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A bitopological space \((X, \tau_1, \tau_2)\) is a set \(X\) together with two (arbitrary) topologies \(\tau_1\) and \(\tau_2\) defined on \(X\). The first significant investigation into bitopological spaces was launched by J. C. Kelly in 1963. He recognized that by relaxing the symmetry condition on pseudo-metrics, two topologies were induced by the resulting quasi-pseudo-metrics. Furthermore, Kelly extended some of the standard results of separation axioms in a topological space to a bitopological space. Some such extension are pairwise regular, pairwise Hausdorff and pairwise normal spaces. There are several works dedicated to the investigation of bitopologies; most of them deal with the theory itself but very few with applications. In this thesis, we are concerned with the ideas of pairwise Lindelöfness, generalizations of pairwise Lindelöfness and generalizations of pairwise regular-Lindelöfness in bitopological spaces motivated by the known ideas of Lindelöfness, generalized Lindelöfness and generalized regular-Lindelöfness in topological spaces.

There are four kinds of pairwise Lindelöf space namely Lindelöf, \(B\)-Lindelöf, \(s\)-Lindelöf and \(p\)-Lindelöf spaces that depend on open, \(i\)-open, \(\tau_1\tau_2\)-open and \(p\)-open
covers respectively introduced by Reilly in 1973, and Fora and Hdeib in 1983. For instance, a bitopological space $X$ is said to be $p$-Lindelöf if every $p$-open cover of $X$ has a countable subcover. There are three kinds of generalized pairwise Lindelöf space namely pairwise nearly Lindelöf, pairwise almost Lindelöf and pairwise weakly Lindelöf spaces that depend on open covers and pairwise regular open covers. Another idea is to generalize pairwise regular-Lindelöfness to bitopological spaces. This leads to the classes of pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf, pairwise almost regular-Lindelöf and pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf spaces that depend on pairwise regular covers.

Some characterizations of these generalized Lindelöf bitopological spaces are given. The relations among them are studied and some counterexamples are given in order to prove that the generalizations studied are proper generalizations of Lindelöf bitopological spaces. Subspaces and subsets of these spaces are also studied, and some of their characterizations investigated. We show that some subsets of these spaces inherit these generalized pairwise covering properties and some others, do not.

Mappings and generalized pairwise continuity are also studied in relation to these generalized pairwise covering properties and we prove that these properties are bitopological properties. Some decompositions of pairwise continuity are defined and their properties are studied. Several counterexamples are also given to establish the relations among these generalized pairwise continuities. The effect of mappings, some decompositions of pairwise continuity and some generalized pairwise openness mappings on these generalized pairwise covering properties are investigated. We
show that some proper mappings preserve these pairwise covering properties such as: pairwise $\delta$-continuity preserves the pairwise nearly Lindelöf property; pairwise $\theta$-continuity preserves the pairwise almost Lindelöf property; pairwise almost continuity preserves the pairwise weakly Lindelöf, pairwise almost regular-Lindelöf and pairwise weakly regular-Lindelöf properties; and pairwise $R$-maps preserve the pairwise nearly regular-Lindelöf property. Moreover, we give some conditions on the maps or on the spaces which ensure that weak forms of pairwise continuity preserve some of these generalized pairwise covering properties.

Furthermore, it is shown that all the generalized pairwise covering properties are satisfy the pairwise semiregular invariant properties where some of them satisfy the pairwise semiregular properties. On the other hand, none of the pairwise Lindelöf properties are pairwise semiregular properties. The productivity of these generalized pairwise covering properties are also studied. It is well known by Tychonoff Product Theorem that compactness and pairwise compactness are preserved under products. We show by means of counterexamples that in general the pairwise Lindelöf, pairwise nearly Lindelöf and similar properties are not even preserved under finite products. We give some necessary conditions, for example the $P$-space property; under which these generalized pairwise covering properties become finitely productive.
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Suatu ruang bitopologi \((X, \tau_1, \tau_2)\) ialah suatu set \(X\) bersama dengan dua (sebarangan) topologi \(\tau_1\) dan \(\tau_2\) ditakrifkan pada \(X\). Penyelidikan bermakna yang pertama dalam ruang bitopologi telah dimulakan oleh J. C. Kelly dalam tahun 1963. Beliau memperkenalkannya dengan merehatkan syarat simetri pada metrik-pseudo supaya dua topologi diaruh oleh metrik-quasi-pseudo yang terhasil. Selanjutnya, Kelly telah mengitlakkan beberapa hasil piawai bagi aksiom-aksiom pemisahan dalam suatu ruang topologi kepada suatu ruang bitopologi. Beberapa pengitlakannya tersebut ialah ruang sekata pasangan demi pasangan, Hausdorff pasangan demi pasangan dan normal pasangan demi pasangan. Terdapat beberapa kerja yang didedikasikan terhadap penyelidikan bitopologi ini; kebanyakannya menangani teori itu sendiri tetapi sangat sedikit aplikasinya. Dalam tesis ini, kita menumpukan kepada idea keLindelöf pasangan demi pasangan, pengitlakan keLindelöf pasangan demi pasangan dan pengitlakan keLindelöf-sekata pasangan demi pasangan dalam ruang bitopologi dimotivasikan oleh idea-idea yang diketahui bagi keLindelöf, keLindelöf teritlak dan keLindelöf-sekata teritlak dalam ruang topologi.
Terdapat empat jenis ruang Lindelöf pasangan demi pasangan iaitu ruang Lindelöf, \( B \)-Lindelöf, \( s \)-Lindelöf dan \( p \)-Lindelöf yang bergantung kepada tudung terbuka, \( i \)-terbuka, \( \tau_1 \tau_2 \)-terbuka dan \( p \)-terbuka masing-masing diperkenalkan oleh Reilly dalam tahun 1973, dan Fora dan Hdeib dalam tahun 1983. Misalannya, suatu ruang bitopologi \( X \) dikatakan \( p \)-Lindelöf jika setiap tudung \( p \)-terbuka mempunyai suatu subtudung terbilangkan. Terdapat tiga jenis pengitlakan ruang Lindelöf pasangan demi pasangan, iaitu ruang dekat Lindelöf pasangan demi pasangan, hampir Lindelöf pasangan demi pasangan dan lemah Lindelöf pasangan demi pasangan yang bergantung kepada tudung terbuka dan tudung terbuka sekata pasangan demi pasangan. Idea lain ialah pengitlakan keLindelöfan-sekata pasangan demi pasangan dalam ruang bitopologi. Ini membawa kepada kelas bagi ruang dekat Lindelöf-sekata pasangan demi pasangan, hampir Lindelöf-sekata pasangan demi pasangan dan lemah Lindelöf-sekata pasangan demi pasangan yang bergantung kepada tudung sekata pasangan demi pasangan.


Pemetaan dan keselanjaran pasangan demi pasangan teritlak juga dikaji pada sifat-sifat tudung pasangan demi pasangan teritlak ini dan kita membuktikan bahawa sifat-

Selanjutnya, telah ditunjukkan bahawa semua sifat-sifat tudung pasangan demi pasangan teritlak adalah memuaskan sifat-sifat tak varian separa-sekata pasangan demi pasangan dimana sebahagiannya memuaskan sifat-sifat separa-sekata pasangan demi pasangan. Sebaliknya, tiada sifat-sifat Lindelöf pasangan demi pasangan yang memuaskan sifat-sifat separa-sekata pasangan demi pasangan. Pendaraban bagi sifat-sifat tudung pasangan demi pasangan teritlak ini juga dikaji. Ianya diketahui
baik oleh Teorem Hasil Darab Tychonoff bahawa kepadatan dan kepadatan pasangan
demi pasangan adalah dikekalkan dibawah hasil darab. Kita tunjukkan dengan
contoh-contoh penyangkal bahawa secara amnya Lindelöf pasangan demi pasangan,
dekat Lindelöf pasangan demi pasangan dan sifat-sifat serupa dengannya adalah
tidak dikekalkan dibawah hasil darab terhingga. Kita berikan beberapa syarat perlu,
contohnya sifat ruang-$P$; di bawah yang sifat-sifat tudung pasangan demi pasangan
teritlak menjadi pendaraban terhingga.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of ALLAH, the most merciful and most beneficent

First of all, praises do to Allah s.w.t, Lord of the universe for giving me the strength, guidance and patience to complete this thesis. Only by His grace and mercy this thesis can be completed. May blessing and peace be upon Prophet Muhammad s.a.w, who was sent for mercy to the world.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Professor Dr. Adem Kılıçman for his invaluable guidance, supervision, assistance, support, continuous encouragement and pushing me to work very hard during my study. My gratitude also goes to the members of the supervisory committee, Professor Dato’ Dr. Kamel Ariffin Mohd Atan, and Associate Professor Dr. Isamiddin Rakhimov for their assistance, comments, advices and kindness. I am also grateful to the examiners especially external examiner Professor Dr. Lawrence Michael Brown for their valuable comments on this thesis.

Grateful acknowledgement is made to Malaysian Government, Universiti Putra Malaysia and Institute for Mathematical Research (INSPEM) for giving me a room conducive for doing research. Financial supports from Malaysian Government under the Fundamental and IRPA project during seventy five percent time of my study, and from my family especially my beloved wife, all are gratefully acknowledged.
Special thanks are extended to other members of the academic and technical staff of Institute for Mathematical Research and Mathematics Department, and students who helped me in every way possible and providing a congenial and enthusiastic atmosphere in the laboratory. I would like also to express my gratitude to all my friends and colleagues in Universiti Putra Malaysia for their moral support, technical support and encouragement, especially to Mr Abdul Kudus. My gratitude goes also to my friend who is also my wife’s colleague, Ahmad Kamal Ariffin bin Abdul Jamil from Kolej MARA Kulim for correcting the English grammar in this thesis.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to all of my family especially my parents, aunt, father in-law, mother in-law and all of my relatives for their prayers, continuous moral support and unending encouragement. Last but not least, I wish especially acknowledge to my beloved wife, Mariam bt Ariffin, my dearest son, Mu’az and daughters, Nuruljannah, Najiah and Zilfa for their love, support, patience, understanding and encouragement.
I certify that an Examination Committee met on 31st October 2007 to conduct the final examination of Zabidin bin Salleh on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled “Generalizations of Lindelöf Properties in Bitopological Spaces” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

Mohamad Rushdan Md Said, PhD
Associate Professor
Institute for Mathematical Research
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Hishamuddin Zainuddin, PhD
Associate Professor
Institute for Mathematical Research
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Mat Rofa Ismail, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Lawrence Michael Brown, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Science
Hacettepe University
(External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 29 January 2008
This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Adem Kılıçman, PhD  
Professor  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu  
(Chairman)

Kamel Ariffin bin Mohd Atan, PhD  
Professor  
Institute for Mathematical Research  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

Isamiddin Rakhimov, PhD  
Associate Professor  
Faculty of Science  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

____________________________  
AINI IDERIS, PhD  
Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 21 February 2008
DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

_____________________________
ZABIDIN BIN SALLEH

Date:
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEDICATION</td>
<td>ii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRACT</td>
<td>iii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRAK</td>
<td>vi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPROVAL</td>
<td>xii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECLARATION</td>
<td>xiv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF FIGURES</td>
<td>xviii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</td>
<td>xix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## CHAPTER

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Historical Remarks  3
1.2 Research Objectives  5

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Bitopological Spaces  7
2.2 Generalized Open and Closed Sets  9
2.3 Bitopological Separation Axioms  17
2.4 Pairwise Nearly Compact Spaces  30
2.5 Pairwise Nearly Paracompact Spaces  32

3 PAIRWISE LINDELÖF BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

3.1 On Pairwise Lindelöf Spaces  37
3.2 On Pairwise Continuous and Pairwise Open Mappings  50
3.3 Mappings on Pairwise Lindelöf Spaces  58
3.4 Other Properties of Pairwise Lindelöf Spaces  62
3.4.1 On $s$-Lindelöf Spaces  66
3.4.2 On $p_1$-continuous Mapping  67

4 GENERALIZATIONS OF PAIRWISE LINDELÖF BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

4.1 Pairwise Nearly Lindelöf Spaces  72
4.1.1 On Pairwise Nearly Lindelöf Spaces  73
4.1.2 Pairwise Nearly Lindelöf Subspaces and Subsets  83
4.2 Pairwise Almost Lindelöf Spaces  93
4.2.1 On Pairwise Almost Lindelöf Spaces  94
4.2.2 Pairwise Almost Lindelöf Subspaces and Subsets  100
4.3 Pairwise Weakly Lindelöf Spaces  110
4.3.1 On Pairwise Weakly Lindelöf Spaces  111
4.3.2 Pairwise Weakly Lindelöf Subspaces and Subsets  119

5 GENERALIZATIONS OF PAIRWISE REGULAR-LINDELÖF SPACES

5.1 Pairwise Almost Regular-Lindelöf Spaces  128
### 5.1 On Pairwise Almost Regular-Lindelöf Spaces

5.1.1 On Pairwise Almost Regular-Lindelöf Spaces 129
5.1.2 Pairwise Almost Regular-Lindelöf Subspaces and Subsets 138

5.2 Pairwise Nearly Regular-Lindelöf Spaces

5.2.1 On Pairwise Nearly Regular-Lindelöf Spaces 154
5.2.2 Pairwise Nearly Regular-Lindelöf Subspaces and Subsets 162

5.3 Pairwise Weakly Regular-Lindelöf Spaces

5.3.1 On Pairwise Weakly Regular-Lindelöf Spaces 177
5.3.2 Pairwise Weakly Regular-Lindelöf Subspaces and Subsets 185

### 6 Mappings on Generalized Pairwise Lindelöf Spaces

6.1 Decompositions of Pairwise Continuity 200
6.2 Generalized Open Functions 218
6.3 Mappings on Pairwise Nearly Lindelöf Spaces 223
6.4 Mappings on Pairwise Almost Lindelöf Spaces 233
6.5 Mappings on Pairwise Weakly Lindelöf Spaces 244
6.6 Mappings on Pairwise Almost Regular-Lindelöf Spaces 249
6.7 Mappings on Pairwise Nearly Regular-Lindelöf Spaces 254
6.8 Mappings on Pairwise Weakly Regular-Lindelöf Spaces 259

### 7 Pairwise Semiregular Properties in Relation to Generalized Pairwise Lindelöf Spaces

7.1 Pairwise Semiregularization of Generalized Pairwise Lindelöf Spaces 264
7.2 Pairwise Semiregularization of Generalized Pairwise Regular-Lindelöf Spaces 274
7.3 Conditions Under Which A Bitopology Reduced To A Single Topology 283

### 8 Product Properties for Generalized Pairwise Lindelöf Spaces

8.1 Introduction 289
8.1.1 Finite Product 289
8.1.2 Product Topology 291
8.2 Product of Pairwise Lindelöf Spaces 293
8.2.1 Product of Lindelöf Bitopological Spaces 293
8.2.2 Product of $B$-Lindelöf Spaces 300
8.2.3 Product of $s$-Lindelöf Spaces 310
8.2.4 Product of $p$-Lindelöf Spaces 315
8.3 Product of Generalized Pairwise Lindelöf Spaces 320
8.3.1 Product of Pairwise Nearly Lindelöf Spaces 321
8.3.2 Product of Pairwise Almost Lindelöf Spaces 328
8.3.3 Product of Pairwise Weakly Lindelöf Spaces 334
8.4 Product of Generalized Pairwise Regular-Lindelöf Spaces 341
8.4.1 Product of Pairwise Nearly Regular-Lindelöf Spaces 341
8.4.2 Product of Pairwise Almost Regular-Lindelöf Spaces 344
8.4.3 Product of Pairwise Weakly Regular-Lindelöf Spaces 350
9 SOME NOTES FOR FUTURE STUDIES 356

BIBLIOGRAPHY 367
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR 375
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS 377
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Relations among generalized $i$-compact spaces</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Relations among pairwise Lindelöf bitopological spaces</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Relations among generalized pairwise Lindelöf spaces</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Relations among generalized pairwise regular-Lindelöf spaces</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Relations among generalized $i$-continuous functions (1)</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Relations among generalized pairwise continuous functions (1)</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Relations among generalized $i$-continuous functions (2)</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Relations among generalized pairwise continuous functions (2)</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Relations among generalized $i$-open functions</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>Relations among generalized pairwise open functions</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

\( i\text{-int} (A) \) or \( i\text{-int}_X (A) \) \hspace{1cm} \text{interior of } A \text{ in } X \text{ with respect to } \tau_i \\
\( i\text{-cl} (A) \) or \( i\text{-cl}_X (A) \) \hspace{1cm} \text{closure of } A \text{ in } X \text{ with respect to } \tau_i \\
\mathbb{R} \hspace{1cm} \text{set of real numbers} \\
\mathbb{N} \hspace{1cm} \text{set of natural numbers} \\
\mathbb{Q} \hspace{1cm} \text{set of rational numbers} \\
\aleph_0 \hspace{1cm} \text{cardinality of } \mathbb{N} \\
\subseteq \hspace{1cm} \text{Subset} \\
X \setminus A \hspace{1cm} \text{complement of } A \text{ in } X \\
(X, \tau) \hspace{1cm} \text{topological space } X \\
(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \hspace{1cm} \text{bitopological space } X \\
\left(X, \tau^s_{(1,2)}, \tau^s_{(2,1)}\right) \hspace{1cm} \text{pairwise semiregularization of } (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \\
\tau\big|_A \hspace{1cm} \text{induced (or relative) topology } \tau \text{ on } A \\
(A, \tau_1|_A, \tau_2|_A) \hspace{1cm} \text{subspace of } X \text{ whenever } A \subseteq X \\
\tau_d \hspace{1cm} \text{discrete topology} \\
\tau_{ind} \hspace{1cm} \text{indiscrete topology} \\
\tau_u \hspace{1cm} \text{usual (Euclidean) topology} \\
\tau_{cof} \hspace{1cm} \text{cofinite (finite complement) topology} \\
\tau_{coc} \hspace{1cm} \text{counstable (countable complement) topology} \\
\tau_s \hspace{1cm} \text{Sorgenfrey topology}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>τ_{l.r}</td>
<td>left ray topology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ_{r.r}</td>
<td>right ray topology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iff</td>
<td>if and only if</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ</td>
<td>index set</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

In theoretical and applied areas of mathematics we frequently deal with sets endowed with various structures. However, it may happen that the consideration of a set with a specific structure, say topological, algebraic, order, uniform, convex etcetera is not sufficient to solve the problem posed and in that case, it becomes necessary to introduce an additional structure on the set under consideration. To confirm this idea, it will do to recall the theories of topological groups, ordered topological spaces, topological spaces with measure, convex topological structures and others. This list is not complete without adding the theory of bitopological spaces.

The notion of a bitopological space \((X, \tau_1, \tau_2)\), that is, a set \(X\) equipped with two arbitrary topologies \(\tau_1\) and \(\tau_2\), was first formulated by J. C. Kelly [29] in 1963. Kelly investigated non-symmetric distance functions, the so-called quasi-pseudo-metrics on \(X \times X\), that generate two topologies on \(X\) that, in general, are independent of each other. Previously, such non-symmetric distance functions had been studied by Wilson [87]. Distance functions, uniformity and proximity are the related notions in defining the topology and, naturally, the situation treated in [29] is by no means the only way leading to a non-symmetric occurrence of two topologies on the same set; the investigations of quasi-uniformity and quasi-proximity (see [15], [23], [61]) also lead to an analogous results.
From the above said, it follows that due to the specific properties of the considered structures two topologies are frequently generated on the same set and can be either independent of each other though symmetric by construction or closely interconnected. Certainly, the investigation of a set with two topologies, interconnected by relations of “bitopological” character, makes it possible on some occasions to obtain a combined effect, that is, to get more information than we would acquire if we considered the same set with each topology separately.

If we compare all the results available in the theory of bitopological spaces from the general point of view, we shall find that in different cases two topologies on a set are not, generally speaking, interconnected by some common law that takes place for all bitopological spaces. However if, when defining a bitopological notion, the closure and interior operators are successively applied in an arbitrary initial order to the same set, then in general, these operators will interchange in topologies as well. For example, the reader may refer to the concepts of $(i, j)$-regular open and $(i, j)$-regular closed sets in Chapter 2.

Bitopological spaces that we are going to discuss is one of the research interest recently in the area of topology. It should be also noted that at present, there are several hundred works dedicated to the investigation of bitopologies; most of them deal with the theory itself but very few deal with applications. Before starting, we recall that, spaces mean topological spaces or bitopological spaces on which no separation axioms nor bitopological separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated otherwise, and the bitopological space $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ will be
replaced by \( X \) if there is no chance for confusion.

1.1 Historical Remarks

A topological space \((X, \tau)\) is said to be Lindelöf if every open cover of \( X \) has a countable subcover. The Lindelöf Theorem, that every second countable space is Lindelöf, was proved for Euclidean spaces as early as 1903 by Ernest Leonard Lindelöf (1870-1946) \((Sur\ Quelques\ point\ de\ la\ théorie\ des\ Ensembles)\). The formal study of Lindelöf spaces was begun in 1921 by Kuratowski and Sierpinski \((La\ Théorème\ de\ Boral-Lebesgue\ dans\ la\ Théorie\ des\ Ensembles\ Abstraits)\). Lindelöf spaces were called finally compact spaces by authors in Russia, see for example, Alexandroff \((Some\ Results\ in\ the\ Theory\ of\ Topological\ Spaces)\) (see [85]).

The idea of Lindelöf property came from studying compactness property. Since compactness is a very important property in topology and analysis, mathematicians have studied it widely. In compact spaces we deal with open covers to admit finite subcovers. After that compactness was generalized to countable compactness and sequentially compactness. The idea of Lindelöf property came later by dealing with open covers to admit countable subcovers.

Since the relationship between compactness and Lindelöfness are very strong, where every compact space is Lindelöf but not the converse, many properties of compact spaces were generalized onto Lindelöf spaces. Thus mathematicians first studied some generalizations of compact spaces such as: paracompactness,
metacompactness, real compactness, nearly compactness, weakly compactness etc. Mathematicians called all these concepts covering properties. They generalized these concepts again to new covering properties such as nearly Lindelöf, almost Lindelöf, weakly Lindelöf, para-Lindelöf and other generalizations.

Many generalizations of Lindelöf spaces have been introduced by several authors for different reasons and purposes. Some of these generalizations depend on their definitions on open covers as in Lindelöf spaces. Others depend on regular open covers, regular closed covers, and regular covers which are introduced by Cammaroto and Lo Faro [6]. About generalizations of Lindelöf spaces that depend on open covers and regular open covers, Frolik [25] introduced the notion of weakly Lindelöf spaces, which were then studied by many authors; see for examples [9], [26], [84], [88] and [3]. After that, Balasubramaniam [2] introduced and studied nearly Lindelöf spaces that are between Lindelöf spaces and weakly Lindelöf spaces. Willard and Dissanayake [86] gave the notion of almost $\kappa$-Lindelöf spaces, that for $\kappa = \aleph_0$ are called almost Lindelöf spaces, and that are between nearly Lindelöf spaces and weakly Lindelöf spaces.

Moreover, Cammaroto and Santoro [7] studied some characterizations and relations among nearly Lindelöf, almost Lindelöf and weakly Lindelöf spaces. It was also introduced the notions of almost regular-Lindelöf, nearly regular-Lindelöf and weakly regular-Lindelöf spaces as a new generalizations of Lindelöf spaces that depend on regular covers. Cammaroto and Santoro have studied some characterizations of almost regular-Lindelöf spaces and left the study of the other