

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

DEVELOPMENT OF CARBON FIBER-REINFORCED POLYPROPYLENE COMPOSITE FOR CAR BUMPERS

NOR HASNI BINTI ZAHARI

FK 2009 116

## DEVELOPMENT OF CARBON FIBER-REINFORCED POLYPROPYLENE COMPOSITE FOR CAR BUMPERS



By

NOR HASNI BINTI ZAHARI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

May 2009



Thanks to my beloved husband, mom, auntie, sisters and brother for their support during th<mark>e long prepar</mark>ation of this thesis.

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science in Chemical Engineering.

# DEVELOPMENT OF CARBON FIBER-REINFORCED POLYPROPYLENE COMPOSITE FOR CAR BUMPERS

By

NOR HASNI BINTI ZAHARI May 2009

Chairman : Professor Madya Robiah Bt. Yunus, PhD

Faculty : Engineering

Carbon fiber reinforced composites (CFRC) are very strong and extremely lighter than steel. Their toughness provides excellent abrasion and tear resistance. The usage of the CFRC in automotive industries is widely explored due to the new requirements related to energy conservation, safety and antipollution. In this study, discontinuous carbon fiber reinforced polypropylene composite was selected due its characteristics such as light-weight, corrosion resistance, low to moderate cost, thermal stability and ease of fabrication.

Polypropylene (PP) was chosen as the matrix because it is available in large quantities and not very sensitive to chemical stress cracking. PP also has a combination of high elongation and tensile strength. Carbon fibers are widely used in polymer–matrix composites owing to their good mechanical, thermal and electrical properties. Cheap discontinuous carbon fiber or short fiber is the low quality carbon fiber which cannot be used in aircraft and aerospace industry which requires high quality continuous-fiber laminates as primary structures. The composite was developed to fill the mechanical property gap between the discontinuous-fiber composites and the un-reinforced polymers used in non-load-bearing applications.

The objectives of this work are to develop carbon fiber polypropylene composite under various process conditions, and to investigate the mechanical and thermal properties of carbon fiber polypropylene composite. In this study, two different types of polypropylene composites were produced by mixing and compressing the mixtures using hot press. In the first stage, the mixture was prepared by mixing polypropylene with chopped carbon fiber and carbon fiber percentage (wt%) was varied. The composites were evaluated for mechanical properties. Mixing time, mixing temperature and rotor speed were varied to determine the best conditions. Among the mechanical testing and analysis investigated were tensile test, impact test, bending test and density test. Whilst the, the Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was employed to study the morphology of the composites and Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) and Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) were used to determine the thermal properties

The highest tensile strength was obtained for polypropylene with melt flow index 60 (MFI 60) composites reinforced with 10 wt% carbon fiber. The composite also showed the best tensile and flexural properties. TGA analysis of composite with 10 wt% carbon fiber content revealed excellent thermal stability compared to the plain polypropylene. The performance of the selected composite was comparable to a local commercial car bumper.

Abstrak thesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi sebahagian keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains dalam Kejuruteraan Kimia.

# PEMBANGUNAN KOMPOSIT GENTIAN KARBON-MEMPERKUAT POLIPROPILENA UNTUK BAMPER KERETA

Oleh

NOR HASNI BINTI ZAHARI

Mei 2009

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Robiah Bt. Yunus, PhD

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Komposit diperkuat gentian karbon (CFRC) adalah sangat kuat dan jauh lebih ringan berbanding aloi. Sifatnya yang tahan lasak menjadikan ia tahan hakisan dan mempunyai rintangan carikkan. Penggunaan CFRC di dalam industri automotif telah dipraktikkan secara meluas berdasarkan kepada kehendak terkini yang mengutamakan penjimatan tenaga, keselamatan dan antipencemaran. Dalam kajian ini, komposit gentian karbon tidak bersambung perkuat polipropilena telah dipilih berdasarkan ciri-ciri seperti ringan, tahan karat, kos yang rendah ke sederhana, kestabilan terma dan mudah dibentuk.

Polipropilena (PP) telah dipilih sebagai matrik kerana ia boleh diperolehi dalam kuantiti yang banyak dan tidak terlalu sensitif terhadap retakan tekanan kimia. PP juga mempunyai kombinasi sifat yang tinggi rintangan dan kekuatan tegangan. Gentian karbon telah digunakan secara meluas dalam komposit polimer-matrik kerana sifat-sifat mekanikal, terma dan elektriknya. Gentian karbon tidak bersambung atau gentian pendek yang murah adalah gentian karbon yang berkualiti rendah di mana ia tidak boleh digunakan dalam industri pesawat udara dan angkasa yang memerlukan penggunaan lapisan gentian bersambung berkualiti tinggi sebagai struktur asas. Komposit ini dibangunkan untuk memenuhi jurang sifat mekanikal di antara gentian karbon tidak bersambung dan polimer yang tidak bertetulang yang digunakan untuk aplikasi galas tidak berbeban.

Objektif bagi kajian ini adalah untuk membina komposit gentian karbon polipropilena di bawah pelbagai kondisi proses, dan untuk mengkaji sifat mekanikal dan terma bagi komposit gentian karbon prolipropilena. Dalam kajian ini, dua jenis polipropilena yang berbeza dihasilkan melalui pencampuran dan himpitan bahan campuran itu dengan menggunakan penekan panas. Campuran dihasilkan dengan mencampurkan polipropilena bersama gentian karbon yang telah dipotong dan peratusan (wt%) gentian karbon ini dipelbagaikan. Komposit ini dinilai melalui sifatsifat mekanikalnya. Masa pencampuran, kelajuan rotor, suhu dan masa pengacuan dipelbagaikan untuk mendapatkan kondisi terbaik.

Antara ujian dan analisa secara mekanikal diperoleh melalui ujian kekuatan tegangan, kekuatan hentaman, kekuatan lenturan dan ujian ketumpatan. Analisis dinamik mekanik (DMA) dan analisis gravitian terma (TGA) dijalankan untuk mengenalpasti sifat-sifat terma. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) digunakan untuk mengkaji kesan morfologi.

Kekuatan tegangan yang tertinggi bagi komposit gentian karbon perkuat polipropilena diperoleh adalah pada 10 wt% gentian karbon perkuat polipropilena

vi

dengan MFI 60. Komposit tersebut menunjukkan keputusan sifat-sifat kekuatan tegangan dan lenturan yang lebih baik. Analisis TGA bagi 10 wt% gentian karbon memberi menunjukkan kestabilan terma yang lebih baik berbanding polipropilena asli. Prestasi komposit terpilih telah dibandingkan dengan sebuah bamper komersial tempatan.



### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank my Advisor and Chairman of the Supervisory Committee, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Robiah Yunus for her support and encouragement throughout this study. My appreciation also goes to my supervisory committee Dr. Mohamad Amran Mohd Salleh and Dr. Nor Azowa Ibrahim, for their constant guidance and encouragement. Thank you for providing me with professional training, advice and suggestion.

I am grateful to the staff, technicians and my colleagues in the Material Science and Engineering Laboratory, Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Polymer Laboratory, Chemical Department, Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia. And last, but not least I would like to express my gratitude to my dear husband, my beloved mother, my auntie, sisters and brother for their continuous support during the completion of this thesis. I certify that the Examination Committee meet on 21<sup>st</sup> May 2009 to conduct the final examination of Nor Hasni Binti Zahari on her Master of Science in Chemical Engineering thesis entitled **"Development of Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene Composite for Car Bumper Application"** in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded a relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

# **Fakhru'l-Razi Ahmadun, PhD** Professor Faculty of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

## Luqman Chuah Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

## Suraya Abdul Rashid, PhD Lecturer Faculty of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

## Ahmad Fauzi Ismail, PhD

Professor Faculty of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (External Examiner)

## **BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 24 December 2009

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and was accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science in Chemical Engineering. The members of the supervisory committee were as follow:

**Robiah Yunus, PhD** Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Nor Azowa Ibrahim, PhD Lecturer Faculty of Science Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Mohamad Amran Mohd Salleh, PhD Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 14 January 2010

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or co currently submitted for any other degrees at UPM or other institutions.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION ABSTRACT ABSTRAK ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS APPROVAL DECLARATION LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	ii vv viii ix xi xiv xv xvv xviii
CHAPTER	
<ul> <li><b>1. INTRODUCTION</b></li> <li>1.1 Background</li> <li>1.2 Problem Statement</li> <li>1.3 Objectives and Scope of Research Work</li> </ul>	1 1 2 5
1.4 Thesis Layout	6
<ul> <li>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</li> <li>2.1 Carbon Fiber</li> <li>2.1.1 PAN Carbon Fiber</li> <li>2.2 Pitch Carbon Fiber</li> <li>2.2 Glass Fiber</li> <li>2.3 Composites</li> <li>2.3.1 Polymer Composites</li> <li>2.3.2 Composite Manufacturing</li> <li>2.4 Resin System</li> <li>2.4.1 Thermoset Polymer</li> <li>2.4.2 Thermoplastic Polymer</li> <li>2.5 Polyurethane</li> <li>2.6 Polypropylene</li> <li>2.7 Thermoplastic Composites</li> <li>2.7.1 Long Fiber Reinforced Thermoplastic (LFT) Composites</li> <li>2.7.2 Short Fiber Reinforced Polymer (SFRP) Composites</li> <li>2.7.3 Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene Composite</li> </ul>	<b>7</b> 7 8 10 11 15 16 17 19 19 22 23 25 28 29 32 34
(CFPP) 2.8 Polymer Properties: Molecular Weight and Flow Rate 2.8.1 Dynamic Mechanical Properties of Polymer 2.9 Bumper System and Components 2.10 Comparison between Metal and Composites 2.11 Summary	35 38 39 44 47
<ul> <li>3. METHODOLOGY</li> <li>3.1 Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polyurethane (CFPU)</li> <li>3.1.1 Material Preparation</li> <li>3.1.2 Preparation of CFPU composites by internal mixer</li> </ul>	48 48 48 49

3.1.2Preparation of CFPU composites by internal mixer493.1.3Results and Discussions of CFPU composites (by51

	Internal Mixer)	
	3.1.4 Preparation of CFPU Composites by Open Cast	53
,	3.2 Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene (CFPP)	55
	3.2.1 Preparation of CF Reinforced PP Composites (CFPP)	57
	3.3 Mechanical Testing	61
	3.3.1 Tensile Test	62
	3.3.2 Flexural Test	64
	3.3.3 Izod Impact Test	64
	3.3.4 Hardness Measurement	65
	3.4 Characterization of CFPP Composites	65
	3.4.1 Density Measurement	66
	3.4.2 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) Analysis	66
	3.4.3 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA)	67
	3.4.4 Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA)	67
	3.5 Bumper Analysis	68
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	69
	4.1 Introduction	69
	4.2 Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene (CFPP)	69
	4.2.1 Effect of Mixing Temperature for CFPP MFI 60	70
	4.2.2 Effect of Rotor Speed for CFPP MFI 7	71
4.3 Density Test 73		
		74
	4.4.1 Tensile Strength	74
	4.4.2 Tensile Modulus	77
	4.5 Flexural Properties	79
	4.5.1 Flexural Strength	79
	4.5.2 Flexural Modulus	81
	4.6 Impact Properties	82
	4.7 Hardness Measurement	84
	4.8 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA)	86
	4.9 Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA)	88
	4.9.1 Storage Modulus (E')	88
	4.9.2 Loss Modulus (E")	93
	4.9.3 Tan Delta (Tan $\delta$ )	96
	4.10 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	100
	4.11 Comparison of CFPP Composite and Commercial Plastic	106
	Bumper Fascia	
5.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	109
	5.1 Introduction	109
	5.2 Conclusions	109
	5.3 Recommendations	110
REFER	RENCES	111
APPEN		118
<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>		120
LISTS OF PUBLICATION		121

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Summary of the Diverse Method of Processing Composite Product	18
2.2	Advantages and Disadvantages of Polymer and Steel Systems	45
2.3	Distribution of Materials in a Typical Vehicle	46
3.1	Mechanical and Physical Properties of CF, PU and hardener	48
3.2	Parameters and setting on CFPU study	49
3.3	Parameters and Setting for CFPU Mixing Process	49
3.4	Parameters and setting for CFPU Molding Process	50
3.5	Mechanical Properties of Polypropylene with melt flow rate (MFI) 60 and 7	57
3.6	Mechanical and Physical Properties of CF and PP	57
3.7	Carbon Fibers and Polypropylene Percentage and Weight	59
3.8	Parameters and Conditions for Compounding CFPP MFI 60	60
3.9	Parameters and Conditions for Compounding CFPP MFI 7	60
3.10	Parameters and Setting for Compression Molding of CFPP MFI 60 and CFPP MFI 7	61
4.1	Storage Modulus and % Improvement at 60°C for CFPP MFI 60	92
4.2	Storage Modulus and % Improvement at 60°C for CFPP MFI	92
4.3	Comparison of Composites and Commercial Plastic Fascia	107
4.4	Percentage of Improvement of CFPP MFI 60 at 10 wt% CF Compared to Commercial Plastic Bumper Fascia	108

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Carbon Fiber Reel	8
2.2	Flow Diagram for Manufacturing Process of Carbon Fiber (PAN type)	9
2.3	Alignment of Mesophase Pitch into a Pitch Filament	10
2.4	Glass Fiber (a) Glass Strand (b) Glass Fiber Perform for a Boat Deck	11
2.5	Mechanical Properties of Different Material	13
2.6	Polymer Structure (a) Themoset Polymer (b) Thermoplastic Polymer	19
2.7	Morphology of Elastomer Polymer	21
2.8	General Reaction in the Production of Polyurethane	24
2.9	Molecular structure of MOCA	25
2.10	Polypropylene Molecule Chain (a) Isotactic; (b) Sydiotactic where R is $CH_3$	26
2.11	Build-up of Stress on a Fiber in a Matrix	33
2.12	Bumper Systems in Common Use	40
2.13	GMT Bumper Beam for BMW	43
3.1	Tensile Strength of CFPU Composites	51
3.2	Tensile Modulus of CFPU Composites	53
3.3	Method of Producing Polyurethane	54
3.4	Design of Equipment for CFPU Production	54
3.5	Carbon Fiber Yarn	56
3.6	Chopped Carbon Fiber	56

3.7	Experimental Steps for The Composite Preparation	58
3.8	Internal Mixer (Thermo Haake Rheomix)	59
3.9	HSINCHU Hot Press Machine	61
3.10	Instron Universal Testing Machine 4302	63
3.11	Izod Impact Tester	65
3.12	Notch Cutter	65
3.13	Local Bumper	68
4.1	Tensile Strength for Various Carbon Fiber Loading at Different Mixing Temperature	70
4.2	Tensile Strength for 5wt% CF Reinforced PP with MFI 7 at Different Rotor Speeds	72
4.3	Tensile Modulus for 5wt% CF Reinforced PP with MFI 7 at Different Rotor Speeds	72
4.4	Density Measurement for CFPP MFI 60 and CFPP MFI 7 at Different Fiber Loading	73
4.5	Tensile Strength (MPa) for CFPP Carbon Fiber (wt%)	74
4.6	Tensile Modulus (MPa) for CFPP Carbon Fiber (wt%)	78
4.7	Flexural Strength (MPa) versus Carbon Fiber (wt%)	80
4.8	Flexural Modulus (MPa) versus Carbon Fiber (wt%)	81
4.9	Impact Strength for Various CF Loading	83
4.10	Hardness Property at Various CF Loading	84
4.11	TGA of PP and CFPP at 10wt% fiber	86
4.12	Storage Modulus for PP MFI 60 and CFPP MFI 60 Composites	89
4.13	Storage Modulus for PP MFI 7 and CFPP MFI 7 Composites	91
4.14	Loss Modulus for PP MFI 60 and CFPP MFI 60 Composites	94
4.15	Loss Modulus for PP MFI 7 and CFPP MFI 7 Composites	95

4.16	Tan Delta for PP MFI 60 and CFPP MFI 60 Composites	97
4.17	Tan Delta for PP MFI 7 and CFPP MFI 7 Composites	98
4.18	SEM micrograph of tensile fracture surface of 5 wt% CF reinforced PP MFI 60 composite	101
4.19	SEM micrograph of tensile fracture surface of 7 wt% CF reinforced PP MFI 60 composite	101
4.20	SEM micrograph of tensile fracture surface of 10 wt% CF reinforced PP MFI 60 composite	102
4.21	SEM micrograph of tensile fracture surface of 15 wt% CF reinforced PP MFI 60 composite	102
4.22	SEM micrograph of tensile fracture surface of 20 wt% CF reinforced PP MFI 60 composite	103
4.23	SEM micrograph of tensile fracture surface of 5 wt% CF reinforced PP MFI 7 composite	104
4.24	SEM micrograph of tensile fracture surface of 7 wt% CF reinforced PP MFI 7 composite	104
4.25	SEM micrograph of tensile fracture surface of 10 wt% CF reinforced PP MFI 7 composite	105
4.26	SEM micrograph of tensile fracture surface of 15 wt% CF reinforced PP MFI 7 composite	105
4.27	SEM micrograph of tensile fracture surface of 20 wt% CF reinforced PP MFI 7 composite	106

# LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

	ASTM	American Society for Testing Materials
	BIW	Body-In-White
	CF	Carbon Fiber
	CFPP	Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene
	CFPU	Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polyurethane
	CR	Controlled Rheology
	СТЕ	Coefficients of Thermal Expansion
	DMA	Dynamic Mechanical Analysis
	E'	Storage Modulus or Elastic Modulus
	E"	Loss Modulus or Viscous Modulus
	FRP	Fiber Reinforced Plastic
	GFRP	Glass Fiber Reinforced Plastic
	GMT	Glass Mat Thermoplastic
	IC	Isocyanates
	Lc	Critical Fiber Length
	LFT	Long Fiber Thermoplastic
	MFI	Melt Flow Index
	Mn	Number Average Molecular Weight
	Mn/Mw	Molecular Weight Distribution or Dispersity Index
	MOCA	4,4'-methylene-bis(2-chloroaniline)
	mph	Miles Per Hour
	MFR	Melt Flow Rate

	Mw	Weight Average Molecular Weight
	NVH	Noise, Vibration, and Harshness
	OEM	Original Engineering Manufacturing
	PAN	Polyacrylonitrile
	PP	Polypropylene
	PU	Polyurethane
	r	Fiber Radius
	RH	Rockwell Hardness
	RIM	Reaction Injection Molding
	SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
	SFRP	Short Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene
	SFRT	Short Fiber Reinforced Thermoplastic
	SMC	Sheet Moulding Compound
	t	Interfacial Shear Strength
	Tan δ	Tangen delta
	Tg	Glass Transition
	TGA	Thermal Gravimetric Analysis
	Tm	Melting Point (°C)
	UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
	wt	Weight
	σmax	Tensile Stress Acting on the Fiber
	μm	Micro Meter

#### **CHAPTER ONE**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Background

Glass fibers are the most common reinforcing fibers for polymeric (plastic) matrix composites. The advantages of this fiber are low cost, high tensile strength, high chemical resistance and excellent insulating properties. Thus it has always been chosen as a filler in a composite production. However, this type of fibers has a low tensile modulus, relatively high specific gravity (among commercial fibers), sensitive to abrasion and low fatigue resistance (Mallick, 1993).

In the early 1960s, carbon fiber was invented at the Royal Aircraft Establishment, Farnborough, Hampshire (England) (Wikipedia, 2006). Carbon fibers are fibrous material with carbon content of more than 90%. They are transformed from organic matter by 1000-1500°C heat treatment, which is the substance with imperfect graphite crystalline structure arranged along the fiber axis (Donnet et al. 1998). They are characterized by very high stiffness and low density. Some carbon fibers have a stiffness that are ten times higher and densities that are one half that of glass fibers. According to Adam (1997), the application of carbon fiber is due to the high energy absorption ability. Thus the material can contribute to an improved crash management and therefore to an improved passive safety of a passenger car. Carbon fiber composite can be designed as a component of energy absorbers to absorb a portion of the kinetic energy from a vehicle collision. Energy absorbers are very effective in a low speed impact, where the bumper springs back to its original position. Energy absorber types include foam, honeycomb and mechanical devices. All foam and honeycomb absorbers are made from polypropylene, polyurethane or low-density polyethylene. Mechanical absorbers are metallic and resemble shock absorbers. However, mechanical absorbers have several times the weight of foam or honeycomb absorber and receive very limited usage. In some bumper systems, the reinforcing beam itself is designed to absorb energy and separate energy absorbers are not required.

### 1.2 Problem Statement

A bumper is a shield made of steel, aluminum, rubber or plastic that is mounted on the front and rear of a passenger car. Traditionally, metal alloys were used in manufacturing automobile exteriors. Nowadays, the car bumper generally consists of a plastic cover and, underneath, reinforcement is made of steel, aluminum, fiberglass composite or plastic and includes mechanisms that compress to absorb crash energy. Usually, bumper system consists of built-in fascia, beam and energy absorbers.

There are several factors that an engineer must consider when selecting a bumper system. The most important factor is the ability of the bumper system to absorb enough energy to meet the Original Engineering Manufacturing (OEM) internal bumper standard. Another important factor is the bumper's ability to absorb energy and stay intact at high-speed impacts (AISI, 2003). Five miles per hour (5 mph) is a benchmark that engineers must comply when designing the bumper system. It is an impact speed at which bumpers could prevent damage in barrier test (Cheon, et al. 1995). The 5 mph crash test can be used to assess bumper performance, including front-into-flat-barrier test, rear-into-flat-barrier test, front-into-angle-barrier test and rear-into-pole test. The least demanding impacts are front and rear-into-flat-barrier because the energy of the crash is spread across the whole width of the vehicle.

Automotive bumper system plays a very important role not only in absorbing impact energy (original purpose of safety) but also in a styling stand point. In recent years, a great deal of attention within the automotive industry has been focused upon lightweight and safety issues. The bumper system equipped with thermoplastic and energy absorbing element is a new trend in the market. While experimental test is rather costly and time consuming, finite element analysis helps engineers to study design concept at an early design stage when prototypes are not available (Lee and Jang, 1993).

Automobile design engineers face many constrictions when designing with metal, such as corrosion and dents. Low-cost, single-unit production of large automobile sections, such as a front grille, is nearly impossible when using metal. Plastic offers auto engineers a variety of practical, costs-effective alternatives, as well as tremendous advantages over traditional automobile production materials. By using plastics, manufacturers have the possibility of adopting modular assembly practices, lowering production costs, improving energy management, achieving better dent resistance, and using advanced styling techniques for sleeker, aerodynamic exteriors. However, plastic outer cover does not promise good protection if it performs alone (Mallick, 1993). With current technology, many manufacturers are using composite instead of plastic alone to fabricate the automotive parts including the bumper. Fuel efficiency and emission gas regulations are the main causes for reducing the weight of passenger cars by using composite structures (Hosseinzadeh et al. 2005). In the last few years, more intense effort have been spent on the application of fiber reinforced plastics. While glass fiber reinforced plastics (GFRP) are used in several vehicle components, the carbon fibers as reinforcing material are of relative low significance for the lightweight construction in motor vehicles (Adam, 1997).

Short fiber reinforced composites have enormous potential for automotive application (Adam, 1997). To achieve these advantages, many researchers focus on the discontinuous carbon fiber reinforced polypropylene composite where the material is expected to have a better property and has a potential to reduce the weight of a car and fuel consumption. The use of a short-carbon-fiber or discontinuous carbon fiber reinforced polypropylene (SCF/PP) composite has been investigated by Rezaei et.al (2007) for car bonnet application. They found that the SCF/PP composite is a good option for replacing steel in car bonnet and can achieve the standards of car bonnet with remarkable lower weight and higher mechanical properties. However, this study focuses on the use of discontinuous carbon fiber reinforced polypropylene composite for the application of car bumper which requires different specifications.

### **1.3** Objectives and Scope of Research Work

The objectives of this research are:

(a) To develop carbon fiber polypropylene composites under various process conditions.

(b) To investigate the mechanical and thermal properties of carbon fiber polypropylene composites.

Based on the first objective, the scope of work stated with preliminary investigation on the existing bumper system of a passenger car in order to understand the material properties. This was undertaken so that improvement on the material designs can be made. A local commercial car bumper was used as a reference to develop an alternative material that is comparable with the existing material for the application of bumper. This is an important step to ensure that the composite is viable and practical to be used as a bumper fascia. The work continued with the manufacture of carbon fiber reinforced polypropylene composite where the procedure were began with fiber preparation followed by melt compounding process. Hot press was used to mold the composite into a sheet so that the sample for analysis can be made. As to accomplish the second objective, the research work was continued with sample analysis where the samples were evaluated to investigate the mechanical and thermal properties. The analyses consist of tensile, flexural, impact and hardness test, thermal gravimetric and dynamic mechanical analysis. These results were finally compared with local commercial car bumper properties.

### 1.4 Thesis Layout

This thesis contains five chapters. Chapter One covers the introduction that includes the background of carbon fiber and its application followed by the problem statement and the objectives of the research. In Chapter Two, a survey is presented on carbon fiber composite, matrix and the concept of bumper system. Subsequently, Chapter Three describes the research materials and methodology used in the study. The results and discussion are covered in Chapter Four where the analysis on experimental results is included. The thesis ends with the Conclusion in Chapter Five. Here the results are compared with the objectives and some recommendations for future works are also presented.

#### REFERENCES

- Adam, H. 1997. Carbon Fibre in Automotive Applications. *Journal of Material & Design*, 18:349-335.
- Advanced Composite Materials, (NEHC) Navy Environmental Health Center: Norfolk, Virginia. 1991.
- AISI (America Iron and Steel Institute). Technical Information 2003. Steel Bumper System for Passanger Cars and Light Trucks. Revision Number Two. February 15.
- Bartus, S. D. and Vidya U. K., 2004. Performance of Long Fiber Reinforced Thermoplastics Subjected to Transverse Intermediate Velocity Blunt Object Impact. *Composite Structures* 67: 263-277.
- Billmayer, F. W. 1984. Textbook of Polymer, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed, pp. 373-375. New York: John Wiley & Son.
- Blackely, D. C., 1983. Synthetic Rubber: Their Chemistry and Technology, pp. 94. London: Applied Science Publisher Ltd.
- Brydson, J. A. 1999. Plastic Materials, 7<sup>th</sup> Ed, pp 247-310. London: Elsevier.
- Bush S.F, Torres F.G, Methven J.M., 2000. Rheological Characterization of Discrete Long Glass Fibre (LGF) Reinforced Thermoplastics. *Composites* 31:1421–1431.
- Carbon Fiber: Retrieved 20 November 2007 from http://www.carbonfiber.gr.jp/english/tanso/03.html
- Carbon Fiber: Retrieved 27 December 2004 from http://www.chem.wisc.edu/~newtrad/CurrRef/BDGTopic/BDGtext
- Charles, A. H., 2002. Handbook of Plastics, Elastomer & Composites, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, pp. 232. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Chen, J., Yang, S., Tao, Z., Hu, A., Gao, S. and Fan, L., 2006. Short Carbon Fiber-Reinforced PMR Polyimide Composites with Improved Thermo-oxidative and Hygrothermal Stabilities. *High Performance Polymer* 18: 265-282.
- Cheon, S. S., Choi, J. H. and Lee, D. G. 1995. Development of the composite bumper beam for passenger cars. *Journal of Composites Structures* 32:491-499.

- Chowdhury, F. H., Hosur, M. V. and Jeelani, S., 2006. Studies on the Flexural and Thermomechanical Properties of Wovwn Carbon/Nanoclay-Epoxy Laminates. *Material Science and Engineering* 421: 298-306.
- Chuah, C. K., sales engineer, Addit Technology Development (M) Sdn Bhd, pers. comm. 17<sup>th</sup> July 2006.
- Chung D.D. L., 1994. Carbon Fiber Composites, pp. 6-12. New York: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Composite. Retrieved 2 Jun 2006 fromhttp://www.hexcel.com/Products/Matrix%20Products/Thermoplastics/TowF lex %20Bumper.htm
- Corvaglias, P., Passaro, A., Manni, O. and Barone, L. 2006. Recycling of PP-based Sandwich Panels with Continuous Fiber Composite Skins. *Journal of Thermoplastic Composites Materials* 19:731-745.
- Das, S. 2001. The Cost Automotive Polymer Composites: A Review Assessment of DOE's Lighweight Materials Composite Research. U.S. Oak Ridge National Laboratory.
- Donnet, J. B., Wang, T.K., Rebouillat, S. and Peng, J. C. M. 1998. Mechanical Properties of Carbon Fibers. In *Carbon Fibers*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed, pp 311-370. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Dutra, R. C. L., Soares, B. G., Campos E. A. and Silva, J. L. G., 2000. Hydbrid Composites Based on Polypropylene and Carbon Fiber and Epoxy Matrix. *Polymer* 41: 3841-3849.
- Farhana P., Yuanxin Z., Vijaya K. R, and Shaik J., 2005. Testing and evaluation on the thermal and mechanical properties of carbon nano fiber reinforced SC-15 epoxy. *Materials Science and Engineering* 405: 246–253.
- Ferrigno, T. 1985. Principles of Filler Selection and Use. In Handbook of Fillers for Plastics. Pp 8-61. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Co.
- Fink, J. K., 2005. Reactive Polymers Fundamentals and Applications, A Concise Guide to Industrial Polymers, pp 587-610. NewYork: William Andrew Inc.
- Franzen et al., 1989. Fibre Degradation During Processing of Short Fibre Reinforced Thermoplastics. *Composite*, 20(1): 65-76
- Frogley, M. D., Ravich, D., Wagner, H. D., 2003. Mechanical Properties of Carbon Nanoparticle-Reinforced Elastomers. *Composite Science Technology*, 63:1647-1656.

- Fu, A. Y., Lauke, B., Mader, E., Yue, C. Y. and Hu, X. 2000. Tensile Properties of Short-glass-fiber- and short-carbon-fiber-reinforced polypropylene composites. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 31:1117-1125.
- Fu, A. Y., Lauke, B., Mader, E., Hu, X, and Yue, C. Y. 1999. Fracture resistance shortglass-fiber-reinforced and short-carbon-fiber-reinforced polypropylene under Charpy impact load and its dependence on processing. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*. 89-90: 501-507.
- Glass Strand. Retrived 19 September 2007 from http://glass-strand.com
- Gunter, O., 1985. Polyurethane Handbook, Chemistry-Raw Materials-Processing-Application-Properties, pp. 371-607. New York: Hanser Publishers.
- Hanafi, I., 2004. Komposit Polimer Diperkuat Pengisi dan Gentian Pendek Semulajadi, pp. 1-249. Pulau Pinang: Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Hosseinzadeh, R., Shokrieh, M. M. and Lessard, L. B. 2005. Parametric study of automotive composite bumper beams subjected to low-velocity impacts. *Composite Structures* 68: 419-427.
- Huda, M. S. Drzal, L. T., Mohanty, A. K. and Misra M., 2006. Chopped glass and recycled newspaper as reinforcement fibers in injection molded poly(lactic acid) (PLA) composites: A comparative study. *Composites Science and Technology* 66: 1813–1824.
- Husic, S., Javni, J. and Petrovic, Z. S. 2005. Thermal and Mechanical properties of glass reinforced soy-based polyurethane composites. *Composites Science and Technology* 65: 19-25.
- Ibrahim A., 2005. Modification of Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch Fibre by Graft Copolymerization and Application of Their Products in Composites, PhD. Thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- James F. Shackelford, 2000. Introduction to materials Science for Engineers, 5<sup>th</sup> ed. New Jersey: Prentice Hall Inc.
- Jones, R. M., 1999. Mechanics of Composite Materials. In The why-current and potential advantages of fiber reinforced composite materials, pg 26-36. Virgina: Taylor & Francis.
- Kelly. V. 2001. Carbon Fiber. (http://www.geocities.com/vpkelly.geo/index.html). Retrieved 21 September 2007.
- Khalil S. A. and Rozman H.D., 2003. Gentian dan Komposit Lignosellulosik, pp 51-98. Pulau Pinang: Universiti Sains Malaysia.

- Konzen, J. 1988. Observation on Fiber Glass in Relation to Health, 2nd ed. Great Britain: Year Medical Publisher.
- Kumar, S., Rath T., Mahaling R.N., Reddy C.S., Das C.K., Pandey K.N., Srivastava R.B., and Yadaw S.B., 2007. Study on mechanical, morphological and electrical properties of carbon nanofiber/polyetherimide composites. *Materials Science* and Engineering 141: 61–70.
- Larena, A., Jime, S., Domi, F., 2006. Dynamic-mechanical analysis of the photodegradation of long glass fibre reinforced polypropylene: Mechanical properties' changes Polymer Degradation and Stability. *Composites Science and Technology* 91: 940-946.
- Lee, H. W. and Jang, S. K. 1993. Bumper Design Using Computer Simulation. The MSC 1993 World Users' Conf. Proc., Paper No. 10.
- Liu, L. and Wagner, H. D., 2005. Ruberry and Glassy Epoxy Resins Reinforced With Carbon Nanotubes. *Composites Science and Technology* 65: 1861-1869.
- Lo´pez, M.M.A., Arroyo M., 2000. Thermal and dynamic mechanical properties of polypropylene and short organic fiber composites. *Polymer* 41: 7761–7767.
- Mahieux C. A., 2005. Environmental Degradation of Industrial Composites. Pp. 17-83. Available online 11 June 2007. Elsevier Ltd.
- Mallick, P. K. 1993. Introduction. In *Fiber-Reinforced Composites, Material, Manufacturing and Design*, pp 1-11. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Menard, K.P., 2008. Dynamic Mechanical Analysis, A Practical Introduction, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Pp 1-35. United States of America: CRC Press.
- Morgan, P., 2005. Carbon Fibers and Their Composites, pp 98-113. UK: CRC Press.
- Mulligan, D.R., Ogina, S.L., Smitha, P.A., Wellsb, G.M. and Worral, C.M, 2003. Fibrebundling in a short-fibre composite: 1. Review of literature and development of a method for controlling the degree of bundling. *Composites Science and Technology* 63: 715–725.
- Murphy, J., 1998. Reinforced Plastics Handbook, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Pp. 319-328. New York: Elsvier Science and Technology Books.
- Ota W.N., Amico, S.C. and Satyanarayana, K.G. 2005. Studies on the combined effect of injection temperature and fiber content on the properties of polypropylene-glass fiber composites. *Composites Science and Technology* 65: 873–881.

- Othman, N., Ismail, H. and Mariatti M., 2006. Effect of compatibilisers on mechanical and thermal properties of bentonite filled polypropylene composites. *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 91: 1761-1774.
- Pandey, J. K., Reddy, K. R., Kumar, A. P. and Singh, R.P., 2005. An overview on the degradability of polymer nanocomposites. *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 88: 234-250.
- Ramirez, C., Albano, C., Karam, A., Dominguez, N., Sanchez, Y. and Gonzalez, G. 2005. Mechanical, thermal, rheologycal and morphological behaviour of irradiated PP/HA composites, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B, Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* 236: 531-535.
- Rezaei, F., Yunus, R., Ibrahim, N.A., Mahdi, E. S., 2008. Development of Short-Carbon-Fiber-Reinforced Polypropylene Composite for Car Bonnet. *Polymer-Plastics Technology and Engineering*. 47: 351-357.
- Rezaei F., Yunus R., Ibrahim N.A., 2009. Effect of Fiber Length on Thermomechanical Properties of Short Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene. *Composites Materials and Design.* 30: 260–263.
- Russameeden A. and Pumchusak J., 2008. Preparation of Fiber-Reinforced Electrically Conducting Polypropylene Composites by Wet-Lay Process for Use as Bipolar Plates in a Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cel.1 *Journal of Metals, Materials and Minerals*, 18(2): 121-124.
- Rozman, H.D. and Shawkataly A. K. 2003. Oil palm empty fruit bunch-polyurethane composites: The effect of isocyanate/glycol ratio, glycol type, and glycol mixture on impact strength, dimensional stability, and thermal properties. *Polymerplastics technology and engineering*. 42: 811-826.
- Saito M, Kukula S, and Kataoka Y., 1998. Practical use of the statistically modified laminate model for injection moldings. Part 1: method and verification. *Polymer Composite 19*: 497–505.
- Sapuan, S. M., Suddin, N. and Maleque, M. A., 2002. Critical Review of Polymer-based Composite Automotive Bumper Systems, *Polymers and Polymer Composites*, 10(8): 627-636.
- Sepe, M., Melt Flow Index, The Materials Analyst, Part 13. Retrieved 3 October 2007 from http://www.immnet.com/articles?article=470
- Shackelford, J. F., 2000. Introduction to Materials Science for Engineers, In Polymer pp 458-496. New Jersey: Prentice Hall International Inc.

- Shenoy, A. V. and Saini, D. R., 1996. Thermoplastic Melt Rheology and Processing. Pp 115-176. New York: Marcel Dekker Inc.
- Sih, G. C. and Hsu, S. E., 1986. Advanced Composite Materials and Structures. Pp 229-246. The Netherlands: VNU Science Press.
- Suddin, M.N, Salit, M.S., Ismail, N., Maleqeu, M.A., and Zainuddin, S., 2005. Total Design of Polymer Composite Automotive Bumper Fascia. *Journal of Science* and Technology 12: 39-45.
- Taipalus, R., Harmia, T., Zhang, M., Q., Friedrich, K., 2001. The Electrical Conductivity of Carbon-Fiber-Reinforced Polypropylene/Polyaniliyn Complex Blends: Experimental Characterisation and Modeling, *Composites Science and Technology*. 61 801-814.
- Thayer, A. M, 1990. Advanced Polymer Composites. Pg 237-242. New York: McGrawHill.
- Thomason, J. L. and Vlug, M. A. 1995a. Influence of Fiber Length and Concentration on the Properties of Glass fibre-Reinforced Polypropylene: 1. Tensile and Flexural Properties. *Composites*: Part A, 27:447-484.
- Thomason, J. L. and Vlug, M. A. 1996b. Influence of Fiber Length and Concentration on the Properties of Glass fibre-Reinforced Polypropylene: Part 3. Strength and Strain at failure. *Composites* Part A, 96: 1075-1084.
- Thomason, J. L. and Vlug, M. A. 1996c. Influence of Fiber Length and Concentration on the Properties of Glass fibre-Reinforced Polypropylene: 4. Impact Properties, *Composites* Part A, 28: 277-288.
- User's guide for short Carbon Fiber Composites; Zoltek Companies, Inc.: St. Louis, MO. June 2000. July 10, 2002.
- Vishu, S., 1998. Handbook of Plastics Test Technology, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Pp 75. John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Warren C. D., 1999. DOE Automotive Composite Materials Research Present and Future Efforts. U.S: Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia, 2006. Carbon Fiber. www.wikipedia.org. Accessed on 26 September 2006.
- Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia, 2007. Polypropylene. www.wikipedia.org. Accessed on 28 November 2007.

- Wiley, J. 1988. Fiberglass Repair and Construction Handbook, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Pp 1-7. United States, McGrawHill.
- Wirpsza, Z. 1993. Polyurethanes Elastomers. In Polyurethanes, Chemistry, Technology and Application, pp 139-177. Great Britain: Ellis Horwood.
- Xie, L. X., Mai, Y. W. and Zhou, X. P., 2005. Dispersion and Alignment of Carbon Nanotubes in Polymer Matrix: A Review. Material Science and Engineering. 49: 89-99.
- Yamane H, Tanigawa M, Komoto S, Takahashi M. Dispersed state of glass fibers and dynamic viscoelasticity of glass fiber filled polypropylene melts. Nihon Reoroji Gakk 1997;25(4):189–197.
- Zaccaria, V. K. and Utracki L. A., 2002. Polymer Blends Handbook. Pp 951-976. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Zaixia F., Zhangyu, Yanmo C. and Hairu L., 2006. Investigation on the Tensile Properties of Knitted Fabric Reinforced Composites made from GF-PP Commingled Yarn Preforms with Different Loop Densities, *Journal of Thermoplastic Composite Materials* 19:113.