

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

ANOPTIMIZEDENSEMBLEFOR PREDICTING RESERVOIR ROCK PROPERTIES IN PETROLEUM INDUSTRY

SEYED ALI JAFARI KENARI

IB 2014 1



ANOPTIMIZEDENSEMBLEFOR PREDICTING RESERVOIR ROCK PROPERTIES IN PETROLEUM INDUSTRY



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

October 2013

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate my thesis to

Spirit of my father

and

My dear mother

and

Mydear wife

and

My cute sons

Abstractof thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

AN OPTIMIZED ENSEMBLEFOR PREDICTING RESERVOIR ROCK PROPERTIES IN PETROLEUM INDUSTRY

By

SEYED ALI JAFARI KENARI

October 2013

Chairman: SyamsiahMashohor, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

The estimation of initial hydrocarbon in place before investing in development and production is the main objective in petroleum industry. Porosity, permeability and water saturation are the most important key variables to quantitatively describe petroleum reservoir. However, identification of these parameters which relies on core data analyses is expensive and time consuming. A lot of researches have been done to predict the reservoir parameters using well log data through applying various methods.

To predict theaforementioned parameters, we need a method with high accuracy, good generalization, fast and low in cost. In the present thesis, we proposed a new method named optimized ensembleto improve the prediction of these reservoirs parameters from well log data with the aid of available core data.

Ensemble is a learning algorithm that combines some experts instead of considering a single best expert for the predictions. The thesis proposed anoptimizing method leading to small structure of assemble GA.

After constructing suitable ensemble members, we need to combine them with a propermethod to improve the accuracy.So, we proposed two combining methods to improve the prediction accuracy while maintaining the generalization. The first method isbased on fuzzy genetic algorithm to overcome the premature convergence. The second method is based on two other functions instead of traditional fitness function in genetic algorithmnamely MSE to determine the individual's weight in an ensemble. This approach is based on Huber and Bisquare functions which are meant to avoid the influence of outliers that can be found in many real data such as geosciences data.

In the present thesis, we implemented our method for predicting these three most important reservoir parameters namely porosity, permeability and water saturation. The real field data is obtained from Iranian offshore and onshore oil fields. A total of 3695 data points from the 5 wells having conventional well log data and core data were used. Threeperformance measurements for analysing and comparing the predicted results and target values including correlation coefficient (R), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) and related RMSE were selected.

The results on pruning method show that the memory requirements for porosity, permeability and water saturation decreased to 68.75, 68.75 and 81.25 percent respectively. The results on pruned ensemble with FGA based weighted averaging also show that triple performance measure (RMSE, RRMSE, R/R^2) improved (9.95, 12.50, 1.16) percentfor porosity, (6.6, 16.21, 1.17) percentfor permeability and (37.56, 28.08, 1.52) percentfor water saturation in comparison to the whole ensemble. A comparison results between the Huber and MSE based GA show that triple performance measure (RMSE, RRMSE, R^2) improved (17.3, 25.2, 1.0) percent for the permeability data set.

Abstraktesis yang dikemukakankepadaSenatUniversiti Putra Malaysia sebagaimemenuhikeperluanuntukijazah Master Sains

SATU KESATUAN YANG DIOPTIMUMKAN UNTUK MERAMAL SIFAT BATUAN TAKUNGAN DALAM INDUSTRI PETROLEUM

Oleh

SEYED ALI JAFARI KENARI

Oktober2013

Pengerusi: SyamsiahMashohor, PhD

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Anggaran awal hidrokarbon pada peringkat sebelum melabur dalam pembangunan dan pengeluaran merupakan objektif utama dalam industri petroleum. Keliangan, kebolehtelapan dan ketepuan air merupakan pembolehubah utama paling penting dalam menerangkan takungan petroleum secara kuantitatif. Walau bagaimanapun, mengenal pasti parameter ini yang bergantung kepada analisis data teras adalah mahal dan memakan masa. Banyak kajian telah dijalankan untuk menganggar parameter takungan dengan menggunakan log data telaga minyak menerusi pelbagai kaedah.

Untuk meramal parameter tersebut, kami memerlukan kaedah yang kukuh dengan ketepatan yang tinggi, generalisasi yang baik, cepat dan dengan kos yang rendah. Di dalam tesis ini, kami mencadangkan kaedah baru yang dinamakan *optimized ensemble* bagi menganggar parameter takungan ini daripada log data telaga minyak dengan bantuan data teras yang sedia ada.

*Ensemble*merupakan algoritma pembelajaran yang menggabungkan banyak kepakaran berbandinghanya mempertimbangkan satukepakaran tunggal yang terbaik untuk ramalan. Tesis ini mencadangkan kaedah paling optimum berdasarkan *genetic algorithm* yang dapat mengurangkan keperluan memori dan masa ramalanyang biasanya berlaku di dalam *ensemble* yang biasa.

Setelah mendapatkan ahli *ensemble*yang sesuai, kami perlu menggabungkannya dengan kaedah yang betul bagi meningkatkan ketepatan. Oleh itu, kami mencadangkan dua kaedah penggabungan untuk meningkatkan ketepatan ramalan disamping mengekalkan generalisasi. Kaedah yang pertama adalah berdasarkan *fuzzy genetic algorithm* untuk mengatasi penumpuan pramatang. Kaedah kedua ialah berdasarkan dua fungsi kukuh dan bukannya fungsi kecergasan tradisional dalam *genetic algorithm* untuk mengenalpasti berat individu dalam sesuatu *ensemble*.

Pendekatan adalah berdasarkan fungsi *Huber* dan *Bisquare* yang mana untuk mengelak pengaruh unsur luaran yang boleh didapati di pelbagai data sebenar seperti data geosains.

Di dalam tesis ini, kami mengaplikasikan kaedah kami untuk meramal tiga parameter takungan yang paling penting ini. Data sebenar diperoleh daripada medan minyak di luar pesisir dan di pantai Iran. Sebanyak 3695 titik data daripada 5 telaga minyak yang mempunyai log data telaga yang konvensional dan data teras telah digunakan. Tiga kaedah pengukuran untuk menganalisis dan membandingkan hasil ramalan dan data sasaran termasuk pekalikorelasi (R), Min Ralat Kuasa Dua (RMSE) dan RMSE yang berkaitan telah dipilih.

Hasil menunjukkan bahawa kaedah yang kami cadangkan ini memberikan pekali korelasi yang tertinggi dan RMSE dan RMSE berkaitan yang paling rendah berbanding dengan pakar tunggal dan menghasilkan ketepatan yang signifikan bagi ramalan-ramalan ini. Keputusan pada kaedah mencantas menunjukkan bahawa keperluan memori untuk keliangan, kebolehtelapan dan air tepu menurun kepada (68.75, 68.75 dan 81.25) peratus. Keputusan pada kaedah mencantas menunjukkan bahawa keperluan memori untuk keliangan, kebolehtelapan dan air tepu menurun kepada (68.75, 68.75 dan 81.25) peratus. Satu perbandingan keputusan antara Huber dan MSE berasaskan GA menunjukkan bahawa tiga ukuran prestasi (RMSE, RRMSE, R²) bertambah baik kepada (17.3, 25.2, 1.0) peratus bagi kebolehtelapan set data.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all I would like to express my deepest thanks to Dr.SyamsiahMashohor, mysupervisor during Ph.D. program for her patience and sincerity.She helped me a lot during my research. I am really grateful for the lessons I learnt from her. God bless her.

I appreciate the efforts of Assoc. Prof. Dr.Abd. RahmanRamli, my co-supervisor during my study. As an enthusiastic professor, he encouraged me to perform this research and helped me a lot.

I acknowledge my second co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof.Dr.MohdHamiruce, who helped me a lot even when he took on his onerous tasks. As a kind-hearted and enthusiastic professor, I learned many things from him in study.

I appreciate the efforts of my dear friend Dr Ali Kadkhodaei, for his invaluable helps in Tabriz University.

At the end I thank Iranian Oilfield Company (NIOC), Iranian Offshore Oil Company (IOOC), National Iranian Oil Company, Exploration (NIOCEXP), and all the people that have helped me during my research especially engineers; MrHamid Mosalmonnejad from IOOC, Mr Nader Sabeti from NIOCEXP and Mr kouroshshahhoseiny from CCED.

APPROVAL

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 11 October 2013 to conduct the final examination of SEYED ALI JAFARI KENARI on his Doctor of Philosophythesis "AnOptimizedEnsembleforPredicting Reservoir Rock Properties in Petroleum Industry"in accordance with UniversitiPertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and UniversitiPertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Chairman, PhD

Assoc. Prof. Dr. SitiAslinaHussain Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Examiner 1, PhD

Assoc. Professor Dr. MdNasirSulaiman Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Examiner 2, PhD

Dr. Wan Azizun Wan Adnan Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

External Examiner, PhD

Prof. Dr. Bjorn Ursin Department of Petroleum Engineering and Applied Geophysics The Norwegian University of Science and Technology (External Examiner)

Noritah Omar, PhD

Assoc. Prof. and Deputy Dean School Of Graduate Studies University Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

SyamsiahMashohor, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Abd.RahmanRamli, PhD

AssociateProfessor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

MohdHamiruceMarhaban, PhD

AssociateProfessor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PHD

Professor and Dean School Of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UniversitiPutra Malaysia or other institutions.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
APPROVAL	viii
DECLARATION	x
LISTOFTABLES	xiii
LISTOFFIGURES	xiv
LISTOFABBREVIATIONS	xvii

CHAPTER 1. INTE

2.

3

INT	RODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	1
1.3	Research Aim and Objectives	2
1.4	Scope of Study	3
1.5	Contribution of Thesis	3
1.6	Outline of Thesis	4
LII	ERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Ensemble Learning	6
	2.2.1 Producing the Ensemble Members	6
	2.2.2 Ensemble Pruning	8
	2.2.3 Combining the models	15
2.3	Petroleum Reservoir Parameters	17
	2.3.1 Core Analysis Data	17
	2.3.2 Well Logging	18
	2.3.3 Formation Petrophysical Parameters	20
	2.3.4 Related Works Based on Artificial Intelligent Techniques	25
2.4	Performance Metrics	31
	2.4.1 Correlation Coefficient	31
	2.4.2 Squared of Errors	31
	2.4.3 Mean Absolute Error	32
2.5	Summary	33

RESE	ARCH METHODOLOGY	35
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	Data Collection and Input Selection	39
3.3	Creating a Pool of Experts with Different Intelligent Technique	39
	3.3.1 ArtificialNeuralNetwork with Different Training Algorithms	39
	3.3.2 Fuzzy Logic with Different Inference Systems	41
	3.3.3 Neural Fuzzy with Different Clustering Methods	47
3.4	Ensemble Pruning with Genetic Algorithm	49
3.5	Combining the Pruned Set of Experts	51

		3.5.1 Fuzzy Genetic Algorithm	51	
		3.5.2 Huber and Bisquare as the Fitness Function	54	
	3.6	Summary	58	
4	RESU	JLTS AND DISCUSSIONS	60	
	4.1	1 Introduction		
4.2 Input selection				
	4.3	Pool of Expert prediction	64	
		4.3.1 Artificial Neural Network Results	64	
		4.3.2 Fuzzy Logic Results	80	
		4.3.3 Neural Fuzzy Results	86	
	4.4	Ensemble Pruning Results	92	
	4.5	Combining Results	95	
		4.5.1 Fuzzy Genetic Algorithm Results	96	
4.5.2 Genetic Algorithm with Huber and Bisquare Fitnes			ons	
		Results	101	
	4.6	Additional Discussion	102	
	4.7	Summary	103	
5	CON	CLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	104	
	5.1	Porosity Prediction	104	
	5.2	Permeability Prediction	105	
	5.3	Water Saturation Prediction	105	
	5.4	Future Works	105	
REFERENCES 107				
APPENDICES 120			120	
LIST	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS 125			

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 An open-hole logging tools and tool string combinations	19
2.2 limitations and advantages of different prediction methods	30
2.3 The evaluation measures used by different studies that are cited in this thesis.	33
2.4 Some advantages and drawbacks of ANN, FL, NF, ensemble	34
3.1 Fuzzy rules for selecting female chromosome	54
4.1 Performance of different learning algorithms to predict porosity	64
4.2 Performance of different learning algorithms to predict permeability	69
4.3 Performance of different learning algorithms to estimate water saturation	75
4.4 A performance comparison between core measured and predicted porosity three FIS based on correlation coefficient, RMSE and relative RMSE method	/ for 1s80
4.5 A comparison between logarithmic core measured and predicted permeability three FIS structures based on three measuring methods	y for 83
4.6 A comparison between core measured and predicted water saturation for t FIS methods based on correlation coefficient, RMSE and relative RI methods	hree MSE 85
4.7 A comparison of R, RMSE and relative RMSE between training and testing based on three different ANFIS methods for porosity	data 87
4.8 A comparison of results of R, RMSE and relative RMSE for different AN methods based on training and testing data for permeability	VFIS 89
4.9 A comparison of results of R, RMSE and relative RMSE for different AN methods based on training and testing data for water saturation	vFIS 91
4.10 Selected ensemble members based on proposed pruning method for port permeability and water saturation	sity, 93
4.11 A comparison of the results of the best individual, pruned ensemble with and also with FGA techniques based on RMSE, and RRMSE and correla coefficient for (a) porosity, (b) permeability and (c) water saturation	GA ation 98
4.12 A comparison of the results of three combination methods based on gen algorithm with different fitness functions for permeability prediction	netic 101

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Page 100 Page 10	age
2.1 An ensemble with k members	6
2.2 The procedure of 4-fold cross-validation	8
2.3 An example of forward search in DHC	9
2.4 A mixture of expert diageram with <i>k</i> members	16
2.5 A wireline core barrel [58]	18
2.6 An illustration of rock porosity [63]	21
2.7 An illustration of rock permeability [63]	22
2.8 An illustration of rock saturation [50]	24
3.1 A detailed diagram of proposed method	38
3.2 An ANN training process for Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm	41
3.3 A diagram of FIS with five stages	43
3.4 Graphical illustrations of a) MFIS, b)LFIS and c) SFIS [38]	44
3.5 Histograms showing the fitted Gaussian membership function to five inputs (a and one output (f) values distribution	ı-е) 45
3.6 Input and output membership function for Mamdani type fuzzy rule ba system based on; a) NPHI, b) RHOB, c) DT, d) PEF, e) PHIE, f) Porosity	sed 46
3.7 A membership function and detailed properties of Larsen method	46
3.8 An illustration of Sugeno FIS for porosity estimation	47
3.9 ANFIS procedure for testing data set based on grid partition method	48
3.10 ANFIS structure for formulating well log data to core reservoir parameters	48
3.11 The results of running GA in initial ensemble to obtain optimal subset experts in the third generation (out of 150 generation)	of 50
3.12 The linguistic variable "age"	52
3.13 The age linguistic variable for male and female	53

3.14 The population diversity linguistic variable	53
3.15 The estimation regression parameters based on ordinary least square (dash lin and robust method (solid line) in regression [117]	ne) 55
3.16 Huber and Bisquare fitness functions for GA	57
3.17 The weight function w for both Huber and Bisquare functions	58
3.18 The study module	59
4.1 Cross plots showing the relationship between core porosity and DEPTH, NPR RHOB, DT, SGR, PEF, PHIE, RT	HI, 62
4.2 Cross plots showing the relationship between core permeability and Porosi NPHI, RHOB, DT, SGR, PEF, PHIE and RT	ity, 63
4.3 Cross plots showing the relationship between water saturation and PHIE, F SP, GR, NPHI, PEF, RHOB, RXO	RT, 64
4.4 A scatter plot also correlation coefficient (R) between predicted porosity different learning algorithms and measured by core samples	by 67
4.5 A comparison between core measured (solid points) and predicted poros (dotted line) versus depth for 10 different learning algorithms	ity 69
4.6 A scatter plot, also correlation coefficient (R) between logarithmic comeasured and predicted permeability by different learning algorithms	ore 72
4.7 A comparison between core measured (solid points) and predicted (dotted line permeability versus depth for different learning algorithms	ne) 74
4.8 Scatter plots with correlation coefficient between core measured and predic water saturation by different ANN for train and test data separately	ted 77
4.9 A comparison between core measured (solid points) and predicted was saturation (dotted line) by different learning algorithms versus depth	ter 79
4.10 A scatter plot also correlation coefficientbetween core measured and three H predicted porosity for training and testing data	FIS 81
4.11 A comparison between core measured (solid points) and predicted poros (dotted line) by different FIS structure versus depth	ity 82
4.12 A scatter plot, also correlation coefficientbetween core measured and three I predicted permeability for training and testing data	FIS 83
4.13 A comparison between core measured (solid points) and predicted logarithm permeability (dotted line) by different FIS structures versus depth	nic 84

- 4.14 A scatter plot also correlation coefficientbetween core measured and three FIS predicted water saturation for training and testing data 85
- 4.15 A comparison between core measured (solid points) and predicted water saturation (dotted line) by different FIS versus depth 86
- 4.16 A scatter plot, also correlation coefficient between core measured and three ANFIS predicted porosity for training and testing data 87
- 4.17 A comparison between core measured (solid points) and predicted porosity (dotted line) by different FIS versus depth for testing data 88
- 4.18 A scatter plot, also correlation coefficient between core measured and different ANFIS predicted permeability for training and testing data 89
- 4.19 A comparison between core measured (solid points) and predicted permeability (dotted line) by different ANFIS methods versus depth 90
- 4.20 A scatter plot, also correlation coefficientbetween core measured and three ANFIS predicted water saturation for training and testing data 91
- 4.21 A comparison between core measured (solid points) and predicted water saturation (dotted line) by different ANFIS methods versus depth 92
- 4.22 A comparison between all individual members based on performance metrics and error correlation for porosity prediction 93
- 4.23 A comparison between all individual members based on performance metrics and error correlation for permeability prediction 94
- 4.24 A comparison between all individual members based on performance metrics and error correlation for water saturation prediction 94
- 4.25 A comparison between full and pruned ensemble based on three performance metrics for porosity, permeability and water saturation 96
- 4.26 A scatter plot and correlation coefficient of GA and FGA predicted and core measured (a) porosity, (b) permeability and (c) water saturation99
- 4.27 A comparison between GA and FGA predicted (dotted line) with core measured (solid points) (a) porosity, (b) permeability and (c) water saturation versus depth 100
- 4.28 A scatter plot (left side) and depth (right side) comparison between core measured and predicted permeability based on GA with different fitness functions 102
- 4.29 A comparison between the performance of different combining methods, best expert and three porosity tools based on RMSE, RRMSE and R 103

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AI	Artificial Intelligence
ANFIS	Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
BFG	Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno Quasi-Newton
BR	Bayesian Regularization
CANFIS	Coactive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System
CGB	Conjugate Gradient With Powell-Beale Restarts
CGF	Conjugate Gradient With Fletcher-Reeves Updates
CGP	Conjugate Gradient With Polak-Ribiere Updates
СМ	Committee Machine
DHC	Directed Hill Climbing
DIVACE	Diverse and Accurate Ensemble
DT	Sonic Logs
FCM	Fuzzy C Mean
FGA	Fuzzy Genetic Algorithm
FIS	Fuzzy Inference System
FL	Fuzzy Logic
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GASEN	Genetic Algorithm Based Selective Ensemble
GD	Gradient Descent
GDA	Gradient Descent With Adaptive Learning Rate
GDX	Gradient Descent With Momentum & Adaptive Learning Rate
GR	Gamma Ray
LM	LevenbergMarquardt
LS	Least Square Error
LWD	Logging While Drilling
ME	Mixture Of Experts
MF	Membership Function
MSE	Mean Square Error
NF	Neuro-Fuzzy
NPHI	Neutron Log

OSSOne Step SecantRHOBDensity LogRPResilient Back PropagationSCGScaled Conjugate GradientSDPSemi Definite ProgrammingSPSpontaneous Potential



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Reliable predictions of reservoir rock properties such as porosity, permeability and water saturation are very important in the evaluation of hydrocarbon accumulations in a petroleum reservoir. Porosity is described as the ratio (usually expressed as a percentage) of the aggregate volume of all pores or interstices in a rock over its total volume. Porosity can be split into two sections named connected and unconnected porosity. The connected porosity means that the fluids can flow into the rock, whereas in unconnected porosity the fluids cannot flow. Permeability is another most important parameter for the petroleum reservoirs evaluation. It is defined as the capacity of a rock or other porous media for transmission of the fluid. The standard unit for permeability is Darcy (D). Depending on the available data, permeability can be determined by three methods including: well test and core analysis in laboratory, and well logging data. Fluid saturation is defined as the fraction of pore space which is filled with fluid (oil, water or gas). Therefore, water saturation means the fraction of this space filled by water which is between 0 and 1.

Core analysis data, may not be possible for all boreholes, and in many soft and friable rocks is only feasible in a few intervals of the borehole. The well testing also provides some information to determine average permeability, thickness, initial pressure and etc. However, both well test and core analysis methods are expensive to obtain and takea lot of time to be implemented. The estimation of some reservoir characterizations can be done by using well log data and a limited number of core data. During all phases of a well development, well logging has been done for almost all wells. Many investigations have been conducted to predict reservoir parameters such as porosity, permeability and water saturation using well log dataand a limited core data. Nowadays, there are various types of logging tools to serve the variety of information that we need. Some of the most important well logging tools are Spontaneous Potential (SP), Resistivity Log (HLLD, HLLS), Sonic Logs (DT), Gamma Ray Log (GR), Neutron Log (NPHI), Density Log (RHO), Caliper log(Cali x) and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Logging. Several techniques have been used to infer reservoir properties from well logging data including empiricalformula, proper cross plot, and a wide range of Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques.

1.2 Problem Statement

One of the most important objectives in the petroleum industry is to obtain an accurate estimation of the hydrocarbon in place before the exploration or production stages. Accurate determination of reservoir characterizations such as porosity, permeability and saturation are very helpful for evaluating and designing any development plan for production of the field. However, despite its importance, estimating such parameters through the reservoir is not a trivial task and is normally obtained by core analysis and well test methods, which are costly and time-consuming tasks. Almost all of the methods utilized for predicting reservoir rock

properties are still not sufficiently reliable when working with the real noisy data from petroleum industry. Therefore, it is significant beneficial to propose an accurate method for predicting reservoir properties based on well log data (which are available in all of the wells) in petroleum industry. Hence, a study to utilize acollection of different machine learning techniquesso that the method is capable to predict reservoir parameters with an improved accuracy rather than the single expert alone should be made.

The firstlimitation of the existing methods that estimate the petrophysical properties based on ensemblemethod is that the individual members are selected only based on their accuracy level.It means theydidn't pay any attention to diversity that is important issue in ensemble. The academic and experimental study in ensemble methods significantly shows that this machine learning technique will be effective if their members are both diverse and accurate. The second drawback is that genetic algorithm is often used combining method to determine the weights of each individualmember in reservoir prediction research. Premature convergence is one of the main limitations of Genetic Algorithm (GA)which means the sampling process converges on a local optimum rather than the global.

Ensemble pruning is a very important additional stage in designing an ensemble because of its capability to overcome the disadvantages of this machine learning technique. The related limitations are:occupying extra memory, necessitating computational overhead and sometimes decreasing effectiveness. The overall performance of an ensemble may also create negative effects due to the existence of some experts with low predictive performance. Therefore, the purpose of this stage is finding an optimum subset of individual members that performs as well as, or better than, the original ensemble. In an ensemble, it is expected that differentmembers converge to differentlocal minima on the error surface with the aim of improving performance. Hence, further research on finding an optimal subset of individuals byensemble pruning to achieve accuracy and diversity has to be made.

Many researches have been conducted to find combining methods to combine the output of the experts and produce the final outputs. Some of them are: simple averaging, weighted averaging and majority voting. The most significant method for calculating the weight of each expert in regression or approximation function is based on GA.

Another problem todetermine the weight of each individual member is utilizing Mean Square Error (MSE) or Least Square Error (LS) as fitness function in genetic algorithm. Unfortunately, this popular objective function can perform badly when the error distribution is not normal. Therefore, a study toovercome this limitation has to be made.

1.3 Research Aim and Objectives

This thesis intends to propose anoptimized ensemble method to improve the prediction accuracy of the reservoir rock parameters such as porosity, permeability and water saturation by utilizing the well log data which are available from most wells in the field and a limited core data. Thedetailed objectives are as follows:

- a) To propose a genetic algorithm method for ensemble pruning based on semi definite programming problem to improve the generalization, fast and low in cost in comparison to the whole ensemble.
- b) To propose a combining method based on fuzzy genetic algorithm to overcome the premature convergence and implement it for improving the prediction of reservoir parameters.
- c) To propose a genetic algorithm based combining method by using Huber and Bisquare as the fitness function instead of MSE to overcome the effect of outliers.

1.4 Scope of Study

The data samples including the training and test data are collected from Iranian Oil Company located at Iranianoffshore and onshore fields. The inputs data were the conventional well log data and the target data was core data.

Only three of the most significant parameters in reservoir rock properties namely porosity, permeability and water saturation are considered in this work due to their important rules to evaluate the hydrocarbon production potential. Thismethodalso can be employed to estimate some other reservoir parameters in petroleum industry.

The implementation is done by using the Matlab's software for analyzing the data.

1.5 Contribution of Thesis

In this thesis we introduced few frequently used AI techniques to predict reservoir rock properties. In addition, we proposed a novel structure in machine learning techniques that can handle many real data in petroleum industry, based on regression and function approximation problems.

There are some reasons to distribute learning task among a number of individual nets. The main reason is for improving the generalization ability, because the generalization of individual networks is not unique. There are many methods to create individual members for ensemble or committee machine in literature. Some of them are Bootstrap aggregation resampling (Bagging), Cross-Validation, Stacking, boosting by filtering, AdaBoost, Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Fuzzy Logic (FL) and Neural Fuzzy (NF). The ensembles made by existing methods are sometimes needlessly large and have some drawbacks such as: occupying extra memory, necessitating computational overhead and sometimes decreasing effectiveness. In anensemble, there are also some members with low predictive performance that create negative effect on overall performance. Pruning ensemble members, while preserving a high diversity among the individuals is an efficient technique for increasing the predictive performance. In fact, the ensemble pruning is similar to an

optimization problem, in which the objective is to find the optimal subset of the whole individual members belonging to the initial ensemble.

After building ensemble members, their generated results will be combined by some methods. In anensemble, the expectation is that distinct experts converge to differentlocal minima on the error surface, and the overall output will improve the performance. Many investigations have been performed to find more suitable fusion methods to combine the output of the experts and produce the final outputs. Some of the fusion methods in regression problems are simple averaging, weighted averaging and majority voting.

The contribution of this research is to propose a novel structure named optimized ensemble based on different learning algorithms. In the first step, accurate and diverse experts are selected based on proposed ensemble pruning method. In second step, two combining methods based on FGA and also GA with two other fitness functions instead of MSE are proposed to obtain the optimum weight of each individual members.

1.6 Outline of Thesis

The organization of the remaining chapters of this thesis is as follows:Chapter 2 is divided in three parts. First part gives an introduction to ensemble methods and describes why these machine learning paradigms are useful. The second part is a brief description of petroleum reservoir, the tools and methods for calculating well log and core data. This part continues with a review of related works that have been carried outto estimate reservoir rock properties based on well logging data throughapplying various AI methods. Finally a few more useful performances metric for regression problem will be described.

Chapter 3 is concerned with the methodology used for this study. In this chapter, first ensemble construction using artificial neural network, fuzzy logic, and neural fuzzy are explained. Then, the proposed ensemble pruning method based on genetic algorithmwith pay attention to both accuracy and diversity to obtain an optimal subset of individuals introduced. Finally, the chapter ended with introducing two proposed combining methods that are based on fuzzy genetic algorithm and two fitness functions (e.g. Huber and Bisquare).

Chapter 4 presents and discusses the results obtained through utilizing individual members, ensemble with the whole and pruned individual members. In the next stage, the results obtained by pruned ensemble based on genetic algorithm and fuzzy genetic algorithm will be compared. Finally, the performances of pruned ensemble with genetic algorithm based weighted averaging through applying proposed and usual fitness function are compared.

Finally, chapter 5 summarizes and discusses the implementation and performance of the proposed approach to predict three selected parameters in petroleum reservoir; and recommendssome measures to be taken for improvementof the future work in this field.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wolpert, D. H., "Stacked Generalization" *Neural Networks*, vol. 5, pp. 241-259, 1992.
- [2] Efron, B. and Tibshirani, R., *An introduction to the bootstrap*, 1993.
- [3] Opitz, D. W. and Shavlik, J. W., "Actively Searching for an Effective Neural Network Ensemble" *Connection Science*, vol. 8, pp. 337 354, 1996.
- [4] Chandra, A. and Yao, X., "Evolving hybrid ensembles of learning machines for better generalisation" *Neurocomputing*, vol. 69, pp. 686-700, 2006a.
- [5] Brown, G., Wyatt, J. L., and Tino, P., "Managing Diversity in Regression Ensembles" *Machine Learning Research*, vol. 6, pp. 1621-1650, 2005.
- [6] Brown, G., "Ensemble Learning" in *Encyclopedia of Machine Learning*: Springer US, pp. 312-320, 2010.
- [7] Dietterich, T. G., "Ensemble Methods in Machine Learning" in *Proceedings* of the First International Workshop on Multiple Classifier Systems, pp. 1-15, 2000.
- [8] Krogh, A. and Vedelsby, J., "Neural network ensembles, cross validation, and active learning "*Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 7, pp. 231-238, 1995.
- [9] Dietterich, T. G., "Machine-learning research: four current directions" *AI Magazine*, vol. 18, pp. 97-136, 1997.
- [10] Zhang, Y., Burer, S., and Street, W. N., "Ensemble Pruning Via Semi-definite Programming" *Jornal of Machin. Learnning. Research.*, vol. 7, pp. 1315-1338, 2006.
- [11] Bian, S. and Wang, W., "On diversity and accuracy of homogeneous and heterogeneous ensembles" *International Journal of Hybrid Intelligent Systems.*, vol. 4, pp. 103-128, 2007.

- [12] Chandra, A., Chen, H., and Yao, X., "Trade-Off Between Diversity and Accuracy in Ensemble Generation." in *Multi-Objective Machine Learning*. vol. 16, First, pp. 429-464, 2006b.
- [13] Chandra, A. and Yao, X., "DIVACE: Diverse and Accurate Ensemble Learning Algorithm" in *Intelligent Data Engineering and Automated Learning* pp. 619-625, 2004.
- [14] Christensen, S. W., "Ensemble construction via designed output distortion" presented at the Proceedings of the 4th international conference on Multiple classifier systems. Guildford, UK. pp. 286-295, 2003.
- [15] Ellis, D. V. and Singer, J. M., *Well logging for Earth Scientists*: Springer, 2007.
- [16] Martinez-Muoz, G., Hernandez-Lobato, D., and Suarez, A., "An Analysis of Ensemble Pruning Techniques Based on Ordered Aggregation" *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 31, pp. 245-259, 2009.
- [17] Caruana, R., Niculescu-Mizil, A., Crew, G., and Ksikes, A., "Ensemble selection from libraries of models" in *Proceedings of the twenty-first international conference on Machine learning*, pp. 137-144, 2004.
- [18] Fan, W., Chu, F., Wang, H., and Yu, P. S., "Pruning and dynamic scheduling of cost-sensitive ensembles" presented at the Eighteenth national conference on Artificial intelligence. pp. 146-151, 2002.
- [19] Tsoumakas, G., Partalas, I., and Vlahavas, I., "An Ensemble Pruning Primer" in Applications of Supervised and Unsupervised Ensemble Methods. vol. 245, pp. 1-13, 2009.
- [20] Rätsch, G., Demiriz, A., and Bennett, K. P., "Sparse Regression Ensembles in Infinite and Finite Hypothesis Spaces" *Machine Learning*, vol. 48, pp. 189-218, 2002.
- [21] Liu, Y. and Yao, X., "Ensemble learning via negative correlation" *Neural Networks*, vol. 12, pp. 1399-1404, 1999.
- [22] Perrone, M. P. and Cooper, L. N., *When Networks Disagree: Ensemble Methods for Hybrid Neural Networks*: U.S. Army Research Office, 1992.

- [23] Hansen, L. K. and Salamon, P., "Neural Network Ensembles" *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 12, pp. 993-1001, 1990.
- [24] Opitz, D. and Maclin, R., "Popular Ensemble Methods: An Empirical Study" *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, vol. 11, pp. 169 -198, 1999.
- [25] Bühlmann, P. L., "Bagging, Subagging and Bragging for Improving Some Prediction Algorithms" in *Recent Advances and Trends in Nonparametric Statistics*, pp. 19-36, 2003.
- [26] Shun, B. and Wenjia, W., "Investigation on Diversity in Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Ensembles" in *International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*, pp. 3078-3085, 2006.
- [27] Breiman, L., "Bagging predictors" *Machine Learning* vol. 24, pp. 123-140, 1996.
- [28] Schapire, R. E., "The Strength of Weak Learnability" *Machine Learning*, vol. 5, pp. 197-227, 1990.
- [29] Krus, D. J. and Fuller, E. A., "Computer assisted multicrossvalidation in regression analysis" *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, vol. 42, pp. 187-193, 1982.
- [30] Friedman, J. H. and Hall, P., "On bagging and nonlinear estimation" *Journal* of *Statistical Planning and Inference*, vol. 137, pp. 669-683, 2007.
- [31] Fan, W., Stolfo, S., Zhang, J., and Chan, P., "AdaCost: Misclassification Cost-Sensitive Boosting" in *Proceedings of the Sixteenth International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 97-105, 1999.
- [32] Freund, Y. and Schapire, R. E., "Experiments with a New Boosting Algorithm" in *proceedings of the thirteenth international conference on machine learning*, pp. 148-156, 1996.
- [33] Wang, C.-W. and Hunter, A., "A low variance error boosting algorithm" *Applied Intelligence*, vol. 33, pp. 357-369, 2010.
- [34] Kadkhodaie-Ilkhchi, A., Rahimpour-Bonab, H., and Rezaee, M., "A committee machine with intelligent systems for estimation of total organic carbon content from petrophysical data: An example from Kangan and Dalan

reservoirs in South Pars Gas Field, Iran" *Computers & Geosciences*, vol. 35, pp. 459-474, 2009b.

- [35] Chen, C.-H. and Lin, Z.-S., "A committee machine with empirical formulas for permeability prediction" *Computers & Geosciences*, vol. 32, pp. 485-496, 2006.
- [36] Kadkhodaie-Ilkhchi, A., Rezaee, M. R., and Rahimpour-Bonab, H., "A committee neural network for prediction of normalized oil content from well log data: An example from South Pars Gas Field, Persian Gulf" *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, vol. 65, pp. 23-32, 2009c.
- [37] Bhatt, A. and Helle, H. B., "Committee neural networks for porosity and permeability prediction from well logs" *Geophysical Prospecting*, vol. 50, pp. 645-660, 2002.
- [38] Kadkhodaie-Ilkhchi, A., Rezaee, M. R., Rahimpour-Bonab, H., and Chehrazi, A., "Petrophysical data prediction from seismic attributes using committee fuzzy inference system" *Computer & Geosciences*, vol. 35, pp. 2314-2330, 2009d.
- [39] Zhou, Z.-H., Wu, J., and Tang, W., "Ensembling neural networks: Many could be better than all" *Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 137, pp. 239-263, 2002.
- [40] Partalas, I., Tsoumakas, G., and Vlahavas, I., "Focused Ensemble Selection: A Diversity-Based Method for Greedy Ensemble Selection" presented at the 19th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence. pp. 117--121, 2008a.
- [41] Banfield, R. E., Hall, L. O., Bowyer, K. W., and Kegelmeyer, W. P., "Ensemble diversity measures and their application to thinning" *Information Fusion*, vol. 6, pp. 49-62, 2005.
- [42] Partalas, I., Tsoumakas, G., and Vlahavas, I., "An ensemble uncertainty aware measure for directed hill climbing ensemble pruning" *Machine Learning*, vol. 81, pp. 257-282, 2010.
- [43] Partalas, I., Tsoumakas, G., Hatzikos, E. V., and Vlahavas, I., "Greedy regression ensemble selection: Theory and an application to water quality prediction" *Information Sciences*, vol. 178, pp. 3867-3879, 2008b.
- [44] Hernandez-Lobato, D., Martinez-Munoz, G., and Suarez, A., "Pruning in Ordered Regression Bagging Ensembles" in *Proceedings of the IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence*, pp. 266-1273, 2006b.

- [45] Caruana, R., Munson, A., and Niculescu-Mizil, A., "Getting the most out of ensemble selection" presented at the Sixth International Conference on Data Mining. pp. 828 - 833 2006.
- [46] Martinez-Munoz, G. and Suarez, A., "Pruning in ordered bagging ensembles" presented at the Proceedings of the 23rd international conference on Machine learning. pp. 609-616, 2006.
- [47] Soto, V., Martínez-Muñoz, G., Hernández-Lobato, D., and Suárez, A., "A Double Pruning Algorithm for Classification Ensembles" in *Multiple Classifier Systems*. vol. 5997, pp. 104-113, 2010.
- [48] Martínez-Muñoz, G. and Suárez, A., "Using boosting to prune bagging ensembles" *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 28, pp. 156-165, 2007.
- [49] Hernández-Lobato, D., Martínez-Muñoz, G., and Suárez, A., "Empirical analysis and evaluation of approximate techniques for pruning regression bagging ensembles" *Neurocomputing*, vol. 74, pp. 2250-2264, 2011.
- [50] Hernández-Lobato, D., Hernández-Lobato, J., Ruiz-Torrubiano, R., and Valle, Á., "Pruning Adaptive Boosting Ensembles by Means of a Genetic Algorithm" in *Intelligent Data Engineering and Automated Learning* pp. 322-329, 2006a.
- [51] Rooney, N., Patterson, D., and Nugent, C., "Reduced Ensemble Size Stacking" in 6th IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence, pp. 266-271, 2004.
- [52] Bakker, B. and Heskes, T., "Clustering ensembles of neural network models" *Neural Netw.*, vol. 16, pp. 261-269, 2003.
- [53] Jacobs, R. A., "Methods For Combining Experts' Probability Assessments" *Neural Computation*, vol. 7, pp. 867-888, 1995.
- [54] Lincoln, W. and Skrzypek, J., "Synergy of clustering multiple back propagation networks" *Advances in Neural Information Processing systems*, pp. 650-657, 1990.
- [55] Jacobs, R. A., Jordan, M. I., Nowlan, S. J., and Hinton, G. E., "Adaptive mixtures of local experts" *Neural Computation*, vol. 3, pp. 79-87, 1991.

- [56] Jordan, M. I. and Jacobs, R. A., "Hierarchical mixtures of experts and the EM algorithm" *Neural Computation*, vol. 6, pp. 181-214, 1994.
- [57] An, K. and Meng, J., "Voting-averaged combination method for regressor ensemble" presented at the Proceedings of the 6th international conference on Advanced intelligent computing theories and applications. pp. 540-546, 2010.
- [58] Department. of petroleum and natural gas engineering, Retrived at 5 Janurary 2012 from <u>http://www.pete.metu.edu.tr</u> [Online].
- [59] RECON. Retrived at 5 Janurery 2012 from <u>http://www.reconpetro.com/oh_tool.php</u> [Online].
- [60] Helle, H. B. and Bhatt, A., "Fluid saturation from well logs using committee neural networks" *Petroleum Geoscience*, vol. 8, pp. 109-118, 2002.
- [61] Lim, J.-S., "Reservoir properties determination using fuzzy logic and neural networks from well data in offshore Korea" *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, vol. 49, pp. 182-192, 2005.
- [62] Zhenglie, M., Xiaoping, L., Pengying, D., Jiagen, H., and Dongping, D., "A new method to predict the reservoir porosity based on fuzzy-PCA and neural network" in *Control and Decision Conference*, pp. 2587-2590, 2011.
- [63] MPG. Petroleum, Inc. Retrived at 5 Jan 2012 from <u>http://mpgpetroleum.com/</u> [Online].
- [64] Helmy, T. and Fatai, A., "Hybrid Computational Intelligence Models for Porosity and Permeability Prediction of Petroleum reservoirs" *International Journal of Computational Intelligence and Applications*, vol. 9, pp. 313-337, 2010.
- [65] Aminzadeh, F., Barhen, J., Glover, C. W., and Toomarian, N. B., "Reservoir parameter estimation using a hybrid neural network" *Computer & Geosciences*, vol. 26, pp. 869-875, 2000.
- [66] Long, H. T. and Sachio, E., "Oil Reservoir Properties Estimation by Fuzzy-Neural Networks" *Memoirs of the Faculty of Engineering, Kyushu University*, vol. 67, pp. 117-141, 2007.

- [67] Helle, H. B., Bhatt, A., and Ursin, B., "Porosity and permeability prediction from wireline logs using artificial neural networks: a North Sea case study" vol. 49, p. 431, 2001.
- [68] Ahmed, U., Crary, S., and Coates, G., "Permeability estimation: the various sources and their interrelationship" vol. 43, p. 578, 1991.
- [69] Alfaouri, S., Riahi, M. A., Alizadeh, N., and Rezaei, M., "Permeability Prediction Enhancement in Carbonate Reservoirs by Proposing a New Fuzzy Logic Approach" *Journal of Applied Sciences*, vol. 9, pp. 3263-3274, 2009.
- [70] Huang Z, Shimeld J, Williamson M, and J, K., "Permeability prediction with artificial neural network modeling in the Venture gas field, offshore eastern Canada" *Geophysics*, vol. 61, p. 422, 1996.
- [71] Wong, P. M., Jang, M., Cho, S., and Gedeon, T. D., "Multiple permeability predictions using an observational learning algorithm" *Computer & Geosciences*, vol. 26, pp. 907-913, 2000.
- [72] Xie, D., Wilkinson, D., Yu, T., and Ramon, S., "Permeability estimation using a hybrid genetic programming and fuzzy/neural inference approach " *Proceeding of SPE, Annual Technical Conference,* 2005.
- [73] Kadkhodaie, I. and et al., "A fuzzy logic approach for estimation of permeability and rock type from conventional well log data: an example from the Kangan reservoir in the Iran Offshore Gas Field" *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, vol. 3, p. 356, 2006.
- [74] Saemi, M., Ahmadi, M., and Varjani, A. Y., "Design of neural networks using genetic algorithm for the permeability estimation of the reservoir" *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, vol. 59, pp. 97-105, 2007.
- [75] Saemi, M. and Ahmadi, M., "Integration of genetic algorithm and a coactive neuro-fuzzy inference system for permeability prediction from well logs data" *Transport in Porous Media*, vol. 71, pp. 273-288, 2008.
- [76] Karimpouli, S., Fathianpour, N., and Roohi, J., "A new approach to improve neural networks' algorithm in permeability prediction of petroleum reservoirs using supervised committee machine neural network (SCMNN)" *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, vol. 73, pp. 227-232, 2010.

- [77] Fang, J. H. and Chen, H. C., "Fuzzy modeling and the prediction of porosity and permeability from the compositional and textural attributes of sandstone" *Journal of Petroleum Geology*, vol. 20, pp. 185-204, 1997.
- [78] Huang, Y., Gedeon, T. D., and Wong, P. M., "A practical fuzzy interpolator for prediction of reservoir permeability" presented at the IEEE International Fuzzy Systems Conference. pp. 1528-1533, 1999.
- [79] Ji-Cheng, Z., Li, L., and Kao-Ping, S., "Neural Approach for Calculating Permeability of Porous Medium" *Chinese Physics Letters*, vol. 23, pp. 1009-1011, 2006.
- [80] El-Sebakhy, E. A., Asparouhov, O., Abdulraheem, A.-A., Al-Majed, A.-A., Wu, D., Latinski, K., *et al.*, "Functional networks as a new data mining predictive paradigm to predict permeability in a carbonate reservoir" *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 39, pp. 10359-10375, 9/15/ 2012.
- [81] Adeniran, A., Elshafei, M., and Hamada, G., "Functional Network softsensor for formation porosity and water saturation in oil wells" in *International Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference*, pp. 1138-1143, 2009.
- [82] Poupon, A. and Leveaux, J., "Evaluation Of Water Saturation In Shaly Formations" *Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts*, vol. XII, 1971.
- [83] Alimoradi, A., Moradzadeh, A., and Bakhtiari, M. R., "Methods of water saturation estimation: Historical perspective" *Journal of Petroleum and Gas Engineering* vol. 2 (3), pp. 45-53, 2011.
- [84] Al-Bulushi, N., King, P. R., Blunt, M. J., and Kraaijveld, M., "Development of artificial neural network models for predicting water saturation and fluid distribution" *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, vol. 68, pp. 197-208, 2009.
- [85] Al-Bulushi, N. I., King, P. R., Blunt, M. J., and Kraaijveld, M., "Artificial neural networks workflow and its application in the petroleum industry" *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 21, pp. 409-421, 2012.
- [86] Rezaee, M. R., Jafari, A., and Kazemzadeh, E., "Relationships between permeability, porosity and pore throat size in carbonate rocks using regression analysis and neural networks" *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, vol. 3, pp. 370-376, 2006.

- [87] Yu, S., Zhu, K., and Diao, F., "A dynamic all parameters adaptive BP neural networks model and its application on oil reservoir prediction" *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 195, pp. 66-75, 2008.
- [88] Khoshnoodkia, M., Mohseni, H., Rahmani, O., and Mohammadi, A., "TOC determination of Gadvan Formation in South Pars Gas field, using artificial intelligent systems and geochemical data" *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, vol. 78, pp. 119-130, 2011.
- [89] Cuddy, S., "The Application of Mathematics of Fuzzy Logic to Petrophysics" *SPWLA Annual Logging Symposium*, 1997.
- [90] Saggaf, M. M. and Nebrija, E. L., "A fuzzy logic approach for the estimation of facies from wire-line logs" *AAPG Bulletin*, vol. 87, pp. 1223-1240, 2003.
- [91] Rezaee, M. R., Kadkhodaie Ilkhchi, A., and Barabadi, A., "Prediction of shear wave velocity from petrophysical data utilizing intelligent systems: An example from a sandstone reservoir of Carnaryon Basin, Australia" *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, vol. 55, pp. 201-212, 2007.
- [92] Kadkhodaie-Ilkhchi, A. and Amini, A., "A fuzzy logic approach to estimating hydraulic flow units from well log data: a case study from the Ahwaz oilfield, south Iran" *Journal of Petroleum Geology*, vol. 32, pp. 67-78, 2009a.
- [93] Nikravesh, M. and Aminzadeh, F., "Mining and fusion of petroleum data with fuzzy logic and neural network agents" *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, vol. 29, pp. 221-238, 2001.
- [94] Kamali, M. R. and Allah Mirshady, A., "Total organic carbon content determined from well logs using [Delta]LogR and Neuro Fuzzy techniques" *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, vol. 45, pp. 141-148, 2004.
- [95] Hsieh, B.-Z., Lewis, C., and Lin, Z.-S., "Lithology identification of aquifers from geophysical well logs and fuzzy logic analysis: Shui-Lin Area, Taiwan" *Computers & Geosciences*, vol. 31, pp. 263-275, 2005.
- [96] Rezaee, M. R., Kadkhodaie-Ilkhchi, A., and Alizadeh, P. M., "Intelligent approaches for the synthesis of petrophysical logs" *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, vol. 5, p. 12, 2008.
- [97] Labani, M. M., Kadkhodaie-Ilkhchi, A., and Salahshoor, K., "Estimation of NMR log parameters from conventional well log data using a committee machine with intelligent systems: A case study from the Iranian part of the

South Pars gas field, Persian Gulf Basin" *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, vol. 72, pp. 175-185, 2010.

- [98] Kadkhodaie-Ilkhchi, A., Monteiro, S. T., Ramos, F., and Hatherly, P., "Rock Recognition From MWD Data: A Comparative Study of Boosting, Neural Networks, and Fuzzy Logic" *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, vol. 7, pp. 680-684, 2010.
- [99] Rajabi, M., Bohloli, B., and Gholampour Ahangar, E., "Intelligent approaches for prediction of compressional, shear and Stoneley wave velocities from conventional well log data: A case study from the Sarvak carbonate reservoir in the Abadan Plain (Southwestern Iran)" *Computers & Geosciences*, vol. 36, pp. 647-664, 2010.
- [100] Asoodeh, M. and Bagheripour, P., "Prediction of Compressional, Shear, and Stoneley Wave Velocities from Conventional Well Log Data Using a Committee Machine with Intelligent Systems" *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, vol. 45, pp. 45-63, 2011.
- [101] Nikravesh, M., "Computational Intelligence for Geosciences and Oil Exploration" in *Forging New Frontiers: Fuzzy Pioneers I*. vol. 217: Springer Berlin, pp. 267-332, 2007.
- [102] Fang, J. H., Karr, C. L., and Stanley, D. A., "Genetic Algorithm and Its Application to Petrophysics" *Society of Petroleum Engineers*, 1992.
- [103] Karr, C. L., Stanley, D. A., and Fang, J. H., "Transformation Of Geochemical Log Data To Mineralogy Using Genetic Algorithms" *Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts*, vol. 37, pp. 26-31, 1996.
- [104] Cuddy, S. J. and J.Glover, P. W., "The application of fuzzy logic and genetic algorithms to reservoir characterization and modeling" *25th General Assembly of the European Geophysical Society Nice*, pp. 219–242., 2002.
- [105] Huang, Y., Wong, P. M., and Gedeon, T. D., "Prediction of Reservoir Properties using Genetic Algorithms" AI Applications, vol. 12 pp. 67-75, 1998.
- [106] Huang, Y. and Wong, P. M., "Permeability prediction in petroleum reservoir using a hybrid system" *Soft Computing in Industrial Applications*, vol. 437-446, 2000.

- [107] El-Melegy, M., Essai, M., and Ali, A., "Robust Training of Artificial Feedforward Neural Networks" in *Foundations of Computational Intelligence*, pp. 217-242, 2009.
- [108] Zhang, G. P., "Neural networks for classification: a survey" *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C: Applications and Reviews*, vol. 30, pp. 451-462, 2000.
- [109] Zhang, G., Eddy Patuwo, B., and Y. Hu, M., "Forecasting with artificial neural networks: The state of the art" *International Journal of Forecasting*, vol. 14, pp. 35-62, 1998.
- [110] Paliwal, M. and Kumar, U. A., "Neural networks and statistical techniques: A review of applications" *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 36, pp. 2-17, 2009.
- [111] Martínez-Muñoz, G., Sánchez-Martínez, A., Hernández-Lobato, D., and Suárez, A., "Class-switching neural network ensembles" *Neurocomputing*, vol. 71, pp. 2521-2528, 2008.
- [112] Dirk, H. and Kaspar, A., "Modelling Conditional Probabilities with Network Committees: How overfitting can be useful" *Neural Network World*, vol. 8, pp. 417–439, 1998.
- [113] Sollich, P. and Krogh, A., "Learning with ensembles: How overfitting can be useful" Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, vol. 8, pp. 190-196, 1996.
- [114] Banfield, R. E., Hall, L. O., Bowyer, K. W., and Kegelmeyer, W. P., "A Comparison of Decision Tree Ensemble Creation Techniques" *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analaysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 29, pp. 173-180, 2007.
- [115] Margineantu, D. and Dietterich, T., "Pruning Adaptive Boosting" in *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 211-218, 1997.
- [116] Breiman, L., "Random Forests" *Machine Learning*, vol. 45, pp. 5-32, 2001.
- [117] MATLAB User's Guide, 2011.

- [118] Zadeh, L. A., "Fuzzy sets" Information and Control, vol. 8, pp. 338-353, 1965.
- [119] Mamdani, E. H., "Advances in the linguistic synthesis of fuzzy controllers" *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, vol. 8, pp. 669-678, 1976.
- [120] Mamdani, E. H., "Application of Fuzzy Logic to Approximate Reasoning Using Linguistic Synthesis" *IEEE Transaction on Computers*, vol. 26, pp. 1182-1191, 1977.
- [121] Mamdani, E. H. and Assilian, S., "An experiment in linguistic synthesis with a fuzzy logic controller" *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, vol. 7, pp. 1-13, 1975.
- [122] Martin Larsen, P., "Industrial applications of fuzzy logic control" International Journal of Man-Machine Studies, vol. 12, pp. 3-10, 1980.
- [123] Yildiz, A., Akin, M., Poyraz, M., and Kirbas, G., "Application of adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system for vigilance level estimation by using waveletentropy feature extraction" *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 36, pp. 7390-7399, 2009.
- [124] Jang, J.-S. R., "ANFIS: Adaptive-Network-Based Fuzzy Inference System" *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, vol. 23, pp. 665-685, 1993.
- [125] Arabas, J., Michalewicz, Z., and Mulawka, J., "GAVaPS-a genetic algorithm with varying population size" in *First IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*, pp. 73-78, 1994.
- [126] Kosko, B., Neural networks and fuzzy systems: a dynamical systems approach to machine intelligence, p 449: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1992.
- [127] Rusiecki, A., "Robust MCD-Based Backpropagation Learning Algorithm" in 9th Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing, Zakopane, Poland, pp. 154-163, 2008.
- [128] Klawonn, F. and Höppner, F., "Fuzzy Cluster Analysis from the Viewpoint of Robust Statistics" in *Views on Fuzzy Sets and Systems from Different Perspectives*: Springer, pp. 439-455, 2009.
- [129] Huber, P. J., *Robust Statistics* 2ed.: Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, 2004.

- [130] Archie, G. E., "The electrical resistivity log as an aid in determining some reservoir characteristics" *Transactions of the American Institute of Mining and Metallurgical Engineers*, vol. 146, pp. 54-62, 1942.
- [131] Garcia-Pedrajas, N., Hervas-Martinez, C., and Ortiz-Boyer, D., "Cooperative coevolution of artificial neural network ensembles for pattern classification" *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 9, pp. 271-302, 2005.
- [132] Oliveira, L. S., Morita, M., Sabourin, R., and Bortolozzi, F., "Multi-objective Genetic Algorithms to Create Ensemble of Classifiers" in *Evolutionary Multi-Criterion Optimization*. vol. 3410, pp. 592-606, 2005.
- [133] Petroleum, "Engineering Department, Stavanger. Retrived at 5 Jan 2012 <u>http://www.uis.no/study_programmes/degree_programmes_in_english/petrol</u> <u>eum_engineering/".</u>
- [134] Department. of Geology and Geography, West Virginia University. Retrived at 5 Jan 2012 from <u>http://www.geo.wvu.edu/</u> [Online].