



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***MICROARRAY-BASED GENOMIC ANALYSIS IDENTIFIES GERMLINE
AND SOMATIC COPY NUMBER VARIANTS AND LOSS OF
HETEROZYGOSITY IN ACUTE MYELOID LEUKAEMIA***

A ANGELI A/P AMBAYYA @ AMPIAH

FPSK(m) 23



**MICROARRAY-BASED GENOMIC ANALYSIS IDENTIFIES GERMLINE
AND SOMATIC COPY NUMBER VARIANTS AND LOSS OF
HETEROZYGOSITY IN ACUTE MYELOID LEUKAEMIA**

By

A ANGELI A/P AMBAYYA @ AMPIAH

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

November 2015

COPYRIGHT

All materials contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

MICROARRAY-BASED GENOMIC ANALYSIS IDENTIFIES GERMLINE AND SOMATIC COPY NUMBER VARIANTS AND LOSS OF HETEROZYGOSITY IN ACUTE MYELOID LEUKAEMIA

By

A ANGELI A/P AMBAYYA @ AMPIAH

November 2015

Chair : Sabariah Md Noor, PhD
Faculty : Medicine and Health Sciences

Acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) is characterized by the overproduction of immature myeloid cells that accumulate in blood and bone marrow. While the specific cause of AML is usually unknown, several factors including chromosomal aberrations and genetic mutations have been implicated in the pathogenesis of this aggressive disease. Integration of genetic findings and clinicopathological information is crucial in establishing the diagnosis, prognosis and determining the therapeutic approach in the management of AML patients. The AML classification has evolved from morphology to cytogenetics/molecular genetics-based findings in recent years. Cytogenetic information is important in the detection of chromosomal abnormalities and has provided the framework for the diagnosis and risk-stratification in AML over the past decade. However, conventional cytogenetics is a technically demanding method. The success rate of chromosomal analysis is largely dependent on the availability of optimal and viable cells for culturing and the expertise with experience in identifying chromosomal aberrations at a limited resolution. Insights into molecular karyotyping using comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays enable the identification of copy number variations (CNVs) at a higher resolution and facilitate the detection of copy neutral loss of heterozygosity (CN-LOH) otherwise undetectable by conventional cytogenetics. The applicability of a customised CGH+SNP 180K DNA microarray with additional additional custom probes for 49 genes; every exon of eleven of these genes (TP53, DNMT3A, TET2, ASXL1, MLL, IKZF1, PAX5, EZH2, FLT3, NOTCH1 and ATM) was covered in the diagnostic evaluation of AML was assessed in this study. Paired tumour and germline (remission sample obtained from the same patient after induction) DNA were used to delineate germline variants in 41 AML samples. The prognosis based on karyotyping and molecular genetics was correlated with demographic (age, gender, ethnicity) and laboratory findings (WBC, aberrant antigen expression of CD2, CD4, CD7, CD19 and CD56). After comparing the tumour versus germline DNA, a total of 55 imbalances (n 5-10 MB = 21, n 10-20 MB = 8 and n >20 MB = 26) were identified. Gains were most common in chromosome 4 (26.7%) whereas losses were most frequent in chromosome 7 (28.6%) and X (25.0%). CN-LOH was mostly seen in chromosome 4 (75.0%). Excellent agreements between the karyotype and CGH+SNP analyses were observed in 20 cases, with CGH+SNP analyses providing more precise breakpoint definition. Karyotype was not in agreement with CGH+SNP in 13 cases. In another three cases,

array CGH+SNP detected aberrations which were missed by conventional karyotyping. Translocations were not detected by CGH+SNP in six cases. Correlation between prognosis on karyotyping and molecular genetics based on the clinical and laboratory findings showed statistically significant association between CD19 expression and a favourable prognosis. Statistically significant differences were observed between genders ($P < 0.05$ by Fisher's exact test); females had a more favourable prognosis compared to males. Chromosomal abnormalities with breakpoint coordinates were identified more accurately as compared to conventional cytogenetics with the use of the combined array CGH+SNP platform in this study. In summary, a combined platform of CGH+SNP provides invaluable insights into the elucidation of large spectrum of genomic aberrations in AML which may have prognostic implications.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

**PENEMUAN VARIAN *COPY NUMBER* DAN KEHILANGAN
HETEROZYGOSITY GERMA DAN SOMATIK BAGI LEUKEMIA MYELOID
AKUT MELALUI ANALISIS GENOMIK BERASASKAN MIKROARRAY**

Oleh

A ANGELI A/P AMBAYYA @ AMPIAH

November 2015

Pengerusi : Sabariah Md Noor, PhD
Fakulti : Perubatan dan Sains Kesihatan

Leukemia myeloid akut (AML) dicirikan oleh pengumpulan sel-sel myeloid yang tidak matang di dalam darah dan sum-sum tulang. Walaupun sebab khusus untuk AML kebanyakannya tidak diketahui, beberapa faktor seperti aberasi kromosom dan mutasi genetik dikaitkan dengan patogenesis penyakit agresif ini. Integrasi maklumat genetik dan klinikopatologikal adalah penting untuk membuat diagnosis, prognosis dan penentuan hala tuju rawatan terapeutik pesakit AML. Klasifikasi AML telah berevolusi daripada morfologi kepada berdasarkan sitogenetik dan genetik molekular sejak beberapa tahun kebelakangan ini. Maklumat sitogenetik adalah penting dalam pengesanan keabnormalan kromosom dan telah menjadi kerangka bagi diagnosis dan stratifikasi risiko AML sejak sedekad yang lalu. Walau bagaimanapun, teknik sitogenetik konvensional adalah rumit dan kadar kejayaan analisis kromosom bergantung kepada sel hidup yang optimum untuk pengkulturan serta memerlukan kemahiran yang tinggi dalam pengesanan aberasi kromosom pada resolusi yang terhad. Kariotip molekular menggunakan kaedah *comparative genomic hybridization* (CGH) dan *single nucleotide polymorphism* (SNP) membolehkan identifikasi *copy number variations* (CNVs) pada resolusi yang lebih tinggi dan membantu pengesanan of *copy neutral loss of heterozygosity* (CN-LOH) yang tidak dapat dikesan melalui kaedah sitogenetik konvensional. Penggunaan gabungan CGH+SNP 180K DNA mikroarray yang diubahsuai dgn tambahan 49 gen yang merangkumi sebelas gen setiap exon (TP53, DNMT3A, TET2, ASXL1, MLL, IKZF1, PAX5, EZH2, FLT3, NOTCH1 dan ATM) untuk evaluasi diagnostik AML telah dikaji. DNA tumor and germline (sampel remission yang diperoleh daripada pesakit yang sama setelah induksi) dianalisis secara berpasangan untuk membezakan varian germline di dalam 41 sampel pesakit AML. Prognosis berdasarkan kariotip dan genetik molekular dikorelasi dgn maklumat klinikal (umur, jantina and kumpulan etnik) serta penemuan makmal (bilangan sel darah putih, aberasi antigen CD2, CD4, CD7, CD19 dan CD56). Setelah membandingkan DNA tumor dengan germline, sebanyak 55 ketidakseimbangan dikesan (n 5-10 MB = 21, n 10-20 MB = 8 and n >20 MB = 26). Penambahan paling banyak berlaku pada kromosom 4 (26.7%) manakala kehilangan paling banyak didapati pada kromosom 7 (28.6%) dan X (25.0%). CN-LOH paling kerap dilihat pada kromosom 4 (75.0%). Penemuan kariotip dan CGH+SNP adalah bertepatan di dalam 20 kes, di mana CGH+SNP menunjukkan breakpoint yang lebih tepat. Penemuan kariotip dan CGH+SNP tidak bertepatan di dalam 13 kes. Array CGH+SNP telah

menunjukkan aberasi pada tiga kes yang tidak dapat dikesan menggunakan kariotip konvensional. Seperti yang sedia maklum, translokasi tidak dapat dikesan menggunakan CGH+SNP seperti yang dilihat berlaku ke atas enam kes. Korelasi telahan berdasarkan kariotip dan genetik molekular dengan penemuan klinikal dan makmal menunjukkan hubungan yang signifikan secara statistik di antara ekspresi CD19 dan kumpulan telahan memuaskan. Perbezaan signifikan secara statistik dilihat di antara jantina ($P < 0.05$ by ujian Fisher's exact); perempuan lebih banyak di kumpulan telahan memuaskan manakala lelaki lebih banyak di kumpulan telahan pertengahan. Keabnormalan kromosom dengan koordinat yang lebih tepat telah berjaya dikesan menggunakan gabungan array CGH+SNP di dalam kajian ini. Kesimpulannya, gabungan array CGH+SNP memberikan pencerahan untuk merungkai spektrum aberasi genomik AML yang berkemungkinan memberikan implikasi terhadap prognosis.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I would like to thank my Lord and saviour Jesus Christ for His guiding hands throughout my life. Without Him, nothing is possible in my life.

Immeasurable appreciation and heartfelt gratitude to the following people who had been there in one way or another throughout my research journey.

Dr Sabariah Md Noor, for advice, support, guidance, and suggestion to improve this research from day one. Thank you for the trust you had in me, it meant a lot to me. There were times when I was rather quiet performing experiments and you trusted me so much without any doubt that I was doing my research work even in my silence. It was definitely a blessing to have someone who trusted me implicitly. **Dr Zainina Seman**, for always being there, supporting me and for all your ideas and feedback. Thank you for your time and encouragement.

Dato Dr Chang Kian Meng and **Dr Subramanian Yegappan**, words are never good enough to thank you both. Thank you so much for being wonderful bosses, for the encouragements and understanding. Thank you for the help during research grant application, also for being there in MOH's proposal and grant defence. Dr Mani, thank you for your patience in answering all my endless questions. And again, words will never be good enough to express my gratitude to both of you and the Department of Haematology, Hospital Ampang for all the support.

Dr Lim Soo Min, thank you for being our co-investigator right when I needed more case for my studies. That was a tough moment because most of my subjects passed away before I could obtain their remission after induction samples and you willingly helped me out.

My parents, for your love, understanding and upholding me in your prayers. Thank you mummy for staying awake with me during my thesis write up, I am blessed beyond measure to have such a loving mum. **My friends and family**. Thank you for the encouragements and affection.

Fellow colleagues in Haematology Department, sincere appreciation for always giving me priority in using laboratory facilities and equipment though I was on my study leave.

Last but not least, I would like to thank the Malaysian government for giving me the opportunity to pursue my studies with scholarship and National Institute of Health for the research grant. I am overwhelmed with vivid memories of kindness of the people who were there during my research journey and may God bless you all.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 16 November 2015 to conduct the final examination of A Angeli a/p Ambayya @ Ampiah on her thesis entitled "Microarray-Based Genomic Analysis Identifies Germline and Somatic Copy Number Variants and Loss of Heterozygosity in Acute Myeloid Leukaemia" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Eusni Rahayu binti Mohd Tohit, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Medicine and Health Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Abhimanyu a/l Veerakumarasivam, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Medicine and Health Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

N. Veera Sekaran a/l Nadarajan, PhD

Associate Professor
University of Malaya
Malaysia
(External Examiner)



ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 15 December 2015

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Sabariah Md Noor, M.Path.

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Zainina Seman, M. Path.

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Subramanian Yegappan, MBBS

Consultant Haematopathologist
Department of Haematology
Hospital Ampang
(Member)

Chang Kian Meng, MRCP, FRCP, FRCPA

Head and Consultant Haematologist
Department of Haematology
Hospital Ampang
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: A Angeli a/p Ambayya @ Ampiah (GS33730)

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman of Supervisory
Committee : Sabariah Md Noor, M.Path.

Signature: _____
Name of Member of Supervisory
Committee : Zainina Seman, M.Path.

Signature: _____
Name of Member of Supervisory
Committee : Subramanian Yegappan, MBBS

Signature: _____
Name of Member of Supervisory
Committee : Chang Kian Meng,
MRCP, FRCP, FRCPA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
CHAPTER	
1	
INTRODUCTION	1
2	
LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Leukaemia	4
2.2 Types of Leukaemia	4
2.3 Incidence of Acute Leukaemia	4
2.4 Aetiology of Leukaemia	4
2.5 Leukaemogenesis	6
2.6 Clinical Presentation	8
2.7 AML Diagnosis	8
2.8 Genome wide analysis using array based techniques	9
2.8.1 Comparative Genomic Hybridization (CGH)	9
2.8.1.1 Array CGH Protocol	11
2.8.2 Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP)	14
2.8.3 Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) Array	14
2.8.3.1 Copy Neutral Loss of Heterozygosity (CN-LOH)	16
2.8.4. CGH+ SNP in a single array	17
2.8.5. Limitations of SNP array and CGH array	17
2.9 Matched Normal Genomic DNA	17
2.10 Studies on AML using array CGH and SNP Array	18
2.11 Classification of Leukaemia	21
2.11.1 Earlier Classification of AML	21
2.11.2 WHO Classification of Leukaemia	22
2.12 Prognostic factors	23
2.13 Treatment in leukaemia	24
3	
METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Study Design	25
3.2 Type of Study	25
3.2.1 Sample size calculation	25
3.3 Ethical consideration	26
3.4 Data collection	26
3.5 DNA Extraction	28

	3.5.1 gDNA Quantitation and Quality Analysis	28
	3.5.1.1 NanoDrop ND-1000 UV-VIS Spectrophotometer	28
	3.5.1.2 Fluorometry	28
	3.5.1.3 Gel Electrophoresis	28
	3.6 CGH+SNP Genomic DNA Analysis Protocol	29
	3.7 CGH+SNP Analyses	34
	3.7.1 Paired tumour and germline DNA analyses	34
	3.7.2 Exclusion of germline lesions for International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature (ISCN), 2013	35
	3.7.3 Exon resolution gene analysis	35
	3.8 Conventional Karyotyping, FISH Testing, Molecular and Immunophenotyping	35
	3.8.1 Karyotyping and Molecular Genetics	35
	3.8.2 Flow cytometry Immunophenotyping	35
	3.9 Association of prognosis with clinical and other laboratory findings	36
	3.10 Statistical Analyses	36
4	RESULTS	
	4.1 Sample Collection	37
	4.2 DNA integrity, quality and purity	39
	4.3 CGH+SNP Sample Processing	41
	4.3.1. Sample labelling and QC Metrics	41
	4.4 Data analysis using Cytogenomics software	44
	4.5 Consideration in data interpretation	44
	4.5.1. Percentage of leukaemic cells and clonal fraction of Tumour DNA in CGH+SNP analysis	48
	4.5.2. Gains in the telomeric regions of chromosome 14q32.33	49
	4.5.3. Region of losses and gains in chromosome 9p13.1-p11.2	51
	4.5.4. Region of undulating “waves” in chromosome 16q21	53
	4.5.5. Delineation of CN-LOHs from losses in chromosomes	56
	4.6 Paired tumour and germline DNA analysis	58
	4.6.1 Somatic Genomic Aberrations	58
	4.6.2 Germline Only Genomic Aberrations	60
	4.7 Findings in the additional custom probes	61
	4.8 Exclusion of Germline Lesions in the CGH+SNP Analysis	62
	4.9 Comparison of CGH+SNP Analysis and Karyotyping	62
	4.10 CGH+SNP Findings and Other Laboratory Findings of the Subjects	65
	4.11 Correlation with clinical and other laboratory findings	71
5	DISCUSSION	
	5.1 Distribution of subjects in this study	73
	5.2 DNA Quality and Sample Processing	73

5.3 Data Analysis	73
5.4 Array CGH+SNP findings in this study	74
5.5 Comparison of CGH+SNP Findings and Karyotyping	77
5.6 Findings from this study, comparison with other studies	78
5.7 Frequent and recurrent genomic aberration detected in this study	79
5.8 Prognosis and association with other clinic-biological parameters	81
6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES	82
REFERENCES	84
APPENDICES	94
BIODATA OF STUDENT	150
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	151

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Cytogenetics classification of AML	21
2.2	WHO classification of acute myeloid leukaemia (2008)	22
2.3	Prognostic Groups in AML	24
3.1	Custom Agilent SurePrint G3 CGH+SNP 180K Design	29
3.2	Additional probes for custom CGH+SNP array (genes covered at every exon)	30
3.3	Additional probes for custom CGH+SNP array	30
4.1	Characteristic of the samples collected in this study	37
4.2	Characteristics of included subjects	38
4.3	Laboratory findings of included subjects	39
4.4	Summary of Quality Control for Tumour and Germline DNA	42
4.5	Summary of percentage of leukaemic cells in each subject and clonal fraction generated by Cytogenomics software	48
4.6	Total chromosomal aberrations and types seen in tumour	59
4.7	Recurrent genomic aberrations detected in tumour	59
4.8	Chromosomes and types of aberrations detected in germline only	61
4.9	Additional custom probes findings	62
4.10	CGH+SNP findings and subjects with normal karyotype	63
4.11	CGH+SNP findings and subjects with abnormal karyotype	64
4.12	CGH+SNP findings and subjects with no analysable chromosome spread	65
4.13	CGH+SNP findings and subjects with cases with no karyotype available	65
4.14	Prognosis groups of the subjects	66
5.1	Comparison of findings from various studies with this study	79

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page	
2.1	Mutation categories reported by Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network organized into groups of associated genes	7
2.2	Oligo aCGH detected additional complexity missed by BAC aCGH	10
2.3	CGH gDNA Labelling and Hybridization	11
2.4	Schematic view of Chromosome View and CGH Data Output	12
2.5	Clonal Heterogeneity Indicators	13
2.6	SNP Array Workflow and Interpretation	15
2.7	Schematic view of CN-LOH in the q arm of chromosome 11	16
2.8	Partial karyogram of chromosome 17 and X from case 7	20
2.9	Prognostic groups in younger adults and the frequency	24
3.1	Study Design	27
3.2	Array CGH+SNP Workflow for Tumour DNA	32
3.3	Array CGH+SNP Workflow for Germline DNA	33
4.1	Distribution of subjects according to age groups of below 60 and above 60	38
4.2	Gel Image of electrophoretic separation of samples using TapeStation.	40
4.3	Electrophoretogram of Sample S01 using TapeStation	40
4.4	Electrophoretogram of Sample S01, S02 and S03 showing regions of digested DNA	41
4.5	Spot finding of the four corners of the array four spots	42
4.6	Histograms of signals plot (red) displaying the signal level and the distribution of the signal	42
4.7	Histograms of signals plot (green) displaying the signal level and the distribution of the signal	43
4.8	Spatial distributions of the positive and negative log/ratios	43
4.9	Linear normalization	44
4.10	Chromosome 7 of subject S30	45
4.11	Chromosome 4 of Subject S08	46
4.12	Chromosome 12 of subject S09	47
4.13	Gain in 14q32.33 as seen in a male patient (S29) and a deletion in the Agilent male reference DNA at the same region.	50
4.14	Loss in chromosome 9p13.1-p11.2 seen in case S29	52
4.15	Loss in chromosome 9p13.1-p11.2 seen in case S06	52
4.16	Reproducible regions of equal copy numbers with small variations with an increase in the average CGH log ratio (AvgCGHLR) seen in tumour DNA of patient S06	54
4.17	Reproducible regions of equal copy numbers with small variations with an increase in the average CGH log ratio (AvgCGHLR) seen in germline DNA of patient S09	55
4.18	Areas in green shades depicts regions of CN-LOH seen in patient S26 where the 2n copy numbers retained but heterozygosity was lost	57

4.19	Areas in green shades depicts regions LOH seen in patient S30 where loss of copy numbers seen in CGH data and supported by SNP data	57
4.20	Types of aberrations and sizes present in tumour	58
4.21	Types of aberrations and sizes present in germline only	60
4.22	Heatmap depicting prognosis based on molecular and cytogenetic findings and other laboratory findings in this study	70
4.23	Prognosis groups according to gender	72
4.24	Prognosis groups in below 60 group	72



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A	Adenine
aCGH	array CGH
ACMG	American College of Medical Genetics
ADM-2	Aberration Detection Method-2
AML	Acute myeloid leukaemia
AML	Acute myeloid leukaemia
AML M0	Undifferentiated acute myeloblastic leukemia
AML M1	Acute myeloblastic leukemia with minimal maturation
AML M2	Acute myeloblastic leukemia with maturation
AML M3	Acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL)
AML M4	Acute myelomonocytic leukemia
AML M5	Acute monocytic leukemia
AML M6	Acute erythroid leukemia
AML M7	Acute megakaryoblastic leukemia
AML-NK	Normal karyotype AML
AvgCGHLR	Average CGH log ratio
BAC	Bacterial artificial chromosomes
BM	Bone marrow
C	Cytosine
CD	Cluster of differentiation
CGH	Comparative genomic hybridization
ChIP	Chromatin ImmunoPrecipitation
Chr	Chromosome
CN	Copy number
CN-LOH	Copy neutral loss of heterozygosity
CNV	copy number variation
CR	Complete remission
Cy3	Cyanine 3-dUTP
Cy5	Cyanine 5-dUTP
dCTP	dCTP-coupled fluorophores with dUTP-c
DFS	disease-free survival
DFS	Disease-free survival
DGV	Database of Genomic Variants

DIC	disseminated intravascular coagulation
DLRSD	Derivative Log2 Ratio Standard Deviation
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
dUTP	Deoxyuridine triphosphate
FFPE	Formalin fixed paraffin embedded
FISH	Fluorescence in situ Hybridization
G	Guanine
gDNA	gDNA
GRCh37	Genome Reference Consortium 37
HSC	Haematopoietic stem cell
ISCN	International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature
kb	kilobase
LSC	Leukaemic stem cells
Mb	Megabase
MDS	Myelodysplastic syndrome
miRNA	micro RNA
MLPA	Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification
MPO	Myeloperoxidase
MRD	Minimal residual disease
NSE	Non-specific esterase
OS	Overall survival
PAC	P1-derived artificial chromosomes
PAS	Periodic acid Schiff
PB	Peripheral Blood
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
QC	Quality control
qPCR	quantitative PCR
RT-PCR	Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
SBB	Sudan Black B
SEER	Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results
SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphism
SNP-A	SNP arrays
SPSS	Statistical Package of Social Science
T	Thymine
tCGH	Translocation comparative genomic hybridization
UCSC	University of California, Santa Cruz

UK	United Kingdom
UPD	Uniparental disomy
US	United States
WBC	White blood cell
WHO	World Health Organization
YAC	Yeast artificial chromosome



© COPYRIGHT UPM

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) is a heterogeneous malignant haematopoietic disorder that is characterized by an increase in immature myeloid cells. It is a result of an arrest of normal cell differentiation in the bone marrow. AML is also known as a disease marked by heterogeneity in diagnosis, classification, response to therapy and survival. Recent insights into the genomic landscapes of AML have led to tremendous advancement in understanding the molecular pathogenesis of this disease. Currently, the state-of-the-art in the diagnosis of AML relies on the integration of clinicopathological findings which include morphologic assessment, immunophenotyping analysis, and genetic studies.

Over the past decade, karyotyping of a minimum of 20 metaphase cells has been considered imperative in the classification and prognostication of AML (Simons et al., 2012). Karyotypically, AML can be stratified into three risk based categories: favourable, intermediate and unfavourable based on specific genetic abnormalities detected by conventional cytogenetics and/or fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) (Foran, 2010; Kadia et al., 2014). Cytogenetic information is crucial in identifying translocations, inversions, duplications, deletions and aneuploidies in order to establish diagnosis, prognosis and adjusting specific therapies (Akagi et al., 2009; Le Scouarnec & Gribble, 2011).

Despite providing a genome-wide chromosomal assessment, there are several drawbacks in conventional cytogenetics, in terms of sampling and technical issues. The major issue is the requirement of viable cells that necessitates proper and expedited sample processing. Thus sample quality is critical for this method. Bone marrow is preferable to peripheral blood and the first draw of marrow is recommended whenever possible for karyotyping. Peripheral blood may only yield informative result if the blast counts are higher than 10-20 %. The challenges also lie in dissecting the complex genetic changes due to poor chromosome morphology and indistinct banding. Careful selection of the best metaphases on a slide is likely to bias the analysis towards cells with a normal karyotype and subsequently missing the abnormal clone(s) which could be potentially important contributor to AML pathogenesis (Maciejewski et al., 2009; Vermeesch et al, 2012; Simons et al., 2012).

The accuracy of karyotyping is largely dependent on the number of metaphase and chromosomal banding resolution. Optimal analysis is not possible for the cases with poor metaphases as the location of the chromosomal lesion cannot be clearly defined resulting in underestimation of the degree of chromosomal changes.

Next, conventional cytogenetics require intricate and laborious procedures which involve cell cultures that are tailored to specific cell types. It is also technically demanding as leukaemic cells react differently to various stimuli and this requires more

than one culture. This is due to the varied sensitivity of tumour cells to culture conditions and synchronization procedures. In addition, neoplastic cells are often affected by low mitotic index caused by altered cell kinetics. Leukaemic blast are inclined to undergo apoptosis in culture thus hampering efforts to elucidate chromosomal aberrations. Expertise and meticulous observation are needed to distinguish various random losses from actual aberrations due to technical issues in sample processing (Maciejewski et al., 2009; Vermeesch et al., 2012; Simons et al., 2012; Eklund, 2010).

Progresses in molecular cytogenetics techniques in the last decade have enabled the interrogation of the AML genomic knowledge. The advent of molecular cytogenetics using comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) microarrays have permitted comprehensive genome-wide assessments at resolutions higher than conventional cytogenetics. Molecular karyotyping enables the elucidation of genetic alterations that may have a significant role in the pathogenesis of AML and could lead to better stratification of diagnosis and prognosis. Chances are that tailored or optimized therapy based on genetic findings could pave the way for improvement in responses to treatment, disease-free survival (DFS) and overall-survival rates (OS).

In contrast to conventional cytogenetics, molecular karyotyping does not depend on mitotically dividing cells, as genomic DNA is used instead of metaphase chromosomes (Heinrichs et al., 2010; Vermeesch et al., 2012; Simons et al., 2012; Heinrichs & Look, 2007). For resolution, molecular karyotyping allows the detection of genomic lesions of ~ 400 kb in size, this surpassing conventional cytogenetics (3-5 Mb) (Wizniewska et al., 2014) Microarray platforms facilitate customized probes that are designed down to a single exon resolution permitting detection of submicroscopic genetic lesions including microduplications and microdeletions that may be clinically relevant. Moreover, all DNA, tumour and non-tumour is represented and so there is no selection or bias. Besides copy number variations (CNVs), SNP – array facilitates the detection of copy neutral loss of heterozygosity (CN-LOH), also known as uniparental disomy (UPD), otherwise undetectable by conventional cytogenetics (Heinrichs et al., 2010; O’Keefe et al., 2010; Vermeesch et al., 2012; Maciejewski et al., 2009; Heinrichs & Look, 2007).

Therefore, molecular karyotyping using a combination of CGH+SNP DNA microarray can complement conventional cytogenetics not only in the diagnosis but also in the classification and prognostication of AML. In addition, the discovery of cryptic chromosomal aberrations and novel disease related to genomic regions is possible through the utilization of CGH+SNP DNA microarray in a clinical setting.

Objectives of this study

Main Objective

The major objective of this study is to identify somatically-acquired genetic aberrations and their clinical association or significance in AML by using a CGH+SNP DNA microarray platform.

Specific Objectives

This study embarked with the following specific objectives:

- I. to detect chromosomal aberrations (CNVs and CN-LOHs) on a genome-wide scale using CGH+SNP DNA microarray in AML
- II. to delineate somatic related variants from germline variants in AML
- III. to identify recurrent genomic aberrations in AML
- IV. to compare the findings from karyotyping and that from CGH+SNP DNA microarray analysis
- V. to correlate the prognosis based on karyotyping and molecular genetics with other clinical (age, gender, ethnicity) and laboratory findings (WBC, aberrant antigen expression of CD2, CD4, CD7, CD19 and CD56)

The hypotheses of this study are:

1. CGH+SNP DNA microarray will enable the detection of submicroscopic chromosomal aberrations at a higher resolution as compared to conventional cytogenetics method
2. by comparing tumour versus normal DNA, it would be possible to delineate somatically-acquired genetic aberrations from germline variants in AML
3. CGH+SNP DNA microarray will enable the elucidation of new regions of recurrent genetic aberrations including CNVs and CN-LOHs

REFERENCES

- Abdelrazik, H.N., Farawila, H.M., Sherif, M.A., Al Ansary, M. (2006). *Molecular characterization of chromosome 7 in acute myeloid leukaemia and myelodysplastic patients*. African Journal of Health Sciences 13(3-4): 33-42.
- Abruzzese, E., Rao P.N., Slatkoff, M., Cruz, J., Powell, B.L., Jackle, B., Pettenati, M.J. (1997). *Monosomy X as a recurring sole cytogenetic abnormality associated with myelodysplastic diseases*. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 93(2):140-6.
- Agilent Technologies, Inc. (2011). *Agilent Cytogenomics Reference Guide Version 2.0*.
- Agilent Technologies, Inc. (2014). *Agilent Oligonucleotide Array-Based CGH for Genomic DNA Analysis Enzymatic Labelling for Blood, Cells, or Tissues (with a High Throughput option), Protocol Version 7.3, G4410-90010*.
- Akagi, T., Ogawa, S., Dugas, M., Kawamata, N., Yamamoto, G., Nannya, Y., Sanada, M., Willer, C.W., Yung, A., Schnitterger, S., Haferlach, T., Haferlach, C., Koeffler, P. (2009). *Frequent genomic abnormalities in Acute Myeloid Leukaemia/Myelodysplastic syndrome with normal karyotype*. Haematologica 94: 213-223.
- Aquino, V.M. (2002). *Acute myelogenous leukaemia*. Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care 32:50-58.
- Arne IJpma. Agilent e-seminar series : New Algorithm for CGH+SNP analysis of haematological malignancies of haematological tumours and constitutional samples,
<http://www.chem.agilent.com/Library/eseminars/Public/NewAlgorithms%20for%20CGH%20SNP%20Analysis.pdf>
- Bain, B. J. (2010). *Myeloid and lymphoid neoplasms with eosinophilia and abnormalities of PDGFRA, PDGFRB or FGFR1*. Haematologica, 95(5), 696–698.
- Bain, B.J., (2010). *Leukaemia Diagnosis. 4th edition, London, UK: Wiley-Blackwell*.
- Bains, A., Lu G., Yao, H., Luthra, R., Medeiros, L.J., Sargent, R.L. (2012). *Molecular and clinicopathologic characterization of AML with isolated Trisomy 4*. AJCP 137:387-394.
- Bajaj, R., Xu, F., Xiang, B., Wilcox, K., DiAdamo, A.J., Kumar, R., Pietraszkiewicz, A., Halene, S., Li, P. (2011). *Evidence-based genomic diagnosis characterized chromosomal and cryptic imbalances in 30 elderly patients with myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myeloid leukaemia*. Molecular Cytogenetics 4:3.
- Bennett JM, Catovsky D, Daniel MT, Flandrin G, Galton DA, Gralnick HR, Sultan C (1976). *"Proposals for the classification of the acute leukaemias. French-American-British (FAB) co-operative group"*. Br. J. Haematol. 33 (4): 451–8.

- Bloomfield, C.D., Brunning, R.D. (1985). *The Revised French-American-British Classification of Acute Myeloid Leukaemia: Is New Better?*. *Ann Intern Med.* 103(4):614-616.
- Bonnet D., Dick, J.E. (1997) *Human acute myeloid leukaemia is organized as a hierarchy that originates from a primitive hematopoietic cell.* *Nat Med* 3(7): 730-737.
- Bueno, J.L., Watson, A., Dainton, M.G., Hughes, D.M., Killick, S., Treleaven, J.G., Swansbury, G.J. (1999). *Monosomy X as the Sole Cytogenetic Abnormality in Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia: A Report of Two New Patients.* *Leukaemia & Lymphoma* 32(3-4): 381-384.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. (2013). *Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukaemia.* *N Engl J Med* 368(22):2059-74.
- Cancer Research UK (2014). *Leukaemia (All subtypes combined)*, http://www.publications.cancerresearchuk.org/downloads/Product/CS_KF_LE_UKAEMIA.pdf
- Carter, N.P. (2007). *Methods and strategies for analyzing copy number variation using DNA microarrays.* *Nature Genetics* 39: S16 - S21.
- Cheson, B.D., Bennett, J.M., Kopecky, K.J., Büchner, T., Willman, C.L., Estey, E.H., Schiffer, C.A., Doehner, H., Tallman, M.S., Lister, T.A., Lo-Coco F., Willemze, R., Biondi, A., Hiddemann, W., Larson, R.A., Löwenberg, B., Sanz, M.A., Head, D.R., Ohno, R., Bloomfield, C.D. (2003). *Revised recommendations of the International Working Group for diagnosis, standardization of response criteria, treatment outcomes, and reporting standards for therapeutic trials in acute myeloid leukaemia.* *J Clin Oncol.* 21:4642– 4649.
- Cheson, B.D., Bennett, J.M., Kopecky, K.J., Büchner, T., Willman, C.L., Estey, E.H., Schiffer, C.A., Doehner, H., Tallman, M.S., Lister, T.A., Lo-Coco, F., Willemze, R., Biondi, A., Hiddemann, W., Larson, R.A., Löwenberg, B., Sanz, M.A., Head, D.R., Ohno, R., Bloomfield, C.D; International Working Group for Diagnosis, Standardization of Response Criteria, Treatment Outcomes, and Reporting Standards for Therapeutic Trials in Acute Myeloid Leukaemia.(2003). *Revised recommendations of the International Working Group for Diagnosis, Standardization of Response Criteria, Treatment Outcomes, and Reporting Standards for Therapeutic Trials in Acute Myeloid Leukaemia.* *J Clin Oncol.* 21(24):4642-9.
- Cheson, B.D., Cassileth, P.A., Head, D.R., Schiffer, C.A., Bennet, J.M., Bloomfield, C.D., Brunning, R., Gale, R.P., Grever, M.R., Keating, M.J. (1990). *Report of the National Cancer Institute-Sponsored Workshop on definitions of diagnosis and response in acute myeloid leukaemia.* *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 8: 813–819.
- Cheung W.S., Shaw C.A., Scott D.A., Patel A., Sahoo T., Bacino C.A., Pursley A., Li J., Erickson R., Gropman A.L., Miller D.T., Seashore M.R., Summers A.M.,

- Stankiewicz P., Chinault A.C., Lupski J.R., Beaudet A.L., Sutton V.R. (2007). Microarray-based CGH detects chromosomal mosaicism not revealed by conventional cytogenetics. *American J of Medical Genetics Part 1* 143A:1679-1686.
- Chin, Y.M., Puteri, J.N., Azli, I., Mohd, F.M.A., Zubaidah, Z. (2013). *Cytogenetic profile of de novo acute myeloid leukaemia patients in Malaysia*. *Int J Biomed Sci* 9(1): 26-32.
- Dawod, M. and Hanbali, A. (2012). Prognosis and Survival in Acute Myelogenous Leukaemia, Myeloid Leukaemia - Clinical Diagnosis and Treatment, Dr Steffen Koschmieder (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-886-1, InTech, <http://www.intechopen.com/books/myeloid-leukaemia-clinical-diagnosisand-treatment/prognosis-and-survival-in-acute-myelogenous-leukaemia>
- Deschler, B., Lübbert, M. (2006). *Acute myeloid leukaemia: epidemiology and etiology*. *Cancer* 107(9):2099-107.
- Dohner, H., Estey, E.H., Amadori, S., Appelbaum, F.R., Buchner, T., Burnett, A.K., Dombret, H., Fenaux, P., Grimwade, D., Larson, R.A., Lo-Coco, F., Naoe, T., Niederwieser, D., Ossenkoppele, G.J., Sanz, M.A., Sierra, J., Tallman, M.S., Lowenberg, B., Bloomfield, C.D. (2010). *Diagnosis and management of acute myeloid leukaemia in adults: recommendations from an international expert panel, on behalf of the European LeukaemiaNet*. *Blood* 115:453-474.
- Domingo, E., Moreno, C., Sánchez-Ibarrola, A., Panizo, C., Páramo, J.A., Merino, J. (2010). *Enhanced sensitivity of flow cytometry for routine assessment of minimal residual disease*. *Haematologica* 95(4):691-2.
- Eklund, E. A. (2010). *Genomic Analysis of Acute Myeloid Leukaemia; Potential for New Prognostic Indicators*. *Current Opinion in Hematology* 17(2): 75–78.
- Emanuel, B.S., Saitta, S.C. (2007). *From microscopes to microarrays: dissecting recurrent chromosomal rearrangements*. *Nat Rev Genet* 8(11):869-83.
- Enjeti AK, Leng TS, Sivaswaren CR. Cytogenetic abnormalities in de novo acute myeloid leukaemia in adults: relation to morphology, age, sex and ethnicity – a single center study from Singapore (2004). *The Hematology Journal* 5:419–425.
- Ferrari, S., Grande, A., Zucchini, P., Manfredini, R., Tagliafico, E., Rossi, E., Temperani, P., Torelli, G., Emilia, G., Torelli, U. (1993). *Overexpression of c-kit in a leukaemic cell population carrying a trisomy 4 and its relationship with the proliferative capacity*. *Leuk Lymphoma* 9(6):495-501.
- Fong, C.T., Brodeur, G.M. (1987). *Down's syndrome and leukaemia: epidemiology, genetics, cytogenetics and mechanisms of leukaemogenesis*. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet.* 28:55–76.

- Foran, J.M. (2010). *New prognostic markers in acute myeloid leukaemia: perspective from the clinic*. Haematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2010:47-55.
- Gajewski, J.L., Ho, W.G., Nimer, S.D., Hirji, K.F., Gekelman, L., Jacobs, A.D., Champlin, R.E. (1989). *Efficacy of intensive chemotherapy for acute myelogenous leukaemia associated with a preleukaemic syndrome*. J Clin Oncol. 7(11):1637-45.
- Grimwade, D., Hills, R.K. *Independent prognostic factors for AML outcome*. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2009:385-95.
- Grimwade, D., Hills, R.K., Moorman, A.V., Walker, H., Chatters, S., Goldstone, A.H., Wheatley, K., Harrison, C.J., Burnett, A.K.; National Cancer Research Institute Adult Leukaemia Working Group. (2010). *Refinement of cytogenetic classification in acute myeloid leukaemia: determination of prognostic significance of rare recurring chromosomal abnormalities among 5876 younger adult patients treated in the United Kingdom Medical Research Council trials*. Blood 116(3):354-65.
- Gupta, V., Minden, M.D., Yi, Q.L, Brandwein, J., Chun, K.(2003). *Prognostic significance of trisomy 4 as the sole cytogenetic abnormality in acute myeloid leukaemia*. Leuk Res. 27(11):983-91.
- Hartmann L., Stephenson C.F., Verkamp S.R., Johnson K.R., Burnworth B., Hammock K., Brodersen L.E., de Baca M.E., Wells D.A., Loken M.R., Zehentner B.K. (2014) *Detection of clonal evolution in hematopoietic malignancies by combining comparative genomic hybridization and single nucleotide polymorphism arrays*. Clin Chemistry 60:12.
- Hasserjian, R.P. (2013). *Acute myeloid leukaemia: advances in diagnosis and classification*. Int J Lab Hematol 35(3):358-66.
- Heinrichs, S., & Look, A. T. (2007). *Identification of structural aberrations in cancer by SNP array analysis*. Genome Biology, 8(7), 219.
- Heinrichs, S., Li, C., Look, A.T. (2010). *SNP array analysis in hematologic malignancies: avoiding false discoveries*. Blood 115(21):4157-61. doi: 10.1182/blood-2009-11-203182.
- Hoffman,R., Benz, E.J., Silberstein, L.E., Heslop, H.,Weitz, J., Anastasi, J.(2013). *Hematology 6th Edition, Basic principles and practice*.
- Howlader, N., Noone, A.M., Krapcho, M., Garshell, J., Miller, D., Altekruse, S.F., Kosary, C.L., Yu, M., Ruhl, J., Tatalovich, Z.,Mariotto, A., Lewis, D.R., Chen, H.S., Feuer, E.J., Cronin, K.A. (2013). *SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2011, National Cancer Institute. Bethesda, MD*, http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2011/, based on November 2013 SEER data submission, posted to the SEER web site, April 2014.
- ISCN (2013): *An International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature*, Shaffer LG, McGowan-Jordan J, Schmid M (eds), (S Karger, Basel 2013).

- Jun, H.Y., Jungwon, H., Hee-Jin, K., Sun-Hee, K., Hyeoung-Joon, K., Yeo-Kyeoung, K., Sang, K.S., Joon, H.M., Sung, H.K., Kyoung, H.K., Jong, H.W., Yeung, C.M., Hawk, K., Jinny, P., Chul, W.J., and Donf, H.K. (2011). *Adverse prognostic impact of abnormal lesions detected by genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism array-based karyotyping analysis in acute myeloid leukaemia with normal karyotype*. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 29(35):4702-8.
- Kadia, T.M., Ravandi, F., O'Brien, S., Cortes, J., Kantarjian, H.M. (2014) *Progress in Acute Myeloid Leukaemia*. *Clinical Lymphoma, Myeloma and Leukaemia* 15(3): 139-151.
- Kanaan, M.N., Acharya, U.H., Cui, H., and Roe, D.J. (2014). *Do Ethnicity, Age, or Gender Independently Predict Overall Survival in Patients with Acute Myeloid Leukaemia – a SEER Review of AML Diagnosis from 2004-2007*. Proceedings of 56th American Society of Haematology Annual Meeting and Exposition, San Francisco, December 6-9, 2014.
- Kantarjian, H.M., Keating, M.J. (1987) *Therapy-related leukaemia and myelodysplastic syndrome*. *Semin Oncol* 14:435–443.
- Kelly, L.M., Gilliland, D.G. (2002). *Genetics of myeloid leukaemias*. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet.* 3:179-98.
- Kennedy, J.A., and Barabé, F. (2008). *Investigating human leukaemogenesis: from cell lines to in vivo models of human leukaemia*. *Leukaemia* 22: 2029–2040.
- Kern, W., Haferlach, T., Schoch, C., Löffler, H., Gassmann, W., Heinecke, A., Sauerland, M.C., Berdel, W., Buchner, T., Hiddemann, W. (2003). *Early blast clearance by remission induction therapy is a major independent prognostic factor for both achievement of complete remission and long-term outcome in acute myeloid leukaemia: data from the German AML Cooperative Group (AMLCG) 1992 Trial*. *Blood* 101:64–70.
- Khoury, H., Dalal, B.I., Nantel, S.H., Horsman, D.E., Lavoie, J.C., Shepherd, J.D., Hogge, D.E., Toze, C.L., Song, K.W., Forrest, D.L., Sutherland, H.J., Nevill, T.J. (2004). *Correlation between karyotype and quantitative immunophenotype in acute myelogenous leukaemia with t(8;21)*. *Mod Pathol* 17(10):1211-6.
- Koh, K.N., Lee, J.O., Seo, E. J., Lee, S. W., Suh, J. K., , Im, H.J. , & Seo, J. J. (2014). *Clinical significance of previously cryptic copy number alterations and loss of heterozygosity in pediatric acute myeloid leukaemia and myelodysplastic syndrome determined using combined array comparative genomic hybridization plus single-nucleotide polymorphism microarray analyses*. *Journal of Korean Medical Science* 29(7), 926–933.
- Kühn, M.W., Radtke, I., Bullinger, L., Goorha, S., Cheng, J., Edelman, J., Gohlke, J., Su, X., Paschka, P., Pounds, S., Krauter, J., Ganser, A., Quessar, A., Ribeiro, R., Gaidzik, V.I., Shurtleff, S., Krönke, J., Holzmann, K., Ma, J., Schlenk,

- R.F., Rubnitz, J.E., Döhner, K., Döhner, H., Downing, J.R.(2012). *High-resolution genomic profiling of adult and paediatric core-binding factor acute myeloid leukaemia reveals new recurrent genomic alterations*. Blood 119(10):e67-75.
- LaFramboise, T. (2009). *Single nucleotide polymorphism arrays: a decade of biological, computational and technological advances*. Nucleic Acids Research 37(13), 4181–4193.
- Le Beau, M.M., Albain, K.S., Larson, R.A., Vardiman, J.W., Davis, E.M., Blough, R.R., Golomb, H.M., Rowley, J.D. (1986). *Clinical and cytogenetic correlations in 63 patients with therapy-related myelodysplastic syndromes and acute nonlymphocytic leukaemia: further evidence for characteristic abnormalities of chromosomes no. 5 and 7*. J Clin Oncol. 4(3):325-45.
- Le Scouarnec, S., Gribble, S.M. (2012). *Characterising chromosome rearrangements: recent technical advances in molecular cytogenetics*. Heredity (Edinb) 108(1):75-85.
- Liesveld, J. (2012). *Management of AML: who do we really cure?*. Leuk Res. 36(12):1475-80.
- Lowenberg, B., Downing, J.R, and Burnett, A. (1999). *Acute Myeloid Leukaemia*. N Engl J Med 341:1051-1062.
- Lemeshow S, Hosmer Jr DW, Klar J, Lwanga SK, Editors.(1990). Adequacy of sample size in health studies. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 1990.
- Maciejewski, J.P, Tiu, V., & O’Keefe, C. (2009). *Application of array-based whole genome scanning technologies as a cytogenetic tool in haematological malignancies*. British J of Haem 146:479-488.
- Makishima H. & Maciejewski J.P.(2011) *Pathogenesis and consequences of uniparental disomy in cancer*. Clin Cancer Res 17:3913-3923.
- Marioni, J.C., Thorne, N.P., Valsesia, A., Fitzgerald, T., Redon, R., Fiegler, H., Andrews, T.D., Stranger, B.E., Lynch, A.G., Dermitzakis, E.T., Carter, N.P., Tavaré, S, Hurler, M.E. (2007). *Breaking the waves: improved detection of copy number variation from microarray-based comparative genomic hybridization*. Genome Biol. 8(10):R228.
- Mehrotra, M., Luthra, M., Ravandi, F., Sargent, R.L., Abraham, R., Mishra, B.M., Medeiros, L.J. , Patel, K.P. (2014). *Identification of clinically important chromosomal aberrations in acute myeloid leukaemia by array-based comparative genomic hybridization*. Leukaemia & Lymphoma 55(11): 2538-2548.
- National Human Genome Research Institute (2012), <http://www.genome.gov/10001688>

- Neill, N.J., Torchia, B.S., Bejjani B.A., Shaffer, L.G., Ballif, B.C. (2010). *Comparative analysis of copy number detection by whole-genome BAC and oligonucleotide array CGH*. Mol Cytogenet. 3:11.
- Neugut, A.I., Robinson, E., Nieves, J., Murray, T., Tsai, W.Y. (1990). *Poor survival of treatment-related acute nonlymphocytic leukaemia*. JAMA. 264:1006–1008.
- O'Brien, E.C., Prideaux, S., and Chevassut, T. (2014). *The Epigenetic Landscape of Acute Myeloid Leukaemia*. Advances in Hematology: Article ID 103175, 15 pages, 2014.
- O'Keefe, C., McDevitt, M., Maciejewski, J.P. (2010). *Copy neutral loss of heterozygosity: a novel chromosomal lesion in myeloid malignancies*. Blood 115(14):2731-2739.
- Pedersen-Bjergaard, J., Philip, P., Larsen, S.O., Andersson, M., Daugaard, G., Ersboll, J., Hansen, S.W., Hou-Jensen, K., Nielsen, D., Sigsgaard, T.C., Specht, L., Osterlind, K. (1993). *Therapy-related myelodysplasia and acute myeloid leukaemia. Cytogenetic characteristics of 115 consecutive cases and risk in seven cohorts of patients treated intensively for malignant diseases in the Copenhagen series*. Leukaemia 7:1975-1986.
- Pogoda, J.M., Preston-Martin, S, Nichols, P.W., Ross, R.K. (2002). *Smoking and risk of acute myeloid leukaemia: results from a Los Angeles country case-control study*. Am J Epidemiol 155:546-553.
- Population distribution and basic demographic characteristics 2010. Released in 2011. Department of Statistics, Malaysia, <http://www.statistics.gov.my>
- Potzsch, C., Voigtlander, T., Lubbert, M. (2002). *p53 Germline mutation in a patient with Li-Fraumeni Syndrome and three metachronous malignancies*. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 128:456–460.
- Preston, D.L., Kusumi, S., Tomonaga, M., Izumi, S., Ron, E., Kuramoto, A., Kamada, N., Dohy, H., Matsui, T., Nonaka, H., Thompson, D.E., Soda, M., Mabuchi, K. (1994). *Cancer incidence in atomic bomb survivors. Part III. Leukaemia, lymphoma and multiple myeloma, 1950–1987*. Radiat Res. 137(2 Suppl):S68–97.
- Przybytkowski, E., Aguilar-Mahecha, A., Nabavi, S., Tonellato, P.J., Basik, M. (2013). *Ultradense array CGH and discovery of micro-copy number alterations and gene fusions in the cancer genome*. Methods Mol Biol 973:15-38.
- Pui, C.H, Ribeiro, R.C., Hancock, M.L., Rivera, G.K., Evans, W.E., Raimondi, S.C., Head, D.R., Behm, F.G., Mahmoud MH, Sandlund JT, and Crist W.M. (1991). *Acute myeloid leukaemia in children treated with epipodophyllotoxins for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia*. N Engl J Med. 325(24):1682-7.
- Pui, C.H. (1995). *Childhood leukaemias*. N Engl J Med 332:1618-1630.
- Raghavan, M., Smith, L.L., Lillington, D.M., Chaplin, T., Kakkas, I., Molloy, G., Chelala, C., Cazier, J.B., Cavenagh, J.D., Fitzgibbon, J., Lister, T.A., Young,

- B.D. (2008). *Segmental uniparental disomy is a commonly acquired genetic event in relapsed acute myeloid leukaemia*. Blood 112(3):814-821.
- Raj K. (2013). *Acute leukaemia*. Medicine 41(5):269-274.
- Rasi, S., Bruscazzin, A., Rinaldi, A., Cresta, S., Fangazio, M., De Paoli, L., Monti, S., Gargiulo, E., Kwee, I., Foà, R., Bertoni, F., Gaidano, G., Rossi, D. (2011). *Saliva is a reliable and practical source of germline DNA for genome-wide studies in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia*. Leuk Res 35(10):1419-22.
- Reynolds, P., Von Behren, J., Elkin, E.P. (2002). *Birth characteristics and leukaemia in young children*. Am J Epidemiol. 155: 603-613.
- Roboz, G.J., Guzman, M. (2009). *Acute myeloid leukaemia stem cells: seek and destroy*. Expert Rev Hematol 2(6):663-72.
- Röllig, C., Bornhäuser, M., Thiede, C., Taube, F., Kramer, M., Mohr, B., Aulitzky, W., Bodenstein, H., Tischler, H.J., Stuhlmann, R., Schuler, U., Stölzel, F., von Bonin, M., Wandt, H., Schäfer-Eckart, K., Schaich, M., Ehninger, G. (2011). *Long-term prognosis of acute myeloid leukaemia according to the new genetic risk classification of the European LeukemiaNet recommendations: Evaluation of the proposed reporting system*. Journal of Clinical Oncology 29(20):2758-65.
- Roman, E., Simpson J. , Ansell P., Kinsey S. , Mitchell C. D. , McKinney P. A., Birch J. M., Greaves M.T. Eden on behalf of the United Kingdom Childhood Cancer Study Investigators.(2006). *Childhood Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia and Infections in the First Year of Life: A Report from the United Kingdom Childhood Cancer Study*. American Journal of Epidemiology Vol. 165, No. 5.
- Rücker, F.G., Bullinger, L., Schwaenen, C., Lipka, D.B., Wessendorf, S., Fröhling, S., Bentz, M., Miller, S., Scholl, C., Schlenk, R.F., Radlwimmer, B., Kestler, H.A., Pollack, J.R., Lichter, P., Döhner, K., Döhner, H. (2006). *Disclosure of candidate genes in acute myeloid leukaemia with complex karyotypes using microarray-based molecular characterization*. Journal of Clinical Oncology 24(24):3887-3894.
- Savitz, D, A., Andrews, K.W. (1997). *Review of epidemiologic evidence on benzene and lymphatic and haematopoietic cancers*. Am J Ind Med 31:287-295.
- Schnittger, S., Kohl, T.M., Haferlach, T., Kern, W., Hiddemann, W., Spiekermann, K., Schoch, C. (2006). *KIT-D816 mutations in AML1-ETO-positive AML are associated with impaired event-free and overall survival*. Blood. 107(5):1791-9.
- Simons, A., Sikkema-Raddatz, B., de Leeuw, N., Konrad, N. C., Hastings, R. J. and Schoumans, J. (2012). *Genome-wide arrays in routine diagnostics of haematological malignancies*. Hum. Mutat., 33: 941-948.

- South, S.T., Lee, C., Lamb, A.N., Higgins, A.W, and Kearney, H.M.; for the Working Group for the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) Laboratory Quality Assurance Committee. (2013). *ACMG Standards and Guidelines for constitutional cytogenomic microarray analysis, including postnatal and prenatal applications: revision 2013*. *Genetics in Medicine* 15: 901–909.
- Tchinda, J., Lee, C. (2006). *Detecting copy number variation in the human genome using comparative genomic hybridization*. *Biotechniques* 41(4): 385-392.
- The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. (2013). *Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukaemia*. *N Engl J Med* 368:22.
- Tiu, R.V., Gondek, L.P., O'Keefe, C.L., Elson, P., Huh, J., Mohamedali, A., Kulasekararaj, A., Advani, A.S., Paquette, R., List, A.F., Sekeres, M.A., McDevitt, M.A., Mufti, G.J., Maciejewski, J.P. (2011). *Prognostic impact of SNP array karyotyping in myelodysplastic syndromes and related myeloid malignancies*. *Blood* 117(17):4552-60.
- Toogeh, G.H., Keyhani A.H.N.M. (2013). *Cytogenetics findings in acute myeloid leukaemia*. *Acta Medica Iranica* 41(4), 227-232. Retrieved from <http://acta.tums.ac.ir/index.php/acta/article/view/2693>.
- Valli, R., Marletta, C., Pressato, B., Montalbano, G., Lo Curto, F., Pasquali, F., & Maserati, E. (2011). *Comparative genomic hybridization on microarray (a-CGH) in constitutional and acquired mosaicism may detect as low as 8% abnormal cells*. *Molecular Cytogenetics*, 4, 13.
- van der Veken, L.T., Buijs, A. (2011). *Array CGH in human leukaemia: from somatics to genetics*. *Cytogenet Genome Res* 135(3-4):260-70.
- Vardiman, J.W., Harris, N.L., Brunning, R.D. (2002). *The World Health Organization (WHO) classification of the myeloid neoplasms*. *Blood* 100(7):2292-302.
- Vardiman, J.W., Thiele, J., Arber, D.A., Brunning, R.D., Borowitz, M.J., Porwit, A., Harris, N.L., Le Beau, M.M., Hellstrom-Lindberg, E., Tefferi, A., Bloomfield, C.D. (2009). *The 2008 revision of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukaemia: rationale and important changes*. *Blood* 114: 937-951.
- Veigaard, C., Nørgaard, J.M., Kjeldsen, E. (2011). *Genomic profiling in high hyperdiploid acute myeloid leukaemia: a retrospective study of 19 cases*. *Cancer Genet* 204(9):516-21.
- Vermeesch, J.R., Brady, P.D., Sanlaville, D., Kok, K., Hastings, R.J. (2012). *Genome-wide arrays: quality criteria and platforms to be used in routine diagnostics*. *Hum Mutat* 33(6):906-15.
- Walter, K, Cockerill, P.N., Barlow, R., Clarke, D., Hoogenkamp, M., Follows, G.A., Richards, S.J., Cullen, M.J., Bonifer, C., Tagoh, H. (2010). *Aberrant expression of CD19 in AML with t(8;21) involves a poised chromatin structure and PAX5*. *Oncogene* 29(20):2927-37.

- Walter, M.J., Payton, J.E., Ries, R.E., Shannon, W.D., Deshmukh, H., Zhao, Y., Baty, J., Heath, S., Westervelt, P., Watson, M.A., Tomasson, M.H., Nagarajan, R., O'Gara, B.P., Bloomfield, C.D., Mrózek K., Selzer R.R., Richmond T.A., Kitzman J., Geoghegan J., Eis P.S., Maupin K., Fulton R.S., McLellan M., Wilson R.K., Mardis E.R., Link D.C., Graubert T.A., DiPersio J.F., and Ley T.J. (2009) *Acquired copy number alterations in adult acute myeloid leukaemia genomes*. PNAS 2009 106 (31): 12950-12955.
- Wisniewska, J., Bi W., Shaw, C., Stankiewicz, P., Kang, S.H.L., Pursley, A.M., Lalani, S., Hixson, P., Gambin, T., Tsai, C., Bock, H.G., Descartes, M., Probst F.J., Scaglia, F., Beaudet, A.L., Lupski, J.L., Eng, C., Cheung, S.W., Bacino, C., and Patel, A. (2014). *Combined array CGH plus SNP genome analyses in a single assay for optimised clinical testing*. European Journal of Human Genetics 22: 79-87.
- Yalcin, A., Serin, M.S., Emekdas, G., Tiftik, N., Aslan, G., Eskandari, G., Tezcan, S. (2009). *Promoter methylation of P15(INK4B) gene is possibly associated with parvovirus B19 infection in adult acute leukaemias*. Int J Lab Hematol 31(4):407-19.
- Yatsenko, S.A., Shaw, C.A., Ou, Z., Pursley, A.N., Patel, A., Bi, W., Cheung, S.W., Lupski, J.R., Chinault, A.C., Beaudet, A.L. (2009). *Microarray-based comparative genomic hybridization using sex-matched reference DNA provides greater sensitivity for detection of sex chromosome imbalances than array-comparative genomic hybridization with sex-mismatched reference DNA*. J Mol Diagn 11(3):226-37.
- Ylstra, B., van den Ijssel, P., Carvalho, B., Brakenhoff, R.H., Meijer, G.A. (2006). *BAC to the future! Or oligonucleotides: a perspective for micro array comparative genomic hybridization (array CGH)*. Nucleic Acids Res. 34(2):445-50.
- Zainal, A.O., Nor Saleha, I.T. (2011). *National Cancer Registry Report 2007, Ministry of Health, Malaysia*.
- Zaki, M.E., Ashray, R.E. (2010). *Clinical and hematological study for Parvovirus b19 infection in children with acute leukaemia*. Int J Lab Hematol 32(2):159-66.
- Zhang, R., Lee, J.Y., Wang, X., Xu, W., Hu, X., Lu, X., Niu, Y., Tang, R., Li, S., Li, Y. (2014). *Identification of novel genomic aberrations in AML-M5 in a level of array CGH*. PLoS One 11: 9(4):e87637.