib.fsu.edu/theses/available/etd-05312011-074842/unrestricted/Donaldson\_R\_ dissertation\_2011.pdf.

- Du Plessis, C. (2003). Boiling frogs, sinking ships, bursting dykes and the end of the world as we know it. *International Electronic Journal of Construction, Special Issue on Sustainable Construction*. Retrieved from http://www.bcn.ufl.edu
- Du Plessis, C. Thinking about the day after tomorrow: new perspectives on sustainable building. Rethinking Sustainable Construction 2006: Next Generation Green Buildings. Paper presented at the International Conference. Sarasota, Florida, USA. September 2006.
- Duncan, A. (2008). *CQ Transcripts Wire*. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/12/16/AR20">http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/12/16/AR20</a> 08121601325.html
- Dunphy, D., Griffiths, A., and Benn, S. (2003). Organizational change for corporate sustainability: A guide for leaders and change agents of the future. New York: Routledge.
- Duquette, D. (2010). The New Era of Mobile Learning. *Training Industry Quarterly*. Retrieved from www.trainingindustry.com/TIQ
- Dye, A and Rekkedal, T. Enhancing the flexibility of distance education through mobile learning. Paper presented at the International European Consortium for the Learning Organisation, ECLO Conference, and Budapest, Hungary. May 2008.
- Eccles, J. S., Edler, T.F., Goff, S.B., Kaczala, C.M., Meece, J.L., Midgley, C. (1983). Expectancies, values, and academic behaviours: Achievement and Achievement Motivation. (pp. 75-146). San Francisco, CA: W.H. Freeman.
- Edwards, A. R. (2005). *The sustainability revolution: Portrait of a paradigm shift.* Gabriola Island, BC, Canada: New Society Publishers.
- Eisner, E. W. (1991). The enlightened eye: Qualitative inquiry and the enhancement of educational practice. New York, NY: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Ej-technologies (2013). *install4J software*. Retrieved from http://www.ej-technologies.com/
- Emerson, R.M., Fretz, R.I. and Shaw, L.L. (1995) *Writing Ethnographic Fieldnotes*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Emerson, R. M. (2001). *Contemporary field research: perspectives and formulations*. Prospect Heights, Ill.: Waveland Press.
- Engineersgarage. (2012). *Smartphones*. Retrieved from http://www.engineersgarage.com/articles/smart-phones
- Ensinger, D. (2008). Obama's long road to recovery. *Economy in Crisis*. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.economyincrisis.org/articles/show/2221">http://www.economyincrisis.org/articles/show/2221</a>

- Ericsson, (2000). From e-learning to m-learning. Retrieved from http://learning.ericsson.net/mlearning2/project\_one/thebook/chapter2.html
- Evans, C. (2007). The effectiveness of m-learning in the form of podcast revision lectures in higher education. *Journal Computers and Education*, 50(2), 491-498, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2007.09.016
- Evans, C. and Fan, J. (2002). Lifelong learning through the virtual university. *Journal of Campus Wide Information Systems*, 19(4), 127–134.
- Evans, T. (2000). Flexible learning in a digital world: Experiences and expectations. New York: Routledge.
- Evgeniya G., Angel S., and Tsvetozar G. A general classification of mobile learning systems (pp. 368-375). Paper presented at the International Conference on Computer Systems and Technologies (CompSysTech' 2005). Varna, Bulgaria. June 2005.
- Felker, Daniel B., Frances Pickering, Veda R. Charrow, V. Melissa Holland, and Janice C. Redish (2009). *Guidelines for Document Designers*. Retrieved from http://twitter09.wordpress.com/the-book/case-study-visual-design/
- Field, P.A. and Morse, J.M. (1992). *Nursing research. The application of qualitative approaches*. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Fishbein, M. and Ajzen, I. (1975). *Belief, attitude, intention and behaviour: An introduction to Theory and Research*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Florida, R. (2009). How the crash will reshape America. *The Atlantic*. Retrieved from http://www.theatlantic.com/doc/200903/meltdown-geography
- French, J. R. P. and Raven, B. (1959). The bases of social power. D. Cartwright, Studies in Social Pozver. Institute for Social Research, Ann Arbor. *Journal Management Information*, 150-167.
- Gagne, R. M., Briggs, L. J. and Wager, W. W. (1992). Principles of instructional design (4th Ed.) New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Gagne, R.M., Briggs, L. J. and Wager, W.W. (1988). *Principles of Instructional Design*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Gardner, H. (2006). Changing minds: the art and science of changing our own and other people's minds. Boston, Mass: Harvard Business School Press.
- Garner, I., Francis, J., and Wales, K. An Evaluation of an Implementation of a Short Message System (SMS) to Support Undergraduate Student Learning. Paper presented at the European Workshop on Mobile and Contextual Learning, Birmingham, England. June 2002.

- Gartner. (2008). Gartner Says More than 1 Billion PCs in Use Worldwide and Headed to 2 Billion Units by 2014. Retrieved from http://www.gartner.com/it/page.jsp?id=703807
- Gayeski, D. (2002). Learning Unplugged Using Mobile Technologies for Organisational and Performance Improvement. *Wiley Online Library*, 42(9), 41-43. doi: 10.1002/pfi.4930420910
- Geddes, S. J. (2004). Mobile learning in the 21st century: Benefit for learners. *Knowledge Tree e-journal in VET*, 30(3), 214-28.
- Georgiev, T., Georgieva E. and Smrikarov A. *M-learning a new stage of E-learning*. Paper presented at the International Conference on Computer Systems and Technologies (Comsystech'2004). Rousse, Bulgaria. June 2004.
- Georgieva, E. and Georgiev, T. (2007, June 14-15). Methodology for mobile devices characteristics recognition. Paper presented at the International Conference on Computer Systems and Technologies (CompSysTech) 4(2), 1-6.
- Gigerenzer, G., and Selten, R. (Eds.) (2001). *Bounded rationality: The adaptive toolbox*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Gilligan, R. and Heinzmann, P. (2005a). Exploring how cultural factors could potentially influence ICT use: An analysis of Swiss TV and radio use. In L. Haddon (ed.) International collaborative research. Cross-cultural differences and cultures of research (pp. 87-96). Brussels: Cost Office.
- Gilligan, R. and Heinzmann, P. (2005b). Exploring how cultural factors could potentially influence ICT use: An Analysis of European SMS and MMS use. In L. Haddon (ed.) International collaborative research. Cross-cultural differences and cultures of research (pp. 97-116). Brussels: Cost Office.
- Giorgi, A, (Ed.). (1985). *Phenomenology and Psychology Research*. Pittsburg, PA: Durquesne University Press.
- Glaeser, E. L., Ponzetto, G.A.M and Kerr, W. R. (2009). *Geographic amenities and the agglomeration of innovation entrepreneurship, urban economics*. Retrieved from http://www.learningace.com/doc/2959401/8b5e6292c7cd41d20958b40e5e70d832/urban-economics
- Glaeser, E.L. and Kerr, W. R. (2009). Local industrial conditions and entrepreneurship: How much of the spatial distribution can we explain? *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy*, 18(3), 623-663.
- Global Campaign for education (2010). *Back to School; The Worst Places in the World to be a School Child 2010 Report.* Retrieved from http://www.campaignforeducation.org/docs/reports/1goal/1Goal%20School%20Report.pdf

- Goh T., Kinshuk T. and LIN T. *Developing an adaptive mobile learning system* (pp. 1062-1065). Paper presented at the International Conference on Computers in Education, Norfolk, VA, USA. December 2003.
- Goh, T. and Kinshuk, D. (2006). Getting ready for mobile learning adaptation perspective", *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 15(2), 175-198.
- Gokhale, A. A. (1995). Collaborative Learning Enhances Critical Thinking. *Journal of Technology Education*, 7, (1). Retrieved from http://scholar.lib.vt.edu/ejournals/JTE/jte-v7n1/gokhale.jte-v7n1.html# about%20author
- Golafshani, N. (2003). Understanding Reliability and Validity in Qualitative Research. *The Qualitative Report.* 8(4), 597-607.
- Gomez, S. (2007). *Scroll to 'E' for Education*. The Times Higher Education Supplement. Retrieved from http://www.timeshighereducation.co.uk/207730. article
- Gomez, S., Mejia, C., Huerva, D. and Fabregat, R. (2009). Context-Aware Adaptation Process To Build Units of Learning Based on IMS-LD Standard Institute of Informatics Applications. Retrieved from http://faculty.ksu.edu.sa/7338/pdf/974.pdf
- Grant, K., and Academic Conferences International. *Information Management and Evaluation*. Paper presented at the 2nd International Conference on Information Management and Evaluation: Ryerson University, Toronto Canada. April 2011.
- Grasso, A., and Roselli, T. *Guidelines for Designing and Developing Content for Mobile Learning* (pp. 123-127). doi:10.1109/WMTE.2005.27. Paper presented at the IEEE International Workshop on Wireless and Mobile Technologies in Education, Tokushima, Japan. November 2005.
- Green, S and Hill, M. (2005). 'Researching children's experiences: methods and methodological issues.' In Green, S and Hogan, D (eds.) Researching Children's Experiences: Approaches and Methods. London: Sage Publications.
- GreenerBuildings (2009a). Corporate America's adoption of green practices doubles, study says. *GreenerBuildings*. Retrieved from http://www.greenbiz.com/news/2009/09/17/corporate-americas-adoption-green-practice s-doubles-study-says
- Griffin, M. (2008). *Visual Communication*. Retrieved from http://www.blackwellreference.com.offcampus.lib.washington.edu/subscriber/tocnode?id=g9781405131995\_chunk\_g978140513199527\_ss17-1
- Griswold, W., Boyer, R., Brown, S., Truong, T., Bhasker, E., Jay, G. and Shapiro, B. (2002). *Using Mobile Technology to Create Opportunistic Interactions on a University Campus*. Retrieved from http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/~wgg/Abstracts/ubi-spont02.pdf

- GSMA Development Fund (2010). *mLearning: A Platform for Educational Opportunities at the Base of the Pyramid*. Retrieved from http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/mlearningaplatformforeducationalopportunitiesatthebaseofthepyramid.pdf
- Gubrium, J. F. and Holstein, J. A. (1997). *The New Language of Qualitative Method*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Guiterrez, C. (2008). It's official: U.S. in recession. *Forbes*. Retrieved from http://www.forbes.com/2008/12/01/manufacturing-retail-consumer-markets-econ-c x\_cg\_1201mark ets18.html
- Ha, I., Yoon, Y. and Choi, M. (2007). Determinants of Adoption of Mobile Games under Mobile Broadband Wireless Access Environment. *Information and Management*, 44(3), 276-286. doi: 10.1016/j.im.2007.01.001
- Hackemer, K. and Peterson, D. (2005). Campus-wide Handhelds in A. Kukulska-Hulme and J. Traxler (Eds.), Mobile Learning: A Handbook for Educators and Trainers. London: Routledge
- Hallsmith, G. (2003). The key to sustainable cities: meeting human needs, transforming community systems. Gabriola Island, BC Canada: New Society Publishers.
- Hammersley, M. (2000). *Taking sides in social research*. London: Routledge.
- Hampton, K., Sessions, L., Ja Her, E. and Rainie, L. (2009). Social isolation and new technology: Personal networks and community survey. Pew Internet and American Life Project. Retrieved from http://www.pewinternet.org/Reports/
- Hancock, B. (2002). *An Introduction to Qualitative Research*. Retrieved from http://faculty.cbu.ca/pmacintyre/course\_pages/MBA603/MBA603\_files/IntroQualit ativeResearch.pdf
- Hard af Segerstad, Y. (2005). Language use in Swedish mobile text messaging. In R.
   Ling and P. E. Pedersen (Eds.) (pp. 313-333). Mobile Communications: Renegotiation of the Social Sphere. London: Springer-Verlag.
- Harris, P., Rettie, R. and Cheung, C.C. (2005). Adoption and usage of m-commerce: A cross-cultural comparison of Hong Kong and the United Kingdom, *Journal of Electronic Commerce Research*, 6(3), 210-224.
- Hartnell-Young, E. *Making the Connections: Theory and Practice of Mobile Learning in Schools.* Paper presented at the mLearn 2007: Making the Connections, Melbourne, Australia. October 2007.
- Hartwick, J. and Barki, H. (1994). Explaining the Role of User Participation in Information System Usage. *Journal Management Science*, 40(4), 440–65.

- Hassan, M and Al-Sadi, J (2009) A New Mobile Learning Adaptation Model. International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), 3(4). doi:10.3991/ijim.v3i4.986
- Hassan, W.Z. and Sulaiman, W.A. Adoption of Short Messaging Service (SMS) in Malaysia. Paper presented at the International Conference on Global Research in Business and Economics, Bangkok, Thailand. December 2007.
- Hawken, P., Lovins, A. B. and Lovins, L. H. (1999). *Natural capitalism: creating the next industrial revolution*. Array Boston: Little, Brown and Co.
- Heffner, T. (2009). The high cost of neglecting manufacturing. *Economy in Crisis*. Retrieved from http://www.economyincrisis.org/articles/show/25
- Hemabala, J., and Suresh, E. (2012). The frame work design of mobile learning management system. *International Journal of Computer and Information Technology*, 01(02): 179–184.
- Hennington, A. H., and Janz, B. D. (2007). Information Systems and Healthcare XVI: Physician Adoption of Electronic Medical Records: Applying the UTAUT Model in A Healthcare Context. *Journal Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 19, 60-80.
- Hennessy, S (1999). The potential of portable technologies for supporting graphing investigations (hybrid article full version available online at www.education.leeds.ac.uk/research/mathseducation/gcalc\_hennessy.pdf). *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 30(1): 57-60.
- Hewson, C., Yule, P., Laurent, D., and Vogel, C. (2003). *Internet Research Methods* (N. G. Fielding and R. M. Lee, Eds.). London: Sage Publications.
- Hodgin, S. (2008). Living built environments: Getting to the next generation of green buildings (Unpublished master's thesis). Colorado State University, Fort Collins. Retrieved from http://www.ibe.colostate.edu/thesis/hodgin-professional\_paper \_2008.pdf
- Hodson, R. (1999). Analyzing Documentary Accounts. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hollis, E. (2004). M-Learning The Next Wave for Training and Development Chief Learning Officer. Retrieved from http://www.clomedia.com/content/templates/clo\_ article.asp?articleid=429&zoneid=101
- Holme, O and Sharples, M. *Implementing a student learning organiser on the pocket PC platform.* Paper presented at the MLEARN 2002: European Workshop on Mobile and Contextual Learning. Birmingham, UK. June 2002.

- Hoppe, H. U. SMS-based Discussions Technology Enhanced Collaboration for A Literature Course. Paper presented at the IEEE International Workshop on Wireless and Mobile Technologies in Education (WMTE) National Central University, Taiwan, March 2004.
- Horgan, J. (1991). Profile: Reluctant revolutionary: Thomas S. Kuhn unleashed 'paradigm' on the world. Scientific American, 40-49.
- Hornik, S., Johnson, R.D. and Wu, Y. (2007). When technology doesn't support learning: conflicts between epistemological beliefs and technology support in virtual learning environments, *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing*. 19(2), 23–46.
- Howstuffwork. (2010). *How E-learning Works*. Retrieved from http://people.howstuffworks.com/elearning12.htm.
- HP. (2004). *The Power of Visual Communication*. Retrieved from www.hp.com/large/ipg/assets/bus.../power-of-visual-communication.pdf
- Hsiao-Hwa C., Guizani M., Mohr W. (2007). Evolution toward 4G wireless networking. *Network-IEEE*, 21(1), 4-5. doi: 10.1109/MNET.2007.314531
- Hruschka, D., Schwartz, D., Cobb D.St. J., Picone-Decaro, E. Jenkins, R. and Carey, J. (2004). Reliability in Coding Open-ended Data: Lessons Learned from HIV Behavioral Research. *Field Methods*. 16, 307-31.
- Huomo, H. *Nokia, Fourth generation mobile*. Paper presented at the in ACTS Mobile Summit99, Sorrento, Italy. June 1999.
- Ilyas, M., and Ahson, S. (2006). *Smartphones: Research Report*. Retrieved from http://www.researchandmarket.com/report/337174
- Inderscience Publishers (2002). Special Edition: On 'Toward Virtual Universities. *International Journal of Networking and Virtual Organisations*. Retrieved from http://www.inderscience.com/browse/callpaper.php?callID=705Jawad
- Intel (2013). Intel® Cache Acceleration Software New and innovative caching solution. Retrieved from http://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/software/intelcache-acceleration-software.html
- International Telecommunication Union. (2012). *Key statistical highlights: ITUdata*. Retrieved from http://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics /Documents/facts/ICTFacts Figures2011.pdf
- Irani, Z., Dwivedi, Y. K. and Williams, M. D. (2009). Understanding consumer adoption of broadband: an extension of the technology acceptance model. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 60, 1322-1334.

- Isidore, C. (2009). *The Great Recession. CNNMoney*. Retrieved from http://money.cnn.com/2009/03/25/news/economy/depression\_comparisons/index.htm
- Ismail, I., Idrus, R. M., Ziden, A. A., and Rosli, M. (2010). Adoption of Mobile Learning Among Distance Education Students in Universiti Sains Malaysia. International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), 4(2), 24-28. doi: 10.3991/ijim.v4i2.1167
- Ismail, I., Idrus, R.M. and Baharum, H. (2010). Simplistic is the Ingredient for Mobile Learning. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM)*, 4(3), 4-8. doi: 10.3991/ijim.v4i3.1159
- Ismail, Issham, Rozhan M. Idrus and Thenmolli Gunasegaran (2010) Motivation, Psychology and Language Effect on Mobile Learning in Universiti Sains Malaysia *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies* (*iJIM*). 4(4), doi:10.3991/ijim.v4i4.1408
- Issham Ismail, Rozhan M. Idrus, Azidah Abu Ziden and Munirah Rosli. (2010). Adoption of Mobile Learning Among Distance Education Students in Universiti Sains Malaysia. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM)*, 4(2), 24-28. doi:10.3991/ijim.v4i2.1167.
- Issham Ismail, Siti Sarah Mohd Johari and Rozhan Md. Idrus. (2010). Acceptance on Mobile Learning via SMS: A Rasch Model Analysis. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM)*, 4(2), 10-16. doi:10.3991/ijim.v4i2.1144.
- Ivry, B. (2008). *U.S. foreclosures double as house prices decline. Bloomberg.*Retrieved from http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=20601087&sid=adFFhHtUhCLY & refer=home
- Jacob, S., M.; Biju, I. *Mobile Learning in Transforming Higher Education*. Paper presented at the International Conference on Interactive Mobile and Computer Aided Learning (IMCL2007), Amman, Jordan. September 2007.
- Jaeger, P. and Matteson, M. (2009). E-Government and Technology Acceptance: The Case of the Implementation of Section 508 Guidelines for Websites, *Electronic Journal of e-Government*. 7(1), 87 98.
- Jairak, K.; Praneetpolgrang, P. and Mekhabunchakij, K. An Acceptance of Mobile Learning for Higher Education Students in Thailand. Paper presented at International Conference on eLearning for Knowledge-Based Society, Thailand. December 2009.
- Jambulingam, M and Sorooshian, S. (2013) Usage of Mobile Features among Undergraduates and Mobile Learning. *Journal of Social Sciences* 5(4): 130-133.
- Jawad I. (2002). 4G features. Bechtel Telecommunications Technical Journal, 11-14.

- Jeng, D.J.F., and Tzeng, G.J. (2012). Social influence on the use of clinical decision support systems: Revisiting the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology by the fuzzy DEMATEL technique. Computers and Industrial Engineering, 62, 819-828.
- Johnson, B. R. (1997). Examining the validity structure of qualitative research. *Education*, 118(3), 282-292.
- Johnson, S. D. (1995). Will our research hold up under scrutiny? *Journal of Industrial Teacher Education*, 32(3), 3-6
- Jyri, N. (2004). Reflections on Technology Acceptance in Higher Education. Retrieved from http://is2.lse.ac.uk/asp/aspecis/20040115.pdf
- Kaigin, B. and Basoglu, N. Adoption Factors of Mobile Services (pp. 41). doi:10.1109/ICMB.2006.6. Paper presented at the International Conference on Mobile Business. Copenhagen, Denmark. June 2006.
- Kaikkonen, A., and Laarni, J. *Designing for small display screens* (pp. 227-230). doi:10.1145/572020.572052. Paper presented at the 2nd Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction, Aarhus, Denmark. October 2002.
- Karapetkov, S. (2008). The Future of Visual Communications: Applications for Education and Their Impact on the IT Infrastructure. Retrieved from eunis.dk/papers/p52.pdf
- Kaplan-Leiserson, E. (2004) Learning circuits: ASTD's Source for e-Learning, American Society for Training and Development, under "M-Learning. Retrieved from http://www.learningcircuits.org/glossary
- Karahanna, E., and Straub, D.W. (1999). The Usefulness and Ease-of-Use: Extending Information and Management, 35, 237-250. Psychological Origins of Perceived Technology Acceptance Theory,"
- Katz, J. E. and Aakhus, M. (Eds.). (2002). Perpetual Contact Mobile Communications, Private Talk, PublicPerformance. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Keegan, D. (2003). *The future of learning: From eLearning to mLearning*. Retrieved from http://learning.ericsson.net/mlearning2/old\_sites/book.html
- Keegan, D. (2002). *The Future of Learning: from eLearning to mLearning*. Retrieved from http://learning.ericsson.net/mlearning2/project\_one/thebook/chapter3.html
- Keegan, D. (2005). *M-Learning How far has it Come?* Retrieved from http://learning.ericsson.net/mlearning2/files/conference/dkeegan.pdf

- Keegan, D. (2000). M-Learning How far has it Come? Retrieved from http://www.pttmedia.com/newmedia\_knowhow/KnowHow\_Design/Instructional% 20Design/eLearning%20Knowledge/ASTD2003/dkeegan.pdf
- Keegan, D. (2002). *The Future of Learning*, under Chapter 9. Conclusion The Future of Learning; Sonicwall, Glossary, under Glossary of Terminology. Retrieved from http://www.aventail.com/tech\_ support/glossary\_k-r.asp
- Keegan, D. (2002). *The Future of Learning: from eLearning to mLearning* (ed. Paul Landers) under "Chapter 3. From eLearning to mLearning The Arrival of mLearning. Retrieved from http://learning.ericsson.net/mlearning2/project\_one/thebook/chapter3.html
- Keegan, D. (2005). *Mobile learning: The next generation of learning*. Retrieved from http://learning.ericsson.net/mlearning2/files/work package5/book.doc
- Keegan, D. *The incorporation of mobile learning into mainstream education and training.* (pp. 1-17). Paper presented at the 4th World Conference on MLearning, Cape Town, South Africa. October 2005.
- Keegan, D. *Mobile learning: The latest development of distance learning.* (pp. 1-15). Paper presented at the EADL Conference Westbury Hotel, Dublin, Ireland. May 2007.
- Kefle,N.P. (2011). *Hermeneutic phenomenological research method simplified*. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/3626604/Hermeneutic\_phenomenological\_research\_method\_simplified
- Kelman, H.C. (1958). Compliance, Identification and Internalization: Three Processes of Attitude Change. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 2(1), 51–60.
- Ketterl, M., Heinrich T., Mertens, R. and Morisse K. *Enhanced content utilisation:* Combined re-use of multi-type e-learning content on mobile devices. Paper presented at the International Conference on Interactive Mobile and Computer Aided Learning (IMCL2007), Amman, Jordan. April 2007.
- Khaddage, F. and Knezek, G. (2013) *Introducing a Mobile Learning Attitude Scale for Higher Education* (pp. 227-231). Paper presented at the X World Conference on Computers in Education, Toruń, Poland. July 2013.
- Kibert, C. J. (2005). Sustainable construction, green building design and delivery. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Kibert, C. J., Sendzimir, J., and Guy, B. (2000). Construction ecology and metabolism: Natural system analogues for a sustainable built environment. *Construction Management and Economics*, 18(8), 903-916.

- Kineo and UFI/Learndirect (2009) *Mobile Learning Reviewed Report*. Retrieved from http://www.kineo.com/documents/Mobile learning reviewed final.pdf
- Kirk, J., Miller, M. L. (1986). *Reliability and validity in qualitative research*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.
- Kneebone, R. (2005). PDAs for PSPs in A. Kukulska-Hulme and J. Traxler (Eds.), *Mobile Learning: A Handbook for Educators and Trainers*. London: Routledge
- Knutsen, L., Constantiou, I.D. and Damsgaard, J. Acceptance and perceptions of advanced mobile services: alterations during a field study (pp. 326-332). doi:10.1109/ICMB.2005.13. Paper presented at the International Conference on Mobile Business. Sydney, Australia. July 2005.
- Ko, S., and Rosen, S. (2001). *Teaching online: A practical guide*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Koper, R. (2006). Current Research in Learning Design. *Educational Technology and Society*, 9(1), 13-22.
- Kovumäki T., Ristola, A. and Kesti, M. (2008). The perceptions towards mobile services: an empirical analysis of the role of use facilitators. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 12(1), 67-75. doi:10.1007/s00779-006-0128-x
- Kuhn, T. S. (1962). The structure of scientific revolutions. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kuhn, T. S. (1987). *Black-body theory and the quantum discontinuity*, 1894-1912. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kukulska-Hulme A. (2007). Mobile Usability in Educational Contexts: "What have we learnt? *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 8(2). Retrieved from http://www.irrodl.org/index.php/irrodl/article/view/356/907
- Kukulska-Hulme, A. (2005). Mobile Usability and User Experience. In Kukulska-Hulme A. and J. Traxler, J. (Eds.) *Mobile Learning: A handbook for educators and trainers* (pp. 45-56). London: Routledge.
- Kukulska-Hulme, A. (2009). Will mobile learning change language learning? *ReCALL*, 21(2), 157-165. doi: 10.1017/S0958344009000202
- Kukulska-Hulme, A. and Traxler, J. *Cognitive, Ergonomic and Affective Aspects of PDA Use for Learning* (pp. 4-6). Paper presented at the Workshop on Mobile and Contextual Learning. Milton Keynes, UK. July 2002.

- Kukulska-Hulme, A. and Traxler, J. (2007). Designing for mobile and wireless learning. (pp. 180–192) In: Beetham, H. and Sharpe, R. (eds.), *Rethinking Pedagogy for a Digital Age: Designing and Delivering E-Learning*. London: Routledge.
- Kumar, A., Liu Y.F., Senguptra, J. and Divya. (2010). Evolution of Mobile Wireless Communication networks: 1G to 4G. *The International Journal of Electronics & Communication Technology (IJECT)*, 1(1).
- Kumar K. J. and Thomas A.O. (2006). Telecommunications and Development: The Cellular Mobile 'Revolution' in India and China *Journal of Creative Communications*, 1, 297. doi: 10.1177/097325860600100306.
- Lam, S. Y. W. Blended e-learning Model for Geomatics Curriculum: Design, Implementation and Evaluation. Paper presented at the FIG Commission 2 Workshop, Sharing Good Practices: E-learning in Surveying, Geo-information Sciences and Land Administration, Enschede, Netherlands. June 2008.
- Laouris, Y. and Etokleous, N. We Need an Educationally Relevant Definition of Mobile Learning. Paper presented at the 4th World Conference on mLearning, Cape Town, South Africa, October 2005.
- Laszlo, E. (2008). Quantum shift in the global brain: how the new scientific reality can change us and our world. Rochester, Vt.: Inner Traditions.
- Lee, J. W. M. and Chan, A. (2007). Pervasive, lifestyle integrated mobile learning for distance learners: an analysis and unexpected results from a podcasting study, *Open Learning: The Journal of Open and Distance Learning*, 22(3), 201-218.
- Lee, K.S. (2006, January 25). *More youngsters using hand phones*. New Straits Times, pp. 6.
- Lester, S (1999). An introduction to phenomenological research. Retrieved from www.sld.demon.co.uk/resmethy.pdf
- Levy, M., and Kennedy, C. (2005). Learning Italian via Mobile SMS. In A. Kukulska-Hulme and J. Traxler (Eds.), *Mobile Learning: A Handbook for Educators and Trainers*. London: Routledge.
- Lim, K and Lim, J. *Engaging Students With M-learning*. Paper presented at ITE Teachers' Conference Teacher Leadership: Impacting the Classroom and Beyond, Singapore. November 2006.
- Linacre, J. M. (2004). Optimizing rating scale category effectiveness. (pp. 258-278). In E. V. Smith, JR. and R. M. Smith *Introduction to Rasch Measurement* Maple Grove, MN: JAM Press.

- Lincoln Y.S. and Guba E.G. (1985). Naturalistic Inquiry. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.
- Ling, R and Helmerson, P. The adoption of mobile telephony among pre-adolescents and adolescents. Paper presented at the Conference on the social consequences of mobile telephony, Oslo, Norway. June 2000.
- Liu, T.-C., Wang, H.-Y., Liang, J.-K., Chan, T.-W., and Yang, J.-C.. *Applying Wireless Technologies to a Build Highly Interactive Learning Environment* (pp. 63-70). doi: 10.1109/WMTE.2002.1039222. Paper presented at the IEEE International Workshop on Wireless and Mobile Technologies in Education, Växjö, Sweden. August 2002.
- Liu, T.C., Wang, H.Y., Liang, J.K., Chan, T.W., Ko, H.W. and Yang, J.C. (2003), Wireless and mobile technologies to enhance teaching and learning, *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19(3), 371- 382. doi: 10.1046/j.0266-4909.2003.00038.x
- Liu, Y. (2008). *An Adoption Model for Mobile Learning*. Retrieved from http://www.academia.edu/2957988/An\_adoption\_model\_for\_mobile\_learning
- Logan, R. K. (2012). *Definition of E-learning?* Retrieved from http://www.managers forum.com/ eLearning/Index.htm
- Louv, R. (2005). Last child in the woods: saving our children from nature-deficit disorder. Array Chapel Hill, N.C.: Algonquin Books of Chapel Hill.
- Lu, J., Yu, C. S., Liu, C., and Yao, J. E. (2003). Technology acceptance model for wireless internet. *Internet research: Electronic networking application and policy*, 13(33), 206–222.
- Luckin, R., Brewster, D., Pearce, D., Siddons-Corby, R., and du-Boulay, B. (2003).
  SMILE: The Creation of Space for Interaction through Blended Digital Technology,
  Learning with mobile devices (pp. 38-39). London: Learning and Skills Development Agency.
- Lundin, J., and Magnusson, M. (2003). Collaborative learning in mobile work. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19(3), 273-283.
- Lyle, J. T. (1994). *Regenerative design for sustainable development*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Lyons, K. (2003). Everyday Wearable Computer Use: A Case Study of an Expert User. *Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services*, 2795, 61-75. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-45233-1\_6

- Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (MCMC). (2005). *Industry performance report, facts figures*. Retrieved from www.cmc.gov.my/what\_we\_do/ind\_dev/IPR%202005.pdf
- Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (MCMC). (2006). *Facts and figures*. Retrieved from www.cmc.gov.my/what\_we \_do/ind\_dev/index.asp
- Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (MCMC). (2008). *Hand phone users survey 2007*. Retrieved from http://www.skmm.gov.my
- Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (MCMC). (2009). *Fact and Figures (Statistics and Record)*. Retrieved from http://www.skmm.gov.my/facts\_figures/stats/index.asp
- Manen, M. (1990). Researching lived experience: human science for an action sensitive pedagogy. Ontario: Althouse Press.
- Mallat, N., Rossi, M., Tuunainen, V.K. and Oorni, A. (2008). An Empirical Investigation of Mobile Ticketing Service Adoption in Public Transportation. Personal and Ubiquitous Computing, *Pers Ubiquit Comput*, 12(1), 57–65. doi: 10.1007/s00779-006-0126-z
- Mark J. W. Lee and Chan, A (2007). Pervasive, lifestyle integrated mobile learning for distance learners: An analysis and unexpected results from a podcasting study, Open Learning: *The Journal of Open and Distance Learning*, 22(3), 201-218. doi:10.1080/02680510701619810
- Marshall, C. and G. B. Rossman. (2006). *Designing qualitative research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Mayer-Schönberger, V., and Lazer, D. (2007). From e-government to i-government: Governance and information technology in the 21st century. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- McConatha, D and Praul, M. (2008). Mobile Learning in Higher Education: An Empirical Assessment of a New Educational Tool. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology TOJET*, 7(3), 15-21.
- McDonough, W. (2006). Tower of tomorrow. *Fortune*. November 9, 2006. Retrieved from http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune\_archive/2006/11/13/839 3126/index.htm
- McDonough, W. and Braungart, M. (2002). *Cradle to cradle: Remaking the way we make things.* New York: North Point Press.
- McFarlane, A. Roche, N. and Triggs, P. (2007). *Mobile learning: Research findings: Report to Becta*. Retrieved from http://partners.becta.o-rg.uk/upload-dir/downloads/page\_documents/research/mobile\_learning\_july07.pdf

- McGraw Hill Construction, (2010). *New construction starts in December climb 5%; Annual total for 2009 drops 26% to \$412 billion.* Retrieved from http://construction.com/ResourceCenter/forecast/2010/Jan.asp
- McKnight, A. (2004). Historical trauma, the persistence of memory and the pedagogical problems of forgiveness, justice and peace. *Educational Studies Journal of the American Educational Studies Assoc*, 36(2), 140-158.
- McLennan, J. F. (2004). *The philosophy of sustainable design*. Bainbridge Island, Washington: Ecotone Publishing Company.
- Meere, D., Ganchev, I., Stojanov, S. and O'Droma, M. (2009). Adaptation for Assimilation: The Role of Adaptable Learning Services in the Modern Educational Paradigm. *International Journal of Information Technologies and Knowledge*, 3, 101-110.
- Merchant, B. (2008a). The green issue: Can environmentalism still sell magazines? *TreeHugger*. Retrieved from http://www.treehugger.com/ files/2008/12/the-greenissue-environmentalism-sell-magazines.php
- Merchant, B. (2008b). In dark financial times, Americans still willing to fight climate change. *TreeHugger*. Retrieved from http://www.treehugger.com/files/2008/11/financial-times-americans-willing-fight-climate-change.php
- Meriam, S.B. (1998). *Qualitative research and case study application in education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Michelfelder, D.P, McCarthy, N., Goldberg, David E. (Eds.) (2013) *Philosophy and Engineering: Reflections on Practice, Principles and Process.* Retrieved from https://books.google.com.my/books?id=jwfIBAAAQBAJ&pg=PR15&lpg=PR15&dq=michelfelder,+mccarthy+and+goldberg+2013&source=bl&ots=SgfBuPbRvl&sig=7H0DXus6NBkQ9RzhFf1BD\_ReClw&hl=en&sa=X&redir\_esc=y#v=onepage&q=michelfelder%2C%20mccarthy%20and%20goldberg%202013&f=false
- Microsoft TV Technologies. (2001). *IEEE Broadcast Technology Society*, Conferences, under The History of the IEEE Broadcast Technology Society. Retrieved from http://www.microsoft.com/whdc/archive/TVtech.mspx
- Miles, M. B., and Huberman, A. M. (1984). *Qualitative data analysis*: A sourcebook of new methods. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication.
- Miles, M.B and Huberman, A.M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Miller, J. P. (2010). Educating for wisdom. In Brantmeier, E.J., Lin, J., Miller, J. (eds). *Spirituality, religion, and peace education*. Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.

- Miller, M. D., Rainer, R. K. and Corley, J. K. (2003). Predictors of Engagement and Participation in an On-line Course. *Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, 6(1).
- Miller, W. R., C'de Baca, J. (2001). *Quantum change: when epiphanies and sudden insights transform ordinary lives.* New York: Guilford Press.
- Milrad, M., Perez, J. and Hoppe, U. *C-notes: Designing a mobile and wireless application to support collaborative knowledge building* (pp. 117-120). Paper presented at the IEEE International Workshop on Wireless and Mobile Technologies in Education, Los Alamitos, California. August 2002.
- Mitchell, A., Heppel, S. and Kadirire, J. (2002). *Technology Watch Research Report*. Anglia: UltraLab.
- MOBIlearn Consortium (2004). MOBIlearn Project Home Page. Retrieved from http://www.mobilearn.org
- Mobithinking. (2012). Global mobile statistics 2012 Part A: Mobile subscribers; handset market share; mobile operators. Retrieved from http://mobithinking.com/mobile-marketing-tools/latest-mobile-stats
- Moore, G. C. and Benbasat, I. (1991). Development of an instrument to measure the perceptions of adopting an information technology innovation. *Information Systems Resources*, 2(3), 192–222.
- Moos, K. (Producer). (2008). Repossessing virtue: Rachel Naomi Remen and economic crisis as spiritual journey. Retrieved from http://blog.speakingoffaith.org/post/64320951/repossessing-virtue-rachel-naomi-remen-and
- Morse, J.M. and Richards, L. (2002). Read me first for a user's guide to qualitative methods. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Moses, O.O. (2008). Improving mobile learning with enhanced Shih's model of mobile learning. *US-China Education Review*, 5(11), 1-7.
- Mostakhdemin-Hosseini A. and Mustajärvi, J. Steps Required Developing Mobile Learning Services. Paper presented at the IADIS International Association for development of Information Society, Avila, Spain. July 2004.
- Motiwalla, L.F. (2007). Mobile learning: A framework and evaluation *Computers and Education Elsevier Ltd*, 581–596. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2005.10.011
- Moustakas, C. (1994) *Phenomenological Research Methods* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

- Muhlhauser, M., and Trompler, C. Learning in the Digital Age: Paving a Smooth Path with Digital Lecture Halls. doi: 10.1109/HICSS.2002.993897. Paper presented at the IEEE 35th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Hawaii. January 2002.
- Mulyana, D. (2002). Metode Penelitian Kualitatif Paradigma Baru Ilmu Komunikasi dan Ilmu Sosial Lainnya. (Qualitative Research Method, the New Paradigm for Communication Science and Other Social Sciences). Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Mulyana, D. (2007). Metode Penelitian Komunikasi, Contoh-Contoh Penelitian Kualitatif Dengan Pendekatan Praktis. (Communication Research Method, Examples of Qualitative Research with Practical Approach). Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Muirhead, B., and Juwah, C. (2003). Interactivity in Computer-Mediated College and University Education: A Recent Review of the Literature. International Forum of Educational Technology and Society. Retrieved from http://ifets.ieee.org/discussions/discuss november2003.htm.l
- Murphy, A. *Mobile learning in a global context: A training analysis* (pp. 219-219). doi: 10.1109/ICNICONSMCL.2006.147. Paper presented at the 5th International Conference on Networking and the International Conference on Systems (ICNICONSMCL'06). Mauritius. April 2006.
- Murota, K. Mobile communications trends in Japan and NTT DoCoMo's activities towards 21st century. Paper presented at the in ACTS MobileSummit99, Sorrento, Italy. June 1999.
- Myers, M. (2000). Qualitative research and the generalizability question: Standing firm with Proteus. *The Qualitative Report*, 4(3/4).
- Myers, M.D. (2009) Qualitative Research in Business & Management. London: Sage Publications.
- Nachmias, R. (2002). A research framework for the study of a campus-wide web-based academic instruction project. *Computers and Education*, 5, 213–229.
- Nachmias, R., Mioduser, D., Oren, A., and Ram, J. (2000). Web-supported emergent collaboration in higher education courses. *Educational Technology and Society*, 3(3), 94–104.
- Naidu, S. (2006). *A Guidebook of Principles, Procedures and Practices* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Retrieved from http://dspace.col.org/bitstream/123456789/138/1/e-learning\_guidebook.pdf

- Naismith, L., and Corlett, D. *Reflections on Success: A Retrospective of the mLearn Conference Series* 2002-2005. Paper presented at the 5th World Conference on Mobile Learning, Banff, Alberta, Canada. October 2006.
- Naismith, L., Lonsdale, P., Vavoula, G. and Sharples, M. (2004) Mobile Technologies and Learning. *NESTA Futurelab Literature Review Series*, Report No 11. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.futurelab.org.uk/resources/documents/lit\_reviews/Mobile\_Review.pdf">http://www.futurelab.org.uk/resources/documents/lit\_reviews/Mobile\_Review.pdf</a>
- National Environmental Education Foundation, (2010). *The business case for environmental and sustainability employee education*. Retrieved from http://www.neefusa.org/business/index.htm
- Ndura, E. (2007). Calling institutions of higher education to join the quest for social justice and peace. *Harvard Educational Review*, 77(3), 345-350.
- Neff, J. (2009). Green-marketing revolution defies economic downturn. *Advertising Age*. Retrieved from https://adage.com/article? article\_id=136091
- Neuman, W.L. (2006). Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches (6th.ed.). Boston: Pearson Education Inc.
- News.com. PC Milestone Notebooks Outsell Desktops. Retrieved from http://www.news.com/PCmilestone--notebooks-outsell-desktops/2100-1047\_3-5731417 .html
- Ng, W. and Nicholas, H. *Ubiquitous Learning with Handheld Computers in Schools* (pp. 186-193). Paper presented at the International Conference on Mobile Learning, Melbourne, Australia. October 2007.
- Nickerson, R. C., and Isaac, H. Am I Disturbing You?: A Research Model for Investigating the Use of Mobile phones in Social Settings (pp. 35-41). Paper presented at the International Conference on Mobile Business (ICMB' 06), Copenhagen, Denmark. June 2006.
- Nielsen, J. (1993). *Usability Engineering*. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufman Publisher.
- Nieto, S. (2004). Affirming diversity: The sociopolitical context of multicultural education. Array Boston: Pearson/Allyn and Bacon.
- Norbayah, M. S. and Norazah M. S. (2007). Mobile phone usage for m-learning: comparing heavy and light mobile phone users *Emerald Group Publishing Limited*, 24, (5), 355-365. doi: 10.1108/10650740710835779.
- North South Wales Education (NSW). (2014). A Glossary of Key Words. Retrieved from http://www.boardofstudies.nsw.edu.au/syllabus \_hsc/glossary\_keywords.html

- Nutting, R. (2008). Four years of gains in home prices wiped out. *MarketWatch*. Retrieved on from http://www.marketwatch.com/story/four-years-of-home-pricegains-wiped-out-case-shiller-data?dist=m sr\_13
- Nwana, H.S. (1996). Software Agents: An Overview. *Knowledge Engineering Review*, 11(3), 1-40.
- Nyiri, K. *Towards a philosophy of m-learning*. Paper presented at the IEEE International Workshop on Wireless and Mobile Technologies in Education (WMTE 2002), Teleborg Campus, Växjö University, Växjö, Sweden. August 2002.
- Nyiri, K. (2003). *Mobile Communication: Essays on Cognition and Community*. Vienna: Passagen Verlag.
- Nyiri, K. (2005). *The Mobile Phone in 2005: Where Are We Now?* Retrieved from http://www.phil-inst.hu/mobil/2005/Nyiri\_intr\_tlk .pdf
- Ogata, H., and Yano, Y. (2005). Knowledge awareness for computer-assisted language learning using handhelds. *International Journal of Learning Technology*, 5(1), 435-449. doi: 10.1504/IJCEELL.2004.005731
- O'Malley, C. and Stanton, D. *Tangible Technologies for Collaborative Storytelling*. Paper presented at the European Workshop on Mobile and Contextual learning, University of Birmingham, Birmingham: United Kingdom. June 2002.
- Orange. (2005). *Telecom Annual Report*. Retrieved from www.cdc.gov/std /gisp2005/ora-2005.pdf
- Orb, A., Eisenhauer, L. and Wynaden, D. (2000). Ethics in Qualitative Research *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 33(1), 93-96.
- Parsons, D., Ryu, H. and Cranshaw, M. (2007). A Design Requirements Framework for Mobile Learning Environments *Journal of Computer*, 2(4), 1-8.
- Pasanen, J. (2003). Corporate Mobile Learning. In H. Kynaslahti and P. Seppala (Eds.), *Mobile Learning*. 115-123. Helsinki, Finland: IT Press.
- Patton, M.Q. (2001). *Qualitative evaluation and research methods* (2nd ed.). London: Sage Publications.
- Pavlou, P. A. and Fygenson, M. (2006). Understanding and predicting electronic commerce adoption: An extension of the Theory of Planned Behavior. MIS Quarterly, 30(1), 115-134.
- Payne, E. A. and Curtis, M.B. (2008) Can the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology Help Us Understand the Adoption of Computer-Aided Audit Techniques by Auditors? Retrieved from http://aaahq.org/meetings/AUD2009/CanTheUnified Theory.pdf

- Perakyla, A. (1997). Reliability and validity in research based on tapes and transcripts. In Silverman D (Ed) *Qualitative Research. Theory, Method and Practice*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Perakyla, A. (1997). Reliability and validity in research based on tapes and transcripts. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Pereira, J. M. (2000). Fourth generation: now, it is personal. *IEEE International Symposium Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications*, 2, 1009-1016. doi:10.1109/PIMRC.2000.881574.
- Perry, D. (2003) Handheld Computers (PDAs) in Schools. Coventry: Becta.
- Petrova, K. (2006). Mobile Commerce Applications and Adoption. *In Encyclopedia of e-Commerce*, *eGovernment and Mobile Commerce* (pp.771-776), M. KhosrowPour (ed.), Hershey, PA: Idea Group reference.
- Petrova, K. (2007a). Scenarios for SMS Based Mobile Learning. In Encyclopaedia of Mobile Computing and Commerce, In D. Taniar (ed.), *Information Science Reference*, 1(1), 771-776.
- Petrova, K. (2007b). Mobile learning as a Mobile Business Application. *International Journal of Innovation and Learning*, 4(1), 1-13.
- Petrova, K. *Students Revising for a Test Using SMS* (pp. 218-222). Paper presented at the International Conference on Mobile Learning, Melbourne, Australia. October 2007.
- Petrova, K. (2009). Mobile commerce applications and adoption. In D. Taniar (Ed.) "Mobile Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications, *Information Science Reference*, 6(4), 1593-1601.
- Petrova, K. and Li, C. (2009). *Focus and Setting in Mobile Learning Research: A Review of the Literature*, (pp. 1456-1463). Paper presented at the International Business Information Management Conference (IBIMA) on Innovation and Knowledge Management in Twin Track Economies, Cairo, Egypt. January 2009.
- Pinkwart, N., Hoppe, H. U., Milrad, M., and Perez, J. (2003). Educational scenarios for cooperative use of Personal Digital Assistants. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19(3), 383-391.
- Pitman Training (2007). *Training*. Retrieved from http://www.pitman-training.com/about\_us.php
- Plant, S. (2001). On the Mobile the effects of mobile telephones on individual and social life. Motorola. Retrieved from classes.dma.ucla.edu/Winter03/104/docs/splant.pdf

- Plaut, J. (2006). *Pivotal experiences and the championing of sustainability in the building industry*. Jossette Miriam Plaut: Colorado State University
- Polishook, M. (2005). Music on PDAs in A. Kukulska-Hulme and J. Traxler (Eds.), *Mobile Learning: A Handbook for Educators and Trainers*. London: Routledge
- Post and Telestyrelsen. (2006). *3G in Sweden*. Retrieved from http://www.pts.se/upload/Faktablad/En/Factsheet\_3G\_Sweden.pdf
- Pool, I.D.S. (1973). *Handbook of Communication* (1st.ed.) Chicago: Rand McNally and Company
- Powell, W. W. and Snellman, K. (2004). The Knowledge Economy *Annual Review of Psychology*, 30, 199-220. doi:10.1146/annurev.soc.29.010202.100037
- Pulchino, J. (2006). *Mobile Learning Research Report. The E-Learning Guild*. Retrieved from http://www.elearningguild.com/
- Punch, K. F. (2005). *Introduction to Social Research: Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches* (2nd.ed.) London: Sage Publications.
- Puvenesvary, M., Abdul Rahim, Radziah, Naidu, R., Sivabala, Badzis, Mastura, Mat Nayan, Noor Fadhilah, Abd Aziz and Noor Hashima (2008). *Qualitative research data collection and data analysis techniques*. (2nd.ed.) Sintok: Universiti Utara Malaysia Press
- QRCodeScanning.com (2015). *Utilizing Mobile Technologies for Classroom Learning*. Retrieved from http://www.qrcodescanning.com/mobile-technology-classroom-learning.html
- Quinn, C. (2000). MLearning: Mobile, Wireless and In-Your-Pocket Learning. Line Zine Magazine. Retrieved from http://www.linezine.com/2.1/features/cqmmwiyp.htm
- Quinn, C., (2008). What's the (m) point? Retrieved from http://blog.learnlets.com/wp/?p=287
- Ramaley, J. and Zia, L. (2005). The real versus the possible: closing the gaps in engagement and learning. Retrieved from www.educause.edu/TheRealVersusthe Possible%3AClosingtheGapsinEngagementandLearning/6064
- Rana, A., Kaur, K. and Aggarwal, A. (2003). *Wireless Network (3G and 4G)*. Retrieved from http://www.researchgate.net/publication/228397087\_WIRELESS\_NET WORK\_(3G\_4G)

- Rashmi Sinha. (2005). *Collaborative filtering strikes back (this time with tags)*. Retrieved from http://www.rashmisinha.com/archives/05\_10/tags-collaborativefiltering.html
- Reed, W. (2006). Shifting our mental model: Sustainability to regeneration. Rethinking Sustainable Construction 2006: Next Generation Green Buildings. Retrieved from http://www.ibe.colostate.edu/thesis/hodgin-professional\_paper\_ 2008.pdf
- Ren, W. Bradley, K. D. and Lumpp, J. K. (2008). Applying the Rasch model to evaluate an implementation of the Kentucky Electronics Education Project. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 17, 618-625.
- Rheingold, H. (2002). Smart mobs: the next social revolution. Cambridge, MA: Perseus Publishing.
- Riley, T.B. (2007). An Overview of the Knowledge Economy, *eGov Monitor*, August 2003. Retrieved from http://www.egovmonitor.com/features/riley07.html
- Riordan, B and Traxler, J. Supporting computing students at risk using blended technologies. Paper presented at the 4th Annual Conference. LTSN Centre for Information and Computer Science, Galway, Ireland. August 2003.
- Roberts P. M., and Woods L.P. (2000). Alternative methods of gathering and handling data: maximising the use of modern technology. *Nurse Researcher*, 8(2), 84-95.
- Roberts P., Priest, H. and Traynor, M. (2006). Reliability and validity in research. *Nursing Standard*, 20(44), 41-45.
- Roberts, P.M, and Woods, L.P. (2000). Alternative methods of gathering and handling data: maximising the use of modern technology. *Nurse Researcher*, 8(2), 84-95.
- Robertson, R. (2007). A brighter shade of green: rebooting environmentalism for the 21st century. What is Enlightenment? Retrieved from http://www.sustainabilityinstitute.net
- Robson, C. (1994). Analysing documents and records', in Bennett N., Glatter R., and Levacic R. *Improving Educational Management through Research and Consultancy* (eds). London: Paul Chapman
- Rogers, E. (1995). Diffusion of Innovations, New York: Free Press.
- Romiszowski, A.J. (2004). How's the E-learning Baby? Factors Leading to Success or Failure of an Educational Technology Innovation Contributing Editor *Educational Technology, January-February* 2004, 44(1), 5-27.
- Rouse, M. (2010). *Definition tablet PC*. Retrieved from http://searchmobile computing.techtarget.com/definition/tablet-PC.

- Rubin and Rubin. (1995). *Qualitative Interviewing: The art of hearing data.* London: Sage Publication
- Rudman, P. D., Sharples, M., and Baber, C. *Supporting Learning in Conversations using Personal Technologies*. Paper presented at the European Workshop on Mobile and Contextual Learning, University of Birmingham, Birmingham: United Kingdom. June 2002.
- Ryan, G. and Bernard, H.R. (2003). Techniques to identify themes. *Field Methods*, 15(1), 85-109.
- Sadhu, A.N. and Singh, A. (1980). *Research Methodology in Social Sciences*. Bombay: Himalaya Publishing House.
- Safie, N. The use of short message services SMS as a sup- plementary learning tool in Open University Malaysia (OUM). Paper presented at the 18th Annual Conference Associated of Asian Open University (AAOU) Shanghai, China. November 2004.
- Saipunidzam Mahamad, Mohammad Noor Ibrahim and Shakirah Mohd Taib (2010). M-learning: A new Paradigm of Learning Mathematic in Malaysia. *International Journal of computer science and Information Technology (IJCSIT)*, 2(4). doi: 10.5121/ijcsit.2010.240776
- Saipunidzam Mahamad, Mohammad Noor Ibrahim, Mohamad Izzriq Ab Malek Foad, and Shakirah Mohd Taib. (2008). Open Source Implementation of M-Learning for Primary School in Malaysia. *International Journal of Social Sciences* 3(4).
- Saldana, J. (2003). An Introduction to Codes and Coding. Retrieved from www.sagepub.com/upm-data/24614 01 Saldana Ch 01.pdf
- Salomon, G. (2000). *E-moderating the key to teaching and learning online* (F. Lockwood, Ed.). London: Kogan Page
- Salz, P.A. (2006a). *Learning to go mobile available*. Retrieved from www.econtentmag.com/Articles/ArticleReader.aspx?ArticleID<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>15299andAuthor ID<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>157
- Salz, P.A. (2006b). *Mobile delivery discoveries*. Retrieved from www.econtentmag .com/Articles/ArticleReader.aspx?ArticleID<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>15719 and AuthorID<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>157
- Santoyo, A. S. and Sandoval, J. O. (2008). *Reflections on the evolution to 4G and its implications to m-learning*. Retrieved from http://promepca.sep.gob.mx/archivospdf/produccion/Producto1212169.PDF
- Sariola, J. (2003). The Boundaries of University Teaching: Mobile Learning as a Strategic Choice for the Virtual University. In H. Kynaslahti and P. Seppala (Eds.), *Mobile Learning*, (pp. 71-78). Helsinki: IT Press.

- Sariola, J., Sampson, J. Vuorinen, R and Kynaslahti H. Promoting m-learning by the UniWap project in higher education. Paper presented at the International Conference on Technology and Education, Florida State University, Tallahassee. May 2001.
- Savenye, W.C. and Robinson, R.S. (1996). *Qualitative Research Issues and Methods:*An Introduction for Educational Technologist. Retrieved from http://www.aect.org/ed/tech/39.pdf
- Savill-Smith, C. and Kent, P. (2003). *The use of palmtop computers for learning: A review of the literature*. Retrieved from http://www.m-learning.org/docs/the\_use\_of\_palmtop\_computers\_for\_learning\_sept03.pdf
- Schutt, R.K. (2008) *Chapter 5: Sampling*. Retrieved from http://www.sagepub.com/sites/default/files/upm-binaries/24480\_Ch5.pdf
- Schwabe, G. and Goth, C. (2005). Mobile Learning with a Mobile Game: Design and Motivational Effects. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 21, 204.
- Sekaran, U. (2000). Research methods for business: a skill-building approach. New York: John Wiley and Sons
- Senge, P. M., C. O. Scharmer, J. Jaworski, and B. S. Flowers (2005). *Presence:* exploring profound change in people, organizations, and society. New York: Doubleday.
- Seppala, P. and Alamaki, H. (2003). Mobile Learning in Teacher Training. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19, 330-335.
- Sharma, S.K. and Kitchens, F.L. (2004). Web Services Architecture for M-Learning. Academic Conferences Limited *Electronic Journal on e-Learning*, 2, 1203-216.
- Sharples, M. (1999). Educational Technology: from Teaching Machines to HandLeRs. *Ingenia: The Informative Quarterly of the Royal Academy of Engineering*, 1 (2), 29-32.
- Sharples, M. (1999). *The Design of Personal Technologies to Support Lifelong Learning*. Retrieved from http://www.eee.bham.ac.uk/sharplem/Papers/vavoula %20cal2001.pdf
- Sharples, M. (2000). The Design of Personal Mobile Technologies for Lifelong Learning. *Computers and Education*, 34, 177-193. Retrieved from http://www.eee.bham.ac.uk/sharplem/Papers/handler%20comped.pdf.
- Sharples, M. (2003). Disruptive Devices: Mobile Technology for Conversational Learning. *International Journal of Continuing Engineering Education and Lifelong Learning*, 12(5/6), 504-520.

- Sharples, M. (ed.) (2006) *Big issues in mobile learning*. Report of a workshop by the Kaleidoscope Network of Excellence Mobile Learning Initiative. Retrieved from http://matchsz.inf.elte.hu/tt/docs/Sharples-20062.pdf
- Sharples, M., Chan, T., Rudman, P., and Bull, S. (2004) Evaluation of a Mobile Learning Organiser and Concept Mapping Tools. In J. Attewell and C. Savill-Smith (eds.) *Learning with Mobile Devices: Research and* Development (pp. 139-144). London: Learning and Skills Development Agency.
- Sharples, M., Taylor, J. and Vavoula, G. (2005). *A theory of learning for the mobile age*. Retrieved from http://www.lsri.nottingham.ac.uk/msh/Papers/Theory%20of%20Mobile%20Learning.pdf
- Sharples, M., Taylor, J., and Vavoula, G. (2007) A Theory of Learning for the Mobile Age. In R. Andrews and C. Haythornthwaite (eds.) *The Sage Handbook of Elearning Research* (pp. 221-247). London: Sage Publications.
- Sharrock, W. and R. Reed (2002). *Kuhn: Philosopher of scientific revolution*. Malden MA: Blackwell Publishing Co.
- Shih, T. (2004). Aspects of Distance Education Technologies The Sharable Content Object Reference Model. Retrieved from http://www.adlnet.org
- Siemens Industry, Inc. and McGraw Hill Construction (2009). Report on the 2009 greening of corporate America. Retrieved from http://construction.com/market\_research/
- Silicon Press. (2001). Third *Generation (3G) Wireless*. Retrieved from http://www.silicon-press.com/briefs/brief.3g/brief.pdf
- Silva, J. European Commission, Beyond IMT-2000. Paper presented at the ITU-R WP8F workshop, Geneva, Switzerland. March 2000.
- Sino, (2006). *Market Analysis Report on China educational electronic devices*. Retrieved from http://www.cciddata.com/2006\_11/smxxj\_ly.htm
- Smith, P. J., Murphy, Karen, L. and Mahoney, Sue, E. (2003). Towards identifying factors underlying readiness for online learning: An exploratory study. *Distance Education*, 24(1), 57–67.
- Socialnomics (2009). *Social Media Revolution*. Retrieved from http://socialnomics.net/2009/08/11/statistics-show-social-media-is-bigger-than-you-th ink/
- So, H.J., Kim, I. and Looi, C.K., (2008). Seamless Mobile Learning: Possibilities and Challenges Arising from the Singapore Experience. *Educational Technology International*, 9(2), 97-121.

- Song, H.S.Y., Murphy, A. and Farley, H. *Mobile devices for learning in Malaysia: then and now.* Paper presented at the 30th Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education Conference (ASCILITE 2013): Electric Dreams, Sydney, Australia. December 2013.
- Spitzer, D. R. (1996). Motivation: The neglected factor in instructional design. *Educational technology*, 36(3), 45-49.
- Stahl, G., Sumner, T. and Repenning, A. *Internet Repositories for Collaborative Learning: Supporting both Students and Teachers*. Paper presented at Computer Supported Collaborative Learning Conference, Bloomington, IN, USA. September 1995.
- Stanfield, J.H. and Dennis, R.M. (1993) (eds). *Race and Ethnicity in Research Methods*. Newbury Park. CA: Sage Publications.
- Stanford encyclopaedia of philosophy. (2008). *Phenomenology*. Retrieved from http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/ phenomenology/#1
- Statista (2015). Number of mobile phone users in the Asia-Pacific region from 2011 to 2018 (in millions). Retrieved from http://www.statista.com/statistics/201250/fore cast-of-mobile-phone-users-in-asia-pacific/
- Stauffer, N. (2005, March 31). Climate change poorly understood, MIT survey finds. *MIT News*.
- Stead, G. (2005). *Moving mobile into the mainstream*. Retrieved from http://www.m-learning.net
- Stead. G. (2004). M-learning: small, engaging and at your leisure. *The Learning Citizen*, 8, 10-13. Retrieved from http://www.learningcitizen.net
- Stenbacka, C. (2001). Qualitative research requires quality concepts of its own. Management Decision, 39(7), 551-555
- Stiles W.B. (1993). Quality control in qualitative research. *Clinical Psychology* Review. 13(6), 593–618. doi:10.1136/ebmh.2.4.99
- Sun, J. Z., Sauvola, J. and Howie, D. (2001). Features in Future: 4G Visions from a Technical Perspective MediaTeam, Machine Vision and Media Processing Unit, Infotech Oulu. Retrieved from www.mediateam.oulu.fi/publications/pdf/362.pdf
- T. Liu, H. Wang, J. Liang, T. Chan, H. Ko, and Y. J. (2003). Wireless and mobile technologies to enhance teaching and learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19, 371-382.

- Talberth, J., Cobb, C., and Slattery, N. (2006). *The genuine progress indicator 2006: A tool for sustainable development*. Retrieved from http://www.rprogress.org/sustainability\_indicators/genuine\_progress\_indicator.htm
- Tan, M. and Teo, T.S.H. (2000). Factors influencing the adoption of Internet banking. *Journal of Associate for Information Systems*, 1(5), 1–42.
- Tan, S.E. and Henten, A. New Mobile Technologies: Sustaining or Disruptive? (pp. 32-38). Paper presented at the International Conference on Mobile Business (ICMB), Copenhagen, Denmark. June 2006.
- Taylor, J, B., G. Bernazzani, B. and Sharples, M. (2005). D4.2 Best Practices for Instructional Design and Content Development for Mobile Learning. University of Birmingham, Retrieved from http://www.mobilearn.org/download/results/public\_deliverables/MOBIlearn\_D4.2\_Final.pdf
- Taylor, J. A Task-centred Approach to Evaluating a Mobile Learning Environment for Pedagogical Soundness. Paper presented at the MLearn Conference, London. May 2003.
- Taylor, S. and Todd, P. A. (1995). Assessing IT Usage: The Role of Prior Experience. Management Information Systems Quarterly (MIS Quartely), 19(2), 561-570.
- Thanaporn, S. (2010). Empirical Validation of Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology Model. Retrieved from http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:\_Fd7UfORDIIJ:www.umsl.edu/~sundaravejf/IS7894/Empiric alValidationofUnifiedTheoryofAcceptanceandUseofTechnologyModel.doc+&cd=1 &hl=en&ct=clnk
- The Federico Santa María Technical University. *E-Learning*. Paper presented at The 9th International Conference on e-Learning, Valparaiso, Chile. June 2014.
- Thomas, B. Riley (2003). An Overview of the Knowledge Economy eGov Monitor. Retrieved from http://www.egovmonitor.com/features/riley07.html
- Thomas, J. (2013). 4G Networks: To cut Through All the "Fast Talk". *International Journal of Latest Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJLTET)*, 3(1).
- Thomas, S. *Pervasive, persuasive eLearning: modelling the pervasive learning space* (pp. 332-336). doi: 10.1109/PERCOMW.2005.63. Paper presented at the International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops (PerCom 2005 Workshops). March 2005.
- Thompson, R. F. and Madigan, S. A. (2005). *Memory: the key to consciousness*. Washington, D.C.: Joseph Henry Press.

- Thornton, P., and Houser, C. (2005). Using mobile phones in English education in Japan. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 21(3), 217-228. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2729.2005.00129.x
- Timpson, W., Dunbar, B., Kimmel, G., Bruyere, B., Newman, P. and Mizia, H. (2006). 147 Practical tips for teaching sustainability: connecting the environment, the economy, and society. Madison, WI: Atwood Publishing.
- Tornatzky, L.G. and Klein, K.J. (1982). Innovation Characteristics and Innovation Adoption-Implementation: A Meta-Analysis of Findings. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 29(1).
- Totten, S, Sills, T, Digby, A, and Russ, P. (1991). *Cooperative learning: A guide to research*. New York: Garland
- Traning Partners (2011) *Developing Content for Mobile Learning*. Retrieved from http://www.training-partners.com/downloads/elearning/TT\_Whitepaper\_Developin g\_ Content\_for\_Mobile\_Learningv2\_0.pdf.
- Transformyx Whitepaper. (2004). *Beginners Guide To Mobile Technology*. Retrieved from http://www.transformyx.com/s3web/1002043/docs/whitepaper-beginners guidemobiletech.pdf
- Traxler, J (2005). *Defining Mobile Learning*. Retrieved from http://www.academia.edu/2810810/Defining\_mobile\_learning
- Traxler, J. (2004). *Mobile Learning The Ethical and Legal Challenges*. Retrieved from http://www.academia.edu/2810661/Mobile\_learning-the\_ethical\_and\_legal\_challenges
- Traxler, J. (2005). Defining, discussing, and evaluating Mobile Learning: The moving finger writes and having writ. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 8(2).
- Traxler, J. (2005). *Using Mobile Technologies to Support Learning in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Retrieved from http://icms-web2.unv.wlv.ac.uk/PDF/cidt-article20.pdf
- Traxler, J. and Riordan, B. (2003). Evaluating the Effectiveness of Retention Strategies Using SMS, WAP and WWW Student Support. Galway, Ireland. Retrieved from http://www.ics.heacademy.ac.uk/Events/conf2003/john\_traxler.htm
- Triandis, H.C. (1979). Values, Attitudes, and Interpersonal Behaviors, *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation*, 27, 195-259.
- Tribal Education Limited. (2004). *M-Learning*. Retrieved from http://www.m-learning.org

- Tribal. (2009). *Mobile Learning is for everyone*. Retrieved from http://www.m-learning.org/
- Trifonova A. and Ronchetti M. *Where is mobile learning going?* (pp. 1794-1801). Paper presented at the World Conference on E-learning in Corporate, Government, Healthcare and Higher Education (*E-Learn 2003*), Phoenix, Arizona, USA. November 2003.
- Trifonova A., Ronchetti M. A General Architecture to Support Mobility in Learning. (pp. 26-30). Paper presented at the IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT 2004 "Crafting Learning within Context"), Joensuu, Finland. August 2004.
- Trifonova, A. (2003). *Mobile learning—review of the literature*. Technology Report: *DIT-03-009*. Retrieved from http://eprints.biblio.unitn.it/359/1/009.pdf
- Trifonova, A. and Ronchetti, M. (2004). A General Architecture for M-Learning. Retreived from http://www.trifonova.net/docs/A%20General%20Architecture%20 for%20M-Learning%20%28m-ICTE20 03%29.pdf
- Trochim, W.M.K (2006) *Measurement Error*, *Research Methods Knowledge Base*, Retrieved from http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/measerr.php
- UNESCO (2012). The Future of Mobile Learning: Implications for Policy Makers and Planners. Retrieved from http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0021/002196/219637E.pdf
- U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Postsecondary Education Quick Information System (2001). Survey on Distance Education at Higher Education Institutions, 2001 2002. Retrieved from http://www.nces.ed.gov/surveys/peqis/inc/ displaytables\_inc.asp
- Upadhyay, N. (2004) Relationships between D-, E-, and M-Learning. Retrieved from http://itdl.org/journal/feb 06/Feb 06.pdf#page=31
- Upadhyay, N. (2006). *M-Learning A New Paradigm in Education*. Retrieved from http://www.itdl.org/journal/feb 06/article04.htm.
- USGBC (2009). Green Building Research. *Green building facts*. Retrieved from http://www.usgbc.org/DisplayPage.aspx?CMSPageID=1718
- Vaishnav, P., Jagani, C. and Khachariya, H. (2012). 4G The Advanced Technology of 3G. *International Journal of Computer Applications and Information Technology*, 1(2).
- Van Dyke, J. (2004). *Digital Media*. In John D.H. Downing, Denis McQuail, Philip Schlesinger and Helen Wartella (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Media Studies*, (pp. 144–63). London: Sage Publications.

- Van Kaam, A. (1966). *Existential foundations of psychology*. Pittsburgh, PA: Duquesne University Press
- Vavoula G, Karagiannidis C. (2005, November). *Designing mobile learning experiences*. Paper presented at the Panhellenic Conference on Informatics, PCI 2005, Volas, Greece, 3746, 534-544. doi: 10.1007/11573036\_50
- Vavoula G.N. and Sharples, M. (2001). A phenomenological study of lifelong learning: implications for the design of a personal, lifelong learning resource University of Birmingham. Retrieved from http://www.eee.bham.ac.uk/sharplem/Papers/vavoula%20 cal2001.pdf
- Vavoula, G., (2005). *A Study of Mobile Learning Practices, Internal Report*, Retrieved from http://www.mobilearn.org/download/results/public\_deliverables/MOBIlearn\_D4.4\_Final.pdf
- Venkatesh, V. and Davis, F. D., (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*, 46(2), 186–204. doi: 10.1287/mnsc.46.2.186.11926
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M., Davis, G. and Davis, F. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Towards a unified view, MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425-478.
- Verkroost, M. J. From multi-usable courseware to a multi-usable pedagogy. Paper presented at the ISPRS Workshop Commission VI/2 V12, E-learning Tools, Techniques and Applications, Potsdam, Germany. June 2009.
- Vodacom. (2004). 3G and GPRS: Annual Report 2005. Retrieved from www.vodacom. co.za/cs/groups/public/documents/.../pocm01-584694.pdf
- Von Dietze, E. (2001). *Paradigms explained: Rethinking Thomas Kuhn's philosophy of science*. Westport, CT: Praeger.
- Vuorinen, R., and Sampson, J. (2003). Using mobile Information Technology to Enhance Counselling and Guidance. In H.Kynaslahti and P. Seppala (Eds.), *Mobile Learning* (pp. 63-70). Helsinki: IT Press.
- Vygotsky, L. (1978), *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*, (14th ed.). Harvard University Press: Boston.
- W3 Consortium (2007). Compact HTML for Small Information Appliances. Retrieved from http://www.w3.org/TR/1998/NOTE-compactHTML-19980209/
- Wagner, E. (2005) Enabling Mobile Learning. Educause Review, 40(3), 40-53

- Wagner, E. (2007) Mobile Learning: The Next Step in Technology-Mediated Learning, Chief Learning Officer, under the Changing Nature of Learning. Retrieved from http://www.clomedia.com/content/templates/clo\_article.asp?articleid=1686&zoneid=77
- Wagner, E. and Wilson, P. (2005). *Disconnected. American Society for Training and Development (ASTD)* (pp. 40-43). Retrieved from davidwees.com/.../mobile%20 learning%20in%20higher%20education.pdf
- Wagner, E.D. (2005). Mobile Learning: The Next Step in Technology-Mediated Learning. Chief learning officer (CLO) Retrieved from http://clomedia.com/articles/view/mobile\_learning\_the\_next\_step\_in\_technology\_mediated\_learning
- Wang, Y. S., Lin, H.H. and Luarn, P. (2006). Predicting consumer intention to use mobile service, *Information Systems Journal*, 16(2), 157-179. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2575.2006.00213.x
- Wang, Y. S., Wu, M. C., and Wang, H. Y. (2009). Investigating the determinants and age and gender differences in the acceptance of mobile learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 40(1), 92–118.
- Ward, A. (2009). LEED projects doubled in 2008. *Environmental Building News*. Retrieved from http://www.buildinggreen.com/auth/article.cfm/ID/4148/
- Warshaw, P. R. (1980). A new model for predicting behavioral intentions: An alternative to Fishbein. *Journal of Marketing Research (JMR)*, 17(2), 153-172.
- Watson, R. (2008). *Green building market and impact report 2008*. Greener World Media 2008. Retrieved from http://www.greenerbuildings.com
- Watson, R. (2009). *Green building market and impact report 2009*. Greener World Media, 2009. Retrieved from http://www.greenerbuildings.com
- Weiss, R. S. (1994). Learning from strangers: The art and method of qualitative interview studies. New York: The Free Press.
- Welch, M., and Brownell, K. (2000). The development and evaluation of a multimedia course on educational collaboration. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 9, 169–194.
- Wendt, A. (2009). Building for people: Integrating social justice into green design. *Environmental Building News*, 18(10), 1, 10-15.
- Wentling, T. L., Waight, C., Gallaher, J., Fluer.L, Wang, C. and Kanfer, A. (2000) Elearning: A review of literature. Retrieved from http://learning.ncsa.uiuc.edu/papers/elearnlit.pdf

- Whitsed, N. (2004). Learning and Teaching. *Health Information and Libraries Journal*, 21, 273-275.
- Wierzbicki, R. J. (2002). *Emerging Issues in m-Learning* Retrieved from http://www.skmm.gov.my/facts\_figures/stats/index.asp
- Wigfield, A. and Eccles, J. S. (1992). The development of achievement task values: A Theoretical Analysis. *Developmental Review*, 12(3), 265–310.
- Williams, F. *Ericsson, Fourth generation mobile.* Paper presented at the ACTS Mobile Summit99, Sorrento, Italy. June 1999
- Williams, P. (2009). Assessing Mobile Learning Effectiveness and Acceptance. Retrieved from http://etd.gelman.gwu.edu/10014.pdf
- Wireless Intelligence. (2010). *Mobile Market Information*. Retrieved from https://www.wirelessintelligence.com/Index.aspx?
- Woodside, A. G., and Kozak, M. (2014). *Tourists' behaviors and evaluations*. Bingley, U.K: Emerald.
- Woukeu, A., Millard, E.D., Tao, F. and Davis, C.H. (2005). Challenges for semantic grid based mobile learning". Retrieved from www.ubourgogne.fr/SITIS/05/download /Proceedings/Files/f135.pdf
- Wu, Y. L., Tao, Y. H. and Yang, P. C. Using UTAUT to explore the behavior of 3G mobile communication users. (pp. 199-203). doi: 10.1109/IEEM.2007.4419179.
   Paper presented at the IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management. Singapore. December 2007.
- Xiang, Z., and Tussyadiah, I. Information and Communication Technologies in Tourism 2014. Paper presented at the International Conference in Dublin, Ireland, January, 2014.
- Xiao, M. H. (2006). The acceptance assessment of enterprise who develops mobile commerce-Take T.Join Transportation Co., Ltd. as an example (Unpublished 95 master's thesis). National Yunlin University of Science and Technology, Douliu City, Taiwan.
- Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case study research: Design and methods* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yusri, K. and Goodwin, R. (2013). Mobile Learning for ICT Training: Enhancing ICT Skill of Teachers in Indonesia. *International Journal of e-Education, e-Business, e-Management and e-Learning*, 3(4). doi: 10.7763/IJEEEE.2013.V3.243
- Zalud, V. (2002). Wireless Cellular Mobile Communication. Radioengineering, 11(4).

- Zeng, Y.Y. (2005). The study of employee's acceptance towards enterprise's online learning system-Take China Steel as an example. Master Thesis, Department of Business Studies, National Sun Yat-sen University.
- Zhu,Q., Guo, W., and Hu,Y. (2012). *Mobile learning in higher education: Students' acceptance of mobile learning in three top Chinese universities*. Retrieved from http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:536882 /FULLTEXT01.pdf
- Zwiers, M. L., and Morrissette, P. J. (1999). *Effective interviewing of children: A comprehensive guide for counsellors and human service workers*. Philadelphia: Accelerated Development.

