

## UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

## PROCESS PLANNING OPTIMIZATION IN RECONFIGURABLE MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS

## **FARAYI MUSHARAVATI**

FS 2008 43



## PROCESS PLANNING OPTIMIZATION IN RECONFIGURABLE MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS

By

FARAYI MUSHARAVATI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, University Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

May 2008



#### **DEDICATION**

То

### **All My Friends**

There is a time for all things: a time for shouting, a time for gentle speaking, a time for silence, a time for washing pots and a time for writing journal papers and books. It is hard to make a **BEGINNING**, and will become harder, but **IT MUST BE DONE**. So be vigilant and vigorous for that will cover a "*multitude of sins*". And do not frown. And remember: "work banishes those three great evils: *boredom, vice* and *poverty*"



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

### PROCESS PLANNING OPTIMIZATION IN RECONFIGURABLE MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS

By

#### FARAYI MUSHARAVATI

#### **May 2008**

#### Chairman: Associate Professor Napsiah Ismail, PhD

#### **Faculty: Engineering**

Trends and perspectives in dynamic environments point towards a need for optimal operating levels in reconfigurable manufacturing activities. Central to the goal of meeting this need is the issue of appropriate techniques for manufacturing process planning optimization in reconfigurable manufacturing, i.e. (i) what decision making models and (ii) what computational techniques, provide an optimal manufacturing process planning solution in a multidimensional decision variables space? Conventional optimization techniques are not robust, hence; they are not suitable for handling multidimensional search spaces. On the other hand, process planning optimization for reconfigurable manufacturing is not amenable to classical modeling approaches due to the presence of complex system dynamics. Therefore, this study explores how to model reconfigurable manufacturing activities in an optimization techniques for reconfigurable manufacturing activities in an optimization techniques for reconfigurable process planning.



In this study, a new approach to modeling Manufacturing Process Planning Optimization (MPPO) was developed by extending the concept of manufacturing optimization through a decoupled optimization method. The uniqueness of this approach lies in embedding an integrated scheduling function into a partially integrated process planning function in order to exploit the strategic potentials of flexibility and reconfigurability in manufacturing systems. Alternative MPPO models were constructed and variances associated with their utilization analyzed. Five (5) Alternative Algorithm Design Techniques (AADTs) were developed and investigated for suitability in providing process planning solutions suitable for reconfigurable manufacturing. The five (5) AADTs include; a variant of the simulated annealing algorithm that implements heuristic knowledge at critical decision points, two (2) cooperative search schemes based on a "loose hybridization" of the Boltzmann Machine algorithm with (i) simulated annealing, and (ii) genetic algorithm search techniques, and two (2) modified genetic algorithms.

The comparative performances of the developed AADTs when tasked to solve an instance of a MPPO problem were analyzed and evaluated. In particular, the relative performances of the novel variant of simulated annealing in comparison to: (a) (i) a simulated annealing search, and (ii) a genetic search in the Boltzmann Machine Architecture, and (b) (i) a modified genetic algorithm and (ii) a genetic algorithm with a customized threshold operator that implements an innovative extension of the diversity control mechanism to gene and genome levels; were pursued in this thesis.



Results show that all five (5) AADTs are capable of stable and asymptotic convergence to near optimal solutions in real time. Analysis indicates that the performances of the implemented variant of simulated annealing are comparable to those of other optimization techniques developed in this thesis. However, a computational study shows that; in comparison to the simulated annealing technique, significant improvements in optimization control performance and quality of computed solutions can be realized through implementing intelligent techniques. As evidenced by the relative performances of the implemented cooperative schemes, a genetic search is better than a simulated annealing search in the Boltzmann Machine Architecture. In addition, little performance gain can be realized through parallelism in the Boltzmann Machine Architecture. On the other hand, the superior performance of the genetic algorithm that implements an extended diversity control mechanism demonstrates that more competent genetic algorithms can be designed through customized operators.

Therefore, this study has revealed that extending manufacturing optimization concepts through a decoupled optimization method is an effective modeling approach that is capable of handling complex decision scenarios in reconfigurable manufacturing activities. The approach provides a powerful decision framework for process planning optimization activities of a multidimensional nature. Such an approach can be implemented more efficiently through intelligent techniques. Hence; intelligent techniques can be utilized in manufacturing process planning optimization strategies that aim to improve operating levels in reconfigurable manufacturing with the resultant benefits of improved performance levels.



Abstrak tesis dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

#### PENGOPTIMUMAN PERANCANGAN PROSES DALAM SISTEM PEMBUATAN YANG DAPAT DIBENTUK KEMBALI

Oleh

#### FARAYI MUSHARAVATI

May 2008

#### Pengerusi: Associate Professor Napsiah Ismail, PhD

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Cenderung dan perspektif dalam persekitaran dinamik pada masa kini menghala kepada keperluan untuk mengoptimuman tahap proses aktiviti pembuatan yang dapat dibentuk kembali. Tujuan utama untuk memenuhi keperluan ini adalah merupakan teknik yang sesuai untuk pengoptimuman perancangan proses pembuatan, contohnya; (i) apa model pembuatan keputusan yang mana dan (ii) apa computational teknik, memberikan perencanaan proses pembuatan yang optimal pemecahan di tempat variabel keputusan multidimensi? Sambil pengalaman didapati teknik pengoptimuman lazim adalah tidak tepat dan, oleh karena itu, tidak cocok untuk penanganan tepat pencarian multidimensi, perencanaan proses optimization tidak setuju sampai pendekatan memperagakan yang klasik karena tenaga gerak sistem kompleks di pembuatan yang dapat dibentuk kembali. Oleh karena itu, kajian ini meneroka bagaimana untuk memodel semula aktiviti pembuatan yang dapat dibentuk kembali dalam perspektif pengoptimuman dan bagaimana untuk membina dan memilih teknik teknik cerdik untuk proses perencanaan yang dapat dibentuk kembali.



Didalam tesis ini, satu pendekatan baru untuk modeling pengoptimuman perancanagan proses pembuatan (MPPO) telah direka dengan menambaikan konsep pengoptimuman pembuatan lewat memisahkan optimization metode. Keunikan pendekatan ini terdapat pada mematri fungsi menjadwalkan yang diintegrasikan ke dalam perencanaan proses yang diintegrasikan sebahagian fungsi untuk mengeksploitasi potensi strategis fleksibel dan reconfigurability dalam memproduksi sistem. Pelbagai model MPPO telah dibina dan variasi berkaiatan dengan penggunaan dianalisa. Lima (5) pilihan algoritma teknik rekabentuk (AADTs) mengandungi; algoritma Simulated Annealing yang berbeza itu melaksanakan pengetahuan heuristik di ujung keputusan kritis, dua (2) rancangan siasat pencarian koperatif berdasarkan kepada longgar hybridization yang Boltzmann Machine algoritma dengan teknik pencarian algoritma simulated annealing dan genetik dan dua (2) algoritma genetik yang diubahsuai, telah dibangunkan dan diselidik untuk kesesuaian didalam memberikan perencanaan proses pemecahan.

Pertunjukan perbandingan untuk AADTs telah berkembang bila menugaskan untuk memecahkan kejadian masalah MPPO ialah menganalisa dan menilai. Di khusus, pertunjukan relatif variasi baru membuat Simulated Annealing menguatkan, di perbandingan ke: (a) (i) pencarian genetik dan (ii) pencarian simulated annealing, di Boltzmann Machine arsitektur, dan (b) (i) algoritma genetik yang terubah dan (ii) algoritma genetik yang terubah dengan operator yang dibuat menurut pesanan itu melaksanakan perpanjangan inovatif mekanisme kontrol keanekaragaman sampai tingkat gen dan genom; dikejar di tesis ini.



Hasil menunjukkan kelima itu (5) AADTs cakap cakap stabil dan asymptotic persamaan untuk mendekati pemecahan optimal di waktu nyata. Hasil percobaan menunjukkan bahwa pertunjukan variasi yang dilaksanakan membuat Simulated Annealing hampir sama kepada yang dipunyai lain optimization teknik berkembang di tesis ini. Tetapi, computational kajian pameran bahwa; di perbandingan sampai teknik simulated annealing, perbaikan berarti di optimization perbuatan kontrol dan kualitas pemecahan yang diperhitungkan bisa disadari lewat melaksanakan teknik cerdik. Sebagai evidenced oleh pertunjukan relatif rancangan siasat koperatif, pencarian genetik diteukan untuk menjadi lebih baik daripada pencarian simulated annealing di Boltzmann Machine arsitektur. Lagi, dilihat bahwa sedikit perbuatan memperoleh tentang teknik simulated annealing bisa disadari lewat parallelism di Boltzmann Machine arsitektur. Di tangan yang lain, pertunjukan superior algoritme genetik yang melaksanakan mekanisme kontrol keanekaragaman diperpanjang mempertunjukkan bahwa algoritme genetik yang lebih cakap bisa didesain lewat operator yang dibuat menurut pesanan.

Kajian ini sudah mengungkapkan pembuatan memperpanjang itu optimization konsep lewat memisahkan optimization metode adalah pendekatan memperagakan yang efektif yang cakap mengurus aktivitas pembuatan yang dapat dibentuk kembali yang kompleks. Pendekatan seperti itu menyediakan kerangka keputusan sangat kuat untuk pebuatan perencanaan proses aktiviti sifat multidimensi. Oleh karena itu, teknik cerdas bisa digunakan dalam memproduksi perencanaan proses optimization strategi tujuan itu untuk memperbaiki menjalankan tingkat di pembuatan dapat dibentuk kembali dengan keuntungan diakibatkan tingkat pertunjukan yang diperbaiki.



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research that is presented in this thesis has benefited greatly from numerous discussions with my supervisory committee. I would like to thank Dr. Napsiah, Professor Hamouda and Dr. Rahman for offering their much appreciated comments and insights.

I also would like to thank FESTO Malaysia for allowing me to use their modular production system as the experimental manufacturing system testbed for both analysis and experimental investigations. Their generous support is greatly appreciated.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my beloved friends: Cher, Chiong and Aloysius for their support. Without you friends, I would not have made it this far.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on the 23 May 2008 to conduct the final examination of Farayi Musharavati on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Process Planning Optimization in Reconfigurable Manufacturing Systems" in accordance with the Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

#### Megat Mohamad Hamdan Megat Ahmad, Ph.D.

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering University Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### Yusof Ismail, Ir. Md, Ph.D.

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering University Putra Malaysia (Examiner)

#### Tang Sai Hong, Ph.D.

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering University Putra Malaysia (Examiner)

#### Waguih ElMaraghy, Ph.D.

Professor Faculty of Engineering University of Windsor, Canada (External examiner)

#### HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 22 July 2008



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

#### Napsiah BT Ismail, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering University Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### Abdel Magid Salem Hamouda, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Qatar University, Doha Qatar (Member)

#### Abdul Rahman B Ramli, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering University Putra Malaysia (Member)

#### **AINI IDERIS, PhD**

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 14 August 2008



#### DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been dully acknowledge. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

Farayi Musharavati

Date: 10 June 2008



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| DEDICATION            | ii   |
|-----------------------|------|
| ABSTRACT              | iii  |
| ABSTRAK               | vi   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS      | ix   |
| APPROVAL              | Х    |
| DECLARATION           | xii  |
| LIST OF TABLES        | xvii |
| LIST OF FIGURES       | xix  |
| LIST OF APPENDICES    | xxi  |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xxii |

#### CHAPTER

| 1 | INT | RODUCTION   | 1  |
|---|-----|---|----|
|   | 1.1 | Background  | 1  |
|   |     | 1.1.1 Operating Levels in Dynamic Environments    | 3  |
|   |     | 1.1.2 Need for an Optimization Perspective        | 4  |
|   | 1.2 | Manufacturing Optimization                        | 6  |
|   | 1.3 | Manufacturing Process Planning Activities in RMSs | 10 |
|   | 1.4 | Research Problem                                  | 17 |
|   | 1.5 | Aims and Objectives                               | 24 |
|   | 1.6 | Scope of Study                                    | 26 |
|   | 1.6 | Road Map  | 28 |

#### 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

| LIT | ERATURE REVIEW  | 30 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.1 | Background Theory   | 30 |
| 2.2 | Challenges for Reconfigurable Manufacturing               | 38 |
| 2.3 | Manufacturing Process Planning                            | 40 |
|     | 2.3.1 Manufacturing Process Planning Tasks                | 43 |
|     | 2.3.2 Manufacturing Process Planning and related Issues   |    |
|     | in RMSs   | 46 |
|     | 2.3.3 Approaches to Manufacturing Process Planning Issues | 50 |
|     | 2.3.4 Algorithms for Integrated Process Planning and      |    |
|     | Production Scheduling                                     | 57 |
| 2.4 | Manufacturing Optimization                                | 61 |
| 2.5 | Algorithm Design Techniques and Concepts                  | 63 |
| 2.6 | Simulated Annealing Based Techniques                      | 65 |
| 2.7 | Genetic Algorithm Based Techniques                        | 68 |
| 2.8 | Neural Network Based Techniques                           | 72 |
| 2.9 | Summary of Literature Review                              | 76 |



#### 3 HEURISTIC ALGORITHM DESIGN TECHNIQUES FOR MANUFACTURING PROCESS PLANNING OPTIMIZATION 86

| 3.1 | Introduction   | 87  |
|-----|--|-----|
| 3.2 | Manufacturing Optimization Theory                          | 89  |
|     | 3.2.1 Basic Optimization Concepts                          | 89  |
|     | 3.2.2 Operational Strategy in Reconfigurable Manufacturing | 91  |
|     | 3.2.3 Manufacturing Optimization Modeling Issues           | 93  |
|     | 3.2.4 Decoupled Manufacturing Optimization Methodology     | 94  |
|     | 3.2.5 Developing a Manufacturing Optimization System       | 97  |
| 3.3 | Optimization Solution Techniques for Manufacturing         |     |
|     | Applications   | 100 |
|     | 3.3.1 Simulated Annealing Optimization Techniques          | 102 |
|     | 3.3.2 Genetic Algorithm Optimization Techniques            | 111 |
|     | 3.3.3 Boltzmann Machine Optimization Techniques            | 121 |
| 3.4 | Adjustment of Free Algorithm Parameters                    | 124 |
|     | 3.4.1 Experiments with Simulated Annealing Parameters      | 124 |
|     | 3.4.2 Experiments with Genetic Algorithm Parameters        | 127 |
|     | 3.4.3 Results of Experiments with Simulated Annealing      | 128 |
|     | 3.4.4 Results of Experiments with Genetic Algorithms       | 133 |
| 3.5 | Conclusion   | 139 |

#### 4 MODELING MANUFACTURING PROCESS PLANNING IN AN OPTIMIZATION PERSPECTIVE

4.1 Introduction 143 4.2 Background 145 4.2.1 Product Analysis 149 4.2.2 Processing Configuration Analysis 150 4.2.3 Operating Scenario Analysis 154 4.3 Modeling Assumptions and Conditions 155 4.4 Manufacturing Process Planning Optimization Model 156 4.4.1 Model Inputs 156 4.4.1 Representing Product Information 157 4.4.2 Representing Manufacturing System Information 158 4.4.4 Model Outputs 158 4.5 Formulating the Objective Function 159 4.5.1 Combined Objective Function 162 4.5.2 Total Processing Costs Function 163 4.5.3 Throughput Function 166 4.6 Verification of Model Functions 167 4.6.1 Total Processing Costs 168 4.6.2 Line Throughput 169 4.7 **Optimization Model Overview** 170



142

| 4.8  | Metho | ods and Planned Experiments                          | 172 |
|------|-------|--|-----|
|      | 4.8.1 | Variance Analysis                                    | 172 |
|      | 4.8.2 | Suitability of Different Evaluation Criteria         | 173 |
| 4.9  | Resul | ts and Discussions                                   | 173 |
|      | 4.9.1 | Results and Discussion of Group 1 Optimization Model |     |
|      |       | Experiments  | 175 |
|      | 4.9.2 | Results and Discussion of Group 2 Optimization Model |     |
|      |       | Experiments  | 176 |
|      | 4.9.3 | Results and Discussion of Group 3 Optimization Model |     |
|      |       | Experiments  | 177 |
|      | 4.9.4 | Results and Discussion of Group 4 Optimization Model |     |
|      |       | Experiments  | 178 |
|      | 4.9.5 | Results and Discussion of Suitability Tests on       |     |
|      |       | Alternative Criteria                                 | 179 |
| 4.10 | Concl | usion  | 185 |
|      |       |  |     |

## 5 INVESTIGATING THE SUITABILITY OF INTELLIGENT TECHNIQUES IN RMSs 189

| Introduction                                      | 190   |
|---|---|
| Methods and Planned Experiments                   | 195   |
| 5.2.1 Uniformity of Implementation                | 198   |
| 5.2.2 Test Data                                   | 198   |
| 5.2.3 Optimization Control Characteristics        | 202   |
| 5.2.4 Measurement of Algorithm Performance        | 203   |
| Experiments with Alternative Algorithms           | 204   |
| 5.3.1 Control Group Time Series Experiments       | 204   |
| 5.3.2 Metrics for Evaluation                      | 206   |
| Applications of the Simulated Annealing Algorithm | 207   |
| Applications of Genetic Algorithms                | 211   |
| 5.5.1 Genetic Algorithm Parameters                | 212   |
| 5.5.2 Search Results                              | 213   |
| Applications of the Boltzmann Machine Schemes     | 217   |
| 5.6.1 Algorithm Parameters                        | 218   |
| 5.6.2 Search results                              | 218   |
| Performance Comparison and Analysis of AADTs      | 222   |
| Qualitative Comparison and Analysis of AADTs      | 225   |
| 5.8.1 Algorithm Designs                           | 225   |
| 5.8.2 Algorithm Parameters                        | 226   |
| 5.8.3 Computational Capabilities                  | 226   |
| 5.8.4 Intelligent Capabilities                    | 228   |
| Conclusion  | 231   |
|   | Methods and Planned Experiments<br>5.2.1 Uniformity of Implementation<br>5.2.2 Test Data<br>5.2.3 Optimization Control Characteristics<br>5.2.4 Measurement of Algorithm Performance<br>Experiments with Alternative Algorithms<br>5.3.1 Control Group Time Series Experiments<br>5.3.2 Metrics for Evaluation<br>Applications of the Simulated Annealing Algorithm<br>Applications of Genetic Algorithms<br>5.5.1 Genetic Algorithm Parameters<br>5.5.2 Search Results<br>Applications of the Boltzmann Machine Schemes<br>5.6.1 Algorithm Parameters<br>5.6.2 Search results<br>Performance Comparison and Analysis of AADTs<br>Qualitative Comparison and Analysis of AADTs<br>5.8.1 Algorithm Designs<br>5.8.2 Algorithm Parameters<br>5.8.3 Computational Capabilities<br>5.8.4 Intelligent Capabilities |



| REC | ONFIGURABLE MANUFACTURING SYSTSEMS                            | 230 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 6.1 | Introduction  | 23' |
|     | 6.1.1 Changing Production Objectives                          | 242 |
|     | 6.1.2 Multicriteria Performance Analysis                      | 24  |
| 6.2 | RMS Performance Measures                                      | 24  |
| 6.3 | Methods and Planned Experiments                               | 24  |
|     | 6.3.1 Cumulative Measure of Performance                       | 25  |
|     | 6.3.2 Simulation Experiments                                  | 25  |
|     | 6.3.3 Multicriteria Analysis                                  | 25  |
| 6.4 | Results of Multicriteria Performance Analysis                 | 25  |
|     | 6.4.1 Alternative Solution Profiles obtained from SA          | 25  |
|     | 6.4.2 Alternative Solution Profiles obtained from GATO        | 25  |
|     | 6.4.3 Alternative Solution Profiles obtained from GAWTO       | 25  |
|     | 6.4.4 Alternative Solution Profiles obtained from BMGAS       | 25  |
|     | 6.4.5 Alternative Solution Profiles obtained from BMSAS       | 25  |
|     | 6.4.6 Performance of the Best Configuration Profiles          | 25  |
| 6.5 | Adaptability Performance Analysis                             | 26  |
|     | 6.5.1 Simulated Annealing Technique                           | 26  |
|     | 6.5.2 Genetic Algorithm Techniques                            | 26  |
|     | 6.5.3 Boltzmann Machine Schemes                               | 26  |
| 6.6 | Effects of Intelligent Based Solution Profiles on Performance | 26  |
| 6.7 | Overall Manufacturing System Performance Analysis             | 26  |
| 6.8 | Conclusion  | 26  |

ASSESSING THE EFFECTS OF OPTIMAL SOLUTION

# 7 CONCLUSIONS

| 7.1 | Summary  | 274 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 7.2 | Major Findings   | 279 |
|     | 7.2.1 MPP Optimization Model                           | 280 |
|     | 7.2.2 Improvements in Optimization Control Performance | 282 |
|     | 7.2.3 Improvements in Operating Levels                 | 286 |
| 7.3 | Conclusions Drawn from Findings                        | 290 |
| 7.4 | Research Contributions and Implications                | 295 |
| 7.5 | Research Limitations                                   | 304 |
| 7.6 | Recommendations  | 305 |
| 7.7 | Further Work   | 306 |

| REFERENCES                | 309 |
|---------------------------|-----|
| APPENDICES                | 323 |
| <b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b> | 346 |
| LIST OF PUBLICATIONS      | 347 |



## LIST OF TABLES

| Table |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 2.1   | Summary of three types of manufacturing systems   | 34   |
| 3.1   | Mapping permutation states for part i through a partitioned double integer string representation                      | 115  |
| 3.2   | Design of experiments models for investigating parameters for<br>the simulated annealing algorithm                    | 125  |
| 3.3   | simulated annealing algorithm under different stopping criteria   | 129  |
| 3.4   | Computational results of the genetic algorithm runs for different population sizes                                    | 134  |
| 3.5   | Computational results of the genetic algorithm runs for different mutation rates                                      | 136  |
| 3.6   | Computational results of the genetic algorithm runs for different<br>Crossover rates at mutation rates of 0.6 and 0.7 | 137  |
| 3.7   | Computational results of the genetic algorithm runs for different diversity rates and different control parameters    | 138  |
| 4.1   | Computational results for eight (8) alternative models in group 1 based on genetic algorithm runs                     | 176  |
| 4.2   | Computational results for eight alternative models in group 2 based on genetic algorithm runs                         | 176  |
| 4.3   | Computational results for eight alternative models in group 3 based on genetic algorithm runs                         | 178  |
| 4.4   | Computational results for eight alternative models in group 4 based on genetic algorithm runs                         | 178  |
| 4.5   | Summary of cost function values obtained from different algorithms runs based on eight different evaluation criteria  | 183  |
| 4.6   | Relative performance comparison of alternative optimization evaluation criteria                                       | 185  |
| 5.1   | Optimal manufacturing process plan profiles obtained from simulated annealing algorithm                               | 210  |
| 5.2   | Comparison of the results of fifty (50) runs of the two genetic algorithms  | 214  |
| 5.3   | Optimal manufacturing process plan profiles obtained from   | 211  |



|      | genetic algorithm without a threshold operator (GAWTO)  | 216 |
|------|---|-----|
| 5.4  | Optimal manufacturing process plan profiles obtained from genetic algorithm with a threshold operator (GATO)  | 216 |
| 5.5  | Comparison of the results of fifty (50) runs of the Boltzmann<br>Machine Schemes  | 219 |
| 5.6  | Two sample student t-test for BMSAS times vs. BMGAS times   | 220 |
| 5.7  | Optimal manufacturing process plan profiles obtained from<br>Boltzmann machine scheme that implements a simulated<br>annealing search technique (BMSAS) | 221 |
| 5.8  | Optimal manufacturing process plan profiles obtained from<br>Boltzmann machine scheme that implements a genetic search<br>technique (BMGAS)             | 222 |
| 5.9  | Comparison of alternative algorithm design techniques for solving the manufacturing process planning optimization mode                                  | 223 |
| 5.10 | Effectiveness of intelligent based optimization techniques in solving the manufacturing process planning optimization model                             | 225 |
| 5.11 | Summary of results obtained by running alternative algorithms   | 234 |
| 6.1  | Overall manufacturing performance indices for five (5) configurations recommended by the simulated annealing  | 254 |
| 6.2  | Overall manufacturing performance indices for five (5) configurations recommended by the GATO technique   | 255 |
| 6.3  | Overall manufacturing performance indices for five (5) configurations recommended by the GAWTO technique  | 256 |
| 6.4  | Overall manufacturing performance indices for five (5) configurations recommended by the BMGAS scheme   | 257 |
| 6.5  | Overall manufacturing performance indices for five (5) configurations recommended by the BMSAS technique  | 257 |
| 6.6  | Performance comparison of the best configurations from each of<br>the alternative algorithm design techniques   | 258 |
| 6.7  | Relative margins of adaptability of recommended optimal profiles based on average tardiness evaluations   | 267 |
| 6.8  | Manufacturing system performance measures for alternative part load scheduling profiles   | 269 |



| 7.1 | Mean optimum solutions computed by alternative algorithm Design techniques                                  | 284 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 7.2 | Mean computation times required for alternative algorithm<br>Design techniques to find optimal solutions    | 285 |
| 7.3 | Relative effectiveness indices for intelligent techniques implemented in this work                          | 285 |
| 7.4 | Average performance indices for alternative manufacturing configurations                                    | 287 |
| 7.5 | Average flow times and work in process for profiles recommended by alternative algorithms design techniques | 289 |



### LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure |  | Page       |
|--------|--|------------|
| 3.1    | Decoupled manufacturing optimization methodology for<br>complex manufacturing activities                                   | 96         |
| 3.2    | Control theoretic framework for generating manufacturing process planning solutions  | 99         |
| 3.3    | General approach in the development of alternative heuristic algorithm design techniques                                   | 101        |
| 3.4    | Chromosome representation of manufacturing process plans   | 114        |
| 3.5    | Simulation performance curves for simulated annealing algorithm based on four (4) different stopping criteria              | 128        |
| 3.6    | Interaction between $T_F$ and $T_0$ and their effects on the mean optimality gap   | 131        |
| 3.7    | Main effects of temperature difference on response variables   | 131        |
| 3.8    | Interaction between the number of rejected changes and the<br>number of iterations and their effects on response variables | 132        |
| 4.1    | Reconfigurable multiple parts flow line model  | 145        |
| 5.1    | The concept of replanning in generating manufacturing process plans  | 145<br>193 |
| 5.2    | Schematic representation of a semi-automated manufacturing system for the case study                                       | 199        |
| 5.3    | Flow chart for the implemented simulated annealing algorithm   | 207        |
| 5.4    | Screen shot showing parameters used in running the simulated annealing algorithm   | 208        |
| 5.5    | Simulation mean performance curve for a variant of the simulated annealing algorithm                                       | 209        |
| 5.6    | Screen shot showing the parameters used in running the genetic algorithms  | 212        |
| 5.7    | Simulation mean performance curves for the modified genetic algorithms   | 213        |
| 5.8    | Cooperative search schemes based on Boltzmann Machine algorithm  | 218        |



| 5.9 | Simulation mean performance curves for the Boltzmann machine schemes  | 218 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 6.1 | Concept of cumulative performance measures  | 250 |
| 6.2 | Average tardiness values for adaptability analysis of the solution<br>profiles obtained from the simulated annealing technique  | 262 |
| 6.3 | Average tardiness values for adaptability analysis of the solution<br>profiles obtained from the genetic algorithm with a threshold<br>operator (GATO)                              | 264 |
| 6.4 | Average tardiness values for adaptability analysis of the solution<br>profiles obtained from the genetic algorithm without a threshold<br>operator (GAWTO)                          | 264 |
| 6.5 | Average tardiness values for adaptability analysis of the solution<br>profiles obtained from the Boltzmann scheme that implements a<br>simulated annealing search technique (BMSAS) | 265 |
| 6.6 | Average tardiness values for adaptability analysis of the solution<br>profiles obtained from the Boltzmann scheme that implements a<br>genetic algorithm search technique (BMGAS)   | 266 |



### LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix |  | Page       |
|----------|--|------------|
| А        | The Context of Manufacturing Process Planning  | 323        |
| B1       | Heuristic I for generating a valid Manufacturing Process Plan  | 324        |
| B2       | Heuristic II for generating a Precursor  | 325        |
| B3       | Heuristic III for generating an Ancestor   | 326        |
| B4       | Heuristic IV for changing manufacturing plan   | 327        |
| B5       | Pseudo code for the basic simulated annealing algorithm  | 328        |
| B6<br>B7 | Pseudo code for the implemented variant of the simulated<br>annealing algorithm<br>Pseudo code for the simple genetic algorithm                    | 329<br>330 |
| B8       | Pseudo code for the implemented modified genetic algorithm   | 331        |
| B9       | Pseudo code for SA search in the Boltzmann Machine   | 332        |
| B10      | Pseudo code for the GA search in the Boltzmann Machine   | 333        |
| C1<br>C2 | Method for generating production scenarios from customer<br>orders<br>Method for generating operating scenarios from manufacturing<br>Requirements | 334<br>335 |
| D1       | Encoding of multiple process planning based on the GA concept of multiple parameter encoding   | 336        |
| D2       | An example notepad output file generated by one of the algorithms implemented in this work   | 337        |
| D3       | Manufacturing process planning solution profiles showing the relationship between PSTs and the corresponding PMs                                   | 339        |
| E1       | Integrated measure of performance Model  | 340        |
| E2       | Implementation of the analytical hierarchical process approach   | 341        |
| F1       | Arena Simulation Model for the Test Case Manufacturing System  | 342        |
| F2       | ARENA simulation model (in SIMAN) for the test case manufacturing systems  | 343        |



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AADT     | Alternative Algorithm Design Technique            |
|----------|---|
| AADTs    | Alternative Algorithm Design Techniques           |
| AHP      | Analytical Hierarchical Process                   |
| BM       | Boltzmann Machine                                 |
| BMGAS    | Boltzmann Machine with Simulated Annealing Search |
| BMSAS    | Boltzmann Machine with Genetic Algorithm Search   |
| CCSs     | Configurable Control Systems                      |
| CLPP     | Closed Loop Process Planning                      |
| CV       | Coefficient of Variation                          |
| DML      | Dedicated Manufacturing Line                      |
| DMLs     | Dedicated Manufacturing Lines                     |
| DPP      | Distributed Process Planning                      |
| FMS      | Flexible Manufacturing System                     |
| FMSs     | Flexible Manufacturing Systems                    |
| GA       | Genetic Algorithm                                 |
| GAs      | Genetic Algorithms                                |
| GATO     | Genetic Algorithm with a Threshold Operator       |
| GAWTO    | Genetic Algorithm Without a Threshold Operator    |
| GT       | Group Technology                                  |
| HC       | Handling Costs                                    |
| HCI      | Handling Costs Index                              |
| IAHP     | Interval Analytical Hierarchical Process          |
| MAE      | Modular Actuator Element                          |
| MAEs     | Modular Actuator Elements                         |
| MCDA     | Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis                  |
| MGA      | Modified Genetic Algorithm                        |
| МО       | Manufacturing Optimization                        |
| MPP      | Manufacturing Process Planning                    |
| MPPO     | Manufacturing Process Planning Optimization       |
| MPPs     | Manufacturing Process plans                       |
| MRP      | Materials Requirements Planning                   |
| MTJs     | Modular Tooling and Jigs                          |
| NLMPP    | Non-Linear Manufacturing Process Planning         |
| NLMPPs   | Non-Linear Manufacturing Process Plans            |
| NP, np   | Number of Parts                                   |
| NPF, npf | Number of Part Families                           |
| OMPI     | Overall Manufacturing Performance Index           |
| OMPIs    | Overall Manufacturing Performance Indices         |
| OPS      | Operating Scenario                                |
| OPSs     | Operating Scenarios                               |
|          |   |



| OPT   | Optimized Production Technology        |
|-------|--|
| PA    | Part Array                             |
| PCA   | Production Cost Array                  |
| PCC   | Process Change Costs                   |
| PCCI  | Process Change Costs Index             |
| PDS   | Production Scenario                    |
| PDSs  | Production Scenarios                   |
| PM    | Process Module                         |
| PMC   | Process Module Change                  |
| PMCI  | Process Module Change Index            |
| PMP   | Processing Machine Primitive           |
| PMPs  | Processing Machine Primitives          |
| PMRVs | Processing Module Required Vectors     |
| PMSC  | Process Module Similarity Coefficient  |
| PMs   | Processing Modules                     |
| PS    | Processing Stage                       |
| PSC   | Part Similarity Coefficient            |
| PST   | Processing Types                       |
| PVA   | Production Volume Array                |
| QAP   | Quadratic Assignment Problem           |
| RCC   | Reconfiguration Change Costs           |
| RCCI  | Reconfiguration Change Costs Index     |
| RMS   | Reconfigurable Manufacturing System    |
| RMSs  | Reconfigurable Manufacturing Systems   |
| RPP   | Reconfigurable Process Planning        |
| RPPs  | Reconfigurable Process Plans           |
| SA    | Simulated Annealing                    |
| SCC   | Set-up Change Costs                    |
| SCCI  | Set-up Change Cost Index               |
| SGA   | Simple Genetic Algorithm               |
| SM    | Synchronous Manufacturing              |
| TAD   | Tool Approach Distance                 |
| ТС    | Tool Costs                             |
| TCC   | Tool Change Costs                      |
| TCCI  | Tool Change Cost Index                 |
| TCI   | Tool Cost Index                        |
| TSP   | Traveling Salesman problem             |
| VCMS  | Virtual Cellular Manufacturing Systems |
| VISM  | Visual Interactive Simulation Modeling |
| WS    | Work Station                           |
| XS    | Change in Production Scenario          |
| XSs   | Change in Production Scenarios         |
|       |  |

