UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

FINITE ELEMENT MODELING OF BALLISTIC PENETRATION INTO FABRIC ARMOR

HOSSEIN TALEBI

ITMA 2006 5

FINITE ELEMENT MODELING OF BALLISTIC PENETRATION INTO FABRIC ARMOR

By

HOSSEIN TALEBI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

December 2006

To

My Beloved Mother,
Mehdi, Mohsen and Mina

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

FINITE ELEMENT MODELING OF BALLISTIC PENETRATION INTO FABRIC ARMOR

By

HOSSEIN TALEBI

December 2006

Chairman: Professor Abdel Magid Salem Hamouda, PhD

Institute: Advanced Technology

The goal of this work is to analyze the ballistic performance of plain woven fabric used in soft armor systems using a detailed finite element analysis at yarn level. As more complex materials systems are introduced in engineering practice, the design engineer faces the dilemma of utilizing homogenization techniques or detailed numerical models. The latter offers a number of advantages, such as the ability to introduce separate constitutive laws and failure criteria for each phase, at the expense of computation cost. This is particularly important in ballistic performance of the soft armor where the projectile-fabric interaction and failure modes are complicated and can not be realized in other approaches.

An automatic geometry generation algorithm for textile is developed that can generate complex fabric geometries spanning several unit cells. This program (named DYNTEX) based on the mentioned algorithm is designed using MATLAB code. A commercial finite element code named LS-DYNA is used as the solver and DYNTEX program is then extended to do the pre-processing for LS-DYNA.

Four types of projectile shapes were chosen which consist of spherical, blunt, conical, hemi-spherical and a conically cylindrical military sized bullet. An orthotropic material with von-Mises stress at failure of 2.7GPa was chosen for material behavior of yarns. Since projectiles did not have considerable deformation, they assumed as rigid bodies. Furthermore a general surface to surface contact was selected for the contact between the yarns and projectile-fabric. Initial conditions and results of experimentations were extracted from literature to validate the simulation results for different projectile shapes.

To verify the mesh built by DYNTEX program a relatively low velocity impact simulation performed in oblique angle. Then convergence analysis is then carried out by changing the mesh density of fabric target and it was shown primary mesh density was fine enough to start the remaining simulations.

Finite element models of fabric impact were made with initial conditions extracted from literature and simulations were performed. The results of simulations showed close agreement with experimental tests. Moreover several parameters which affect the energy absorption of fabric were studied. These parameters were friction, boundary conditions, projectile nose diameter and projectile nose angle. The mentioned parameters were studied with respect to several projectile nose shapes and boundary conditions.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

PEMODELAN ELEMEN TERBATAS MENGENAI PENEMBUSAN BALISTIK MELALUI PERISAI FABRIK

Oleh

HOSSEIN TALEBI

Disember 2006

Pengerusi: Profesor Abdel Magid Salem Hamouda, PhD

Institut: Teknologi Maju

Matlamat tugasan ini adalah untuk menganalisis kebolehan balistik sehelai fabrik bertenun biasa yang digunakan sebagai sistem perisai lembut menggunakan elemen terbatas yang dianalisis secara terperinci pada peringkat benang. Sebagai sistem yang lebih kompleks, latihan kejuruteraan diperkenalkan dan jurutera reka bentuk terpaksa berdepan dengan dilema dalam menggunakan teknik-teknik dan model-model terperinci yang pelbagai. Ini kemudiannya mewujudkan beberapa kelebihan seperti kebolehan untuk memperkenalkan undang-undang terkandung yang berasingan dan kegagalan kriteria bagi setiap fasa pengiraan kos perbelanjaan.

Ini adalah penting dalam perlaksanaan balistik perisai lembut ini di mana tindak balas fabrik dan kegagalaan cara melaksanakannya adalah sukar dan tidak dapat dikesan melalui pendekatan yang lain.Algoritma sejenis generasi geometri yang automatik untuk tekstil telah dibangunkan kandan ia dapat menjana kekompleksan geometri fabrik tersebut kepada beberapa jarak sel unit. Program ini yang dinamakan DYNTEX berdasarkan algoritma yang disebutkan tadi, direka bentuk menggunakan

kod MATLAB. Sejenis kod elemen terbatas komersil yang dinamakan LS-DYNA telah digunakan sebagai penyelesai dan program DYNTEX kemudiannya dipanjangkan bagi melaksanakan pra-pemprosesan untuk LS-DYNA.

Terdapat 4 jenis bentuk senjata atau bahan yang dipilih dimana ia terdiri daripada bentuk bulat, tumpul, kon, hemisfera dan sejenis kon yang berbentuk silinder bersaiz peluru. Sejenis bahan ortotrafik dengan ketegangan yang rendah telah dipilih sebagai bahan yang bersifat seperti benang. Memandangkan senjata atau bahan yang dipilih tidak mengambil kira cecacatan, mereka menganggap ia sebagai badan yang tegar.

Tambahan lagi, sejenis hubungan am din antara satu permukaan dengan permukaan lain telah dipilih sebagai hubungan di antara benang dan fabrik senjata. Keadaan-keadaan dan keputusan awal daripada eksperimen telah diperolehi daripada lisan bagi mengesahkan keputusan simulasi bagi bentuk senjata atau bahan yang berlainan.

Untuk mengesahkan jaringan yang terhasil oleh program DYNTEX sejenis simulasi hubungan berkelajuan rendah telah dilaksanakan di dalam sudut serong. Kemudian, analisa pemusatan telah dilakukan dengan menukarkan ketebalan target fabrik dan ia menunjukkan jaringan ketebalan permulaan adalah cukup untuk melaksanakan simulasi bagi yang seterusnya.

Model elemen terbatas bagi kesan fabrik telah dilakukan menggunakan keadaan permulaan yang diperolehi daripada lisan dan simulasi yang telah dilakukan. Keputusan daripada simulasi menunjukkan keputusan yang diperolehi hampir sama dengan ujian eksperimen. Malahan beberapa parameter yang memberi kesan kepada penyerapan tenaga oleh fabrik telah dikaji. Parameter ini adalah perselisihan, sempadan keadaan, diameter muncung senjata dan diameter muncung sudut.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I would like to express my deep gratefulness to my supervisor Professor Dr. Abdel Magid S. Hamouda providing me the wonderful opportunity to work under Ballistic Research Group to continue my master's program. I am also very thankful for his kind assistance, support, critical advice, encouragement and suggestions during the study and preparation of this thesis. Moreover I appreciate financial support and encouragement to cooperate as Research Assistant as well as providing the opportunity to attend in several conferences on Composite Materials, Numerical Modeling, Ballistic Materials and Defense products.

I would also like to express my gratitude towards my co-supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Wong Shaw Voon, who I'm grateful for his practical experience and knowledge that made an invaluable contribution to this dissertation. I truly appreciate the time he devoted in advising me and showing me the proper directions to continue this research and for his openness, honesty and sincerity.

I owe thank to all of the people like Mr. Risby M. Sohaimi, Mr. Khairul Azmi and our officer Ms Normalina Jamaluddin at Numerical Modeling Lab who always been willing to provide assistance, give advice, and be a friend. In addition I want to appreciate help of people in Institute of Advanced Technology especially Mr. Azizi and his colleagues providing me facilities to perform hundreds of hours numerical computation. Another thank you goes for Ms. Nur Zaireen Adnan for the translation of abstract into Malay language.

Last but not least the deepest appreciation goes to my very close friends, Farzaneh, Azim and Mehdi for their contentious support and encouragement.

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 15 December 2006 to conduct the final examination of Hossein Talebi on his Master of Science thesis entitled "Finite Element Modeling of Ballistic Penetration into Fabric Armor" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

ShahNor b. Basri, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Barkawi b. Sahari, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

ElSadiq Mahdi Ahmed Saad, PhD
Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Nik Abdullah Nik Mohamed, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
(External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD
Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
Date: 15 FEBRUARY 2007

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. Members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Abdel Magid Salem Hamouda, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Wong Shaw Voon, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD
Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 8 MARCH 2007

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

HOSSEIN TALEBI

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
APPROVAL	ix
DECLARATION	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx

CHAPTER

1	**INTRODUCTION**			1
	1.1	Composite Materials, Textiles and Bullet Proof Vests		2
	1.2	Penetration Mechanics		4
	1.3	Problem Statement		5
	1.4	Thesis Objectives		7
	1.5	Thesis Layout		7
2	**LITERATURE REVIEW**			9
	2.1	Introduction		9
	2.2	Overview of Textiles		10
		2.2.1	Textile Composites	10
		2.2.2	Categorization	11
		2.2.3	Unit Cells	11
		2.2.4	Textile Preforms	12
		2.2.5	2D Weaves	14
		2.2.6	Volume Fraction, Fabric Geometry and Process Parameters	15
	2.3	Numerical Modeling of High Frequency Dynamic Events		18
		2.3.1	Definitions	18
		2.3.2	Classification of Impacts	21
		2.3.3	Velocity ranges	22
		2.3.4	Fracture Mechanisms	23
		2.3.5	Fabric Failure Mechanisms	25
		2.3.6	Modeling of High Velocity Impact Phenomena	28
		2.3.7	Hydrocodes and Impact Modeling Considerations	28
		2.3.8	Meshing	30
		2.3.9	Time integration: Implicit vs. Explicit	30
		2.3.10	Problem Description	31
		2.3.11	Adaptive Meshing	34
		2.3.12	Material Behavior and Modeling	35
		2.3.13	Damage Evaluation	37
		2.3.14	Contact	39

	2.3.15	Computer Hardware Considerations	39
	2.4	Soft Armors	40
	2.5	Analytical Modeling of Penetration into Fabric Armor	42
	2.6	Numerical Modeling of Penetration into Fabric Armor	44
	2.7	Geometrical Modeling of Textiles	50
	2.8	Summary	52
3	**METHODOLOGY**		53
	3.1	Method of Approach	53
	3.1.1	Preliminary Literature Survey	56
	3.1.2	Finite Element Modeling of Penetration into Fabric Armor	56
	3.1.3	Validation of Finite Element Model	58
	3.1.4	Extracting Available Experimental Results from Literature	58
	3.1.5	Building FE Models with Initial Conditions of Experiments	59
	3.1.6	Comparison to Experiments and Parametric Study	60
	3.2	Model Geometries	61
	3.2.1	Projectile	61
	3.2.2	Target	63
	3.3	Preprocessing and Mesh Generation	64
	3.4	Other Model Attributes	64
	3.4.1	Material Model and Contact	65
	3.4.2	Boundary Conditions	65
	3.4.3	Initial Velocity	65
	3.5	General Solution of a Nonlinear Transient Deformation	66
	3.6	Summary of Simulations	68
	3.7	Energy Absorption	72
	3.8	Conclusion	73
4	**FINITE ELEMENT MODELING**		74
	4.1	Introduction	74
	4.2	Development of Mesh Generation Software for Textile	74
	4.3	Subsequent Pre and Post Processing	79
	4.4	Modeling and Meshing	82
	4.4.1	Projectile	82
	4.4.2	Fabric	86
	4.5	Material Model and Failure Criteria	87
	4.5.1	Projectile	87
	4.5.2	Fabric	87
	4.6	Contact	93
	4.7	Boundary Conditions	94
	4.8	Solution	98
	4.9	Discussion	99
5	**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**		100
	5.1	Introduction	100
	5.1.1	Energy Absorption	100
	5.1.2	Ballistic Limit	102
	5.2	Mesh Verification	102
	5.3	Convergence Analysis	105
	5.4	Penetration of Spherical Projectile	107

5.4.1 Fully Clamped Edges 108
5.4.2 Two Clamped Edges 114
5.5 Penetration of Blunt Projectile 120
5.6 Penetration of Hemi-Spherical Projectile 122
5.7 Penetration of Conical Projectile 126
5.8 Penetration of Military Projectile 130
5.9 Parametric Study 131
5.9.1 Effect of Friction 131
5.9.2 Effect of Projectile Nose Diameter 139
5.9.3 Effect of Projectile Nose Angle 142
5.9.4 Effect of Boundary Conditions 145
5.10 Sources of Error in Finite Element Analysis 148
5.11 Discussion 150

6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 151

REFERENCES 154
APPENDICES 165
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR 171
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS 172

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Impact response of materials (Jonas, 1978).	22
2	Dimensions of military shaped projectile (Gu, 2004)	61
3	Properties of fabric used for simulations	64
4	Attributes of the models ran for experimental verification.	69
5	Typical fiber mechanical properties (Brian *et al.*, 1997)	88
6	Properties of TWARON fibers (Pisanova *et al.*, 2001)	92
7	Mechanical properties of TWARON yarn	93
8	Summary of simulations carried out for impact of the spherical bullet	108
9	Summary of simulations for impact of spherical projectile into the target clamped at two edges	115
10	Summary of simulations for impact of Blunt projectile into the target clamped at two edges	120
11	Summary of simulations carried out for impact of hemi-spherical projectile into a fabric target	123
12	Summary of the simulations carried out for impact of conical projectile into the fabric target	126
13	Velocity of the projectile before and after penetration	130
14	Summary of models and results of simulations performed to study effect of friction	133
15	Numerical results for simulations done for studying the projectile nose diameter	141
16	Summary of simulations carried out for different projectile nose angles	143
17	Summary of simulations performed for study the effect of boundary conditions	145

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	A multiscale structural fabric representation (Zohdi *et al.* 2006).	11
2	Some textile forms available for high performance composite structures (Brian *et al.* 1997).	12
3	Linear, Planar, and Three-Dimensional Fibrous Structures (Ko, 1989).	13
4	Common Weave Patterns: (a) Box or Plain Weave, (b) Basket Weave	14
5	Pattern of a 2D weave and its unit cell.	15
6	Some buckled and mushroomed long rods after low-velocity impact (Johnson et al. 1981).	20
7	Fracture Mechanisms	23
8	SEM scan of a fibrillated fiber from a specimen impacted by an ogival-head projectile (Tan et al 2003).	26
9	Failure of fibres by friction (Tan et al. 2003).	27
10	Modeling of an impact event steps	29
11	Lagrangian and Eulerian formulation.	33
12	Stress-strain data for Hopkinson bar tests at various temperatures.	36
13	Fracture strains as functions of strain rate, temperature and the pressure-stress ratio.	38
14	A bulletproof vest, designed for easy concealment under normal clothing (www.bob-oracle.com, 2005)	41
15	Projectile ‘‘wedging-through’’ the fabric (Tan *et al.*, 2005)	45
16	Energy absorbed (J) versus impact velocity (m/s) for fully clamped fabric targets (Tan *et al.*, 2005)	46
17	Final numercial displacement profile compared to experimentation for impact velocity of 206 m/s (Lim *et al.*, 2003).	47
18	Simulation of the five-layered fabric target perforated by the projectile (local magnification) at different time intervals (Gu, 2004)	49
19	General description of the methodology adopted in the study	55

20	Flow of making the general finite element model	57
21	Parametric study of penetration into fabric armor	60
22	View of geometry of the two projectiles (Gu, 2004).	61
23	Different view of conically cylindrical military projectile	62
24	Meshed views of spherical projectile	62
25	Different views of flat projectile	62
26	Different views of hemi-spherical projectile	63
27	Different views of conical projectile	63
28	Six and eight node solid elements	68
29	Front view of the conical projectiles with different nose angles.	70
30	Views of the hemi-spherical projectiles with different nose radius.	71
31	Nose height as a function of nose radius for hemi-spherical projectile.	71
32	Sinusoidal representation of a yarn profile	75
33	Yarn cross section shapes created from sinusoidal or elliptical equations	76
34	Six and eight node solid elements in LS-DYNA.	77
35	The flowchart of DYNTEX program.	77
36	The Graphical user interface of DYNTEX program.	78
37	Cross section of TWARON plain woven fabric (Gu, 2004).	79
38	Different views of TWARON fabric mesh build by DYNTEX program.	79
39	View of LS-PREPOST program	81
40	Image of one sample key file as input for LS-DYNA solver	82
41	Straight lines made from key points in ABAQUS program	83
42	Cross section of the conical projectile meshed with shell elements.	85
43	ISO view of one quarter of conical projectile meshed with solid elements.	86

44 Tensile curves of TWARON filament yarn at different strain rates (Gu, 2004). 90

45 High speed photographs of impact of a sphere projectile into fabric 95

46 Finite Element model of blunt projectile and Twaron CT716 fabric. 96

47 Finite Element model of conical projectile and Twaron CT716 fabric. 96

48 Finite Element model of hemi-spherical projectile and Twaron CT716 fabric. 96

49 Finite Element model of spherical projectile and Twaron CT716 fabric. 96

50 Finite Element model of military projectile and Twaron CT750 fabric (one layer). 97

51 Finite Element model of military projectile and Twaron CT750 fabric (five layers). 97

52 Deformation of the fabric in different time steps. 103

53 Resultant velocity (m/s) versus time (micro seconds). 104

54 von-Mises stress at Element number 110328. 104

55 Velocity as a function of time for different mesh density. 105

56 Residual velocity versus number of elements 106

57 Sequence of penetration into 40mm by 40mm fabric by spherical projectile for mesh density=20. 107

58 Projectile residual velocity as a function of impact velocity for the target clamped at four edges. 109

59 Variation of energy absorption with the initial velocity of the projectile. 110

60 Sequence of fabric deformation and damage for impact velocity of 221m/s 111

61 Sequence of fabric deformation and damage for impact velocity of 420m/s. 112

62 Residual Velocity versus the Impact velocity for targets clamped at two edges. 116

63 Energy absorption as a function of initial velocity for targets clamped at two edges 116

64 Deformation for v=348 target at two clamped edges 118

65 Deformation of fabric for velocity=506m/s for target at two clamped edges 119

66 Residual velocity as a function of initial velocity for blunt projectile 121

67 Plot of energy absorption versus initial velocity for blunt projectile 121

68 Deformation of Blunt projectile initial velocity=300 m/s. 122

69 Residual velocity versus initial velocity for hemi-spherical projectile and fabric clamped at two edges 124

70 Fabric energy absorption as a function of initial velocity for hemi-spherical projectile and fabric clamped at two edges 124

71 Sequence of deformation of fabric impacted by hemi-spherical projectile at the velocity of 386m/s. 125

72 Residual velocity as a function of initial velocity for conical projectile 128

73 Energy absorption as a function of initial velocity for conical projectile 128

74 Sequence of fabric deformation for conical projectile impacted at 270m/s. 129

75 Sequence of penetration of military projectile into five layers of TWARON CT750 fabric. 130

76 Total kinetic energy loss and normalize energy as a function of time for different velocities (a, b) 150m/s (c, d) 300m/s (e, f) 450 m/s 134

77 Total kinetic energy and normalize energy as a function of time for different velocities (a, b) 150m/s (c, d) 300m/s (e, f) 450 m/s 137

78 Total kinetic energy and normalize energy as a function of time for different velocities (a, b) 150m/s (c, d) 300m/s (e, f) 450 m/s 139

79 Energy absorption as function of nose radius for hemi-spherical projectile 142

80 Energy absorbed as function of nose angle 144

81 Energy absorption and number of elements failed as a function of initial velocity for hemi-spherical projectile 146

82 Energy absorption and number of elements failed as a function of initial velocity for Blunt projectile 147

83 Energy absorption and number of elements failed as a function of initial velocity for conical projectile 148

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EA	Energy absorption
FE	Finite Element
A	Yarn cross sectional area
d_f	Filament diameter
n	Yarn filament count
p_d	Yarn packing density
a	Yarn spacing
H_a	Cell height
V_f	Overall fiber volume fraction
w_a	Arial weight of dry fabric
t	Yarn thickness
L_a	Yarn shape parameter
θ_c	Crimp angle
ρ	Density
v_i	Velocity
σ_{ij}	Stress tensor
p	Pressure
f_i	External body force
Δt	Time step
X_a	Cartesian coordinate system
t	time
V_i	Initial velocity

V_r	Residual velocity
C_0, C_1	Viscosity coefficients
v_e	Element volume
c	Sound speed
E	Young's modulus
G	Shear modulus
υ	Poisson's ration
f	Coefficient of friction
ΔE_{pk}	Projectile kinetic energy
ΔE_{ys}	Yarn strain energy
ΔE_f	Friction Energy

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Human being has always quested for new materials to improve functional performance. Performance may be specified by various criteria including less weight, more strength and lower cost. One area of that question was protection against several threats in combat and other dangerous situations. The first protective clothing and shields were made from animal skins. Consequently as civilizations became more advanced, wooden shields and then metal shields came into use. Eventually, metal was also used as body armor, what we now refer to as the suit of armor associated with the knights of the Middle Ages. However, with the invention of firearms around 1500, metal body armor became ineffective. Then only real protection available against firearms was stone walls or natural barriers such as rocks, trees, and ditches.

For hundreds of years, metal constructions have been used for body armor as well as for the protection of objects such as vehicles (hard protection). It was only a few decades ago, at the end of WW II, that the softer constructions, so called "ballistic nylon vests" appeared; and finally in series of researches for better material, Aramid fibers were developed.

Historically the first type of Aramid fibers was KEVLAR made by Du Pont in 1960's. KEVLAR itself is a long chain like molecule known as a polymer, which consists of repeating units called monomers. KEVLAR fiber is an array of molecules oriented parallel to each other like a package of uncooked spaghetti. The crystallinity of KEVLAR polymer strands, contributes significantly to KEVLAR unique strength and flexibility (Clements, 1998).

Because of its high strength and at the same time light weight and flexibility it first used in building high speed tires. Shortly after that KEVLAR became the technology of the choice for bullet resistant vests. Soft body armors made from KEVLAR which offered light weight, mobility and concealment for police forces.

After innovation of KEVLAR several types of Aramid fibers were made like KEVLAR 29, KEVLAR 49, TWARON, SPECTRA and DYNEEMA. The basic ideas of building such fibers are almost the same; however their mechanical properties vary.

1.1 Composite Materials, Textiles and Bullet Proof Vests

Composites can be defined as a combination of materials, in a system, composed of mixture of two or more macro constituents that differ in form or material composition and are essentially insoluble in each other.

In the beginning, composite materials were introduced because of their high specific strength and stiffness compared to conventional engineering materials. As their unique advantages are being widely appreciated, many different types of composites, having different types of matrix and reinforcements combination, have been developed. The fact is that even during this stage of the technology explosion, the unique advantages of a composite material, i.e. the ability to tailor their properties to the structural or material system of which they are intended, has not been fully explored (Jones, 1998).

Aramid fibers are now being used widely in design and manufacturing of composite materials. These composites are then used to build bullet proof vests. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office lists records dating back to 1919 for various designs of bullet proof vests and body armor type garments. One of the first documented instances where such a garment was demonstrated for use by law enforcement officers was detailed in the April 2, 1931 edition of the Washington, D.C., Evening Star, where a bullet proof vest was demonstrated to members of the Metropolitan Police Department (US Patents, 2006).

In *hard body armors* which are mostly a hard plate made of several layers of different materials, the Aramid fibers are mixed with epoxy resin. The composite material is then placed on the back of a ceramic plate to absorb the energy and stop the bullet. This type of bullet proof vest can stop a bullet traveling at 800m/s.

However in *soft armors* no such protection is needed because the kinetic energy of the projectile is much lower in situations were soft armors are used. The types of threats which soft body armors are exposed are bullets shot by hand guns and pistols traveling utmost 400m/s. Therefore in soft armors the main factors are light weight, mobility and concealment. As a result high strength fibers *can not* mixed with epoxy to form a hard plate.