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Image and character of a town or city were influenced most by the history of the place. In order to sustain the city’s image and character, preservation on the visibility of landmark is important. However recently, the new commercial development which grows surrounds the historical landmark in Bandar Hilir, Melaka have unquestionably effects the visibility in the area. The purpose of this study is to measure the amount of visibility impact by constructing 3D GIS later to be analysed by using “Viewshed Analysis” (GIS). Outcome from the visibility impacts of new commercial development on historical landmark will be measured and validated by conducting a structured survey and in-depth interview. The survey will be focussing on the public awareness on significance of historical landmark while the questionnaire will be
concentrated on the professional perspective on issues of the new development within the historical landmark. A proposed recommendation design to improve the visibility of historical landmark is expected to be produced at the end of the research. This recommendation will be useful for the architects, designers and planners as their strategy in developing commercial buildings especially within a sensitive area in the World Heritage Site of Bandar Hilir, Melaka.
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CHAPTER ONE

1.1 Introduction

Melaka’s historical city is well-known for its World Heritage Tourism Destination. UNESCO’s recognition of Melaka as a world heritage site on July 7th 2008 has brought more foreign tourists into the country. Melaka begins as a small fishing village and expanded to become one of the most powerful Sultanate rule of the Malay Archipelago, in 1402 as well as a legendary Malay emporium and international port at the turning point of civilisation between Eastern Asia and the West. The historical timeline of the development of Melaka can be traced back to five important phases; the Malay Sultanate period, the Portuguese period, the Dutch period, the British period the Post-Independence until present time period. Since the last decade, Melaka has witnessed tremendous changes in the townscape with rapid economic development. The new development of Melaka as a historical city concentrated within the heritage zone is experiencing a serious effect on Melaka’s image as World Heritage Site (WHS) as it gradually lost its identity and individuality.
1.2 Problem statement

There are numerous problems identified in the local plan preparation such as;

1.2.1 The Poor Visibility of Historical Landmark

This issue has grown in the light of visibility impact of Melaka historical landmark where the tall buildings and high building density has blocked the views of notable historical landmarks such as Kota A'Famosa, Stadhuis and St. Paul Church. According to Sulaiman (1992), the historic core area is now enclosed within the modern entity and thus, the pressure is felt as the development is threatened by its existing original form.

Furthermore, the crisis of the new commercial development is centred on the building of a four high-rise hotel in the heritage core area in the heritage buffer zone. In another similar problem regarding Malaysia World Heritage Site, Penang has violated some guidelines approved by the World Heritage Committee (WHC). This issue was a series of challenge that draws WHC’s attention to Melaka historical city, which could also threaten Melaka’s World Heritage Site listing. This view is supported by Sulaiman (1992); the legibility of the historical core area, example Malaysian old town centre was reduced gradually due to modern development that intruded the physical appearance and image.
As physical changes occur at an alarming speed, the role of past cultural homogeneity and its physical structure shall comfort to ensure its individuality and uniqueness. This rapid development growth, which surrounds Melaka heritage zone, has affected its historical landmarks such as Fort A’Famosa, Stadhuys and St. Paul Church.

These landmarks were a significant feature in creating the image of Melaka Historic City. Lynch (1960) cited that most people were used to landmarks to an extent in organizing the city and selecting routes for trips. When a history, a sign, or a meaning is attached to an object, its value as a landmark is raised. In addition, Lynch (1962) added that some aspects of landmarks were unique and memorable because spatial prominence can create landmarks by making it visible from many locations. This is clearly seen from many angles and distances over the tops of smaller elements for it has the satisfying qualities of reconcilability at many levels of reference as well as of symbolic coincidence in visual importance.

The new commercial development, which grows around the heritage zone, has unquestionably affects the visibility of the historical landmarks in the heritage zone area. This new commercial development has resulted problems, which have affected Melaka’s status as a World Heritage Site. Thus, this study seeks to address the visibility impact of selected new commercial development on the historical landmarks of Melaka.
1.3 Research question

What is the impact of commercial building on the historical landmark?

1.3.1 Sub-research question

a) How can GIS be employed to measure the impact of commercial building?

b) How to improve the visibility of historical landmark?

1.4 Significance of study

1.4.1 Significance for Physical Planning

If the fast process of urban growth or rapid urbanization is allowed to take place in an uncontrollable and unregulated manner, it will lead to a less healthy urban environment. Apart from that, improper planning and control mechanisms too shall lead to the deterioration of quality of life, deforestation, inappropriate land use and others. In order to control the high rise development, it is necessary to prepare design proposal and well-built analysis that serves as a tool in development of control and guidelines. The usage of GIS application is a tool to serve in improving the visibility of the historical site of Melaka.
1.4.2 Significance for the Town Planning Profession

GIS application allows town planners to analyse spatial planning decision problems, generate alternative solutions to the decision problems and evaluate the alternative solutions to present those findings to the decision makers. In Malaysia, efforts towards the implementation of GIS application in planning and decision making process have been an ongoing process. The benefits of using GIS application is that it assists in better decision making process for planners, architects and designers in the development of structure in sensitive areas notably in the World Heritage Site in Bandar Hilir, Melaka.

1.5 Goal and objective

The goal of this study is to measure the visibility impact of selected new commercial development on the historical landmarks in Melaka city centre using the Geographic Information System (“Viewshed analysis”, ArcGIS) as a tool.

1.5.1 Objectives of Study

The following objectives have been defined for this study: -

1. To identify characteristics of the selected commercial buildings which influence the visibility of the historical landmark in Melaka city centre
2. To measure the visibility impact of selected commercial development on the visibility of the historical landmark in Melaka city centre.

3. To validate the result using other methods by identifying the purpose of visiting and significance of landmark in Bandar Hiir based on public perception and real issue and problem of current guideline on visibility based on expertise view.

4. To recommend planning guidelines to improve the visibility of the historical landmarks in Melaka city centre.

1.6 Structure of study

This study comprises of six chapters. Chapter one emphasizes the background of the study, which includes an introduction to the research, problem statement, research question, and significance of the study, goal and objectives and structure of the study. Chapter two and three is the literature review, which was obtained from various sources such as books, published journals, magazines, newspapers, and websites. These chapters are very important as it gives a clear picture about the subject matter. Chapter two gives a better understanding on concept of visibility while chapter three gives a brief explanation on the scenario of the development of Bandar Hilir, Melaka. Chapter four shall stress on the research method, which is being applied in this research. In Chapter five, the thesis will be emphasizing on the analysis and findings of the study. This chapter is important in achieving the goals and objectives of the study. Lastly, chapter
six will be the conclusion and recommendations based on the study that had been conducted.

Figure 1.1: Study Approach Flow Chart
1.7 Conclusion

Chapter one acts as the foundation for the study as it ensures the flow of the study to the end as it defines the overall framework of the study and the most important aims to be achieved. This chapter describes in detail on what this study is all about, starting from the identification of the research problems at the initial stage of the work to the scope of the study to the significances of the research. Therefore, this chapter has explained many essential aspects of the study that should be understood before proceeding with the next chapters. The structure of study briefly explains what need to be done in this research in order to achieve the goal and its objectives that have been mentioned earlier. Without a proper organised framework, this project may not achieve its aim.
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