

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

GLOBALIZATION IN EVERYDAY LIFE OF IRANIAN HIGH-SCHOOL STUDENTS

**NOUROLLAH PASHA** 

**IPSS 2014 1** 



# GLOBALIZATION IN EVERYDAY LIFE OF IRANIAN HIGH-SCHOOL STUDENTS



By

**NOUROLLAH PASHA** 

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

June 2014

# COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



TO BEHNOUSH AND BENYAMIN



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

# GLOBALIZATION IN EVERYDAY LIFE OF IRANIAN HIGH-SCHOOL STUDENTS

By

## NOUROLLAH PASHA

#### June 2014

#### Chairperson: Professor. Zaid Ahmad, PhD

#### **Institute: Social Science Studies**

This research attempts to explore the Iranian high school students' point of view about globalization and to explain influence of globalization in their daily life, and to interpret implications of alternation among the students. Indeed, knowing and exploring the challenges of students' everyday life in light of globalization is my academic interest as a teacher who works with the students about two decades. This research has conducted a qualitative method to collect data from the high school students in Tehran, capital of Iran. A total of 31 in-depth interviews are the sources of information. The facts were gathered and presented qualitatively, illustrating globalization in the daily life of Tehranian high school students based on the research questions. The findings show that the students perceive globalization in different ways and derive different meanings from it. They perceive globalization as development, open relationship, dissemination of a massive amount of information around the world, and also suppression and exploitation of developing countries by the West. The findings indicate that globalization has influenced the Tehranian high school students' daily life within two dimensions, namely, de-territorialization and pluralism. In fact, globalization has influenced the students' eating and clothing habits, their communication and concerning distant events as well as their perception about other cultures and religions. Their everyday life has been increasingly defined as a global level with global standards. Globalization in the students' everyday life has given birth to two types of students: globalized students and alternated students. Globalized students are those who mostly wear branded clothes, eat their meals in fast food restaurants, communicate with others, and using modern media. They are concerned about distant events, and have plural and tolerant perception about other cultures and religions. Alternated students are globalized students who have negative perceptions about their culture and being Iranian.



Abstrak tesis yang dekemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

## GLOBALISASI DALAM KEHIDUPAN SEHARIAN PELAJAR SEKOLAH MENENGAH DI IRAN

Oleh

#### NOUROLLAH PASHA

#### June 2014

## Pengerusi: Profesor. Zaid Ahmad, PhD

#### **Institut: Pengajian Sains Sosial**

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk meneroka pandangan pelajar sekolah menengah di Iran berkaitan dengan globalisasi dan bertujuan untuk menjelaskan pengaruh globalisasi dalam kehidupan seharian mereka, dan untuk mentafsirkan kesan perubahan tersebut. Kajian ini menggunakan kaedah analisis kualitatif untuk mendapatkan data daripada pelajar sekolah menengah di Tehran, Iran. Sebanyak 31 temu bual telah dijalankan untuk mendapatkan sumber maklumat. Data yang diperoleh dikumpulkan dan dibentangkan secara kualitatif untuk menggambarkan globalisasi dalam kehidupan harian mereka berdasarkan kepada persoalan kajian. Pelajar Sekolah Menengah di Iran melihat globalisasi dengan cara yang berbeza dan memberikan makna yang berbeza-beza terhadap globalisasi. Mereka melihat globalisasi adalah sebagai proses pembangunan, bersifat terbuka, sebagai alat penyebaran maklumat serata dunia, dan juga satu bentuk penindasan dan eksploitasi oleh negara-negara membangun di Barat. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa globalisasi mempengaruhi kehidupan seharian pelajar sekolah menengah di Tehran dalam dua dimensi, iaitu deterritorialization dan pluralisme. Malah, globalisasi juga mempengaruhi cara pemakanan dan cara pakaian pelajar, cara berkomunikasi dan yang berkaitan dengan persepsi mereka dengan budaya dan agama. Definisi kehidupan seharian mereka juga semakin meningkat dengan penilaian yang bersifat global. Globalisasi dalam kehidupan seharian pelajar telah melahirkan dua jenis pelajar iaitu pelajar global dan pelajar yang telah berubah. Pelajar global adalah mereka yang kebanyakannya memakai pakaian berjenama, makan di restoran makanan segera, berkomunikasi dengan orang lain, dan menggunakan media moden. Mereka sangat prihatin terhadap hal dan peristiwa yang penting, dan mempunyai persepsi yang dapat menerima budaya dan agama yang lain. Pelajar yang telah berubah pula adalah pelajar yang mempunyai persepsi yang negatif terhadap budaya yang dipegang oleh mereka.



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The journey to doctorate degree has been a long but rewarding travel. Despite the many challenges that met me, I was fortunate, like throughout my life, with the direction and help of God.

First, I must acknowledge my supervisor, Professor Dr. Zaid Ahmad. Thanks you for being an inspiration and a force in my academic achievement. I should also thank my other committee members Assoc. Prof. Dr Nobaya Ahmad, Assoc. Prof. Dr Steven Eric Krauss (Abdul lateef) and Dr Adlina Hj. Abd. Halim. Without your support and guide, I never could succeed my research.

I should send my especial thanks to Professor Sharon Merriam because of her kindness and guidance to me around one year that she was in UPM.

Acknowledgement must be given to University Putra Malaysia especially faculty and staff of Human Ecology and Institute of Social Sciences. You did your best to encourage me to learn and providing for me all sources and wealthy information that I needed. The success of this dissertation, without this structure that you provide, was impossible.

I should thank my wife because of her love and support. Everything she could do to succeed my work actually she did. I should also thank my Mother, brother and sister who have supported me throughout this process, your love sustained me at my most vulnerable times and I appreciate your dedication. I should thank my father in law, Asadollah Shohani and other members of his family because you motivated me to get through it.

And last but not least, to my father that I know he wished to be part of this but he couldn't physically but I know you are part of my life in Spirit.



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

# Zaid Ahmad, PhD

Professor Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

## Nobaya Ahmad, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## Abdul Lateef Krauss Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor Institute for Social Science Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

# Adlina Hj. Abd. H<mark>alim, Ph</mark>D

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Human Ecology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## **BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

# **Declaration by graduate student**

I hereby confirm that:

- This thesis is my original work;
- Quotation, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- This thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- Intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- Witten permission must be obtained from supervisor and office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecturer notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- There is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholary integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_

Name and Metric No: Nourollah Pasha, GS20539

# **Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee**

This is to confirm that:

C

- The research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and adhered to.

Signature:	Signature: Name of
Chairman of	Member of
Supervisory	Supervisory
Committee:	Committee:
Signature:	Signature:
Name of	Name of
Member of	Member of
Supervisory	Supervisory
Committee:	Committee:

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
APPROVAL	iv
DECLARATION	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.Globalization	1
1.1.1.Globalization in everyday life	1
1.1.2. Globalization in Iran	2
1.1.3. Alternation	3
1.1.4.Conclusion	4
1.2.Statement of the Problem	4
1.3.Research Questions and Objectives	5
1.4.Significance of the study	5
1.5.Scope and limitation of the study	6
1.6.Conceptual and operational definitions	7
2 LITERATUREREVIEW	9
2.1.Introduction	9
2.2.Globalization	10
2.2.1. Economic discourse of globalization	11
2.2.2. Discourse of modernity	13
2.3.Youth and Globalization	18
2.3.1. Introduction	18
2.3.2.Definition of youth in Iran's context	20
2.3.3.Youth and Socialization	20
2.3.4.Youth and Alternation	21
2.4.Cultural discourse of globalization	23
2.4.1.Glocalization/Grobalization	24

	2.4.2.Cosmopolitanism	28
	2.5.Globalization in everyday life	30
	2.5.1. Introduction	30
	2.5.2.Everyday life/ life-world	31
	2.5.3.De-territorialization	33
	2.5.4.Pluralism	37
	2.5.5.Pluralism and toleration against differences	39
	2.6. Literature of globalization in Iran	42
	2.6.1.Introduction	42
	2.6.2.Globalization or globalizing?	44
	2.6.3.Globalization and pluralism	50
	2.6.4.Islam and Modernism in Iran	55
	2.7.Conceptual framework	59
3	METHODOLOGY	65
	3.1.Research paradigm	65
	3.2.Research Design	66
	3.3.Sampling	66
	3.4.Data Collection	68
	3.5.Data Analysis	68
	3.6.Reliability and Validity	69
	3.6.1.Validity	69
	3.6.1.Reliability	69
	3.7.Ethical Consideration	69
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	71
	4.1.Introduction	71
	4.2. Profile of Respondents	71
	4.3. Data Analysis and Results	72
	4.4.Objective 1: Students' perception of the meaning of globalization:	72
	4.4.1.Globalization as development:	73
	4.4.2. Globalization as open relationships:	74
	4.4.3. Globalization as dissemination of information:	76
	4.4.4.Conclusion	77
	4.5. Objective 2: Influence of globalization on the students' life	79
	4.5.1.Iranian high school students' practice of de-territorialization their everyday life	on in 80

4.5.2. Iranian high school students' perception to pluralism other cultures and religions	in terms 93
4.5.3.Students' perceptions of other religions	99
4.5.4.Conclusion	102
4.6.Objective 3: implications of alternation among high school stud terms of globalization	ents in 104
4.6.1.Introduction	104
4.6.2.An overview of Alternation	104
4.6.3.culture and being an Iranian	105
4.6.4.Conclusion	108
4.7.Discussion	109
5 SUMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	113
5.1.Summary of the Study	113
5.2.Conclusion	114
5.3.Recommendation for future studies	117
REFERENCES	119
APPENDICES	128
BIODATA OF STUDENT	131
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	132

6

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1 Demographic Characteristics of res	spondents	133

# LIST OF FIGURES

2.1 Globalization in Tehranian students' everyday of life	2.1 Globalization in Tehranian students' everyday of life	Figure	Page
		2.1 Globalization in Tehranian students' everyd	ay of life 117



## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Globalization

It appears that globalization is about everything (Beyer & Lori, 2007). This concept of globalization refers to the flow of interconnections and interactions of the people of the world. It refers to the decline of geographical and cultural boundaries between nations, to the flow of economic and cultural exchanges. It is characterized by "great flows of increasingly liquid phenomenon of all types, including people, objects, information, decisions, places and so on" (Ritzer, 2011, p.7). It also refers to decline of the local power in the face of new global organizations and institutions. "Globalization, on this account, lies on a spectrum with the local and national, at one end, and the regional and global, at the other" (Held, 2006, p. 160). But, a more common meaning of globalization, as Giddens (1991) implies, is 'an accelerating set of processes' which leads to the emergence of new 'ways of life and forms of social organizations' that are different from modernity (Giddens, 1991, pp. 47-52). The process influences the way of life. Therefore, our everyday life foreshadows many changes by more interdependence with the global phenomenon. Tomlinson (1999) refers to two main aspects of globalization include network of interconnections and interdependences and modern social life. In sum up, Globalization in this research refers to developing network of interconnections and interdependences that influence individuals' perception and their practice of everyday life.

#### **1.1.1 Globalization in everyday life**

Globalization in everyday life involves either "type of technological forms of life" (Lash, 2001, p. 107) or "intensification of consciousness of the world" (Robertson, 1992, p. 8). The reality of everyday life is the here and now of my presentation. It is my time-place implication. It is the reality in which I understand others with respect to my natural attitude, and others understand me in light of common sense knowledge. In fact, in everyday life, 'self' and 'other' construct and reconstruct each other in light of an inter-subjective, shared world (Berger & Luckman, 1976, pp. 33-40).

C

Globalization in everyday life is driven by an approach that focuses on the *forms* of globalization in daily affairs. "A form of life is a way of life, a mode of doing things" (Lash, 2001, p. 105). Technological forms of life depict interface of technology and human beings. Technology influences all aspects of our life as far as we seriously engage with our daily technologies. Different and diverse cultures beyond our cultural frame interconnect with each other in the global context. Our interdependencies with 'others' may change their perception against other cultures and values.

Tomlinson (1999) emphasizes that globalization "refers to the rapid development and ever-densening network of *interconnections* and *interdependences* that characterize modern social life" (Tomlinson, 1999, p. 2). Globalization, in this sense, takes into account the changes of everyday life and emergence of a new way of life by means of new technologies. This everyday life has been influenced by new way of life by using brands, new technologies, and distant events. Ritzer (2007) argues about the virtual spaces of the world life that are captured by T.V, internet, satellite, and so on. Therefore, there is an influence of globalization in a social context that causes the changes of everyday life of people within two levels: their lifestyle and their perception toward 'others'. Then, when we talk about globalization means interconnections and interdependencies between people of the world with respect of their new lifestyle by new technologies and their perception about 'others'.

Tomlinson (2007, 1999) implies the global forms of lifestyle in terms of deterritorialization that refers to integration of distant events and use of new technologies. De-territorialization is not limited to the boundaries of nations, communities and ethnicities, or classes but is essentially a serious approach to mixing and thus belonging to the fluid end of relations between cultures (Pieterse, 1995, p. 62; Tomlinson, 1999, p. 128). In the pre-modern condition, time-space's frame involves local territory. Life was limited to the frame of now and here, but in the modern situation, spaces and time have been stretched by the enormous advances of technology of transportation and communication among other things.

Another dimension of globalization in everyday life discussed as *proliferation and diversity of cultures* that expanded by influence of more interconnections and interactions of the people (Robertson, 1992; Beck and Sznaider, 2006, p.10). Robertson (1992) designated the global field as highly 'pluralistic', in which there is peaceful interaction between nations with huge differences.

# **1.1.2 Globalization in Iran**

Globalization essentially consists of four main actors, namely nation-states, individual selves, the international system, and humanity (Robertson, 2007). Although the process of globalization encompasses all of these actors, this research focuses on the *individual selves*, defined as *globalization in everyday life*. Individual selves, in this research, imply to young people who involve with globalization over the last century. Iranian scholars believe that Iran has been faced with a crisis of transition from traditional society to the modern by development, interaction and interconnection of Iran and forces of modernization in which can damage Iranian culture (Shayegan, 2008, p.16; 2007, p. 337-339; Azad, 1993; Soroush, 1999); for example, development of media from national T.V with 6 channels over two last decade to satellites with thousand channels today.

Globalization in Iran is the outcome of the modernity that depicts the challenges of Iranian culture and Western modernization. Globalization along with the transition has extended the challenges among Iranian youth. Although there is no complete rejection or affirmation of globalization, the challenges of globalization in Iranian culture is an academic fact. Moreover, there are two important challenges of globalization among Iranian youth: first, challenge of modern identity that places against traditional identity of 'being Iranian'. Manteghi (2007) implies that the identity crisis of transition to global world is "more threat to youth because they are internalizing social and cultural norms and values in this age" (Manteghi, 2007, p.

405). Secondly, challenge of Iranian youth to interact and interconnect to global world especially the West by globalization. Shayegan (2007) discusses the challenges of *being an Iranian* and *being a globalized*. Although the second challenge is great problem of Iran and the west, not limited to the youth, but youth are more influenced because of their more interactions and interdependencies by media. Both of these challenges generally reflect the influence of globalization in Iranian youth's everyday life. Indeed, knowing and exploring of the challenges is my academic interest as a teacher who works with the students about two decades and this has encouraged me to fulfill this research.

Globalization in everyday life in Iran can be defined by two aforementioned dimensions such as *de-territorialization* and *pluralism*. On the one hand, the Iranian interconnects and interacts with others by mass media and internet that stretches their everyday life beyond their territorial frame. On the other hand, they encounter other cultures and values that need more positive perception to communicate with "other" in peace. The most influence of globalization mostly is on the youth who generally use mass media specially computer and internet.

## 1.1.3 Alternation

This study discusses at length the influence of globalization on everyday life of Iranian high school students and its consequences on their perception and behavior. Influence of globalization on the students may change their perspectives about 'self' and 'others'. As the students grow up, the world continues to change, and consequently, they change. In the new world, the structure of the previous world is changed and a new 'plausibility structure' (Berger & Luckman, 1976, p. 178) is founded that gives legitimacy to modernism, liberalism and rationalism. New 'significant others' are introduced to children who are exposed to cinema, sports, TV and the whole irresistible web of contemporary society and its all-encompassing mass media. The everyday life of children is a scene in a cosmopolitan world. Their everyday life deals with new technologies, new interconnections and interdependencies with the outside world. They may, indeed, depend more on their outside than their community. Children come to recognize that the world is presented by their parents and their own community is not the only world and is not necessarily the best world. "Sometimes, they tend to be tempted to perceive their parents' world as low class, rural and traditional" (Berger & Luckman, 1976, p. 161). They may prefer the global world with modern relationships. Berger and Luckman refer to this transformation as *alternation*.

"Alternation is the type of radical transformation and modification in the field of identity" (Berger & Luckman, 1976, p. 176). Alternation is also a conceptualization which can be used for typification of the global phenomenon in the everyday life of youth. Alternation also is a conceptualization that refers to developing countries in which indigenous scholars have usually mentioned the threat to local culture by globalization. Alternation is a type of local perspective about globalization that is dominated by the global phenomenon. In this regard, *alternation* is equivalent to *total globality* in developing countries in which students may not believe in their local and national culture anymore and view their own culture with pessimism or even disdain. Indeed, Iranian government mostly uses this term. They usually talk

about cultural "alternation" of Iranian youth by globalization and modernity. This study tries to formulize this term as well.

# 1.1.4 Conclusion

Globalization in everyday life also embodies students' daily concern with respect to the global phenomenon. Their concerns touch distant events, human rights, media, eating, clothing, brands and so forth. To what extent they are concerned with these issues is the level of their globality. Their perceptions and orientations about other cultures, religions and beliefs designate their global perspective. When we respect other cultures, when we are concerned about transnational events, we are in fact, concerned about our global interaction and we want to represent ourselves on the global level with other people of the world.

Globalization in everyday life entails forms of globalized individuals as they present their experiences with others. In everyday life, everybody encounters a global phenomenon such as transportation, communication, lifestyle and so forth, which "have to take place somewhere" (Ray, 2007, p. 5). A global brand, for example, is a form of globalization in everyday life that is present in everyday life. Globalization, therefore, is "essentially about transnational flows of people, cultures and goods across borders" (Ray, 2007, p. 7). These forms of globalization in everyday life embodies the transnational flows in eating (e.g., McDonalds), clothing (e.g., brands), communicating with others, and so on.

This study spells out the potential contributions to globalization in everyday life stemming from different paradigms. The aim is to develop an understanding meaning of globalization with regard to students' points of view and the influence of globalization in their everyday life.

# **1.2 Statement of the Problem**

Globalization in everyday life regards the Iranian high school students 'perception toward other cultures (specifically Western cultures). In fact, globalization influences their perception and behavior and effects changes in their everyday life. Meanwhile, globalization, in any way, is supposed to maintain particular dimension of local culture while extending the universal, world culture. Without this balance, globalization is a threat that can destroy particularly Iranian culture and value and cause *alternation*. Drawn to Western culture, Iranian youth may pay more attention to Western culture and values by their technological progress. Consequently, they may forget and discard their own social and cultural beliefs and roots.

There are some evidences about the threat to Iranian youth by globalization and modernity. The threat of globalization leads to reaction of scholars and the government. For example, Afrough (2000), Iranian sociologist and a member of the Iranian parliament, believes that Iranian youth is threatened by modernism. Other scholars also, more or less, recognize the cultural threat to Iranian youth by influence of modernity and globalization (e.g., Azad, 1993; Abdollahi, 1996; Rajai, 2003; Ashraf, 2000). The government views this problem as a *cultural invasion* of the West against Iran. For example, Mohamad Hossieni, Minister of Iranian Culture (2010) believes that it is the Western mission to "change the Iranian Identity" in the

direction of their values and aims. Actually, he implies to the Iranian students' closeness with Western culture in their everyday activities such as eating fast food, clothing, use of internet and perceptions.

It can therefore be summarized that Iranian high school students mostly deal with globalization in their everyday life. The problem specifically revolves around the students who connect to and depend on the global phenomenon as much as they ignore and discard their own culture and values. This research will therefore attempt to explore the gap by focus on the students' perception to globalization, influence of globalization on their everyday life, and finally implications of alternation among Iranian high school students. The findings of this study will explain the scope of this serious problem involving the encounter of Iranian high school students and globalization.

# **1.3 Research Questions and Objectives**

- 1. What is the meaning of globalization to Iranian high school students?
- 2. How does globalization influence Iranian high school students' everyday life?
  - 2.1.How do Iranian high school students perceive pluralism in terms of other cultures and religions?
  - 2.2.How do Iranian high school students practice de-territorialization in their everyday life?
- 3. What is alternation among high school students in terms of globalization?

## **Objectives**:

1. To elicit students' perceptions about the meaning of globalization;

Purpose of first objective revolves around the students' perception about globalization. How they understand the meaning of globalization is the focus of the objective.

2. To describe influences of globalization on Iranian high school students' everyday life;

Purpose of second objective revolves around influence of globalization on the students' everyday life in practice and theory. In fact, in subjective and objective level, how globalization influence them.

3. To interpret the implications of alternation among high school students in terms of globalization. Purpose of third objective revolves around threats of globalization on the Iranian high school students that I called it *alternation*.

# **1.4** Significance of the study

Particularly, globalization in the Iranian high school students has been emphasized and analyzed in this research. These analyses can inform both policymakers and administrators about high school students' perceptions in regard to influence of globalization in their everyday life. Globalization is an inevitable force influencing the students. In this study, we can see in what areas these influences are actually a threat that is called alternation. This information can be utilized to further understanding of this phenomenon, as well as to benefit the policymakers to make a proper decision in regard to globalization in Iran.

One of the significance of this research relies on exploring of Tehranian high school students' orientation of globalization. In addition, it reveals that how they play their global role in their everyday life and how they interconnect with others in global level. This research contributes to an understanding of globalization in the everyday life of Iranian high school students in theory and practice. This study is important for three reasons:

- It extends the body of knowledge on globalization in everyday life;
- It provides a framework to study globalization in everyday life in developing countries;
- Findings of this study can help Iranian policy makers to consider the opportunity and threat of globalization in high school students' everyday life.

In theory, it contributes to *extend the* body *of knowledge on globalization in everyday life*. This study attempts to present the different approaches of globalization, especially with regard to globalization in everyday life in the literature review chapter. More precisely, it gives a new perspective to the theories of globalization for grasping influences of globalization in a developing country such as Iran among high school students. Such knowledge helps in the understanding of Iranian students' everyday life in the theoretical framework of this study. It is important to know how they behave in their everyday life by influence of globalization. Because by this knowledge decision makers can predict their orientation and make better plan of their education.

In practice, this study presents a pattern to study globalization in the everyday life of youth in developing countries, especially Muslim countries. Insofar as policy makers are concerned about influences of globalization among youth, this research may practically contribute to produce a pattern for studying globalization in everyday life. Typological implications highlight the differences and similarities of characteristics and properties of this social phenomenon.

In practice, finally, the findings of this study can help Iranian policy makers to consider the opportunities and threats of globalization that are discussed among Iranian high school students and utilize such knowledge to better deal with globalization among youth in Iran.

# **1.5** Scope and limitation of the study

This study is limited to three angles: geographical limitation, theoretical limitation, and methodological limitation. According to the *geographical limitation*, this study was conducted in Tehran city, capital of Iran.

Globalization, in nature, is a huge topic that encompasses both micro and macro levels of social, cultural, political, and economic aspects of social systems. Based on theoretical limitation, this study focuses on the 'forms' of globalization in everyday life, which exclude the scope of the study from the social, political, cultural, and economic 'process' of globalization. Indeed, this research also excludes the study of what may/will happen as a result of globalization within Iran, but focuses on what happened in the students' everyday life as a result of globalization. Also, the focus of this study on the everyday life excludes its scope from other forms of globalization such as organizations and institutions. This study revolves around the influences of globalization on the everyday life of high school students. In this research, globalization in everyday life is defined in two dimensions: *de-territorialization* and pluralism. Then, when globalization is discussed in everyday life, it merely refers to these two dimensions. De-territorialization applies to transnational forms of life in which are involved new forms of eating and clothing, concern about distant events, geographical displacement, and use of technologies which usually do not belong to native people essentially. The *pluralism* refers to the diversity of culture, uncommon ground to evaluate values in different cultures, and respect and toleration with other cultures. In fact, this research is limited to the study of pluralistic and transnational characteristics of students regarding their behavior and perception.

And finally, *methodological* limitation of this study refers to the sample of Iranian high school students limited in Tehran among high school students aged 17-18 years in the period of 2010.

## **1.6** Conceptual and operational definitions

Definition of main concepts present in two levels of conceptual and operational definitions. In conceptual definition, the researcher brings the main aspects of a concept to clarify different angles of the concept. In the operational definition, the author tries to clarify how a concept has been conducted and operated in this research.

**Globalization**: Globalization in this research refers to developing network of interconnections and interdependences that influence individuals' perception and practice of everyday life.

Globalization in this research refers to objective and subjective level of the students' interconnection and interdependences with others. Objective level of globalization has been defined by de-territorialization and subjective level of globalization has been defined by pluralism.

**Everyday life:** Everyday life, in the global area is an experience to live in 'technological forms of life' (Lash S., 2001, p. 107). Everyday life is an intersubjective world that I share with others (Berger & Luckman, 1976, pp.33-40).

In this research, everyday life of Iranian high school students refers to their everyday activities such as eating, clothing, communicating, and their perceptions of others (inter-subjective world). Everyday life of Iranian high school students, on the other hand, is a technological form of life that demonstrates in their everyday activities.

7

**Globalization in everyday life**: Globalization in everyday life, in this research is defined by the two dimensions as *pluralism* or proliferation of multiple culture and *de-territorialization* or transnational forms of life (Tomlinson, 1999, 2007; Beck & Sznaider, 2006; Robertson, 1992).

**De-territorialization**: refers to weakening or dissolution of the connection between everyday lived culture and territorial location (Tomlinson, 1999, p. 130).

De-territorialization, in this research, can be described by the following concepts:

- Dealing with new forms of feeding and clothing;
- To know and be concerned about distant events, news (global phenomenon);
- Types of geographical displacement (take trips to other countries);
- Use of computer, internet, television, journals, etc.

**Pluralism**: in this research means that the life that is good for us is one among many lives that are good for many people, but nevertheless different from us (Kekes, 1993).

*Pluralism*, in this research, is examined by:

- Belief in the diversity of values;
- Belief in the diversity of culture;
- Uncommon ground to evaluate values in different cultures;
- Respect and toleration of other cultures.

Alternation: Alternation is a type of radical transformation and modification in the field of identity (Berger & Luckman, 1976, p. 176). In this research it is equivalent to total globality in developing countries in which students do not believe in their local and national culture anymore, not happy be Iranian (in the case of this study) and they view their own culture pessimistically.

In this research, alternation has been defined by four themes: as Comparison of past and present Iran, weakness of Iranian culture, Quality of Western culture and finally, being an Iranian.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdollahi, M. (1996). Sociology of identity crisis. Journal of Research Letter, NO 2&3, 1-27.
- Afrough, E. (2000). Cognition of Iranian religious identity. *Journal of public culture*, 22&23, 3-19.
- Afrough, E. (2005). Islam and Globalization. Tehran: Bashgahe Andisheh Publication.
- Ahmad, Z. (2003). The Euphoria of Diversity: Islam and Religious Coexistence in Postindependence Malaysia. *Religious Studies Seminar*. Victoria University of Wellington: 53-71.
- Ameli, S. (2001). Interaction of Globalization, citizenship and religion. Nameh of Social Sciences, No.18, 167-200.
- Ameli, S. (2002). Dual globalization and global society of anxiety. *Journal of SocialScience Letter, No. 21*, 143-174.
- Ameli, S. (2003). Interaction of Globalization, citizenship and religion. *Journal of Social Sciences Letter, No.18*, 167-200.
- Ameli, S., & Molai, H. (2008). Dual Globalization and intercultural sinsitiveness: Intercultural case study of Shiah and Soni in Golestan. Journal of Cultural Investigation, No 6, 1-29.
- Andrews, P., & Ritzer, G. (2007). The grobal in the sporting glocal. Journal of Global Networks 7, 2, 113–153.
- Antonsich, M. (2008). Linguistic comonality between universalism and particularism. Journal of Nations and Nationalism 14 (3),, 600-605.
- Appadurai, A. (1999). Globalization and the research imagination. International Social Science Journal, Volume 51, Issue 160, 229-238.
- Arjomand, S. (2004). Islam, political change and globalization. *Journal of Thesis Eleven*, 76, 5–24.
- Aron, R. (1970). Max Weber. Englwood Cliffs, New jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Ary, D. e. (2006). Introduction to Research Method in Education. Australia •Brazila: Thompson & Wadsworth.
- Ashraf, A. (2000). Social identity and relation in preventing of social deviation. *Journal of Social science*, *11*& *12*, 24-37.
- Attride-Stirling, J. (2001). Thematic networks: an analytic tool for qualitative research. *Journal of Qualitative Research, No3, vol. 1(3)*, 385-405.
- Azad Armaki, T. (2005). Globalization and Iranian Identity. *Globalization and Religion: Identity and Power*. Tehran: Open University, UK.
- Azad, T. (1993). Disorder in culture. Journal of Cultural Keyhan, No 12, 21-38.
- Babbie, E. (2007). *The Practice of Social Research*. (E. Edition, Ed.) London. Sydny: Thomson.
- Bailey, C. A. (2007). A Giude to Qualitative Field Research. London. New Delhi: Sage Publication.

Bauman, Z. (1995). Search for a centre that holds.

- Bauman, Z. (2001). Identity in the globalising world. Social Anthropology, 9, 2, 121–129.
- Beck and Sznaider, N. (2006). Unpacking Cosmopolitanism for the Social Sciences: a research agenda. *The British Journal of Sociology, Volume 57 Issue 1*, 1-37.
- Beck, U. (2000). The Cosmopolitan perspective: Sociology of the second age of modernity. British Journal of Sociology Vol. No. 51 Issue No. 1, 79-105.
- Beck, U., & Beck, E. (2009). Global generations and the trap of methodological nationalism for a cosmopolitan turn in the sociology of youth and generation. *European Sociological Review Volume*, 25, 1., 25–36.
- Beck, U., & Edgar, G. (2007). *Cosmopolitan Europe*. (C. Cronin, Trans.) London.Singapore: Polity Press.
- Bellamy, R. (1999). Liberalism and pluralism . London. New York: Routledge Publication.
- Berge, B. L. (1989). *Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Science*. Boston.London.Sydney.Toronto: Allyn and Bacon Publication.
- Berger, P., & Luckman, T. (1976). The Social Structure of Reality. New York: Penguin Book.
- Berlin, I. (1973). karl Marx. Oxford: Oxford Press.
- Beyer, P., & Lori, B. (2007). Religion, Globalization and Culture. Leiden, Boston: Brill.
- Blank, G. (2004). Teaching Qualitative Data Analysis to Graduate Students. Social Science Computer Review, Vol. 22 No. 2, 187-196.
- Bogdon, R., & Biklen, S. (1992). *Qualitative Research for Education*. Boston, London, Toronto, Sydney, Tokyo, Singapore: Allyn and Bacon.
- Boudon, R. (2004). The Social Sciences and Two Types of Relativism. *Journal of Classical Sociology, Vol 5 (2), 157-174.*
- Bourdieu, P. (1984). *Distinction*. London.New York: Routledge Publication.
- Bryman, A. (2008). Research methods. Oxford. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Castells, M. (1997). The Power of Identity (Vol. 2). Oxford: Blackwell Publisher.
- Cerny, P. (1999). Globalization and the erosion of democracy. *European Journal of Political Research 36:*, 1–26.
- Certeau, M. D. (1984). The Practice of everyday life. Bekeley: University of California Press.
- Cho, J., & Trent, A. (2006). Validity in qualitative research revisited. *Journal of Qualitative Research, vol.* 6(3), 319–340.
- Coffey, J., & Farrugia, D. (2014). Unpacking the black box: The problem of agency in the sociology of youth. *Journal of Youth Studies*, *17:4*, 461-474.
- Cohen, B., & Lea, B. (2005). Founding Editors, Alan S. Kaufman and Nadeen L. Kaufman. (A. a. Kaufman, Ed.) Hoboken. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Cohen, L. (2000). *Research Methods in Education*. London. New York: Routledge-Falmer Publication.
- Colins, O. e. (Agust 2008). Max Weber and Alfred Schutz. *Social Science Computer, Vol 26, NO 3*, 369-378.
- Cooley, C. H. (1918). Social Process. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.

- Cresweel and Plano Clark. (2007). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: Sage Publications.
- Creswell, J. (2008). Educational Research. New Jersey: Pearson Publication.
- Daneshjoo, K. (2011). *Aftab News*. Retrieved from http://www.aftabnews.ir/vdcfjcdytw6dxxa.igiw.html
- Denzin, N. K. (1978). *The Research Act: A Theoritical Introduction to Social Methods*. New York et al: McGraw-Hill Publication.
- Denzin, N., & Lincoln, Y. (2008). *The landscape of Qualitative Research*. New Delhi. Singapore: Sage Publication.
- Dey, I. (1996). Qualitative Data Analysis. London. New York: Routledge Publication.
- Dicken, P. (2007). Economic Global Corporation. In G. Ritzer, *Blackwell Companian to Globalization* (pp. 291-306). Blackwell.
- Dorraj, M. (1999). The Crisis of modernity and religious revivalism: A comparative study of islamic fundamentalism, Jewish fundamentalism and liberation theology. *Social Compass*; 46, 225-240.
- Durkhiem, E. (1979). Suicide. (J. A. Simpson, Trans.) New York: The Free Press.
- Elden, S. (2004). *Missing The Point: Globalization, Diterritorialization and The Space of The World*. London: University of Durham.
- Fakohi, N. (2007). Subculture of minorities and lifestyle. *Journal of Cultural Research, No.1*, 143-174.
- Featherstone, M. (1992). Postmodernism and The Aestheticization of Everyday Life. In S. Lash, & M. Featherstone, *Modernity and Identity* (pp. 265-291). Oxford UK. Cambridge USA: Blackwell.
- Featherstone, M. (2007). Consumer Culture and Postmodernism. Los Angeles: Sage Publications.
- Featherstone, M. (2009). *Consumer culture and Postmodernism*. Los Angeles.London. New Delhi. Singapore. Washington DC.: Sage Publications.
- Fossey, F. e. (2002). Understanding and evaluating qualitative research. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 36, 717–732.
- Foucault, M. (2002). The Order of Things. London. New York: Routledge.
- France, A., Bottrell, D., & Haddon, E. (2013). Managing everyday life: The conceptualisation and value of cultural capital in navigating everyday life for working-class youth. *Journal of Youth Studies, 16:5*, 597-611.
- Friedman, J. (1992). Narcicssism, Roots and Postmodernity. In S. Lash, & J. Freadman, *Modernoty & Identity* (pp. 331-367). Oxford UK; Cambridge USA: Blackwell.
- Friedman, J. (1995). *Global System, Globalization and the Parameters of Modernity.* Los angeles: Sage Publication.
- Ghorbani, A. (2006). Globalization and Identity. In A. Alikhani, *Identity and Crisis of Identity* (pp. 409-421). Tehran: Jehad Daneshgahi Publication.
- Giddens, A. (1991). *Consequence of Modernity*. (A. a. Jaworski, Ed.) London and New York: Polity Press.

Giddens, A. (1991). Modernnity and Self-identity. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

- Giddens, A. (2002). *Tribulations of The Self.* (A. a. Jaworski, Ed.) London. New York: Routledge.
- Giulianott and Robertson, R. (2004). The globalization of football: A study in the glocalization of the 'serious life'. *The British Journal of Sociology Volume 55 Issue 4*, 245-268.
- Given, L. M. (2008). *The Sage Encyclopedia of Qualitative Reseach Method*. Los Angeles. London. New Delhi. Singapore: Sage publications.
- Goodman, D. (2007). *The Blackwell Companion to GLobalization*. (G. Ritzer, Ed.) London: Blackwell Publisher.
- Greene, J. e. (1987). Strategies for Qualitative Data Analysis. American Journal of *Evaluation*, 8; 5, 5-11.
- Griffin, K. (2001). Imagining New Narratives of Youth: Youth Research, the `New Europe and Global Youth Culture. *Childhood, No* 8, 147.
- Guest, G. e. (2006). How Many Interviews Are Enough: An Experiment with Data Saturation and Variability. *Journal of Field Methods*, *Vol. 18, No. 1, February*, 59-82.
- Habermas, J. (2003). Intolerance and discrimination. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, *1*, 2-12.
- Hafeznia, H. e. (2006). Impact of globalization upon national identity. *Geopolitic Journal*, No. 3 & 4, 1-21.
- Hall, S. (1992). Formation of Modernity. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Hall, S. (1997). Introduction: Who Weeds Identity? London: Sage Publication.
- Harrison, e. a. (2001). Regimes of trustworthiness in qualitative research: The rigors of reciprocity. *Journal of Qualitative Inquiry, Volume 7 Number 3*, 323-345.
- Haste, H., & Abrahams, S. (2008). Morality, culture and the dialogic self: Taking cultural pluralism Seriously. *Journal of Moral Education Vol. 37, No. 3*, 374-397.
- Held, D. (2000). Introduction to Critical Theory: Horkhaiemer to Habermas. New York. London: Open Universi Press.
- Held, D. (2006). A Globaliging World? London: Open University.
- Heydari, M. (2007). Identity and National Security. In A. Alikhani, *Identity and Crisis of Identity* (pp. 341-360). Tehran: Jehad Daneshgahi Publication.
- Hiles, D. R. (2008). *The SAGE Encyclopedia of Qualitative Reseach Method* (Vol. 1). (L. Given, Ed.) Los Angeles. London. New Delhi. Singapore: Sage Publication.
- Horkheimer, M., & Adorno, T. (2002). *Dialectic of Enlightenment, Philosophical Fragments*. (E. Jephcott, Trans.) London: Stanford University Press.
- Horne, J. (2005). Globalization, Growth and Fiscal Policy. Los Angles: Ashgate Publication.
- Hosseini, M. (2010, October 25). BBC Perssian. Retrieved August 29, 2011, from BBc Persian:

http://www.bbc.co.uk/persian/iran/2010/10/101025\_138\_iran\_ahmadinejad\_tehranuni. shtml

- Huntington, S. (1993). The clashes of civilizations. *Journal of Foreign Affairs, No. 29, 3*, 22-49.
- Illouz, A., & John, E. (2003). Global habitus, local stratification, and symbolic struggles over identity: The case of McDonald's Israel. *American Behavioral Scientist* ; 47, 201.
- ITU. (2010). *Measuring the Information Society*. Geneva. Switzerland: International Telecommunication Union.
- Jacobsen, E. (1996). George Ritzer: The McDonaldization of society. Acta Sociologica ; 39, 221-228.
- Jalaipoor, H. (2004). Social disorder or disintegration of Iran: 1996-2004. Iranian Sociological Journal, No.3, 59-75.
- Jenkins, R. (1996). Social Identity. London. New York: Routledge.
- Jones, P. (2006). Toleration, value-pluralism, and the fact of pluralism. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy, Vol. 9, No. 2, 189–210.*
- Kachuian, H. (2003). Globalization and culture: Unsolvable dellimas. *Journal of Social Sciences Letter, No4*, 141-163.
- Kachuian, H. (2004). *Theories of Globalization and Religion: Critical Study*. Tehran: Ney publication.
- Kachuian, H. (2005). Iran's Evolutional Identity. Tehran: Ney Press.
- Kadivar, M. (1999). Tradition and Secularism. Tehran: Serat Publication.
- Kekes, J. (1993). *The Morality of Pluralism*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Kelly, J. (1999). *Globalization and Identity*. (B. &. Meyer, Ed.) New York: Blackwell Publisher.
- Khatami, M. (2009). Dialogue of Civilizations. Tehran: Tarhe No.
- lapidus, I. (2001). Between universalism and particularism: The historical bases of Muslim Communal, National, and Global identities. *Global Ntworks*, 1, 37-55.
- Lash, S. (2001). Technological forms of life. *Theory Culture Society*; 18, 105-120.
- Lash, S. (2001). Technological forms of life. Theory Culture Society ; 18, 105-120.
- Lash, S. (2003). Reflexivity asnNon-linearity. Theory Culture Society ; 20; 49, 49-57.
- Lash, S. (2006). Life (Vitalism). Theory Culture Society ; 23, 323-329.
- Lash, S., & Lury, C. (2007). Global culture industry. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Laursen, J. C. (2005). *Toleration. In Horowitz, M.C. New Dictionary of the History of Ideas.* London : Thomson Gale.
- Lazarsfeld, P. e. (1972). *Continuities in the language of Social Research*. New York: The Free Press.
- Lerner, R. M. (2005). *Promoting Positive Youth Development: Teoritical and Emprical Bases.* Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences.
- Luborsky, M., & Rubinstein, R. (1995). Sampling in qualitative research: Rationale, issues, and methods. *Research on Aging*, 17; 89, 17; No. 1, 89-113.

- Mack, N. e. (2005). *Qualitative Research Methods: A Data Collectors' Field Guide*. North Carolina: Family Health International (USAID).
- Manteghi, M. (2007). Crisis of Identity in Transitional Society. In A. Alikhani, *Identity and Crisis of Identity* (pp. 385-408). Tehran: Jehad Daneshgahi Publication.
- Mardiha, M. (2005). *National Identity and Globalization*. Tehran: Bashgahe Andisheh Publication.
- Masoodfar, J. (2002). Protection of Islamic-Iranian Culture on Process of Globalization. *Congress of Religious Researchers* (pp. 317-331). Ghom: Ehyagaran Publication.
- McLennan, G. (2008). Progressive pluralism? Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy Vol. 11, No. 1, 89–105.
- Mead, G. (1934). Mind, self and Society. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Menninger, R. (2007). Pluralism and particularism: Friends or foes. *Christian Higher Education*, 6, 391–408.
- Menshikov, S. (2005). *Indicators and Trends in Economic Globalization*. (P. a. Gangopadhyay, Ed.) Ashgate.
- Merriam, S. B. (1988). Case Study Research in Education. San Francisco, London: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Merriam, S. B. (2009). *Qualitative Research: A Giude to Design and Implementation*. San Francisco, London: Jossey-Bass Publication.
- Miekley, J. (2005). Esl Textbook Evaluation Checklist . *The Reading Matrix Vol. 5, No. 2,* 1-9.
- Miles, M., & Huberman, M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis*. Thousands Oaks. London. New Delhi: Sage Publications.
- Molana, H. (2001). Dialogue of Civilization and Process of Entente between Iran, West and Africa.
- Mommsen, W. (1989). *The Political and Social Theory of Max Weber*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Morse, J. M. (1995, May). The Significance of Saturation. *Qualitative Health Research, Vol.* 5 No. 2 May, 147-149.
- Ollman, B. (1993). Dialectical Investigations. New York.London: Routledge.
- Parkin, F. (2002). Max Weber. London. New York: Routledge.
- Patton, M. (2002). *Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods*. Thousand Oaks. London. New Delhi: Sage Publications.
- Pieterse, J. N. (1995). *Globalization as Hybridization*. (M. L. Featherston, Ed.) London.Singapore: Sage Publications.
- Piran, P. (2004). Globalization and Social Development. *politics and Management in Growth and Development in Iran. 3*, pp. 102-120. Tehran: Higher Institute of Research and Training.
- Ragai, F. (2003). Problem of Iran's Identity. Tehran: Ney Press.
- Rahimpoor, H. (2005). *Globalization and Contemporary Islam*. Tehran: Bashgahe Andisheh Publication.

Rawls, J. (1996). Theory of Jutices. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Ray, A. (2005). *Problems of Globalization in India*. (P. a. Gangopathyay, Ed.) New Delhi: Ashgat Publishing Company.
- Ray, L. (2007). Globalization and Everyday life. London. New york: Routledge Publication.
- Ritzer, G. (1996). The McDonaldization thesis: Is expansion inevitable? *International Sociology*; 11, 291-308.
- Ritzer, G. (2003). Rethinking globalization: Glocalization/Grobalization and something/nothing. *Sociological Theory 21:3*, 193-209.
- Ritzer, G. (Ed.). (2007). *The Blackwell Companion to Globalization*. London: Blackwell Publishing.
- Ritzer, G. (2011). Globalization: The Essentials. New York. London: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Ritzer, G., & Lair, C. (2007). *Outsourcing: Globalization and Beyond*. (G. Ritzer, Ed.) New York: Blackwell.
- Robertson, R. (1992). *Globalization:Social Theory and Global Culture*. London. Newbury Park. New Delhi: Sage Publications.
- Robertson, R. (1995). *Glocalization: Time-Space and Homogeneity–Heterogeneity. In Global Modernities.* (S. L. M. Featherstone, Ed.) London: Sage Publication.
- Robertson, R. (2007). Global Milennialism: A Postmortem on Secularization. In P. a. Beyer, *Religion, Globalization and Culture* (pp. 9-34). Leiden. Boston: Brill.
- Rorty, r. (1992). Cosmopolitanism without Emancipation: A reply to Lyotard. *Discussion, 23*, 1-17.
- Roudometof, V. (2003). Glocalization, space, and modernity . *The European Legacy, Vol. 8, No. 1*, pp. 37–60.
- Sandelowski, M. (2008). Member Check. In L. Given, *The Sage Encyclopedia of Qualitative Reseach Methods* (pp. 501-504). Los Angeles.London.New Delhi. Singapoor: Sage Publication.
- Saumure, K., & Given, L. (2008). Confidentiality, Anonymization, and Data Linkage. In L. Given, *The Sage Encyclopedia of Qualitative Reseach Methods* (pp. 196-197). Los Angeles.London.New Delhi. Singapoor: Sage Publication.
- Schutz, A., & Luckmann, T. (1974). *The Structure of Life-World*. (R. a. Zaner, Trans.) Heinemann . London: HEB Ptionublica.
- Shariati, A. (1980). Re-identification of Islamic-Iranian Identity. Tehran: Nshr Publication.
- Shayegan, D. (2007). Multi-Dimensional Identity. In A. Alikhani, *Identity and Crisis of Identity* (pp. 333-340). Tehran: Jehad Daneshgahi Publication.
- shaygan, D. (2008). Under the Skyes of the World. Tehran: publication of Forouzan.
- silverman, D. (2005). *Doing Qualitative Research*. London. Thousand Oaks. New Delhi: Sage Publications.
- Simmel, G. (1971). On Individuality and Social Forms. New York: University of chicago Press.
- Simmel, G. (1990). *The philosophy of Money.* (T. B. Frisby, Trans.) London. New York: Routledgs.

- Sinai, V., & Ibrahimabadi, G. (2003). Cultural pluralism in age of globalization and evolution of political culture of elites in Iran. *Journal of Social Science Letter, No.* 25, 107-139.
- Smith, M. (2010, August Sunday). Blackwell Encyclopedia of Sociology online. Retrieved2010,fromBlackwellEncyclopediahttp://www.sociologyencyclopedia.com
- Sobhani, J. (2006). Religious Pluralism. Ghom: Institue of Imam Sadegh Publication.
- Soroush, A. (1998). Crisis of Identity. Journal of Keyan, No. 49, 4-12.
- Soroush, A. (1999). Identity crisis. Journal of Kian, No 49, 1-9.
- Soroush, A. (1999). The Stright Paths. Tehran: Serat Publication.
- Soroush, A. (2011, March). Official Website of Abdolkarim Soroush' from Daily Tsite. Retrieved from http://www.drsoroush.com/Persian/Interviews/P-INT-20100220-JonbeshSabzShekastNapazirAst.html
- Tajik, M. R. (2002). Globalization and Religion: Secularization or Sanctification. *Congress of Religious Researchers* (pp. 35-50). Ghom: Ehyagaran Publication.
- Tajik, M., & Daryoushi, F. (2004). Ideals of Islamic Revolution in age of globalization. *Iranian Sociological Journal, No.3*, 140-171.
- Talib, A. T., & Ahmad, Z. (2007). Socio-Religious Tolerance: Exploring the Malaysian Experience. University of Wales Lampeter, United Kingdom on June 27, 2007.
- Tavasoli, G. (2004). Examine of dominant discourses of globalization. *Journal of Social Sciences, No.4*, 156-179.
- Tavasoli, G. G. (2002). Ethnic relationships and Evolution of Collective Identity. *Iranian sociological Journal*, 3-25.
- Thayer, C. (2008). Radical Islam and Political Terrorism In Southeast Asia. In . In T. Chong, *Globalization and its Counter-Forces in Southeast Asia*. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asia Studies.
- Thompson, C. (1999). Research into nurse decision making: Factors for consideration in theoretical sampling. Journal of Qualitative Health Research, Vol. 9 No. 6, November, 815-828.
- Toffler, A. (1980). Future Shoke. Toronto, Ont: Bantam Book Publication.
- Tomlinson, J. (1999). Globalization and Culture. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Tomlinson, J. (2007). Cultural Globalization. In G. Ritzer, *The Blackwell Companian to Globalization* (pp. 352-366). Blackwell Publishing.
- Tomlinson, J. (2007). *The Culture of Speed:The Coming of Immediacy*. London. Los Angeles. New Delhi. Singapore: Sage Publication.
- Turner, B. (2003). McDonaldization: Linearity and liquidity in consumer cultures. American Behavioral Scientist, 47, 137-153.
- Turner, B. (2007). The Future of Globalization. In G. Ritzer, & G. Ritzer (Ed.), *The Blackwell Companian to Globalization* (pp. 675-693). Blackwell Publishing.
- Turner, E. &. (2005). Global generations: Social change in the twentieth century. *The British Journal of Sociology*, *56*: *4*, 559-577.

- Wallerstein, I. (1977). *The Politics of the World-Economy*. Cambridge [Eng], New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Wallerstien, I. (1974). The Modern World System. New York: Academic Press.
- Weber, M. (1948). *The theory of Social and Eonomic Organization*. (A. H. Parsons, Trans.) New York: Oxford University Press.
- Weber, M. (1968). *Economy and society*. Berlreley Los Angeles London: University of California Press.
- Weber, M. (1968). *The theory of social and economic organization*. (A. H. Parsons, Trans.) New York: Oxford University Press.
- Weber, M. (1968). *The theory of social and economic organization*. (A. H. Parsons, Trans.) New York: Oxford University Press.
- Weber, M. (2006). Historical Methods in the Social S ciences. (J. A. Bryant, Ed.) Sage.
- *Wikipedia*. (2012, Apri). Retrieved from Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rihanna
- Williams, R. (2008). Islam, Christianity and pluralism. Journal of Islam and Christian– Muslim Relations, Vol. 19, No. 3, 339–347.
- Wilson, V. (1997). Focus groups: A useful qualitative method for educational research. British Educational Research Journal, Vol. 23, No. 2, 209-224.
- Zahed, S. (2007). *Globalization, Culture and National Identity*. Tehran: Shargh Publication.
- Zokai, M. (2007). Youth, globalization and international immegrations. *Iranian Sociological Journal, No2*, 41-75.