

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

GASIFICATION OF MICROALGAE CHLORELLA VULGARIS FOR SYNTHESIS GAS PRODUCTION

ABDUL RAHEEM

FK 2015 6



GASIFICATION OF MICROALGAE CHLORELLA VULGARIS FOR SYNTHESIS GAS PRODUCTION



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

October 2015

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this thesis with love and gratitude to my parents, wife, my sons (Adeel Ahmed and Khalil Rahman), my sisters, my brothers and longtime friends for their encouragement, unconditional love and support during my study.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

GASIFICATION OF MICROALGAE CHLORELLA VULGARIS FOR SYNTHESIS GAS PRODUCTION

By

ABDUL RAHEEM

October 2015

Chairman : Mohd Razif Bin Harun, PhD Faculty : Engineering

Microalgae have been used as a substrate for biofuel production due to numerous advantages, including fast growth rate, ability to grow with/without land and accumulate substantial amounts of carbohydrates, lipids and proteins. However, current production of alternative fuel from microalgal biomass (biodiesel and bioethanol) involves lengthy processing steps. Thermochemical conversion is proven technology for higher conversion efficiency of biomass into biofuel and shorter production period compared to other conventional methods such as biochemical. However, low production yield of synthesis gas (syngas) produced from the process hinder their conversion into a higher value of fuel products. This can be realized by optimizing its process conditions for high production yield of the syngas.

In this study, microalgal biomass, *Chlorella vulgaris*, is thermochemically gasified for syngas production. The study involves three stages include characterization of the *C*. *vulgaris* biomass, identification of the process parameters (reactor temperature, *C*. *vulgaris* biomass loading, and heating rate) and optimization for high syngas production.

The characterization of *C. vulgaris* biomass explains that the thermal degradation behavior of the biomass can be divided into three major stages; (1) moisture removal, (2) devolatization of carbohydrates, protein and lipids and (3) degradation of carbonaceous material. A degradation rate of 80% was obtained at the second phase of the combustion process in the presence of air whilst a degradation rate of 60% was obtained under N₂ atmosphere at the same phase. The biomass was further gasified for syngas production using a Temperature Programed Gasifier (TPG). The effect of three different process parameters, temperature (700, 800, and 900), *C. vulgaris* biomass loading (0.1, 0.25, and 0.5 g), and heating rate (5, 10, and 20 min⁻¹) were investigated. The maximum H₂ production was found at 800°C (45.9 ± 0.5 mol %, 0.35 mmol g⁻¹) with a biomass loading of 0.5 g (39.9 ± 1.5 mol %, 0.62 mmol g⁻¹). No significant effect of heating rate was observed on H₂ production. The activation energy values in air atmosphere, based on the Kissinger method, were evaluated to be 45.38 ±

1.0 kJ mol $^{-1}$ (1st stage), 61.20 \pm 0.5 kJ mol $^{-1}$ (2nd stage) and 97.22 \pm 0.5 kJ mol $^{-1}$ (3rd stage).

Furthermore, the optimization of microalgal gasification for syngas production was conducted using a high temperature horizontal tubular furnace. Four response variables (H₂, CO, CO₂, and CH₄) were optimized under varying conditions of temperature (500-900), *C. vulgaris* biomass loading (0.6 - 2.5 g), heating rate (5 - 25 min⁻¹), and equivalent ratio (ER = 0.1 - 0.35). The optimization study was carried out using Central Composite Design (CCD). Temperature was found as the most significant process parameter influencing H₂ production followed by *C. vulgaris* biomass loading and heating rate. A maximum H₂ yield of 41.75 (0.66 mmol g⁻¹) mol % was obtained at a temperature of 703 , *C. vulgaris* loading of 1.45 g, heating rate of 22 min⁻¹, and ER concentration of 0.29. Statistical analysis showed that the generated models were sufficiently in agreement with the experimental results.

It was concluded that direct gasification of *C. vulgaris* biomass in the presence of air has a significant potential for commercial-scale production of syngas products under optimum economy. Hence the findings from this study are expected to resolve the problems that are being encountered with current conversion methods through the provision of numerous environmental and cost benefits.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

PENGEGASAN MIKROALGA *CHLORELLA VULGARIS* BAGI PENGHASILAN GAS SINTESIS

Oleh

ABDUL RAHEEM

Oktober 2015

Pengerusi : Mohd Razif Bin Harun, PhD Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Mikroalga telah digunakan sebagai substrat untuk penghasilan bahan api bio kerana mempunyai pelbagai kelebihan, termasuk kadar pertumbuhan yang cepat, kebolehan untuk membiak dengan/tanpa memerlukan tanah dan keupayaan untuk mengumpul sejumlah besar karbohidrat, lipid dan protein. Walau bagaimanapun, penghasilan bahan api alternatif dari biojisim mikroalga (biodiesel dan bioetanol) melibatkan langkahlangkah pemprosesan yang panjang. Penukaran termokimia adalah teknologi yang dibuktikan lebih cekap untuk penukaran efektif biojisim ke bahan api alternatif dalam tempoh pengeluaran yang lebih pendek berbanding dengan kaedah konvensional lain seperti biokimia. Walau bagaimanapun, hasil pengeluaran gas sintesis (syngas) yang rendah daripada proses tersebut menghalangnya daripada menjadi produk bahan api yang bernilai tinggi. Ini boleh direalisisasikan dengan mengoptimumkan proses tersebut untuk pengeluaran hasil gas sintesis yang lebih tinggi.

Dalam kajian ini, biojisim mikroalga, *Chlorella vulgaris*, telah menjalani proses termokimia untuk penghasilan gas sintesis. Kajian ini melibatkan tiga peringkat termasuk pencirian biojisim *C. vulgaris*, mengenal pasti parameter proses (suhu reaktor, kemuatan biojisim, dan kadar pemanasan) dan pengoptimuman untuk pengeluaran gas sintesis yang tinggi.

Pencirian biojisim *C. vulgaris* menjelaskan bahawa tingkah laku degradasi terma biojisim boleh dibahagikan kepada tiga peringkat utama; (1) pembuangan kelembapan, *4+" rtqugu" õfgxqncvk|cvkqpö" mctdqjkftcv." rtqvgkp" fcp" hkrkf" fcp" *5+" fgitcfcuk" dcjcp" berkarbon. Kadar penurunan sebanyak 80% telah diperolehi pada fasa kedua proses pembakaran di dalam udara manakala kadar degradasi 60% telah diperolehi di dalam atmosfera N₂ pada fasa yang sama. Seterusnya biojisim terus diproses untuk pengeluaran gas sintesis dengan menggunakan Pengegas berprogram suhu. Kesan tiga parameter proses yang berbeza iaitu suhu (700, 800 dan 900), pemuatan biojisim *C. vulgaris* (0.1, 0.25 dan 0.5 g), dan kadar pemanasan (5, 10 dan 20 min⁻¹) telah disiasat. Pengeluaran H₂ maksimum ditemui pada 800 (45.9 ± 0.5 mol%, 0.35 mmol g⁻¹) dengan muatan biojisim sebanyak 0.5 g (39.9 ± 1.5 mol%, 0.62 mmol g⁻¹). Tiada kesan ketara terhadap kadar pemanasan diperhatikan pada pengeluaran H₂. Nilai tenaga

pengaktifan dalam atmosfera udara, berdasarkan kaedah Kissinger, telah dinilai pada $45.38 \pm 1.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (peringkat 1), $61.20 \pm 0.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (peringkat ke-2) dan $97.22 \pm 0.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (peringkat ke-3).

Tambahan pula, pengoptimuman pengegasan mikroalga untuk pengeluaran gas sintesis telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan tiub melintang relau bersuhu tinggi. Empat pembolehubah tindakbalas (H₂, CO, CO₂ dan CH₄) telah dioptimumkan di bawah keadaan suhu yang berbeza (500 - 900), kemuatan biojisim mikroalga (0.6 - 2.5 g), kadar pemanasan (5 - 25 min⁻¹), dan nisbah setara (ER = 0.1 - 0.35). Kajian pengoptimuman telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan Rekaan Komposit Pusat (CCD). Suhu didapati sebagai parameter proses yang paling penting mempengaruhi pengeluaran H₂ diikuti oleh pemuatan biojisim *C. vulgaris* dan kadar pemanasan. Hasil H₂ optimum 41.75 mol% (0.66 mmol g⁻¹) telah diperolehi pada suhu 703 , pemuatan biojisim *C. vulgaris* 1.45 g, kadar pemanasan 22 min⁻¹, dan kepekatan ER pada 0.29. Analisis statistik menunjukkan bahawa model yang dihasilkan adalah seiring dengan keputusan eksperimen.

Ia dapat disimpulkan bahawa pengegasan langsung biojisim *C. vulgaris* dengan kehadiran udara mempunyai potensi yang besar untuk pengeluaran gas sintesis berskala komersial yang lebih ekonomikal. Oleh itu dapatan daripada kajian ini dijangka akan menyelesaikan masalah yang sedang dihadapi dengan kaedah penukaran semasa dengan memberi pelbagai faedah alam sekitar dan kos yang lebih efektif.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I wish to extend my profound gratitude to Almighty \tilde{o} CNNC J \tilde{o} "hqt" J ku" spiritual guidance, the patience and perseverance to finish this thesis.

I would like to express my sincere indebtedness to Dr. Mohd Razif Bin Harun for his excellent supervision and constant support during the course of my study at Universiti Putra Malaysia. I would also like to express my sincere appreciation to my cosupervisors Prof. Dr. Taufiq Yap Yun Hin and Associate Prof. Dr. Wan Azlina Binti Wan Ab Karim Ghani for being very supportive and bringing to bare very insightful suggestions that have greatly improved on the quality of my work. I was really fortunate to have such prodigious supervisory committee.

I also would like to thank all my friends and colleagues from combustion lab and Catalysis Science and Technology Research Centre, Universiti Putra Malaysia for their good advice and friendships that kept me happy along my research period. Thanks to all the technicians, research officers and science officers, who have helped me technically thoughtout, my research.

Last but not the least; my thanks go to all my family members for their continuing support, encouragement and prayers.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Razif Bin Harun, PhD

Senior lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Taufiq Yap Yun Hin, PhD

Professor Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Wan Azlina Binti Wan Ab Karim Ghani, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- < this thesis is my original work
- < quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced
- the thesis has not been submitted previously or comcurrently for any other degree at any institutions
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be owned from supervisor and deputy vice óchancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature:

Date:

Name and Matric No: Abdul Raheem, GS38425

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:	Mohd Razif Bin Harun, PhD
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Taufiq Yap Yun Hin, PhD
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Wan Azlina Binti Wan Ab Karim Ghani, PhD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AB AB AC AP DE TA LIS LIS LIS	STRAC STRAE KNOW PROVA CLARA BLE O ST OF T ST OF A	CT X VLEDGE AL ATION F CONTI FABLES FIGURES ABBREV	MENTS ENTS S IATION	Page i iii v vi viii x xii xiii xv
СН	APTER	ł		
1	INT	PODUC	TION	1
1	11	Backor	ound of study	1
	1.1	Problen	n statement	3
	1.2	Researc	th objectives	3
	1.4	Scope o	of study	4
		Seepe a		
2	LIT	ERATUI	RE REVIEW	5
	2.1	Introdu	ction	5
	2.2	Algae t	echnology	5
	2.3	Advant	ages of microalgae	6
	2.4	Applica	ations of microalgae	7
		2.4.1	Microalgae as a source of food	7
		2.4.2	Microalgae biomass as a biofuel feedstock	7
	2.5	Biocher	mical conversion technologies	9
	2.6	Thermo	chemical conversion technologies	9
		2.6.1	Gasification	9
		2.6.2	Pyrolysis	12
		2.6.3	Liquefaction	12
		2.6.4	Direct combustion	13
	2.7	Gasific	ation mechanism	14
	• •	2.7.1	Gasification of microalgae biomass	15
	2.8	Effect of	of gasification operating parameters	18
		2.8.1	Effect of operating temperature	18
		2.8.2	Effect of catalyst	19
	20	2.8.3	Effect of equivalence ratio (ER)	19
	2.9	Benefit	S of microalgae	20
		2.9.1	Carbon dioxide (CO_2) initigation using incroatigae	20
		2.9.2	Algai wastewater treatment systems	21
3	RES	SEARCH	METHODOLOGY	22
-	3.1	Introdu	ction	22
	3.2	Materia	als	22
		3.2.1	Chlorella vulgaris biomass	22
	3.3	Charac	terization of C. vulgaris biomass	23
		3.3.1	Proximate analysis of C. vulgaris biomass	23
		3.3.2	Ultimate analysis of C. vulgaris biomass	23

х

		3.3.3	Higher heating value of C. vulgaris biomass	23
	3.3.4 Thermogravimetric analysis of the <i>C. vulgaris</i>			23
	biomass			
	3.4 Experimental apparatus			24
	3.4.1 Temperature Program Gasifier (TPG)			
3.4.2 High temperature quartz tube furnace				25
	3.5	Experi	mental setup	26
	3.5.1 Temperature Program Gasifier (TPG)			
		3.5.2	High temperature quartz tube furnace	26
		3.5.3	Analysis of gaseous products	28
		3.5.4	Equivalence Ratio (ER)	29
		3.5.5	Kinetic analysis of <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass	29
4	RES	ULTS A	ND DISCUSSION	31
	4.1	Microa	lgae characterization	31
		4.1.1	Introduction	31
		4.1.2	Proximate analysis of <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass	31
		4.1.3	Ultimate analysis of C. vulgaris biomass	32
		4.1.4	Thermogravimetric analysis of C. vulgaris	34
			biomass	
		4.1.5	Effect of different atmosphere gas types (N_2 and	36
			air)	
		4.1.6	Kinetic study of C. vulgaris biomass	38
	4.2	Gasific	cation via Temperature Programme Gasifier (TPG)	40
		4.2.1	Effect of temperature	40
		4.2.2	Effect of microalgal (C. vulgaris) biomass	41
			loading	
		4.2.3	Effect of heating rate	41
	4.3	Optimi	ization of microalgae (C. vulgaris) gasification	43
		4.3.1	Analysis of response variables	43
		4.3.2	Statistical analysis	51
		4.3.3	Composition of reaction products	54
		4.3.4	Process optimization to achieve maximum H ₂	55
		1.5.1	vield	00
		435	Validation of model	57
		1.5.5	v unduiton of model	57
5	CON	ICLUSI	ONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	58
•	51	Conclu	sions	58
	5.2	Future	studies	59
	5.2	1 uture		57
REF	EREN	CES		60
APP	ENDI	CES		72
BIO	DATA	OF STU	UDENT	85
LIST	Г ОF P	UBLIC	ATIONS	86

xi

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Gasification feedstock for syngas production	2
2.1	Comparison between the characteristics of macroalgae and microalgae	6
2.2	Lipid composition of various microalgae species and crop plants	8
2.3	Commonly used microalgae species for biofuel production	8
2.4	Algae sources studied for thermochemical conversion of biomass	11
2.5	Commonly occurring reactions in gasification	15
2.6	Operating parameter and gas composition of microalgae in conventional and supercritical gasification	17
3.1	Experimental approach for gasification of <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass using TPG	26
3.2	Selected process parameter and their defined levels for CCD analysis	27
3.3	Experimental design based on the central composite design for syngas production from <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass	27
4.1	Proximate analysis of <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass at different heating rates and atmospheres	31
4.2	Ultimate analysis of <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass	34
4.3	Combustion profile of <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass at different heating rates and gaseous atmospheres	36
4.4	Comparison with literature for activation energy E _a of carbohydrates, protein and lipids	39
4.5	Activation energy of <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass obtained from TGA at three different heating rates	40
4.6	Effect of three different process parameters of gasification of <i>C</i> . <i>vulgaris</i> biomass for syngas production	42
4.7	Process parameters, output responses and production distribution for central composite design (CCD) of <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass gasification	44
4.8	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for syngas gas composition	52
4.9	Polynomial models and optimum conditions for response variables as a function of process parameters and their interaction obtained from the gasification of <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass	53
4.10	Comparison of different studies for syngas production	56

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Overview of third generation microalgal biofuel production. Inputs (light, CO_2 , water and other nutrients) are consumed during its (microalgae) cultivation for production of feedstocks (Lipids, starch, protein and some other bioactive compounds) essential for	6
2.2	biofuel production (syngas, diesel, ethanol and methane).	10
2.2	Energy conversion processes from microalgae.	10
2.3	Processes entailed in microalgal biomass gasification	14
3.1	(USA).	22
3.2	A schematic diagram of the Temperature Program Gasifier (TPG): (1) quartz reactor tube (2) Electric furnace; (3) Gas inlet; (4) Gas outlet; (5) moisture/tar absorber; (6) retort stand set; (7) gas sampling bag	24
3.3	A schematic flow diagram of high temperature horizontal tubular furnace unit: (1) modulating temperature control, (2) air flow meter, (3) air tank; (4) flanged inlet of air flow, (5) safety valve, (6) quartz sampling boat, (7) horizontal quartz tubing reactor, (8) electric furnace, (9) flanged out let air flow, (10) gas sampling bag	25
4.1	Proximate analysis of <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass in air (a) and Nitrogen (b) at constant flow rate of 25 mL min ⁻¹ and 5 min ⁻¹ heating rate using thermogravimetric techniques	33
4.2	TGA-DTG characteristics of <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass at different heating rates in air (a) and nitrogen (b) atmosphere	35
4.3	Effect of flow gas medium type (N_2 and Air) on <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass decomposition at 5 min ⁻¹	37
4.4	Kissinger plot for first, second and third peak temperatures at three different heating rates	39
4.5	3D Surface response plots (a-d) representing combined effects of the process parameters (Temperature, <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass loading, heating rate, and FR) on H ₂ (a, b) CO (c, d)	47
4.6	3D Surface response plots (e-h) representing combined effects of the process parameters (Temperature, <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass loading, heating rate, and ER) on CO_2 (e, f), CH_4 (g, h) production.	48
4.7	2D counter plots (a-d) representing combined effects of the process parameters (Temperature, <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass loading, heating rate, and ER) on H_2 (a, b), CO (c, d).	49
4.8	2D counter plots (e-h) representing combined effects of the process parameters (Temperature, <i>C. vulgaris</i> biomass loading, heating rate, and ER) on CO_2 (e, f), CH_4 (g, h) production.	50
4.9	Standard Pareto chart for syngas such as H_2 (a), CO (b), CO ₂ (c), CH ₄ (d) from <i>C. vulgaris</i>	53
4.10	Product distributions from the gasification of C.vulgaris biomass	54
4.11	Experimental verification of optimum syngas yield from <i>C</i> . <i>vulgaris</i> biomass gasification (T = 703 , <i>C</i> . <i>vulgaris</i> biomass lading = 1.44 g, heating rate 22.24 min ⁻¹ , ER = 0.29).	56
4.12	Theoretical values of response variables predicated from the	57

 \bigcirc

respective model versus the experimental values. (): experimental values used for the calculation of the model. (): prediction line



LISIT OF ABBERIVATIONS

BOD	Biochemical oxygen demand		
CCD	Central composite design		
COD	Chemical oxygen demand		
CV	Calorific value		
CG	Conventional gasification		
DTG	Derivative thermogravimetry		
DME	Diethyl ether		
EFB	Empty fruit bunch		
ER	Equivalence ratio		
FB	Fluidized bed		
FID	Flame ionization detector		
FTS	Fischer-Tropsch synthesis		
GC	Gas chromatography		
GHGs	Greenhouse gases		
HHV	High heating value		
HCV	Higher calorific value		
HTG	Hydrothermal gasification		
SCWG	Super critical water gasification		
TGA	Thermogravimetric analyzer		
TCD	Thermal conductive detector		
TPG	Temperature program gasifier		

G

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

The demand of energy to satisfy global needs is continuously escalating. With the emergence of fossil fuels such as coal, oil and gas, the world increasingly became dependent on fossil fuel. Currently, the W R W D O Z R U O G \P V H F R Q R P \ U H O L H V R fuels as a reliable fuel sources for generation of electricity, heat, transportation fuel and other goods (Sarkar et al., 2012). It has been reported that more than 80% of energy derives from burning of fossil fuels (Demirbas and Demirbas, 2011). This tremendous increase have led to many concerns such as emission of greenhouse gases (GHG) and other harmful pollutants such as NO_X, SO_X and CO_X, resulting to air pollution, acid rain and greenhouse effect (Chen et al., 2011; Marques and Fuinhas, 2011). Fossil fuel reserves are likely to be depleted and make the fuel as unsustainable and nonrenewable energy sources. The dependency of this fuel has caused escalation in diesel, petroleum and electricity prices and subsequently initiated the necessity to explore alternative energy resources to support global ever-growing energy demands.

Synthesis gas (syngas), produced via advance technologies such as fuel cell and gas turbine, is one of the potential alternatives to substitute the existing fuels. It is produced from a thermochemical conversion technology particularly through gasification route. The method is highly capable to convert biomass into syngas with very low tar contents by utilizing various types of biomass such as corn, wheat, cotton stalks, and sugarcane (Tavasoli et al., 2009; Zabaniotou et al., 2010; Ahmed and Gupta, 2012). The biomass is used to convert into syngas under partial oxidation conditions. The syngas consists of hydrogen (H₂), carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO₂), and methane (CH₄). The two later compounds are the byproducts from the gasification reactions. This type of fuel provides various advantages, as it is suitable for Fischer-Tropsch (FT) process to produce diesel as well as methanol by chemical synthesis.

Furthermore, syngas is a substantial energy carrier fuel source and less harmful as compared to fossil fuel, thus the use of syngas for different applications such as power generation, transportation, boiler fuels and residual heating reduces greenhouse gases (GHGs) emissions and other pollutants into the atmosphere (Saxena et al., 2008; Jhon et al., 2010). Although syngas offers many advantages, a more sustainable feedstock to produce this fuel is required to meet the global energy demands. The first generation biofuel feedstocks such as grains, sugarcane, oil seeds, corn, and vegetable oil have been utilized to produce syngas. Those materials have achieved a commercial scale of production; however, their influence towards high value products particularly for food applications and requirement of large arable land has restricted the production of fuels such as syngas, biodiesel and bioethanol. For instance, apart from the risk that higher food prices may have serious negative impacts on food security, the demand for biofuels could place considerable additional pressure on the natural resource base, by harming environment and causing social consequences. Second generation biofuels



referring to lignocellulosic biomass (non-food materials) have been progressed to evade existing problems related to the first generation biofuel sources (Chen et al., 2010), but these have not been commercialized due to technological impediments, cost effectiveness, and biomass collection networks (Nigam and Singh, 2011). Other problems associated with the current feedstock sources are ecological effects of soil erosion and deforestation especially of vegetation crops. The availability of feedstock is not ample to maintain existing fuel demands as well as its commercial exploitation. Hence, this contributes to a significant reason in rising production of biofuel prices and making them less appealing as an effective alternative energy source. Table 1.1 shows various types of feedstocks used for syngas production.

Type Biomass		Limitations	References
Sucrose	Sugar cane,	High moisture content,	Ensinas et al., 2007;
	Sugar beets,	high water and	Demirbas, 2007; Yoon
	Soyabean	fertilizer demands	et al., 2010
Starch based	Corn, wheat,	Moisture, pretreatment	Antal et al., 2000;
	grain,	needed, harvesting and	Chen et al., 2015;
	potatoes,	transportation cost	Tavasoli et al., 2009
	barley		
Lignocellulosic	(Woods,	High temperature	Balat, 2006; Caro et
material green grasses,		resistant due to lignin	al., 2015; Dudynski et
	straws)	presence, high cost of	al., 2015; Naik et al.,
		pretreatment	2010

Table 1.1: Gasification feedstock for syngas production

In order to produce more sustainable biofuel, third generation biofuel feedstock needs to be considered some criteria include i) lower production cost than fossil fuel, ii) provide high yield of biomass by using low area of land, iii) capable to improve the environmental quality by using CO_2 produced from different sources (Brennan and Owende, 2010). With that, microalgae provide a potential feedstock to overcome the existing problems associated with syngas production (Clarens et al., 2010; Mata et al., 2010).

Microalgae are non-flowering, autotrophic and unicellular photosynthetic microorganisms, found in saline or freshwater environments. It is typically growing via photosynthesis process just like land based plants. Compared to the conventional biofuel producing crops, microalgae are likely to have much lower environmental impacts, when they are used to produce syngas. Microalgae have a high calorific value (HCV) 21.88 MJ kg⁻¹ as compared to 18.96 MJ kg⁻¹ for Empty Fruit Bunch (EFB), low density and low viscosity, those makes this material more suitable for syngas production than lignocellulosic materials (Ma and Yousof, 2005; Miao et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2013). Also, due to their inherently high in protein (42 - 55 %), carbohydrates (12 - 17 %) and lipid content (26 ± 28 %), semi-steady state production and suitability grow in variety of climates; therefore microalgae provide a potential feedstock for biofuel production (Becker, 2007; Clarens et al., 2010).

1.2 Problem Statement

Environmental problems and economic security concerns associated with fossil fuel have triggered interest in using biofuels as an alternative to fossil fuels. The primary sources for current biofuel production are first generation and second generation biofuels. The first generation biofuel includes biomass such as sugar, starch, sugarcane, oil seeds and etc. However, it has some disadvantages as 1) needs arable land for their cultivation, 2) not enough efficient to fulfill energy demand, 3) more life cycle time, 4) food vs fuel problem, 5) increase in food prices. The second generation biofuel (lignocellulosic) includes wood, solid waste and energy crops those contain lignin which leads to difficulties within the conversion process and high conversion cost. Microalgae, a plant like microorganism, provide a potential feedstock to overcome the existing issue on biofuel production.

In term of technology, current production of alternative fuel from biomass involves lengthy processing steps. Hence choosing the right conversion technology is an important factor to make biofuels produced in sustainable, environmentally friendly and cost efficient process. One of the key options is to produce biofuel by using thermochemical i.e. gasification. The method provides higher conversion efficiency of biomass into biofuels, and a shorter production period compared to the conventional method such as biochemical method. Current microalgal biomass gasification use either fixed or fluidized bed- type reactors without focusing on optimization of process parameters. These reactors create major issues relating to carbon loss and scalability hence makes the reactors less viable for commercial scale applications. Therefore, this study explores the gasification of microalgal biomass (C. vulgaris) for synthesis gas production using a horizontal tubular reactor. The horizontal tubular reactor provides advantages in terms of low cost, simple operation, easy to control reaction conditions and higher carbon conversion rate (Chopra and Jain, 2007). An engineering approach focusing on detailed optimization for various process variables to maximize the syngas yield production was employed in this study.

1.3 Research objectives

The main objective of this study is to investigate the potential of *C. vulgaris* biomass as a feedstock for synthesis gas (syngas) production via gasification process. The objectives are divided into two sub-objectives include:

- 1. To characterize the properties of *C. vulgaris* biomass as a potential feedstock for syngas production via gasification process.
- 2. To optimize the effect of different process variables such as reactor temperature, biomass loading, heating rate and equivalence ratio (ER) for high syngas production from *C. vulgaris* biomass.

1.4 Scope of study

The proposed research of *C. vulgaris* gasification for syngas production was carried out in three stages; characterization of the *C. vulgaris* biomass for the thermochemical conversion, investigation of the effect of different parameters such as reactor temperature, *C. vulgaris* biomass loading, heating rate and ER during gasification and optimization of syngas production from *C. vulgaris*. The details scope of each objective is stated below:

1.4.1 Characterization of the C. vulgaris biomass for thermochemical conversion

The characterization study covers four different types of analysis include proximate, ultimate, higher heating value and thermal degradation of *C. vulgaris* biomass. Different parameters are applied according to the analysis standard methods. At the end of the study, a thermogravimetric profile and biomass characteristic is established and applied to the gasification process.

1.4.2 Investigation of different parameters on *C. vulgaris* biomass gasification

The main objective of this study is to investigate the effect of different gasification process parameters include reactor temperature (500, 600, 700, 800 and 900 (), *C. vulgaris* biomass loading (0.6, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 g), heating rate (5, 10, 15, 20, 25 (min⁻¹) and ER (0.1, 0.2, 0.26, 0.3 and 3.5) on response variables from *C. vulgaris* gasification. The gasification experiments were carried out in two different reactor types; (i) Temperature Program Gasifier (TPG) and (ii) High temperature quartz tube furnace. Results from the biomass gasification under different treatment conditions in TPG were used as a reference for the optimization study.

1.4.3 Optimization of C. vulgaris biomass gasification

Central composite design (CCD) approach was used to design the optimization study of C. *vulgaris* biomass gasification. The optimized parameters resulting high syngas production from gasification of C. *vulgaris* biomass will ensure a right direction towards the achievement to use syngas for different applications.

REFERENCES

- Amin, S. (2009). Review on biofuel oil and gas production processes from microalgae. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 50(7), 1834-1840.
- Asadullah, M., Ito, S. I., Kunimori, K., Yamada, M., Tomishige, K. (2002). Energy efficient production of hydrogen and syngas from biomass: Development of low-temperature catalytic process for cellulose gasification. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 36(20), 4476-4481.
- Alghurabie, I. K., Hasan, B. O., Jackson, B., Kosminski, A., Ashman, P. J. (2013). Fluidized bed gasification of Kingston coal and marine microalgae in a spouted bed reactor. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 91(9), 1614-1624.
- Aboyade, A. O., Carrier, M., Meyer, E. L., Knoetze, J. H., Görgens, J. F. (2012). Model fitting kinetic analysis and characterisation of the devolatilization of coal blends with corn and sugarcane residues. *Thermochimica Acta*, 530, 95-106.
- Agrawal, A., Chakraborty, S. (2013). A kinetic study of pyrolysis and combustion of microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris* using thermogravimetric analysis. *Bioresource Technology*, 128, 72-80.
- Anastasakis, K., Ross, A. B. (2011). Hydrothermal liquefaction of the brown macroalga *Laminaria saccharina*: effect of reaction conditions on product distribution and composition. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(7), 4876-4883.
- Ahmad, A. L., Yasin, N. M., Derek, C. J. C., Lim, J. K. (2011). Microalgae as a sustainable energy source for biodiesel production: a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(1), 584-593.
- Antal, M. J., Allen, S. G., Schulman, D., Xu, X., Divilio, R. J. (2000). Biomass gasification in supercritical water. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 39(11), 4040-4053.
- Ahmed, I. I., Gupta, A. K. (2012). Sugarcane bagasse gasification: global reaction mechanism of syngas evolution. *Applied Energy*, 91(1), 75-81.
- André, R. N., Pinto, F., Franco, C., Dias, M., Gulyurtlu, I., Matos, M. A. A., Cabrita, I. (2005). Fluidised bed co-gasification of coal and olive oil industry wastes. *Fuel*, 84(12), 1635-1644.
- Balat, M. (2008). Global trends on the processing of bio-fuels. *International Journal of Green Energy*, 5(3), 212-238.
- Brennan, L., Owende, P. (2010). Biofuels from microalgae² a review of technologies for production, processing, and extractions of biofuels and coproducts. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(2), 557-577.
- Bothara, SB., Sudarshan S (2012). Thermal studies on natural polysaccharide. *Asian Pacific J Tropical Biomedicine* 2: S1031-S1035.
- Bahadar, A., Khan, M. B. (2013). Progress in energy from microalgae: a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 27, 128-148.
- Batan, L., Quinn, J., Willson, B., Bradley, T. (2010). Net energy and greenhouse gas emission evaluation of biodiesel derived from microalgae. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44(20), 7975-7980.
- Becker, E. W. (2007). Microalgae as a source of protein. *Biotechnology Advances*, 25(2), 207-210.
- Babich, I. V., Van der Hulst, M., Le I I H U W V / 0 R X O L M Q \$ 2 ¶ & R Q Q R U (2011). Catalytic pyrolysis of microalgae to high-quality liquid bio-fuels. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 35(7), 3199-3207.

- Brown, T. M., Duan, P., Savage, P. E. (2010). Hydrothermal liquefaction and gasification of *Nannochloropsis* sp. *Energy and Fuels*, 24(6), 3639-3646.
- Barman, N. S., Ghosh, S., De, S. (2012). Gasification of biomass in a fixed bed downdraft gasifier ±A realistic model including tar. *Bioresource Technology*, 107, 505-511.
- Biller, P., Ross, A. B. (2011). Potential yields and properties of oil from the hydrothermal liquefaction of microalgae with different biochemical content. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(1), 215-225.
- Chen, C., Ma, X., Liu, K. (2011). Thermogravimetric analysis of microalgae combustion under different oxygen supply concentrations. *Applied Energy*, 88(9), 3189-3196.
- Chen, W. H., Kuo, P. C. (2010). A study on torrefaction of various biomass materials and its impact on lignocellulosic structure simulated by a thermogravimetry. *Energy*, 35(6), 2580-2586.
- Chen, G., Yao, J., Yang, H., Yan, B., Chen, H. (2015). Steam gasification of acidhydrolysis biomass char for clean syngas production. *Bioresource Technology*, 179, 323-330.
- Caro, S., Torres, D., Toledo, M. (2015). Syngas production from residual biomass of forestry and cereal plantations using hybrid filtration combustion. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 6(40), 2568-2577.
- Chisti, Y. (2007). Biodiesel from microalgae. Biotechnology Advances, 25(3), 294-306.
- Colla, L. M., Reinehr, C. O., Reichert, C., Costa, J. A. V. (2007). Production of biomass and nutraceutical compounds by *Spirulina* platensis under different temperature and nitrogen regimes. *Bioresource Technology*, 98(7), 1489-1493.
- Chakinala, A. G., Brilman, D. W., van Swaaij, W. P., Kersten, S. R. (2009). Catalytic and non-catalytic supercritical water gasification of microalgae and glycerol. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 49(3), 1113-1122.
- Chopra, S., Jain, A. K. (2007). A review of fixed bed gasification systems for biomass. *Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal*, 9: 1-23.
- Chisti, Y. (2010). Fuels from microalgae. *Biofuels*, 1(2), 233-235.
- Clark, J. H., and Deswarte, F. (Eds.). (2014). *Introduction to chemicals from biomass*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Corella, J., Aznar, M. P., Caballero, M. A., Molina, G., Toledo, J. M. (2008). 140gH 2/kg biomass daf by a CO-shift reactor downstream from a FB biomass gasifier and a catalytic steam reformer. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 33(7), 1820-1826.
- Chang, E. H., Yang, S. S. (2003). Some characteristics of microalgae isolated in Taiwan for biofixation of carbon dioxide. *Botanical Bulletin of Academia Sinica*, 44, 43-52.
- Clarens, A. F., Resurreccion, E. P., White, M. A., Colosi, L. M. (2010). Environmental life cycle comparison of algae to other bioenergy feedstocks. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44(5), 1813-1819.
- Costa, P. M., Wilson, C. (2000). An equivalence factor between CO_2 avoided emissions and sequestration description and applications in forestry. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 5(1), 51-60.
- Chinnasamy, S., Bhatnagar, A., Hunt, R. W., Das, K. C. (2010). Microalgae cultivation in a wastewater dominated by carpet mill effluents for biofuel applications. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(9), 3097-3105.
- Chen, C., Lu, Z., Ma, X., Long, J., Peng, Y., Hu, L., Lu, Q. (2013). Oxy-fuel combustion characteristics and kinetics of microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris* by thermogravimetric analysis. *Bioresource Technology*, 144, 563-571.

Demirbas, A., Demirbas, M. F. (2011). Importance of algae oil as a source of biodiesel. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 52(1), 163-170.

- Demirbas, A. (2007). Progress and recent trends in biofuels. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 33(1), 1-18.
- 'XG\ VNL 0 YDQ '\N & .ZLDWNRZVNL . 6RVQRZVN gasification: Influence of torrefaction on syngas production and tar formation. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 131, 203-212.
- Dhillon, R. S., von Wuehlisch, G. (2013). Mitigation of global warming through renewable biomass. *Biomass and bioenergy*, 48, 75-89.
- Demirbas, A. (2005). Potential applications of renewable energy sources, biomass combustion problems in boiler power systems and combustion related environmental issues. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 31(2), 171-192.
- Demirbas, A. (2010a). Use of algae as biofuel sources. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 51(12), 2738-2749.
- Demirbas, A. (2010b). Social, economic, environmental and policy aspects of biofuels. *Energy Educational Science Technology*, 2, 75 ±09.
- 'DV ' 9H]LURÜOX 7 1 + GURJHQ SURGXFWLRQ E survey of literature. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 26(1), 13-28.
- Demirbas, A. (2009). Thermochemical conversion of mosses and algae to gaseous products. *Energy Sources, Part A*, 31(9), 746-753.
- Dote, Y., Sawayama, S., Inoue, S., Minowa, T., Yokoyama, S. Y. (1994). Recovery of liquid fuel from hydrocarbon-rich microalgae by thermochemical liquefaction. *Fuel*, 73(12), 1855-1857.
- Doherty, W., Reynolds, A., Kennedy, D. (2009). The effect of air preheating in a biomass CFB gasifier using ASPEN Plus simulation. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 33(9), 1158-1167.
- Du, Z., Li, Y., Wang, X., Wan, Y., Chen, Q., Wang, C., Lin, X., Liu, Y., Chen P., Ruan, R. (2011). Microwave assisted pyrolysis of microalgae for biofuel production. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(7), 4890-4896.
- 'HPLUEDú \$ *DVHRXV SURGXFWV IURP ELRPDVV E\ effects of catalyst on hydrogen yield. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 43(7), 897-909.
- Duman, G., Uddin, M. A., Yanik, J. (2014). Hydrogen production from algal biomass via steam gasification. *Bioresource Technology*, 166, 24-30.
- De Diego, L. F., Garcia-Labiano, F., Abad, A., Gayan, P., Adanez, J. (2003). Effect of moisture content on devolatilization times of pine wood particles in a fluidized bed. *Energy and Fuels*, 17(2), 285-290.
- DHPLUEDú \$ *DVHRXV SURGXFWV IURP ELRPDVV E\ effects of catalyst on hydrogen yield. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 43(7), 897-909.
- Ensinas, A. V., Nebra, S. A., Lozano, M. A., Serra, L. M. (2007). Analysis of process steam demand reduction and electricity generation in sugar and ethanol production from sugarcane. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 48(11), 2978-2987.
- Elliott, D. C., Sealock Jr, L. J. (1996). Chemical processing in high pressure aqueous environments: low-temperature catalytic gasification. *Trans IChemE*, 74 (Part A).
-) U zJk, A. (2011). The Activation energy of primary crystallization of Fe95Si5 metallic glass. *Technical Sciences/University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn*, 93-100.

- Fang, X., Jia, L., Yin, L. (2013). A weighted average global process model based on two stage kinetic scheme for biomass combustion. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 48, 43-50.
- Fermoso, J., Gil, M. V., Arias, B., Plaza, M. G., Pevida, C., Pis, J. J., Rubiera, F. (2010). Application of response surface methodology to assess the combined effect of operating variables on high pressure coal gasification for H₂-rich gas production. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 35(3), 1191-1204.
- Gil, J., Corella, J., Aznar, M. P., Caballero, M. A. (1999). Biomass gasification in atmospheric and bubbling fluidized bed: effect of the type of gasifying agent on the product distribution. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 17(5), 389-403.
- Grierson, S., Strezov, V., Ellem, G., Mcgregor, R., Herbertson, J. (2009). Thermal characterisation of microalgae under slow pyrolysis conditions. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 85(1), 118-123.
- Ghassemi, H., Shahsavan-Markadeh, R. (2014). Effects of various operational parameters on biomass gasification process; a modified equilibrium model. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 79, 18-24.
- Goyal, H. B., Seal, D., Saxena, R. C. (2008). Bio-fuels from thermochemical conversion of renewable resources: a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 12(2), 504-517.
- Gao, N., Li, A., Quan, C. (2009a). A novel reforming method for hydrogen production from biomass steam gasification. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(18), 4271-4277.
- Guo, X. J., Xiao, B., Zhang, X. L., Luo, S. Y., He, M. Y. (2009b). Experimental study on air-stream gasification of biomass micron fuel (BMF) in a cyclone gasifier. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(2), 1003-1006.
- Gai, C., Zhang, Y., Chen, W. T., Zhang, P., Dong, Y. (2013). Thermogravimetric and kinetic analysis of thermal decomposition characteristics of low-lipid microalgae. *Bioresource Technology*, 150, 139-148.
- Guan, Q., Savage, P. E., Wei, C. (2012). Gasification of alga *Nannochloropsis sp.* in supercritical water. *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 61, 139-145.
- Hallmann, A. (2007). Algal transgenics and biotechnology. *Transgenic Plant Journal*, 1(1), 81-98.
- Hernández, J. J., Aranda, G., San Miguel, G., Bula, A. (2010). Gasification of Grapevine pruning waste in an entrained-flow reactor: Gas products, Energy efficiency and Gas conditioning alternatives. *Global Nest Journal*, 12(2), 215-227.
- Hu, M., Chen, Z., Guo, D., Liu, C., Xiao, B., Hu, Z., Liu, S. (2015). Thermogravimetric study on pyrolysis kinetics of Chlorella pyrenoidosa and bloom-forming cyanobacteria. *Bioresource technology*, 177, 41-50.
- Hankamer, B., Lehr, F., Rupprecht, J., Mussgnug, J. H., Posten, C., Kruse, O. (2007). Photosynthetic biomass and H₂ production by green algae: from bioengineering to bioreactor scale **ap**. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 131(1), 10-21.
- Hill, J., Nelson, E., Tilman, D., Polasky, S., Tiffany, D. (2006). Environmental, economic, and energetic costs and benefits of biodiesel and ethanol biofuels. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103(30), 11206-11210.
- Hui, Z., Huaxiao, Y., Mengmeng, Z., Song, Q. (2010). Pyrolysis characteristics and kinetics of macroalgae biomass using thermogravimetric analyzer. *Proceedings of World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 65, 1161-6.

- Hirano, A., Hon-Nami, K., Kunito, S., Hada, M., Ogushi, Y. (1998). Temperature effect on continuous gasification of microalgal biomass: theoretical yield of methanol production and its energy balance. *Catalysis Today*, 45(1), 399-404.
- Haiduc, A. G., Brandenberger, M., Suquet, S., Vogel, F., Bernier-Latmani, R., Ludwig, C. (2009). SunCHem: an integrated process for the hydrothermal production of methane from microalgae and CO₂ mitigation. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 21(5), 529-541.
- Hanaoka, T., Hiasa, S., Edashige, Y. (2013). Syngas production by CO₂/O₂ gasification of aquatic biomass. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 116, 9-15.
- Harun, R., Danquah, M. K., Forde, G. M. (2010). Microalgal biomass as a fermentation feedstock for bioethanol production. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 85(2), 199-203.
- Hirata, S., Hayashitani, M. (1998). Carbon dioxide fixation and biomass production with blue-green algae *Spirulina platensis*. *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis*, 114, 617-620.
- Hsueh, H. T., Chu, H., Yu, S. T. (2007). A batch study on the bio-fixation of carbon dioxide in the absorbed solution from a chemical wet scrubber by hot spring and marine algae. *Chemosphere*, 66(5), 878-886.
- Hammouda, O., Gaber, A., Abdelraouf, N. (1995). Microalgae and wastewater treatment. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental safety*, 31(3), 205-210.
- IIIman, A. M., Scragg, A. H., Shales, S. W. (2000). Increase in *Chlorella* strains calorific values when grown in low nitrogen medium. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 27(8), 631-635.
- Jakhrani, A. Q., Othman, A. K., Rigit, A. R. H., Samo, S. R. (2013). Assessment of solar and wind energy resources at five typical locations in Sarawak. *Journal of Energy and Environment*, 4(1).
- Johannes, L., John, L. G. (2008). Product yield and composition of gas. *Fuel* processing Technology, 68, 209-222.
- Jayaraman, K., Gokalp, I., Bonifaci, E., Merlo, N. (2015). Kinetics of steam and CO₂ gasification of high ash coal ±har produced under various heating rates. *Fuel*, 154, 370-379
- Jimenez-Perez, M. V., Sanchez-Castillo, P., Romera, O., Fernandez-Moreno, D., Pérez-0 D U W Õ Q H] & * U R Z W K D Q G Q X W U L H Q W U H P R Y I planktonic green algae isolated from pig manure. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 34(5), 392-398.
- Jeong, M. L., Gillis, J. M., Hwang, J. Y. (2003). Carbon dioxide mitigation by microalgal photosynthesis. *Bulletin-Koren Chemical Society*, 24(12), 1763-1766.
- Kassim, M. A., Kirtania, K., De La Cruz, D., Cura, N., Srivatsa, S. C., Bhattacharya, S. (2014). Thermogravimetric analysis and kinetic characterization of lipid-extracted Tetraselmis suecica and *Chlorella* sp. *Algal Research*, 6, 39-45.
- Kita, K., Okada, S., Sekino, H., Imou, K., Yokoyama, S., Amano, T. (2010). Thermal pretreatment of wet microalgae harvest for efficient hydrocarbon recovery. *Applied Energy*, 87(7), 2420-2423.
- Kadam, K. L. (2002). Environmental implications of power generation via coalmicroalgae cofiring. *Energy*, 27(10), 905-922.
- Khoo, H. H., Koh, C. Y., Shaik, M. S., Sharratt, P. N. (2013). Bioenergy co-products derived from microalgae biomass via thermochemical conversion life cycle energy balances and CO₂ emissions. *Bioresource Technology*, 143, 298-307.

64

- Kirubakaran, V., Sivaramakrishnan, V., Nalini, R., Sekar, T., Premalatha, M., Subramanian, P. (2009). A review on gasification of biomass. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 13(1), 179-186.
- Kumar, A., Eskridge, K., Jones, D. D., Hanna, M. A. (2009). Steam tair fluidized bed gasification of distillers grains: effects of steam to biomass ratio, equivalence ratio and gasification temperature. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(6), 2062-2068.
- Kataki, R., Konwer, D. (2001). Fuelwood characteristics of some indigenous woody species of north-east India. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 20(1), 17-23.
- Kim, S. S., Ly, H. V., Kim, J., Choi, J. H., Woo, H. C. (2013). Thermogravimetric characteristics and pyrolysis kinetics of Alga Sagarssum sp. biomass. Bioresource Technology, 139, 242-248.
- Kirubakaran, V., Sivaramakrishnan, V., Nalini, R., Sekar, T., Premalatha, M., Subramanian, P. (2009). A review on gasification of biomass. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 13(1), 179-186.
- Khawam, A., Flanagan, D. R. (2005). Complementary use of model-free and modelistic methods in the analysis of solid-state kinetics. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 109(20), 10073-10080.
- Kissinger, H. E. (1956). Variation of peak temperature with heating rate in differential thermal analysis. *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards*, 57(4), 217-221.
- Kim, Y. J., Lee, J. M., Kim, S. D. (1997). Coal gasification characteristics in an internally circulating fluidized bed with draught tube. *Fuel*, 76(11), 1067-1073.
- Li, Y., Horsman, M., Wu, N., Lan, C. Q., Dubois *Ealero*, N. (2008). Biofuels from microalgae. *Biotechnology Progress*, 24(4), 815-820.
- Lee, S., Oh, Y., Kim, D., Kwon, D., Lee, C., Lee, J. (2011). Converting carbohydrates extracted from marine algae into ethanol using various ethanolic Escherichia coli strains. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 164(6), 878-888.
- Lai, Y. H., Lv, M. X. (2002). Research on the influence of two stage gasification on reducing the tar content of gas of biomass gasification. *Journal of Combustion Science and Technology*, 8(5), 478-81.
- Li, D., Chen, L., Zhang, X., Ye, N., Xing, F. (2011). Pyrolytic characteristics and kinetic studies of three kinds of red algae. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 35(5), 1765-1772.
- López-González, D., Fernandez-Lopez, M., Valverde, J. L., Sanchez-Silva, L. (2014). Pyrolysis of three different types of microalgae: Kinetic and evolved gas analysis. *Energy*, 73, 33-43.
- Lv, P. M., Xiong, Z. H., Chang, J., Wu, C. Z., Chen, Y., Zhu, J. X. (2004). An experimental study on biomass air steam gasification in a fluidized bed. *Bioresource Technology*, 95(1), 95-101.
- Lee, K., Lee, C. G. (2001). Effect of light/dark cycles on wastewater treatments by microalgae. *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering*, 6(3), 194-199.
- /DSXHUWD 0 + HUQiQFXHI] - 5RGU@HWLFV RI GHYROD forestry wastes from thermogravimetric analysis. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 27(4), 385-391.
- Liu, N. A., Fan, W., Dobashi, R., Huang, L. (2002). Kinetic modeling of thermal decomposition of natural cellulosic materials in air atmosphere. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 63(2), 303-325.

- Liu, Y. Q., Lim, L. R., Wang, J., Yan, R., Mahakhant, A. (2012). Investigation on pyrolysis of microalgae botryococcus braunii and hapalosiphon sp. *Industrial* and Engineering Chemistry Research, 51(31), 10320-10326.
- Li, K., Zhang, R., Bi, J. (2010). Experimental study on syngas production by cogasification of coal and biomass in a fluidized bed. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 35(7), 2722-2726.
- Li, C., Suzuki, K. (2009). Tar property, analysis, reforming mechanism and model for biomass gasification ²an overview. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 13(3), 594-604.
- Marques, A. C., Fuinhas, J. A. (2011). Drivers promoting renewable energy: A dynamic panel approach. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(3), 1601-1608.
- Maschio, G., Lucchesi, A., Stoppato, G. (1994). Production of syngas from biomass. *Bioresource Technology*, 48(2), 119-126.
- Mata, T. M., Martins, A. A., Caetano, N. S. (2010). Microalgae for biodiesel production and other applications: a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(1), 217-232.
- Minowa, T., Sawayama, S. (1999). A novel microalgal system for energy production with nitrogen cycling. *Fuel*, 78(10), 1213-1215.
- McKendry, P. (2002). Energy production from biomass (part 1): overview of biomass. *Bioresource Technology*, 83(1), 37-46.
- Minowa, T., Yokoyama, S. Y., Kishimoto, M., Okakura, T. (1995). Oil production from algal cells of *Dunaliella tertiolecta* by direct thermochemical liquefaction. *Fuel*, 74(12), 1735-1738.
- Miao, X., Wu, Q. (2004). High yield bio-oil production from fast pyrolysis by metabolic controlling of *Chlorella protothecoides*. Journal of Biotechnology, 110(1), 85-93.
- Mohan, D., Pittman, C. U., Steele, P. H. (2006). Pyrolysis of wood/biomass for bio-oil: a critical review. *Energy and Fuels*, 20(3), 848-889.
- Matsui, T. O., Nishihara, A., Ueda, C., Ohtsuki, M., Ikenaga, N. O., Suzuki, T. (1997). Liquefaction of microalgae with iron catalyst. *Fuel*, 76(11), 1043-1048.
- McKendry, P. (2002). Energy production from biomass (part 2): conversion technologies. *Bioresource Technology*, 83(1), 47-54.
- Miao, X., Wu, Q., Yang, C. (2004). Fast pyrolysis of microalgae to produce renewable fuels. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 71(2), 855-863.
- Murthy, G. S. (2011). Overview and assessment of algal biofuels production technologies. *Biofuels*, 415-437.
- Malik, F. R., Ahmed, S., Rizki, Y. M. (2001). Utilization of lignocellulosic waste for the preparation of nitrogenous biofertilizer. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 4(10), 1217-1220.
- $0 D U W \tilde{0} Q H] 0$ (6 i Q F K H] 6 L P H Q H] 0 (0 < R X V I L) and phosphorus removal from urban wastewater by the microalga *Scenedesmus* obliquus. *Bioresource Technology*, 73(3), 263-272.
- Mohammed, M. A. A., Salmiaton, A., Azlina, W. W., Amran, M. M. (2012). Gasification of oil palm empty fruit bunches: A characterization and kinetic study. *Bioresource Technology*, 110, 628-636.
- Mohammed, M. A. (2011). *Catalytic gasification of empty fruit bunch for tar-free hydrogen rich-gas production*, PhD Thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Munoz, R., Guieysse, B. (2006). Algal bacterial processes for the treatment of hazardous contaminants: a review. *Water Research*, 40(15), 2799-2815.

- Ma, A. N., Yusoff, B. (2005). Biomass energy from the palm oil industry in Malaysia. *Ingenieur*, 27, 18-25.
- Nigam, P. S., Singh, A. (2011). Production of liquid biofuels from renewable resources. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 37(1), 52-68.
- Naik, S., Goud, V. V., Rout, P. K., Jacobson, K., Dalai, A. K. (2010). Characterization of Canadian biomass for alternative renewable biofuel. *Renewable Energy*, 35(8), 1624-1631.
- Nath, K., Das, D. (2003). Hydrogen from biomass. Current Science, 85(3), 265-271.
- Narvaez, I., Orio, A., Aznar, M. P., Corella, J. (1996). Biomass gasification with air in an atmospheric bubbling fluidized bed. Effect of six operational variables on the quality of the produced raw gas. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 35(7), 2110-2120.
- Ni, M., Leung, D. Y., Leung, M. K., Sumathy, K. (2006). An overview of hydrogen production from biomass. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 87(5), 461-472.
- Onwudili, J. A., Lea-Langton, A. R., Ross, A. B., Williams, P. T. (2013). Catalytic hydrothermal gasification of algae for hydrogen production: composition of reaction products and potential for nutrient recycling. *Bioresource Technology*, 127, 72-80.
- Okabe, K., Murata, K., Nakanishi, M., Ogi, T., Nurunnabi, M., Liu, Y. (2009). Fischer ± Tropsch synthesis over Ru catalysts by using syngas derived from woody biomass. *Catalysis letters*, 128(1-2), 171-176.
- Priyadarshani, I., Rath, B. (2012). Commercial and industrial applications of micro algae ±A review. *Journal of Algal Biomass Utilization*, 3(4), 89-100.
- Pulz, O., Gross, W. (2004). Valuable products from biotechnology of microalgae. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 65(6), 635-648.
- Pan, P., Hu, C., Yang, W., Li, Y., Dong, L., Zhu, L., Tong, D., Qing, R., Fan, Y. (2010). The direct pyrolysis and catalytic pyrolysis of *Nannochloropsis sp.* residue for renewable bio-oils. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(12), 4593-4599.
- Peng, W., Wu, Q., Tu, P. (2000). Effects of temperature and holding time on production of renewable fuels from pyrolysis of *Chlorella protothecoides*. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 12(2), 147-152.
- Patil, V., Tran, K. Q., Giselrød, H. R. (2008). To wards sustainable production of biofuels from microalgae. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 9(7), 1188-1195.
- Pinto, F., Franco, C., Andre, R. N., Tavares, C., Dias, M., Gulyurtlu, I., Cabrita, I. (2003). Effect of experimental conditions on co-gasification of coal, biomass and plastics wastes with air/steam mixtures in a fluidized bed system. *Fuel*, 82(15), 1967-1976.
- Park, J. B. K., Craggs, R. J., Shilton, A. N. (2011). Wastewater treatment high rate algal ponds for biofuel production. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(1), 35-42.
- Peng, W., Wu, Q., Tu, P. (2001). Pyrolytic characteristics of heterotrophic Chlorella protothecoides for renewable bio-fuel production. Journal of Applied Phycology, 13(1), 5-12.
- Packer, M. (2009). Algal capture of carbon dioxide; biomass generation as a tool for greenhouse gas mitigation with reference to New Zealand energy strategy and policy. *Energy Policy*, 37(9), 3428-3437.
- Pérez-Moreno, L., Soler, J., Herguido, J., Menéndez, M. (2013). Stable hydrogen production by methane steam reforming in a two zone fluidized bed reactor: Experimental assessment. *Journal of Power Sources*, 243, 233-241.

- Puig-Arnavat, M., Bruno, J. C., Coronas, A. (2012). Modified thermodynamic equilibrium model for biomass gasification: a study of the influence of operating conditions. *Energy and Fuels*, 26(2), 1385-1394.
- Pan, Y. G., Velo, E., Puigjaner, L. (1996). Pyrolysis of blends of biomass with poor coals. *Fuel*, 75(4), 412-418.
- Rodolfi, L., Chini Zittelli, G., Bassi, N., Padovani, G., Biondi, N., Bonini, G., Tredici, M. R. (2009). Microalgae for oil: Strain selection, induction of lipid synthesis and outdoor mass cultivation in a low *cost* photobioreactor. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 102(1), 100-112.
- Rawat, I., Kumar, R. R., Mutanda, T., Bux, F. (2013). Biodiesel from microalgae: A critical evaluation from laboratory to large scale production. *Applied Energy*, 103, 444-467.
- Ratledge, C. (2004). Fatty acid biosynthesis in microorganisms being used for single cell oil production. *Biochimie*, 86(11), 807-815.
- Ramzan, N., Ashraf, A., Naveed, S., Malik, A. (2011). Simulation of hybrid biomass gasification using Aspen plus: A comparative performance analysis for food, municipal solid and poultry waste. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 35(9), 3962-3969.
- Ross, A. B., Biller, P., Kubacki, M. L., Li, H., Lea-Langton, A., Jones, J. M. (2010). Hydrothermal processing of microalgae using alkali and organic acids. *Fuel*, 89(9), 2234-2243.
- Rizzo, A. M., Prussi, M., Bettucci, L., Libelli, I. M., Chiaramonti, D. (2013). Characterization of microalga *Chlorella* as a fuel and its thermogravimetric behavior. *Applied Energy*, 102, 24-31.
- Rupesh, S., Muraleedharan, C., Arun, P. (2014). Analysis of hydrogen generation through thermochemical gasification of coconut shell using thermodynamic equilibrium model considering char and tar. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 2014.
- Sarkar, N., Ghosh, S. K., Bannerjee, S., Aikat, K. (2012). Bioethanol production from agricultural wastes: An overview. *Renewable Energy*, 37(1), 19-27.
- Saxena, R. C., Seal, D., Kumar, S., Goyal, H. B. (2008). Thermochemical routes for hydrogen rich gas from biomass: a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 12(7), 1909-1927.
- Sander, K., Murthy, G. S. (2010). Life cycle analysis of algae biodiesel. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 15(7), 704-714.
- Spolaore, P., Joannis-Cassan, C., Duran, E., Isambert, A. (2006). Commercial applications of microalgae. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 101(2), 87-96.
- Schenk, P. M., Thomas-Hall, S. R., Stephens, E., Marx, U. C., Mussgnug, J. H., Posten, C., Kruse, O., Hankamer, B. (2008). Second generation biofuels: highefficiency microalgae for biodiesel production. *Bioenergy Research*, 1(1), 20-43.
- Schmidt, C. W. (2007). Biodiesel: cultivating alternative fuels. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 115(2), A86.
- Sander, K., Murthy, G. S. (2010). Life cycle analysis of algae biodiesel. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 15(7), 704-714.
- Sulfahri, S. M., Sunarto, E., Irvansyah, M. Y., Utami, R. S., Mangkoedihardjo, S. (2011). Ethanol production from algae *Spirogyra* with fermentation by *Zymomonas mobilis* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research*, 1(7), 589-593.

- Shuping, Z., Yulong, W., Mingde, Y., Chun, L., Junmao, T. (2010). Pyrolysis characteristics and kinetics of the marine microalgae *Dunaliella tertiolecta* using thermogravimetric analyzer. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(1), 359-365.
- Sims, R. E., Mabee, W., Saddler, J. N., Taylor, M. (2010). An overview of second generation biofuel technologies. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(6), 1570-1580.
- Stucki, S., Vogel, F., Ludwig, C., Haiduc, A. G., Brandenberger, M. (2009). Catalytic gasification of algae in supercritical water for biofuel production and carbon capture. *Energy and Environmental Science*, 2(5), 535-541.
- Suali, E., Sarbatly, R. (2012). Conversion of microalgae to biofuel. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(6), 4316-4342.
- Sanchez-Silva, L., López-González, D., Garcia-Minguillan, A. M., Valverde, J. L. (2013). Pyrolysis, combustion and gasification characteristics of *Nannochloropsis gaditana* microalgae. *Bioresource Technology*, 130, 321-331.
- Sanchez, M. E., Otero, M., Gomez, X., Morán, A. (2009). Thermogravimetric kinetic analysis of the combustion of bio wastes. *Renewable Energy*, 34(6), 1622-1627.
- Sivasangar, S., Taufiq-Yap, Y. H., Zainal, Z., Kitagawa, K. (2013). Thermal behavior of lignocellulosic materials under aerobic/anaerobic environments. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 38(36), 16011-16019.
- Sukiran, M. A. B. (2008). Pyrolysis of empty oil palm fruit bunches using the quartz fluidized-fixed bed reactor (Doctoral dissertation, University of Malaya Kula Lumpur).
- Sheth, P. N., Babu, B. V. (2009). Experimental studies on producer gas generation from wood waste in a downdraft biomass gasifier. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(12), 3127-3133.
- Slopiecka, K., Bartocci, P., Fantozzi, F. (2012). Thermogravimetric analysis and kinetic study of poplar wood pyrolysis. *Applied energy*, 97, 491-497.
- Seo, M. W., Goo, J. H., Kim, S. D., Lee, S. H., Choi, Y. C. (2010). Gasification characteristics of coal/biomass blend in a dual circulating fluidized bed reactor. *Energy and Fuels*, 24(5), 3108-3118.
- Supramono, D., Tristantini, D., Rahayu, A., Suwignjo, R. K., Chendra, D. H. (2014). Syngas production from lignite coal using K₂CO₃ catalytic steam gasification with controlled heating rate in pyrolysis step. *Procedia Chemistry*, 9, 202-209.
- Tavasoli, A., Ahangari, M. G., Soni, C., Dalai, A. K. (2009). Production of hydrogen and syngas via gasification of the corn and wheat dry distiller grains (DDGS) in a fixed-bed micro reactor. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 90(4), 472-482.
- Tsukahara, K., Sawayama, S. (2005). Liquid fuel production using microalgae. *Journal* of the Japan Petroleum Institute, 48(5), 251.
- Turn, S., Kinoshita, C., Zhang, Z., Ishimura, D., Zhou, J. (1998). An experimental investigation of hydrogen production from biomass gasification. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 23(8), 641-648.
- Tang, Y., Ma, X., Lai, Z. (2011). Thermogravimetric analysis of the combustion of microalgae and microalgae blended with waste in N₂/O₂ and CO₂/O₂ atmospheres. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(2), 1879-1885.
- Tahmasebi, A., Kassim, M. A., Yu, J., Bhattacharya, S. (2013). Thermogravimetric study of the combustion of *tetraselmis suecica* microalgae and its blend with a Victorian brown coal in O_2/N_2 and O_2/CO_2 atmospheres. *Bioresource Technology*, 150, 15-27.

- Tavasoli, A., Ahangari, M. G., Soni, C., Dalai, A. K. (2009). Production of hydrogen and syngas via gasification of the corn and wheat dry distiller grains (DDGS) in a fixed-bed micro reactor. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 90(4), 472-482.
- Vardon, D. R., Sharma, B. K., Scott, J., Yu, G., Wang, Z., Schideman, L., Zhang, Y., Strathmann, T. J. (2011). Chemical properties of bio crude oil from the hydrothermal liquefaction of *Spirulina* algae, swine manure, and digested anaerobic sludge. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(17), 8295-8303.
- Van Rossum, G., Potic, B., Kersten, S. R. A., Van Swaaij, W. P. M. (2009). Catalytic gasification of dry and wet biomass. *Catalysis Today*, 145(1), 10-18.
- Wang, B., Li, Y., Wu, N., Lan, C. Q. (2008a). CO₂ bio mitigation using microalgae. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 79(5), 707-718.
- Wang, G., Li, W., Li, B., Chen, H. (2008b). TG study on pyrolysis of biomass and its three components under syngas. *Fuel*, 87(4), 552-558.
- Zhou, D., Zhang, L., Zhang, S., Fu, H., Chen, J. (2010). Hydrothermal liquefaction of macroalgae *Enteromorpha prolifera* to bio oil. *Energy and Fuels*, 24(7), 4054-4061.
- Wu, Q., Dai, J., Shiraiwa, Y., Sheng, G., Fu, J. (1999). A renewable energy source ² hydrocarbon gases resulting from pyrolysis of the marine nanoplanktonic alga *Emiliania huxleyi. Journal of Applied Phycology*, 11(2), 137-142.
- Williams, R. H., Larson, E. D. (2003). A comparison of direct and indirect liquefaction technologies for making fluid fuels from coal. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 7(4), 103-129.
- Wei, L., Xu, S., Zhang, L., Liu, C., Zhu, H., Liu, S. (2007). Steam gasification of biomass for hydrogen-rich gas in a free-fall reactor. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 32(1), 24-31.
- Watanabe, M., Inomata, H., Osada, M., Sato, T., Adschiri, T., Arai, K. (2003). Catalytic effects of NaOH and ZrO₂ for partial oxidative gasification of nhexadecane and lignin in supercritical water. *Fuel*, 82(5), 545-552.
- Wang, L., Min, M., Li, Y., Chen, P., Chen, Y., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Ruan, R. (2010). Cultivation of green algae *Chlorella sp.* in different waste waters from municipal wastewater treatment plant. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 162(4), 1174-1186.
- Wang, B., Li, T., Zhu, N., Xiao, B. (2013). Nitrogen removal of ramie stalk treated by acid wastewater combined with Clostridium thermocellum and the kinetic study of pyrolysis. *Bioresource Technology*, 129, 1-6.
- Waldheim, L., Nilsson, T. (2001). Heating value of gases from biomass gasification. *TPS Termiska Processer AB*, 611(82). (http://www.ieatask3 3 .org/app/webroot/files/file/publications/Heating Value. Pdf).
- Xu, X., Li, P., Shen, Y. (2013). Small-scale reforming of diesel and jet fuels to make hydrogen and syngas for fuel cells: A review. *Applied Energy*, 108, 202-217.
- Yoon, S. J., Choi, Y. C., Son, Y. I., Lee, S. H., Lee, J. G. (2010). Gasification of biodiesel by-product with air or oxygen to make syngas. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(4), 1227-1232.
- Yusup, S., Khan, Z., Ahmad, M. M., Rashidi, N. A. (2014). Optimization of hydrogen production in in-situ catalytic adsorption (ICA) steam gasification based on response surface methodology. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 60, 98-107.
- Yang, Y. F., Feng, C. P., Inamori, Y., Maekawa, T. (2004). Analysis of energy conversion characteristics in liquefaction of algae. *Resources, Conservation* and Recycling, 43(1), 21-33.

- Yang, K. C., Wu, K. T., Hsieh, M. H., Hsu, H. T., Chen, C. S., Chen, H. W. (2013). Co-gasification of woody biomass and microalgae in a fluidized bed. *Journal* of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers, 44(6), 1027-1033.
- Yun, Y. S., Lee, S. B., Park, J. M., Lee, C. I., Yang, J. W. (1997). Carbon dioxide fixation by algal cultivation using waste water nutrients. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 69(4), 451-455.
- Zabaniotou, A., Kantarelis, E., Skoulou, V., Chatziavgoustis, T. (2010). Bioenergy production for CO₂-mitigation and rural development via valorisation of low value crop residues and their upgrade into energy carriers: A challenge for sunflower and soya residues. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(2), 619-623.
- Zeiler, K. G., Heacox, D. A., Toon, S. T., Kadam, K. L., Brown, L. M. (1995). The use of microalgae for assimilation and utilization of carbon dioxide from fossil fuel-fired power plant flue gas. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 36(6), 707-712.
- Zou, S., Wu, Y., Yang, M., Li, C., Tong, J. (2010). Bio-oil production from sub-and supercritical water liquefaction of microalgae *Dunaliella tertiolecta* and related properties. *Energy and Environmental Science*, 3(8), 1073-1078.
- Zabaniotou, A., Ioannidou, O., Antonakou, E., Lappas, A. (2008). Experimental study of pyrolysis for potential energy, hydrogen and carbon material production from lignocellulosic biomass. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 33(10), 2433-2444.
- Zeng, S., Fu, X., Zhou, T., Wang, X., Su, H. (2013). Influence of pore distribution on catalytic performance over inverse CeO₂/Co₃O₄ catalysts for CH₄/CO₂ reforming. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 114, 69-74.