



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**EFFECTS OF CABLE DIAMETER REDUCTION AND SNAPPING ON
THE BEHAVIOR OF CABLE-STAYED BRIDGES**

SAYED MAHAMED AJAJ

FK 2007 26



**EFFECTS OF CABLE DIAMETER REDUCTION
AND SNAPPING ON THE BEHAVIOR OF
CABLE-STAYED BRIDGES**

SAYED MAHAMED AJAJ

**MASTER OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

2007



**EFFECTS OF CABLE DIAMETER REDUCTION AND SNAPPING
ON THE BEHAVIOR OF CABLE-STAYED BRIDGES**

By

SAYED MAHAMED AJAJ

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

May 2007



DEDICATION

*For The person who works
for the sake of Allah, above all*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

**EFFECTS OF CABLE DIAMETER REDUCTION AND SNAPPING
ON THE BEHAVIOR OF CABLE-STAYED BRIDGES**

By

SAYED MAHAMED AJAJ

May 2007

Chairman: Associate Professor Waleed A. Thanoon, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

Cable-stayed bridges are usually constructed in coastal area in which the surrounding atmospheric is considered as severe environmental condition. This atmosphere helps in building up quickly the corrosion of steel cables with time.

Visual inspection of cable-stayed bridges built up worldwide shows that the bridge cables suffer from serious corrosion although the cables are protected using different techniques. There is a considerable reduction in cable diameter due to corrosion, which depends on the severity of the environmental condition.

There is no sufficient information regarding the effect of reduction in cable diameter on the structural response of cable-stayed bridge. Furthermore, snapping of cables due to accidental and /or corrosion is another important issue which affecting the structural response and safety of cable stayed bridges and need to be addressed for safe design.



In this research, the effect of reducing cables diameter, cables layout and snapping of individual cables on the structural behavior and safety of cable-stayed bridge are presented. Three cable layouts are analyzed in this study i.e. harp, semi harp and fan layouts. In each layout, five different reductions in cables diameters are considered i.e 12.50%, 25.00%, 37.50%, and 50.00%. To address snapping of cable, harp bridge layout is considered and the structural behavior of the bridge due to snapping individual cables in the bridge are presented and discussed.

The analysis starts with initial shape analysis to stress the cables to minimize the deformation under self-weight of the structure. The analysis was carried out using stiffness method considering the geometrical nonlinearities.

The results of initial shape analysis show that in all bridge layouts reflect comparable behavior. The cable forces were found to be the lowest in fan layout cable bridge compared to harp and semi harp layouts. Reducing cables diameter will lead to a redistribution of forces and moment in different components of the bridge and alter the structural behavior in a nonlinear fashion. Reducing cables diameter by 25% will compromise the bridge safety as the stresses in cables, deformation, and bending moment will be increased significantly.

The bridge cable layouts have little effect on the structure response of the cable-stayed bridge with reduced cables diameter. The fan layout shows better structural response compared to harp and semi harp layout, especially in term of cable forces and deformation profile. Notwithstanding this fact, 25% of cables reduction

diameter will significantly affect the moment in girder of fan bridge layout compared to other layouts of cables

Snapping the individual cable in the bridge has a significant effect on the cable force and bending moment distribution in the girder, tower and will cause bridge failure.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Matser Sains

**KESAN SAIZ KABEL TERKURANG DAN KABEL TERSENTAP PADA
KELAKUAN JAMBATAN GANTUNG**

Oleh

SAYED MAHAMED AJAJ

Mei 2007

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Waleed A. Thanoon, PhD

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Kabel jambatan gantung biasanya dibina di pesisir pantai di mana keadaan alam sekitar dianggap teruk. Suasana ini menyebabkan kakisan keluli kabel boleh berlaku dengan cepat.

Pemeriksaan visual kabel jambatan gantung menunjukkan bahawa kabel jambatan terjejas dari kakisan serius walaupun kabel terpelihara menerusi berbagai teknik. Kakisan ini boleh menyebabkan pengurangan saiz kabel yang banyak.

Tiada maklumat yang lengkap berkenaan dengan kesan pengurangan saiz kabel keatas kelakuan jambatan gantung. Kabel boleh tersentap disebabkan kemalangan dan/atau pengaratan. Ini merupakan isu tambahan yang memberi kesan kepada kelakuan struktur. Keselamatan jambatan gantung disebabkan oleh dua perkara ini perlu ditangani.

Dalam kajian ini, kesan pengurangan saiz kabel, susun atur kabel dan kesan kabel tersentak pada kelakuan dan keselamatan jambatan gantung dibentangkan. Tiga susunatur kabel iaitu '*harp*', '*semi-harp*' dan kipas dianalisis dalam kajian ini. Untuk setiap susunatur lima pengurangan garispusat kabel telah dipertimbangkan iaitu 12.50%, 25.00%, 37.50%, dan 50.00%. Untuk mengambilkira kabel tersentak, jambatan susunatur '*harp*' telah dipertimbangkan dan kelakuan struktur jambatan disebabkan oleh kabel tersentak dibentang dan dibincangkan.

Analisis bermula dengan analisis awalan dimana kabel ditegang untuk mengurangkan ubahbentuk di bawah beban diri. Analisis dilakukan dengan menggunakan kaedah kekukuhan dengan mengambilkira ketidaklurusan.

Hasil dari analisis awalan menunjukkan semua jambatan dengan susunatur kabel yang berbeza menunjukkan kelakuan yang setara. Daya kabel didapati paling rendah untuk susunatur kipas, berbanding dengan susunatur '*harp*' dan '*semi-harp*'. Pengurangan garispusat kebal akan mengakibatkan pengagihan semula daya dan momen pada berbagai komponen jambatan dan merubah kelakuan dalam bentuk tidak 'lelurus'. Pengurangan saiz kabel sebanyak 25% boleh mengancam keselamatan jambatan kerana tegasan kabel, ubahbentuk jambatan dan momen lenturan akan meningkat secara mendadak.

Susunatur berbagai kabel mempunyai kesan kecil pada respon struktur bila saiz kabel dikurangkan. Susunatur kipas menunjukkan respon yang lebih baik berbanding dengan susunatur '*harp*' dan '*semi-harp*'. Walaubagaimanapun, pengurangan

25% saiz kabel memberi kesan besar kepada rasuk pada jambatan susunatur kipas, berbanding dengan susunatur lain.

Kabel individu yang tersentak mempunyai kesan signifikan kepada daya kabel dan agihan momen dalam rasuk dan menara, dan boleh menyebabkan kegagalan jambatan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

"All praise to Almighty Allah, for his bounties and providences."

I would like to express my best regards to my supervisor Prof Waleed .A. M. Thanoon for his supervision, and valuable suggestions.

I am also would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Jamaloddin. Noorzaei, for his parentally guidance, and advice during this research. His encouragement, moral and technical support made this work possible.

Grateful to Professor Dr. M. S. Jaafar, for his advice, and helpful discussion during this period of study.

I would also like to thank for Mr. Masuod and Mr. Al-gorafi for their helps, All the staff in engineering department, UPM for the co-operation given to me throughout my work.. Special thank for The Libyan Ministry of Education for research scholarship, all Libyan students and my best regards to my friend Hani Jameel

Last but not least, I wish to express my gratitude to my family for the support they gave throughout my studies. Long absent hours from home are *often* met with a warm welcome and a forgiving smile.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on May 03, 2007 to conduct the final examination of Sayed Mahamed Ajaj on his Master of Science thesis entitled “Effects of cable diameter reduction and snapping on the behavior of cable-stayed bridges” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the reward degree.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Bujang Kim Huat, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Abang Abdullah Abang Ali

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Husaini Omar, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Taksiah A. Majid, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Science Malaysia
(External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Waleed A. Thanoon, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Jamalodden Noorzaei, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd Saleh Jaafar, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD
Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 17 JUNE 2007



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

SAYED MAHAMED AJAJ

Date: 15 APRIL 2007



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
APPROVAL	xi
DECLARATION	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1.1
1.1 General	1.1
1.2 Problem Statement	1.3
1.3 Objectives	1.3
1.4 Scope	1.3
1.5 Thesis Outline	1.4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	2.1
2.1 Introduction	2.1
2.2 Static Analysis of Cable-Stayed Bridges	2.2
2.3 Corrosion of Cables	2.16
2.3.1 Corrosion Stages	2.19
2.3.2 Corrosion Mechanisms	2.20
Uniform or Atmospheric Corrosion	2.20
Pitting Corrosion	2.21
Crevice Corrosion	2.22
Stress Corrosion Cracking	2.23
Hydrogen Cracking	2.23
2.3.3 Conditions Leading to Corrosion	2.23
2.3.4 Loss of Galvanized Protection	2.25
2.4 Concluding Remarks	2.25
3 METHODOLOGY	3.1
3.1 Introduction	3.1
3.2 Choice of the Elements	3.1
3.2.1 Cable Model	3.1
3.2.2 Beam Bending Element	3.4
3.3 Shape-Finding Analysis	3.5
3.4 Sources of Non-linearity in Cable Stayed Bridges	3.10
3.4.1 Geometry Change Due to Cable Sag	3.10
3.4.2 Geometry Change Due to Bending Members	3.11
3.4.3 Geometry Change Due to Large Displacement	
3.13	



3.5	Basic Nonlinear Solution Techniques	3.14
3.5.1	Incremental Procedure	3.15
3.5.2	Iterative Procedure	3.17
3.5.3	Mixed Procedures	3.18
3.6	Linear and Non-linear Procedure	3.19
4	COMPUTER PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT AND VERIFICATION	
		4.1
4.1	Introduction	4.1
4.2	Linear and Non-linear Procedure	4.1
4.3	Analysis Concept, Assumptions, and Limitations	4.4
4.4	Computation Procedures	4.5
4.5	Convergence Criteria	4.5
4.5.1	Example No. 1	4.6
4.5.2	Example No. 2	4.9
5	DISCUSSION AND RESULTS	5.1
5.1	Introduction	5.1
5.2	Cases Study	5.1
5.3	Initial Shape Analysis	5.6
5.3.1	Cable Forces	5.7
5.3.2	Girder Deflection	5.10
5.3.3	Bending Moments	5.14
5.4	Linear and Non-linear Analysis	5.18
5.5	Structural Behavior of Cable Stayed Bridges with Reduced Cables Diameter	5.22
5.5.1	Girders Deflections	5.22
5.5.2	Girder Bending Moment	5.26
5.5.3	Tower Bending Moment	5.31
5.5.4	Stresses in Cables	5.35
5.6	Effects of Snapping Cables on the behavior of Harp Cable Stayed Bridge	5.39
5.6.1	Cable Stresses	5.43
5.6.2	Deformation	5.45
5.6.3	Girder Moment Distribution	5.45
5.6.4	Tower Moment Distribution	5.49
	Right Tower Moment Distribution	5.49
	Left Tower Moment Distribution	5.52
6	CONCLUSION	6.1
6.1	Conclusions	6.1
6.2	Suggestions for Future Work	6.3
	REFERENCES	R.1
	APPENDICES	A.1
	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	B.1



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
3.1 Degree of Freedom of Employed Element	3.2
4.1 Verification Examples	4.6
4.2 Vertical Node Displacements Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.7
4.3 Horizontal Node Displacements Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.7
4.4 Axial Member Forces Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.8
4.5 Shear Member Forces Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.8
4.6 Shear Member Forces Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.8
4.7 Bending Moment Member Forces Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.8
4.8 Reactions Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.8
4.9 Vertical Girder Node Displacement Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.9
4.10 Cable Tension Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.10
4.11 Tower Axial Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.10
4.12 Girder Axial Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.11
4.13 Tower Shear Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.11
4.14 Girder Shear Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.11
4.15 Girder Bending Moment Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.12
4.16 Tower Bending Moment Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.13
4.17 Reactions Results for the Reference and Present Study	4.13



4.18	Cable Force in Non-linear Shape Finding of Harp Cable Stayed Bridge for the Reference and Present Study	4.14
5.1	Physical Properties of Different Element in the Bridge	5.2
5.2	Dead Load and Live Load on the Bridge	5.2
5.3	The Average Percent of Increase/ Decrease in Cables Tension Forces due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge A, B, and C	5.9
5.4	The Percentage of Maximum Bending Moment in Girder due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge A	5.15
5.5	The Percentage of Maximum Bending Moment in Girder due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge B	5.16
5.6	The Percentage of Maximum Bending Moment in Girder due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge C	5.17
5.8	Comparison between Linear and Non-linear Results for Bridge Types A, B, and C	5.19

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Initial Shape of Harp Cable Stayed Bridge	2.4
2.2	Semi-Harp Cable Stayed Bridge	2.5
2.3	Variation of Maximum Girder Deflection	2.9
2.4	Variation of Maximum -ve Girder Moment	2.9
2.5	Mau-Lo His Cable-Stayed Bridge	2.12
2.6	Displacement of the Structure	2.13
2.7	Bending Moment of the Pylon	2.14
2.8	Bending Moment of Girder	2.15
2.9	General view of the bridge	2.16
2.10	Close-up views of the exposed tie rods, Corrosion of the unpainted exposed areas may be noted	2.16
2.11	Lake Marcaibo Bridge	2.18
2.12	Corrosion Situation, 6 Years after Completion -Oonaruto Bridge	2.19
2.13	Uniform Atmospheric Corrosion	2.21
2.14	Polished Sample Showing Pitting Corrosion	2.22
2.15	Pitting and Stress Corrosion Cracking	2.23
3.1	Cable Local and Global Coordinate System	3.3
3.2	Beam Bending Element	3.5
3.3	Symbolic Nonlinear Load-Displacement Curve	3.15
3.4	Basic Incremental Procedure	3.17
3.5	Tangent Stiffness Procedure	3.18
3.6	Iterative or Newton Procedure	3.19
3.7	Work Done in Methodology Chapter	3.19



4.1	Procedure of Linear-nonlinear Static Analysis for Cable Stayed Bridge due to Decreased Cables Diameter	4.3
4.2	Tower Girder Cable-System	4.7
4.3	Symmetric Harp Cable-Stayed Bridge	4.9
5.1	Flowchart of Cases Study of Cable-Stayed Bridges	5.3
5.2	Geometrical Configuration of Cases Study of Cable-Stayed Bridges	5.4
5.3	Geometrical Configuration of Cases Study of Cable-Stayed Bridges	5.5
5.4	Cables Tension Forces due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge A	5.8
5.5	Cables Tension Forces due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge B	5.8
5.6	Cables Tension Forces due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge C	5.9
5.7	Variation of Girder Deflection due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge A	5.11
5.8	Variation of Girder Deflection due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge B	5.12
5.9	Variation of Girder Deflection due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge C	5.13
5.10	Variation of Girder Bending Moment due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge A	5.15
5.11	Variation of Girder Bending Moment due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge B	5.16
5.12	Variation of Girder Bending Moment due to Initial Shape Analysis for Bridge C	5.17
5.13	Girder Deflection of Bridge A, B, and C	5.20
5.14	Girder Bending Moment of Bridge A, B, and C	5.21
5.15	Girder Deflection of Bridge A, B, and C	5.24
5.16	Maximum Girder Deflection due to Reduced Cables Diameter for Bridges Types A, B, and C	5.25
5.17	Percent of Maximum Girder Deflection due to Reduced Cables Diameter for Bridges Types A, B, and C	5.25

5.18	Girder Bending Moment of Bridge A, B, and C	5.28
5.19	Maximum Span Bending Moment due to Reduced Cables Diameter for Bridges Types A, B, and C	5.29
5.20	Maximum Support Bending Moment due to Reduced Cables Diameter for Bridges Types A, B, and C	5.29
5.21	Percent of Maximum Span Bending Moment due to Reduced Cables Diameter for Bridges Types A, B, and C	5.30
5.22	Percent of Increased of Maximum Support Bending Moment due to Reduced Cables Diameter for Bridges Types A, B, and C	5.30
5.23	Tower Bending Moment of Bridge A, B, and C	5.33
5.24	Tower Bending Moment at joint no. 3 due to Reduced Cables Diameter for Bridges Types A, B, and C	5.34
5.25	Percent of Increase/Decrease of tower Bending Moment at joint no. 3 due to Reduced Cables Diameter for Bridges Types A, B, and C	5.34
5.26	Cable Stress of Bridge A, B, and C	5.37
5.27	Maximum Cable Stress due to Reduced Cables Diameter for Bridges Types A, B, and C	5.38
5.28	Percent of Increased of Maximum Cable Stress due to Reduced Cables Diameter for Bridges Types A, B, and C	5.38
5.29a	Variation of Cable Stress due to Snapping Cables 7, 8, and 9	5.42
5.29b	Variation of Cable Stress due to Snapping Cables 10, 11, 12	5.42
5.30a	Vertical Girder Deflection due to Snapping Cable 7, 8, and 9	5.44
5.30b	Vertical Girder Deflection due to Snapping Cables 10, 11, and 12	5.44
5.31a	Girder Bending Moment due to Snapping Cables 7, 8, and 9	5.47
5.31b	Girder Bending Moment due to Snapping Cables 10, 11, and 12	5.48
5.32a	Bending Moment at Right Tower due to Snapping Cables 7, 8, and 9	5.51
5.32b	Bending Moment at Right Tower due to Snapping Cables 10, 11, and 12	5.51
5.33a	Bending Moment at Left Tower due to Snapping Cables 7, 8, and 9	5.53
5.33b	Bending Moment at Left Tower	



due to Snapping Cables 10, 11, and 12

5.53



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Cable-stayed bridges have been serving humankind since early times. In ancient times, Egyptians built their boats in the form of cable-stayed structures. Many years after, advancements of the cable-stayed concept and materials are most notable and can be divided into two periods. The first period was from the 1600s to 1950, where the new style and concept of cable-stayed bridges were developed. The second period was from the 1950s until nowadays, where new cables are made from high-strength strands, bars and wires, and high load-carrying capacity and ease of installation are offered. Moreover, rapid progress in the analysis and construction of cable-stayed bridges has been also made. This progress is mainly due to developments in the fields of computer technology, high-strength steel cables, and orthotropic steel decks.

A cable-stayed bridge consists of three principal components, namely girders, towers and inclined cable stays. The girder is supported elastically at points along its length by inclined cable stays so that, the girder can span a much longer distance without intermediate piers. The dead load and traffic load on the girders are transmitted to the towers by inclined cables. High-tension forces exist in cable-stays, which induce high compression forces in towers and part of girders. Since high pretension force exists in inclined cables before live loads are applied, the initial geometry and the prestress of cable-stayed bridges are dependent on each other. They cannot be specified



independently as conventional steel or reinforced concrete bridges. Therefore, the initial shape, i.e., the geometric configuration and the pre-stress distribution of cable-stayed bridges has to be determined prior to analyze them. Therefore, the initial shape has to be determined correctly prior to analyze the bridge. Only based on the correct initial shape a correct non-linear analysis can be achieved. The sources of nonlinearity in cable-stayed bridges mainly include the cable sag, beam-column, and large deflection effects

Cable stayed bridge usually built in coastal areas, which often exposed in the open air, are inevitably subjected to atmospheric corrosion. Cables, which play the main key in the performance and the behavior of the bridge, can suffer a reduction in cable diameter due to the corrosion.

Nevertheless, most the cables are preserved by rust preventive methods, which have been used in Europe and America. In Japan, no protection was provided as the existing because that rust preventive methods were not effective enough under Japan's weather conditions with high humidity and large temperature change (Yukikazu et al 2002). New York State Bridge Authority has presented studied many types of cable structures system, since 2003 and it was found that, most of tested cables have broken wires (Engineering News-Record.com, 2003).



1.2 Problem Statement

There is no sufficient information regarding the effect of reduction in cable diameter on the structural response of cable-stayed bridge. Furthermore, snapping of cables due to accidental events during the life of the structure and /or corrosion, which usually happened due to poor maintenance of the bridge which is another important issue which affecting the structural response and safety of cable stayed bridges and need to be addressed for safe design.

1.3 Objectives

The aim of this research is

1. To investigate the effect of reducing the cables diameter on the structural response of the cable stayed bridges with different cable layout considering the actual non-linear behavior of the bridge structure.
2. The effect of snapping individual cables in harp cable stayed bridge on the structural safety of the bridge.

1.4 Scope

This study performs linear and nonlinear analysis of cable-stayed bridge, including initial shape analysis. A computer code is also developed based on stiffness method to

