

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

EVALUATION OF OIL PALM BIOMASS AND FAST GROWING TIMBER SPECIES AS POTENTIAL SOLID BIOFUEL

CHIN KIT LING

IPTPH 2014 5

EVALUATION OF OIL PALM BIOMASS AND FAST GROWING TIMBER SPECIES AS POTENTIAL SOLID BIOFUEL



DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA



EVALUATION OF OIL PALM BIOMASS AND FAST GROWING TIMBER SPECIES AS POTENTIAL SOLID BIOFUEL



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the degree Doctor of Philosophy

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia





To my beloved father, mother, brothers, sisters,
friends and specially remembered one
Thank you for everything......

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

EVALUATION OF OIL PALM BIOMASS AND FAST GROWING TIMBER SPECIES AS POTENTIAL SOLID BIOFUEL

By

CHIN KIT LING

July 2014

Chairman: Paridah Md Tahir, PhD

Faculty: Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products

Lignocellulosics have been identified as one of the renewable energy sources. The conversion process for this purpose must be flexible enough to accommodate various types of biomass. Among the numerous methods for converting lignocellulosic biomass into usable energy, direct combustion is still the dominant technology employed by industry. This work evaluates the potential variation of two lignocellulosic biomass available in Malaysia, i.e. oil palm waste and timbers from fast growing species to be utilized as solid biofuel for heat and electricity generation.

The study was divided into five parts. The first part evaluates the fuel properties of oil palm biomass (empty fruit bunch (EFB) and oil palm trunk (OPT)), wood from a range of fast growing timber species (*Paraserianthes falcataria*, *Acacia* spp., *Endospermum* spp. and *Macaranga* spp.), inclusive and exclusive of bark. These fibres were chosen because of their abundance and readily available. Heating value and ash forming elements are found to be much higher in timbers inclusive of bark than those without. On the other hand, oil palm biomass contains higher ash forming elements and lower heating value than any of the timber species. The study also suggests lignocellulosic in its raw form, is not efficient as raw material for power plant due to its high moisture content, low bulk energy density, high ash content and low ash melting temperature.

The second part of the study investigates the effects of torrefaction treatment on the weight loss and energy properties of *Paraserianthes falcataria*, *Acacia* spp., *Endospermum* spp. and *Macaranga* spp. and oil palm biomass (oil palm trunk and empty fruit bunch). The lignocellulosic biomass was torrefied at three different temperatures 200, 250 and 300 °C, each for 15, 30 and 45 min. Response surface methodology was used for optimization of torrefaction conditions, so that biofuel of high energy density, maximized energy properties and minimum weight loss could be manufactured. The analyses showed that increase in heating values was affected

by treatment severity (cumulated effect of temperature and time). It was demonstrated that each biomass type had its own unique set of operating conditions to achieve the same product quality. The optimized torrefaction conditions were verified empirically and applicability of the model was confirmed. For respective types of lignocellulosic biomass, the optimization experiment gave results of HHV and weight loss as follows: 27.96 MJ/kg, 10.12% for *Acacia spp.*; 19.14 Mj/kg, 6.17% for *Paraserianthes falcataria*; 27.19 Mj/kg, 13.41%, for *Macaranga spp.*; 19.68 MJ/kg, 8.09% for *Endospermum spp*; 23.08 MJ/kg, 9.55% for EFB and 23.22 MJ/kg, 14.94% for OPT. These experimental findings were in close agreement with the model prediction. Torrefaction markedly improved the biofuel characteristics except for ash melting which apparently similar to the raw material and was more severe with raw material initially with problematic ash such as EFB and OPT.

The subsequent study aims at studying the effectiveness of leaching on removing ash forming elements and on ash melting using water and acetic acid as the extraction agent. Leaching by acetic acid solutions removed most of ash forming elements, both water soluble and insoluble from the selected lignocellulosic biomass. Ash melting characteristics of lignocellulosic biomass under high temperature were considerably improved by both leaching treatment; water and acetic acid leaching. A model comprising leaching parameters and fuel properties for different types of lignocellulosic biomass was established. This model was later employed to predict the optimal leaching conditions for maximized ash removal efficiency without sacrificing the higher heating value (HHV). To generate optimal leaching conditions, the ash removal efficiency was set to a maximum while the HHV was set in the range of not lower than the initial HHV of the respective lignocellulosic biomass. For respective types of lignocellulosic biomass, the optimization experiment gave results of ash removal efficiency and HHV as follows: 68 %, 18.52 MJ/kg for Acacia spp.; 72%, 17.94 Mj/kg for Paraserianthes falcataria; 72%, 18.13 Mj/kg for Macaranga spp.; 81%, 18.58 MJ/kg for Endospermum spp; 85%, 18.53 MJ/kg, for EFB and 63%, 16.21 MJ/kg, for OPT.

Part four of the study explores the possibility of combining leaching and torrefaction treatment to create an improved solid biofuel from lignocellulosic biomass. The focus lies on determining the effects of the combination treatments on ash removal efficiency and on ash melting characteristic of the treated biomass. Two possible pathways were implied; applying torrefaction followed by leaching and leaching followed by torrefaction. Incorporating both leaching and torrefaction treatments irrespective of sequence generated a solid biofuel with better fuel properties particularly HHV yield and ash melting temperature compared to singular treatment; torrefaction or leaching treatment. The ash yield reduction from raw biomass ranged 60-86%, whereas the ash yield reduction from torrefied biomass ranged 47-68%. Leaching prior to torrefaction proved to be a better combination.

The final part of the study evaluates the effect of kaolin and calcite addition on the ash melting characteristic, heating value and ash content of the lignocellulosic biomass. The additives addition to selected lignocellulosic biomass with low ash melting temperature, i.e. *Acacia* spp., *Endospermum* spp., EFB and OPT The results

show that both additives significantly improved the bottom ash melting characteristic with mixed results. Kaolin is a promising choice since it reduced the sintering degree of the ashes with the formation of inorganic elements mixtures mostly held in the ash sediments. In contrast, the presence of calcite helped to increase the ash melting temperature but at the same time induce higher concentration of fly ash in the flue gas. In general, kaolin is more effective than calcite to reduce molten or strong sintering to weak sintering or loose ash at the dose of 0.25 - 0.5 g/g ash while calcite in general require higher dose at dose equal or higher than 0.5 g/g ash. While the concentrations of additives act as a variable to increase the sintering temperature, it also had strong impacts on HHV reduction and ash content increment. In conclusion, fast growing timber species served as a better solid biofuel than oil palm biomass due to higher HHV and less ash forming elements. The high alkali metals (potassium and sodium) mainly consist in oil palm biomass was found to be one of the main factors that create slagging during high temperature combustion. Ash that is with high K/(Ca + Mg) ratio (alkali metals (potassium) to alkaline earth metals (calcium and magnesium) ratio) tend to have low melting temperature. Novelty approach by combining leaching followed by torrefaction treatment on lignocellulosic biomass was found to be able to create the optimal quality solid biofuel with low ash content, high energy density and high ash melting temperature. The ash related problematic lignocellulosic biomasses (oil palm biomass, Acacia spp. and Endospermum spp.) with low ash melting temperature during high temperature combustion can be solved by additional fuel additives; with kaolin as a better ash melting inhibitor than calcite.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENILAIAN BIOJISIM KELAPA SAWIT DAN SPESIES POKOK CEPAT TUMBUH SEBAGAI BIOBAHAN API PEPEJAL YANG BERPOTENSI

Oleh

CHIN KIT LING

Julai 2014

Pengerusi: Paridah Md Tahir, PhD

Fakulti: Institut Perhutanan Tropika dan Produk Hutan

Lignocellulosa telah dikenali sebagai salah satu sumber tenaga yang boleh diperbaharui. Proses konversi untuk tujuan ini perlu mempunyai daya ubahsuai yang tinggi bagi penggunaan biomass yang pelbagai. Antara kaedah-kaedah untuk menukarkan biojisim lignoselulosa kepada tenaga gunaan, pembakaran secara langsung merupakan teknologi yang dominan digunakan oleh industri. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengkaji potensi biojisim lignoselulosa yang terdapat di Malaysia (sisa kelapa sawit dan spesies pokok cepat tumbuh) untuk digunakan sebagai bahan api bio pepejal bagi penjanaan haba dan elektrik.

Kajian ini terbahagi kepada lima bahagian. Dalam bahagian pertama, ciri-ciri bahan api bagi biojisim kelapa sawit (tandan kelapa sawit (EFB) dan batang kelapa sawit (OPT)), kayu termasuk dan tidak termasuk kulit kayu daripada pelbagai spesies pokok cepat tumbuh (*Paraserianthes falcatari*, *Acacia* spp., *Endospermum* spp. dan *Macaranga* spp.) dikaji. Gentian-gentian ini dipilih atas sebab ketersediaan sumber yang banyak di Malaysia. Sampel kayu termasuk kulit mempunyai nilai tenaga (HHV) dan kandungan elemen-elemen pembentukan abu yang lebih tinggi berbanding dengan sampel kayu tidak termasuk kulit. Sebaliknya, biojisim kelapa sawit mengandungi kandungan elemen-elemen pembentukan abu yang lebih tinggi berbanding dengan kayu dari spesis cepat tumbuh. Bagaimanapun, biojisim lignoselulosa yang mentah, bukan merupakan bahan mentah yang optimum bagi penggunaan dalam loji kuasa. Tanpa rawatan yang bersesuaian, biojisim lignoselulosa tidak sesuai untuk dimasukkan ke dalam sistem pembakaran yang sedia ada kerana kandungan kelembapan yang tinggi, ketumpatan tenaga pukal yang rendah, kandungan abu yang tinggi dan sifat abu yang rendah suhu lebur.

Dalam kajian ke-2, tujuannya adalah untuk menyiasat kesan-kesan rawatan torifikasi ke atas kehilangan berat jisim serta ciri-ciri bahan api bagi spesies pokok cepat tumbuh (*Paraserianthes falcatari*, *Acacia* spp., *Endospermum* spp. dan *Macaranga*

spp.) dan biojisim kelapa sawit (OPT dan EFB). Biojisim lignoselulosa dirawat pada tiga suhu yang berbeza 200, 250 dan 300 ° C selama 15, 30 dan 45 minit. Kaedah response surface method (RSM) telah digunakan untuk mengoptimalkan keadaan torifikasi, supaya bahan api bio dengan kepadatan tenaga yang tinggi, iaitu nilai HHV yang maksimal dengan pengurangan berat jisim yang minimal dapat dihasilkan. Hasil analisis menunjukkan bahawa peningkatan dalam nilai HHV terjejas dengan tahap genting rawatan (kesan terkumpul suhu dan masa). Ia telah menunjukkan bahawa setiap jenis biojisim mempunyai set keadaan operasi yang unik untuk mencapai kualiti produk yang sama. Keadaan torifikasi yang dioptimumkan telah disahkan secara empirik dan keboleh-aplikasi model juga dikenalpasti. Untuk setiap biojisim lignoselulosa, kajian pengoptimuman memberikan hasil HHV dan pengurangan berat seperti berikut: 27.96 MJ/kg, 10.12% bagi Acacia spp.; 19.14 Mj/kg, 6.17% bagi Paraserianthes falcataria; 27.19 Mj/kg, 13.41%, bagi *Macaranga spp.*; 19.68 MJ/kg, 8.09% bagi *Endospermum spp*; 23.08 MJ/kg, 9.55% bagi EFB and 23.22 MJ/kg, 14.94% bagi OPT. Biojisim lignoselulosa yang telah dirawat secara torifikasi mempunyai banyak kebaikan berbanding bahan mentah, tetapi kecenderungan ciri-ciri leburan abu menjadi lebih teruk dengan bahan mentah yang pada mulanya mempunyai abu yang bermasalah.

Dalam bahagian ke-3, penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji keberkesanan kaedah larut lesap untuk menyingkirkan elemen pembentukan abu dan kesan-kesan larut lesap ke atas ciri-ciri leburan abu dengan penggunaan air dan asid asetik sebagai ejen pengekstrakan. Kaedah larut lesap dengan penggunaan asid asetik dapat mengeluarkan kebanyakan elemen-elemen pembentukan abu yang terdiri daripada elemen-elemen yang larut dan tidak larut dalam air daripada biojisim lignoselulosa yang digunakan. Ciri-ciri leburan abu biojisim lignoselulosa di bawah suhu yang tinggi telah bertambah baik apabila dirawat dengan kaedah larut lesap; air atau asid asetik. Model telah dibina untuk mengkaji kesan parameter kaedah larut lesap ke atas biojisim lignoselulosa yang digunakan. Model ini kemudiannya digunakan untuk meramal keadaan larut lesap yang optimum bagi kecekapan penyingkiran abu yang maksimal tanpa mengorbankan nilai tenaga (HHV) dengan menggunakan kaedah response surface method (RSM). Untuk menjana keadaan larut lesap optimum kecekapan penyingkiran abu ditetapkan pada tahap maksimal manakala HHV telah ditetapkan dalam lingkungan tidak rendah daripada nilai HHV awal biojisim lignoselulosa masing-masing. Hasil kajian ini boleh digunakan sebagai panduan untuk meningkatkan ciri-ciri bahan api yang lebih baik dari segi jumlah kandungan abu dan ciri-ciri leburan abu biojisim lignoselulosa yang digunakan. Untuk setiap biojisim lignoselulosa, kajian pengoptimuman memberikan hasil HHV dan pengurangan berat seperti berikut: 68 %, 18.52 MJ/kg bagi Acacia spp.; 72%, 17.94 Mj/kg bagi Paraserianthes falcataria; 72%, 18.13 Mj/kg bagi Macaranga spp.; 81%, 18.58 MJ/kg bagi Endospermum spp; 85%, 18.53 MJ/kg bagi EFB and 63%, 16.21 MJ/kg bagi OPT.

Bahagian ke-4 untuk kajian inin meneroka kemungkinan untuk menggabungkan kaedah larut lesap dan torifikasi untuk menghasilkan bahan api bio pepejal yang lebih baik daripada biojisim lignoselulosa yang dipilih. Tumpuan diletak ke atas penentuan kesan kombinasi rawatan dalam kecekapan penyingkiran abu, serta ciriciri leburan abu bagi biojisim lignoselulosa yang dirawat. Dua proses kombinasi pre-

rawatan yang berpotensi telah diaplikasi; torifikasi diikuti dengan kaedah larut lesap dan kaedah larut lesap diikuti dengan torifikasi. Penggabungan kaedah larut lesap dengan rawatan torifikasi menghasilkan bahan api bio pepejal yang mempunyai ciriciri bahan api yang lebih baik terutamanya kepadatan tenaga dan suhu leburan abu berbanding dengan bahan api yang dirawat dengan rawatan tunggal; torifikasi atau kaedah larut lesap. Pengurangan hasil abu daripada biojisim mentah adalah dalam lingkungan 60 – 80%, manakala pengurangan hasil abu daripada biojisim yang telah ditorifikasi adalah dalam lingkungan 47 – 68%. Rawatan kombinasi, kaedah larut lesap sebelum torifikasi terbukti sebagai rawatan kombinasi yang lebih baik berbanding dengan kombinasi rawatan torifikasi diikuti dengan kaedah larut lesap.

Kajian terakhir bertujuan untuk mengkaji kesan tambahan kaolin dan kalsium karbonat pada ciri-ciri leburan abu, HHV dan kandungan abu biojisim lignoselulosa. Penambahan bahan tambahan kepada biojisim lignoselulosa yang mempunyai sifat abu yang bermasalah (Acacia spp., Endospermum spp., EFB and OPT) telah ditentukan dan dilapor secara kualitatif dan kuantitatif. Telah dibuktikan bahawa penggunaan kedua-dua bahan tambahan membawa kepada peningkatan yang ketara dari segi ciri-ciri leburan abu. Penambahan kaolin merupakan pilihan yang baik kerana tahap leburan abu jelas dikurangkan dengan pembentukan unsur-unsur bukan organik dalam bentuk mendapan abu. Sebaliknya, penambahan kalsium karbonat membantu meningkatkan suhu leburan abu tetapi pada masa yang sama mendorong kepada kepekatan tinggi abu terbang dalam gas serombong. Secara umum, kaolin lebih efektif berbanding kalsium karbonat dalam mengurangkan peleburan abu atau pensinteran kuat kepada pensinteran lemah atau abu longgar dengan penggunaan dos 0.25 – 0.5 g/g abu manakala kalsium karbonat secara umum memerlukan dos yang lebih tinggi dengan dos bersamaan atau lebih tinggi dari 0.5 g/g abu. Walaupun kepekatan bahan tambahan bertindak sebagai pembolehubah untuk meningkatkan suhu peleburan abu, ia juga mempunyai kesan yang kuat ke atas pengurangan HHV dan peningkatan kandungan abu dalam biojisim lignoselulosa. Kesimpulannya, kayu spesis pokok cepat tumbuh merupakan bahan api bio pepejal yang lebih baik berbanding biojisim kelapa sawit dengan nilai HHV yang lebih tinngi dan kandungan abu yang lebih rendah. Logam alkali yang tinggi (kalium and natrium) yang terkandung dalam biojisim kelapa sawit telah didapati sebagai satu faktor utama yang menghasilkan sanga pada suhu pembakaran yang tinggi. Abu dengan nisbah K/(Ca + Mg) yang tinggi (nisbah logam alkali (potassium) kepada logam bumi alkali (calcium and magnesium)) cenderung kepada sifat suhu leburan yang rendah. Pembaharuan dari segi penggabungan kaedah larut resap dan torifikasi keatas biojisim lignoselulosa didapati menghasilkan bahan api bio pepejal yang berkualiti dengan kandungan abu yang rendah, ketumpatan tenaga yang tinggi dan suhu leburan abu yang tinggi. Biojisim lignoselulosa yang bermasalah (biojisim kelapa sawit, Acacia spp., Endospermum spp.) dengan suhu leburan abu yang rendah semasa pembakaran suhu tinggi boleh diatasi melalui penambahan bahan tambahan bahan api; dengan kaolin sebagai perencat leburan abu yang lebih baik berbanding kalsium karbonat.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It has been many years since I started my studies here in Universiti Putra Malaysia. I never believed that I would have furthered my study up to the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. These years have been very interesting and sufficiently valuable but sometime it could be also difficult and challenging. I have learned a great deal not only about my research but also a lot about myself and it feels fantastic to finally be able to summarise my work in this thesis. However this would not have been possible without the help and support of many people.

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Paridah Md Tahir for the continuous support, motivation, enthusiasm, immense knowledge and remarkable patience. This thesis would not have been possible without her trenchant critiques and probing questions. I am also very grateful indeed to my three other supervisors, Assoc. Prof. Dr. H'ng Paik San, Prof. Luqman Abdullah Chuah and Dr. Mariusz Mami ski for their kind assistance, encouragement and insightful comments. I could not have imagined having better supervisors and mentors for my PhD study. Their challenges brought this work towards a completion. It is with their supervisions that this work came into existence. For any faults I take full responsibility.

I have great pleasure in expressing my deep sense of gratitude and indebtedness to researchers and staff at the Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products, UPM for their accommodating attitude in the use of their facilities. Sincere appreciation is also extended to staff of Faculty of Forestry, UPM.

I am also indebted to those who help me in completing this study as well as my friends for their moral support and most of all their invaluable friendship. Special thanks is due to all the members in the HPS office (you know who you all are) for being the most supportive listener on my numerous complaints and their accompanies during my misery moments.

My acknowledgement would not be completed without expressing my love gratitude to my parents for their spiritual supports throughout my life. Thanks to my brothers and sister for their love, support and encouragement during the course of this study. I am also grateful to the Ministry of Education (MyBrain) scholarship scheme, making it possible for me to complete my PhD study.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy Degree. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Paridah Md. Tahir, PhD

Professor Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

H'ng Paik San, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Forestry Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Luqman Chuah Abdullah, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Mariusz Mami ski, PhD

Department of Wood-Based Composites Faculty of Wood Technology Warsaw University of Life Sciences (Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby comfirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by University Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancelor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writtings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

| Signature: | Date: |
|----------------------|-------|
| Name and Matric No.: | |
| | |
| | |

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to comfirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

| Signature: Paridah Md. Tahir, PhD (Chairman) |
|--|
| Signature: H'ng Paik San, PhD (Member) |
| Signature: Luqman Chuah Abdullah, PhD (Member) |
| |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| APPROV DECLAR LIST OF LIST OF LIST OF LIST OF | K WLED(YAL RATION TABLI FIGUR PLATI ABBRI | ES RES | Page i iv vii viii x xvi xx xxii xxx |
|--|---|---|--|
| CHAPTE | ER | | |
| 1 | INTR 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 | Justification | 1 2 3 4 4 |
| 2 | 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 | RATURE REVIEW Background Solid biofuel current market and consumption Potential growth of solid biofuel Overview of lignocellulosic biomass Lignocellulosic biomass composition 2.5.1 Cellulose 2.5.2 Hemicellulose 2.5.3 Lignin 2.5.4 Extractive and ash | 6 7 8 10 11 11 12 12 13 |
| | 2.6 2.7 2.8 | Potential lignocellulosic biomass in Malaysia 2.6.1 Fast growing timbers as energy crops 2.6.2 Oil palm biomass Biomass as solid biofuel for heat and electricity production Factors affecting the fuel properties of lignocellulosic biomass to be used as solid biofuel 2.8.1 Higher heating value 2.8.2 Moisture Content 2.8.3 Ash Content 2.8.4 Elemental Compounds | 13 13 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 |
| | 2.9 | 2.8.5 Ash melting characteristic Pretreatments to convert lignocellulosic biomass into biomass solid fuel 2.9.1 Torrefaction 2.9.2 Leaching Techniques 2.9.3 Additives Response Surface Methodology | 21 22 22 26 30 32 |

| | 2.11 | Concluding Remarks | 32 |
|---|------|--|----|
| 3 | CHA | MICAL COMPOSITION AND FUEL RACTERISTICS OF SOLID BIOFUEL DERIVED M OIL PALM BIOMASS AND FAST GROWING | |
| | | BER SPECIES | |
| | 3.1 | Introduction | 34 |
| | 3.2 | | 35 |
| | 3.3 | Methodology | 35 |
| | | 3.3.1 Raw materials preparation | 37 |
| | | 3.3.2 Determination of chemical composition | 40 |
| | | 3.3.3 Determination of higher heating values (HHV) | 43 |
| | | 3.3.4 Determination of major elements (ash-forming | 43 |
| | | elements) and trace elements | |
| | | 3.3.5 Ash melting characteristic at different | 44 |
| | | combustion temperature | |
| | | 3.3.6 Data analysis | 45 |
| | 3.4 | Results and Discussion | 46 |
| | | 3.4.1 Chemical composition | 47 |
| | | 3.4.2 Higher heating values (HHV) of lignocellulosic | 49 |
| | | biomass | |
| | | 3.4.3 Trace element | 54 |
| | | 3.4.4 Major elements (ash-forming elements) | 56 |
| | | 3.4.5 Ash melting characteristic | 58 |
| | 3.5 | Conclusion | 62 |
| | ENH | ANCING THE FUEL CHARACTERISTICS OF OIL | |
| 4 | | M BIOMASS AND FAST GROWING TIMBER | |
| | | CIES THROUGH TORREFACTION | |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 63 |
| | 4.2 | Objective | 65 |
| | 4.3 | Methodology | 65 |
| | | 4.3.1 Material Selection Preparation | 65 |
| | | 4.3.2 Experimental design | 65 |
| | | 4.3.3 Torrefaction process | 66 |
| | | 4.3.4 Evaluation | 69 |
| | | 4.3.5 Data analysis | 70 |
| | 4.4 | Results and Discussion | 71 |
| | | 4.4.1 Physical change of the lignocellulosic biomass | 71 |
| | | after torrefaction process 4.4.2 Chemical Composition of torrefied | 72 |
| | | <u> </u> | 12 |
| | | lignocellulosic biomass 4.4.3 Weight loss, HHV and HHV yield of torrefied | 75 |
| | | 5 | 75 |
| | | lignocellulosic biomass | Ω1 |
| | | 4.4.4 Optimization of torrefaction parameters | 91 |
| | 15 | 4.4.5 Ash composition and characteristics | 91 |
| | 4.5 | Conclusion | 96 |
| | REM | OVING ASH CONTENT OF OIL PALM BIOMASS | |

5 REMOVING ASH CONTENT OF OIL PALM BIOMASS AND FAST GROWING TIMBER SPECIES USING

| | LEAC | HING | TECHNIQUES | |
|-----|-------|---------|--|-----|
| | 5.1 | Introdu | uction | 97 |
| | 5.2 | Object | tive | 98 |
| | 5.3 | Metho | dology | 98 |
| | | 5.3.1 | Material Selection Preparation | 98 |
| | | 5.3.2 | Experimental design | 99 |
| | | 5.3.3 | Leaching process | 99 |
| | | | Evaluation | 101 |
| | | 5.3.5 | Data analysis | 102 |
| | 5.4 | Result | s and Discussion | 102 |
| | | 5.4.1 | Water and acetic acid leaching at room temperature (25 °C) | 103 |
| | | 5.4.2 | Acetic acid leaching at temperature 40 °C and | 111 |
| | | | 55 °C | |
| | | 5.4.3 | | 125 |
| | | | Ash composition and characteristics | 126 |
| | 5.5 | Conclu | usion | 133 |
| | COMI | RINAT | ION OF TORREFACTION AND | |
| 6 | | | TECHNIQUES ON OIL PALM BIOMASS | |
| o . | | | GROWING TIMBERS SPECIES | |
| | 6.1 | Introdu | | 134 |
| | 6.2 | Object | | 135 |
| | 6.3 | | dology | 135 |
| | 0.5 | 6.3.1 | | 135 |
| | | | Experimental Design | 135 |
| | | | Combined Treatment Process | 136 |
| | | | Evaluation Evaluation | 139 |
| | | | Data Analysis | 140 |
| | 6.4 | | s and Discussion | 140 |
| | 0.4 | | Ash removal | 144 |
| | | | Weight loss | 145 |
| | | | Higher heating value (HHV) | 147 |
| | | | Ash forming elements contents | 148 |
| | | 6.4.5 | Ash melting characteristic | 150 |
| | 6.5 | Conclu | | 155 |
| | 0.3 | Concr | 301011 | 100 |
| | ADDI' | TIONA | L ADDITIVES TO REDUCE ASH | |
| 7 | RELA | TED O | PERATION PROBLEMS IN | |
| | LIGN | OCELI | LULOSIC BIOMASS UPON COMBUSTION | |
| | 7.1 | Introdu | uction | 156 |
| | 7.2 | Object | tive | 157 |
| | 7.3 | | dology | 157 |
| | | 7.3.1 | Material Selection Preparation | 157 |
| | | 7.3.2 | <u>-</u> | 159 |
| | | 7.3.3 | 1 | 160 |
| | | | Evaluation | 160 |
| | | 7.3.5 | Data Analysis | 162 |
| | 7.4 | | s and Discussion | 162 |
| | | 7.4.1 | Ash melting characteristic | 162 |

| | | 186 |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | 7.4.3 Higher heating values | 189 |
| | 7.4.4 Ash content | 190 |
| 7.5 | Conclusion | 191 |
| | • | |
| 8.1 | General Discussion | 192 |
| 8.2 | Conclusions and Recommendations | 194 |
| REFERENCES BIODATA OF STUDENT | | 195 213 |
| | | 214 |
| | GEN REC 8.1 8.2 NCES A OF S | 7.4.4 Ash content 7.5 Conclusion GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 8.1 General Discussion 8.2 Conclusions and Recommendations NCES |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1 | Energy inputs in Malaysia power station | Page 7 |
|-----------|--|--------|
| 2.2 | Biomass solid fuel production of leading producing countries in the year 2011 | 7 |
| 2.3 | Biomass solid biofuel consumption of leading consuming countries in the year 2011 | 8 |
| 2.4 | TNB power stations in Malaysia | 9 |
| 2.5 | Fuel characteristics of selected fuel | 18 |
| 2.6 | Chemical composition of lignocellulosic biomass with their higher heating value (HHV) | 19 |
| 2.7 | Fuel characteristics of energy crops in Europe | 21 |
| 2.8 | The studies of various leaching techniques on different types of lignocellulosic biomass | 27 |
| 3.1 | Macroscopic and microscopic characteristics of ash fusion classes | 44 |
| 3.2 | Proportion of bark on the stem and basic density of lignocellulosic biomass | 46 |
| 3.3 | Average chemical composition of selected lignocellulosic biomass | 48 |
| 3.4 | Percentage contribution of extractives (alcohol-acetone solubility) to higher heating values (HHV). | 50 |
| 3.5 | HHV correlations (r) with the chemical composition, ragerdless of the type of lignocellulosic biomass | 51 |
| 3.6 | Trace elements of various types of lignocellulosic biomass | 55 |
| 3.7 | Ash forming elements of various types of lignocellulosic biomass | 57 |
| 3.8 | Classification of ash sintering characteristics for lignocellulosic biomass under high heating temperature | 59 |
| 4.1 | Experimental design of torrefaction treatment | 65 |
| 4.2 | Munsell colour parameters on torrefied lignocellulosic biomass. | 71 |

| 4.3 | Chemical composition of torrefied lignocellulosic biomass | 72 |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.4 | Weight loss and calorific value of torrefied lignocellulosic biomass | 75 |
| 4.5 | The mathematical models derived from the experimental results for weight loss (Y_1) | 77 |
| 4.6 | Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the adjusted model for the weight loss of lignocellulosic biomass during torrefaction | 78 |
| 4.7 | The mathematical models derived from the experimental results for HHV (Y_2) | 82 |
| 4.8 | Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the adjusted model for the HHV of lignocellulosic biomass during torrefaction | 83 |
| 4.9 | The mathematical models derived from the experimental results for HHV yield | 87 |
| 4.10 | Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the adjusted model for the HHV yield of lignocellulosic biomass during torrefaction | 88 |
| 4.11 | Predicted optimal torrefaction temperature and reaction time with the corresponding weight loss and HHV | 91 |
| 4.12 | Characteristics of the biomass solid fuels – major elements (ash-forming elements) | 92 |
| 4.13 | Ash sintering characteristics for torrefied biomass under high heating temperature | 93 |
| 5.1 | Experimental design of water and acetic acid leaching treatments | 99 |
| 5.2 | Ash removal efficiency using different leaching conditions | 103 |
| 5.3 | Summary of ANOVA for ash removal efficiency of water and acetic acid as the leaching agent at 25 °C | 104 |
| 5.4 | Effect of water and acetic acid as the leaching agent on ash removal efficiency | 105 |
| 5.5 | Effect of leaching reaction time on ash removal efficiency | 105 |
| 5.6 | Weight loss of biomass samples using different leaching conditions | 106 |
| 5.7 | Summary of ANOVA for weight loss of water and acetic | 107 |

| 5.8 | Effect of water and acetic acid as the leaching agent on weight loss | 107 |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.9 | Effect of leaching reaction time on weight loss | 108 |
| 5.10 | HHV of lignocellulosic biomass using different leaching conditions | 109 |
| 5.11 | Summary of ANOVA for HHV of water and acetic acid as the leaching agent at 25 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ | 109 |
| 5.12 | Effect of water and acetic acid as the leaching agent on HHV | 110 |
| 5.13 | Effect of leaching reaction time on HHV | 111 |
| 5.14 | Ash removal efficiency using acid acetic leaching treatment | 111 |
| 5.15 | The mathematical models derived from the experimental results for ash removal efficiency (Y_1) | 113 |
| 5.16 | Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the adjusted model for the ash removal efficiency using leaching treatment | 114 |
| 5.17 | The mathematical models derived from the experimental results for HHV | 120 |
| 5.18 | Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the adjusted model for the HHV yield of lignocellulosic biomass during acetic acid leaching | 120 |
| 5.19 | Predicted optimal torrefaction temperature and reaction time with the corresponding weight loss and HHV | 125 |
| 5.20 | Ash forming elements removal by water leaching and acetic acid leaching | 127 |
| 5.21 | Ash sintering characteristics after water leaching and acetic acid leaching | 128 |
| 6.1 | Optimum conditions of torrefaction and leaching obtained in Chapter 4 (for torrefaction) and Chapter 5 (for leaching). | 136 |
| 6.2 | Summary of the combination treatment process sequences | 137 |
| 6.3 | Summary of ANOVA for ash content of lignocellulosic biomass from combination treatment processes | 144 |
| 6.4 | Ash content of lignocellulosic biomass after pretreatment | 145 |

| 6.5 | Weight loss of lignocellulosic biomass after pretreatment | 145 |
|------|--|-----|
| 6.6 | Summary of ANOVA for weight loss of lignocellulusic biomass from combination treatment processes | 146 |
| 6.7 | Higher heating value of lignocellulosic biomass after pretreatment | 147 |
| 6.8 | Summary of ANOVA for weight loss of lignocellulusic biomass from combination treatment processes | 148 |
| 6.9 | Characteristics of the biomass solid fuels – major elements (ash-forming elements) | 149 |
| 6.10 | Ash sintering characteristics for pretreated biomass under high heating temperature | 150 |
| 7.1 | Chemical composition and main mineral phases of kaolin and calcite used (% w/w) | 159 |
| 7.2 | Additive to fuel ratio of selected lignocellulosic biomass | 160 |
| 7.3 | Effect of additional additives on ash content | 190 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Cellulose structure | 11 |
| 2.2 | Monomer of lignin | 12 |
| 3.1 | Process flow for fuel characteristic determination | 36 |
| 3.2 | Effect of moisture content on the HHV of lignocellulosic biomass | 53 |
| 4.1 | Schematic diagram of torrefaction experimental setup | 66 |
| 4.2 | Process of torrefaction studies | 68 |
| 4.3 | Response surface and contour plot of torrefaction temperature vs. reaction time on the weight loss for; (a) <i>Acacia</i> spp., (b) <i>Paraserianthes falcataria.</i> , (c) <i>Macaranga</i> spp., (d) <i>Endospermum</i> spp., (e) EFB and (f) OPT. | 81 |
| 4.4 | Response surface and contour plot of torrefaction temperature vs. reaction time on the HHV for; (a) <i>Acacia</i> spp., (b) <i>Paraserianthes falcataria.</i> , (c) <i>Macaranga</i> spp., (d) <i>Endospermum</i> spp., (e) EFB and (f) OPT | 86 |
| 4.5 | Response surface and contour plot of torrefaction temperature vs. reaction time on the HHV yield for; (a) <i>Acacia</i> spp., (b) <i>Paraserianthes falcataria.</i> , (c) <i>Macaranga</i> spp., (d) <i>Endospermum</i> spp., (e) EFB and (f) OPT. | 90 |
| 5.1 | Process of leaching studies | 100 |
| 5.2 | Response surface and contour plot of leaching temperature vs. reaction time on the ash removal efficiency | 117 |
| 5.3 | Response surface and contour plot of leaching temperature vs. reaction time on the ash removal efficiency | 118 |
| 5.4 | Response surface and contour plot of leaching temperature vs. reaction time on the HHV | 123 |
| 5.5 | Response surface and contour plot of leaching temperature vs. reaction time on the HHV | 124 |
| 6.1 | Process of combination treatment studies | 138 |
| 7.1 | Weight Loss of EFB ash with additional kaolin under different combustion temperature | 187 |

| 7.2 | Weight Loss of EFB ash with additional calcite under different combustion temperature | 187 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 7.3 | Weight Loss of OPT ash with additional kaolin under different combustion temperature | 187 |
| 7.4 | Weight Loss of OPT ash with additional calcite under different combustion temperature | 187 |
| 7.5 | Weight loss of <i>Acacia</i> spp. ash with additional kaolin under different combustion temperature | 188 |
| 7.6 | Weight loss of <i>Acacia</i> spp. ash with additional calcite under different combustion temperature | 188 |
| 7.7 | Weight loss of <i>Endospermum</i> spp. ash with additional kaolin under different combustion temperature | 188 |
| 7.8 | Weight loss of <i>Endospermum</i> spp. ash with additional calcite under different combustion temperature | 188 |
| 7.9 | Effect of additional additives on the HHV of lignocellulosic | 189 |

LIST OF PLATES

| Plate | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 3.1 | Collecting empty fruit bunch (EFB) from the palm oil mill | 37 |
| 3.2 | Fast growing tree species were felled and collected from Hutan Simpan Ayer Hitam, Puchong | 38 |
| 3.3 | Samples collected; logs of fast growing timber species | 38 |
| 3.4 | Sawing the logs of fast growing species and oil palm trunk into long strips | 39 |
| 3.5 | The strips were fed into the chipper and the chips are collected. | 39 |
| 3.6 | The chips were flaked into fines and sieved into required mesh size. | 40 |
| 3.7 | The preparation of low temperature ash | 45 |
| 3.8 | Low temperature ash was combusted for 2 hours | 45 |
| 3.9 | Ash formation of lignocellulosic biomass under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 61 |
| 4.1 | Torrefaction was performed in a tube furnace controlled by a continuous nitrogen flow rate | 66 |
| 4.2 | Ash formation of torrefied lignocellulosic biomass under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 95 |
| 5.1 | Ash formation of <i>Acacia</i> spp. and <i>Paraserianthes falcataria</i> ash under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 130 |
| 5.2 | Ash formation of <i>Macaranga</i> spp. and <i>Endospermum</i> spp. ash under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 131 |
| 5.3 | Ash formation of EFB and OPT ash under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 132 |
| 6.1 | Acacia spp. before and after different pretreatments | 141 |

| 6.2 | Paraserianthes falcataria before and after different pretreatments | 141 |
|------|--|-----|
| 6.3 | Macaranga spp. before and after different pretreatments | 142 |
| 6.4 | Endospermum spp. before and after different pretreatments | 142 |
| 6.5 | EFB before and after different pretreatments | 143 |
| 6.6 | OPT before and after different pretreatments | 143 |
| 6.7 | Ash formation of <i>Acacia</i> spp. ash under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 152 |
| 6.8 | Ash formation of <i>Paraserianthes falcataria</i> ash under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 152 |
| 6.9 | Ash formation of <i>Macaranga</i> spp. ash under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 153 |
| 6.10 | Ash formation of <i>Endospermum</i> spp. ash under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 153 |
| 6.11 | Ash formation of EFB ash under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 154 |
| 6.12 | Ash formation of OPT ash under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 154 |
| 7.1 | Additives used in this study. | 158 |
| 7.2 | The ash melting characteristic of EFB added with additives under combustion temperature at 700 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 164 |
| 7.3 | The ash melting characteristic of EFB added with additives under combustion temperature at 800 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 165 |
| 7.4 | The ash melting characteristic of EFB added with additives under combustion temperature at 900 $^{\circ}$ C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 167 |
| 7.5 | The ash melting characteristic of EFB added with additives under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 168 |

| 7.6 | The ash melting behaviour of OPT added with additives under combustion temperature at 700 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 170 |
|------|--|-----|
| 7.7 | The ash melting behaviour of OPT added with additives under combustion temperature at 800°C . (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 171 |
| 7.8 | The ash melting behaviour of OPT added with additives under combustion temperature at 900 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 172 |
| 7.9 | The ash melting behaviour of OPT added with additives under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 173 |
| 7.10 | The ash melting characteristic of <i>Acacia</i> spp. added with additives under combustion temperature at 700 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 175 |
| 7.11 | The ash melting characteristic of <i>Acacia</i> spp. added with additives under combustion temperature at 800 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 176 |
| 7.12 | The ash melting characteristic of <i>Acacia</i> spp. added with additives under combustion temperature at 900 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 177 |
| 7.13 | The ash melting characteristic of <i>Acacia</i> spp. added with additives under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 179 |
| 7.14 | The ash melting characteristic of <i>Endospermum</i> spp. added with additives under combustion temperature at 700 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 181 |
| 7.15 | The ash melting characteristic of <i>Endospermum</i> spp. added with additives under combustion temperature at 800 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 182 |
| 7.16 | The ash melting characteristic of <i>Endospermum</i> spp. added with additives under combustion temperature at 900 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 183 |
| 7.17 | The ash melting characteristic of <i>Endospermum</i> spp. added with additives under combustion temperature at 1000 °C. (a) macroscopic view (b) microscopic view (40X) | 184 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA Analysis of Variance

EFB Empty Fruit Bunch

OPT Oil Palm Trunk

LSD Least Significant Difference

MC Moisture Content

RH Relative Humidity

RSM Response Surface Method

SAS Statistical Analysis Software

HHV Higher Heating Value

ICPOES Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometer

UPM Universiti Putra Malaysia

MPOB Malaysia Palm Oil Board

PTM Pusat Tenaga Malaysia

AEBIOM European Biomass Association

NCRS Natural Resources Conservation Service

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Malaysia is presently still very much dependent on non-renewable fuels as the main source of energy with all major power stations still using fossil fuels, such as oil, gas and coal to generate electricity. Currently, 93% of the rural areas and all the urban areas in Malaysia have access to electricity thanks to those power stations located in Malaysia (Energy Commission, 2010). At the moment, the crucial challenge facing by the energy sector in Malaysia is the issue of sustainability of energy supply and the diversification of the various energy resources. Despite the matter of prices, these fossil fuels have two major disadvantages. The nature of these fossil fuels makes it deplete to a finite depletable resource and secondly, the combustion of nonrenewable energy like oil, coal and natural gas contributes significantly to the emission of greenhouse gasses which raise the issue of climate change. These two issues are major global environmental concerns that will have disastrous impact on the socio-economic development in Malaysia. Furthermore, Malaysia is also a signatory to the UN Convention on Climate Change and the Kyoto Protocol which commit Malaysia to move forward to reduce green house gas emissions (Rahman and Lee, 2006). The Malaysian Government is forced to look into other alternative energy sources with the emphasis on renewable energy. As mentioned, in the 8th Malaysia Plan (2001–2005), the government has replaced the Four Fuel Diversification Policy with a Five Fuel Diversification Policy in 1999 by the addition of renewable energy as the fifth source of fuel (Tenth Malaysia Plan, 2011). During that time, it was estimated that by utilizing only 5% of renewable energy in the energy mix could save the country RM 5 billion over a period of 5 years (Mariyappan, 2000). In line with the objective, many efforts were undertaken to encourage the utilization of renewable resources, such as mini-hydro, biogas, solar and biomass, for energy generation (Ölz and Beerepoot, 2010). The Fifth Policy was continually giving priority in the 9th and 10th Malaysia Plan (2006-15) which provided a more conducive environment to support renewable energy projects. Additionally, the 10th Malaysian Plan (2011 – 2015) announced a target of 985 MW or 5.5% share of grid-connected renewable electricity generation by 2015 (Tenth Malaysia Plan, 2011). In 2011, Malaysia government has launched the National RE Policy 2011 after analysing the issues which brought upon the previous policies. The vision of National RE Policy 2011 is to enhance the utilization of renewable resources to contribute towards national electricity supply security and sustainable socio-economic development (Tenth Malaysia Plan, 2011).

There are different types of renewable energy that are currently being extensively researched, namely solar, wind, hydro power and biomass (burning of biomass for electricity). The question being raised is on their reliability. People came up with the perception that renewable energy technologies are intermittent, providing power only some of the time; when the bright sun is shining or the strong wind is blowing. Some renewable energy technologies like hydro power and bioenergy (direct

combustion of biomass) are highly predictable and controllable. Currently, the biggest hydropower project in Malaysia is the on-going Bakun hydropower project having a capacity of 2400 MW (Lim *et al.*, 2006). However, the hydroelectric power plants cannot be constructed at any locations and only in places where abundant quantity of water is available throughout the year at sufficient height. A number of other safety parameters also have to be considered. Construction of the dams at inappropriate locations can cause human casualties.

Apart from being renewable, biomass for the production of green electricity could be the answer for all the disadvantages; from the conventional non-renewable energy to the renewable energy (e.g. solar, wind and hydro power). Under the 5th fuel policy, the government of Malaysia has identified biomass as one of the potential renewable energy (Lim *et al.*, 2006). It is the oldest source of renewable energy known to humans, used since our ancestors learned the secret of fire. When the biomass is burned, it emits carbon dioxide, but the next crop of trees absorbs the carbon dioxide, and the overall process can be nearly carbon neutral, and therefore reduces the carbon dioxide emissions of the power plant significantly. Besides, any existing coal-fired power stations can be adapted at modest cost to replace coal with biomass (Lim *et al.*, 2006).

1.2 Statement of Problem

Biomass solid biofuel can be derived from lignocellulosic biomass either through natural processes, or it can be made available as a by-product of human activities which includes agriculture activities in the form of organic wastes. Biomass solid biofuel to be served for the top end of consumer and industrial energy markets involves a series of processes, which need to be taken into consideration in order to tailor the fuel specification to the desired end use.

The biomass solid biofuel has to sustainably meet the demands from consumers and power stations if it wants to be recognized as a commercial fuel in Malaysia. To meet the demands, the industrial production should not be limited in its flexibility for acceptance of different types of biomass. Increasing the range of suitable raw materials is a central component of current efforts to increase biomass solid biofuel supply. Besides, biomass solid biofuel need to achieve several fuel characteristics, namely calorific value, ash content, ash forming elements and ash melting characteristic in order to be used in existing power plant. The consistencies of these characteristics are also the major concerns. Processing lignocellulosic biomass to produce a consistent biomass solid biofuel that is easy to handle and can be utilized in existing systems provides a significant motivation for utilization. Providing a system that can produce a consistent fuel that is easy to handle as well as increasing combustion efficiency and reducing any ash related problems associated with the use of lignocellulosic biomass will help to create a viable and sustainable biomass solid biofuel industry in assisting Malaysia towards energy independence and security. Basically, two main issues hindering lignocellulosic biomass from becoming an efficient solid biofuel are low energy density and high ash forming elements. Thus,

pretreatment of the lignocelluloses may be required to overcome these problems. Furthermore, optimizing the pretreatment process parameters for different types of biomass is crucial to solve the optimization problem in the manufacturing environments. This study was carried out to evaluate the potential of oil palm waste and fast growing timber species available in Malaysia for biomass solid biofuel production. To improve the low energy density, high ash content and low ash melting temperature of these biomasses, pretreatment using torrefaction and leaching method were applied. The study of the effect of pretreatments parameters on the characteristics of raw materials as well as the novelty method for achieving a practical solid biofuel from raw materials was presented. In-depth analysis using microscopic observation on the ash related problem during the combustion and methods preventing the forming of slagging were reported in this study.

1.3 Justification

Lignocellulosic biomass is an indigenous energy source and the availability of these materials tends to be intertwined with activity of the major economic sectors of the respective country. One such example is the palm oil industry. Malaysia has been one of the largest producers and exporters of palm oil for the last 40 years. In 2011, Malaysia had approximately five million hectares of land under oil palm plantation (MPOB, 2011). The main products generated from oil palm industry are palm oils, palm kernel oil and palm kernel cake. The byproducts generated from palm oil milling are empty fruit bunch (EFB) and oil palm trunk (OPT), which have great potential to be used as biomass fuel for energy production. During replanting programme, 74 tonnes of dry OPT per hectare are generated. On the other hand, approximately 23 % of the EFB byproduct comes from the processing of fresh fruit bunch (FFB) in the palm oil mill. The consistency of the supply of lignocellulosic biomass byproduct from the palm oil industry has made it an ideal source of raw materials for biomass fuel production. Undoubtedly, oil palm biomass is a great choice as a source for biomass fuel production in terms of the current abundant amount. Nonetheless, the capacity of the oil palm biomass supply has to meet the demands from consumers and power stations. Oil palm biomass is a byproduct whose availability largely depends on the primary production of palm oil industry. If biomass fuel is intended to be used as a commercial fuel, there is a need to look for alternative sustainable feedstock resources such as energy crops to support the solid biofuel production.

Increasing the lignocellulosic biomass yield can be achieved by energy crops. Several studies on the long-term contribution of biomass to future global energy supply highlighted dedicated energy cropping as the major potential for increasing this supply (Berndes *et al.*, 2003; Smeets *et. al.*, 2007). These crops are fast-growing plants or trees which are harvested specifically for energy production. Ideally, these would allow us to grow our fuel, thus reducing the dependence on fossil fuel and our vulnerability to disruption in energy supply. These crops are fast growing timber species harvested within five to eight years after planting; they generate logs with smaller diameters (<20 cm) compared to trees planted specifically for timber production, which requires a longer growth period. Characteristics of the ideal

energy crop are high yield, low production costs, low nutrient requirements, and a composition with low amounts of contaminants (McKendry, 2002). Moreover, these desired characteristics highly depend on the local climate, soil conditions, and access to water. In Malaysia, fast growing tropical trees have the entire year in which to grow, and would be expected to outgrow temperate trees on an annual basis, even if their instantaneous growth rates are not as high. *Acacia* spp., *Paraserianthes falcataria*, *Macaranga* spp. and *Endospermum* spp. are among the indigenous and exotic species that have been selected as plantation species in Malaysia based on their excellent growth performance and ease of management (Rasip and Najib, 2009).

1.4 Research Objectives

The general objective of this study is to develop processing treatments that enhance the solid fuel properties of oil palm biomass and some selected fast growing timber species.

The specific objectives of this study include;

- a) To determine the chemical composition and fuel characteristic of oil palm biomass and fast growing timber species.
- b) To optimize the torrefaction conditions for oil palm biomass and fast growing timber species with maximized HHV yield.
- c) To optimize the leaching conditions for oil palm biomass and fast growing timber species with maximized ash removal efficiency without sacrificing the HHV.
- d) To develop a process sequence from the combination of torrefaction and leaching treatment to produce solid biofuel with lower ash content and higher heating value (HHV).
- e) To determine the effect of kaolin and calcite addition on ash melting characteristic, higher heating value and ash content of the oil palm biomass and fast growing timber species.

1.5 Thesis Outline

This thesis comprises eight chapters and was organized as follows: Chapter 1, general introduction, introduces the research background and objectives. Chapter 2 gives a comprehensive literature review of lignocelulosic biomass as solid biofuel for heat and electricity generation. The potential of lignocellulosic materials as feedstock for solid biofuel production in the near future is also reviewed. Chapter 3,

the fundamental research, discusses on the chemical composition and fuel characteristics determined from the lignocellulosic biomass used in this study. Chapter 4 discusses the individual effect of torrefaction parameters (temperature and reaction time), and also their interdependence effect on higher heating value (HHV), weight loss and HHV yield of the lignocellullosic biomass. The optimum torrefaction parameter was also investigated to maximize the HHV yields. Chapter 5 studies the effectiveness of leaching on removing ash forming elements and the leaching effects on ash melting characteristics using water and acetic acid as the extraction agent. Optimum leaching parameter that maximized the ash removal efficiency without reducing the initial HHV of the lignocellulosic biomass was obtained. The fuel characteristic was determined for the lignocellulosic biomass leached under optimal condition. Chapter 6 explores the possibility of combining leaching and torrefaction treatment to create an improved biomass solid biofuel from lignocellulosic biomass. The focus lies in determining the effects of the combination treatments on ash removal efficiency, as well as the ash melting characteristic of treated biomass. Chapter 7 studies the effect of additional kaolin and calcite on the ash melting characteristic, higher heating value and ash content of the lignocellulosic biomass. The additives addition to selected lignocellulosic biomass with problematic ash have been qualitatively and quantitatively determined and reported. And finally, Chapter 8 summarizes the research performed in this study and recommendations for future work.

REFERENCES

- AEBIOM 2008. A Pellet Road Map for Europe. European Biomass Association (AEBIOM). November 2008. 18p.
- Agrawal, K.R. 1998. Kinetics of reactions involved in pyrolysis of cellulose I. The three reaction model. *Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering* 66:403-412.
- Aho, M., Vainikka, P., Taipale, R. and Yrjas, P. 2008. Effective new chemicals to prevent corrosion due to chlorine in power plant superheaters. *Fuel* 87(6): 647-654.
- Almeida, G., Brito, J.O. and Perre, P. 2010. Alterations in energy properties of eucalyptus wood and bark subjected to torrefaction: the potential of mass loss as a synthetic indicator. *Bioresource Technology* 101(24):9778-9784.
- Andersson, P. and Marklund, S. 1998. Emissions of organic compounds from biofuel combustion and influence of different control parameters using a laboratory scale incinerator. *Chemosphere* 36(6):1429-1443.
- Anwari, M., Rashid, M.I.M., Muhyiddin, H.T.M. and Ali, A.R.M. 2012. An evaluation of hybrid wind/diesel energy potential in Pemanggil Island Malaysia Power Engineering and Renewable Energy (ICPERE), 2012 International Conference on 3-5 July 2012. Bali. Pp 1-5.
- Arias, B., Pevida, C., Fermoso, J., Plaza, M.G., Rubiera, F. and Pis, J.J. 2008. Influence of torrefaction on the grindability and reactivity of woody biomass. *Fuel Processing Technology* 89(2):169-175.
- Ascheri, D.P.R., Boeno, J.A., Bassinello, P.Z. and Ascheri, J.L.R. 2012. Correlation between grain nutritional content and pasting properties of pre-gelatinized red rice flour. *Revista Ceres*. 59(1):16-24.
- Bafver, L.S., Ronnback, M., Leckner, B., Claesson, F., and Tullin, C. 2009. Particle emission from combustion of oat grain and its potential reduction by addition of limestone or kaolin. *Fuel Processing Technology*. 90: 353-359.
- Bakker, R.R. 2000. Biomass Fuel Leaching for the Control of Fouling, Slagging, and Agglomeration in Biomass Power Generation. Ph.D. Thesis. University of California Davis, Davis, CA.
- Bergman, P.C.A, and Kiel, J.H.A. 2005. Torrefaction for biomass upgrading. Published at 14th European Biomass Conference & Exhibition, Paris, France, 17-21 October 2005. Bioenergy Update April 2000, Vol. 2 No. 4, Available from https://www.bioenergyupdate.com/magazine/security/NL0400/bioenergy_update_april_2000.htm (accessed 11 February 2013).

- Bergman, P.C.A., Boersma, A.R., Zwart, R.W.H. and Kiel, J.H.A. 2005a. Development of torrefaction for biomass co-firing in existing coal-fired power stations "biocoal". ECN Report, ECN-C-05-013.
- Bergman, P.C.A., Boersma, A.R., Kiel, J.H.A., Prins, M.J., Ptasinski, K.J. and Janssen, F.G.G.J. 2005b. Torrrefied biomass for entrained-flow gasification of biomass, ECN Report, ECN-C-05-026.
- Berndes, G., Hoogwijk, M. and Van den Broek, R. 2003. The contribution of biomass in the future global energy supply: a review of 17 studies. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 25(1): 1-28.
- Bernhardt, D., Pohl, M., Gabauer, K., Unz, S., and Beckmann M. 2011. Biogenous residues for the use as wood pellet equivalent fuels. In *Proceedings of the international conference on thermal treatment technologies and hazardous waste combustors*—IT3. 10 13 May 2011, Jacksonville.
- Boman, C., Bostrom, D. and Ohman, M. Effect of fuel additive sorbents (kaolin and calcite) on aerosol particle emission and characteristics during combustion of pelletized woody biomass. In *Proc.* 16th European Biomass Conference and Exhibition. 2-6 June 2008, Valencia, Spain.
- Bondada, B. and Keller, M. 2012. Morphoanatomical symptomatology and osmotic behavior of grape berry shrivel. *Journal of the American Society for Horticulture Sci*ence137(1), 20-30.
- Box, G.E.P and Draper, N.R. 1987. Empirical Model-Building and Response Surfaces. Illustrated ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Bridgeman, T.G., Jones, J.M., Shield, I. and Williams, P.T. 2008. Torrefaction of reed canary grass, wheat straw and willow to enhance solid fuel qualities and combustion properties. *Fuel* 87: 844–856.
- BSI, 2009. British Standards. Solid biofuels Determination of calorific value. Simplified method. EN 14918: 2009. UK's National Standards Body (NSB). 62p.
- Carley, K.M., Kamneva, N.Y. and Reminga, J. 2004. Response Surface Methodology. CASOS Technical Report. CMU-ISRI-04-136.
- Carrier, M., Loppinet-Serani, A. and Denux, D. 2011. Thermogravimetric analysis as a new method to determine the lignocellulosic composition of biomass. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 35(1): 298–307.
- Chen, W.H. and Kuo, P.C. 2011. Torrefaction and co-torrefaction characterization of hemicelluloses, cellulose and lignin as well as torrefaction of some basic constituents in biomass. *Energy* 36: 803-811.
- Chin, K.L., H'ng, P.S., Wong, L.J., Tey, B.T. and Paridah, M.T. 2010. Optimization study of ethanolic fermentation from oil palm trunk, rubberwood and mixed

- hardwood hydrolysates using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Bioresource Technology* 101(9):3287-3291.
- Chin, K.L., H'ng, P.S., Wong, L.J., Tey,B.T. and Paridah.M.T. 2011. Production of glucose from oil palm trunk and sawdust of rubberwood and mixed hardwood. *Applied Energy* 88: 4222–4228.
- Christensen, B.T. 1985. Decomposability of barley straw: effect of cold-water extraction on dry weight and nutrient content. *Soil Biol Biochem* 17:93-97.
- Ciolkosz, D. and Wallace, R. 2011. A review of torrefaction for bioenergy feedstock production. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefinery* 5 (3):317-329.
- Cui, H., Turn, S.Q. and Tran, T. 2010. Biomass pretreatment for gasification. Paper Presented at the 8th International Symposium on Gas Cleaning at High Temperatures 23-25 August 2010. Taiyuan, Shanxi, China.
- Darvell, L.I., Jones, J.M., Gudka, B., Baxter, X.C., Saddawi, A., Williams, A. and Malmgren, A. 2010. Combustion properties of some power station biomass fuels. *Fuel* 89(10):2881-2890.
- Davidsson, K.O., Korsgren, Petterson J.B.C. and Jaglid, U. 2002. The effects of fuel washing techniques on alkali release from biomass. *Fuel* 81: 137-142.
- Davidsson, K.O., Steenari, B.M., Eskilsson, D. 2007. Kaolin addition during biomass combustion in a 35 MW circulating fluidized-bed boiler. *Energy Fuels* 21: 1959-1966.
- Dayton, D.C., Jenkins, B.M., Turn, S.Q., Bakker, R.R., Williams, R.B., Belle-Oudry, D. and Hill, L.M. 1999. Release of inorganic constituents from leached biomass during thermal conversion. *Energy and Fuels* 13(4):860–870.
- Demirbas, A. 2003. Demineralization of agricultural residues by water leaching. *Energy sources* 25: 679 687.
- Deng, L., Zhang, T., Che, D. 2013. Effect of water washing on fuel properties, pyrolysis and combustion characteristics, and ash fusibility of biomass. *Fuel Processing Technology* 106: 712–720.
- Deng, L., Zhang, T., Liu, Y.H. and Che, D.F. 2010. Effect of washing on fuel proper_es and combus_on characteris_cs of biomass. *J Eng Thermophys* 31(7):1239-1242.
- Díaz-Ramírez, M., Sebastián, F., Royo, J. and Rezeau, A. 2012. Combustion requirements for conversion of ash-rich novel energy crops in a 250 kW_{th}_multifuel grate fired system. *Energy* 46(1): 636 643.
- Dong, L., Liu, H. and Riffat, S. 2009. Development of small-scale and micro-scale biomass fuelled CHP systems A literature review. *Applied Thermal Engineering* 29: 2119 -2126.

- EIA 2012. The International Energy Outlook 2012: World energy and economic outlook. Report No. DOE/EIA-0484(2012). Washington DC: U.S. Department of Energy.
- Energy Commission (2010). Electricity Supply in Malaysia: Performance and Statistical Information *Electricity Supply Industry in Malaysia*. Putrajaya: EnergyCommission Malaysia (Suruhanjaya Malaysia).
- Esteves, B.M. and Pereira, H.M. 2009. Heat treatment of wood. *Bioresources* 4(1):370-404.
- Faaij, A.P.C. 2006. Bio-energy in Europe: changing technology choices. *Energy Policy* 34: 322-325.
- FEMP 2004. *Biomass Cofiring in coal-fired boilers*. DOE/EE-0288. Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Energy.
- Fengel, D. and Wegener G. 1983. Wood chemistry, ultrastructure and reactions. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Fernandez-Llorente, M.J., Diaz-Arocas, P., Gutierrez-Nebot, L., Carrasco-Garcia, J.E. 2008. The effect of the addition of chemical materials on the sintering of biomass ash. *Fuel* 87; 2651-2658.
- Ferro, D. T., Vigouroux, V., Grimm, A. and Zanzi, R. 2004. Torrefaction of agricultural and forest residues. II-0185-FA conference publication 4, Cubasolar, Guantanamo, Cuba.
- Galbe, M. and Zacchi, G. 2002. A review of the production of ethanol from softwood. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 59: 618-628.
- Garland, J.L. and Mackowiak, C.L. 1992. *Utilization of the Water Soluble Fraction of Wheat Straw as a Plant Nutrient Source*. NASA Technical Memorandum 103497, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, John F. Kennedy Space Center, FL, 1992.
- GCEP 2002. Global Climate and Energy Project. Technical Assessment Project, Department of Chemistry. Stanford University.
- Gilbe, C., Othman, M., Lindstrom, E., Bostrom, D., Backman, R., Samuelsson, R. and Burvall, J. 2008. Slagging characteristic during residential combustion of biomass pellets. *Energy and Fuels* 22(5): 3536-3543.
- Giuntoli, J., Arvelakis, S., Spliethoff, H., de Jong, W. and Verkooijen, A. 2009. Quantitative and kinetic thermogravimetric Fourier transform infrared (TG-FTIR) study of pyrolysis of agricultural residues: Influence of different pretreatments. *Energy Fuels* 23: 5695-5706.

- Gluskoter, H.J., Shimp, N.F. and Ruch, R.R. Coal analysis, trace elments and Mineral matter, in: M.A. Elliot (Ed.), Chemistry of Coal Utilization (2nd Supplementary Volume), New York, 1981, John Wiley and Sons.
- Grum, J., Slab and J.M. 2004. The use of factorial design and response surface methodology for fast determination of optimal heat treatment conditions for different Ni–Co–Mo surface layers, *J. Materials Processing Technol.* 155–156: 2026–2032
- H'ng, P.S., Wong, L.J., Chin, K.L., Tor, E.S., Tan, S.E., Tey, B.T. and Maminski, M., 2011. Oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) trunk as a resource of starch and other sugars. *Journal of Applied Sciences* 11(16): 3053 3057.
- Hall, G.E. and Hall, C.W. (1968). Heated-die wafer formation of alfalfa and bermudagrass. *Transactions of the ASAE* 11, 578-581.
- Hashim, H. and Ho, W.S. 2011. Renewable energy policies and initiatives for a sustainable energy future in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 15: 4780 4787.
- Hassan M.A. and Shirai Y. 2003. Palm biomass utilization in Malaysia for the production of bioplastic. Available from www.biomass-asia-workshop.jp/presentation_files/21_AliHassan.pdf (accessed 11 February 2013).
- Haug, R.T., LeBrun, T.J. and Tortoricim L.D. 1983. Thermal pretreatment of sludges—a field demonstration. *Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation* 55: 23–34.
- Haykiri-Acma, H., Yaman, S. and Kucukbayrak, S. 2010. Comparison of the thermal reactivities of isolated lignin and holocellulose during pyrolysis. *Fuel Processing Technology* 91: 759-764.
- Heidenreich, R. 2004. Potential and solutions for the reductions of dust emissions from the combustion of plants in small boilers. In *Symposium on Energy from biomass biogas, liquid fuels, solid fuels, Vol. 13* (ISBN: 3-934681-35-2), ed. Rutschmann, C.and Regensburg, O. Technology Transfer Institute p. 278–287.
- Helmer, T., Trockenbrodt, M. and Fruhwald, A. 2000. Wood density and elastomechanical properties of Macaranga gigantifolia and M. hypoleuca from Sabah, Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forests Products Malaysia* 6(1):62-67
- Helmut, R., Diamond S., Stark D. 1993. *Alkali-Silica Reactivity: An Overview of Research Strategic Highway Research Program*. Washington DC. 105 pp.
- Hermans, E.H. 1949. *Physics and Chemistry of Cellulose Fibres*. New York: Elsevier Publishing Company, Inc. 543pp.
- Huang, F., Yu, C., Wang, Q., Fang, M. and Luo, Z. 2013. The exploration and practice of using additive to inhibit the heating surface deposition in biomass-fired boiler. *Advance materials Research*. 608-609; 411-418.

- Huggins, F.E., Kosmack D.A., and Huffman G.P. 1981. Correlation between ashfusion temperatures and ternary equilibrium phase diagrams. *Fuel* 60: 577-583.
- Hupa, M. 2011 Ash-related issues in fluidized-bed combustion of biomasses: recent research highlights. *Energy & Fuels* 26(1): 4-14.
- Hussin, M., Hassan, A.H. and Mohammad, A.T. 1986. Availability and Potential Utilization of Oil Palm Trunks and Fronda up to the year 2000. PORIM Occasional Paper No. 20. Malaysia: Palm Oil Research Institute Malaysia.
- Iisa, K., Lu, Y. and Salmenoja, K. 1999. Sulfation of potassium chloride at combustion conditions. *Energy & Fuels*. 13(6):1184-1190.
- Iler, R.K. 1979. The occurrence, dissolution, and deposition of Silica. In *The chemistry of silica*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, pp 42-43.
- James, A., and Duke. 1983. *Handbook of Energy Crops*. Retrieved 23 April 2011, from NewCROPS web site http://www.hort.purdue.edu.com.
- Jarvis, M.C. 1984. Structure and properties of pectin gels in plant cell walls. In *Plant, Cell, and Environment.* 7: 153–164.
- Jenkins, B.M. 1989. Physical properties of biomass. In *Biomass Handbook, Chap.* 5.2, ed, O. Kitani, C.W. Hall, New York.
- Jenkins, B.M., Bakker, R.R., and Wei, J.B. 1996. On the properties of washed straw. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 10(4), 177-200.
- Jenkins, B.M., Bakker, R.R., Baxter, L.L., Gilmer, J.H. and Wei, J.B. 1997. Combustion Characteris_cs of Leached Biomass. In Developments in Thermochemical Biomass Conversion, Bridgwater, A.V., Boocock, D.G.B. Eds.; Blackie Academic and Professional: London. pp. 1316-1330.
- Jenkins, B.M., Baxter, L.L., Miles, T.R. 1998. Combustion properties of biomass. *Fuel Process Technology* 54(1–3):17 46.
- Jenkins, B.M., Mannapperuma J.D. and Bakker R.R. 2003. Biomass leachate treatment byreverse osmosis. *Fuel processing technology* 81: 223 -246.
- Jensen, P.A., Sander, B., Dam-Johansen, K. 1999. Release of potassium and chlorine during straw pyrolysis, In *Proceedings of the 4th Biomass Conference of the Americas*, ed. R.P. Overend, E. Chornet. pp. 1169–1175. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- Jensen, P.A., Sander, B., Dam-Johansen, K.K. 2001. Pretreatment of straw for power production by pyrolysis and char wash. *Biomass and bioenergy* 20;431-446.

- Junge, D.C. 1980. The combustion characteristics of wood and bark residue fuels. *Energy Technology* 7:1331-1339.
- Kaida, R., Kaku, T., Baba, K. and Hayashi, T. 2009. Enzymatic saccharification and ethanol production of *Acacia mangium* and *Paraserianthes falcataria* wood, and oil palm trunk. *Journal of Wood Science*. 55, 381-386.
- Kamden, D.P., Pizzi, A. and Jermannaud, A. 2002. Durability of heat-treated wood. *Holz Roh Werkst* 60:1-6.
- Kamm, B. and Kamm, M. 2004. Biorefinery systems. *Chemical and Biochemical Engineering* 18: 1–6.
- Kansal, H.K., Singh, S. and Kumar, P. 2005. Parametric optimization of powder mixed electrical discharge machining by response surface methodology, *J. Materials Processing Technol.*, 169(3): 427-436
- Kargbo F.R., Xing J., Zhang Y. 2009. Pretreatment for energy use of rice straw: A review. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 4(13):1560-1565.
- Knudsen, J.N., Jensen, P.A. and Dam-Johansen, K. 2004. Transformation and Release to the Gas Phase of Cl, K, and S during Combustion of Annual Biomass. *Energy & Fuels*. 18(5): 1385-1399.
- Knudsen, J.N., Tobiasen, L., Muller, H.B., Frei, D., Henriksen, U. and Clausen S. 2007. Evaluation of non-commercial additives for slagging and corrosion prevention in biomass-fired boilers, In *Proceedings of the Conference From Research To Market Deployment Biomass For Energy, Industry And Climate Protection*. 7 11 May 2007. Berlin, Germany.
- Konsomboon, S., Pipatmanomai, S., Madhiyanon, T. and Tia, S. 2011. Effect of kaolin addition on ash characteristics of palm empty fruit bunch (EFB) upon combustion. *Applied Energy* 88; 298–305.
- Lehtikangas P. 2001. Quality properties of pelletized sawdust, logging residues and bark. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 20: 351-360.
- Leino, M.W., and Edqvist, J. 2010. Germination of 151-year old *Acacia* spp. seeds. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 57: 741-746.
- Li, L., Ge J., Chen R., Wu, F., Chen, S. and Zhang, X. 2010. Environmental friendly leaching reagent for cobalt and lithium recovery. International Journal of Integrated Waste Management, Science and Technology. *Waste Management* 30(12): 2615–2621.
- Li, X., Wu, H., Hayashi, J. and Li, C.Z. 2004. Volatilisation and catalytic effects of alkali and alkaline earth metallic species during the pyrolysis and gasification of Victorian brown coal. Part VI. Further Investigations into the effects of volatile-char interations. *Fuel* 83: 1273-1279.

- Lim, C.H., Salleh, E. and Jones, P. 2006. Renewable Energy Policy and Initiatives in Malaysia. *International Journal on Sustainable Tropical Design Research & Practice* 1(1): 33-40.
- Linak, W.P. and Wendt, J.O.L. 1993. Toxic metal emissions from incineration: mechanisms and control. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 19:145-185.
- Lindström, E., Sandstrom, M., Bostrom, D. and Ohman, M. 2007. Slagging characteristics during Combustion of Cereal Grains Rich in Phosphorus. *Energy & Fuels* (21): 710-717.
- Liswidowati, Karina, M., Syafu, W., Suzuki, S., Umezawa, T., and Shimada, M. 2001. Isolation of Syringaresinol from Paraserianthes falcataria (L.) Nielsen. Wood research: Bulletin of the Wood Research Institute Kyoto University, 88, 40-41.
- Liu, X. and Bi, X.T. 2011. Removal of inorganic constituents from pine barks and switchgrass. *Fuel Processing Technology* 92: 1273-1279.
- Llorente, M.J.F. and Garcia, J.E.C. 2005. Comparing methods for predicting the sintering of biomass ash in combustion. *Fuel* (84): 1893-1900.
- Llorente, M.J.F., Diaz-Arocas, P., Nebot, L.G., Garcia, J.E.C. 2008. The effect of the addition of chemical materials on the sintering of biomass ash. *Fuel* (87): 2651-2658.
- Lloyd, T.A. and Wyman, C.E. 2005. Combined sugar yields for dilute sulfuric acid pretreatment of corn stover followed by enzymatic hydrolysis of the remaining solids. *Bioresource Technology* 96:1967–77.
- Luo, C., Brink, D.L. and Blanch, H.W. 2002. Identification of potential fermentation inhibitors in conversion of hybrid poplar hydrolyzate to ethanol. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 22: 125-138.
- Magalhaes, A.I.P. Dragana Petrovic, Rodriguez, A.L., Putra, Z.A. and Thielemans G. 2009. Techno-economic assessment of biomass pre-conversion processes as a part of biomass-to-liquids line-up. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining* 3(6): 584-600.
- Mano, J.F., Koniarova, D., and Reis, R.L. 2003. Thermal properties of thermoplastic starch/synthetic polymer blends with potential biomedical applicability. *Journal of Material Science: Materials in Electronics* 14: 127-135.
- Manzoori, A.R. and Agarwal P.K. 1992. The fate of organically bound inorganic elements and sodium chloride during fluidized bed combustion of high sodium, high sulphur low rank coal. *Fuel* 71: 513-522.
- Mariyappan, K. 2000. Country report from Malaysia: status of renewable energy and energy efficiency in Malaysia. Available from

- www.isep.or.jp/spena/2000/countryreports/malaysia.htm. (accessed 13 November 2012)
- McKendry P. 2002b. Energy production from biomass (part 3): gasification technologies. *Bioresource Technology* 83: 55–63.
- McKendry, P. 2002a. Energy production from biomass (part 1): Overview of biomass. *Bioresource Technology* 88: 37–46.
- Miles, T.R., Miles, T.R., Baxter, L.L., Bryers, R.W., Jenkins, B.M. and Oden L.L. 1995. Alkali deposits found in biomass power plants: A preliminary investigation of their extent and nature. *Summary Report for National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL Subcontract TZ-2-11226-1*.
- Mitchell, C. and Connor, P. 2004. Renewable energy policy in the UK 1990–2003. *Energy Policy* 32: 1935–1947.
- Mohd-Hamami, S., Zaidon, A., Razali A.K. and Abdul-Latif M. 1998. Physical And Mechanical Properties of *Acacia mangium* and *Acacia Auriculiformis* from different provenances. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agriculture and Science* 21(2): 73 81.
- MPOB 2007. *Malaysian Oil Palm Statistics* 2006, 26th Edition. Economics & Industry Development Division Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB) Ministry of Plantation Industries and Commodities. Malaysia.
- MPOB. 2011. Oil palm planted area. Available from http://bepi.mpob.gov.my/images/area/2011/Area_state.pdf (accessed 25 January 2013)
- Müller, R.J., Kleeberg, I. and Deckwer, W.D. 2001. Biodegradation of polyesters containing aromatic constituents. *Journal of Biotechnology* 86(2): 87-95.
- Munalula, F. and Meincken, M. 2009. An evaluation of South Afrikan fuelwood with regards to calorific value and environmental impact. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 33: 415-420.
- Munsell Color Company 1994. Munsell Soil Color Charts, Revised Edition. Macbeth Division of Kollmorgen, New Windsor, NY
- NEB 2007. *National Energy Balance (NEB) Malaysia 2007*. Pusat Tenaga Malaysia. Ministry of Energy, Communications and Multimedia: Malaysia.
- NEB 2012. *National Energy Balance (NEB) Malaysia 2012*. Pusat Tenaga Malaysia. Ministry of Energy, Communications and Multimedia: Malaysia
- Nimlos, M.N., Brooking, E., Looker, M.J., Evans, R.J., 2003. Biomass torrefaction studies with a molecular beam mass spectrometer. American Chemical Society, Division Fuel Chemisty, 48(2): 590.

- NRCS 2006. Switchgrass burned for power. Washington, DC: U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). Department of Agriculture. Available from http://www.ia.nrcs.usda.gov/News/newsreleases/2006/switchgrass.html (assessed 22 February 2013)
- Nussbaumer, T. 2003. Combustion and co-combustion of biomass: Fundamentals, technologies and primary measures for emission reduction. *Energy and Fuels* 17: 1510 1521.
- Obernbergera, I. and Thek, G. 2004. Physical characterisation and chemical composition of densified biomass fuels with regard to their combustion behaviour. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 27: 653–669.
- Ogden, C., Ileleji, K., Johnson, K., Wang, Q. 2010. In-field direct combustion fuel property changes of switchgrass harvested from summer to fall. *Fuel Processing Technolology* 91: 266-271.
- Öhman, M. and Nordin, A. 2000. The Role of Kaolin in Prevention of Bed Agglomeration during Fluidized Bed Combustion of Biomass Fuels. *Energy & Fuels* 14(3): 618-624.
- Ohman, N., Nordin, A., Skrifvars, B.J., Backman, R. and Hupa, M. 2000. Bed agglomeration characteristics during fluidized bed combustion of biomass fuels. *Energy and Fuels* 14(1):169 178.
- Oktem, H., Erzumlu, T. and Kurtaran, H. 2005. Application of response surface methodology in the optimization of cutting conditions of surface roughness, *J. Materials Processing Technol.* 170(1–2): 11–16
- Old, K.M., Vercoe, T.K., Floyd, R.B., Wingfield, M.J., Roux, J. and Neser S. 2002. *FAO/IPGRI Technical Guidelines for the Safe Movement of Germplasm. No. 20. Acacia spp.* Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome/International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome.
- Othman, M., Bostrom, D. and Nordin, A. 2004. Effect of kaolin and limestone addition on slag formation during combustion of wood fuels. *Energy and Fuels* 18: 1370 1376.
- Overend, R.P. 2009. Direct Combustion of Biomass. In: *Renewable energy sources charged with energy from the sun and originated from earth-moon interaction*. Ed. Shpilrainaytex E.E. Vol 1. Encyclopedia of life support systems. United Nations Scientific and Cultural Organizations. Pp 74-100.
- Ozcelik, B. and Erzurmlu, T. 2005. Determination of effecting dimensional parameters on warpage of thin shell plastic parts using integrated response surface method and genetic algorithm, *Int. Communication of Heat and Mass Transfer* 32(8): 1085–1094
- Pach, M., Zanzi, R. and Jornbom, E.B., 2002. Torrefied biomass a substitute for wood and charcoal. 6th Asia-Pacific International Symposium on Combustion and Energy Utilization, Kuala Lumper.

- Palmqvist, E. and Hahn-Hagerdal, B. 2000. Fermentation of lignocellulosic hydrolysates. II: Inhibitors and mechanisms of inhibition. *Bioresource Technology* 74: 25-33.
- Paulrud, S., Nilsson, C. and Ohman, M. 2001. Reed canary-grass ash composition and its melting behaviour during combustion. *Fuel* 80(10):1391 1398.
- Pereira B.L.C., Carneiro A.C.O., Carvalho A.M.M.L., Colodette J.L., Oliveira A.C., Fontes M.P.F. 2013. Influence of chemical composition of *Eucalptus* wood on gravimetric yield and charcoal properties. *Bioresources*. 8(3):4574-4592.
- Phanphanich, M. and Mani, S. 2011. Impact of torrefaction on the grindability and fuel characteristics of forest biomass. *Bioresource Technology* 102(2):1246-1253.
- Pierre, F., Almeida, G., Brito, J.O., Perre, P. 2011. Influence of torrefaction on some chemical and energy properties of maritime pine and pedunculate oak. *Bioresources* 6(2): 1204 1218.
- Pimchuai, A., Dutta, A., and Basu, P. 2010. Torrefaction of Agricultural Residue to Enhance Combustible Properties. *Energy Fuel Journal* 24(9): 4638 4645.
- Pimentel, D. and Patzek, T.W. 2005. Thermal, spectroscopic, and flexural properties of anhydride modified cultivated *Acacia* spp. *Journal of Wood Science and Technology*, 45(3): 597-606.
- Prins, M.J., Ptasinski, K.J. and Janssen, F.J.J.G. 2006a. More efficient biomass gasification via torrefaction. *Energy Fuels Journal* 31(15): 3458–3470.
- Prins, M.J., Ptasinski, K.J. and Janssen, F.J.J.G. 2006b. Torrefaction of wood: Part 1. Weight loss kinetics. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyroysis* 77(1): 28-34.
- Rahman, A.M. and Lee, K.T. 2006. Energy for sustainable development in Malaysia: energy policy and alternative energy. *Energy Policy* 34(15): 2388-2397.
- Rasip, A.G. and Najib-Lotfy, A. 2009. Agroforestry approach of planting timber and non-timber species in Malaysia. In *Development of Agroforestry Technology for the rehabilation of tropical forests* (ISSN 1341-710X), ed. Gotoh T. and Yokota Y. pp1-8. Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan: Japan International Research Centre for Agricultural Sciences.
- Raveendran, K. and Ganesh, A. 1998. Adsorption characteristics and pore development of biomass pyrolysis char. *Fuel* 77(7): 769 781.
- Razali, A.K. and Kuo H.S. 1991. Properties of particleboard manufactured from fast growing plantation species. In *Proceedings of Symposium on Recent Developments in Tree Plantations of Humid/Subhumid Tropics of Asia.* p. 685-691.

- Rechberger, P and Lotjonen, T. 2009. Introduction. In: Energy from field energy crops a handbook for energy producers. Jyvaskyla: Jyvaskyla Innovation Oy, pp. 6-13.
- Rensen, L., Fjelldrup J. and Henriksen U. 2004. A method for reducing agglomeration, sintering and deposit formation in gasification and comustion of biomass. Denmark.
- Repellin, V., Govin, A., Rolland, M. and Guyonnet, R. 2010. Modelling anhydrous weight loss of wood chips during torrafaction in a pilot kiln. *Biomass Bioenergy* 34:602-609.
- Richter, H.G., and Dallwitz, M.J. 2000. Commercial timbers: descriptions, illustrations, identification, and information retrieval. Retrieved 25 June 2010, from http://delta-intkey.com.
- Rogner, H.H. and Popescu, A. 2001. Chapter 1: An introduction to energy. In *Energy and the Challenge of Sustainability*, pp. 31-37. New York: UNDP, UNDESA & WEC, World Energy Assessment.
- Roos, J. A., Brackley, A.M. 2012. *The Asian Wood Pellet Markets*. Gen Tech Rep. PNW-GTR-861. Portland, OR. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 25 p.
- Rousset, P., Aguiar, C. and Labbe, N. and Commandre, J.M. 2011. Enhancing the combustible properties of bamboo for torrefaction. *Bioresource Technology* 102: 8225-8231.
- Rowell, R.M., Pettersen, R., Han, J.S., Rowell, J.S. and Tshabalala, M.A. 2005. Cell wall chemistry. In: *Handbook of Wood Chemistry and Wood Composites*. Rowell, R.M. (ed.), CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Sadaka, S., and Negi, S. 2009. Improvements of biomass physical and thermochemical characteristics via torrefaction process. *Environmental Progress and Sustainable Energy, AlChE Journal* 28(3): 427–434.
- Saddawi, A., Jones, J.M., Williams, A. 2012. Commodity fuels from biomass through pretreatment and torrefaction: Effects of mineral content on torrefied fuel characteristics and quality. *Energy and Fuels* 26: 6466-6474.
- Salathong, J. 2007. The sustainable use of oil palm biomass in Malaysia with Thailand's comparative perspective. Available from http://www.waseda.jp/gsaps/initiative/2006/intern/group_02/PDF/Jessada%20Sal athong.pdf
- Sannigrahi, P., Kim, D.H., Jung, S.K. and Ragauskas, A. 2011. Pseudo-lignin and pretreatment chemistry. *Energy Environmental Science* 4:1306-1310.

- Santos, R.C., Carneiro, A.C.O., Castro, A.F.M., Castro, R.V.O., Bianche, J.J. and Cardosa, M.T. 2011. Correlation of quality parameters of wood and charcoal of clones of eucalyptus. *Scientia Forestalis* 39(90):221-230.
- Schmitt, V.E.M. and Kaltschmitt M. 2013. Effect of straw proportion and Ca- and Al-containing additives on ash composition and sintering of wood–straw pellets. *Fuel* 109: 551-558.
- Scott, D.S., Paterson L., Piskorz, J. and Radlein, D. 2000. Pretreatment of poplar wood for fast pyrolysis: Rate of cation removal. *Journal of Analysis and Applied Pyrolysis* 57: 169–176.
- Scott, Q., Turn, M., Charles-Kinoshita, M., and Darren, I. 1997. Removal of inorganic constituents of biomass feedstocks by mechanical dewatering and leaching. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 12(40): 241-252.
- Scurlock, J. 1999. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Bioenergy Feedstock Development Programs, P.O. Box 2008, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6407
- Sears, E.S., Walker, P.N. 1999. Crop biomass leaching for nutrient recycling in a CELSS. *Life Support Biosph Sci* 6(3): 231-238.
- Senelwa, K. and Sims, R.E.H. 1999. Fuel characteristics of short rotation forest biomass. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 17:127-140.
- Senelwa, K.A. 1997. The air gasification of woody biomass from short rotation forests-opportunities for small scale bio-mass electricity systems. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Massey University, New Zealand.
- Shafizadeh, F., Sarkanen, K.V., Tillman, D.A. *Thermal uses and properties of carbohydrates and lignins*. New York: Academic Press, American Chemical Society; 1976.
- Shebani, A.N., Van Reenen, A.J. and Meincken, M. 2008. The effect of wood extractives on the thermal stability of different wood species. *Thermochim. Acta.* 471:43-50.
- Sheng, C.D. and Azevedo, J.L.T. 2005. Estimating the higher heating value of biomass fuels from basic analysis data. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 28(5):499-507.
- Sippula, O., Lind T. and Jokiniemi J. 2008. Effects of chlorine and sulphur on particle formation in wood combustion performed in a laboratory scale reactor. *Fuel* 87(12): 2425–2436.
- Siren, P. and Siltaloppi, P. 2009. The usability of different types of pellets. In *Proceeding of the 4th International Bioenergy Conference*. 31 August 4 September. Jyvaskyla, Finland.
- Sjostrom, E. 1993. *Wood Chemistry: Fundamentals and Applications*, pp. 51-108. Espoo, Finland: Academic Press.

- Smeets, E.M.W., Faaij, A.P.C., Lewandowski, I.M. and Turkenburg, W.C. 2007. A bottomup assessment and review of global bio-energy potentials to 2050. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 33(1): 56-106.
- Somer, G. and Unlu, A.N. 2006. The Effect of Acid Digestion on the Recoveries of Trace Elements: Recommended Policies for the Elimination of Losses. *Turkish Journal of Chemistry* 30: 745 753.
- Steenari, B.M. and Lindqvist, O. 1998. High-temperature reactions of straw ash and the anti-sintering additives kaolin and dolomite. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 14(1): 67 76.
- Steenari, B.M., Lundberg, A., Petterso, H., Wilewska-Biem M., and Andersson, D. 2009. Investigation of ash sintering during combustion of agricultural residues and the effect of additives. *Energy and Fuel* 23(2), 5655 5662.
- Sun, R.C., Salisbury D. and Tomkinson, J. 2003. Chemical composition of lipophilic extractives released during the hot water treatment of wheat straw. *Bioresource Technology* 88: 95–101.
- Susott, R.A., Degroot, W.F. and Shafizadeh F.J. 1975. Heat content of natural fuels. *Fire Flammability* 6(July): 311 325.
- Swati, G., Haldar, S., Ganguly, A. and Chatterjee, P.K. 2013. Review on Parthenium hysterphorus as a potential energy source. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 20, 420 429.
- Tabil, L., Adapa, P. and Kashaninejad, M. 2011. Biomass Feedstock Pre-Processing-Part 1: Pre-Treatment. In *Biofuel's Engineering Process Technology*, ed. Aurélio Marco dos Santos Bernardes. p. 411- 438. Croatia: InTech.
- Taherzadeh, M.J. 1999. *Ethanol from lignocellulose: Physiological effects of inhibitors and fermentation strategies, chemical reaction engineering*. Sweden: Chalmers University of Technology.
- Tay, P.W., H'ng, P.S., Chin, K.L., Wong, L.J. and Luqman, A.C. 2013. Effects of steeping variables and substrate mesh size on starch yield extracted from oil palm trunk. *Industrial Crops and Products* 44: 240–245.
- Tenth Malaysia Plan 2011. Economic planning unit. Prime minister's department. Tenth Malaysia Plan 2011 2015, Putrajaya. P 302 303.
- Thaddeus, J., 2002. Complementary Roles of Natural Gas and Coal in Malaysia. In Eighth APEC Coal Flow Seminar/ Nineth APEC Clean Fossil Energy Technical Seminar/ Fourth APEC Coal Trade, Investment, Liberalization And Facilitation Workshop. 4-5 March 2002. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

- Thy, P., Jenkins, B., Williams, R., Lesher, C. and Bakker, R. 2010. Bed agglomeration in fluidized combustor fueled by wood and rice straw blends. *Fuel Process Technol* 91:1464-1485.
- Thy, P., Jenkins, B.M., Grundvig, S., Shiraki, R. and Lesher, C.E. 2006. High temperature elemental losses and mineralogical changes in common biomass ashes. *Fuel* 85(5-6): 783-795.
- Thy, P., Lesher, C.E. and Jenkins, B.M. 2000. Experimental determination of high-temperature elemental losses from biomass slag. *Fuel* 79(6): 693-700.
- Tillman, D.A. 1978. Wood as an energy resource. Academic Press, New York.
- Tobiasen, L., Skytte, R., Pedersen, L.S., Pedersen, S.T. and Lindberg, M.A. 2007. Deposit characteristic after injection of additives to a Danish straw-fired suspension boiler. *Fuel Processing Technology:* 88(11-12): 1108-1117.
- Tobiasen, L. and Knudsen, J. *Alternative Additives (PSO FU 6532)*. DONG Energy: Fredericia, Denmark, 2007.
- Tonn, B., Dengler, V., Thumm, U., Piepho, H.P. and Claupein W. 2011. Influence of leaching on the chemical composition of grassland biomass for combustion. *Grass and Forage Science* 66: 464 473.
- Tonn, B., Thumm, U., Lewandowski, I., Claupein W. 2012. Leaching of biomass from semi-natural grasslands-effects of chemical composition and ash high temperature behaviour. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 36: 390 403.
- Tortosa, M.A.A., Buhre, B.J.P., Gupta, R.P. and Wall T.F. 2007. Characterising ash of biomass and waste. *Fuel Processing Technology* 88(11-12): 1071 1081.
- Tran, K.Q., Steenari, B.M., Lisa, K. and Lindqvist, O. 2004. Capture of potassium and cadmium by kaolin in oxidizing and reducing atmospheres. *Energy and Fuels*. 18: 1870-1976.
- Tsoumis, G. 1991. Science and Technology of Wood: Structure, properties, utilization. New York, N.Y.: Van Nostrand Rheinhold.
- Turn, S.Q., Kinoshita C.M., and Ishimura D.M. 1997. Removal of inorganic constituents of biomass feedstocks by mechanical dewatering and leaching. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 12(4): 241-252.
- Turn, S.Q., Kinoshita, C.M., Ishimura, D.M. and Zhou, J. 1998. The fate of inorganic constituents of biomass in fluidized bed gasification. *Fuel* 77:135-146.
- Turn, S.Q., Kinoshita, C.M., Jakeway, L.A., Jenkins, B.M., Baxter, L.L., Wu, B.C., Blevins, L.G. 2003. Fuel characteristics of processed, high-fiber sugarcane. *Fuel Process Technol* 81, 35-55.

- Urban, F. and Mitchell, T. 2011. *Climate change, disasters and electricity generation*. London: Overseas Development Institute and Institute of Development Studies.
- Uslu, A., Faaij, A.P.C. and Bergman, P.C.A. 2008. Pre-treatment technologies, and their effect on international bioenergy supply chain logistics. Techno-economic evaluation of torrefaction, fast pyrolysis and pelletisation. *Energy* 33: 1206–1223.
- Vamvuka, D., Zografos D., Alevizos G. 2008. Control methods for mitigating biomass ash-related problems in fluidized beds. *Bioresource Technology* 99: 3534 3544.
- Van der Stelt, M.J.C., Gerhauser, H., Kiel, J.H.A. and Ptasinski, K.J. 2011. Biomass upgrading by torrefaction for the production of biofuels: A review. *Biomass Bioenergy* 35(9): 3748-3762.
- Van-Dyne, D.L., Blasé, M.G. and Clements, L.D. 1999. A Strategy for returning agriculture and rural America to long-term full employment using biomass refineries. In *Perspectives On New Crops And New Uses*, ed. J. Janeck, and V.A. Alexandria, pp. 114–123. United States: ASHS Press.
- Vlasenko, E., Ding, H., Labavitch, J. and Shoemaker, S. 1997. Enzymatic hydrolysis of pretreated rice straw. *Bioresource Technology* 59: 109-119
- Wallingford, W. 1980. Functions of Potassium in Plants In *Potassium for Agriculture, Potash and Phosphate*. Atlanta, Georgia.
- Wang, L., Skjevrak, G., Hustad, J.E., Gronli, M. and Skreiberg, O. 2012. Effects of additives on barley straw and husk ashes sintering characteristics. *Energy Procedia* 20: 30-39.
- Wayman, M. and Parekh, S.R. 1990. *Biotechnology of Biomass Conversion*. United States: Open University Press. P 248.
- Weiland, J.J. and Guyonnet, R. 2003. Study of chemical modifications and fungi degradation of thermally modified wood using DRIFT spectroscopy. *Holz Roh Werkst* 61: 216 220.
- Werklin, J., Skrifvars, B.J. and Hupa, M. 2005. Ash-forming elements in four Scandinavian wood species. Part 1: Summer harvest. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 29: 451-456.
- Werther, J., Saenger, M., Hartge, E.U., Ogada, T. and Siagi, Z. 2000. Combustion of agricultural residues. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 2000 26(1): 1-27.
- White, R.H. 1987. Effect of lignin content and extractives on the higher heating value of wood. *Wood and Fiber Science*. 19(4): 446-452.

- Wiinikka, H. and Gebart, R. 2004. Critical parameters for particle emissions in small- scale fixed bed combustion of wood pellets. *Energy and Fuels* 18(4): 898 907.
- Wise, M. and Addieco, D. 1946. Chlorite holocellulose, its fraction and bearing on summative wood analysis and on studies on hemicellulose. *Paper Trade Journal* 122 (2): 35 43.
- Wu, H., Glarborg, P., Frandsen, F.J., Dam-Johansen, K. and Jensen, P.A. 2011a. Dust-Firing of straw and additives: ash chemistry and deposition behavior. *Energy Fuels* 25: 2862 - 2873.
- Wu, H., Yip, K., Kong, Z., Li, C.Z., Liu, D., Yu, Y. and Gao, X. 2011b. Removal and recycling of inherent inorganic nutrient species in malle biomass and derived biochars by water leaching. *Industrial And Engineering Chemistry Research* 50; 12143-12151.
- Xiong, S., Ohman, M., Zhang, Y. and Lestander, T. 2010. Corn stalk ash composition and its melting (slagging) behavior during combustion. *Energy Fuels* 24: 4866–4871.
- Yan, W., Acharjee, T.C., Coronella, C.J. and Vásquez, V.R. 2009. Thermal pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. *Environmental Progress and Sustainable Energy* 28(3): 435 440.
- Yeoh, H.H., Lim, K.O. and Mashitah, M.D. 2001. Fermentation of oil palm trunk acid hydrolystate to ethanol. *ASEAN Journal on Science And Technology Development* 18(1): 1-10.
- Yoshida, M., Liu, Y., Uchida, S., Kawarada, K., Ukagami, Y., Ichinose, H., Kaneko, S. and Fukuda, K. 2008. Effects of cellulose crystallinity, hemicellulose, and lignin on the enzymatic hydrolysis of Miscanthus sinensis to monosaccharides. *Bioscience Biotechnology and Biochemistry* 72(3):805–810.
- Yu, C., Zheng, Y., Cheng, Y.S., Jenkins, B.M., Zhang, R. and VanderGheynst, J. S. 2010. Solid liquid extraction of alkali metals and organic compounds by leaching of food industry residues. *Bioresour Technol*. 101: 4331-4336.
- Yu, J. and Klarup, D. 1994. Extraction kinetics of copper, zinc, iron, and manganese from contaminated sediment using disodium Ethylenediaminetetraacetate. *Water Air and Soil Pollution* 75(3-4): 205 225.
- Zevenhoven-Onderwater, M., Blomquist, J.P., Skrifvars, B.J., Backman, R. and Hupa, M. 2000. The prediction of behaviour of ashes from five different solid fuels in fluidised bed combustion. *Fuel* 79(11): 1353-1361.