



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**EVALUATION OF IDEALIZED CAPACITY CURVE GENERATION FOR
REINFORCED CONCRETE FRAMED-STRUCTURES SUBJECTED TO
SEISMIC LOADING**

MEHRDAD SEIFI

FK 2008 41



**EVALUATION OF IDEALIZED CAPACITY CURVE GENERATION FOR
REINFORCED CONCRETE FRAMED-STRUCTURES SUBJECTED TO
SEISMIC LOADING**

By

MEHRDAD SEIFI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science**

September 2008



DEDICATION

**Dedicated to my parents and my brother owing to their
precious support during my studies**



EVALUATION OF IDEALIZED CAPACITY CURVE GENERATION FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE-FRAMED STRUCTURES SUBJECTED TO SEISMIC LOADING

By

MEHRDAD SEIFI

September 2008

Chairman : Associate Professor Jamaloddin Noorzaei, PhD

Faculty : Engineering

The designing of R/C framed structures subjected to seismic excitation generally is performed by linear elastic method, while current trend of the codes of practice is moving toward increasing emphasis on evaluating the structures using nonlinear static pushover (NSP) approaches. Recently, several NSP approaches, with varying degree of vigor and success have been proposed. In this study, initially a comparative study has been made among different nonlinear static methods for adopting the most suitable method of extracting the capacity curve of R/C framed structures. Then, a program was developed to overcome the difficulties of graphical iterative procedure of idealization proposed by FEMA-356.

Subsequently, the comparative tool which is a combination of the superior NSP method detected and the developed program was used to investigate the effects of significant structural variables on idealized parameters of capacity curves of population of R/C framed structures. Eventually, the applicability of replacing the time-consuming NSP procedure by ANN for deriving the capacity curve was tested. The outcomes demonstrated the outperformance of interstorey-based scaling adaptive pushover in



addition to high precision of the developed program. Furthermore, the distinct effects of each one of the considered structural variables on idealized parameters were unveiled. Finally, an acceptable performance of ANN as an alternative to NSP procedure was observed.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Sarjana Sains

**PENILAIAN DALAM PENGHASILAN KAPASITI LENGKUNGAN
DIIDEALKAN UNTUK STRUKTUR KONKRIT-BERSANGGA DIDEDAHKAN
KEPADA GELOMBANG**

Oleh

MEHRDAD SEIFI

September 2008

Pengerusi: Professor Madya Jamaloddin Noorzaei, Ph.D.

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Rekaan struktur konkrit bersangga berdasarkan rangsanagn gempu biasanya terbentuk daripada kaedah *linear elastic method* , sementara itu pendekatan sekarang mengenai kod proktis sentiasa meningkat kehadapan dengan menekankan pengukuran struktur menggunakan kaedah *nonlinear static pushover (NSP)*. Terbaru, beberapa kaedah NSP dengan pelbagai sudut vigor telah mencapai kejayaan. Dalam kajian ini , biasanya kajian perbandingan telah dibuat dikalangan kaedah ‘non linear static method’ yang berbeza untuk memilih kaedah yang paling sesuai dalam meningkatkan kapasiti lengkung struktur konkrit bersangga. Seterusnya program telah dibina untuk mengatasi masalah *graphical interactive procedure* yang dicadangkan oleh FEMA-356.

Selepas itu , alat perbandingan yang mengandungi kombinasi kaedah NSP telah dikesan dan program tersebut telah digunakan untuk menyiasat kesan perubahan pada struktur berdasarkan populasi parameter lengkung keupayaan struktur konkrit bersangga. Kesudahannya, keterapan perubahan prosedur pengukuran masa NSP daripada



ANN untuk mengukur kapasitas lengkungan telah diuji. Keputusan yang ditunjukkan daripada keupayaan program inter storey based scaling pushover yang dibina mempunyai ketepatan yang tinggi. Sebagai tambahan, kesan berlainan pada pelbagai struktur pada parameter dapat dilihat. Akhir sekali, keupayaan ANN sebagai alternative pada prosedur NSP diiktiraf atau diterimapakai.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Allah, the dominion of the heavens and the earth belongs to him. No son has he be gotten nor has he a partner in his dominion. It is he who created all things and ordered them in due proportions (Holly Quran 25:2).

First of all, I would like to express my deepest gratefulness to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jamaloddin Noorzaei for his patient direction, encouragement, cooperation, full support and close consultation throughout the research and thesis writing. In addition, special thanks are due to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohamad Saleh Jaafar for his invaluable comments, guidance, consultation and support throughout the thesis. I also appreciate for advice and suggestions of Prof. Dr. Waleed Thanoon.

Secondly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my parents and my brother who encourage and support me to do my researches. This goal has not been reached without their everlasting love.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to my friends and colleagues too neumerous to mention here, some of them are Mr.Avakh, Mr. Hadi, Mr. Hakim, Mr. Hejazi, Mr. Homayooni, Mr. Javidmoayyed, Mr. karimoddiny, Mr. Kohrangi, Mr. Pakanahad, Mr. Yazdanpanah and Mr. Zamani. Your nice help, I would never forget.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 14 July 2008 to conduct the final examination of Mehrdad Seifi on his Master of Science thesis entitled “Evaluation of Idealized Capacity Curve Generation for Reinforced Concrete-Framed Structures Subjected to Seismic Loading” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

Bujang Kim Huat, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
University Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ir. Abang Abdullah Abang Ali, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
University Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Thamer Ahmed Mohammed, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
University Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Pradeep Bhargava, PhD

Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee
(External Examiner)

HASNAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 26 August 2008



This thesis was submitted to the senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science.

The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Jamaloddin Noorzaei, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
University Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohammad Saleh Bin Jaafar, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
University Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Waleed A. M. Thanoon, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
University of Technology Petronas
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 11 September 2008



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

MEHRDAD SEIFI

Date: 22 September 2008



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL SHEETS	viii
DECLARATION	x
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
CHAPTERS	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Brief Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives of the Study	5
1.4 Scope of the Work	5
1.5 Limitation of the Study	7
1.6 Layout of the Study	7
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Overview on the Origin of Performance-Based Design Engineering (PBDE)	11
2.3 Nonlinear Static Pushover (NSP) Analysis, Background and Fundamentals	12
2.3.1 Traditional Pushover Theoretical Background	14
2.3.2 Fundamental Concepts on Pushover	16
2.4 Challenges and Enhancements on Pushover Analysis	17
2.5 Recent Studies on Application of Pushover in PBDE Domain	29
2.6 Critical Discussion on Proceeding of Pushover Analysis	



2.7	Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Applications in PBD	36
2.7.1	Neural Networks Basics	37
2.7.2	Classification of Neural Networks	38
2.7.3	Designing Neural Network	40
2.7.4	ANN Applications in Performance-Based Design Engineering	42
2.8	Justification of Selecting the Proposed Problem	46
2.9	Concluding Remarks	50
3	METHODOLOGY AND COMPUTER CODIFICATIONS	52
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	Overall View of Implemented Study	53
3.3	Addressing Format	55
3.4	Preliminary Modeling and Analysis	56
3.4.1	Vertical and Lateral (Seismic) Loading	57
3.4.2	Preliminary Modeling and Analysis Criteria	58
3.4.3	Displacement Control	59
3.5	Designing Criteria	60
3.6	Detailing	60
3.7	Finite Element Modeling	61
3.7.1	Finite Element Idealization of Framed Structure	63
3.7.2	Modeling of R/C Section	64
3.7.3	Constitutive Modeling	64
3.8	Loading	69
3.8.1	Gravitational Load	69
3.8.2	Lateral Load Distribution	69
3.8.3	FEMA-356 Approaches	69
3.8.4	Adaptive Pushover Analysis (APA) Methods	70
3.9	Incremental Dynamic Analysis (IDA)	76



3.10	Comparative Study among Applied Methods	77
3.11	Comparative Study among Applied Methods	81
3.11.1	FEMA-356 Bilinear Idealization Criteria	82
3.11.2	Necessity of Programming	83
3.11.3	Surmounting the Major Problem of Programming	83
3.11.4	Computational Algorithm	84
3.12	Influence of Structural Variable on Idealized Capacity Curve	88
3.13	Replacing Artificial Neural Network (ANN)	89
3.13.1	Feedforward Back Propagation Neural Network	90
3.13.2	Accelerated Training of a Multilayer Neural Network	92
3.13.3	Selection of Entering Data	93
3.13.4	Representing the Data	93
3.13.5	Structuring the Network	94
3.13.6	Training and Testing of Networks	95
3.14	Concluding Remarks	97
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	99
4.1	Introduction	99
4.2	Preliminary Analyze, Design and Detailing of Residential R/C Frame Structures	100
4.2.1	Analyze and Design	102
4.2.2	Detailing	104
4.3	Finite Element Modeling	107
4.4	Generation of Loading Pattern by Conventional and Adaptive NSP Methods	111
4.5	Earthquake Record Applied through IDA and NSP Analysis	113
4.6	Performance Evaluation of Different NSP Methods	114
4.6.1	Capacity Curve Evaluation	115
4.6.2	Assessing of Interstorey Drifts	119



4.6.3	Selection of the Outstanding Method	123
4.7	Capacity Curve Bilinear Idealization	124
4.7.1	Generation of Bilinear Idealized Curve by Using the Developed Program	124
4.7.2	Results of Applying Methods for Other Frame Structures	131
4.8	Influence of the Structural Variable Parameters on Idealized Capacity Curve	135
4.8.1	Achievement of Comparative Tool	135
4.8.2	Generation of Idealized Capacity Curve for Population of R/C Buildings	135
4.8.3	Discussion on the Results	138
4.8.4	Elapsed Time for Computational Procedure, Uniqueness of Outcomes	140
4.9	Extraction of Idealized Capacity Curve by Substitutable ANN Approach	140
4.9.1	Configuration of Appropriate ANNs	141
4.9.2	Selection of ANNs	142
4.9.3	Extraction of errors and Data Analyzing	146
4.10	Concluding Remarks	148
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE SCOPES	151
5.1	Conclusions	151
5.2	Recommendation for future works	157
	REFERENCES	158
	APPENDICES	164
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	183
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	184



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Some of recent destructive earthquakes	2
2.1	Preliminary ideas on pushover analyze	33
2.2	Criticism of preliminary NSP methods	33
2.3	Enhancements and advanced method on NSP procedure	34
2.4	Recent investigations related on pushover analysis	36
2.5	Applications of artificial neural network (ANN) in Performance-based design engineering (PBDE)	46
3.1	The whole possible types of structural models on the bases of the adopted variables	56
4.1	Modeled structures utilized during study	100
4.2	Material properties for the selected case study	101
4.3	Lateral load distribution along the height of 6f3s4l4b3 by UBC-97 code	102
4.4	Storey drift ratio for 6f3s4l4b3	103
4.5	Column sections of 6f3s4l4b3	106
4.6	Beam sections of 6f3s4l4b3	106
4.7	Feature of selected structural sections	107
4.8	Defined concrete parameters for the 6f3s4l4b3 model	108
4.9	Defined Steel parameters for the 6f3s4l4b3 model	107
4.10	Assumed element lengths during study	109
4.11	Section properties of b11 as a template of R/C T-sections	110



4.12	Section properties of C1 as a template of RC rectangular sections	110
4.13	Computation of lateral load based on FEMA-356 approaches	111
4.14	Characteristics of El-Centro record	114
4.15	Roof displacement-Base Shear (Capacity Curve) computed by different methods	116
4.16	Absolute Relative Percentage Error (ARPE) of Base Shear calculation by different NSP methods vs. IDA results	117
4.17	Inter-storey drifts computed by different method for 0.54%, 0.93% and 2.00% of structure height as the total drift	120
4.18	Relative Percentage Error (RPE) of drift estimations by different NSP method vs. IDA-max results	121
4.19	Relative Percentage Error (RPE) of drift estimations by different NSP methods vs. IDA results	122
4.20	Mean of Absolute Relative Percentage Error (MARPE) of computed Inter-Storey drifts by different NSP methods	123
4.21	Measuring the accuracy of estimated capacity curve for 6f3s4l4b3	126
4.22	Final results for 6f3s4l4b3	128
4.23	Final results for 5f2s3.5l4b3	131
4.24	Structural variable parameters vs. corresponding extracted nonlinear parameter (Idealized capacity curve parameter)	136
4.25	Standardized value of models utilized for training	142
4.26	Standardized value of randomly selected models for testing	142
4.27	Comparison of ANNs predicted nonlinear parameters and the real ones	147
4.28	Trained ANNs for prediction of nonlinear parameters	150



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Multilinear and bilinear static base shear vs. roof displacement response of an assumed MDOF structure	15
2.2	Conventional lateral load distribution:	16
2.3	Considered structural system: (a) wall; (b) frame	30
2.4	Capacity curves of buildings under different lateral load pattern and corresponding bilinear idealization	31
2.5	Main evolutions through the studies on pushover analysis	32
2.6	Biological neural network	37
2.7	Single layer neural network	38
2.8	Classification of neural networks	38
2.9	Three-layer feedforward back-propagation network	39
2.10	Procedure of a neural network designing	41
3.1	Flow chart of overall Performed Study	54
3.2	Preliminary analyze cases of model	59
3.3	Flow chart of learning process of SeismoStruct applied during this study	62
3.4	Finite element model of 6f3s4l4b3 structure	63
3.5	Fiber modeling of RC section (SeismoStruct)	64
3.6	Comparison of different compressive concrete stress-strain based curve	66
3.7	Menegotto- Pinto steel model	67
3.8	Incremental updating procedure	75



3.9	Example of information extracted from IDA study of 20-storey moment-resisting steel frame	77
3.10	Flowchart of the program developed for extraction of absolute maximum interstorey drifts	80
3.11	Post-yield stiffness behavior of structures	82
3.12	Capacity curve bilinear idealization program Flow chart	87
3.13	Flow chart of the procedure passed for each of the 30 models created for comparative study	89
4.1	Computed loads for the 6f3s4l4b3	102
4.2	Finalized design of 6f3s4l4b3	104
4.3	Employed frame sections for 6f3s4l4b3 model	105
4.4	R/C T-Section for beams, (b11)	109
4.5	R/C rectangular section for columns, (C1)	110
4.6	Lateral load distribution for 6f3s4l4b3 model based on FEMA- 356 approaches	112
4.7	El-Centro earthquake	113
4.8	IDA-envelope of 6f3s4l4b3 for El-centro record	116
4.9	Dynamic capacity curve vs. Static capacity curves of 6f3s4l4b3 for El-centro record	118
4.10	Actual capacity curve (Blue) of 6f3s4l4b3 vs. the estimated one (Green)	127
4.11	Capacity curve of 6f3s4l4b3 vs. precise idealized bilinear one	129
4.12	Capacity curve of 5f2s3.5l4b3 (SeismoStruct Package)	130
4.13	Estimated capacity curves vs. their bilinearization	134
4.14	Schematic representation of passed and current study steps	137

4.15	Superior trained ANNs, inputs, outputs and structures	145
4.16	Connection weights histogram in 6-12-12-1 trained FFBPNN for prediction of αK_e	148



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

α	Learning rate on neural network, a positive constant less than unity
αK_e	Post-yield stiffness of structure
β	Momentum term in neural network
Γ_j	Modal participation factor of the j th mode
$\Delta\lambda_0$	Initial step increment in load factor of adaptive pushover
$\delta_k(P)$	Error gradient
Δ_M	Storey drift ratio in j th floor
ΔP	load increment vector in adaptive pushover
Δ_W	Difference of displacement of two consecutive floors
Δw_{jk}	Weight corrections" related to output layer of a neural network
ε_c	Strain at peak stress for concrete
θ_j	Threshold on neuron j
λ	Load factor of adaptive pushover
μ	Strain hardening parameter of steel
ξ	Damping ratio
ϕ	Size of applied reinforcement
ϕ_j	Modal shape
a_1 & a_2	Transition curve shape calibrating coefficients of steel
a_3 & a_4	Isotropic hardening calibrating coefficients of steel



D_{ij}	i th storey displacement due to j th mode
E_s	Modulus of elasticity of steel
f_c	Compressive strength of concrete
f_i	Storey safety
f_t	Tensile Strength of concrete (or) Total reduction factor
F_i	Proportion of load of each storey
F_t	Whiplash effect
F_y	Yielding strength of reinforcement
\bar{F}	Normalized scaling vector in adaptive pushover
\bar{F}_i	Calculation of relative values of story forces
h_i	Height of i th floor above the base.
I_g	Gross moment of inertia
k_e	Confinement factor of concrete
K_e	Effective lateral stiffness of structure
P_0	Nominal counterpart of load vector in force based adaptive pushover
R_o	Transition curve initial shape parameter of steel
$S_a(j)$	Spectral amplification of the j th mode
T_1	Fundamental natural vibration period of structure in second
V_b	Base shear of structure
w_i	Weight of the i th floor

$V_{intersect}$	Base shear at the intersection point between idealized and main curve
V_{max}	Maximum base shear among all coordinates in capacity curve
V_y	Effective yield strength of structure
w_{ij}	Preliminary weight of input i for neuron j
$X_{intersect}$	Displacement at the intersection point between idealized and main curve
$x_{i,s}$	Standardized variable value for p th model
x_{max}	Maximum value of the specific variable among all models
x_{min}	Minimum value of the specific variable among all models

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

EVALUATION OF IDEALIZED CAPACITY CURVE GENERATION FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE STRUCTURES SUBJECTED TO SEISMIC LOADING

By

MEHRDAD SEIFI

December 2007

Chairman : Associate Professor Jamaloddin Noorzaei, PhD

Faculty : Engineering

Under different circumstances various approaches starting from simplistic linear static to the accurate but cumbersome, time-consuming nonlinear time-history procedure are applicable for analysis of buildings. Performance-based design engineering (PBDE) as one of the major domains in earthquake engineering, is concerned with performance evaluation of structures under seismic excitation. Nonlinear static pushover (NSP) as main product of PBDE is compromise of simplicity and accuracy has been legitimized and found its way into codes such as Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Eurocode... One of the momentous outcomes of this method is capacity curve, declares the relating between base shear force and lateral displacement of control node.

The conventional pushover method applying in real-life engineering relies on incremental pushing the structure with constant distribution of lateral load that is not exempt of error. Several methods have been proposed to overcome its deficiencies by the researchers. By criticizing them adaptive pushover analysis (APA) that considers all deficits of conventional method seems to be more logic. Although, various



techniques have been suggested for pushover analysis, there is solidarity for bilinearization and extraction of idealized parameters based on iterative graphical method of FEMA. Moreover, parallel to evolution of pushover analysis procedure they become more rigorous. Consequently, applications of artificial neural network (ANN) as an alternative for solving PBDE problems have been noted recently. This study focused on R/C regular 2D frames by extensive comparative study among five alternatives of conventional and adaptive pushover, codifying a program to overcome deficiencies of graphical iterative bilinearization method, study on effect of structural variables on idealized parameters and just testing this issue that whether it is applicable to use ANN as replacement of pushover for idealization.

Along the line of study, preliminary static analyze, designing and detailing, finite element modeling including physical and material modeling as close as possible to practical structure have been done for 30 case studies. Then, procedure of loading a case study by five various conventional and adaptive pushover procedure and also incremental dynamic analysis (IDA) as reference were implemented and an comprehensive comparative study procedure in aspects of capacity curve and interstorey drift evaluation has been made. Developing a program for accurate bilinearization and overcoming the deficiency of graphical iterative procedure of FEMA was the next stage. Achieving a comparative tool as combination of best NSP method and the developed program results in extensive course of actions for application of this tool for 30 created different models. Eventually, feed forward back propagation method process as a prevalent type of ANN have been studied for testing its applicability for replacing outstanding NSP method of deriving capacity curve.

