



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***ONTOLOGY BASED RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM FOR RESEARCH  
COMMUNITIES***

**SITI HAJAR BINTI ABDUL RAZAK**

**FSKTM 2015 11**



**ONTOLOGY BASED RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM FOR RESEARCH  
COMMUNITIES**

**By**

**SITI HAJAR BINTI ABDUL RAZAK**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in  
Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science**

**May 2015**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



*I dedicated this thesis, especially to:*

*My awesome Husband,*

*Muhammad Ashraf bin Mohamad Jalani*

*My wonderful Parents,*

*Abdul Razak bin Abdul Rashid &  
Siti Esah binti Md. Hussain*

*My beloved Daughter*

*Siti Safiya binti Muhammad Ashraf*



COPYRIGHT

COPYRIGHT

UPM

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

## **ONTOLOGY BASED RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM FOR RESEARCH COMMUNITIES**

By

**SITI HAJAR BINTI ABDUL RAZAK**

**May, 2015**

**Chairman: Professor Rusli bin Hj. Abdullah, PhD**  
**Faculty: Computer Science and Information Technology**

The enhancement of Virtual Community of Practice (VCoP) among research universities (RU) in Malaysia has led to a proper system model for new researchers to ensure that they are joining the right research communities effectively. It is important for researchers to capture and express their expertise in a form that can be easily accessed and used by others. Besides, it has led to devise a proper system model to group researchers in a community with mutual research interest since there is a limitation of system model in finding the right people to work together. The formation of virtual research communities in RU is known as VCoP where it provides a flexible way for researchers to interact, reuse, and share knowledge virtually. In this context, researchers are lecturers, as well as postdoctoral and postgraduate students. Thus, this research had adopted the Quick Ontology Mapping (QOM) as an ontology mapping technique since this technique had been proven to be effective and the applications of ontology are to classify, as well as to model the VCoP. In addition, the aim of this research was to propose an ontology-based model in recommending research groups to new researchers, besides proving the effectiveness of the system model that implemented the ontology mapping technique. The proposed system model was constructed based on literature review. Then, a case study was conducted upon the research community at the Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology (FCSIT) in Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM). The aim of this case study was to validate the elements of the proposed system model. As a result, the research findings showed that: (i) most of the respondents agreed that there was a need to group the researchers in the same field, and (ii) most of the respondents agreed that the proposed system model could recommend suitable research groups based on their interests. Apart from that, in order to prove the effectiveness of the proposed system model, this study compared the ontology mapping with simple matching technique. The purpose of this comparison had been to differentiate the mapping technique with and without ontology for it to function in a more relevant manner based on user's perspective. With that, a system prototype was developed to transform the proposed system model into a more workable state. Then, a post survey was conducted to prove the effectiveness of the system prototype. In addition, the results were analyzed by using Rasch Model since

this tool has been proven good in analyzing small sample size. The findings indicated that: 58% of respondents completely agreed (Strongly Agreed and Agreed) with the implementation of ontology mapping technique, and (ii) most of the respondents like the way ontology mapping technique recommended the research group. Hence, this research showed that this system model could be used as a guide to recommend research groups to new researchers. Finally, this proposed system model could be used by other communities to group their members with similar knowledge. Indirectly, knowledge can be shared and reused effortlessly.



Abstrak tesis ini dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

## SISTEM CADANGAN BERASASKAN ONTOLOGI UNTUK KOMUNITI PENYELIDIKAN

Oleh  
**SITI HAJAR BINTI ABDUL RAZAK**

Mei, 2015

**Pengerusi:** Professor Rusli bin Hj. Abdullah, PhD  
**Fakulti:** Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat

Peningkatan komuniti amalan maya (*VCoP*) di antara Universiti Penyelidikan (*RU*) di Malaysia membawa kepada keperluan untuk mempunyai sebuah model sistem yang sesuai untuk penyelidik baharu bagi memastikan mereka menyertai kumpulan penyelidikan yang betul secara berkesan. Ini adalah penting untuk penyelidik mengambil dan menyatakan kepakaran mereka dalam bentuk yang mudah diakses dan boleh digunakan oleh penyelidik lain. Oleh hal yang demikian, sebuah model sistem yang sesuai telah dirangka untuk mengumpul penyelidik di dalam komuniti yang mempunyai minat penyelidikan yang sama kerana kekurangan model sistem dalam mencari orang yang tepat untuk bekerjasama. Pembentukan komuniti penyelidikan maya dalam *RU* dikenali sebagai *VCoP* di mana ia adalah cara yang fleksibel untuk penyelidik berinteraksi, mengguna semula, dan berkongsi pengetahuan secara maya. Dalam konteks ini, penyelidik merupakan pensyarah, juga *pasca* kedoktoran, dan pelajar *pasca* siswazah. Seterusnya, kajian ini telah menggunakan *Quick Ontology Mapping (QOM)* sebagai teknik pemetaan *ontology* kerana teknik ini telah terbukti berkesan dan penggunaan *ontology* adalah untuk mengelaskan dan juga untuk memodelkan *VCoP*. Di samping itu, tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mencadangkan sebuah model sistem yang berasaskan *ontology* untuk mencadangkan kumpulan penyelidikan kepada penyelidik baharu, dan membuktikan keberkesanan model sistem yang melaksanakan teknik pemetaan ontologi. Model sistem yang dicadangkan dibina berdasarkan kepada *literature*. Kemudian, satu kajian kes telah dijalankan di kalangan komuniti penyelidikan di Fakulti Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat (FSKTM), Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM). Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengesahkan model sistem yang dicadangkan. Keputusan menunjukkan: (i) kebanyakan responden bersetuju bahawa terdapat keperluan untuk mengumpul penyelidik dalam bidang yang sama dan; (ii) kebanyakan responden bersetuju bahawa model sistem yang dicadangkan boleh mengesyorkan kumpulan penyelidikan yang sesuai berdasarkan minat mereka. Selain daripada itu, untuk membuktikan keberkesanan model sistem yang dicadangkan, kajian ini mengambil keputusan untuk membandingkan teknik pemetaan ontologi dengan teknik padanan ringkas. Tujuan perbandingan ini adalah untuk membezakan teknik pemetaan dengan *ontology* dan tanpa *ontology* untuk

menjadikannya lebih relevan berdasarkan kepada perspektif pengguna. Dengan itu, sebuah sistem prototaip telah dibangunkan untuk mengubah model sistem yang dicadangkan ke dalam fasa yang lebih berkesan. Kemudian, satu kajian pasca telah dijalankan untuk membuktikan keberkesanan model sistem prototaip. Selain itu, keputusan telah dianalisis menggunakan *Model Rasch* kerana alat ini terbukti berkesan dalam menganalisis sampel saiz yang kecil. Hasil kajian menunjukkan: (i) 58% daripada responden sepenuhnya bersetuju (sangat bersetuju dan bersetuju) dengan penggunaan teknik pemetaan *ontology*, dan (ii) kebanyakan responden bersetuju dengan cara teknik pemetaan *ontology* mencadangkan kumpulan penyelidikan. Justeru, kajian menunjukkan model sistem ini boleh digunakan sebagai panduan untuk mencadangkan kumpulan penyelidikan kepada penyelidik baharu. Akhir sekali, model sistem yang dicadangkan ini boleh digunakan oleh komuniti lain untuk mengumpul ahli yang mempunyai pengetahuan yang sama. Secara tidak langsung, pengetahuan boleh dikongsi dan digunakan semula dengan lebih mudah.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thank Allah the Almighty, for all the blessings. Alhamdulillahirabbil'amin and Peace and blessing to beloved Prophet Muhammad S.A.W. Allahummasolia'la Muhammad.

I would like to give a big thank to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Rusli bin Hj. Abdullah, and my co- supervisor, Associated Professor Dr. MasrahAzrifahbintiAzmi Murad for their invaluable advice and assistance throughout the course of this research.

Special thanks goes to my parents, Abdul Razak bin Abdul Rashid and SitiEsahbinti Md. Hussain, my beloved husband, Muhammad Ashraf bin Mohamad Jalani which have been there through all the times and always told me to be patience and to never give up. Thanks to my family members and my friends who always helps me when I'm in need. You know who you are.

Last but not least, I would like to thank to Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP), Ministry of Education Malaysia (MOE), and Research University Grants Schema (RUGS) that was sponsored my studies and my research project as well.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 25 May 2015 to conduct the final examination of Siti Hajar binti Abdul Razak on her thesis entitled "Ontology-Based Recommendation System For Research Communities" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Rusli Abdullah, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty Computer Science and Information Technology  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Hamidah Ibrahim, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty Computer Science and Information Technology  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Marzanah A. Jabar, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty Computer Science and Information Technology  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Shahrul Azman Mohd Noah, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Information Science and Technology  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia  
Malaysia  
(External Examiner)

---

**ZULKARNAIN ZAINAL, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 27 October 2015

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Rusli bin Hj. Abdullah, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Science Computer and Information Technology  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Masrah Azrifah Azmi Murad, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Science Computer and Information Technology  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

.....  
**BUJANG KIM HUAT, PHD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: \_\_\_\_\_

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of  
Chairman of  
Supervisory  
Committee:

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Questions	3
1.4 Research Objectives	3
1.5 Research Contribution	3
1.6 Scope of Research	3
<b>2 LITERATUREREVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 .Introduction	5
2.2 Communities of Practice (CoP) and Virtual Communities of Practice (VCoP)	5
2.3 Recommendation System Model	6
2.3.1 Collaborative Filtering (CF)	6
2.3.2 Content-based Filtering	6
2.3.3 Knowledge-based Recommendation	7
2.3.4 Summary of the Recommendation System Model	7
2.4 Ontology	8
2.4.1 Ontology Tools	8
2.4.2 Uses of Ontology	8
2.4.3 Web-Ontology Languages (OWL)	9
2.4.4 Ontology Modeling	10
2.4.5 Ontology Classifications	10
2.4.6 Ontology Applications in CoP and VCoP	11
2.4.7 Ontology Mapping	12
2.4.8 Benefits of Ontology	14
2.5 Related Works	14
2.6 Summary	15
<b>3 METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>16</b>
3.1 Introduction	16
3.2 Research Methodology	16
3.2.1 Phase 1: Proposed System Model	17

3.2.2	Phase 2: The Translation of the Model into the Prototype System	20
3.3	The Ontology Mapping Process in the Development of the Prototype System Model	22
3.4	Ontology Hierarchy for Research Communities	22
3.6	Process Diagram	26
3.7	Summary	27
<b>4</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPOSED SYSTEM MODEL AND ITS ARCHITECTURE - A CASE STUDY</b>	<b>28</b>
4.1	Introduction	28
4.2	Administration of the Preliminary Survey	28
4.2.1	Preliminary Survey Results and Analysis	28
4.3	Architecture Layer and Functionality	35
4.4	Summary	36
<b>5</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF THE OVCOP SYSTEM MODEL</b>	<b>37</b>
5.1	Introduction	37
5.2	Software Requirement Specification (SRS) Documentation	37
5.3	The Development of the System Model (OVCoP) Prototype	37
5.3.1	Mapping Technique Implementation	38
5.4	Interface of the OVCoP System	42
5.5	System Validation and Testing	46
5.6	User Manual for Prototype System (OVCoP System)	46
5.7	Summary	46
<b>6</b>	<b>ANALYSIS OF THE PROTOTYPE SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION</b>	<b>47</b>
6.1	Introduction	47
6.2	System Prototype Testing and Evaluation	47
6.3	Results and Analysis of the Post Survey	47
6.3.1	Statistical Analysis	48
6.3.2	Technical Analysis	52
6.4	Summary	53
<b>7</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH</b>	<b>55</b>
7.1	Introduction	55
7.2	Research Findings	55
7.2.1	Proposed Model	55
7.2.2	System Architecture	56
7.3	Contribution	56
7.4	Research Strengths and Limitations	56
7.5	Recommendation for Future Research	57
7.6	Conclusion	58

<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATION</b>	<b>103</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
3.1: The Proposed System Model	25
3.2: Translating the Model into the Prototype System	25
4.1: Descriptive Statistics of the Preliminary Survey in Investigating Researcher Perception in Handling Research Project in Research Group	28





## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1: Content-Based Filtering	7
2.2: OWL DL Constructors	9
2.3: Guarino's Ontology Classification (Guarino, 1998)	11
3.1: Research Methodology Flowchart	17
3.2: The Proposed System Model	18
3.3: Ontology Hierarchy for Research Communities	24
3.4: Process Diagram	26
4.1: Classification of Respondents Based on Position	28
4.2: Summary of Measured Person and Item	30
4.3: Item Measure	31
4.4: Person Measure	32
4.5: Principal Contrast Analysis	33
4.6: Wright Map for Preliminary Survey	34
4.7: System Architecture	35
5.1: Ontology Mapping Process for Researcher Groups and New Researchers using a Class ID (Hierarchical View)	40
5.2: Ontology Mapping Process for Research Groups and Researchers (New Researchers) using an ID (Ontological View)	40
5.3: Interface for OVCoP System Main Page (Home Screen)	43
5.4: Interface for User Information (User's Profile)	43
5.5: Interface for User Information Page (select menu 'Propose Research Group')	44
5.6: Interface for Recommended Research Group	44
5.7: Interface for Recommended Research Group (Simple Matching Technique)	45
5.8: Interface for Recommended Research Group (Ontology Mapping)	45
6.1: Summary Statistics	48
6.2: Item Measurements	49
6.3: Person Measurements	50
6.4: Wright Map for Post Survey	51
6.5: Role of Respondents in Research Group	52
6.6: The Effectiveness of the Mapping Technique	53
7.1: OVCoP System Model Architecture	56

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CoP	Community of Practice
CoPE	CoP of E-learning
FCSIT	Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology
FOAF	Friend of a Friend
FSKTM	Fakulti Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
IHL	Institute of Higher Learning (IHL)
IS	Information System (IS)
ISC	Information Systems and Computing
IT	Information Technology
KM	Knowledge Management
MNSQ	Mean-Square
NC	New Comer
NOM	Naïve Ontology Mapping
NR	New Researcher
O' COP	Ontology Dedication for Communities of Practices
ODBC	Open Database Connectivity
Onto'CoPE	Ontology for Communities of Practice
OntoGP-VCoP	Ontology Group Profiling- Virtual Communities of Practice
On-to-knowledge	Ontology-Based Tools for Knowledge Management
OntoShare	Ontology-Based Knowledge Sharing System
OVCoP	Ontology Virtual Community of Practice
OWL	Web Ontology Language
PCA	Principal Contrast Analysis
PMBOK	Project Management Body of Knowledge
QOM	Quick Ontology Mapping
RDF3	Resource Description Framework
RG	Research Group
RU	Research University
SD	System Development
SLP	Learner Profile Ontology
SQL	Structured Query Language
SRS	System Requirement Specification
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
VC	Virtual Communities
VCoP	Virtual Communities of Practice
W3C	World Wide Web Consortium
WWW	World Wide Web
XOL	Ontology Exchange Language
Z-std	Z- Standard

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Research Background

Communities of Practice (CoP) has been rapidly used in Knowledge Management (KM) practices (Eri *et al.*, 2014; Food *et al.*, 2003; Wenger, 1998). According to Etienne & Wegner-Trayner (2015), the importance of CoP is to improve the performance of an organization, where CoPs are groups of people who share similar interests and are able to make it consistently (Eri *et al.*, 2014). In addition, the growth of the internet has given an impact to the organizations, including Institute of Higher Learning (IHL). Moreover, according to Eri *et al.*, (2012), IHL is one of the knowledge organizations with several research-based communities that are known as research communities, which are formed based on mutual research interests or research areas.

On top of that, the evolution of the internet infrastructure has an impact on the CoP, whereby CoP can form Virtual Communities of Practice (VCoP). Furthermore, Andreatos (2009) asserts that VCoP is a virtual place for communicating and exchanging practices between the professional units that build around common interest, and the members may communicate virtually. Nonetheless, the limitation of channel in finding the right people to collaborate (Eri *et al.*, 2014) has affected new researchers since Holm (2001) has claimed that finding the right experts is important for making better decisions. In line with the evolution of the internet, this research study has proposed an ontology-based system model to recommend research groups to new researchers. According to Kim *et al.*, (2010), the recommended system mostly focuses on the recommended items to individuals rather than groups of people in participating in a group activity. Besides, Burke (2000) and Ruotsalo (2010) reveal that system recommendation guides users in selecting the item they wish to analyze, rather than searching for the information manually. Hence, this research study has proposed a recommendation system where new researchers can identify suitable research groups effectively, without wasting time searching for information manually.

Apart from that, this research study has focused on the technique used to map new researchers to suitable research groups. With that, the application of ontology in this research study models and classifies the proposed system model since ontology could be employed to model and classify the VCoP based on related work (Eri *et al.*, 2012). In fact, recently, a research has discovered that ontology has become an important field in the Information System and Computing (ISC) discipline (Al-debei & Fitzgerald, 2009). Besides, according to Davies *et al.* (2003), ontology specifies the ontological classes where users can identify information. In addition, in order to identify a modular coupling among bodies of knowledge, ontologies are used as knowledge-level protocols for input, output, and communication (Gruber, 1991).

Therefore, in order to construct the proposed system model, a protégé has been chosen to model and to classify the ontology since it could design and query the ontology. Details pertaining to the protégé are discussed in Section 2.4.1 in Chapter 2.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

As noted earlier, according to Holm (2001) perspective on Knowledge Management (KM), working with the right people is important. Meanwhile, Jakovljevic *et al.* (2013) concluded that the evolution of Communities of Practice (CoP) has impacted the Institute of Higher Learning (IHL). However, they highlighted that there was no specific guidance to group the CoP for IHL. Jakovljevic *et al.* (2013) listed six phases of their study: (i) developing a theoretical framework for communities of practice, (ii) exploring preliminary learners' attitudes toward communities of practice, (iii) forming pilot communities of practice, (iv) evaluating pilot communities of practice groups, (v) implementing action research to pilot communities of practice, and (vi) applying the communities of practice model to other groups. However, they failed to help the groups' members to identify the CoP virtually. The formation of VCoP is important as the internet users continue to grow rapidly.

The VCoP formation is imperative, as members can obtain and share knowledge virtually, anywhere and anytime. Eri *et al.* (2014) stated that the information of the research community (i.e. research interests, grants, publications, and member's profile) of the IHL were kept in a static form and displayed in the related portal. Searching for the information of the research groups manually was time consuming. At times the information was outdated or undefined. It caused managing groups and managing group knowledge to become a difficult task (Eri *et al.*, (2012); Eri *et al.*, (2014)).

Muhammad & Nordin (2013) determined that currently, there was no available ontology model that can be used for group formation. Therefore, their focused were to ascertain the semantic group formation model for CoP. They proposed group formation and implementation in order to share knowledge and to develop professional skills. To have a group formation is important, but to identify suitable groups for new members is even more important, to ensure that knowledge can be shared and developed easily.

A survey that was conducted by Eri *et al.* (2014), indicated that most of the respondents faced difficulty to identify members that interest them. Nowadays, applicants (new researchers) should identify and contact a possible researcher personally. There is no systematic tool available to guide new researchers to identify suitable research groups effectively. Hence, new researchers have to undertake this task manually. According to Eri *et al.* (2012), channels to find the right people to work with were non-existence, therefore their aims were: (i) to classify virtual communities in research communities of IHL and, (ii) to model virtual communities based on similar research interest using ontology.

As discussed previously, most of the scholars focused on how to manage the knowledge, formed groups for CoP, and classified the community in order to have better KM activities. However, they overlooked the fact that it is more crucial to help new members to identify suitable research groups virtually, as this will provide the opportunity for new members to gain knowledge from current members of the group; thus knowledge will be used and shared effortlessly.

### **1.3 Research Questions**

In line with the problem statement, 3 research questions are depicted, as in the following:

- What kind of appropriate ontological model can be used to recommend the suitability of new researcher's participation?
- Which appropriate mapping technique can be used to recommend suitable research groups?
- How does the model work to prove its effectiveness in recommending new researchers to suitable research groups based on their research interest?

### **1.4 Research Objectives**

Based on the research questions, the aims of this thesis were:

- To propose an ontology based system model in recommending research communities to new researchers.
- To prove the system model using ontology mapping technique is effective.

### **1.5 Research Contribution**

This research study has contributed an ontology-based recommendation system model for new researchers to guide them in recommending the suitable research groups that meet their research interests effectively. Besides, this research study has adopted the Quick Ontology Mapping (QOM) as a mapping technique, and some tools have also been used to implement the prototype system model, as discussed in Chapter 3. On top of that, the research focuses further on knowledge reuse and sharing between research communities virtually.

### **1.6 Scope of Research**

This research study has focused on the research communities in the context of academican environment. In addition, this research has examined and proposed the best practices that could offer benefits to researchers in the research communities. Besides, the scope of research for this particular study is the mapping technique employed, as well as the research communities involved. As for the mapping

technique, this research has selected the Quick Ontology Mapping (QOM), which is explained in Chapters 2 and 5.

### **1.7 Structure of Thesis Organization**

This thesis is generally divided into 7 chapters, where the first chapter is the introduction of this research study that explains the research background. Next, the statement of the problem, the research questions, the research objectives, the contributions of this research study, the scope of the research, and lastly, the organization of the thesis, are depicted.

Chapter 2 of the study looks into the related articles of the Communities of Practice (CoP) and Virtual Communities of Practice (VCoP), the recommended system model, as well as ontology, which includes ontology tools, uses of ontology, ontology languages, ontology modeling, ontology classification, ontology applications, ontology mapping, and advantages of ontology. Basically, this chapter reviews the important information concerning this research study in order to achieve the research objectives.

Chapter 3 describes the methodology employed in this research study that starts with research methodology flowchart. There are 2 main phases in this research study: (i) the proposed system model, and (ii) the translation of the model into the prototype system. Besides, the chapter also discusses the ontology mapping process in the development of the prototype system model, the ontology hierarchy for research communities, the system model construction, and the system model process diagram.

Chapter 4 discusses the results and the analysis of the preliminary survey in order to validate the elements of the proposed system model based on the literature review, as discussed in Chapter 2. Next is Chapter 5, which discusses the Software Requirement Specification (SRS) documentation, and the development of the system model prototype. In addition, this chapter discusses mapping technique implementation, interface of the OVCoP system, system validation and testing, as well as user manual for prototype system.

Chapter 6 presents the results and the analysis of the system model prototype in order to evaluate the effectiveness of the system model that uses ontology mapping technique. Finally, the conclusion of this research study is presented in Chapter 7, which includes findings of this research, research contributions, strengths and limitations of this research study, as well as recommendation for future works.



## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, R. (2005). *Knowledge Management System Architecture For Organizational Learning With Collaborative Environment*. PhD Thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Acampora, G., Gaeta, M., Orciuoli, F., & Ritrovato, P. (2010). Exploiting Semantic and Social Technologies for Competency Management. In *10th IEEE International Conference in Advance Learning Technologies* (pp. 297–301).
- Al-debei, M. M., & Fitzgerald, G. (2009). OntoEng: A Design Method for Ontology Engineering in Information Systems. In *Proceeding from ACM OOPSLA '09* (pp. 1–25). USA, Florida.
- Andreatos, A. (2009). On the Definition and Impact of Virtual Communities of Practice. *International Journal of Virtual Communities and Social Networking*, 1(4), 73–88. <http://doi.org/10.4018/jvcsn.2009092205>
- Andrich, D. (1978). A Rating Formulation For Ordered Response Categories. *Journal in Psychometrika*, 43(4), 561–572.
- Aziz, A. A. (2009). *Rasch Model Fundamentals: Scale Construct and Measurement Structure*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Perpustakaan Negara.
- Bickel, P.J., & Lehmann, E. L. (1979). Descriptive statistics for nonparametric models. In *The annals of Statistics* (Vol. 3, pp. 1038–1044). Berkeley. <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-1412-4>
- Bond, T. G., & Fox, C. M. (2006). *Applying the Rasch Model: Fundamental Measurement in the Human Sciences* (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.
- Bruijn, J. D., & Martin-Recuerda, F., Manov, D., & Ehrig, M. (2004). *State-of-the-art survey on Ontology Merging and Aligning. Report from Digital Enterprise Research Institute*. Innsbruck, Austria.
- Burke, R. (2000). Knowledge-Based Recommender Systems. In *Encyclopedia of Library And Information Systems* (pp. 1–23). Retrieved from <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.41.3078&rep=rep1&type=pdf> <http://www.cs.odu.edu/~mukka/cs795sum10dm/Lecturenotes/Day6/burke-elis00.pdf>
- Burke, R., & Ramezani, M. (2011). Matching Recommendation Technologies and Domains. In *Recommender Systems Handbook* (pp. 367–386). <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-85820-3>
- Chien, T. W. (2012). *Cronbach's Alpha with the Dimension Coefficient to Jointly Assess a Scale's Quality*. Institute for Objective Measurement. Retrieved from <http://www.rasch.org/rmt/rmt263c.htm>
- Chiu, C-H., Hsu, M-H., & Wang, E. T. G. (2006). Understanding Knowledge Sharing In Virtual Communities: An Integration Of Social Capital And Social Cognitive Theories. *Journal of Decision Support Systems*, 42(3), 1872–1888.
- Davenport, E. (2001). Knowledge Management Issues for Online Organisations: “Communities of Practice” as an Exploratory Framework. *Journal of Documentation*, 57(1), 61–75.
- Davies, J., Duke, A., & Sure, Y. (2003). OntoShare- An Ontology- based Knowledge Sharing System for Virtual Communities of Practice. In *Proceedings of the I-KNOW '03* (pp. 1–11). Graz, Austria.
- Do, H., & Rahm, E. (2002). COMA - A system for flexible combination of schema matching approaches. In *Proceedings of the 28th VLDB Conference* (pp. 1–12). Hong Kong, China.

- Ehrig, M. & Staab, S. (2004). QOM - Quick Ontology Mapping. *The Semantic Web-ISWC*, 3298, 1–28. Retrieved from <http://www.springerlink.com/index/fj4075bm4231x35w.pdf>
- Ehrig, M., & Sure, Y. (2004). Ontology Mapping – An Integrated Approach. In *The Semantic Web: Research and Applications* (pp. 76–91). Springer Berlin Heidelberg Publishers.
- Ekstrand, M. D. (2010). Collaborative Filtering Recommender Systems. *Journal of Foundations and Trends® in Human-Computer Interaction*, 4(2), 81–173.
- Eri, Z. D., Abdullah, R., Jabar, M.A., & Murad, M. A. A. (2012). Virtual Communities model using ontology of group classification for research communities. In *International Conference on Information Retrieval & Knowledge Management (CAMP)* (pp. 126–130).
- Eri, Z. D., Abdullah, R., Jabar, M.A., & Murad, M. A. A. (2014). The Ontological Group Profiling for Virtual Communities of Practice Framework. *Journal of Software Engineering*, 2(2), 161–166.
- Etienne & Wegner-Trayner, B. (2015). *Communities Of Practice A Brief Introduction. Wegner-Tryner Workshop*. Retrieved from <http://wenger-trayner.com/introduction-to-communities-of-practice/>
- Farquhar, A., Fikes, R., & Rice, J. (1997). The Ontolingua Server: a Tool for Collaborative Ontology Construction. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 46(6), 707–727.
- Felfernig, A., Friedrich, G., & Jannach, D., & Zanker, M. (2006). An Integrated Environment for the Development of Knowledge-Based Recommender Applications. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 11(2), 11–34.
- Felfernig, A., Jeran, M., Ninaus, G., Reinfrank, F., Reiterer, S., & Stettinger, M. (2014). Basic Approaches in Recommendation Systems. In *Proceedings of the Recommendation Systems in Software Engineering* (pp. 15–37). Springer Verlag Publishers.
- Fensel, D., Harmelen, F. V., & Klein, M., & Akkermans, H. (2000). On-To-Knowledge : Ontology-based Tools for Knowledge Management. In *Proceedings of the eBusiness and eWork* (pp. 18–20). Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Fisher, W. P. J. (2007). Rating Scale Instrument Quality Criteria: Retrieved June 24, 2014, from <http://www.rasch.org/rmt/rmt211m.htm>
- Food, W., Food, W., Symposium, A., & Symposium, A. (2003). Knowledge Management And Communities Of Practice: An Experience From Rabobank Australia And New Zealand. In *Proceedings of the Knowledge Creation Diffusion Utilization* (pp. 1–4).
- Frøkjær, E., Hertzum, M., & Hornbæk, K. (2000). Measuring Usability: Are Effectiveness , Efficiency , and Satisfaction Really Correlated? *Journal of Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2(1), 345–352.
- García-Castro, R., Gómez-Pérez, A., & Muñoz-García, Ó. (2008). The Semantic Web Framework: A component-based framework for the development of Semantic Web applications. In *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Database and Expert Systems Applications, DEXA* (pp. 185–189). Madrid, Spain.
- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2003). SPSS for Windows Step by Step. In *A Simple Guide and References* (4th ed., p. 63). Canada.
- Gruber, T. (1991). The Role of Common Ontology in Achieving Sharable, Reusable Knowledge Bases. *Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning: Proceedings of the Second International Conference*, 601-602. Retrieved from <http://www.cin.ufpe.br/~mtcfa/files/10.1.1.35.1743.pdf>



- Gruber, T. R. (1993). Technical Report KSL 92-71 Revised April 1993 A Translation Approach to Portable Ontology Specifications by A Translation Approach to Portable Ontology Specifications. *Knowledge Creation Diffusion Utilization*.
- Gruber, T. (1995). Toward Principles for the Design of Ontologies Used for Knowledge Sharing. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 43(5-6), 907-928. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/ijhc.1995.1081>
- Guarino, N. (1998). Formal Ontology and Information Systems. *Proceedings of the First International Conference* (Vol. 46, pp. 3-15).
- Harb, H. M., Fouad, K., & Nagdy, N. M. (2011). Semantic Retrieval Approach for Web Documents. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 2(9), 67-76. Retrieved from [http://thesai.org/Downloads/Volume2No9/Paper\\_12\\_-\\_Semantic\\_Retrieval\\_Approach\\_for\\_Web\\_Documents.pdf](http://thesai.org/Downloads/Volume2No9/Paper_12_-_Semantic_Retrieval_Approach_for_Web_Documents.pdf)
- Harmelen, D. L. M. and F. van. (2009). OWL 2 Web Ontology Language. *OWL 2 Web Ontology Language Document Overview*. Retrieved from <http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:OWL+2+Web+Ontology+Language+Document+Overview#0>
- Herlocker, J.L., Konstan, J.A., Borchers, A., & Riedl, J. (1999). An Algorithmic Framework for Performing Collaborative Filtering. In *Proceedings of the SEGER '99*. Berkley, CA: USA.
- Hildreth, P., Kimble, C., & Wright, P. (2000). Communities of Practice in the Distributed International Environment. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 4(1), 27–38. <http://doi.org/10.1108/13673270010315920>
- Holm, J. (2001). *Capturing the Spirit of Knowledge Management. The Spirit of Knowledge Management, AMCIS*. California, USA. Retrieved from [Jeanne.Holm@jpl.nasa.gov](mailto:Jeanne.Holm@jpl.nasa.gov)
- Horrocks, I., & Patel-Schneider, P. F. (2003). Reducing OWL entailment to description logic satisfiability. In *The Semantic Web-ISWC* (pp. 17–29). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Jabar, M. (2007). *A Framework for Managing Knowledge and Competencies in a Group Project Implementation*. PhD Thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia. Retrieved from <http://psasir.upm.edu.my/5890/>
- Jain, V., & Malik, S. K. (2010). Using Ontologies in Web Mining for Information Extraction in Semantic Web : A Summary, 3-6.
- Jakovljevic, M., Buckley, S., & Bushney, M. (2013). Forming communities of practice in higher education: a theoretical perspective. In *International Conference of the Management, Knowledge and Learning* (pp. 1107–1119). Paper presented at Zadar, Croatia: Knowledge Management & Innovation. Retrieved from <http://uir.unisa.ac.za/handle/10500/10473>
- Jannach, D., Zanker, M., Felfernig, A., & Friedrich, G. (2010). An Introduction. In *Recommender Systems* (pp. 1–16). Cambridge University Press.
- Kalfoglou, Y., & Schorlemmer, M. (2003). *Ontology mapping: the state of the art. The knowledge engineering review* (Vol. 18). Retrieved from [http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract\\_S0269888903000651](http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0269888903000651)  
[http://journal.s.cambridge.org/abstract\\_S0269888903000651](http://journal.s.cambridge.org/abstract_S0269888903000651)
- Kalinichenko, L., Missikoff, M., Schiappelli, F., & Skvortsov, N. (2003). Ontological modeling. In *Digital Libraries: Advanced Methods and Technologies, Digital Collections* (pp. 7–13).
- Khondoker, M. R., & Mueller, P. (2010). Comparing Ontology Development Tools Based on an Online Survey. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2010, I*.

- Kim, J. K., Kim, H. K., Oh, H. Y., & Ryu, Y. U. (2010). A group recommendation system for online communities. *International Journal of Information Management*, 30(3), 212–219. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2009.09.006>
- Kitchenham, B.A., & Pfleeger, S. L. (2008). Personal Opinion Surveys. In *Guide to advance empirical software engineering* (pp. 63–92). Springer.
- Konstan, J.A., Miller, B.N., Maltz, D., Herlocker, J.L., Gordon, L.R., & Riedl, J. (1997). Applying Collaborative Filtering to Usenet News. In *Communications of the ACM* (Vol. 40, pp. 73–75).
- Leedy, P.D., & Ormrod, J. E. (2010). *Practical Research: Planning and Design* (9th ed.). Saddle River, New Jersey: Merrill. Retrieved from [http://scholar.googleusercontent.com/scholar?q=cache:qZZz5\\_WdYRWJ:scholar.google.com/+practical+research+planning+and+design&hl=en&as\\_sdt=0,5&as\\_vis=1](http://scholar.googleusercontent.com/scholar?q=cache:qZZz5_WdYRWJ:scholar.google.com/+practical+research+planning+and+design&hl=en&as_sdt=0,5&as_vis=1)
- Linacre, J. M. (1994). Sample Size and Item Calibration Stability. *Rasch Measurement Transaction*. Retrieved July 21, 2014, from <http://www.rasch.org/rmt/rmt74m.htm>
- Loizou, S. T. (2010). *Intelligent Support for Knowledge Sharing in Virtual Communities*. PhD Thesis, University of Leeds.
- Lunz, M. E. (2010). Measurement Research Associates Test Insights. Retrieved July 4, 2014, from <http://www.rasch.org/mra/mra-01-10.htm>
- Martin Stettinger, Gerald Ninaus, Michael Jeran, Florian Reinfrank, S. R. (2013). WE-DECIDE: A Decision Support Environment for Groups of Users. In *26th International Conference on Industrial, Engineering and Other Applications of Applied Intelligent Systems, IEA/AIE Proceedings* (pp. 17–21).
- Menzies, T. (1997). Cost Benefits of Ontologies. In *Intelligence* (pp. 26–31). Artville. LLC: Don Bishop.
- Muhammad, F., & Nordin, A. (2013). Forming Community of Practice in IT department of Higher Education Institution. In *3rd International Conference on Research and Innovation in Information Systems, ICRIS* (Vol. 3, pp. 67–72). <http://doi.org/10.1109/ICRIIS.2013.6716687>
- Noy, N. F., & Musen, M. A. (2003). The PROMPT suite: interactive tools for ontology merging and mapping. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 59(6), 983–1024. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2003.08.002>
- Ounnas, A., H.C. Davis, and D. E. M. (2007). Semantic Modelling for Group Formation. In *11th International Conference on User Modelling*. Proceeding of Workshop in ELearning Environments at Individual and Group Level.
- Pazzani, M., & Billsus, D. (1997). Learning and Revising User Profiles: The Identification of Interesting Web Sites. *Mach. Learn.*, 27(3), 313–331. <http://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007369909943>
- Resnick, P., Iacovou, N., Suchak, M., Bergstrom, P., & Riedl, J. (1994). An Open Architecture for Collaborative Filtering of Netnews. In *Proceedings of the Conference on CSCW, Chapel Hill, NC* (pp. 175–186).
- Ruotsalo, T. (2010). *Methods and Applications for Ontology-Based Recommender Systems*. *Science And Technology*. PhD Thesis, Aalto University. Retrieved from <http://lib.tkk.fi/Diss/2010/isbn9789526031514/>
- Salkind, N. (2010). *Convenience sampling*. (N. Salkind, Ed.) *Encyclopedia of research design*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications. <http://doi.org/10.4135/9781412961288>
- Sarirete, A., Kikh, A., & Berkani, L. (2008). Onto'CoPE: Ontology for Communities of Practice of E-Learning. In *Times of Convergence. Technologies Across Learning Contexts* (pp. 395–400). [http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-87605-2\\_44](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-87605-2_44)

- Shaiderov, I. (2012). *Quantitative marketing research*. Diploma Thesis, Masaryk University. Retrieved from [http://is.muni.cz/th/380502/esf\\_m/](http://is.muni.cz/th/380502/esf_m/)
- Shardanand, U., & Maes, P. (1995). Social information filtering: algorithms for automating “word of mouth.” In Anon (Eds.), *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings* (Vol. 1, pp. 210-217). ACM. Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-0029179043&partnerID=40&md5=68b2d113ba682f37c77e87ef89007fbb>
- Shirazi, H. M., Shirazi, M. M., & Fardroo, N. (2014). Discovering User Interest by Ontology-based User Profile . *International Journal of Intelligent Information Technology Application*, 2(1), 19–24.
- Silva, J. L. T. D., Ribeiro, A. M., Boff, E., Primo, T. T., & Viccari, R. M. (2012). A reference profile ontology for communities of practice. *International Journal of Metadata, Semantics and Ontologies*, 7(3), 185. <http://doi.org/10.1504/IJMSO.2012.050181>
- Sowa, J. F. (2012). Ontology. In *Knowledge Representation: Logical, Philosophical, and Computational Foundations*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks Cole Publishing Co. Retrieved from <http://www.jfsowa.com/ontology/>
- Tavakol, M., & Dennick, R. (2011). Making Sense of Cornbach’s alpha. *International Journal of Medical Education*, 2(1), 53–55. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4205511/>
- Tifous, A., Ghali, A.E., Giboin, A., & Dieng-Kuntz, R. (2009). O’CoP, an Ontology Dedicated to Communities of Practice. In S. Schaffert et al. (Eds): *Networked Knowledge* (pp. 155–169). Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag Publisher.
- Wagner, C., Liu, L., Schneider, C., Prasarnphanich, P., & Chen, H. (2009). Creating a successful professional virtual community: A sustainable digital ecosystem for idea sharing. In *Digital Ecosystems and Technologies, 2009. DEST '09. 3rd IEEE International Conference* (pp. 163–167). <http://doi.org/10.1109/DEST.2009.5276737>
- Wenger, E. (1998). Learning As A Social System. In *Communities of Practice* (Vol. 9, pp. 2–3). Systems Thinker Publisher.
- Wooldridge, M. (2009). *An Introduction to Multiagent Systems*. Retrieved from [http://books.google.com.my/books?hl=en&lr=&id=X3ZQ7yeDn2IC&oi=fnd&pg=PR13&dq=Michael+J+Wooldrige.++\(2009\).+An+Introduction+to+MultiAgent+Systems&ots=WFhgsp4qc0&sig=W3IEZIFVK5PUP2wbVFZNT6kZODs#v=onepage&q&f=false](http://books.google.com.my/books?hl=en&lr=&id=X3ZQ7yeDn2IC&oi=fnd&pg=PR13&dq=Michael+J+Wooldrige.++(2009).+An+Introduction+to+MultiAgent+Systems&ots=WFhgsp4qc0&sig=W3IEZIFVK5PUP2wbVFZNT6kZODs#v=onepage&q&f=false)
- Wright, B. D., Linacre, J. M., Gustafson, J.-E., & Martin-Lof, P. (1994). Reasonable mean-square fit values. Retrieved from <http://www.rasch.org/rmt/rmt83b.htm>
- Zain, Z. M., Azim, A., Ghani, A., Abdullah, R., & Atan, R. (2011). Blog Quality Measurement: Analysis of Criteria using The Rasch Model. *International Journal on New Computer Architectures and Their Applications (IJNCAA)*, 1(3), 665–682.