



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**COMPRESSIBILITY BEHAVIOR OF TROPICAL PEAT REINFORCED
WITH CEMENT COLUMN**

YOUVENTHARAN A/L DURAISAMY

FK 2008 8



**COMPRESSIBILITY BEHAVIOR OF TROPICAL PEAT REINFORCED WITH
CEMENT COLUMN**

By

YOUVENTHARAN A/L DURAISAMY

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science**

January 2008



Dedicated to My Parents:

Mr. and Mrs. Duraisamy - Rajamah



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

COMPRESSIBILITY BEHAVIOR OF TROPICAL PEAT REINFORCED WITH CEMENT COLUMN

By

YOUVENTHARAN A/L DURAISAMY

January 2008

Chairman: Bujang B. K. Huat, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

One of the most serious problems encountered by civil engineers these days are when it comes to construction on peat soil. Peat soil poses a difficult problem, which has the tendency to subside especially when its moisture content is high. The moisture content may come from rain flooding, leaking from water or sewer lines or from reduction in surface evapo-transpiration when an area is covered by building or pavement. Peat soil causes cracking, settlements and break-up of pavements, railways, highways, embankments, roadways, building foundations, reservoir linings, water lines and sewer line. These entire problems can be solved if the engineering properties of the problem soil are improved to make them suitable for construction.

The main objective of this research is to evaluate the effect of cement column on compressibility when installed in peat soil. Apart from that the researcher also found it important to examine the peculiar engineering behaviour of tropical peat with respect to their compressibility characteristics due to variation in fiber content and organic content.



In addition, the researcher is also interested to identify the influences of other factors like diameter, length, curing time, number of cement columns and amount of cement in cement columns in reducing compressibility.

Undisturbed sample of peat soils were taken from Banting, which is situated in the West coast of Peninsular Malaysia. A suitable auger was designed and fabricated to collect undisturbed peat sample of 150 mm diameter and 230 mm in length. Specimens with 45 mm diameter (area ratio = 0.09) and 60 mm diameter (area ratio = 0.16) of cement column were cured for 7, 14 and 28 days, after which they were subjected to Rowe Cell consolidation test. Results are also presented from test conducted on groups of cement columns using four (area ratio = 0.04) and nine (area ratio = 0.09) columns of 15 mm diameter each to investigate the influence of number of cement columns on compressibility of peat soil.

Based on the results obtained, it shows that the cement columns can successfully reduce the compressibility of tropical peat. Compression index of fibric sample was reduced by 60% using cement column of 45 mm diameter and 80% with cement column of 60 mm diameter. Hence, it suggests that larger diameter cement column (or high area ratio) has a higher reduction effect in the compression index. The trend is similar in hemic and sapric peat. A group of cement columns had a significant impact in reducing the compressibility parameters compared to a single cement column due to higher surface area. Using 100% amount of cement in columns recorded the best performance. Compressibility parameters (compression index and coefficient of secondary compression) were significantly improved (lowered) with cement column.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai
memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Sarjana Sains

**KELAKUAN KEBOLEHMAMPATAN TANAH GAMBUT TROPIKAL
APABILA DIKUATKAN DENGAN TIANG SIMEN**

Oleh

YOUVENTHARAN A/L DURAISAMY

Januari 2008

Pengerusi: Bujang B. K. Huat, PhD

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Salah satu masalah besar yang dihadapi oleh jurutera awam pada masa kini adalah apabila terlibat dengan pembinaan atas tanah gambut. Tanah gambut memberi masalah yang rumit dimana ia berupaya mendap apabila kandungan air tinggi. Kandungan air yang tinggi kemungkinan datang daripada air hujan, kebocoran paip air dan paip kumbahan ataupun pengurangan penyejatan-pemelowapan akibat daripada litupan sesuatu kawasan dengan bangunan dan jalan raya. Tanah gambut menyebabkan retakan, pemendapan dan kerosakan turapan, landasan, lebuh raya, benteng, jalan raya, asas bangunan, laluan tadahan, laluan paip air dan paip kumbahan. Keseluruhan masalah ini dapat diatasi sekiranya ciri-ciri kejuruteraan tanah bermasalah ini ditambahbaik bagi menyesuaikan untuk pembinaan.

Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menilai kesan tiang simen pada kebolehmampatan apabila dipasang pada tanah gambut. Selain daripada itu, penyelidik



juga berminat untuk menguji kelakuan kejuruteraan yang pelik pada tanah gambut yang berkaitan dengan ciri-ciri kebolehmampatan disebabkan oleh variasi dalam kandungan fiber dan kandungan organik. Disamping itu, penyelidik turut berminat untuk mengenalpasti pengaruh faktor seperti diameter, panjang, masa rawatan, bilangan tiang simen dan kandungan simen dalam tiang untuk mengurangkan kebolehmampatan.

Sampel tanah gambut yang tidak terusik telah diambil di Banting, yang terletak di Pantai Barat Semenanjung Malaysia. Satu pengorek yang sesuai telah direkabentuk dan dihasilkan untuk mengambil sampel tanah gambut tidak terusik sebesar 150 mm diameter dan 230 mm panjang. Spesimen dengan tiang simen yang berdiameter 45 mm (nisbah luas = 0.09) dan yang berdiameter 60 mm (nisbah luas = 0.16) telah dirawat selama 7, 14 dan 28 hari sebelum ujian pengukuhan Rowe Cell dijalankan. Ujian juga dilakukan keatas sekumpulan empat tiang simen (nisbah luas = 0.04) dan sembilan tiang simen (nisbah luas = 0.09) dengan 15 mm diameter setiap satu bagi mengkaji pengaruh sebilangan tiang simen keatas kebolehmampatan tanah gambut.

Hasil keputusan menunjukkan bahawa tiang simen berjaya mengurangkan kebolehmampatan tanah gambut tropika. Indeks mampatan sampel fibrik telah berkurangan sebanyak 60 % dan 80 % masing-masing dengan menggunakan tiang simen berdiameter 45 mm dan 60 mm. Maka, ia mencadangkan bahawa tiang simen yang berdiameter besar (atau nibah luas yang tinggi) mempunyai kesan pengurangan yang lebih tinggi terhadap indeks mampatan. Corak ini adalah sama bagi tanah gambut jenis hemik dan saprik. Kumpulan tiang simen mempunyai impak yang signifikan terhadap pengurangan parameter kebolehmampatan berbanding tiang simen yang tunggal

disebabkan oleh luas permukaan yang tinggi. Penggunaan 100% kandungan simen dalam tiang telah mencatatkan pengurangan parameter kebolehmpatan yang tertinggi. Parameter kebolehmpatan (indeks mampatan dan pekali mampatan sekunder) telah dibaiki dengan signifikan menggunakan tiang simen.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to thank the Almighty God, for without His blessings it would not have been possible for me to complete my research.

I wish to extend my gratitude to my employer; the University Malaysia Pahang for granting me the financial support and study leave to enable me to pursue this study. Special thanks goes to Professor Dr. Bujang B. K. Huat, who besides being my supervisor, assiduously worked hard to ensure that I managed to complete my studies on time. His commitment all through my studies was overwhelming. I would also like to thank my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr Ratnasamy Muniandy for his guidance and advice whenever I encountered problems in the course of my research.

My sincere and genuine appreciation goes to Mr. Ang and Mr. Yoong from GDS Sdn. Bhd. for giving me briefing on the use of Rowe Cell and pressure controller. A special credit goes to Laboratory Technician, Mr. Razali Rahman, for his assistance and co-operation while carrying out test in the laboratory. My heartfelt gratitude goes to Research Assistant, Mrs. Ernaleza Mahsum for helping me to prepare conference and journal papers.

Last but not least, I would like to express my gratitude to my parents and siblings for their moral support. Special thank for all friends whose contributions and encouragement cannot be expressed by mere words.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 16th January 2008 to conduct the final examination of Youventharan A/L Duraisamy on his Master of Science thesis entitled “Compressibility Behavior of Tropical Peat Reinforced with Cement Column” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

Husaini Omar, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Azlan Abdul Aziz

Ir
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Salihudin Hassim

Ir
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Raihan Taha, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Civil and Structural Engineering
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
(External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Bujang B. K. Huat, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
University Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ratnasamy Muniandy, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD
Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not currently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institutions.

YOUVENTHARAN A/L DURAISAMY

Date: 29-01-2008



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
APPROVAL	ix
DECLARATION	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
CHAPTER	
1.0 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 General	1
1.2 Organic Soil and Peat	2
1.3 Problem Statement	3
1.4 Objective	3
1.5 Scope of Study	4
1.6 Significant of Study	5
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Definition of Peat and Organic Soil	6
2.2 Distribution of Peat	9
2.3 Index Properties of Peat	11
2.3.1 Water Content	13
2.3.2 Organic Content	17
2.3.3 Fiber Content	18
2.3.4 Liquid Limits	19
2.3.5 Density and Specific Gravity	20
2.4 Compressibility Parameters of Peat	24
2.4.1 Compression Index	25
2.4.2 Coefficient of Secondary Compression	31
2.5 Stabilization and Construction Methods in Peat	36
2.5.1 Mass Stabilization	36
2.5.2 Cement Columns	38
2.5.3 Dynamic Replacement Method	42
2.5.4 Vibrated Concrete Column	43
2.6 Choice of Construction Methods	44
3.0 METHODS AND MATERIALS	
3.1 Sampling Work	48
3.1.1 Location	48
3.1.2 Sampler	50
3.1.3 Pretreatment	51
3.2 Peat Soil Characterization Method	52



3.2.1	Moisture Content	52
3.2.2	Particle Size Distribution	53
3.2.3	Atterberg Limits	53
3.2.4	Classification	54
3.2.5	Organic Content	54
3.2.6	Specific Gravity	55
3.3	Methods for Preparing Samples	55
3.3.1	Sample Extraction	55
3.3.2	Specimen Molding Procedures	56
3.3.3	Dosage Rates	58
3.3.4	Curing Time	59
3.4	Methods for Testing Samples	59
3.4.1	Initializing GDSLAB Software and Hardware	60
3.4.2	Entering Specimen Details	62
3.4.3	Adding a Test Stage	63
3.4.4	Commencing a Test	64
3.4.5	Sample Identification System	66
3.5	Method of Analyzing Data	66
4.0	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	General Soil Descriptions	69
4.2	Soil Characterization Tests	69
4.2.1	Correlations between Liquid Limit and Organic Content	71
4.2.2	Correlations between Dry Density and Natural Water Content	72
4.2.3	Correlations between Specific Gravity and Organic Content	74
4.3	Compressibility Parameters	75
4.3.1	Compression Index	76
4.3.1.1	Effect of Diameter of Cement Column	77
4.3.1.2	Effect of a Group of Cement Columns	81
4.3.1.3	Effect of Cement Proportions in Cement Column	85
4.3.2	Coefficient of Secondary Compression	88
4.3.2.1	Effect of Diameter of Cement Column	89
4.3.2.2	Effect of a Group of Cement Columns	93
4.3.2.3	Effect of Cement Proportions in Cement Column	96
5.0	PARAMETRIC STUDY	
5.1	Background	100
5.2	Summary of Predicted Settlements	101
5.3	Implications of Results	105
5.3.1	Predicting Settlements in Peat	105
5.3.2	Choosing the Effective dimensions of Cement Column	106



6.0 CONCLUSIONS	108
7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS	110
BIBLIOGRAPHY	112
APPENDICES	117
VITA	151
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	152



LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
2.1	Organic content ranges	7
2.2	Organic soil classification based on organic content ranges	8
2.3	Percentage of area covered by peat in different countries in rank order	9
2.4	Extent and distribution of peat and organic soils in Malaysia	11
2.5	Natural water content of various soil deposits	16
2.6	Organic content of various peat deposits	18
2.7	Atterberg's limit of various soil deposits	20
2.8	Typical unit weight of various soils	21
2.9	Typical specific gravity of various soils and minerals	23
2.10	General ground improvement methods for soft ground	45
2.11	Analysis on the effectiveness of the various foundation techniques	46
2.12	Application of methods to soil types	47
3.1	Sample identification system	66
4.1	Engineering properties of peat	70
4.2	Sample identification system	77
5.1	Parameters used in settlements calculations	101
5.2	Compressibility parameters of fibric peat and the predicted settlements	102
5.3	Compressibility parameters of hemic peat and the predicted settlements	103
5.4	Compressibility parameters of sapric peat and the predicted settlements	104
A1	Moisture content results for borehole 1	117
A2	Moisture content results for borehole 2	117
A3	Moisture content results for borehole 3	117
A4	Organic content results for borehole 1	118
A5	Organic content results for borehole 2	118
A6	Organic content results for borehole 3	118
A7	Fiber content results for borehole 1	119
A8	Fiber content results for borehole 2	119
A9	Fiber content results for borehole 3	119
A10	Specific gravity results for borehole 1	120
A11	Specific gravity results for borehole 2	120
A12	Specific gravity results for borehole 3	120
A13	Liquid limit test for borehole 1	121
A14	Liquid limit test for borehole 2	123
A15	Liquid limit test for borehole 3	125
B1	Summary of Rowe Cell test results for fibric peat sample	127
B2	Compressibility parameters for fibric peat of 20 kPa pressure	128
B3	Compressibility parameters for fibric peat of 40 kPa pressure	129
B4	Compressibility parameters for fibric peat of 80 kPa pressure	130
B5	Compressibility parameters for fibric peat of 160 kPa pressure	131
B6	Compressibility parameters for fibric peat of 320 kPa pressure	132



B7	Summary of Rowe Cell test results for hemic peat sample	134
B8	Compressibility parameters for hemic peat of 20 kPa pressure	135
B9	Compressibility parameters for hemic peat of 40 kPa pressure	136
B10	Compressibility parameters for hemic peat of 80 kPa pressure	137
B11	Compressibility parameters for hemic peat of 160 kPa pressure	138
B12	Compressibility parameters for hemic peat of 320 kPa pressure	139
B13	Summary of Rowe Cell test results for sapric peat sample	141
B14	Compressibility parameters for sapric peat of 20 kPa pressure	142
B15	Compressibility parameters for sapric peat of 40 kPa pressure	143
B16	Compressibility parameters for sapric peat of 80 kPa pressure	144
B17	Compressibility parameters for sapric peat of 160 kPa pressure	145
B18	Compressibility parameters for sapric peat of 320 kPa pressure	146



LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
2.1	Duration versus Drying Temperature (°C)	14
2.2	Compression Index versus Liquid Limit	26
2.3	Compression Index versus Liquid Limit	26
2.4	Liquid Limit versus Void Ratio	28
2.5	Initial Water Content versus Void Ratio for Dutch Peat	28
2.6	Compression Ratio versus liquid Limit	29
2.7	Relationship between Water Content and Compression Ratio of French Bog Peat	30
2.8	Start of Secondary Compression	32
2.9	Coefficient of Secondary Consolidation versus Initial Moisture Content	33
2.10	Coefficient of Secondary Consolidation versus Initial Moisture Content	34
2.11	Relationship between $C\alpha$ and C_c for (a) Clara Fibrous Peat (b) Ballydermot Fibrous Peat	35
2.12	Values of $C\alpha/C_c$ versus Consolidation Pressure	36
2.13	Mass Stabilization Method	38
2.14	Shear Strength Gain (28 days) of Various Types of Soil	39
2.15	Cement or Lime Columns	40
2.16	Schematic Showing the Ejection of the Binder from the Mixing Tool in a Dry Form and Mixing Operation in Dry DSM Process	41
2.17	Schematic Showing Typical Cross Section of Embankment Treatment with cement columns	42
2.18	Dynamic Replacement to Form Sand Columns	43
3.1	Flow Chart of Research Methodology	49
3.2	Map of Banting, Selangor, Malaysia	50
3.3	UPM Peat Sampler	51
3.4	Peat Sample Extracted From Sampler	56
3.5	Specimen Molding Procedures	57
3.6	The Experimental Setup Using Rowe Cell	60
3.7	Rigid Plate to Give Equal Strain	60
3.8	GDSLAB Window View	61
3.9	View of Data File Menu Box	61
3.10	Saving File in Choice of Directory	62
3.11	Entering Specimen Details	63
3.12	View of Test Plan	64
3.13	View of Test Display Menu Box	65
4.1	Liquid Limit versus Organic Content	72
4.2	Dry Density versus Natural Water Content	73
4.3	Specific Gravity versus Organic Content	75
4.4	Compression Index versus Consolidation Pressure	76
4.5	Compression Index versus Curing Time for Fibric Peat Using Single Column	78



4.6	Compression Index versus Curing Time for Hemic Peat Using Single Column	79
4.7	Compression Index versus Curing Time for Sapric Peat Using Single Column	80
4.8	Compression Index versus Curing Time for Fibric Peat Using Group Column	82
4.9	Compression Index versus Curing Time for Hemic Peat Using Group Column	83
4.10	Compression Index versus Curing Time for Sapric Peat Using Group Column	84
4.11	Compression Index versus Curing Time for Fibric Peat with Various Proportions of Cement in Cement Column	86
4.12	Compression Index versus Curing Time for Hemic Peat with Various Proportions of Cement in Cement Column	87
4.13	Compression Index versus Curing Time for Sapric Peat with Various Proportions of Cement in Cement Column	88
4.14	Coefficient of Secondary Compression versus Consolidation Pressure	89
4.15	Coefficient of Secondary Compression versus Curing Time for Fibric Peat Using Single Column	90
4.16	Coefficient of Secondary Compression versus Curing Time for Hemic Peat Using Single Column	91
4.17	Coefficient of Secondary Compression versus Curing Time for Sapric Peat Using Single Column	92
4.18	Coefficient of Secondary Compression versus Curing Time for Fibric Peat Using Group Column	94
4.19	Coefficient of Secondary Compression versus Curing Time for Hemic Peat Using Group Column	95
4.20	Coefficient of Secondary Compression versus Curing Time for Sapric Peat Using Group Column	96
4.21	Coefficient of Secondary Compression versus Curing Time for Fibric Peat with Various Proportions of Cement in Cement Column	97
4.22	Coefficient of Secondary Compression versus Curing Time for Hemic Peat with Various Proportions of Cement in Cement Column	98
4.23	Coefficient of Secondary Compression versus Curing Time for Sapric Peat with Various Proportions of Cement in Cement Column	99
5.1	Illustration of Case Study Used for Parametric Study	100
5.2	Chart for Predicting Compressibility Parameters for Fibric Peat	105
5.3	Chart for Choosing Effective Dimensions for Cement Column on Site	107
A1	Penetration versus Moisture Content Graph for Borehole 1	122
A2	Penetration versus Moisture Content Graph for Borehole 2	124
A3	Penetration versus Moisture Content Graph for Borehole 3	126
B1	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 20 kPa Pressure	128
B2	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 40 kPa Pressure	129
B3	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 80 kPa Pressure	130



B4	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 160 kPa Pressure	131
B5	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 320 kPa Pressure	132
B6	Void Ratio versus Log Pressure for Fibric Peat	133
B7	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 20 kPa Pressure	135
B8	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 40 kPa Pressure	136
B9	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 80 kPa Pressure	137
B10	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 160 kPa Pressure	138
B11	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 320 kPa Pressure	139
B12	Void Ratio versus Log Pressure for Hemic Peat	140
B13	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 20 kPa Pressure	142
B14	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 40 kPa Pressure	143
B15	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 80 kPa Pressure	144
B16	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 160 kPa Pressure	145
B17	Displacement versus Log Time Graph for 320 kPa Pressure	146
B18	Void Ratio versus Log Pressure for Sapric Peat	147
C1	Photograph of Group Cement Column (GC1) in Rowe Cell	148
C2	Photograph of Group Cement Column (GC2) in Rowe Cell	148
C3	Photograph of Single Cement Column (SC2)	149
C4	Photograph of Single Cement Column (SC4)	149



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Peat and organic soil represents the extreme form of soft soil. They are susceptible to instability such as localized sinking and slip failure, and massive primary and long-term settlement when subject to even moderate load increase (Jarret, 1995). Buildings on peat are usually suspended on piles, but the ground around it may still settle. In addition, there is discomfort and difficulty of access to the sites, a tremendous variability in material properties and difficulty in sampling. These materials may also change chemically and biologically with time. For example further humification of the organic constituents would alter the soil mechanical properties such as compressibility, shear strength and hydraulic conductivity. Lowering of ground water may cause shrinking and oxidation of peat leading to humification with consequent increase in permeability and compressibility.

It is therefore understandable that constructions and buildings on these types of soils are often avoided whenever possible. However, these soils are found in many countries throughout the world. In the US, peat is found in 42 states, with a total acreage of 30 million hectares. Canada and Russia are the two countries with the largest area of peat, 170 and 150 million hectares respectively. For the case of tropical peat, or tropical peat lands, the total world coverage is about 30 million hectares, two thirds of which are in



Southeast Asia. Malaysia has some 3 million hectares (about 8%) of the country's land area covered with tropical peat. While in Indonesia peat covers about 26 million hectares of the country's land area, with almost half of the total peat found in Indonesia's Kalimantan. Since the coverage of these soil is quite extensive, utilization of these marginal soils are required in increasing number of cases in the recent years. Hence, suitable geotechnical design parameters and construction techniques need to be found for this type of ground condition. It is therefore necessary to expand our knowledge on the engineering or mechanical properties of the peat and organic soils.

1.2 Organic Soil and Peat

Technically any material that contains carbon is called 'organic'. However, engineers and geologist use a more narrow definition when applying the term to soils. An organic soil is one that contains a significant amount of organic material recently derived from plant remains. This implies it needs to be 'fresh' and still in the process of decomposition, and thus retains a distinctive texture, colour and odor. Some soils contain carbon, but are not recently derived from plants and thus are not considered organic in this context. For example, some sand contains calcium carbonate (calcite), which arrived as a chemical precipitate.

The term peat refers to highly organic soils derived primarily from plant remains. It normally has a dark brown to black color, a spongy consistency, and an organic odor. Plant fibers are sometimes visible but in the advance stages of decompositions, they may not be evident (Huat, 2004).

1.3 Problem Statement

Peat poses serious problems in construction due to its long-term consolidation settlements even when subjected to a moderate load. Hence, peat is considered unsuitable for supporting foundations in its natural state. Various construction techniques have been carried out to support embankments and other structures over peat deposits without risking bearing failures but settlements of these embankments remain excessively large and continue for many years (Huat, 2004). Besides settlements, stability problems during construction such as localized bearing failures and slip failures need to be tackled.

1.4 Objective

The main objective of this research is to find out the effect of cement columns on the compressibility when installed in tropical peat soil. Apart from that the researcher is also interested to examine the peculiar engineering behavior of tropical peat with respect to their compressibility characteristics due to variation in fiber content and organic content. Meanwhile the index properties such as natural water content, organic content, liquid limit, specific gravity and density of various types of tropical peat were obtained to establish suitable correlations.

The specific objectives of this research are:

- i) To study the effects of cement columns of various diameter, length and composition in reducing compressibility of peat of various fiber content.
- ii) To evaluate the effects of a group of cement columns in reducing compressibility of peat of various fiber content.
- iii) To construct preliminary design chart for cement columns in peat ground.

1.5 Scope of Study

The primary purpose of this research is to point out the possibility of stabilizing organic and peat that have caused problems during construction or resulted in poor performance of structures in service using cement columns. The scope and limitations of this research includes:

- i) Peat samples are collected from three different locations in Banting (west coast of Peninsular Malaysia) with organic content more than 70 %.
- ii) Only two compressibility parameters, compression index (C_c) and coefficient of secondary compression (C_{α}) are measured using Rowe Cell consolidation test.

- iii) Cement column was formed using Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) type I with dry mix method.

1.6 Significance of Study

The main aim of this study is to find out the effect of cement columns on compressibility control on tropical peat with respect to variation in fiber content and organic content. The researcher is also interested to examine the peculiar engineering behavior and compressibility characteristics of peat. The engineering properties and compressibility characteristics of tropical peat would aid the engineers in determining suitable method of ground improvement. Thus, proper construction and foundation design guide for various type of peat could be outlined for future developments in peat ground.

Below are some of the major contributions of this research towards the construction industry:

- i) Reduce settlements in pavements, building foundations and embankments due to high compressibility of peat.
- ii) Cut down maintenance cost of repairing cracks and settlements due to long term consolidation caused by peat.