



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**DEVELOPMENT OF AN EXPERT SYSTEM TO IDENTIFY  
IMPLEMENTATION GAPS OF OHSAS 18001  
IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES**

**AKASH SURI**

**FK 2007 15**



**DEVELOPMENT OF AN EXPERT SYSTEM TO IDENTIFY  
IMPLEMENTATION GAPS OF OHSAS 18001  
IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES**

**By**

**AKASH SURI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

**March 2007**



Dedicated to my parents



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia  
in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**DEVELOPMENT OF AN EXPERT SYSTEM TO IDENTIFY  
IMPLEMENTATION GAPS OF OHSAS 18001  
IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES**

By

**AKASH SURI**

**March 2007**

**Chairman : Associate Professor Megat Mohd Hamdan B Megat Ahmad, PhD**

**Faculty : Engineering**

An expert system (ES) has been developed to perform OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis for the small and medium scale manufacturing industries in Malaysia. OHSAS 18001 is the standard for occupational safety and health management system. The expert system was written using JavaScript version 1.4 and is named Gap Analysis Expert System (GAES). Although OHSAS 18001 is a proven safety management system, the major problem among small and medium scale manufacturing companies is its implementation, mainly due to cost factors. This fact has been derived after the researcher received direct feedback from manufacturing companies during audits. The approach in the development of the system starts with surveys. Two categories of survey are done, that is the domain expert interviews and the field surveys. Two domain experts are interviewed and the questionnaires for the field survey are firmed up. The field survey covers 30 OSH consultants who comment on 30 different factors that would exert an influence on the implementation of OHSAS 18001. The raw data obtained in the field surveys is processed and a ranking of factors is subsequently derived. The field surveys reveal that the three most



important factors that exert an influence in the implementation of OHSAS 18001 are Safety Culture, Process Hazard Analysis and Job Training. Indeed the top ten factors from the survey are given close attention and they are matched against the sixteen statements found in the GAES. The sixteen statements contained in the GAES originate directly from the clauses of OHSAS 18001 standard. This exercise would indicate the statements in the GAES that would need to be considered very carefully when administering the expert system, thus enhancing accuracy and reliability. The GAES has been found to be a user friendly expert system that churns out the final safety gap of an organization expressed in percentage value. This finding is expected to initiate and spearhead efforts in the implementation of OHSAS 18001 among small and medium scale manufacturing companies. Finally, the GAES was field tested in two organizations, IBI Brass (M) Sdn Bhd and Topaz Evergreen (M) Sdn Bhd.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan ijazah Sarjana Sains

**PEMBANGUNAN SISTEM PAKAR UNTUK MENGENALPASTI  
JURANG PERLAKSANAAN BAGI OHSAS 18001  
DALAM INDUSTRI PEMBUATAN**

Oleh

**AKASH SURI**

**Mac 2007**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Megat Mohd Hamdan B Megat Ahmad, PhD**

**Fakulti : Kejuruteraan**

Sebuah sistem pakar demi tujuan melaksanakan Analisis Jurang OHSAS 18001 khususnya untuk industri-industri pembuatan kecil dan sederhana di Malaysia telah dibangunkan. OHSAS 18001 adalah piawaian bagi sistem pengurusan keselamatan dan kesihatan pekerjaan. Sistem pakar ini direka dengan menggunakan bahasa “JavaScript” versi 1.4 serta dinamakan Sistem Pakar Analisis Jurang (GAES).

Walaupun OHSAS 18001 merupakan sistem pengurusan keselamatan yang terbukti, masalah besar yang dihadapi oleh syarikat-syarikat pembuatan kecil dan sederhana adalah dari segi kos yang terlibat dalam pelaksanaannya. Pemerhatian ini telah dibuat setelah penyelidik mendapat maklumbalas terus daripada syarikat-syarikat pembuatan apabila membuat audit. Kaedah yang diambil dalam pembangunan sistem ini bermula dengan dua kategori kajian yang dibuat, iaitu dengan temubual-temubual yang melibatkan pakar domain serta kajian padang. Dua orang pakar domain telah ditemubual dan senarai soalan untuk kajian padang dirumuskan secara

akhirnya. Kajian padang meliputi 30 pakar dalam bidang OSH yang akan memberi komen bbmereka terhadap 30 faktor-faktor yang dapat mempengaruhi pelaksanaan OHSAS 18001. Data mentah yang diperolehi daripada kajian padang diproses dan satu siri kedudukan factor-faktor dibangkitkan. Kajian padang mendedahkan bahawa tiga faktor utama yang mempengaruhi pelaksanaan OHSAS 18001 adalah Budaya Keselamatan, Analisis Hazard Proses dan Latihan Kerja. Secara hakikatnya, sepuluh faktor utama yang diperohi daripada kajian ini adalah diberi perhatian rapat dan factor-faktor ini dibandingkan dengan kenyataan-kenyataan yang ada di dalam GAES. Daripada enambelas kenyataan-kenyataan yang berada di dalam GAES, hampir semuanya berasal terus dari petikan-petikan piawaian OHSAS 18001 sendiri. Langkah ini akan menunjuk kepada petikan-petikan daripada GAES yang mesti ditimbangkan secara berhati-hati apabila menggunakan sistem pakar ini. Proses ini sejurusnya meningkatkan ketepatan analisis sistem GAES. GAES adalah sistem pakar mesra yang memberi nilai jurang keselamatan sesebuah organisasi dalam bentuk peratus. Keputusan ini dapat memulakan dan menjuruskan usaha dalam pelaksanaan OHSAS 18001 di kalangan syarikat-syarikat pembuatan kecil dan sederhana. Secara akhirnya, GAES ini telah diuji di dua buah organisasi iaitu IBI Brass (M) Sdn Bhd and Topaz Evergreen (M) Sdn Bhd.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to extend my deepest appreciation to the following individuals for their support and guidance throughout this research.

Professor Madya Dr Megat Mohd Hamdan and Professor Madya Abdul Rahman Ramli, my project supervisor and co-supervisor, respectively, for their precious time spent and compassionate personality.

Also, I would like to extend appreciation to all my family members for their continuous inspiration and support.







This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

**Megat Mohd Hamdan Megat Ahmad, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Abdul Rahman Ramli, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**AINI IDERIS, PhD**

Professor/Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date : 14 JUNE 2007



## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

---

**AKASH SURI**

Date: 24 April 2007

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	2
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	3
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	5
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	7
<b>APPROVAL</b>	8
<b>DECLARATION</b>	10
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	14
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	16
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/NOTATION/GLOSSARY OF TERMS</b>	18
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Introduction	20
1.2 Problem Statement	21
1.3 Objectives of the Study	23
1.4 Motivations for the Study	24
1.5 Significance of the Study	24
1.6 Scope and Limitations of the Study	26
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	28
2.2 Fundamentals of Gap Analysis	29
2.3 Compability of OHSAS 18001 to ISO 9001 & ISO 14001	30
2.4 Purposes and Growth of OHSAS 18001	34
2.5 Review and Analysis of OHSAS 18001	36
2.6 Performance of the Malaysian Manufacturing Sector	43
2.7 Overall OSH Developments in Asia Pacific and Malaysia	46
2.7.1 OSH Developments in Asia Pacific	46
2.7.2 OSH Developments in Malaysia	49
2.8 Obstacles Faced by Small and Medium Scale Industries	50
2.8.1 Definition of SME in the Manufacturing Sector	50
2.8.2 Problems and Performance of SMEs	51
2.9 The Plan Do Check Act Cycle	54
2.10 Charateristics of Expert System	56
2.10.1 Application Areas of Expert Systems	57
2.10.2 Elements of Expert System	60
2.11 Gap Analysis Expert System Pre-requisites	61
2.12 Examples of Algorithms of the GAES	61
2.13 JavaScript	62
2.14 Safety Committee	64
2.14.1 Legal Requirements	65
2.14.2 The 12 Key Questions in the GAES	67



2.15 Conclusions	69
<b>3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1 Introduction	70
3.2 Study Framework	71
3.3 Surveys	73
3.3.1 Domain Expert Interview Questionnaire	73
3.3.2 Field Survey	74
3.4 GAES Development	77
3.4.1 Assessment	77
3.4.2 Knowledge Acquisition	78
3.4.3 Design	78
3.4.4 Testing	79
3.4.5 Implementation and Documentation	79
3.4.6 Maintenance and Development	80
3.4.7 Overall Flowchart of GAES	80
3.4.8 The OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis Simulator	82
3.4.9 Checklist for Safety Committee Incorporated within the Legal Requirements Statement of the GAES	84
3.5 Knowledge Acquisition Analysis From Survey	86
3.5.1 Frequency Distribution and Analysis	87
3.5.2 Respondent's perception to OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis	88
3.6 Integration of the Survey Results and GAES	88
3.7 Verification and Validation of GAES	89
3.8 Conclusion	90
<b>4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	
4.1 Survey Results and Development of Ranking	91
4.2 Results of Field Testing of GAES in 2 SMI's	104
4.3 Results of User Acceptance of GAES	107
4.4 Benefits of Using GAES over Manual Performance of Gap Analysis	109
4.5 Conclusions	109
<b>5 DEVELOPMENT AND OPERATIONS OF GAES</b>	
5.1 Introduction	111
5.2 Design and Operations of GAES	111
5.2.1 Main Menu	112
5.2.2 OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis	113
5.2.3 Subsection on Compliance to Safety Committee	119
<b>6 CONCLUSION</b>	
6.1 Conclusions	124
6.2 Future Recommendations	125



<b>REFERENCES</b>	126
<b>APPENDICES</b>	130
<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>	206



## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Correspondent clauses in the OHSAS 18001 against the OSH Act 1994.	23
1.2	Industrial Accident Trends from 1992 to 2002	25
2.1	Correspondence between OHSAS 18001, ISO 14001:1996 and ISO 9001:2000	31
2.2	Malaysian Accident Statistics in the year 1995 compared to 2004	50
2.3	Application Fields of Expert System	57
2.4	Types of Problems solved by Expert Systems	58
2.5	Chemistry Expert System	58
2.6	Electronics Expert System	59
2.7	Medical Expert System	59
2.8	Engineering Expert System	59
2.9	Geology Expert System	59
2.10	Elements of a Typical Expert System	60
2.11	Compliance Ratings and What They Denote	62
3.1	The variables – Independent and Dependant	74
3.2	Phases of Expert System Development	77
3.3	The five point Likert scale	87
4.1	The perceptions of the respondents to various factors (n=30)	93
4.2	Perception of Social Factors influence on OHSAS 18001	94
4.3	Perception of Technical Factors influence on OHSAS 18001	95
4.4	Perception of Organizational Aspects influence on OHSAS 18001	96
4.5	Perception of Operational Aspects influence on OHSAS 18001	97



4.6	Ranking of factors based on their importance in the implementation of OHSAS 18001	99
4.7	Intergration between Survey Results and Staements from GAES	102
4.8	Testing of the GAES at IBI Brass (M) Sdn Bhd	105
4.9	Testing of the GAES at Topaz Evergreen (M) Sdn Bhd	106
4.10	The end user's respond	108
5.1	Statements of GAES	117





## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1 Top ten countries and growth of OHSAS 18001	35
2.2 Top ten sectors and growth of OHSAS 18001	35
2.3 The Malaysian GDP – Sectoral Performance (%)	44
2.4 The Malaysian Production Index	45
2.5 The Plan Do Check Act Model	54
2.6 Structure of an Expert System	60
3.1 Study Framework	72
3.2 Overall Flowchart of GAES	81
3.3 The OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis Simulator	83
3.4 Checklist for Safety Committee Incorporated within the Legal Requirements Statement of the GAES	85
3.5 Intergration of the Survey Results and GAES	89
4.1 The Top 10 Factors Based on their Importance on the Implementation of OHSAS 18001	101
4.2 Distribution of top 3 factors in the implementation of OHSAS 18001	103
4.3 The top 3 & bottom 3 factors in the implementation of OHSAS 18001	103
5.1 OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis Main Page	112
5.2 OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis Page	114
5.3 OHSAS 18001 Clauses Page	115
5.4 Knowledge Input to the OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis	116
5.5 An example of OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis Final Report Page	118
5.6 The Expert Guide on Safety Committee Page	119
5.7 Functions of the Safety Committee Page	120



5.8	Prompt of key questions related to Safety Committee Regulation	121
5.9	Page that poses 12 questions to be answered by user	122
5.10	Safety Committee Regulation Report Page	123



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BSI	British Standards Institute
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
DOSH	Department of Occupational Safety & Health, Malaysia
ES	Expert System
EMS	Environmental Management System
FMA	Factories & Machinery Act
GAES	Gap Analysis Expert System
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
HACCP	Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point
HSE	Health, Safety & Environment
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
ILO	International Labour Organization
MRS	Manufacturing Related Services
NIOSH	National Institute of Occupational safety & Health, Malaysia
OSH	Occupational Safety & Health
OH&S	Occupational Health & Safety
OHSAS	Occupational Health & Safety Assessment Series
OHD	Occupational Health Doctor
OSHA	Occupational Safety & Health Act
OSH-MS	Occupational Safety & Health Management System
PDCA	Plan – Do – Check – Act
QMS	Quality Management System
SOCISO	Social Security Organization

SMI	Small & Medium Scale Industries
SME	Small & Medium Scale Enterprises
SMIDEC	Small & Medium Scale Industries Development Corporation



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

The OHSAS 18001 (OSH management system) has a total of 24 clauses. Many manufacturing companies are under the wrong impression that the OHSAS 18001 is simply an extension of ISO 9001 quality management system or ISO 14001 environmental management system. Further, many manufacturing companies think that if they are certified with ISO 9001 or a combination of ISO 9001 and ISO 14001, then there is totally no need to have any elements of OHSAS 18001.

This perception is inaccurate. The OSH Act 1994 (with its 7 regulations) and the FMA 1967 (with regulations) are laws that the manufacturing sector, along with other sectors, has to honor. Although the Malaysian OSH legislations do not speak of OHSAS 18001 as such, many elements of OHSAS 18001 (which is a generic system) are reflected in the Malaysian OSH legislations.

This translates to the fact that if the manufacturing industries take the pain to fully honor the Malaysian OSH legislations, they automatically would have a number of significant elements of the OHSAS 18001 in place.

Presently, there are no Malaysian laws that require manufacturing companies to implement the full cycle of OHSAS 18001 management system and obtain certification. However, during a recent development, in the year 2006, where a

consultant was crushed to his death due to a falling object in a residential construction area, new laws are being drafted.

The Department of Occupational Safety & Health (DOSH) of Malaysia is considering making a new regulation under the OSH Act 1994 whereby selected construction projects must implement the OHSAS 18001 management system. However, as far as the manufacturing sector is concerned, there are no plans for mandatory implementation of OHSAS 18001.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Although OHSAS 18001 is a proven safety management system, the major problem is its implementation, mainly due to cost factors. This fact has been derived after the researcher received direct feedback from manufacturing companies during audits. Within the scope of implementation, performing an OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis is another main issue that often irks many manufacturing companies, especially the small and medium scale industries. This is because when a consultant is hired to perform the Gap Analysis, whether separately or part of the entire OHSAS 18001 implementation, cost based on daily man hour rates had to be borne by the organization. As an example, if a SMI has multiple manufacturing facilities and the man day requirement to complete the Gap Analysis is 5 days, then the cost could come up to RM 7500.00.

With the availability of the OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis Expert System (GAES), this problem is expected to be drastically reduced. The OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis

Expert System can be administered by the small and medium scale industries without external help. If the system is used diligently, the results are expected to be reasonably reliable. The expert system also incorporates a checklist that could make an assessment on the correct practices of the Safety and Health Committee.

The Occupational Safety & Health Act 1994 (OSH Act 1994) is the self regulatory Act, based on the management style of Lord Ruben, that the Malaysian government enacted. Although the OSH Act 1994 has been enacted for more than a decade, many associated regulations of the act lack proper implementation – mainly due to cost factors. Table 1.1 provides the list of regulations that have been drafted over the years. Many organizations do not even know the extent of deviation of their existing safety practices against the regulatory requirements contained in the associated regulations of the OSH Act 1994. This widespread observation has been made by the researcher during quality management system audits performed in manufacturing companies. The GAES developed in this study is expected to bring relieve to this problem.

Fundamentally, many elements of the OSH Act 1994 is reflected in OHSAS 18001. Table 1.1 shows three selected correspondent clauses in the OHSAS 18001 against the OSH Act 1994.

**Table 1.1 : Correspondent clauses in the OHSAS 18001 against the OSH Act 1994.**

<b>OSH element</b>	<b>OSH Act 1994</b>	<b>OHSAS 18001</b>
Policy	1) Section 16, OSH Act 1994 2) Safety Policy Regulations 1996	Clause 4.2  Policy
Safety Committee	1) Section 30 & 31, OSH Act 1994 2) Safety & Health Committee Regulations 1996	Clause 4.4.1  Structure & Responsibility
OSH Officer	1) Safety & Health Officer Regulations, 1997 Safety & Health Officer Order, 1997	Clause 4.4.1  Structure & Responsibility

### **1.3 Objectives of the Study**

The objectives of the study are:

- (i) To identify factors affecting the implementation of OHSAS 18001.
- (ii) To develop a gap analysis expert system for OHSAS 18001 implementation.

### **1.4 Motivations for the study**

The motivations of the study are as follows:

- (i) An OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis can make the workplace safer even if a full fledged OHSAS 18001 system is not implemented.



- (ii) The OHSAS 18001 Gap Analysis can speed up the certification process should the manufacturing company decide to implement a full fledged system.
- (iii) The Safety & Health Committee checklist, which is part of the expert system, can act as a free ‘mini legal advisor’ to companies who are unwilling to outsource expertise due to costs and other reasons

### **1.5 Significance of the Study**

Malaysia has successfully industrialized herself. Once upon a time, the main exports of Malaysia were tin, rubber and agricultural products. Today manufactured goods, mainly from the electronics sector, make up the main export of the country. When industries mushroom, it is inevitable to aim for the highest standards in the manner the industries are managed – from every angle as such. In this aspect, quality standards (ISO 9001), environmental standards (ISO 14001) and health & safety standards (OHSAS 18001) are all crucial for the success of the manufacturing industries. With the aggressive implementation of standards among Malaysian manufacturing companies and the introduction of OSH laws in the last decade of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, Malaysian industrial accident trends have demonstrated remarkable figures. Many third world countries are keen to learn how industries in Malaysia have achieved reasonably strong safety standards. From industrial accident rate (per 1000 employees) of 22.0 in 1992, the figure has plunged to 10.3 in 2002. Table 1.2 elaborates details of industrial accident trends from 1992 through 2002 (SOCISO, 2002)