

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

DEVELOPMENT OF STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE COMMUNICATION MANAGEMENT WITHIN VIRTUAL CONSTRUCTION PROJECT TEAMS

FATHOLLAH EFTEKHARI

FK 2015 13



### DEVELOPMENT OF STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE COMMUNICATION MANAGEMENT WITHIN VIRTUAL CONSTRUCTION PROJECT TEAMS



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

October 2015

### COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



# DEDICATION

This thesis dedicated to my lovely wife and kindness daughter who have supported me in many ways along this journey.



Abstract of thesis presented to the senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

# DEVELOPMENT OF STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE COMMUNICATION MANAGEMENT WITHIN VIRTUAL CONSTRUCTION PROJECT TEAMS

By

### FATHOLLAH EFTEKHARI

### October 2015

Chairman: Nuzul Azam Haron, PhDFaculty: Engineering

Virtual project management emerged for reaction to the growing need for low-cost, highquality, and rapid solutions to complex organizational problems. Virtual project teams enable organizations to select the talents and expertise of employees by eliminating time and distance barriers.

However, there are numerous evidences that the virtual nature create many challenges to effectively manage the communication within project teams and place a risk on project performance. Also, poor communication within virtual project teams cause projects to fail. This issue is due to the lack of study on strategies to clarify and emphasize the behaviours of the virtual project teams to deal with these barriers. The main objective of this study is to address this gap by identifying, examining and analysing the factors that influence the communication management among virtual project teams. In addition to this, the study aimed to identify factors that develop the sustain trust and prevent the misunderstanding within virtual team.

The research process was conducted in two main phases. First phase consists of the qualitative study based on a semi structured interview method. A sampling frame was designed comprising two groups of academician experts in communication, and project management. Initially ten factors that influence communication management among virtual project teams were drawn from the literature. Subsequently, the factors subjected to a ranking process through interview. Finally, the degree of influences of these factors on communication management processes was assessed by experts. Mann Whitney U test and Pareto analysis were performed initially to achieve data reduction. The two most important factors (Lack of trust and Misunderstanding) were selected. In the second phase, respondents were selected from the three groups of construction contractor companies (G5-G7) in Malaysia (Klang Valley). Data was collected by questionnaire survey, participated by 98 valid respondents. Exploratory factor analysis, frequency distribution and descriptive analysis (mean, weight and standard deviation) were used as data analysis methods in this phase.



Findings indicated that, *lack of trust* and *misunderstanding* are the two most critical barriers of communication management within virtual project teams. Moreover, findings revealed that *Managing communication* is the most vulnerable process of communication management against the barriers. Finally, two groups of factors that contribute to develop sustained trust and reducing misunderstanding among virtual project teams were identified. These factors were suggested as a project management strategy. This research has contributed to the field of project management. The first contribution of this study is the added two factors as most critical barriers for success of communication management within virtual project teams. In addition, this research has contributed to existing literature by emphasizing in factors and behaviours that affect the success of communication management within virtual project teams. It provide strategies to improve communication management within virtual project teams.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

### PEMBANGUNAN STRATEGI MENINGKATKAN PENGURUSAN KOMUNIKASI DALAM PASUKAN PROJEK PEMBINAAN VIRTUAL

Oleh

### FATHOLLAH EFTEKHARI

### Oktober 2015

### Pengerusi : Nuzul Azam Haron ,PhD Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Pengurusan projek maya muncul sebagai reaksi kepada keperluan yang semakin meningkat penyelesaian masalah-masalah organisasi yang kompleks yang berkos rendah dan berkualiti tinggi. Pasukan-pasukan projek maya membolehkan setiap organisasi memilih bakat dan kepakaran pekerja dengan cara mengenepikan masalah-masalah masa dan jarak.

Namun begitu, terdapat pelbagai bukti bahawa keadaan maya mencipta banyak cabaran dalam mengurus komunikasi efektif pasukan projek dan memberi risiko kepada prestasi projek. Komunikasi yang lemah juga, dalam pasukan projek maya menyebabkan projek boleh gagal. Isu ini adalah disebabkan oleh kurangnya kajian ke atas strategi-strategi yang bertujuan memperjelaskan dan memberi penekanan kepada pasukan-pasukan projek maya dalam mengendalikan halangan-halangan ini. Objektif utama kajian ini ialah merujuk kepada jurang ini dengan mengenalpasti, memeriksa dan menganalisa faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi pengurusan komunikasi di antara pasukan-pasukan projek. Tambahan pula, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenalpasti faktor-faktor yang dibangunkan untuk mengekalkan rasa percaya dan mengelak dari adanya kesalahfahaman di antara pasukan maya ini.

Proses kajian telah dijalankan dalam dua fasa. Fasa pertama terdiri dari kajian kualitatif berdasarkan kaedah temuramah separa struktur. Satu kerangka persampelan telah direkabentuk dan ia terdiri dari dua kumpulan pakar akademik dalam komunikasi, dan pengurusan projek. Awalnya, sepuluh faktor yang mempengaruhi pengurusan komunikasi di kalangan pasukan projek maya telah diambil dari literatur. Akibatnya, faktor-faktor yang tertakluk kepada satu proses perkadaran melalui temuramah telah diambil-kira. Akhir sekali, tahap pengaruh faktor-faktor proses-proses pengurusan komunikasi telah dinilai oleh pakar-pakar berkenaan. Ujian-U Mann Whitney dan analisis Pareto analysis telah dijalankan, pada mulanya untuk mencapai pengurangan data. Dua faktor yang paling penting (Kurang kepercayaan dan kesalahfahaman) telah dipilih. Dalam fasa kedua, para responden telah dipilih dari tiga kumpulan syarikat kontraktor pembinaan (G5-G7) di Malaysia (Lembah Kelang). Data telah dikumpul melalui tinjauan kajiselidik, dan ia disertai oleh 98 orang responden yang sah. Analisis



faktor eksploratori, pengagihan kekerapan dan analisis deskriptif (min, berat dan sisihan piawai) telah digunakan sebagai kaedah analisis data dalam fasa ini.

Dapatan menunjukkan bahawa kurangnya kepercayaan dan kesalahfahaman adalah dua halangan penting dalam pengurusan komunikasi dalam pasukan projek maya. Tambahan pula, dapatan menunjukkan bahawa *Menguruskan komunikasi* adalah proses yang paling lemah dalam pengurusan komunikasi untuk mengatasi halangan-halangan yang dinyatakan tadi. Akhir sekali, dua kumpulan faktor yang menyumbang kepada aspek menanam rasa percaya dan mengurangkan kesalahfahaman telah dikenalpasti. Faktor-faktor ini dicadangkan sebagai strategi pengurusan projek. Kajian ini menyumbang kepada bidang pengurusan projek. Sumbangan pertama kajian ini ialah dengan menambah dua faktor sebagai halangan-halangan paling penting kepada kejayaan pengurusan komunikasi dalam pasukan projek maya. Tambahan pula, kajian ini telah menyumbang kepada literatur sedia ada dari aspek faktor-faktor dan perilaku yang memberi kesan kepada kejayaan komunikasi dalam pasukan projek maya. Ia memberikan beberapa strategi untuk memperbaiki pengurusan komunikasi di antara pasukan-pasukan ini.

### **AKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Foremost, praise is to "God" the cherisher, and the sustainers of the world for giving me strengths, health and determination to complete this thesis. I would like to express my sincere gratitude and acknowledgements to my supervisor Dr NUZUL AZAM HARON for the continuous support of my research, for his patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. Besides my supervisor, I would like to thank the rest of my thesis committee: Prof SALIHUDIN BIN HJ HASSIM, and Dr LAW TEIK HUA, for their encouragement, insightful comments.

Additionally, I would like to thank my family members for supporting me always in my time of need. Last but not least, to my love, for believing me always. Thank you.



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

### Nuzul Azam Haron, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Law Teik Hua, PhD Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

### Salihudin Bin Hj Hassim Associate Professor, Ir Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

### **BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

### **Declaration by graduate student**

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced
- the thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be owned from supervisor and deputy vice –chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- There is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: \_

Date:

Name and Matric No.: Fathollah Eftekhari (GS37242)

### **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

C

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

| Signature:<br>Name of<br>Chairman of<br>Supervisory<br>Committee: | Nuzul Azam Haron, PhD | Signature:<br>Name of<br>Member of<br>Supervisory<br>Committee: | Salihudin Bin Hj Hassim, Ir |
|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Signature:<br>Name of<br>Member of<br>Supervisory                 |                       |   |                             |
| Committee:  | Law Teik Hua, PhD     |   |                             |
|   |                       |   |                             |

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABSTRACT              | i    |
|-----------------------|------|
| ABSTRAK               | iii  |
| AKNOWLEDGEMENTS       | V    |
| APPROVAL              | vi   |
| DECLARATION           | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES        | xii  |
| LIST OF FIGURES       | xiv  |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | XV   |
|                       |      |

# CHAPTER

| 1 | INTE | RODUCTION   |    |
|---|------|---|----|
|   | 1.1  | Introduction  | 1  |
|   | 1.2  | Background of the Study                             | 1  |
|   | 1.3  | Problem Statement                                   | 3  |
|   | 1.4  | Research Questions                                  | 4  |
|   | 1.5  | Research Objectives                                 | 4  |
|   | 1.6  | Significance of the Study                           | 4  |
|   | 1.7  | Scope of the Study                                  | 5  |
|   | 1.8  | Limitation of the Study                             | 5  |
|   | 1.9  | Content of the Thesis                               | 6  |
|   |      |   |    |
| 2 | LITE | CRATURE REVIEW                                      |    |
|   |      | Introduction  | 7  |
|   | 2.2  | Overview of Virtual Project Management              | 7  |
|   |      | 2.2.1 Project                                       | 7  |
|   |      | 2.2.2 Project Management                            | 8  |
|   |      | 2.2.3 Project Lifecycle                             | 8  |
|   |      | 2.2.4 Team, Project Team                            | 9  |
|   |      | 2.2.5 Virtual Teams                                 | 11 |
|   |      | 2.2.6 Trust in Virtual Teams                        | 16 |
|   |      | 2.2.7 Misunderstanding in Virtual Teams             | 16 |
|   |      | 2.2.8 The Project Management Knowledge Area Mapping | 17 |
|   | 2.3  | Project Communication Management                    | 19 |
|   |      | 2.3.1 Communication                                 | 19 |
|   |      | 2.3.2 Characteristics of Communication              | 19 |
|   |      | 2.3.3 Communication Management                      | 20 |
|   |      | 2.3.4 Communication Management Processes            | 20 |
|   |      | 2.3.5 Communication Elements                        | 21 |
|   |      | 2.3.6 Communication Skills                          | 23 |
|   |      | 2.3.7 Communication Technology                      | 24 |
|   |      | 2.3.8 Communication Models                          | 24 |
|   |      | 2.3.9 Communication Methods                         | 25 |
|   |      | 2.3.10 Communication Tools                          | 25 |
|   |      | 2.3.11 Communication Channels                       | 25 |
|   | 2.4  | Communication Management in Virtual Teams           | 27 |

|   | 2.5    | Communication Challenges in Vpm   | 29       |
|---|--------|---|----------|
|   | 2.6    | Improve Communication Management in Vpm                                       | 36       |
|   | 2.7    | Developing the Team's Communication Strategy                                  | 40       |
|   | 2.8    | Summary and Conclusion  | 40       |
| 3 | 3 RESI | EARCH METHODOLOGY   |          |
|   | 3.1    | Introduction  | 42       |
|   | 3.2    | Research Problem and Research Questions                                       | 42       |
|   | 3.3    | Phase 1: Qualitative Study  | 43       |
|   |        | 3.3.1 Sampling Design   | 44       |
|   |        | 3.3.2 Data Collection   | 44       |
|   |        | 3.3.3 Data Analysis   | 45       |
|   | 3.4    | Phase 2: Quantitative Study   | 47       |
|   |        | 3.4.1 Pilot Study   | 47       |
|   |        | 3.4.2 Sampling Design   | 47       |
|   |        | 3.4.3 Data Collection   |          |
|   |        | 3.4.4 Data Analysis   |          |
|   | 3.5    | Validation of the Entire Study  | 51       |
|   | 3.6    | Summary   | 51       |
|   | DECI   |   |          |
| 4 |        | ULTS AND DISCUSSIONS  | 52       |
|   | 4.1    | Introduction  | 53       |
|   | 4.2    | Results of the First Phase  | 53       |
|   |        | 4.2.1 Reliability Analysis  | 53<br>54 |
|   |        | 4.2.2 Data Analysis of the Preliminary Study                                  |          |
|   |        | 4.2.3 Statistical Test- Mann Whitney U<br>4.2.4 Result of Research Question 2 | 56<br>57 |
|   | 4.3    | Results of the Second Phase   | 60       |
|   | 4.5    | 4.3.1 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents                          | 61       |
|   |        | 4.3.2 Reliability Analysis  | 63       |
|   |        | 4.3.3 Exploratory Factor Analysis   | 64       |
|   |        | 4.3.4 Data Analysis   | 66       |
|   | 4.4    | Results From Validation of the Research Finding                               | 71       |
|   | 4.5    | Discussion  | 72       |
|   | 4.6    | Summary   | 77       |
|   |        |   |          |
| 5 | CON    | CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION  |          |
|   | 5.1    | Introduction  | 78       |
|   | 5.2    | Main Findings of the Study  | 78       |
|   | 5.3    | Contributions of the Study  | 79       |
|   | 5.4    | Recommendation for Future Research  | 80       |
| R | REFERE | NCES  | 81       |
|   | PPEND  |   | 106      |
|   |        | A OF STUDENT  | 124      |
|   |        | PUBLICATIONS  | 125      |
|   |        |   |          |

### LIST OF TABLES

| Table |  | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 2.1   | Types of virtual team  | 12   |
| 2.2   | Some of the main advantages associated with virtual teaming  | 14   |
| 2.3   | Some of the main disadvantages associated with virtual teaming   | 15   |
| 2.4   | Project management knowledge area  | 18   |
| 2.5   | Respondents reporting risk impact (n=107)  | 28   |
| 2.6   | Summary of previous research studies on challenges of working in virtual project teams                           | 31   |
| 2.7   | Misunderstanding layers and their causes   | 36   |
| 2.8   | Factors contribute to improve communication management from perspectives of sustained trust and misunderstanding | 38   |
| 3.1   | Sampling size for first phase  | 44   |
| 3.2   | Summary of analysis method used in first phase   | 45   |
| 3.3   | Sample size for Precision Levels where Confidence Level is $95\%$ and $P=.5$ .                                   | 48   |
| 3.4   | Sampling size for second phase   | 49   |
| 3.5   | Summary of analysis method used in second phase  | 51   |
| 4.1   | Reliability analysis on communication barriers questions   | 53   |
| 4.2   | Item-total statistics on reliability test  | 54   |
| 4.3   | Comparison the results of two groups of respondent   | 55   |
| 4.4   | Result of the Mann Whitney U test  | 56   |
| 4.5   | Descriptive statistics for list of factors influencing communication management success                          | 57   |
| 4.6   | Influence of barriers to communication processes   | 58   |
| 4.7   | Frequency distribution of respondent's demographic characteristics   | 61   |
| 4.8   | Frequency distribution of organization characteristic  | 62   |

| 4.9  | Cronbach's Alpha value of the instruments   | 63 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.10 | Communalities for items after extraction  | 63 |
| 4.11 | Communalities for items after extraction  | 64 |
| 4.12 | Factor analysis after extraction components using principal component method  | 65 |
| 4.13 | Factor loadings with Varimax rotation for 20 items ( $N = 98$ )   | 65 |
| 4.14 | Ranked factors considered important for sustained trust in communication among VPTs   | 66 |
| 4.15 | Percentage of frequency distribution, men, standard deviation<br>and ranking for related items to sustained trust (n=98)                | 67 |
| 4.16 | Ranked factors considered important for prevent misunderstanding in communication among VPTs  | 68 |
| 4.17 | Percentage of frequency distribution, men, standard deviation<br>and ranking for related items to prevent of misunderstanding<br>(n=98) | 69 |
| 4.18 | Frequency distribution of using components of communication management plan   | 70 |
| 4.19 | Frequency distribution of using communication tools   | 70 |
| 4.20 | Frequency distribution of important skill for virtual team  | 71 |
| 4.21 | Results of the validation of entire study   | 71 |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 2.1    | Level of process interaction across the project lifecycle   | 8    |
| 2.2    | Project management process group  | 9    |
| 2.3    | Project boundaries  | 9    |
| 2.4    | Basic communication model   | 23   |
| 2.5    | Communication channel chart   | 26   |
| 2.6    | Project organization communication path   | 26   |
| 2.7    | Communication channels on a global project, multiple locations  | 27   |
| 2.8    | Graph of top risk factors for virtual projects  | 28   |
| 2.9    | Organizations that communicate more effectively have more successful projects                             | 29   |
| 2.10   | IPO model of virtual team communication   | 33   |
| 2.11   | The conceptual framework of the factors that influence communication management                           | 39   |
| 2.12   | The conceptual framework of strategies to improve communication management in VPM                         | 39   |
| 3.1    | The flowchart to study implementation   | 43   |
| 4.1    | Difference between two groups of expert about degree of importance in communication management's barriers | 56   |
| 4.2    | Overall importance of communication management barriers among virtual project teams.                      | 57   |
| 4.3    | Influence of barrier on communication processes   | 59   |
| 4.4    | Compare the mean of influence of barrier to communication processes                                       | 59   |
| 4.5    | Pareto analysis of communication management barriers  | 60   |
| 4.6    | Result of the question "How successful is your virtual team?"   | 62   |
| 4.7    | Developing communication strategy processes   | 76   |

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AIPM     | International Project Management Association                      |
|----------|---|
| CIDB     | Construction Industry Development Board                           |
| CPM      | Critical Path Method  |
| DV       | Dependent Variable  |
| EFA      | Exploratory Factor Analysis                                       |
| ICT      | Information and Communication Technology                          |
| IPO      | Inputs- Processes- Outcomes                                       |
| IV       | Independent Variable  |
| КМО      | Kaiser Meyer Olkin  |
| PERT     | Program Evaluation and Review Technique                           |
| PMBOK    | Project Management Body of Knowledge                              |
| PMI      | Project Management Institute                                      |
| RO       | Research Objective  |
| RQ       | Research Question   |
| VRL-KciP | Virtual Research Laboratory for Knowledge Community in Production |
| VPM      | Virtual Project Management  |
| VPT      | Virtual Project Team  |
|          |   |

### **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Introduction

This research starts with an introductory chapter. The researcher's purpose is to introduce the reader the background of this study, clarify the relevance of the research problem and explain the benefits and importance of this study. This chapter will also outline the research problem, research questions, research objectives, limitation of the study and brief on the research methodology. This chapter also outlines the thesis as a whole.

### 1.2 Background of the Study

Modern sense of project management began with development of "Project Evaluation Review Technique" (PERT) and "Critical Path Method" (CPM) in 1958s (Stretton, 2007). Subsequently, the concept of virtual project management evolved during the mid-1990s when companies began organizing project over distance with distributed teams (Bergiel, Bergiel, & Balsmeier, 2008).

There are many definitions of virtual project management in literature. Krill and Juell (1997) identified virtual project management as "collaborative effort towards as specific goal or accomplishment which is based on 'collective yet remote' performance." a similar definition is proposed by Rad and Levin (2003), that "virtual project management is working across time zone, culture, space and organizational boundaries through advanced communication and information technologies to achieve common objectives".

The growing popularity of virtual teams in organization are result of new technological era (Walvoord, Redden, Elliott, & Coovert, 2008). In addition, universal project teams are vital components of modern organizations that enable them to select the talents and expertise to innovate, solve complex problem and save on resources (Kuruppuarachchi, 2009).

As shown by Khazanchi and Zigurs (2006), and William, Dow, and Taylor (2010), "project management is a challenging activity in the best situations and in the virtual environment it has become even more challenging." Manager must deal with many challenges while working with virtual teams: such as cultural diversity (Daim et al., 2012), different time zones (Aslam & Khan, 2010), technology (Hosseini & Chileshe, 2013), lack of trust in a team (Greenberg, 2007; Oyeleye, 2013), and, most of all, the lack of face- to- face communication (Reed & Knight, 2011; Rosen, Furst, & Blackburn, 2007). Although some of these challenges have always existed for traditional project managers, as observed by Osman (2011), they are amplified several times over for virtual project managers.

Communication is an integral component of success for any project team, also for virtual teams to work effectively. Everyone have to know of outside events that will affect the team, and make sure that a problem or delay in one area is immediately communicated to these whom it may affect. As stated by Ebrahim, Ahmed, and Taha (2009), communication is the most challenging component of project management, particularly among virtual teams, which can also make it more difficult to overcome cultural barriers. Lee-Kelley and Sankey (2008), indicated in their research that cultural differences and time zone affect communication as well as team relations on project. Some of their comments are as follows:

- Communication is essential for efficient coordination, particularly in large construction projects.
- Inappropriate communication management can lead members to "not being the same page" and "working at cross purpose".
- Weak communication management can lead to confusion that can add more cost and more time.
- Projects fails start from misunderstanding.

According to the recent report by cultural training service (RW3. LLC), (45) percent of employees who are working as virtual team members, claimed they had never met their virtual team groups and (30) percent said they only met them once a year. The report, "the challenges of working virtual teams" was based on survey of nearly 3000 employees from multinational companies. The survey also found that virtual team members (97) percent do not have enough time during virtual meeting to build relationships. Additionally (81) percent said that it is difficult to establish rapport and trust in virtual teams (Solomon, 2010). Cultural misunderstanding further make communication complex due to differences in language, verbal styles and nonverbal styles which influence team effectiveness (Shachaf, 2008).

According to McLean (2007), some of the challenges associated with leading virtual teams are related to trust building, which requires time, effort, and commitment from all team members, including the leader. Set of dynamics that created by virtual teams are different from traditional teams that work in one physical location. Some factors such as communication structures and leadership behaviour take on different setting, and have to be understood and performed with attention of this new environment (Araujo, 2004). Virtual team members face communication challenges dealing with the distributed structure of their groups (McKinney & Whiteside, 2006). As the use of virtual teams accelerates, research is also accelerating on understanding the issues involved in effective remote communication (Powell, Piccoli, & Ives, 2004). The distance present in virtual teams introduces problems for effective methods of communication in task coordination as social interaction and team relationships (Anderson et al., 2005). Organizational leaders must also understand how establishing trust through social interaction affects virtual team communication (Corvello & Migliarese, 2007). The lack of effective communication, resulted by challenges, in virtual teams can make ambiguous potential understandings of objectives and complicate the communication and collaboration (Oyeleye, 2013). There are many nascent stages in virtual project management that have not been examined (Badrinarayanan & Arnett, 2008). Hence the



reason suggested more research to explore the way to enhance the execution of virtual teams (El-Tayeh, Gil, & Freeman, 2008).

Finally, a thorough literature review revealed that there are many factors influence on success of communication management in virtual project teams. However, missing piece of knowledge in existing literature are evaluate the influence of these factors on processes of communication management to find the most vulnerable stage. As mentioned in PMI (2012), communication management consist of planning, managing, and monitoring processes. This study focuses on influence of these factors on communication management processes to fulfil that gap in literature. Another research gap is the lack of study on strategies to elucidate and emphasize the behaviours of the virtual project teams to deal with the most critical factors that influence on success of communication management within VPTs.

### 1.3 Problem Statement

According to Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia (CIDB 2012), as part of Malaysian government initiative to encourage construction contractors to venture into the global project, the investment increased fifty times in this area between 1997 and 2011. Malaysian construction contractors involved in 690 globally projects worth 29.7 USD Billion in 49 countries around the world (Appendix A). The total amount of international projects indicate the importance of virtual teams to successful implementation. Virtual team allow companies to procure the best talent without geographical restriction. Working in a virtual team presents many challenges. Communication is one of the most important challenge, especially when a virtual team includes members from different countries and cultures.

As recent study conducted by the project management institute PMI (2013), revealed one out of five project is unsuccessful due to ineffective communications. It indicated that ineffective communication is to blame for 56% of projects that fail to meet objectives. Additionally, from US\$ 135 million at risk on every US\$ 1 billion spent on projects, US \$ 75 million- more than half- is on the line because of poor communication. As regards, the research conducted by Clark (2014), (70%) of project manager do not use communication methods properly. So, they must deal with many challenges while working with virtual teams because of ineffective communication.

Awareness of the barriers of communication management in VPM by project manager has contributed to plan communication management properly by using suitable tools, skills and method. Weak communication would make the virtual project teams prone to low individual commitment, role ambiguity, role overload, absenteeism, and social loafing (Jarvenpaa & Leidner, 2013). According to PMI (2012), communication management includes three processes: plan communication, manage communication, and control & monitoring communication. The vulnerability of these steps against obstacles are not same. The only way to success a system is to assess the existing vulnerability, and determine the degree of risk for process (Cao & Malik, 2006). Hence, due to the potential risk to the projects because of poor communication in virtual project teams, as stated above, the researcher believes it is beneficial and timely that determine the critical barriers of communication management within virtual project teams and how these barriers influence on communication processes, in order to find out the strategy which could enhance effective communication management in VPM and achieve the goal of an organization.

### 1.4 Research Questions

From the statement of problems and background of the research study, three (3) research questions are raised. These questions are directed to address the issues pertaining to communication management within virtual project teams. This research efforts to answer the following questions:

- i. What are the critical barriers cause ineffective communication in virtual project management?
- ii. How do the barriers influence on communication management processes in virtual project management?
- iii. What are the strategies employed by organizations to enhance communication in virtual project management?

### 1.5 Research Objectives

The main objective of this research is to study the factors influencing the success of communication in virtual teams to improve communication management within virtual construction project teams. To achieve the main objective of this study, the following specific objectives have been defined:

- i. To determine the critical barriers that cause ineffective communication in virtual project management.
- ii. To examine the influence of barriers towards communication management processes in virtual project management.
- iii. To develop the new strategy which could enhance effective communication in virtual project management.

### **1.6 Significance of the Study**

Organizations have perceived the importance of virtual project teams, which cause to change teams from fixed team to shifting teams. Despite the plethora of literature on virtual project management, it is evident, a lack of study conducted in Malaysia to examine factors that influence the communication management process. Hence, the following are the reason why this research is significant.

Therefore, awareness of the barriers of effective communication helps to provide a communication plan properly by using suitable tools, skills and methods. As well as the

only way to success a system is to assess the existing vulnerability, and determine the degree of risk for process. This research is an attempt to study the factors influence on communication management process to contribute to plan, manage and control communication within virtual teams effectively. Another significance of this study is recommended new strategies to improve communication management among virtual project teams. The researcher hopes that the result of this study will benefit the managers of virtual project teams, project management students, and practitioners, through providing greater insight into the challenges and practices of managing communication within virtual project teams in global projects.

### **1.7** Scope of the Study

This research study is primarily concerned with the subject of communication in virtual project management. In this way factors that influence communication were applied to determine the most critical barriers of effective communication management. In the current study data was obtained from academician experts in three universities of Malaysia (UPM, UM and MMU).

The efforts also include an analysis of questionnaire survey from Malaysian construction contractors to develop new strategies to enhance the communication management from perspectives of "lack of trust" and "misunderstanding". Malaysian construction contractors in Klang valley that were members of CIDB in three groups of G5, G6 and G7 are the respondents by which the items are studied. It is noted that the study of external communication is beyond the scope of this study.

### 1.8 Limitation of the Study

This particular research has a number of limitations which affect generalization of research findings. Although a thorough literature review was conducted to obtain the factors that influence communication management it is expected that some factors were inadvertently missed out. Therefore it is expected some factors are omitted in this study.

While it is believed that this study creates in- depth understanding of the communication within virtual construction teams in global projects, because of time limitations and the small non- probability sample, finding cannot be generalized and are not representative for the whole virtual teams. So the findings of this study are applicable to virtual construction project teams in Malaysia or other countries with similar condition. The most profound limitation is that this study focuses on the management of internal communication between the project managers and virtual team members, to find out the problems of ineffective communication in construction organizations. Therefore external communication is not evaluated in this research.

### 1.9 Content of the Thesis

The current research study is organized into five chapters as follows:

Chapter one (1), this research starts with an introductory chapter, which outlines the background and approach to the research questions and the overall objectives of the thesis.

Chapter two (2), the relevant literature review is performed in the second chapter which presents the theoretical framework of this thesis. In this chapter, the researcher discusses what information was known prior to research and narrows down the selected literature to pinpoint the main focus areas of this study.

Chapter three (3), identifies the most appropriate research methodology, detailing its design and strategy for data collection and analysis that, the researcher used in order to answer the research questions.

Chapter four (4), discusses and illustrates data collection, analysis and findings, themes that emerged from each of the interview, observations and documentation review that address the aims and objectives of this research are presented. Over the discussion, the researcher illustrates how the findings are relevant to answer the research questions.

Chapter five (5), consist of conclusion from the finding of the research study and recommendations for further research.

### REFERENCES

- Affare, M. A. W. (2012). An Assessment of Project Communication Management on Construction Projects in Ghana. Institute of Distance Learning, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology.
- Anastas, J. W. (1999). Research design for social work and the human services: Columbia University Press.
- Anderson, H, A., Mullin, J., Mcewan, R., Bal, J., Carletta, J., . . . Brundell, P. (2005). Exploring why virtual teamworking is effective in the lab but more difficult in the workplace Barriers and Biases in Computer-Mediated Knowledge Communication (pp. 119-142): Springer.
- Anderson, A. H., McEwan, R., Bal, J., & Carletta, J. (2007). Virtual team meetings: An analysis of communication and context. Computers in Human Behavior, 23(5), 2558-2580.
- Anderson, J. D. (2006). Qualitative and quantitative research. Grants and Evaluation office.
- Araujo, A. L. (2004). Trust in virtual teams-The role of task, technology and time. (Doctoral), University of Oklahoma.
- Aslam, S., & Khan, A. M. (2010). Study of Effective Virtual Project Management through Emotional Intelligence, Empowerment and Leadership Style in Partly and Truly Global Projects. University of Engineering & Technology, Taxila.
- Badrinarayanan, V., & Arnett, D. B. (2008). Effective virtual new product development teams: an integrated framework. Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing, 23(4), 242-248.
- Bal, J., & Teo, P. (2001). Implementing virtual teamworking: Part 3–a methodology for introducing virtual teamworking. Logistics Information Management, 14(4), 276-292.
- Barrett, D. J. (2006). Leadership communication: A communication approach for seniorlevel managers. Handbook of Business Strategy, 7(1), 385-390.
- Baskerville, R., & Nandhakumar, J. (2007). Activating and perpetuating virtual teams: Now that we're mobile, where do we go? Professional Communication, IEEE Transactions on, 50(1), 17-34.
- Bell, B. S., & Kozlowski, S. W. (2002). A typology of virtual teams implications for effective leadership. Group & Organization Management, 27(1), 14-49.
- Berg, B. L., & Lune, H. (2004). Qualitative research methods for the social sciences (Vol. 5): Pearson Boston.

- Bergiel, B. J., Bergiel, E. B., & Balsmeier, P. W. (2008). Nature of virtual teams: a summary of their advantages and disadvantages. Management Research News, 31(2), 99-110.
- Bilczynska Wojcik, A. (2014). Communication management within virtual teams in global projects. (master), Dublin Business School.
- Binder, J. (2009). Global project management: communication, collaboration and management across borders. Strategic Direction, 25(9).
- Bogner, A., Littig, B., Menz, W., & Palgrave Connect (Online service). (2009). Interviewing experts Research methods series (pp. xii, 281 p.). Retrieved from <u>http://www.palgraveconnect.com/doifinder/10.1057/9780230244276</u>
- Booth, W. C., Colomb, G. G., & Williams, J. M. (2003). The craft of research: University of Chicago press.
- Bowen, G. A. (2005). Preparing a qualitative research-based dissertation: Lessons learned. The Qualitative Report, 10(2), 208-222.
- Bradner, E., Mark, G., & Hertel, T. D. (2003). Effects of team size on participation, awareness, and technology choice in geographically distributed teams. Paper presented at the System Sciences, 2003. Proceedings of the 36th Annual Hawaii International Conference on.
- Brake, T. (2009). Where in the world is my team: making a success of your virtual global workplace: John Wiley & Sons.
- Bryman, A., & Bell, E. (2011). Business Research Methods 3e: Oxford university press.
- Buckley, K. B. (1999). A model of virtual organization effectiveness optimizing the outcomes of interorganizational project teams. George Washington University.
- Burns, R. P., & Burns, R. (2008). Business research methods and statistics using SPSS: Sage.
- Byrne, M. (2001). Sampling for qualitative research. AORN journal, 73(2), 494-498.
- Cao, F., & Malik, S. (2006). Vulnerability analysis and best practices for adopting IP telephony in critical infrastructure sectors. Communications Magazine, IEEE, 44(4), 138-145.
- Cascio, W. F. (2000). Managing a virtual workplace. The Academy of Management Executive, 14(3), 81-90.
- Cavana, R., Delahaye, B. L., & Sekeran, U. (2001). Applied business research: Qualitative and quantitative methods: John Wiley & Sons Australia.

- Chen, H. H., Kang, H.-Y., Xing, X., Lee, A. H., & Tong, Y. (2008). Developing new products with knowledge management methods and process development management in a network. Computers in industry, 59(2), 242-253.
- Chudoba, K. M., Wynn, E., Lu, M., & Watson-Manheim, M. B. (2005). How virtual are we? Measuring virtuality and understanding its impact in a global organization. Information Systems Journal, 15(4), 279-306.
- CIDB.(2012). A strategic partnership of global builders: Going Global. construction industry development board Malaysia
- CIDB. (2014, september 15). Retrieved from http://www.smb.cidb.gov.my/directory/ conttractors
- Clark, R. L. (2014). Leadership trust in virtual teams using communication tools: A quantitative correlational study. University of Phoenix.
- clark, w. r., clark, l. a., & crossley, k. (2010). developing multidimensional trust without touch in virtual teams. Marketing Management Journal, 20(1).
- Clases, C., Bachmann, R., & Wehner, T. (2003). Studying trust in virtual organizations. International Studies of Management and Organization, 33(3), 7-27.
- Cleland, D. I., & King, W. R. (2007). project communication handbook (second ed.): caltrans (Office of Project Management Process Improvement).
- Co-operation, O. f. E., & Development. (2002). Frascati Manual 2002: Proposed Standard Practice for Surveys on Research and Experimental Development: OECD.
- Collins, J. D., Holcomb, T. R., Certo, S. T., Hitt, M. A., & Lester, R. H. (2009). Learning by doing: Cross-border mergers and acquisitions. Journal of Business Research, 62(12), 1329-1334.
- Coppola, N. W., Hiltz, S. R., & Rotter, N. (2002). Becoming a virtual professor: Pedagogical roles and asynchronous learning networks. Journal of Management Information Systems, 18(4), 169-190.
- Coppola, N. W., Hiltz, S. R., & Rotter, N. G. (2004). Building trust in virtual teams. Professional Communication, IEEE Transactions on, 47(2), 95-104.
- Corder, G. W., & Foreman, D. I. (2014). Nonparametric Statistics: A Step-by-step Approach: John Wiley & Sons.
- Corvello, V., & Migliarese, P. (2007). Virtual forms for the organization of production: A comparative analysis. International journal of production economics, 110(1), 5-15.
- Covey, S., Drucker, P., & Peters, T. (2009). Leadership is a Choice, not a Position. Indian Management, 13-20.

- Cramton, C. D. (2001). The mutual knowledge problem and its consequences for dispersed collaboration. Organization science, 12(3), 346-371.
- Creswell, J. W. (2007). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (3th ed.): Sage.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). Educational assessment: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research: Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Creswell, J. W., & Clark, V. L. P. (2007). Designing and conducting mixed methods research: Wiley Online Library.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. psychometrika, 16(3), 297-334.
- Crossley, C. D., Cooper, C. D., & Wernsing, T. S. (2013). Making things happen through challenging goals: Leader proactivity, trust, and business-unit performance. Journal of Applied Psychology, 98(3), 540.
- Cuthill, M. (2002). Exploratory research: citizen participation, local government and sustainable development in Australia. Sustainable Development, 10(2), 79-89.
- Dafoulas, G., & Macaulay, L. (2002). Investigating cultural differences in virtual software teams. The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries, 7.
- Daim, T. U., Ha, A., Reutiman, S., Hughes, B., Pathak, U., Bynum, W., & Bhatla, A. (2012). Exploring the communication breakdown in global virtual teams. International Journal of Project Management, 30(2), 199-212.
- Darnall, R., & Preston, J. M. (2010). Project Management for instructional designer: flat world knowledg.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2005). The Sage handbook of qualitative research: Sage.
- DeSanctis, G., & Poole, M. S. (1997). Transitions in teamwork in new organizational forms. Advances in group processes, 14(1), 57-176.
- DeVito, J. A., & DeVito, J. (1986). The communication handbook: A dictionary (Vol. 14): Harper & Row New York.
- Dillman, D. A. (2011). Mail and Internet surveys: The tailored design method--2007 Update with new Internet, visual, and mixed-mode guide: John Wiley & Sons.
- Djorgovski, S. G., Hut, P., McMillan, S., Vesperini, E., Knop, R., Farr, W., & Graham, M. J. (2010). Exploring the use of virtual worlds as a scientific research platform: The meta-institute for computational astrophysics (mica) Facets of virtual environments (pp. 29-43): Springer.

- Draghici, A., Popescu, A., & Aldea, C. (2012). Information and communication technologies support for building trust in virtual teams. Managerial Challenges of the Contemporary Society(3), 13-17.
- Drew, C. J., Hardman, M. L., & Hosp, J. L. (2008). Designing and conducting research in education: Sage.
- Duarte, D. L., & Snyder, N. T. (2011). Mastering virtual teams: Strategies, tools, and techniques that succeed: John Wiley & Sons.
- Dubé, L., & Paré, G. (2001). Global virtual teams. Communications of the ACM, 44(12), 71-73.
- Duran, V., & Popescu, A.-D. (2014). The Challenge of Multicultural Communication in Virtual Teams. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 109, 365-369.
- Ebrahim, N. A., Ahmed, S., & Taha, Z. (2009). Virtual Teams: a Literature Review. Australian Journal of Basic & Applied Sciences, 3(3).
- El-Sabaa, S. (2001). The skills and career path of an effective project manager. International Journal of Project Management, 19(1), 1-7.
- El-Tayeh, A., Gil, N., & Freeman, J. (2008). A methodology to evaluate the usability of digital socialization in "virtual" engineering design. Research in Engineering Design, 19(1), 29-45.
- Ellis, T. J., & Levy, Y. (2008). Framework of problem-based research: A guide for novice researchers on the development of a research-worthy problem. Informing Science: International Journal of an Emerging Transdiscipline, 11, 17-33.
- EPA. (2012). communication strategies. United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- Erdem, F., & Ozen, J. (2003). Cognitive and affective dimensions of trust in developing team performance. Team Performance Management, 9(5/6), 131-135.
- Espinosa, J. A., Cummings, J. N., Wilson, J. M., & Pearce, B. M. (2003). Team boundary issues across multiple global firms. Journal of Management Information Systems, 19(4), 157-190.
- Fink, A. (1995). How to analyze survey data (Vol. 8): Sage.
- Flick, U. (2014). An introduction to qualitative research (Vol.14): Sage.
- Fuchs, C. (2008). Don Tapscott & Anthony D. Williams: Wikinomics: How Mass Collaboration Changes Everything. International Journal of Communication, 2, 11.

- Furst, S. A., Reeves, M., Rosen, B., & Blackburn, R. S. (2004). Managing the life cycle of virtual teams. The Academy of Management Executive, 18(2), 6-20.
- Gassmann, O., & Zedtwitz, M. (2003). Trends and determinants of managing virtual R&D teams. R&D Management, 33(3), 243-262.
- Gaudes, A., Hamilton-Bogart, B., Marsh, S., & Robinson, H. (2007). A framework for constructing effective virtual teams. The Journal of E-working, 1(2), 83-97.
- GCS.(2014). Writing a communication strategy. government communication service.
- Gibson, C. B., & Cohen, S. G. (2003). Virtual teams that work: Creating conditions for virtual team effectiveness: John Wiley & Sons.
- Given, L. M. (2008). The Sage encyclopedia of qualitative research methods: Sage Publications.
- Gray, C. F., Larson, E. W., & Desai, G. V. (2006). Project management: The managerial process.
- Greenberg, P. S. (2007). Mastering Virtual teams. International Journal of e-Collaboration, 3(3), 71-75.
- Greenberg, P. S., Greenberg, R. H., & Antonucci, Y. L. (2007). Creating and sustaining trust in virtual teams. Business Horizons, 50(4), 325-333.
- Guest, G., Bunce, A., & Johnson, L. (2006). How many interviews are enough? An experiment with data saturation and variability. Field methods, 18(1), 59-82.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2006). Multivariate data analysis (Vol. 6): Pearson Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Hargie, O., & Tourish, D. (2009). Auditing organizational communication: a handbook of research, theory and practice: Routledge.
- Harrell, M. C., & Bradley, M. A. (2009). Data collection methods. Semi-structured interviews and focus groups: DTIC Document.
- Hassanaly, P. (2006). Cooperative Systems Design: Seamless Integration of Artifacts and Conversations-Enhanced Concepts of Infrastructure for Communication (Vol. 137): IOS Press.

Heller, R., & Hindle, T. (1998). Essential manager's manual: Dorling Kindersley.

- Hernon, P., & Schwartz, C. (2007). What is a problem statement? Library & Information Science Research, 29(3), 307-309.
- Hill, C. W., & McShane, S. L. (2008). Principles of management: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.

- Hill, T., & Lewicki, P. (2006). Statistics: methods and applications: a comprehensive reference for science, industry, and data mining: StatSoft, Inc.
- Hinds, P. J., & Mortensen, M. (2005). Understanding conflict in geographically distributed teams: The moderating effects of shared identity, shared context, and spontaneous communication. Organization science, 16(3), 290-307.
- Hollingshead, A. B., McGrath, J. E., & O'Connor, K. M. (1993). Group task performance and communication technology a longitudinal study of computer-mediated versus face-to-face work groups. Small Group Research, 24(3), 307-333.
- Hong, J. F., & Vai, S. (2008). Knowledge-sharing in cross-functional virtual teams. Journal of general management, 34(2), 21.
- Hossain, L., & Wigand, R. T. (2004). ICT enabled virtual collaboration through trust. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 10(1), 00-00.
- Hosseini, M. R., & Chileshe, N. (2013). Global Virtual Engineering Teams (GVETs): A fertile ground for research in Australian construction projects context. International Journal of Project Management, 31(8), 1101-1117.
- Hungler, B., Beck, C., & Polit, D. (2001). Essentials of Nursing Research: Methods, Appraisals and Utilization: Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Hunsaker, P. L., & Hunsaker, J. S. (2008). Virtual teams: a leader's guide. Team Performance Management, 14(1/2), 86-101.
- Jain, V. K., & Sobek II, D. K. (2006). Linking design process to customer satisfaction through virtual design of experiments. Research in Engineering Design, 17(2), 59-71.
- Jarvenpaa, S. L., & Leidner, D. E. (1998). Communication and trust in global virtual teams. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 3(4), 0-0.
- Jarvenpaa, S. L., & Leidner, D. E. (2013). Communication and trust in global virtual teams. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 3(4), 0-0.
- Jarvenpaa, S. L., Shaw, T. R., & Staples, D. S. (2004). Toward contextualized theories of trust: The role of trust in global virtual teams. Information systems research, 15(3), 250-267.
- Johnson, P., Heimann, V., & O'Neill, K. (2001). The "wonderland" of virtual teams. Journal of workplace learning, 13(1), 24-30.
- Joy, M. (2007). Research methods in education: The Higher Education Academy Innovation Way, York Science Park, Heslington, York YO10 5BR.
- Judd, C. M., McClelland, G. H., & Ryan, C. S. (2011). Data analysis: A model comparison approach: Routledge.

- Kalaian, S. A., & Kasim, R. M. (2012). Terminating sequential Delphi survey data collection. Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation, 17(5), 2.
- Kanawattanachai, P., & Yoo, Y. (2007). The impact of knowledge coordination on virtual team performance over time. MIS quarterly, 783-808.
- Kankanhalli, A., Tan, B. C., & Wei, K.-K. (2007). Conflict and performance in global virtual teams. Journal of Management Information Systems, 23(3), 237-274.
- Karten, N. (2013). Managing Expectations: Working with People Who Want More, Better, Faster, Sooner, NOW! : Addison-Wesley.
- Kawulich, B. B. (2005). Participant observation as a data collection method. Paper presented at the Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research.
- Kaye, B., & Giulioni, J. W. (2012). Help Them Grow Or Watch Them Go: Career Conversations Employees Want: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Kayworth, T. R., & Leidner, D. E. (2002). Leadership effectiveness in global virtual teams. Journal of Management Information Systems, 18(3), 7-40.
- Kendrick, T. (2011). One Hundred and One Project Management Problems and how to Solve Them: AMACOM Div American Mgmt Assn.
- Kerzner, H. R. (2009). Project management: a systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling: John Wiley & Sons.
- Khazanchi, D., & Zigurs, I. (2006). Patterns for effective management of virtual projects: Theory and evidence. International Journal of e-Collaboration (IJeC), 2(3), 25-49.
- Kirkman, B. L., Rosen, B., Gibson, C. B., Tesluk, P. E., & McPherson, S. O. (2002). Five challenges to virtual team success: lessons from Sabre, Inc. The Academy of Management Executive, 16(3), 67-79.
- Kothari, C. R. (2004). Research methodology: methods and techniques: New Age International.
- Koul, L. (2009). Methodology Of Educational Research, 4Enew E: Vikas Publishing House Pvt Ltd.
- Kratzer, J., Leenders, R. T. A., & van Engelen, J. M. (2005). Keeping virtual R&D teams creative. Research-Technology Management, 48(2), 13-16.
- Krill, T., & Juell, P. (1997). Virtual project management. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Small College Computing Symposium (SCCS'97).

Kroenke, D. M., & Hooper, T. (2011). Using Mis: Pearson.

- Kumar, R. (1999). Research Methodology-A Step-by-Step Guide for Beginners London, Thousand Oaks, New Delhi: Sage Publications.
- Kuruppuarachchi, P. R. (2009). Virtual team concepts in projects: a case study. Project Management Journal, 40(2), 19-33.
- Laforest, J., Bouchard, L. M., & Maurice, P. (2012). Guide to Organizing Semistructured Interviews with Key Informants: Safety Diagnosis Tool Kit for Local Communities. Institut national de santé publique Québec avec la collaboration de Ministère de la sécurité publique.
- LaLonde, K. (2011). Communication Among Virtual Teams. THE COLLEGE OF ST. SCHOLASTICA.
- Le Blanc, L. A., & Rucks, C. T. (2009). Data mining of university philanthropic giving: Cluster-discriminant analysis and Pareto effects. International Journal of Educational Advancement, 9(2), 64-82.
- Lee-Kelley, L., & Sankey, T. (2008). Global virtual teams for value creation and project success: A case study. International Journal of Project Management, 26(1), 51-62.
- Lewkowicz, M., Wijnhoven, F., & Draghici, A. (2008). Misunderstandings in global virtual engineering teams: definitions, causes, and guidelines for knowledge sharing and interaction Methods and Tools for Effective Knowledge Life-Cycle-Management (pp. 145-157): Springer.
- Lipnack, J. (1997). Virtual teams: Reaching across space, time, and organizations with technology: Jeffrey Stamps.
- Littlejohn, S. W., & Foss, K. A. (2010). Theories of human communication: Waveland Press.
- Liu, B., & Liu, S. (2007). Value Chain Coordination with Contracts for Virtual R&D Alliance Towards Service. Paper presented at the Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing, 2007. WiCom 2007. International Conference on.
- Liu, K., Clarke, R. J., Andersen, P. B., Abou-Zeid, E.-S., & Stamper, R. K. (2002). Organizational semiotics: Evolving a science of information systems (Vol. 94): Springer.
- Lurey, J. S., & Raisinghani, M. S. (2001). An empirical study of best practices in virtual teams. Information & Management, 38(8), 523-544.
- Majchrzak, A., Malhotra, A., Stamps, J., & Lipnack, J. (2004). Can absence make a team grow stronger? Harvard business review, 82(5), 131-137.

- Majchrzak, A., Rice, R. E., Malhotra, A., King, N., & Ba, S. (2000). Technology adaptation: The case of a computer-supported inter-organizational virtual team. MIS quarterly, 569-600.
- Marks, M. A., Mathieu, J. E., & Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). A temporally based framework and taxonomy of team processes. Academy of Management Review, 26(3), 356-376.
- Marshall, B., Cardon, P., Poddar, A., & Fontenot, R. (2013). Does sample size matter in qualitative research?: a review of qualitative interviews in is research. Journal of Computer Information Systems, 54(1).
- Martínez-Sánchez, A., Pérez-Pérez, M., de-Luis-Carnicer, P., & Vela-Jiménez, M. J. (2006). Teleworking and new product development. European Journal of Innovation Management, 9(2), 202-214.
- Martins, L. L., Gilson, L. L., & Maynard, M. T. (2004). Virtual teams: What do we know and where do we go from here? Journal of management, 30(6), 805-835.
- Mason, J. (2002). Sampling and selection in qualitative research. Qualitative Researching, 120-144.
- Matlala, E. (2012). Critical success factors required by virtual teams members in engineering projects. (MBA Master's Dissertation), University of Pretoria. Retrieved from etd-07212012-165306
- Maznevski, M. L., & Chudoba, K. M. (2000). Bridging space over time: Global virtual team dynamics and effectiveness. Organization science, 11(5), 473-492.
- McKinney, V. R., & Whiteside, M. M. (2006). Maintaining distributed relationships. Communications of the ACM, 49(3), 82-86.
- McLean, J. (2007). Managing global virtual teams. The British Journal of Administrative Management, 16(1).
- McNurlin, B. C., Sprague, R. H., & Bui, T. X. (2009). Information systems management in practice (8th ed.): Prentice-Hall International.
- Montoya-Weiss, M. M., Massey, A. P., & Song, M. (2001). Getting it together: Temporal coordination and conflict management in global virtual teams. Academy of Management journal, 44(6), 1251-1262.
- Morris, S. A., Marshall, T. E., & Rainer Jr, R. K. (2002). Impact of user satisfaction and trust on virtual team members. Information Resources Management Journal (IRMJ), 15(2), 22-30.
- Mulebeke, J. A., & Zheng, L. (2006). Incorporating integrated product development with technology road mapping for dynamism and innovation. International Journal of Product Development, 3(1), 56-76.

- Murray, A. (2010). The Wall Street journal essential guide to management: lasting lessons from the best leadership minds of our time: HarperCollins.
- Nader, A. E., Ahmed, S., & Taha, Z. (2009). Virtual Teams for New Product Development: An Innovative Experience for R&D Engineers. European Journal of Educational Studies, 1(3), 109-123.
- Nemiro, J., Beyerlein, M. M., Bradley, L., & Beyerlein, S. (2008). The handbook of high performance virtual teams: A toolkit for collaborating across boundaries: John Wiley & Sons.
- Nemiro, J. E. (2002). The creative process in virtual teams. Communication Research Journal, 14(1), 69-83.
- Neuman, W. L., & Neuman, W. L. (2006). Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches.
- Newell, S., David, G., & Chand, D. (2007). Exploring trust among globally distributed work teams. Paper presented at the System Sciences, 2007. HICSS 2007. 40th Annual Hawaii International Conference on.
- Newman, M. E. (2005). Power laws, Pareto distributions and Zipf's law. Contemporary physics, 46(5), 323-351.
- Newton, N. (2010). The use of semi-structured interviews in qualitative research: strengths and weaknesses. Exploring Qualitative methods.
- Niederman, F., & Tan, F. B. (2011). Managing global IT teams: considering cultural dynamics. Communications of the ACM, 54(4), 24-27.
- Norris, M., & Lecavalier, L. (2010). Evaluating the use of exploratory factor analysis in developmental disability psychological research. Journal of autism and developmental disorders, 40(1), 8-20.
- Ocker, R. J., & Fjermestad, J. (2008). Communication differences in virtual design teams: findings from a multi-method analysis of high and low performing experimental teams. ACM Sigmis Database, 39(1), 51-67.
- Odusami, K. (2002). Perceptions of construction professionals concerning important skills of effective project leaders. Journal of Management in Engineering, 18(2), 61-67.
- Ojasalo, J. (2008). Management of innovation networks: a case study of different approaches. European Journal of Innovation Management, 11(1), 51-86.
- Olson-Buchanan, J. B., Rechner, P. L., Sanchez, R. J., & Schmidtke, J. M. (2007). Utilizing virtual teams in a management principles course. Education+ Training, 49(5), 408-423.

- Osman, H. (2011). The Virtual Project Manager: Seven Best Practices for Effective Communication. PMI Virtual Library.
- Oyeleye, O. O. (2013). Trust and Virtual Teams: The Influence of Leadership Behavior, Communication, and Gender Behavior of Virtual Team Leaders on the Development of Trust in Virtual Teams. University of Maryland university college.
- Patten, M. L. (1998). Questionnaire research: A practical guide: Pyrczak Publishing Los Angeles.
- Pauleen, D. J. (2003). Lessons learned crossing boundaries in an ICT-supported distributed team. Journal of Global Information Management (JGIM), 11(4), 1-19.
- Pawar, K. S., & Sharifi, S. (1997). Physical or virtual team collocation: Does it matter? International Journal of Production Economics, 52(3), 283-290.
- Paxton, P. (2007). Association memberships and generalized trust: A multilevel model across 31 countries. Social Forces, 86(1), 47-76.
- Piccoli, G., & Ives, B. (2003). Trust and the unintended effects of behavior control in virtual teams. MIS quarterly, 365-395.
- Piccoli, G., Powell, A., & Ives, B. (2004). Virtual teams: team control structure, work processes, and team effectiveness. Information Technology & People, 17(4), 359-379.
- Pickard, A. J. (2013). Research methods in information: Facet Publ.
- PMI. (2008). A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK® guide) (4th ed.): Project Management Institute.
- PMI. (2012). A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK® guide).
- PMI. (2013). The Essential Role of Communications. 2013, from http://www.pmi.org/~/media/PDF/Business-Solutions/The-High-Cost-Low-Performance-The-Essential-Role-of-Communications.ashx
- Poehler, L., & Schumacher, T. (2007). The Virtual Team Challenge: Is It Time for Training. PICMET 2007, 2205-2211.
- Powell, A., Piccoli, G., & Ives, B. (2004). Virtual teams: a review of current literature and directions for future research. ACM Sigmis Database, 35(1), 6-36.
- Precup, L., O'Sullivan, D., Cormican, K., & Dooley, L. (2006). Virtual team environment for collaborative research projects. International Journal of Innovation and Learning, 3(1), 77-94.

- Punch, K. F. (2013). Introduction to social research: Quantitative and qualitative approaches: Sage.
- Purvanova, R. K., & Bono, J. E. (2009). Transformational leadership in context: Faceto-face and virtual teams. The Leadership Quarterly, 20(3), 343-357.
- Rad, P. F., & Levin, G. (2003). Achieving project management success using virtual teams: J. Ross Publishing.
- Rani, H. O. (2004). Economic and Business Research for Malaysian Students: Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Johor.
- Reed, A. H., & Knight, L. V. (2011). Major virtual project risk factors. Journal of Information Technology Management, 22(4), 1-12.
- Rice, D. J., Davidson, B. D., Dannenhoffer, J. F., & Gay, G. K. (2007). Improving the effectiveness of virtual teams by adapting team processes. Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW), 16(6), 567-594.
- Robson, C. (2011). Real world research: a resource for users of social research methods in applied settings: Wiley Chichester.
- Rosen, B., Furst, S., & Blackburn, R. (2007). Overcoming barriers to knowledge sharing in virtual teams. Organizational Dynamics, 36(3), 259-273.
- Rubin, R. S., Bommer, W. H., & Baldwin, T. T. (2002). Using extracurricular activity as an indicator of interpersonal skill: Prudent evaluation or recruiting malpractice? Human Resource Management, 41(4), 441-454.
- Salant, P., & Dillman, D. A. (1995). How to Conduct your own Survey: Leading professional give you proven techniques for getting reliable results: New York: Wiley.
- Samarah, I., Paul, S., & Tadisina, S. (2007). Collaboration Technology Support for Knowledge Conversion in Virtual Teams: A Theoretical Perspective. Paper presented at the System Sciences, 2007. HICSS 2007. 40th Annual Hawaii International Conference on.

Sapsford, R., & Jupp, V. (2006). Data collection and analysis: Sage.

Saunders, M. N., Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2011). Research methods for business students, 5/e: Pearson Education India.

Schwalbe, K. (2013). Information technology project management: Cengage Learning.

- Seidman, I. (2012). Interviewing as qualitative research: A guide for researchers in education and the social sciences: Teachers college press.
- Sekaran, U. (2006). Research methods for business: A skill building approach: John Wiley & Sons.

- Shachaf, P. (2008). Cultural diversity and information and communication technology impacts on global virtual teams: An exploratory study. Information & Management, 45(2), 131-142.
- Shannon, C. E. (2001). A mathematical theory of communication. ACM Sigmobile Mobile Computing and Communications Review, 5(1), 3-55.
- Shaw, K. (2005). Getting leaders involved in communication strategy. Strategic Communication Management, 9(6), 14.
- Shryock, H. S. (2013). The methods and materials of demography: Academic Press.
- Smith, R. E., Smoll, F. L., & Curtis, B. (1979). Coach effectiveness training: A cognitive-behavioral approach to enhancing relationship skills in youth sport coaches. Journal of sport psychology.
- Sobel Lojeski, K. (2010). Leading the Virtual Workforce: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, NJ.
- Solomon, C. (2010). The challenges of working in virtual teams: Virtual teams survey report 2010. New York, NY: RW3 CultureWizard.
- Starnes, B., Truhon, S., & McCarthy, V. (2010). Organizational Trust: Employee-Employer Relationships, A Primer on Organizational Trust. ASQ Human Development & Leadership Division. <u>http://rube</u>. asq. org/hdl/2010/06/aprimer-on-organizational-trust. pdf, EriĢim Tarihi, 15, 2012.
- Stretton, A. (2007). A short history of modern project management. PM World Today, 9(10), 1-18.
- Talukhaba, A., Mutunga, T., & Miruka, C. O. (2011). Indicators of effective communication models in remote projects. International Journal of Project Organisation and Management, 3(2), 127-138.
- Te"eni, D. (2001). Review: A cognitive-affective model of organizational communication for designing IT. MIS quarterly, 25(2), 251-312.
- Teeni, D. (2010). Review: A cognitive-affective model of organizational communication for designing IT. MIS quarterly, 25(2), 251-312.

Trautsch, B. R. (2003). Managing virtual project teams. San Francisco State University.

- Vaccaro, A., Veloso, F., & Brusoni, S. (2008). The Impact of Virtual Technologies on Organizational Knowledge Creation: An Empirical Study. Paper presented at the HICSS.
- Van Ryssen, S., & Godar, S. H. (2000). Going international without going international: multinational virtual teams. Journal of International Management, 6(1), 49-60.

- Van Selm, M., & Jankowski, N. W. (2006). Conducting online surveys. Quality and Quantity, 40(3), 435-456.
- VanderStoep, S. W., & Johnston, D. D. (2009). Research methods for everyday life : blending qualitative and quantitative approaches (1st ed.). San Francisco, Calif.: Jossey-Bass.
- Walsham, G. (2002). Cross-cultural software production and use: a structurational analysis. MIS quarterly, 359-380.
- Walther, J. B., & Bunz, U. (2005). The rules of virtual groups: Trust, liking, and performance in computer-mediated communication. Journal of communication, 55(4), 828-846.
- Walvoord, A. A., Redden, E. R., Elliott, L. R., & Coovert, M. D. (2008). Empowering followers in virtual teams: Guiding principles from theory and practice. Computers in Human Behavior, 24(5), 1884-1906.
- Wielkie, R. K. (2008). Leadership behaviors of virtual team leaders: A phenomenological study. University of Phoenix.
- Wigginton, J. E., & Abecasis, G. R. (2005). Pedstats: descriptive statistics, graphics and quality assessment for gene mapping data. Bioinformatics, 21(16), 3445-3447.
- William, Dow, P., & Taylor, B. (2010). Project management communications bible (Vol. 574): John Wiley & Sons.
- Willimack, D. K., Lyberg, L., Martin, J., Japec, L., & Whitridge, P. (2004). Evolution and adaptation of questionnaire development, evaluation, and testing methods for establishment surveys. Methods for testing and evaluating survey questionnaires, 385-407.
- Wuensch, K. L. (2005). What is a Likert Scale? and How Do You Pronounce'Likert?'. Website: <u>http://core</u>. ecu. edu/psyc/wuenschk/StatHelp/Likert. htm.
- Yin, R. K. (2008). Case study research: Design and methods (applied social research methods). Sage Publications, London.
- Yukl, G. (2010). Leadership in Organizations. University at Albany, State University of New York: Pearson Education Inc. Upper Saddle River. New Jersey.
- Zakaria, N., Amelinckx, A., & Wilemon, D. (2004). Working Together Apart? Building a Knowledge-Sharing Culture for Global Virtual Teams. Creativity and innovation management, 13(1), 15-29.
- Zikmund, W., Babin, B., Carr, J., & Griffin, M. (2012). Business research methods: Cengage Learning.
- Zofi, Y. (2011). A manager's guide to virtual teams: AMACOM Div American Mgmt Assn.

Zulch, B. (2014). Leadership Communication in Project Management. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 119, 172-181.

