UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY, METACOGNITIVE AWARENESS, CRITICAL THINKING DISPOSITION AND CRITICAL READING DISPOSITION AND CRITICAL READING ABILITY AMONG MALAYSIAN ESL UNDERGRADUATE

ZUHANA MOHD ZIN

FBMK 2014 24

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY, METACOGNITIVE AWARENESS, CRITICAL THINKING DISPOSITION AND CRITICAL READING DISPOSITION AND CRITICAL READING ABILITY AMONG MALAYSIAN ESL UNDERGRADUATE

By

ZUHANA MOHD ZIN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

April 2014

DEDICATION

To my husband Sean,

my parents Hj Mohd Zin and Hjh Madlishah

and my children Deena and Efan

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY, METACOGNITIVE AWARENESS, CRITICAL THINKING DISPOSITION AND CRITICAL READING DISPOSITION AND CRITICAL READING ABILITY AMONG MALAYSIAN ESL UNDERGRADUATE

By

ZUHANA MOHD ZIN

April 2014

Chairman: **Wong Bee Eng, PhD**
Faculty: **Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication**

This study explored the influence of language proficiency, metacognitive awareness and dispositional attributes in critical thinking and reading on critical reading ability among Malaysian ESL learners. The participants were 295 ESL students. This study adopted a mixed method design in that a correlational analysis was conducted to investigate the relationship between the variables, and a text analysis was conducted to triangulate the findings of the students' critical thinking and reading dispositions with the critical reading skills. This study utilised Oxford Proficiency Test (OPT), Metacognitive Awareness and Second Language Reading (MA), California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CCTDI), Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CTDI) and Critical Reading Comprehension Test (CRCT).

The results of the quantitative analysis indicated that the level of the students' critical reading skills ranged between poor to average. They students lacked the skills to perform in reading and thinking skills that measure evaluation and analysis ability. Further, the levels of their overall critical thinking and reading dispositions, and metacognitive awareness were average. The correlational and the analysis showed that language proficiency was the factor that related and predicted the students' ability to read critically. In the qualitative method, the students' written responses to the open-ended comprehension questions in the CRCT were analysed according to identified themes of critical reading skills: understanding, analysis and evaluation. Even though critical thinking and reading dispositions were not significantly related to critical reading skills, a consistent thinking and behavioral patterns were identified among the students in their written responses to the comprehension questions. They evidently lack the reasoning and inference thinking skills.

It can be concluded that they also lacked the suspending judgment and questioning attitude when they read. In addition, the analysis of the written responses indicated that the students were not able to metacognitively aware of the strategy to repair comprehension failure during reading. The findings of the study showed the students were less able to read critically and their ability was significantly related to language proficiency in L2. The findings of the written responses also indicated that critical thinking and reading dispositions played a part in contributing to their poor critical reading ability.

The students' low level of proficiency in English could have contributed to the overall findings of the study particularly to poor performance in the CRCT because most of the students in the study were represented by low proficiency students. The results of the study have implications for the reading instructions among ESL learners in Malaysia in that teachers and student teachers alike need to be aware of the factors and provide explicit critical thinking and reading dispositions to the students.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

HUBUNGAN ANTARA PENGUASAAN BAHASA, KESEDARAN METAKOGNITIF, KECENDERUNGAN PEMIKIRAN KRITIS DAN KECENDERUNGAN MEMBACA DENGAN KRITIS DAN KEBOLEHAN MEMBACA SECARA KRITIS DI KALANGAN PELAJAR BAHASA INGGERIS SEBAGAI BAHASA KEDUA DI MALAYSIA.

Oleh

ZUHANA MOHD ZIN

April 2014

Pengerusi: **Wong Bee Eng, PhD**
Fakulti: **Bahasa Moden dan Komunikasi**

Kajian ini meneroka pengaruh kebolehan membaca secara kritis ke atas penguasaan bahasa, kesedaran metakognitif dan sifat-sifat kecenderungan dalam pemikiran kritis di kalangan pelajar Malaysia yang menguasai bahasa Inggeris sebagai bahasa kedua (ESL). Dua ratus sembilan puluh lima pelajar mengambil bahagian dalam kajian ini. Kajian ini menggunapakai kaedah penyelidikan campuran dengan menjalankan ujian korelasi bagi menyiasat hubungan antara pembolehubah-pembolehubah. Selain itu, analisa dokumen juga telah dilakukan bagi mengenalpasti hubungan antara hasil pemikiran kritis pelajar dan kecenderungan membaca dengan kemahiran membaca secara kritis. Kajian ini menggunakan beberapa ujian dan inventori seperti *Oxford Proficiency Test (OPT), Metacognitive Awareness and Second Language Reading (MA), California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CCTDI), Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CTDI)* dan *Critical Reading Comprehension Test (CRCT)*.

Dapatan dari analisa kuantitatif menunjukkan tahap pemikiran kritis pelajar berada dari paras lemah ke sederhana. Pelajar ini kurang berkemampuan dalam kemahiran yang memerlukan mereka menilai dan menganalisa. Selanjutnya, tahap keseluruhan kemahiran pemikiran kritis dan kecenderungan membaca dan kesedaran metakognitif mereka adalah sederhana. Analisa korelasi menunjukkan bahawa penguasaan bahasa adalah faktor yang berkaitan dan yang dapat meramalkan kebolehan pelajar membaca secara kritis. Dalam kaedah penyelidikan kualitatif, respon pelajar kepada soalan pemahaman terbuka yang terkandung dalam CRCT telah dianalisa mengikut tema kemahiran membaca secara kritis yang dikenalpasti iaitu penilaian, menganalisa dan pemahaman. Walaupun pemikiran kritis dan kecenderungan membaca tidak mempunyai hubungan penting dengan kemahiran membaca secara kritis, satu corak tabiat dan pemikiran yang konsisten dapat dikenalpasti di kalangan pelajar. Secara jelas mereka didapati kurang mahir di dalam pemikiran berasional dan membuat kesimpulan. Mereka juga didapati kurang bersikap menyoal dan membuat andaian ketika membaca.

Tahap kemahiran pelajar bahasa Inggeris yang rendah mungkin telah menyumbang kepada dapatan keseluruhan kajian ini, terutamanya, kepada pencapaian mereka yang rendah di dalam CRCT kerana kebanyakan pelajar di dalam kajian ini diwakili oleh

mereka yang rendah kemahirannya di dalam Bahasa Inggeris. Dapatan ini, pada takat yang tertentu, telah menyumbang kepada pencapaian mereka yang mengecewakan dalam ujian membaca secara kritis. Analisa respon bertulis pelajar juga menunjukkan mereka tidak berkemampuan untuk berstrateji secara metakognitif untuk memulihkan kelemahan pemahaman mereka apabila mereka membaca secara kritis. Dapatan kajian ini telah menunjukkan bahawa pelajar ini kurang berkemampuan untuk membaca secara kritis dan pencapaian mereka mempunyai hubungan yang penting dengan penguasaan bahasa kedua. Dapatan dari analisa respon bertulis pelajar juga menunjukkan bahawa pemikiran kritis dan kecenderungan membaca memainkan peranan dalam menyumbang kepada pencapaian pembacaan kritis mereka yang lemah. Dapatan kajian ini memberi implikasi kepada pengajaran pembacaan di kalangan pelajar ESL di Malaysia. Guru/tenaga pengajar dah pelajar guru perlu memberi pendedahan terhadap kecenderungan untuk memikir dan membaca secara kritis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My heartfelt gratitude and appreciation go to some individuals who have, in their own special way, helped me to go through the whole process in completing my work. This journey, beyond doubt, is full of challenges and I am not able to go through them without the help of some special people.

I would like to express my greatest gratitude and appreciation to Assoc Prof Dr Wong Bee Eng for her guidance, patience, understanding, support and endless editing work that she did for me. You are indeed a superb supervisor, mentor and friend.

To my other two supervisory committee members, Dr Shameem Rafik-Galea and Dr Helen Tan, thank you for all your help.

I would like to express my appreciation to Assoc Prof Dr Bahaman Abu Samah for his invaluable advice and input on the statistics. My appreciation also goes to Prof Dr Anie Atan, my colleague, who vetted my reading comprehension test paper and the moderation committee who moderated the paper.

In the course of completing this work, I am truly blessed to have a very understanding husband, Sean, who understands what it takes to be a husband to a student. I really appreciate his patience and understanding. But most of all, I am truly grateful for his support and encouragement.

To my parents, Hj Mohd Zin Ishak and Hjh Madlishah Abd Rahman, your persistence in asking me "When are you going to finish?", definitely encouraged me to never give up in completing this work.

Special thanks to postgraduate students in UPM especially to Azadeh for being a great company in the library.

Lastly, I am deeply indebted to Dr Marlia, my colleague and friend, for her great encouragement and help especially when I need support to analyse my qualitative data. My appreciation also goes to Hafiz for invaluable input and advice.

Again, from the bottom of my heart, thank you.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 3 April 2014 to conduct the final examination of Zuhana Mohd Zin on her thesis entitled The Relationship between language proficiency, metacognitive awareness, critical thinking disposition, critical reading disposition, and critical reading ability among Malaysian ESL undergraduates in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the degree of Doctor Philosophy of English Language Studies.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Rosli Talif, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Tan Bee Hoon, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Nooreen Noordin, PhD
Faculty of Education
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Carol Ann Gittens, PhD
Associate Professor
Santa Clara University
United States of America
(External Examiner)

_____

NORITAH OMAR, PhD
Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 23 June 2014

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Wong Bee Eng, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Modern Language and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Shameem Rafik-Galea, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Helen Tan, PhD
Lecturer
Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

_____
BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD
Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by Graduate Student

I hereby confirm that:
- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____   Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Zuhana Mohd Zin/ GS 21766

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:
- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____   Signature:_____
Name of   Name of
Chairman of   Member of
Supervisory   Supervisory
Committee: Wong Bee Eng, PhD   Committee: Shameem Rafik-Galea, PhD

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: Helen Tan, PhD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CCTDI	: California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory	
CCTST	: Critical Thinking Skills Test	
CLEV	: Checklist for Educational View	
CRCT	: Critical Reading Comprehension Test	
CT	: Critical Thinking	
CTDI	: Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory	
DV	: Critical Reading Ability	
EFL	: English as Foreign Language	
EMI	: Engagement, Maturity and Innovativeness	
ESL	: English as a Second Language	
IELTS	: International English Language Test System	
IVs	: Independent Variables	
L1	: First Language	
L2	: Second Language	
LP	: Language Proficiency	
M	: Mean Score	
MA	: Metacognitive Awareness	
MARSI	: Metacognitive Awareness of Reading Strategies	Inventory
MRA	: Metacognitive Regressions Analysis	
MUET	: Malaysian University English Test	
OPT	: Oxford Placement Test	
QUAL	: Qualitative	
QUAN	: Quantitative	
R	: Regression	
SD	: Standard Deviation	
SI	: Scriptally Implicit	
TE	: Textually Explicit	
TI	: Textually Implicit	
TOEFL	: Test of English as a Foreign Language	
UF-CTS	: University of Florida Critical Thinking Skill	
UF-EMI	: University of Florida Engagement, Maturity and Innovativeness	
UTM	: University Teknologi Malaysia	
WMC	: Working Memory Capacity	

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents the background of the present study and a detailed presentation of the theoretical perspectives that underpin study. This chapter also sets out the research problem and research questions that this study seeks to address, and discusses the significance of the study. Finally, the definition of key terms and an overview of the organization of the thesis are provided.

1.1   Background to the study

The advent of the Internet has enabled us to live in an information rich era. It has changed people's lives in a complex and fundamental way socially, economically and politically (Luke & Elkins, 2002). In this digital age we are living in, we are literally accessible to a wealth of information at our fingertips. Our youth today is constantly engaged in different forms of media technology: computers, televisions, IPod, video games and tablets to name a few. It is due to these new forms of media technology that have permeated our lives that critical literacy has become one of the most important skills for the 21st century citizen to acquire in order to keep pace with the technological advancement that is rapidly taking place in the world today. As succinctly described by Halpern, "If we cannot think intelligently about the myriad issues that confront us, then we are in danger of having all the answers, but still not knowing what they mean" (1997, p.3). Thus, literacy developments need to be transformed from basic technical and functional skills to more social-based literacy practices that are applicable to students' future social and working life (Cook-Gumperz, 1986; Luke & Elkins, 2002; New London Group, 1996).

Literacy researchers highlight the importance of literacy developments (reading and writing proficiency) to enable academic success and to prepare students for their future undertakings in the workplace (Conley & Wise, 2011; Ippolito, Steele & Samson, 2008). This can be done through incorporation of dual abilities that include 'knowing to learn and knowing how to think clearly' (Halpern, 1998, p. 450). Thus, the ultimate aim of literacy instruction and practice is to enable readers to function personally and socially in their lives after school (Purcell-Gates, 2004). The impact is more critical on college adolescent readers who are required to spend a large amount of time reading conventional and electronic text representations extensively (Greenleaf, Schoenbach, Cziko & Muller, 2008). Students need a toolkit to guard them from irresponsible writings and to prevent them from being easily influenced by authors whose writings are, more often than not, motivated by different purposes, goals, and personal agenda.

1

In light of this concern, many educators have put greater emphasis on the need to promote critical literacy, a kind of literacy practice that goes beyond literal level comprehension, that is involving evaluation and analysis of text (MacLaughlin & DeVoogd, 2004). Critical literacy is deemed as an effective means to provide empowerment or ownership to readers (Fagan, 1989).In fact, a report in literacy research claims that critical reading should be a hot topic in literacy research (Cassidy, Valadez, Carrett & Barrera, 2010). This concern arises because text is never neutral (Fairclough, 2003) and this undoubtedly requires readers to analyze, evaluate and interpret what they hear and read (Templer, 2005). The term *critical literacy* is defined and understood in various ways.

Some researchers and theorists on reading view critical literacy from the social critical perspective which proposes literacy for social change by examining power relations and challenging the status quo that eventually translates into transformative social actions (Lanksher, Gee, Knobel & Searle, 2002; McLaren, 1988; Shor, 2009). Another group of researchers view critical literacy as high-level comprehension with the application of higher-order thinking processes to comprehend text and discourses that goes beyond surface meaning (Beck, 1989; Fisher, 2001; Langer, 1987). It is this view that that the present study adopts. Thus, in this study, the term *literacy* which generally refers to reading and writing proficiency solely, refers to the reading aspects of literacy that focuses on critical reading ability.

Reading activities that emphasize on accurate and fluent decoding skills are increasingly seen as inadequate to prepare college and university students for real world situations (Halpern, 1998; Pandian, 2008; Steven & Bean, 2007). Reading should move beyond the text. It is a process that requires readers to look at text with critical eyes and evaluative minds. In Malaysia, critical literacy, as a field in education, has created a lot of interest among educators and researchers in the last few years. This is in part due to the key thrusts of the Malaysian Higher Education Action Plan of 2011-2015 (MoHE, 2011) which are formulated with the aim of producing first class human capital, employable graduate and knowledgable workers. To achieve the aim of the action plan developed by the Ministry of Higher Education (2011), critical thinking and critical reading are undoubtedly the skills that can aid to realize and achieve the objectives of this plan. This will in turn help to guarantee employability (Gee, 2007), and qualities required of a responsible citizen in a global society (Shor, 2009).

Producing first class human capital is in accord with the rising demands for knowledge workers who are marketable (Gee, 2007), and knowledgeable with efficient critical thinking abilities (Halpern, 1997; Stupnisky, Renaud, Daniels, Haynes & Perry, 2008)

2

and who are able to solve problems creatively and collaboratively (Ramlee & Abu, 2009; see also Ordonez & Maclean, 2007 for a review). Critical literacy serves as a toolkit when reading the world (Luke & Elkins, 2002; Morgan & Ramanathan, 2005). Therefore, critical literacy could be the answer to the illiteracy conundrum among Malaysian university learners. Illiteracy in this context does not mean the inability to read or decode words. Rather, it refers to the readers' inability to make use their reading ability to make sense or construct meaningful understanding of the reading text in order to function successfully in the society (Bartu, 2001; Freire & Mecado, 1987; Manzo, 2000).

Thus far, the discussion on critical reading practices suggests that the practices take place in the students' first language (L1). The focus of this study is on learners in the English as a second language (ESL) classroom. Reading research that focuses on ESL learners has become increasingly important due to the rise of the English language as a global language that has necessitated the need for proficient readers of English in order to raise literacy standards among many ESL learners who are required to interpret any information (Warschauer, 2000). This is achieved through English for Academic Programs (EAP) which offer academic reading in most universities and colleges. One of the central goals of AEP programs in ESL classrooms is to help students to develop academic reading and thinking strategies (Shih, 1992; Uso-Juan, 2006) such as reading for meaning which involves higher level text comprehension (Nassaji, 2003) and critical literacy (Pennycook, 1996). ESL classrooms have been one of the avenues to provide critical literacy instruction (Comber & Simpson, 2001). In Malaysia, academic reading is introduced in the ESL classrooms in order to improve the students' academic reading skills. Therefore, there is a need for a study to find the factors that can explain their critical reading skills. Researchers in second language (L2) reading have consistently proven that two major factors that contribute to comprehension performance among L2 learners are L2 linguistic knowledge (Bernhardt & Kamil, 1995; Guo & Roehrig, 2011; Taillefer, 1996; van Gelderen, Schoonen, Stoel, Glooper & Hulstjin, 2007; Yamashita, 2002) and metacognition or specifically metacognitive awareness (Brown, 1980; Brown, Armbuster & Baker, 1986; Carrell, 1989). In the context of critical reading ability, factors that come into play include critical thinking (CT) skills and critical thinking dispositions (Ennis, 1987; Ennis & Norris, 1990; Halpern, 1996; Perkins, Jay & Tishman, 1993). The manner in which these unique factors are inextricably connected to each other in producing good critical readers among ESL learners is indeed a very pertinent issue to explore.

1.2   Statement of the problem

The emphasis on enhancing critical reading among undergraduates is based on the argument that basic skills and information processing are no longer sufficient to prepare them for the work place (Luke, 1995). One of the major concerns among reading researchers, either in the L1 or L2, is the students' performance in academic literacy that require them to evaluate and analyze information contained in the texts they encounter every day. This is because, in formal settings such as in academic and working environments, students and workers are constantly required to synthesize, evaluate, interpret and selectively use the information in texts they encounter. One of the major issues in the Malaysian context is the many claims by many educators that most university students are often labeled as lacking in their ability to think and read critically (Crismore, 2000; Koo, 2003) and, therefore, are not prepared to engage in demanding reading tasks required of them (Koo, 2011; 2008, 2003; Nambiar, 2007; Pandian, 2008; Thang & Azarina, 2008). However, these claims are largely based on anecdotal experience and observations. These claims need to be supported by empirical evidence.

In light of the unfavourable claim on the level of Malaysian university students' critical reading ability, there has been a continuous call for critical literacy development in the Malaysian education curriculum. The need for critical literacy development in education settings would undoubtedly call for the designing of a comprehensive and detailed critical literacy curriculum. This would give and has given prominence to reading instruction that can potentially develop good critical readers (Koo, 2011, 2010; Nambiar, 2007; Pandian, 2008). However, the critical reading pedagogies adopted in the reading classrooms need to be supported by sound empirical studies that can inform the policy makers and curriculum designers to meet the critical thinking and critical reading educational objectives. In this, many studies addressing critical reading abilities conducted in Malaysia have centred on critical reading strategies employed by schools and university students (see e.g. Koo, 2010; Nambiar, 2007; Suhailah Hussein, 2009; Veeravagu, Muthusamy, Marimuthu & Subrayam @ Michael, 2010) and critical reading pedagogies that should be adopted by reading teachers to enhance critical reading skills among the students (see e.g. Awg Kitot, Ahmad, & Ali Seman, 2010; Mat Daud & Husin, 2004; Nagappan, 2001; Pandian, 2006). While some studies have consistently found that some approaches of critical reading and thinking instruction such as inquiry teaching (Awg Kitot, Ahmad & Ali Seman, 2010), critical language awareness (Koo, 2010), and the use of teaching aids (Mat Daud & Husin, 2004) improve critical reading abilities among university students, the proposed critical thinking and reading strategies among ESL learners remain suggestive as the best methods are not supported by empirical evidence on the underlying contributing factors that can enhance critical awareness among Malaysian ESL readers. This suggests that even though the methods

4

were found to be successful in improving the students' critical thinking and reading ability, they remain pedagogically unsubstantiated.

Therefore, studies in critical reading skills should not only be limited to the use of strategies and pedagogical aspects. More studies that investigate factors that can best explain the students' critical reading ability are crucial. In the effort to produce empirical evidence of the students' critical reading ability, some relevant factors that contribute to successful L2 critical reading ability need to be investigated. The key factors such as the interplay of linguistic knowledge, background knowledge, metacognitive awareness, higher-order reading skills and affective factors are found to influence the ESL learners reading comprehension ability (Hudson, 2007). Reading researchers have consistently found that L2 linguistic knowledge or L2 learners' language proficiency (Bernhardt & Kamil, 1995; Carrell, 1991; Lee & Schallert, 1997; Yamashita, 2002) and metacognitive awareness (Brown, 1980; Brown, Armbuster & Baker, 1986; Carrell, 1989; McKeown & Beck, 2009) play significant roles in L2 reading performance. However, these studies that look into the role of L2 language proficiency and metacognitive awareness mostly focus on general reading comprehension. As such, the roles of these factors, i.e. language proficiency, and metacognitive awareness in higher-order reading skills such as in critical reading skills have remained mostly unexplored, particularly in the Malaysian context.

In addition, theory in critical thinking posits that applying critical skills alone are insufficient to produce critical thinkers; another variable in developing critical framing is critical thinking disposition (Ennis, 1987; Ennis & Norris, 1990; Halpern, 1996, Perkins, Jay & Tishman, 1993). The ability to apply these skills also involves the dispositional aspects of critical thinking (Ennis, 1995; Giancarlo & Facione, 2001) and reading (Kamsiah, 2003; Stupnisky, Renaud, Daniels, Hayness, & Perry, 2008). In this situation, readers do not only apply higher order thinking skills; they also need a strong willingness to be a reflective reader. While studies on critical thinking skills in the educational context abound, studies on critical thinking disposition have been limited to examining students' dispositional profiles among undergraduates (see Giancarlo, Blohm & Urdan, 2004; McBride, Xiang, & Wittenberg, 2001) and among nursing students (see Facione, Facione, & Sanchez, 1994; Miller, 2005; Wangensten, Johansson, Bjőrkstrőm, & Nordstrőm, 2010; Yeh & Chen, 2003). Other studies have attempted to examine the relationship between critical thinking skills and dispositions (see Friedel, Irani, Rudd, Gallo, Eckhardt & Ricketts, 2008; Macpherson & Stanovich, 2007; Ricketts & Rudd, 2004; Taube; 1997; Zhang, 2003). However, these studies that look at the relationship between disposition and cognitive ability, unfortunately, had produced mixed results.

5

In the Malaysian educational context, as mentioned earlier, the emphasis of the studies on critical thinking and reading have centered on critical thinking skills. Studies that look at the role of dispositional aspects in critical thinking and critical reading have been minimal. The studies are limited to a qualitative study that investigated the processing strategies and critical thinking dispositions among Malaysian university students in a critical reading task (Afiza, 2005), as well as quantitative studies that examined the profile of critical thinking dispositional attributes of secondary school students (Kamisah, Lilia & Zanaton, 2003), and the relationship between critical thinking dispositional attributes and critical thinking learning approaches (Wan Sharazad, Wan Rafaei & Mariam, 2007). In addition, studies that looked at the contribution of the affective factor in language learning and other forms of achievement are predominantly studies that used correlational and experimental designs. It is argued that these methods are insufficient to account for their contributive effects to learning improvements and deficiencies, and also to the interdependence between the social and the cognitive approaches (Brown & White, 2010). As such, more studies that examine the dispositional aspects of critical thinking and critical reading of Malaysian students are crucial as the available studies are insufficient to explain the variability of the Malaysian students' inclinations in thinking critically. Therefore, this study is motivated by a lack of studies that look into the critical thinking and reading dispositional aspects among Asian learners, specifically Malaysian learners. Therefore, the present study would contribute invaluable information or knowledge on the beliefs of the binary or dichotomy between thinking and attitudinal styles between western and Asian learners. Thus, the findings on critical thinking dispositional attributes among Malaysian ESL learners would add to the body of knowledge of cross-cultural studies in L2 reading research. Ultimately, the investigation on the influence of these factors on critical reading skills would contribute to the body of knowledge in critical reading performance among ESL Malaysian learners.

1.3   Objectives of the study

Based on the problems identified in the earlier section, this study seeks to explore the role of language proficiency and metacognitive awareness in reading, as well as critical thinking and critical reading dispositions, in relation to critical reading ability of Malaysian ESL learners. The primary aims of this study are to investigate the critical reading skills, the factor that best predict critical reading skills and the underlying dispositional aspects of the readers that describe their critical reading skills. Therefore, the specific objectives of this study are to:

   i)   examine the critical reading ability among the ESL Malaysian students,
   ii) identify and describe the students' critical reading skills,

6

iii) identify and describe the students' critical reading dispositional attributes,

iv) relate the students' critical reading dispositions with their critical thinking skills and critical reading skills, and

v) examine the relationship between ESL readers' critical reading ability and their language proficiency, metacognitive awareness and critical reading and critical thinking disposition,

vi) predict the contribution of language proficiency, metacognitive awareness, and critical thinking and critical reading dispositions to critical reading performance among Malaysian ESL learners.

1.4   Research questions

This study was conducted to gain understanding of the students' critical reading ability, critical thinking and critical reading dispositions and related factors that contribute to their critical reading ability. Thus, based on the objectives, the following questions are formulated for the study:

i)   What is the level of the ESL learners' critical reading ability?

ii)   What is the critical thinking and critical reading dispositional profile of the ESL learners?

iii)   What is the relationship between the students' level of critical reading skills, on the one hand, and their critical thinking and critical reading dispositions on the other?

iv)   Among the factors of language proficiency, metacognitive awareness, and critical thinking and critical reading dispositions, what is the best   predictor   of critical reading ability among the ESL learners?

1.5   Significance of the study

This study is significant for several reasons. Studies in critical reading skills are important as they are crucial for college readiness and future employment. A plethora of studies in the area of critical literacy in Malaysian classrooms have centered on related pedagogical aspects to enhance critical reading skills, for example the employment of reading strategies. The studies proposed various instructional techniques and methods to help enhance critical reading skills among university learners. However, the pedagogical aspects need to be based on empirical evidence of the factors that can best produce critical readers. Thus, there is a need for a study that specifically examines readers' critical reading ability in order to provide empirical evidence on the best predictors of critical reading ability among university ESL learners in Malaysia in order to guide the development of critical reading instructional framework for teachers. This is because it is important for reading teachers to have the knowledge of the variables that can best

predict the L2 readers' performance when reading a text critically. The reason for this belief is if teachers were more cognizant of the variables that best predict or influence critical reading ability, they would provide better instruction to the students in the reading classroom. One of the ways is to encourage the students to develop questioning attitude by asking relevant questions when reading a text.

Secondly, this study adopted another research design which is a mixed-method design that incorporated the correlational analysis with a qualitative analysis of the students' written responses which can give more insights into the students' dispositional attributes in thinking and reading. This design would provide a better perspective of the relationship between critical thinking skills and dispositions which were found lacking in the studies that investigated these variables merely through quantitative and qualitative approaches. This method was adopted as it could provide better insight into the students' ability to read critically and into their dispositional attributes in thinking and reading critically. The qualitative method was used to provide more understanding on the students' critical reading skills and disposition.

Finally, as mentioned earlier, the significant roles of language proficiency and metacognitive awareness in reading among L2 or ESL learners have been extensively studied. Findings from the studies conducted that examined these roles showed that students' language proficiency and metacognitive awareness contributed to efficient and successful reading performance. In the present study, these factors are further investigated in relation to critical reading skills in order to find out their influence on ESL learners' reading performance. Besides language proficiency and metacognitive awareness, this study also examined critical thinking and critical reading dispositional attributes as factors that potentially predict good critical readers. In relation to critical reading ability, these factors are not sufficiently studied among Malaysian students even though critical thinking theory (Ennis, 1987; Ennis & Norris, 1990; Halpern, 1996, Perkins, Jay & Tishman, 1993) posits that one's disposition to think and read critically is equally important for the successful acquisition of critical thinking and critical reading skills. The concomitant claims of the interdependence between critical thinking skills and dispositions need further investigation, in particular among students from different cultural and academic contexts.

1.6 Theoretical perspectives

This study is framed by sociocognitive perspectives that draw primarily on related concepts of situated cognition and cognitive theory. The works of Langer (1987), Langer, Bertolome, Vasque & Lucas (1990), Gee (2001), and Ruddell & Unrau (2004) largely underpin the conceptualization of the current study. The integration of cognitive

8

and social aspects which encompass broader perspectives of cognition, language, social interactions and culture play significant roles in knowledge and meaning construction process.

Sociocognitive perspectives, which draw heavily from cognitive psychology, posit that an individual's cognitive systems understand and make sense of the world through the understanding of and interactions with social contexts (Augoustinos, Walker, & Donaghue, 2006; Gee, 2001). Literacy is, then, viewed in a broader sense that incorporates reading and writing as ways of thinking (Langer, 1987; Larger, Bartolome, Vasque, & Lucas, 1990) and for meaning-making processes (Gee, 2000; 2001; Ruddell & Unrau, 2004). As Kern (2000) succinctly describes:

> "Literacy is the use of socially-, historically-, and culturally-situated practices of creating and interpreting meaning through text. It entails at least a tacit awareness of the relationship between textual conventions and their context of use and, ideally, the ability to reflect critically on those relationships. Because it is purpose-sensitive, literacy is dynamic- not static- and variable across and within discourse communities and cultures. It draws on a wide range of cognitive abilities, on knowledge of written and spoken language, on knowledge of genres, and on cultural knowledge". (p. 16)

Within this perspective, reading as a meaning-making process (interchangeably used with meaning construction process hereafter) that encompasses the process of thinking and making sense of the text. It goes beyond merely finding information or meaning of the words. The process of reading for meaning is further discussed in the following section.

1.6.1 Reading for meaning

Reading for meaning construction process is largely influenced by Vygotsky's view of literacy practices that is it is a higher mental function activity (Werstch, 1985). To further illustrate the notion of reading for meaning framed by this perspective, the process of reading is best viewed as constructive, dynamic and active (Kern, 2000; Langer, 1987). It involves the interplay of not only various executions of cognitive processes, but also requires active utilization of a reader's experience and knowledge which are shaped and constructed through everyday social activities and events (Brantmeier, 2003; Johns, 1997; Koda & Zehler, 2008), and contexts (Gee, 2001; Johns, 1997; Smagorinsky, 2001).

9

The underlying principle of this perspective is that reading for meaning construction process is inextricably linked to language as a means of thinking and acting upon the world (Gee, 2001). It involves unique functions of how language is used in spoken and written discourse (Kern, 2000). The primary aim of the process is to gain deep understanding of the language (words, phrases and sentences) of the text in order to reach the intended meaning of the author. Gee (2001) posits that meaning in language does not merely represent the proposition or propositional unit or idea unit in a sentence (representation of lexical and grammatical structures). Rather, it represents what Gee (2001) describes as "people's experiences of situated action in the material and social world" (p. 715). The invaluable experiential knowledge which constitutes feelings, perceptions, actions and interactions that are stored in the mind or brain (i.e. schemata) are the elements that give meaning to language. The notion of schema is widely researched and established (Anderson & Pearson, 1984; Rumelhart, 1980). A plethora of studies on its facilitative role in reading comprehension particularly among L2 readers has helped guide many other research studies and reading practices (Anderson, 2004; Brantmeier, 2005; Hudson, 1982; Lee, 1986; Nassaji, 2002). However, knowledge that is stored in the mind is argued to be socially constructed and shaped (Norris & Phillips, 1987). Gee (2001) posits that the human mind is social in two ways. First, the social aspects are rooted in the nature of the mind itself that makes sense of the new information (data) by relating to the interaction with the more mature people (i.e. teachers or parents) and peers. Second, human thinking or cognition is a storehouse of experience gained from people, symbols, objects and technologies in various social contexts. They are essentially the representation of reality. These schemata instrumentally/ directly influence the integration of the new experience, gained through our reading, listening, writing and oral activity, with the old information in our memory to give meaning to the words, phrases and sentences that are situated in actual contexts of their use (Gee, 2001; 2000).

Hence, since cognition is socially shaped and contextualized, meaning that is embedded in language is not merely about facts. Rather, it is value-laden that allows different perspectives to be taken to challenge the meaning of it (Gee, 2001; Smagorinsky, 2001). Words do not have a single meaning. The meaning of a word is always contextualized (Grabe, 2009) and deeply situated in the action (see Gee, 2000; 2001, for more details on situated meaning). Comprehension of language fundamentally involves interpreting the meaning of it by relating to the context in which it occurs (Johns, 1997; Langer, Bartolome, Vasque & Lucas, 1990; Ruddell & Unrau, 2004; Smagorisky, 2011; 2001; Smith, 1985). In view of this, reading for meaning, by way of exercising one's critical thinking, is always tied to the role of language primarily because the surface structure of language is never neutral (Fariclough, 2001; Gee, 2001). It is ambiguous (Smith, 1985) because the function of language is not about shunting information as facts (Gee, 2001).

10

The meaning of language is flexible, adaptable and changeable (Smith, 1985). It is, therefore, always open for interpretation.

The description of reading as a meaning construction process has led to an important question: what constitutes meaning construction? Firstly, the notion of comprehension shaped by the sociocognitive perspective emphasizes the role of reader as an agent to talk, think and read for meaning. Thus, the source of meaning in reading is when reader successfully creates a new text during reading engagement (Smagorinsky, 2001; Snow & Sweet, 2003). Construction of meaning lies in the creation of new knowledge from the transactional activity between the reader and text (Smagorinsky, 2001) or active collaboration between the two (Kern, 2000). However, it is important to note that these meanings which are constructed in readers' cognition are deeply rooted in their culture and social-historical experiences which surround them (Gee, 2000; Smagorinsky, 2001). This reflects the fundamental assumption that guides Vygotsky's theory of learning, that is "in order to understand the individual, one must first understand the social relations in which the individual exists" (Wertsch, 1985, p. 58). These elements have unconsciously conditioned readers and provided tools for them to be aware of the social construction of the discourse in the text which are, then, brought together during the creation of text interpretation.

In sum, the view of literacy explicated here underscores the confluence of reader, text and context variables. The significant roles of these variables, explained by Ruddell & Unrau's (2004) sociocognitive interactive reading model in the meaning-making process of L2 readers are further elaborated in Chapter 2 (section 2.1 & 2.2).

1.7   Definition of key terms

The following section presents the definitions of some key terms of the study: critical reading, critical thinking dispositions, metacognitive awareness and language proficiency.

1.7.1   Critical reading

Harris and Hodges (1995) define critical reading as "reading in which a questioning attitude, logical analysis, and inference are used to judge the worth of text according to an established standard" (p.108). In essence, critical reading is the ability to read a text beyond its literal meaning (Fisher, 2001), a process which requires an analytic mind to judge the value of the text (Thistlewaite, 1990) through which higher-order reading skills are utilized (Beck, 1989). These involve analysis, synthesis and evaluation (Flynn, 1989). It is reflective reading that involves the use of a set of critical thinking skills to

11

evaluate a text in order to comprehend the explicit and the implicit meaning of it. The critical reading ability in this study was measured by the Critical Reading Comprehension test (CRCT) which assessed the evaluative and analytical skills.

1.7.2 Critical reading dispositions

According to Philips and Norris (1987), the dispositions in reading critically include "tendencies to seek a clear statement of the thesis or question, to take into account the total situation, to seek alternatives, to take a position and to change it when the evidence and reasons are sufficient to do so and to remain open-minded" (p.295). The critical reading dispositional attributes of a reader refer to his or her willingness to persistently be open-minded, reflective, question the stance of the author, as well as evaluate the information based on sound evidence and reason when reading. These dispositional aspects were measured using the Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CTDI) by Kamsiah Abdullah (2003) which specifically measured the readers' critical reading dispositions.

1.7.3   Critical thinking dispositions

Critical thinking dispositions refer to one's inclination to utilize critical thinking skills (Giancarlo & Facione, 2001), behavioral tendencies to think critically (Perkins, Jay & Tishman, 1993) and characterological attitude to think critically (Facione, Sanchez, Facione & Gainen, 1995). The term critical thinking disposition thus generally refers to one's inclination or willingness to use critical thinking skills in solving problems of any task at hand. These dispositional attributes were measured with the California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CCTDI) (Facione & Facione, 1992).

1.7.4   Metacognitive awareness

Metacognition, as an essential component in reading comprehension and in thinking, is described as "one's knowledge concerning one's own cognitive processes and products or anything related to them" (Flavell, 1976, p. 232). Halpern (1998) describes it as "what we know about what we know" (p. 454) and Phakiti (2003) refers to it as "the notion about thinking about thinking" (p. 29). It essentially describes the cognitive processes which regulate and monitor one's mental processing that can improve performance in reading comprehension and thinking. Carell's Metacognitive Awareness and Second Language Reading questionnaire (1989) was used to examine the degree of metacognitive awareness of the L2 readers.

12

1.7.5   Language proficiency

Language proficiency, in this study, refers to English language proficiency. It refers to a learner's syntactic knowledge (Barnett, 1996), which essentially refers to one's knowledge of the grammar and one's ability to use the knowledge in reading tasks. The students' English proficiency level was assessed using the Oxford Placement Test (Allan, 1992) which has been extensively used to assess the level of English proficiency among ESL learners.

1.8   Organization of the thesis

Chapter 1 presents the background to the present study. In addition, it provides the statement of problem, the objectives, research questions and the significance of this study. A description of the theoretical perspectives upon which the study was framed is also included in this chapter. Finally, the definitions of key words for the study are provided.

Chapter 2 discusses the underlying cognitive and social aspects of reading that forms the conceptual framework of the study. It also provides a review of relevant current and past literature on skills and dispositional aspects of critical thinking and reading, metacognitive awareness in reading and L2 language proficiency in relation to L2 reading comprehension. Literature of related studies pertaining to quantitative and qualitative methods is also reviewed in this chapter.

Chapter 3 explains the methods that have been used for conducting the study and for analyzing the data obtained from the study. Firstly, this chapter describes the variables measured and provides diagrammatic illustration of the theoretical framework underpinning the present study. Secondly, it explains the mixed method design. This chapter also describes the participants, instruments for data collection and procedures in collecting the quantitative and qualitative data. Finally, this chapter provides a description of the data analysis procedures of both methods.

Chapter 4 presents the results and reports the discussion on the findings of the study to address the research questions constructed for the study. The results include the descriptive analysis of the survey questionnaires. Subsequently, the correlational and multiple regression analyses are presented. This chapter also presents the findings of the qualitative data through the document analysis of students' responses in the critical reading comprehension test. It also discusses the findings from the quantitative and qualitative analysis of the students' critical reading performance and the findings from the descriptive, correlation and regression analysis.

13

Chapter 5 summarizes the research findings, draws conclusion and suggests some implications drawn from the findings. Limitations of the study and suggestions for future research are also provided in this chapter**.**

REFERENCES

Afflerbach, P.P. (1990). The influence of prior knowledge on expert readers' main idea construction strategies. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 25, 31-46.

Afiza, M.A. (2005). *Reasoning strategies, Dispositions and identity in critical reading-thinking among Malaysian EAP students*. Unpublished PhD thesis, Lancaster University.

Albro, E.R., Doolitle, E.J., Lauer, K., & Okagaki, L. (2009). Reading with understanding: Research from the Institute of Educational Science. *Perspectives on Language and Literacy*, 35(2), 17-21.

Alderson, J.C. (1984). Reading in a foreign language: A reading problem or a language problem. In Alderson, J.C., & Urquhart, A.H., *Reading in a foreign language*. London: Longman.

Alderson, J.C., & Lukmani, Y. (1989). Cognition and reading: Cognitive levels as embodied in test questions. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 5(2), 253-270.

Alexander, P.A., & Jetton, T.L. (2000). Learning from text: A multidimensional and developmental perspective. In M.L. Kamil, P.B. Mosenthal, P.D. Pearson, & R. Barr. *Handbook of Reading Research Vol III*, Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Alhaqbani, A., & Riazi, M. (2012). Metacognitive awareness of reading strategy use in Arabic as a second language. *Reading in a Foreign Language,* 24(2), 231-255.

Alptekin, C., & Ercetin, G. (2010). The role of L1 and L2 working memory in literal and inferential comprehension in L2 reading. *Journal of Research in Reading,* 33(2), 206-219.

Anderson, R.C. (2004). Role of the readers' schema in comprehension, learning and memory. In Ruddell, R.B., and Unrau, N.J. *Theoretical models and processes of reading (5th edition)*. Delaware: International Reading Association.

American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (Sixth Ed.)*. Washington DC: American Psychological Association.

Applegate, M.D., Quinn, K.B., & Applegate, A.J. (2002). Levels of thinking required by comprehension questions in informal reading inventories. *The Reading Teacher*, 56(2), 174-180.

Arkes, H.R., Hackett, C., & Boehm, L. (1989). The generality of the relationship between familiarity and judge validity. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 2, 81-94.

Ary, D., Jacobs, L.C., & Sorenson, C. (2010). *Introduction to research in Education*. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning.

Asma Abdullah. (1996). *Going glocal. Cultural dimensions in Malaysian management.* Kuala Lumpur: Malaysian Institute of Management.

Atkinson, D. (1997). A critical approach to critical thinking in TESOL. TESOL Quarterly, 31, 74-94.

Atkinson, D. (2002). Toward a sociocognitive approach to second language acquisition. *The Modern Language Journal,* 86(4), 525-545.

Augoustinos, M., Walker, L., & Donaghue, N. (2006). *Social cognition. An integrated introduction (Second edition).* London: SAGE Publications.

Awg Kasmurie Awg Kitot, Abdul Razak Ahmad, & Ahmad Ali Seman. (2010). The effectiveness of inquiry teaching in enhancing students' critical thinking. *Procedia Social and Behavional Science, TC*, 264-273.

Baker, L. (1985). Differences in the standards used by college students to evaluate their comprehension of expository prose. *Reading Research Quarterly,* 20(3), 297-313.

Baker, L. (1989). Metacognition, comprehension monitoring, and adult learners. *Educational Psychology Review,* 1(1), 3-38.

Barton, D. (1994). *Literacy.* Oxford. Blackwell.

Barton, D., Ivanic, R., Appleby, Y., Hodge, R., & Tusting, K.(2007). *Literacy, lives and learning.* London Routledge.

Bartu, H. (2001). Can't I read without thinking. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 13(2), 593-611.

Barnett, M.A. (1989). *More than meets the eye. Foreign language reading: Theory and practice*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Regents.

Barnett, M.A. (1986). Syntactic and lexical/semantic skills in foreign language reading: Importance and interaction. *The Modern Language Journal,* 70, 343-349.

Barnett, M.A. (1988). Reading through context: How real and perceived strategy use affects L2 comprehension. *Modern Language Journal,* 72, 150-162.

Barry, S., & Lazarte, A.A. (1998). Evidence for mental model: How do prior knowledge, syntactic complexity and reading topic affect inference generation in a recall task of nonnative readers of Spanish? *The Modern Language Journal,* 82, 176-193.

Beck, I.L. (1989). Reading and reasoning. *The Reading Teacher*, 42, 676-682.

Begg, I., Armour, V., & Kerr, T. (1985). On believing what we remember. *Canadian Journal of Behavioral Science*, 17, 199-214.

Bernhardt, E.B. (1991). A psycholinguistic perspective on second language literacy. *AILA Review,* 8, 31-44.

Bernhardt, E.B. (1991). *Reading development in a second language: Theoretical, empirical and classroom perspectives*. New Jersey: Ablex Publishing Corporation.

Bernhardt, E.B. (2000). Second-language reading as a case study of reading scholarship in the 20th century. In Kamil, M.L., Mosenthal, P.B., Pearson, P.D., Barr, R. (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research (Vol.3)*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum

Bernhardt, E.B. (2005). Progress and procrastination in second language reading. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 25, 133-150.

Bernhardt, E.B., & Kamil, M.L. (1995). Interpreting relationship between L1 and L2 reading: Consolidating the linguistic threshold and the linguistic interdependence hypotheses. *Applied Linguistics*, 16, 15-34.

161

Bers, T.H., McGowan, M., & Rubin, A. (1996). The disposition to think critically among community college students: The California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory. *The Journal of General Education*, 45(3), 197-223.

Block, E. (1992). See how they read: Comprehension monitoring of L1 and L2 readers. *TESOL Quarterly*, 26, 319-343.

Bosley, L. (2008). "I don't teach reading": Critical reading instruction in composition courses. *Literacy Research and Instruction*. 47(4), 285-308.

Brantmeier, C. (2003). Beyond linguistic knowledge: Individual differences in second language reading. *Foreign Language Annals*, 36(1), 33-43.

Brantmeier, C. (2004). Statistical procedures for research on L2 reading comprehension: An examination of ANOVA and Regression Models. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 16(2), 98-124.

Brown, A.L. (1980). Metacognitive development and reading. In Spiro, R.J., Bruce, B.C., and Brewer, W.F. *Theoretical issues in reading comprehension*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.

Brown, A.L., Armbruster, B.B., & Baker, L. (1986). The role of metacognition in reading and studying. In Orasamu, J. (Ed.). *Reading comprehension from research and practice*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Brown, J.D. (1992). Statistics as a foreign language Part 2: More things to consider in reading statistical language. *TESOL Quarterly*, 26(4), 629-671.

Brown, J.S., Collins, A., & Duguid, P. (1989). Situated cognition and the culture of learning. *Educational Researcher,* 18(2), 32-42.

Bryman, A. (2006). Integrating quantitative and qualitative research: How it is done. *Qualitative Research,* 6(1), 97-113.

Caccamise, D. & Synder, L. (2009). Comprehension instruction in the 21st century. *Perspectives on Language and Literacy,* 35(2), 23-26.

Cain, K. (2009). Making sense of text: Skills that support text comprehension and its development. *Perspectives on Language and Literacy*, 35(2), 11-14.

Carell, P.L. (1984). The effects of rhetorical organization on ESL readers. *Tesol Quarterly*, 18(3), 441-469.

Carell , P. L. (1989). Metacognitive awareness and second language reading, *The Modern Language Journal,* 73(2), 121-143.

Carell, P.L. (1991). Second language reading: Reading ability or language proficiency?. *Applied Linguistics*, 12(2), 159-179.

Carell, P.L., Gajdusek, L., & Wise, T. (1998). Metacognition and EFL/ESL. *Instructional Science*, 26, 97-112.

Cassidy, J., Valadez, C.M., Garrett, S.D., & Barrera, E.S. (2010). Adolescent and adult literacy: Commentary. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy,* 53(6), 448-456.

Clarke, M.A. (1980). The short circuit hypothesis of ESL reading or when language competence interferes with reading performance. *The Modern Language Journal*, 64, 203-207.

Coady, J. (1979). A psycholinguistic model of the ESL reader. In Mackay, R., Barkman, B., & Jordan, R.R. (Eds.), *Reading in a second language* (p. 1-12). Rowley, Massachusetts: Newbury House Publishers, Inc.

Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd Ed.)*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Erlbaum.

Cohen, Y., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2000). *Research method in education.* London: Routledge Falmer.

Comber, B. & Simpson, A. (2001). *Negotiating critical literacies in classrooms (Eds.).* Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Commeyras, M. (1990). Analyzing a critical-thinking reading lesson. *Teaching & Teacher Education,* 6(3), 201-214.

Conley, M.W., and Wise, A. (2011). Comprehension for what? Preparing students for their meaningful future. *Theory into Practice*, 50, 93-99.

Cook-Gumperz, J. (1986). Introduction: The social construction of literacy. In Cook-Gumperz. C. (Ed). *The social construction of literacy (1-18)*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Coté, N., Goldman, S.R., & Saul, E. U. (1998). Students making sense of informational text: Relations between processing and representation. *Discourse Processes*, 25(1), 1-53.

Crème, P. (1999). A reflection on the education of the 'critical person'. *Teaching in Higher Education,* 4(4), 461-471.

Creswell, J.W. (2009). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (3rd Ed.)*. LA; Sage Publication.

Creswell, J.W., & Plano Clark, V.L. (2011). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research (2nd Ed.)*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication, Inc.

Crismore, A. (2000). *Helping ESL and EFL university students read critically: A 2000's challenge*. ERIC ED 450 592.

Cummins, J. (1991). Conversational and academic language proficiency in bilingual contexts. *AILA Review,* 8, 75-89.

Cummins, J. (2001). *Negotiating identities: Education for empowerment in a diverse society (2nd Ed.)*. California: California Association for Bilingual Education.

Day, R.R., & Park, J. (2005). Developing reading comprehension questions. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 17(1), 60-73.

Dechant, E.V, & Smith, H.P. (1977). *Psychology in teaching reading (2nd edition).* New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Degand, L., & Sanders, T. (2002). The impact of relational markers on expository text comprehension. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal,* 15, 739-757.

Devine, J. (1998). The relationship between general language competence and second language reading proficiency: Implication for teaching. In Carrel, P.L., Devine, J., and Eskey, D.E. *Interactive approaches to second language reading*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Dewey, J. (1933). *How we think*. Massachusetts: D.C. Heath and Company.

Diakidoy, I.N., Mouskounti, T., & Ioannides, C. (2011). Comprehension and learning from refutation and expository text. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 46(1), 22-38.

Douglas, N.L. (2000). Enemies of critical thinking: Lessons from social psychology research. *Reading Psychology*, 21, 129-144.

Droop, M., & Verhoeven, L. (1998). Background knowledge, linguistic complexity, and second-language reading comprehension. Journal of Literacy Research, 30(2), 253-271.

Droop, M., & Verhoeven, L. (2003). Language proficiency and reading ability in first- and second-language learners. *Reading Research Quarterly,* 38(1), 78-103.

Ennis, R.H. (1985). A logical basis for measuring critical thinking skills. *Educational Leadership*, 44-48.

Ennis, R.H. (1987*).* A taxonomy of critical thinking dispositions and abilities. In Baron J.B., & Sternberg, R.J., *Teaching thinking skills: Theory and practice (pp. 9-26).* New York: W.H. Freeman.

Ennis, R. H. (1996). Critical thinking disposition: Their nature and assessibility. *Informal Logic*, 18(2-3), 165-182.

Eskey, D.E. (1998). Holding in the bottom: An interactive approach to the language problems of second Language readers. In Carrell, P.L., Devine, J., and Eskey, D.E (eds.). *Interactive approaches to second language reading*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Facione, P.A. (2000). The disposition toward critical thinking : Its character, measurement, and relationship to critical thinking skill. Informal Logic, 20(1), 61-84.

Facione, N.C., & Facione, P.A. (2010). *The California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory. CCTDI instrument user's manual.* CA: California Academic Press.

Facione, N.C., & Facione, P.A. (1997). *Critical thinking assessment in nursing educations programs: An aggregate data analysis.* Millbrae, CA: The California Academic Press.

Facione, N.C., Facione, P.A., & Sánchez, C.A. (1994). Using critical thinking dispositions to predict competent clinical judgment: The development of the California Critical Thinking Dispositions Inventory. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 33, 345-350.

Facione, P.A., & Facione, N.C (1992). *The California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CCTDI). Test administration manual.* CA: California Academic Press.

Facione, P.A., Facione, N.C., & Giancarlo, C.A.F. (2000). The disposition toward critical thinking: Its character, measurement and relationship to critical thinking skills. *Informal Logic*, 20(1), 61-84.

Facione, P.A., Sánchez, C.A., Facione, N.C., & Gainen, J. (1995). The disposition toward critical thinking. *The Journal of General Education*, 44(1), 1-25.

Fagan, W.T. (1989). Empowered students; empowered teachers. *The Reading Teacher,* 572-578.

Fauziah Majid. (2008). Culture and learner beliefs: A study of three Malay postgraduate students. *Asian Journal of University Education,* 4(1), 127-142

Fairclough, N. (2003). *Language and power*. London: Longman.

Field, A.P. (2009). *Discovering statistics using SPSS (3rd Edition).* London: Sage Publication (pp. 206-220).

Fisher, A. (2008). Teaching comprehension and critical literacy: Investigating guided reading in three primary classrooms. *Literacy*, 42(1), 19-28.

Fisher, R. (2001). Philosophy in primary schools: Fostering thinking skills and literacy. *Reading Literacy and Language,* 67-73.

Fitzgerald, J. (1995). English-as-a-Second –Language learners' cognitive reading processes: A review of research in the United States. *Review of Educational Research,* 65(2), 145-190.

Flavell, J.H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive-developmental inquiry. *American Psychologist,* 34, 906-911.

Flavell, J.H. (1982). On cognitive development. *Child Development*, 53, 1-10.

Flynn, L.L. (1989). Developing critical reading skills through cooperative problem solving. *The Reading Teacher*, 42, 664-668.

Foorman, B.R., & Santi, K.L. (2009). The teaching of reading. In Saha, L.J., & Dworkin, A.G. (Eds.), International handbook of research on teachers and teaching *(941-951)*. Springer Science.

Freire, P., & Macedo, D. (1987). *Literacy: Reading the word and the world*. Massachusetts: Bergin and Garvey Publishers, Inc.

Friedel, C., Irani, T., Rudd, R., Gallo, M., Eckhardt, E., & Ricketts, J. (2008). Overtly teaching critical thinking and inquiry-based learning: A comparison of two undergraduate biotechnology classes. *Journal of Agricultural Education,* 49(1), 72-84.

Gan, Z. (2009). Asian learners' reexamined: An empirical study of language learning attitudes, strategies and motivation among mainland Chinese and Hong Kong students. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development.* 30(1), 41-58.

Garner, R., & Alexander, P.A. (1989). Metacognitive: Answered and unanswered question. *Educational Psychologist,* 24, 143-158.

Gaskin, I.W. (2003). Taking charge of reader, text, activity and context variables. In In A.P., Sweet & C.E. Snow (Eds.), *Rethinking reading comprehension*. New York: The Guildford Press. (p.141-165).

Gee, J.P. (1996). *Social linguistic and literacies: Ideology in discourse (Second edition)*. New York: Taylor & Francis.

Gee, J.P (2000). Discourse and sociocultural studies in Reading. in M.L, Kamil, P.B. Mosenthal, P.D., Pearson & R. Barr (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research: Vol III (pp. 195-208)*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Erlbaum.

Gee, J.P. (2001). Reading as situated language: A sociocognitive perspective. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 44 (8), 714-725.

Gee, J.P. (2007). *Social linguistics and literacies (3rd Edition).* London: Routledge.

Geva, E. (1999). Issues in the development of second language reading: Implications for instruction and assessment. In Nunes, T (Ed.), *Learning to read: An integrated*

view from research and practice (pp. 343-367). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Geva, E. (2006). Learning to read in a second language: Research, implications, and recommendations for services. In Tremblay R.E, Barr R.G, Peters R.D, eds. *Encyclopaedia on Early Childhood Development* [online]. Montreal, Quebec: Centre of Excellence for Early Childhood Development; 2006:1-12. Available at: http://www.child-encyclopedia.com/documents/GevaANGxp.pdf. Accessed January 10, 2012.

Gharaki, S., & Sharifian, F. (2005). The relationship between overall reading comprehension and determination of fact/opinion in L2. *The Reading Matrix,* 5(1), 36-46.

Giancarlo, C.A., Blohm, S.W., & Urdan, T. (2004). Assessing secondary students' disposition toward critical thinking: Development of the California measure of mental motivation. *Educational and Psychological Measurement,* 64(2), 347-364.

Giancarlo, C.A., & Facione, P.A. (2001). A look across four years at the disposition toward critical thinking among undergraduate students. *The Journal of General Education*, 50(1), 29-55.

Gilbert, D.T. (1991). How mental systems believe. *American Psychologist*, 46(2), 107-119.

Gilbert, D.T., Krull, D.S., & Malone, P.S. (1990). Unbelieving the unbelievable: Some problems in the rejection of false information. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 59(4), 601-613.

Gilbert, D.T., Tarafodi, R.W., & Malone, P.S. (1993). You can't not believe everything you read. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 65(2), 221-233.

Glaser, R. (1984). Education and thinking: The role of knowledge. *American Psychologist,* 39(2), 93-104.

Goldman, S.R., & Rakestraw, J.A. (2000). Structural aspects of constructing meaning from text. In M.L. Kamil, P.B. Mosenthal, P.B Pearson, & R. Barr, *Handbook of Reading Research, Vol III (pp. 311-335).* Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Comber, B., & Simpson, A. (2001). *Negotiating critical literacy in the classrooms.* Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Grabe, W. (2010). Revisiting the MLA report on reconfiguring foreign language programs: The role of reading. *Reading in a Foreign Language.* 22(1), 11-14.

Grabe, W. (2009). *Reading in a second language. Moving from theory to practice.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Grabe, W. (1991). Current developments in second language reading research. *TESOL Quarterly*. 25 (3), 375-405.

Graney, J.M. (1990). Determination of fact and opinion: A critical reading problem: *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 19(3), 147-166.

Graesser, A.C., Millis, K.K., & Zwaan, R.A. (1997). Discourse comprehension. *Annual Reviews in Psychology*, 48, 163-189.

Greenleaf, C.L., Schoenbach, R., Cziko, C., & Mueller, F.L. (2008). Apprenticing adolescent readers to academic literacy. *Harvard Educational Review,* 71(1), 79-129.

Gunning, T.G. (2008). Developing high-level literacy in all students. New York: Pearson.

Guo, Y., & Roehrig, A.D. (2011). Roles of general versus second language (L2) knowledge in L2 reading comprehension. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 23(1), 42-64.

Habsah, H. (2006). Dimensions of questioning: A qualitative study of current classrooms practice in Malaysia. *TESL-EJ, 10*(2), 1-23.

Haenggi, D., & Perfetti, C.A. (1992). Individual differences in reprocessing of text. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 84(2), 182-192.

Hall, L.A., & Piazza, S.V. (2008). Critically reading text: What students do and how teachers can help. *The Reading Teacher*, 62(1), 32-41.

Halpern, D.F. (1997). *Critical thinking across the curriculum: A brief edition of thoughts and knowledge*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Halpern, D.F. (1998). Teaching critical thinking for transfer across domain: Dispositions, skills, structure training, and metacognitive monitoring. *American Psychologist*, 53(4), 449-455.

Hammadou, J. (1991). Interrelationships among prior knowledge, inference and language proficiency in foreign language reading. *The Modern Language Journal.* 75(1), 27-37.

Hansen, J., & Pearson, R.D. (1983). An instructional study: Improving the inferential comprehension of good and poor fourth-grade readers. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 93, 103-128.

Harison Mohd Sidek (2011). Methods of EFL secondary reading instruction. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science,* 1(3), 181-191.

Hawkin, R., & Chan, Y. (1997). The partial availability of universal grammar in second language acquisition: The failed functional features hypothesis. *Second Language Research*, 13, 187-226.

Hazenberg, S., & Hulstjin, J.H. (1995). Defining a minimal receptive second-language vocabulary for non-native university students: An empirical investigation.

Hennings, D.G. (1999). *Reading with meaning (Fourth edition)*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Hoffman, K., & Elwin, C. (2004). The relationship between critical thinking and confidence in decision-making. *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing,* 22 (1), 8-12.

Hoover, W.A., & Tunmer, W.E. (1993). The components of reading. In Thompson, G.B., Tunmer, W.E., & Nicholson, T. (Eds.), *Reading acquisition process (pp. 1-17).* Clevedon: Multilingual Matters Ltd.

Horiba, Y. (2000). Reader control in reading: Effects of language competence, text type, and task. *Discourse Processes*, 29(3), 223-267.

Hosenfeld, C. (1977). A preliminary investigation of the reading strategies of successful and non-successful second language learners. *System,* 5, 110-123.

Huck, S.W., & Cormier, W.H. (1996). *Reading statistics and research (2ⁿᵈ edition)*. New York: Harper Collin College Publishers.

Hudson, T. (2007). *Teaching second language reading*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Huijie, L. (2010). Developing a hierarchical framework of critical reading proficiency. *Chinese Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 33(6), 40-54.

In'nami, Y., & Koizumi, R. (2009). A meta-analysis of test format effects on reading and listening test performance: Focus on multiple-choice and open-ended formats. *Language Testing*, 26(2), 219-244.

Ippolito, J., Steele, J.L., & Samson, J.F. (2008). Introduction: Why adolescent literacy matters now. *Harvard Educational Review,* 78(1), 1-5.

Jiang, X. (2011). The role of first language literacy and second language proficiency in second language comprehension. *The Reading Matrix,* 11(2), 177-190.

Jimenéz, R.T., García, G.E., & Pearson, P.D. (1995). Three children, two languages, and strategic reading: Case studies in bilingual/monolingual reading. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(1), 67-97.

Jitendra, A.K., Chard, D., Hoppes, M.K., Renouf, K., & Gardill, M.C. (2001). An evaluation of main idea strategy instruction in four commercial reading programs: Implications for students with learning problems. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 17, 53-73.

Johanson, G.A., & Brooks, G.P. (2010). Initial scale development: Sample size for pilot study. *Educational and Psychological Measurement,* 70(3), 394-400.

Johns, A. M. (1997). *Text, role and context*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Johnsons, R.B., & Onwuegbuzie,A.J. (2004). Mixed method research: A research paradigm whose time has come. *Educational Researcher*, 33(7), 14-26.

Kamsiah Abdullah. (2003). *The critical thinking and reading abilities of Singaporean Malay students*. Universiti Malaya: Academy of Malay Studies.

171

Kamisah, O., Lilia, H., & Zanaton, I. (2003). The critical thinking attitudinal profile of some Malaysian secondary students: A reflection of scientific attitudes. *Journal of Science and Mathematics Education in South-East Asia,* 26(2), 143-166.

Karabenick, S.A., & Moosa, S. (2005). Culture and personal epistemology: U.S and middle eastern students' beliefs about scientific knowledge and knowing. *Social Psychology of Education*. 8, 375-393.

Kardash, C. M., & Howell, K.L. (2000). Effects of epistemological beliefs and topic-specific beliefs on undergraduates'' cognitive and strategic processing of dual-positional text. *Journal of Educational Psychology,* 92(3), 529-535.

Kardash, C.M., & Scholes, R.J. (1996). Effects of pre-existing beliefs, epistemological beliefs, and the need for cognition on interpretation of controversial issues. *Journal of Educational Psychology,* 88(2), 260-271.

Kendeou, P., Muis, K.R., & Fulton, S. (2011). Reader and text factors in reading comprehension processes. *Journal of Reading Research*, 34(4), 365-383.

Kern, R. (2000). *Literacy and language teaching*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

King, A. (2002). Structuring interaction to promote high-level cognitive processing. *Theory into Practice*, 41(1), 33-39.

Kintsch, W.C. (1988). The role of knowledge in discourse comprehension: A Construction-Integration Model. *Psychological Review,* 95, 163-182.

Kintch, W.C. (1998). *Comprehension: A paradigm for cognition*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Kintsch, W.C., & van Djik, T.A. (1978). Toward a model of text comprehension and production. *Psychological Review*, 85, 363-394.

Kobayashi, K. (2007). The influence of critical reading orientation on external strategy use during expository text reading. *Educational Psychology*, 27(3), 363-375.

Kobayashi, M. (2002). Methods effects on reading comprehension test performance: Text organization and response type. *Language Testing,* 19(2), 193-220.

Koda, K. & Zehler, A.M. (2008). Conceptualizing reading universals, cross-linguistic variations, and second language literacy development. In Koda, K., & Zehler A.M., (Eds.), *Learning to read across language: Cross-linguistic relationship in first-and-second-language literacy development.* New York: Routledge.

Koo, Y.L. (2003). Socioculturally-oriented critical reading in the learning space: Empowering multicultural/lingual voices. In Pandian, A., Chakravarthy, G., and Kell, P. (Eds.). *New Literacies, new practices, new times (pp. 128-138).* Selangor: Universiti Putra Malaysia Press.

Koo, Y.L. (2008). *Language, culture and literacy: Meaning-making in global contexts.* Bangi, Selangor: Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.

Koo, Y.L. (2010). Exploring pluriliteracy as theory and practice in multilingual/cultural context, *3L Journal of Languange Teaching, Linguistic and Literature.* 11, 79-98.

Koo, Y.L. (2011). Sustaining critical literacy in higher education: Ambivalence, challenges and Tensions. In Ambigapathy Pandian, Shaik Abdul Malik Mohamed Ismail & Toh, C.H. (Eds.) *Forging unity amidst diversity: From classrooms and beyond (pp.104-112).* Penang: School of Languages, Literacies and Translation, USM.

Krathwohl, D.R. (2002). A revision of Bloom's taxonomy: An overview. *Theory into Practice*, 41(4), 212-218.

Krejcie, R.V., & Morgan, D.W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement,* 30, 607- 610.

Kucer, S.B. (2009). *Dimensions of literacy (3ʳᵈ Edition).* London: Routledge.

Kunn, D. (1999). A developmental model of critical thinking. *Educational Researcher,* 28, 16-46.

Kunn, D., & Dean, D. (2004). Metacognition: A bridge between cognitive psychology and educational practice. *Theory into Practice*, 43(4), 268-273.

La Berge, D., & Samuels, S.J. (1985). Toward a theory of automatic information processing in reading. In Singer, H., & Ruddell, R.B. (Eds.). *Theoretical models and processes of reading.* Network: International Reading Association, Inc.

Langer, J.A. (1987). A sociocognitive perspective on literacy. In Larger J.A (Ed.). *Language, literacy, and culture: Issues of society and schooling.* New Jersey: Ablex Publishing Corporation.

Langer, J.A., Bartolome, L., Vasquez, O., & Lucas, T. (1990). Meaning construction in school literacy tasks: A study of bilingual students. *American Educational Research Journal,* 27(3), 427-471.

Lantoff, J.P. (2007). Sociocultural source of thinking and its relevance for second language acquisition. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition,* 10(1), 31-33.

Lanksher, C., Gee, J.P., Knobel, M., & Searle, C. (2002). *Changing literacies.* Buckingham: Open University Press.

Lau, K. (2006). Reading strategy use between Chinese good and poor readers: A think-aloud study. *Journal of Research in Reading,* 29(4), 383-399.

Laufer, B., & Ravenhorst-Kalovski, G.C. (2010). Lexical threshold revisited: Lexical text coverage, learners' vocabulary size and reading comprehension. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 22(1), 15-30.

Layton, A., Robinson, J., & Lawson, M. (1998). The relationship between syntactic awareness and reading performance. *Journal of Research in Reading,* 21(1), 5-23.

Lee, J., and Schallert, D.M. (1997). The relative contribution of L2 language proficiency and L1 reading ability in L2 reading performance: A test of the threshold hypothesis in EFL context. *TESOL Quarterly*, 31, 713-739.

Lehman, S., & Schraw, G. (2002). Effects of coherence and relevance on shallow and deep text processing. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 94(4), 738-750.

Linderholm, T., Everson, M.G., van den Broek, P., Mischinski, M., Cuttenden, A., & Samuels, J. (2000). Effects of causal text revisions on more- or less-skilled readers' comprehension of easy and difficult texts. *Cognition & Instruction*, 18(4), 525-556.

Linderholm, T., & van den Broek, P. (2002). The effects of reading purpose and working memory capacity on the processing of expository text. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 94(4), 778-784.

Lipman, M. (1988). Critical thinking – What can it be? *Educational Leadership*, 46(1), 38-43.

Littlewood, W. (2000). Do Asian students really want to listen and obey? *ELT Journal*, 54(1), 31-36.

Littlewood, W. (1999). Defining and developing autonomy in East Asian contexts. *Applied Linguistics, 20*(1), 71-94.

Liu, D. (1998). Ethnocentrism in TESOL: Teacher education and the neglected needs of international TESOL students. *ELT Journal, 52*(1), 3-10.

Lo, Y. (2010). Assessing critical reflection in Asian EFL students' portfolio: An exploratory study. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher,* 19(2), 347-355.

Lu, S. (1999). *An investigation into EFL reading processes: Reading effectiveness, inference construction, and metacognitive strategy.* Unpublished MA dissertation, Zhejiang University, China.

Luke, A. (1995). When basic skills and information processing just aren't enough: Rethinking reading in new times. *Teachers College Records*, 97(1), 95-115.

Luke, A., & Elkins, J. (2002). Towards a critical, worldly literacy. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 45(6), 668-673.

Manzo, A.V., Manzo, U., Barnhill, A., & Thomas, M. (2000). Proficient reader subtypes: Implications for literacy theory, assessment, and practice. *Reading Psychology*, 21, 217-232.

Mat Daud, N., & Husin, Zamnah. (2004). Developing critical thinking skills in computer-aided extended reading classes. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 35(4), 477-487.

Macpherson, R., & Stanovich, K.E. (2007). Cognitive ability, thinking dispositions, and instructional set as predictors of critical thinking. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 17, 115-127.

McBride, R.E., Xiang, P., & Wittenburg, D. (2002). Dispositions toward critical thinking: The preservice teachers' perspectives. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice,* 8(1), 29-40.

McDonald, L. (2004). Moving from reader response to critical reading: Developing 10-11-years-olds' ability as analytical readers of literary text. *Literacy*, 17-25.

McGregor, D. (2007). *Developing thinking. Developing learning: A guide to thinking skills in education.* England: Open University Press.

McKeown, M.G., & Beck, I.L. (2009). The role of metacognition in understanding and supporting reading comprehension. In Hacker, D.J., Dunlosky, J., & Graesser, A.C. (Eds.), *Handbook of metacognition in education.* New York: Routledge.

McLaren, P.L. (1988). Culture or canon? Critical pedagogy and the politics of literacy. *Harvard Educational Research,* 58(2), 213-234.

McLaughlin, M., & DeVoogd, G. (2004a). Critical literacy as comprehension: Expanding reader response. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy,* 48(1), 52-62.

McLaughlin, M., & DeVoogd, G. (2004b). *Critical literacy: Enhancing students' comprehension of text.* New York: Scholastic.

McNamara, D.S. (2009). The importance of teaching reading strategies. *Perspectives on Language and literacy,* 35(2), 34-38.

Mendelman (2007). Critical thinking and reading. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, 51(4), 300-302.

Meyer, L.S., Gamst, G., & Guarino, A.J. (2006). *Applied multivariate research: Design and interpretation.* Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

Meyer, B.J.F., & Ray, M.N. (2011). Structure strategy intervention: Increasing reading comprehension of expository text. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education,* 4(1), 127-152.

Miholic, V. (1994). An inventory to pique students' metacognitive awareness of reading strategies. *Journal of Reading*, 38(1), 2-4.

Miller, D.R. (2003). Longitudinal assessment of critical thinking in pharmaceutical students. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 64(4), 1-8.

Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (MoHe). (2011). *National Higher Education Action Plan Phase 2 (2011-2015): The higher education strategic plan beyond 2020.* Putrajaya: Kuala Lumpur.

176

Mitchell, M., & Jollie, J. (1996). *Research design explain (Third edition).* Orlando: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.

Moje, E.B., Dillion, D.R., & O'Brien, D. (2000). Reexamining roles of learners, text and context in secondary literacy. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 93(3), 165-180.

Mokhtari, K., & Reichard, C.A. (2002). Assessing students' metacognitive awareness of reading strategies. *Journal of Educational Psychology,* 94(2), 249-259.

Mokhtari, K., & Reichard, C.A. (2004). Investigating the strategic reading process of first and second language readers in two different cultural contexts. *System*, 32, 379-394.

Molden, K. (2007). Critical literacy, the right answers for the reading classroom: Strategies to move beyond comprehension for reading improvement. *Reading Improvement*, 44(1), 50-56.

Morgan, B., & Ramanathan, V. (2005). Critical literacies and language education: Global and local perspectives. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistic*, 25, 151-169.

Morris, R. (1963). *Success and failure in learning to read*. London: Oldbourne Book Co. Ltd.

Nagappan, R. (2001). The teaching of higher-order thinking skills in Malaysia. *Journal of Southern-Asian Education,* 2(1), 1-21.

Nambiar, R.M.K. (2007). Enhancing academic literacy among tertiary learners: A Malaysian experience. *3L Journal of Language Teaching, Linguistic and Literature,* 13, 77-94.

Nassaji, H. (2002). Schema theory and knowledge based processes in second language reading comprehension: A need for alternative perspective. *Language Learning*. 52(2), 439-481.

Nassaji, H. (2003). Higher-level and lower level text processing skills in advanced ESL reading comprehension. *The Modern Language Journal*. 87(ii), 261-276.

Norris, S.P. (1985). Synthesis of research on critical thinking. Educational Leadership, 40-45.

Norris, S.P., & Phillips, L.M. (1987). Explanation of reading comprehension: Schema theory and critical thinking theory. *Teachers College Records*, 89(2), 281-306.

Nuttall. C. (1996). *Teaching reading skills in a foreign language.* Oxford: Heinemann.

Olson, D.R., & Astington, J.W. (1993). Thinking about thinking: Learning how to take statements and hold beliefs. *Educational Psychologist*, 28(1), 7-23.

Ordonez, V., & Maclean, R. (2007). Seeking a new education paradigm for teaching and learning: Achieving education for sustainable development. In Maclean, R. (Ed.), *Learning and teaching for the twenty-first century. Festschrift for Professor Philip Hughes.* Unevoc: International Centre for Technical & Vocational: Springer.

Otero, J., & Kintsch, W. (1992). Failures to detect contradictions in a text: What readers believe versus what they read. *Psychological Science*, 3(4), 229-235.

Palincsar, A.S., & Schutz, K.M. (2011). Reconnecting strategy instruction with its theoretical roots. Theory into Practice, 50(2), 85-92.

Pandian, A. (2008). Literacy skills in higher education: A comparative study between public and private university students. In Kaur, S., Marshidi, S., & Norzaini, A. (Eds.), *Globalisation and internationalization of higher education in Malaysia (pp. 282-302)*. Penang: University of Science Malaysia (USM) Press.

Paltridge, B., & Starfield, S. (2007). *Thesis and dissertation writing in a second language*. London: Routledge.

Pang, J. (2008). Research on good and poor readers characteristics: Implications for L2 reading research in China. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 20(1), 1-18.

Pardo, L.S. (2004). What every teacher needs to know about comprehension. *Reading Teacher*, 58(3), 273-280.

Pearson, P.D., & Tierney, R.J. (1984). On becoming a thoughtful reader: Learning to read like a writer. In Purves, A.C., and Niles, O. (Eds). *Becoming readers in a complex society (pp. 144-173)*. Illinois: National Society for the Study of Education.

Pennycook, A. (1996). TESOL and critical literacy: Modern, Post, Neo? *TESOL Quarterly*. 30(1), 163-171.

Peregoy, S.F., & Boyle, O.F. (2000). English learners reading English: What we know, what we need to know. *Theory into Practice,* 39(4), 237-247.

Perfetti, C.A. (1985). *Reading ability*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Perkins, D.N., Jay, E., & Tishman, S. (1993a). Introduction: New conception of thinking. *Educational Psychologist*, 28(1), 1-5.

Perkins, D.N., Jay, E., & Tishman, S. (1993b). New conception of thinking: From ontology to education, 28(1), 67-85.

Perkins, D.N., Jay, E., & Tishman, S. (1993c). Beyond abilities: A dispositional theory of thinking. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 39(1), 1-21.

Perkins, D.N., Jay, E., & Tishman, S. (1993d). Teaching thinking dispositions: From transmission to enculturation. *Theory into Practice,* 32(3), 147-153.

Perkins, K., Brutten, S. R., & Pohlmann, J.T. (1989). First and second language reading comprehension. *RELC Journal*, 20, 1-9.

Phakiti, A. (2003). A closer look at the relationship of cognitive and metacognitive strategy use to EFL reading achievement test performance. *Language Testing*, 20(1), 26-56.

Pintrich, P.R. (2002). The role of metacognitive knowledge in learning, teaching, and assessing. *Theory into Practice,* 41(4), 219-225.

Pitchette, F., Segalowitz, N. & Connors, K. (2003). Impact of maintaining L1 reading skills on L2 reading skills development in adults: Evidence from speakers of Serbo-Croation learning French. *The Modern Language Journal,* 87, 391-403.

Pithers, R.T., & Soden, R. (2000). Critical thinking in education: A review. *Educational Research*, 42(3), 237-249.

Pritchard, R. (1990). The effects of cultural schemata on reading processing strategies. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 25(4), 273-295.

Pulido, D. (2007). The relationship between text comprehension and second language incidental vocabulary acquisition: A matter of topic familiarity? *Language Learning*, 57(1), 155-199.

Purcell-Gates, V., Jacobson, E., & Degener, S. (2004). *Print literacy development: Uniting cognitive and social practice theories*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Purdy, J. (2008). Inviting conversation: Meaningful talk about texts for English language learners. *Literacy*, 42(1), 44-51.

Qian, D.D. (2002). Investigating the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and academic reading performance: An assessment perspective. *Language Learning,* 52(3), 513-536.

Qian, G. & Alvermann, D.E. (1995). Role of epistemological beliefs and learned helplessness in secondary school students' learning science concepts from text. *Journal of Educational Psychology,* 87(2), 282-292.

Quick, T., Zimmer, M., & Hocevar, D. (2011). *Making reading relevant. The art of connecting (Second Edition).* Prentice Hall (p. 76-99).

Ramanathan, V., & Kaplan, R. (1996). Audience and voice in current composition texts: Some implications for ESL student writers. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 5, 21-34.

Ramlee, M. & Abu, A. (2009). Malaysia transitions toward a knowledge-based economy. *The Journal of Technology Studies,* 51-60.

Rajendran, N.S. (2008). *Teaching and acquiring higher-order thinking skills: Theory & practice.* Perak: Penerbit Pendidikan Sultan Idris.

Rapp, D.N., van den Broek, P., McMaster, K.L., Kendeou, P., & Espin, C. (2007). Higher-order comprehension process in struggling readers: A perspective for research and intervention. *Scientific Studies of Reading,* 11(4), 289-312.

Raymond, P.M. (1993). The effects of strategy training on the recall of expository prose for university students reading French as a second language. *Modern Language Journal*, 77, 445-458.

Richards, C., Kaur, B., Ratnam, P., & Rajaretnam, T. (2006). *Text MUET: A strategic approach*. Selangor: Pearson Malaysia, Sdn. Bhd.

Ricketts, J.C., & Rudd, R. (2004). The relationship between critical thinking dispositions and critical thinking skills of selected youth leaders in the National FFA organization. *Journal of Southern Agricultural Education Research,* 54(1), 21-33.

Robertson, M., Line, M., Jones, S., & Thomas, S. (2000). International students, learning environments and perceptions: A case study using the Delphi technique. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 19(1), 89-102.

Rosnani, H., & Suhailah, H. (2003). *The teaching of thinking in Malaysia*. Kuala Lumpur: International Islamic University Malaysia.

Rubin, D. (1993). *A practical approach to teaching reading (Second Edition)*. Boston: Ally and Bacon.

Ruddell, R.B., & Unrau, N.J. (2004). Reading as a meaning-construction process: The reader, the text and the teacher. In Ruddell, R.B., and Unrau, N.J. (Eds). *Theoretical models and processes of reading (Fifth Edition)(pp.1162-1521)*. Newark: International Reading Association, Inc.

Rumelhart, D.E. (1980). Schemata: The building blocks of cognition. In Spiro, R.J., Bruce, B.C., and Brewer, W.F. *Theoretical issues in reading comprehension (pp.33-58)*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.

Rupp, A.A., Ferne, T., & Choi, H. (2006). How assessing reading comprehension with multiple-choice questions shapes construct: A cognitive processing perspective. *Language Testing*, 23(4), 441-474.

Ryan, M.P. (1984). Monitoring text comprehension: Individual differences in epistemological standards. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 76(2), 248-258.

Salkind, N.J. (2010). *Encyclopedia of research design (Vol 1) (Ed.)*. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publication.

Schmitt, M.C. (1990). A questionnaire to measure children's awareness of strategic reading process. *The Reading Teacher,* 43 (7), 454-461

Schoenfeld, A.H. (1983). Beyond the purely cognitive: Belief systems, social cognitions, and metacognitions as driving force in intellectual performance. *Cognitive Science*, 7, 329-363.

Schofield, W. (1996). Survey sampling. In Sapsford, R., and Jupp, V. (Eds.). *Data collection and analysis*. London: Sage Publication.

Schommer, M. (1990). Effects of beliefs about the nature of knowledge on comprehension. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82, 498-504.

Schommer, M., Crouse, A., & Rhodes, N, (1992). Epistemological beliefs and mathematical text comprehension: Believing it is simple does not make it so. *Journal of Educational Psychology,* 84(4), 435-443.

Schommer-Aikins, M. (2004). Explaining the epistemological belief system: Introducing the embedded systemic model and coordinated research approach. *Educational Psychologist*, 39, 19-29.

Schommer-Aikins, M, & Easter, M. (2008). Epistemological beliefs' contribution to study strategies of Asian Americans and European American. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 100(4), 920-929.

Schoonen, R., Hulstijn, J., & Bossers, B. (1998).Metacognitive and language-specific knowledge in native and foreign language: An empirical study among Dutch students in Grade 6, 8 and 10. *Language Learning,* 48(1), 71-106.

Schraw, G., & Dennison, R.S. (1994). Assessing metacognitive awareness. *Contemporary Education Psychology*, 19, 460-475.

Scribner, S., & Cole, M. (1981). *The psychology of literacy*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Shameem Rafik Galea & Muhammad Kamarul Kabilan Abdullah (2003). Thinking and reasoning skills in media comprehension. In Pandian, A. Chakravarthy, G., & Kell, P. (Eds.), *New Literacies, new practices, new times (pp. 194-201)*. Selangor, Unversiti Putra Press.

Sheorey, R., & Mokhtari, K. (2001). Differences in the metacognitive awareness of reading strategies among native and non-native readers. *System,* 29, 431-449.

Shih, M. (1992). Beyong comprehension exercise in the ESL academic reading class, *TESOL Quarterly*, 26(2), 289-318.

Shor, I. (2009). What is critical literacy?. In Darder, A., Baltodano, M.P., and Torres, R.D. (Eds.). *The critical pedagogy reader (Second Edition).* New York: Routledge.

Sinatra, G.M., & Pintrich, P.R. (2003). The role of intentions in conceptual change learning. In G.M., Sinatra & P.R. Pintrich (Eds.), *Intentional conceptual change (pp. 429-441).* Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Singhal, M. (2001). Reading proficiency, reading strategies, metacognitive awareness and L2 readers. *The Reading Matrix,* 1(1), 1-23.

Singer, M., Graesser, A.C., & Trabasso, T. (1994). Minimal or global inference during reading. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 33, 421-441.

Smagorinsky, P. (2001). If meaning is constructed, what is it made of? Toward a cultural theory of reading. *Review of Educational Research*, 71(1), 133-169.

Smagorinsky, P. (2011). *Practice of research method Vol 2. Vygotsky literacy research.* Sense Publishers.

Smith, F. (1992). *To think in language, learning and education*. Routledge: London.

Snair, S. (2008). Are your students critically reading an opinion piece? Have them RATTKISS it!. *English Journal*, 97 (3), 52-55.

Snow, C.E., Burns, M.S., & Griffin, P. (1998). *Preventing reading difficulties in young children.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Snow, C.E., & Sweet, A.P. (2003). Reading for comprehension. In A.P., Sweet & C.E. Snow (Eds.), *Rethinking reading comprehension*. New York: The Guildford Press. (p. 1-11).

Somayeh, K. & Rozumah Baharudin. (2009). Parenting style in a collectivist culture of Malaysian. *European Journal of Social Sciences,* 10(1), 66-73.

Soo, C. K. Y., & Wong, B. E. (2012). Acquisition of Third Person Personal Pronouns by L1 Malay Speakers. *Pertanika Journal of Sciences and Humanities*, 20(2), 519-538.

Sparks, J.R., & Rapp, D.N. (2010). Discourse processing – examining our everyday language experiences. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science,* 1(3), 371-381.

Sparks, J.R. (2012). Language/discourse comprehension and understanding. In N.M. Seel (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of the learning science*. United States: Springer.

Stanovich, K.E., & West, R.F. (1997). Reasoning independently of prior belief and individual differences in actively open-minded thinking. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 89(2), 342-357.

Stapleton, P. (2002). Critical thinking in Japanese L2 writing: Rethinking tired construct. *ELT Journal,* 56(3), 250-257.

Stauffer, R.G. (1969). *Teaching reading as a thinking process*. New York: Harper & Row Publishers.

Steven, R.J. (1988). Effects of strategy training on the identification of the main idea of expository passages. *Journal of Educational Psychology,* 80(1), 21-26.

Steven, L.P., & Bean, T.W. (2007). *Critical literacy: Context, research, and practice in the K-12 classroom*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

Sternberg, R.J. (1983). Criteria for intellectual skills training. *Educational Researcher*, 12(2), 6-12.

Stone, C.A., Davidson, L.J., Evans, J.L., & Hansen, M.A. (2001). Validity evidence for using a General Critical Thinking Test to measure nursing students' critical thinking. *Holistic Nursing Practices,* 15(4), 65-74.

Stupnisky, R.H., Renaud, R.D., Daniels, L.M., Haynes, T.L., & Perry, R.P. (2008). The interrelationship of first-year college students' critical thinking disposition, perceived academic control, and academic achievement. *Research in Higher Education,* 49, 513-530.

Suhailah Husin . (2009). The praxis of teaching thinking: A case study about student-teachers' experiences in the Malaysian classrooms. *The International Journal of Learning,* 16(9), 117-126.

Swaffar, J.K. (1988). Readers, texts and second languages: The interactive process. *The Modern Language Journal,* 72(2), 123-149.

Taillefer, G.F. (1996). L2 reading ability: Further insight into the short-circuit hypothesis. *The Modern Language Journal,* 80(4), 461-477.

Taraban, R., Kerr, M., & Rynearson, K. (2004). Analytic and pragmatic factors in college students' metacognitive reading strategies. *Reading Psychology,* 25, 67-81.

Taube, K.T. (1997). Critical thinking ability and disposition as factors of performance on a writing critical thinking test. *Journal of General Education,* 46(2), 129-164.

Temple, C. (2005). Critical thinking and critical literacy. *Thinking Classroom*, 6(2), 15-20.

Thang, S.M., & Azarina, A. (2008). Investigating readiness for autonomy: A comparison of Malaysian ESL undergraduates of three public universities. *Reflections on English Language Teaching,* 6(1), 1-18.

Thistlewaite, L. (1990). Critical reading for at-risk students. *Journal of Reading*, 33(8), 586-593.

Tierney, R.J., & Pearson, P.D. (1992). Learning from text: A framework for improving classroom practice. In Dishner, E.K., Bean, T.W., Readence, J.E., and Moore, D.W. (Eds.). *Reading in the content areas: Improving classroom instruction.* Dubuque, IA: Kendall/Hunt.

Tishman, S., Jay, E., & Perkins, D.N. (1993). Teaching thinking dispositions: From transmission to enculturation. *Theory into Practice*, 32, 147-153.

Turner, T.N. (1988). Higher levels of comprehension: Inference, critical reading, and creative reading. In Alexander, E.A. (Ed), *Teaching reading (3rd Edition).* Illinois: Scott, Foresman.

Urquhart, S., & Weir, C. (1998). *Reading in a second language: Process, product and practice*. London: Longman.

Usó-Juan, E. (2006). The compensatory nature of discipline-related knowledge and English language proficiency in reading English for academic purpose. *The Modern Language Journal,* 90(2), 210-227.

Van Gelderen, A., Schoonen, R., Stoel, R.D., Glooper, K., & Hulstjin, J. (2007). Development of adolescent reading comprehension in Language 1 and Language 2: A longitudinal analysis of constituent components. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99(30), 477-491.

Veeravegu, J., Muthusamy, C., Marimuthu, R., & Subrayan@Michael, A. (2010). Using Bloom's taxonomy to gauge students' reading comprehension performance. *Canadian Social Science*, 6(3), 205-212.

Vygotsky, L. (1978). *Mind in society*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

Walczyk, J.J. (2000). The interplay between automatic and control processing in reading. *Reading Research Quarterly*. 35(4), 554-566.

Wallace, C. (2003). *Critical reading in language education*. New York: Palgrave.

Wallace, C. (1995). Reading with a suspicious eye: Critical reading in the foreign language classroom. In Cook, G., and Seidlhofer, B. (Eds.). *Principle and practice in applied linguistic (pp. 335- 347)*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Wan Shahrazad, W.S., Wan Rafaei, A.R., & Mariam, A.D. (2007). Relationship between critical thinking dispositions, perception towards teachers, learning approaches and critical thinking skills among university students. *The 4th International Postgraduate Research Colloquium IPRC Proceedings,* 209-220.

Wang, D. (2009). Factors affecting the comprehension of global and local main idea. *Journal of College Reading and Learning,* 39(2), 34-52.

Wangensten, S., Johansson, I.S., Bjőrkstrőm, M.E & Nordstrőm, G. (2010). Critical thinking dispositions among newly graduated nurses. *Journal of Advanced Nursing,* 66(10), 2170-2181.

Warschauer, M. (2000). The *changing global economy and the future of English teaching. TESOL* Quarterly, 34(3), 511-535.

Weinstock, M.P., Neuman, Y., & Glassner, A. (2006). Identification of informal reasoning fallacies as a function of epistemological level, grade level, and cognitive ability. *Journal of Educational Psychology,* 89(2), 327-341.

Weinstock, M.P., Neuman, Y., & Tabak. I. (2004). Missing the point or missing the norms? Epistemological norms as predictors of students' ability to identify fallacious arguments. *Contemporary Educational Psychology,* 29, 77-94.

Wertsch, J.V. (1985). *Vygotsky and the social formation of mind*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Wilson, S., & MacLean, R. (2011). *Research Method and data analysis for psychology.* London: McGraw-Hill Higher Education (pp. 490-503).

Wolf, W. (1965). The logical dimension of critical reading. *Reading and Inquiry, IRA Proceeding,* 10, 121-124.

Wolfe, M.B.N., & Goldman, S.R. (2005). Relations between adolescents' text processing and reasoning. *Cognition & Instruction,* 23(4), 467-502.

Wong, B. E. (2012). Acquisition of English Tense and Agreement Morphology by L1 Malay and L1 Chinese Speakers. *3L: Language, Linguistics, Literature – The Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies*, 18(3), 5-14.

Wong, B.E., & Kumar, V. (2009). Constructing meaning in a bilingual learning environment: Two primary classrooms in Malaysia. In Barnard, R., & Torress-Guzmán, M.E. *Creating classroom communities of learning*. Bristol: Multilingual Matters.

Wong, B. E., & Quek, S. T. (2007). Acquisition of the English Definite Articles by Chinese and Malay ESL Learners. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching* (*e-FLT*), 4, 210-234.

Worden, T.W. (1981). Critical reading: Can the skills be measured? *Reading Improvement*, 18(4), 278-286.

Yamashita, J. (2002). Mutual compensation between L1 reading ability and L2 language proficiency in L2 reading comprehension. *Journal of Research in Reading.* 25(1), 81-95.

Yeh, M., & Chen, H. (2003). Comparison affective dispositions toward critical thinking across Chinese and American baccalaureate nursing students. *Journal of Nursing Research,* 11(1), 39-45.

Yoshida, M. (2012). The interplay of processing task, text type, and proficiency in L2 reading. *Reading in a Foreign Language,* 24(1), 1-29.

Zhang, L. (2003). Contributions of thinking styles to critical thinking dispositions. *The Journal of Psychology,* 137(6), 517-544.

Ziegler, J.C., & Goswani, U. (2005). Reading acquisition, developmental dyslexia and skilled reading across languages: A psycholinguistic grain size theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 131(1), 3-29.