



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***ENHANCING TENSILE PROPERTIES OF CARBON FIBER-REINFORCED
POLYPROPYLENE COMPOSITE USING CARBON NANOTUBE COATING***

SHARIFAH MAZRAH BINTI SAYED MOHAMED ZAIN

FK 2009 112

**ENHANCING TENSILE PROPERTIES OF CARBON FIBER-REINFORCED
POLYPROPYLENE COMPOSITE USING CARBON NANOTUBE COATING**

By

SHARIFAH MAZRAH BINTI SAYED MOHAMED ZAIN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

November 2009

DEDICATION

*I dedicate this thesis to my beloved husband and twin daughters,
with love...*

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment
of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**ENHANCING TENSILE PROPERTIES OF CARBON FIBER-REINFORCED
POLYPROPYLENE COMPOSITE USING CARBON NANOTUBE COATING**

By

SHARIFAH MAZRAH BINTI SAYED MOHAMED ZAIN

November 2009

Chairman : Dr Suraya binti Abdul Rashid

Faculty : Engineering

Carbon fibers, when used without any surface treatments, will produce composites with low interlaminar shear strength (ILSS) which attributed largely to weak bonding between the fiber and the matrix. CNT-coating treatment was conducted to improve carbon fiber-matrix interfacial bonding. This treatment was done by growing carbon nanotubes (CNTs) directly on carbon fibers using chemical vapor deposition (CVD) to create CNT-coated carbon fibers. The objectives was to study the microscopic morphology of CNTs formation on the surface of carbon fibers at various treatment conditions, to study the interfacial shear strength (IFSS) of CNT-coated carbon fibers, tensile properties and thermal stability of CNT-coated carbon fiber composites as well as comparison with untreated and commercial carbon fibers. The CNTs were produced by a benzene-ferrocene gas reaction inside a high temperature tube furnace. The reaction temperature, the carrier gas flow rate and weight of ferrocene were varied at 700°C, 800°C and 900°C; 100 ml/min and 300 ml/min; 0.3 g, 0.5 g and 1.0 g respectively and the reaction time for CNT growth was set at 30 minutes. The microscopic morphology of CNTs formation on the surface of carbon fibers was

observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) before it was fabricated into composites. The composites were prepared by melt blending with polypropylene (PP) at different fiber content of 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 wt. %. It showed that CNTs were successfully grown on carbon fibers at reaction temperature of 800°C and 900°C. Interfacial shear strength of CNT-coated fibers improved up to 499% compared to untreated fibers. Tensile properties increased with the increase of fiber loading from 2 wt. % - 10 wt. % and decreased at 12 wt. % fiber content. With addition of 10 wt. % of CNT-coated CFPP composites, the tensile strength and modulus increased up to 36% and 85%, respectively. CNT-coated CFPP composites were more resistant to deformation, but had lower strength when compared with commercial CFPP composites. The thermal stability of CNT-coated CFPP composites showed an increment compared to the untreated CFPP composite. As conclusion, CNT-coating treatment using parameters treated at reaction temperature of 800°C; 300 ml/min hydrogen flow rate and 1.0 g of ferrocene showed the most amounts of CNTs with fewer impurities which also exhibited the best performance in IFSS, tensile properties and highest onset degradation temperature, 325°C making it the best designation for this CNT-coating treatment using current thermal CVD set-up.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

**MENINGKATKAN SIFAT KETEGANGAN BAGI KOMPOSIT
POLIPROPILENA DIPERKUAT GENTIAN KARBON DENGAN
PENYALUTAN KARBON TIUB NANO**

Oleh

SHARIFAH MAZRAH BINTI SAYED MOHAMED ZAIN

November 2009

Pengerusi : Dr Suraya binti Abdul Rashid

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Gentian karbon, apabila digunakan tanpa rawatan permukaan, ia akan menghasilkan komposit yang mempunyai kekuatan ricih antara lamina (ILSS) yang rendah seterusnya menyumbang kepada ikatan lemah antara gentian dan matriks resin. Rawatan penyalutan karbon tiub nano keatas permukaan gentian karbon telah dijalankan untuk meningkatkan ikatan antara muka gentian karbon dan matriks. Rawatan ini dijalankan dengan menumbuhkan karbon tiub nano ke atas permukaan gentian karbon menggunakan kaedah pemendapan wap kimia (CVD). Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji gambaran sifat karbon tiub nano yang terbentuk pada keadaan rawatan berbeza, menganalisis kekuatan ricih antara muka (IFSS) gentian karbon terawat, sifat ketegangan dan kestabilan terma komposit gentian karbon terawat dan juga perbandingan dengan gentian karbon tidak dirawat dan gentian karbon komersial. Karbon tiub nano yang terbentuk telah dihasilkan melalui tindak balas wap antara benzena dan feroseana di dalam tiub relau bersuhu tinggi. Suhu tindak balas, kadar alir gas pembawa (hidrogen) dan jumlah feroseana di jalankan pada suhu 700 °C, 800°C dan 900°C; 100 ml/min dan 300 ml/min; 0.3 g, 0.5 g dan

1.0 g, masing-masing dan masa tindak balas bagi pembentukan karbon tiub nano ditetapkan pada 30 minit. Kajian morfologi mikroskopi karbon tiub nano yang terbentuk dijalankan menggunakan mikroskop elektron imbasan (SEM) dan mikroskop elektron transmisi (TEM) sebelum ia di jadikan komposit. Komposit disediakan dengan campuran lebur polipropilena dan gentian karbon pada kandungan berat peratus berbeza iaitu 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12%. Keputusan menunjukkan karbon tiub nano terbentuk dengan jayanya menyaluti permukaan gentian karbon pada suhu rawatan 800°C and 900°C. Kekuatan ricih antara muka gentian karbon terawat iaitu disaluti karbon tiub nano telah meningkat sebanyak 499% berbanding gentian karbon tidak dirawat. Sifat ketegangan meningkat dengan peningkatan peratus pengisian gentian dari 2 - 10% dan menurun pada 12% kandungan gentian karbon. Dengan penambahan sebanyak 10% gentian karbon terawat ke dalam polipropilena komposit, kekuatan dan modulus ketegangan meningkat sebanyak 36% dan 85%, masing-masing. Komposit gentian karbon terawat mempunyai rintangan lebih tinggi terhadap canggaaan, tetapi mempunyai kekuatan yang lebih rendah jika dibandingkan dengan komposit gentian karbon komersial. Kestabilan terma bagi komposit gentian karbon terawat menunjukkan peningkatan berbanding dengan komposit gentian karbon tidak dirawat. Kesimpulannya, penyalutan karbon tiub nano pada parameter 800°C; kadar alir gas hidrogen 300 ml/min dan 1.0 g ferosena telah menunjukkan pembentukan karbon tiub nano yang paling banyak dan kurang bendasing. Ia juga menunjukkan prestasi terbaik bagi kekuatan ricih antara muka, sifat ketegangan dan suhu penguraian tertinggi, 325°C menjadikan ia formula terbaik bagi rawatan ini menggunakan set alat terma CVD yang sedia ada.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

With the completion of this thesis, I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Suraya bt Abdul Rashid, lecturer of Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering who introduced me to the field of nano materials and her wide knowledge have been of great value for me. Her understanding, encouraging, personal guidance and excellent advice throughout this work have provided a good basis for the present thesis.

I also wish to express my warm and sincere thanks to my co-supervisors; Associate Professor Dr. Robiah bt. Yunus (Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering) and Dr. Nor Azowa bt Ibrahim (Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science) for their constructive comments, valuable advice and kind support that have been very helpful for this study.

I owe my most sincere gratitude to those who gave me the opportunity to work with them in conducting the testing and analysis in the Advanced Materials Research Centre (AMREC), SIRIM and Microscopy Unit, Institute of Bioscience (UPM). During this work, I have collaborated with many colleagues for whom I have great regard and I wish to extend my warmest thanks to all those who have helped me with my work in the Department of Chemical Engineering (Faculty of Engineering) and Department of Chemistry (Faculty of Science). I am grateful for all the valuable advice and friendly help.

I owe my loving thanks to my husband Syed Mohamad Nazli bin Syed Ahmad, my parents and families for their loving support. Without their encouragement and understanding, it would have been impossible for me to finish this work.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 20 November 2009 to conduct the final examination of Sharifah Mazrah binti Sayed Mohamed Zain on her thesis entitled “Enhancing Tensile Properties of Carbon Fiber-Reinforced Polypropylene Composite using Carbon Nanotube Coating” in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Azni bin Idris, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Luqman Chuah bin Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Mohd Amran bin Mohd Salleh, PhD

Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Ishak bin Ahmad, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(External Examiner)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Suraya Abdul Rashid, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Robiah Yunus, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Nor Azowa Ibrahim, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 August 2010

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or other institutions.

SHARIFAH MAZRAH SAYED MOHAMED ZAIN

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL	viii
DECLARATION	x
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives of Study	5
1.4 Scope of Study	6
1.5 Thesis Structure	6
2 LITERATURE RIVIEW	8
2.1 Carbon Fibers Composites	8
2.2 Short-fiber Reinforced Composites	10
2.3 Composite Fabrication	12
2.3.1 Resin Matrix	13
2.3.2 Polypropylene	
2.4 Carbon Fibers	17
2.5 Surface Properties of Carbon Fibers	18
2.6 Surface Treatments	19
2.7 Whiskerization	21
2.8 Carbon Nanotubes	23
2.8.1 Growth Mechanisme	26
2.8.2 Carbon Source	29
2.8.3 Catalyst	30
2.9 Carbon Nanotubes Growth by Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD)	30
2.10 Tensile Properties	38
2.11 Interfacial Shear Strength (IFSS)	39
2.12 Thermal Properties	42
3 METHODOLOGY	43
3.1 Introduction	43
3.2 CNT-coating Treatment	43
3.2.1 Carbon Fiber	44
3.2.2 CNT-coating Treatment using the CVD rig	44

3.2.3	Treatment Conditions	46
3.2.4	Average Weight	48
3.3	Characterization	49
3.3.1	Scanning Electron Microscopy	49
3.3.2	Transmission Electron Microscopy	50
3.4	Composite Processing	50
3.4.1	Polypropylene	51
3.4.2	Chopping Process	52
3.4.3	Melt Blending	52
3.4.4	Hot Compression Molding	53
3.5	Mechanical and Thermal Properties	54
3.5.1	Tensile Test	54
3.5.2	Interfacial Shear Strength (IFSS) Test	55
3.5.3	Thermogravimetric Analysis	57
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	58
4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	Effect of Treatment Conditions on Production of CNTs	58
4.3	Characterization of CNT-coated Carbon Fibers	61
4.3.1	Effect of Growth Temperature	69
4.3.2	Effect of Ferrocene	70
4.3.3	Effect of Hydrogen Flowrate	73
4.3.4	TEM of CNTs	74
4.4	Average Weight	75
4.5	Heating Effect	78
4.6	Interfacial Shear Strength (IFSS) Test	82
4.7	Tensile Test	85
4.7.1	Heated Carbon Fibers	85
4.7.2	Tensile Strength	87
4.7.3	Tensile Modulus	90
4.7.4	Percentage Improvement	93
4.7.5	Comparative with Commercial Carbon Fiber	96
4.7.6	Fracture Surface of Composite	98
4.8	Thermogravimetric Analysis	99
4.8.1	Filler Loading Effect	100
4.8.2	TGA of Composite at 10 wt. % Fiber Content	101
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	103
5.1	Conclusions	103
5.2	Reccomendations	105
	REFERENCES	108
	APPENDICES	115
A1	Properties of Carbon Fiber	116
A2	Properties of Polypropylene	117
A3	Properties of Epolam	118
B1	Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties	120

B2	Recommended Method for Tow Tensile Testing	134
C1	Thermal Gravimetric Analysis Datasheet	140
BIODATA OF STUDENT		150
LIST OF PUBLICATION		151



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1. The estimated critical length for some short carbon fiber reinforced thermoplastics (Carbon fiber diameter = 7.4 μ m. tensile strength = 3.79GPa)	12
2.2 A summary of CNTs production methods and the efficiency	25
2.3. List of notable accomplishment in CNT Synthesis using CVD method	33
3.1. Carbon fibers properties	44
3.2. Preliminary testing conditions for CNT-coating treatment on carbon fibers	47
3.3. Specimen designations for CNT-coating treatment and heating conducted on carbon fibers	48
3.4. Typical polypropylene properties	51
3.5. Composition for composite compounding	53
4.1. Preliminary test of treatment conditions on carbon fiber to identify the presence of CNTs	59
4.2. Specimen designations and treatment conditions for CNT-coating treatment on carbon fibers	61
4.3. The average weight of CNT-coated carbon fibers	76
4.4. Percentage of improvement in IFSS for CNT-coated carbon fibers As compared with untreated carbon fibers	84
4.5. The maximum percentage of improvement of CNT-coated CFPP composites	95
4.6. Onset temperature of degradation ($^{\circ}$ C) for pure PP and CNT-coated CFPP composites type A3 at different carbon content	100
4.7. Onset temperature of degradation ($^{\circ}$ C) for pure PP, untreated, commercial and CNT-coated CFPP composites at 10 wt. % carbon content	101

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	The molecule structure of continuous polypropylene chain	16
2.2	Comparison of diameters of various carbon fibers, graphite whiskers, vapor-grown carbon fibers, carbon nanotubes and fullerenes	23
2.3	Eight allotropes of carbon: a) Diamond, b) Graphite, c) Lonsdaleite, d) C60 (Buckminsterfullerene or buckyball), e) C540, f) C70, g) Amorphous carbon, and h) single-walled carbon nanotube or buckytube	24
2.4.	Visualisation of a possible carbon nanotube growth mechanism in substrate growth	27
2.5.	Schematic representation of different types of growth morphologies observed in carbon nanotubes (a) whisker-like, (b) branched, (c) spiral, and (d) helical	28
2.6.	Schematic of a thermal CVD apparatus	32
2.7.	SEM micrographs of carbon fibers (a) before and (b) after nanotube growth by CVD	34
2.8.	SEM images :(a) sample grown on carbon fibers at 550°C on (scale are 2 mm); (b) CNT grown on fibers at 750°C (scale are 2 mm); and (c) carbon clusters grown at 850°C on fibers (scale are 10 mm)	35
2.9a.	CNT growth on carbon fibers before (left) and after immersion in acetone for 5 min (right)	36
2.9b.	CNT on carbon paper before (left) and after the ultrasonic bath in acetone for 5 min (right)	36
2.9c.	CNT on carbon fibers before (left) and after ultrasonic bath in deionised water for 5 min (right)	36
2.10.	Typical (a) single-fibre pull-out specimen and (b) force-displacement pull-out curve	41
3.1.	Diagram of CNT-coating treatment process conducted in a CVD furnace	46
3.2.	Restrained top loading method (RTC)	56
4.1.	SEM micrograph of untreated carbon fibers	62

4.2.	SEM micrograph of CNT-coating treatment on carbon fiber at reaction temperature of 800°C; 100 ml/min hydrogen flow rate and (a) 1.0 g ferrocene (A1), (b) 0.5 g ferrocene (A2) and (c) 0.3 g ferrocene	63
4.3.	SEM micrograph of CNT-coating treatment on carbon fiber at reaction temperature of 800°C; 300 ml/min hydrogen flow rate and (a) 1.0 g ferrocene (A3), (b) 0.5 g ferrocene (A4) and (c) 0.3 g ferrocene	64
4.4.	SEM micrograph of CNT-coating treatment on carbon fiber at reaction temperature of 900°C; 100 ml/min hydrogen flow rate and (a) 1.0 g ferrocene (B1), (b) 0.5 g ferrocene (B2) and (c) 0.3 g ferrocene	65
4.5.	SEM micrograph of CNT-coating treatment on carbon fiber at reaction temperature of 900°C; 300 ml/min hydrogen flow rate and (a) 1.0 g ferrocene (B3), (b) 0.5 g ferrocene (B4) and (c) 0.3 g ferrocene	66
4.6.	SEM micrograph of carbon fiber at reaction temperature of 700°C; 100 ml/min hydrogen flow rate (a) 1.0 g ferrocene;(b) 0.5 g ferrocene	68
4.7.	TEM images with different magnification for a number of CNTs grown on carbon fiber (a) CNTs with an open end (b) a bundle of CNT (c) CNTs grown on carbon fiber with wavy morphology (d) curved CNTs	75
4.8.	Average weights of CNT-coated carbon fibers specimens	77
4.9.	SEM micrograph of (a) untreated carbon fiber (b) carbon fiber heated at 800°C and (c) carbon fiber heated at 900°C	81
4.10.	Interfacial Shear Strength (IFSS) of untreated, heated and CNT-coated carbon fiber	83
4.11.	Tensile strength of untreated and heated carbon fiber reinforced polypropylene composites versus carbon content	86
4.12.	Tensile modulus of untreated and heated carbon fiber reinforced polypropylene composites versus carbon content	86
4.13.	Tensile strength of untreated and CNT-coated carbon fiber reinforced polypropylene at growth temperature of (a) 800°C, and (b) 900°C	89
4.14.	Tensile modulus of untreated and CNT-coated carbon fiber reinforced polypropylene at growth temperature of (a) 800°C, and (b) 900°C	92

4.15.	Relative improvements on the tensile properties of CNT-coated CFPP composites (a) tensile strength (b) tensile modulus	94
4.16.	Tensile properties of untreated CFPP, CNT-coated CFPP type A3, and commercial CFPP composite (a) tensile strength (b) tensile modulus	97
4.17.	SEM micrograph of tensile fractures surface of the carbon fiber composite with 10 wt.% fibers contents: (a) untreated carbon fiber, (b) treated carbon fiber (type A3 at 10 wt.%)	98



LIST OF ABBREVIATION

ABS	Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
Al ₂ O ₃	Aluminium oxide
Ar	Argon
CCD	Charge coupled device
CF	Carbon fiber
CNFs	Carbon nanofibers
CNTs	Carbon nanotubes
CFPP	Carbon fiber reinforced polypropylene
CFRC	Carbon-carbon fiber reinforced composites
CFRM	Carbon fiber-metal reinforced composites
CFRP	Carbon fiber-polymer matrix composites
CVD	Chemical vapor deposition
Co	Cobalt
C ₆ H ₆	Benzene
E	Modulus of elasticity
EDX	Energy dispersive x-ray
Fe	Iron
Fe(C ₅ H ₅) ₂	Ferrocene
FRP	Fiber reinforced polymer
GPa	Gega Pascal
H ₂	Hydrogen
HRTEM	High resolution transmission electron microscope
IFSS	Interfacial shear stress

ILSS	Interlaminar shear strength
L_c	Critical length
MFI	Melt flow index
Mo	Molybdenum
MW	Molecular weight
MWNTs	Multi-walled nanotubes
Ni	Nickel
PAN	Polyacronitrile
PC	Polycarbonate
PEEK	Polyetheretherketone
PP	Polypropylene
PPS	Polyphenylene sulfide
PS	Polysulfone
RTC	Restrained top loading
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
SFRP	Short-fiber reinforced polymer composites
SiC	Silicon carbide
Si_3N_4	Silicon nitride
SWNTs	Single-walled nanotubes
TiO_2	Titanium dioxide
TEM	Transmission electron microscope
TGA	Thermo gravimetric analysis
VGCF	Vapor grown carbon nanofibers

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Study

It had been established in recent years that polymer-based composites reinforced with a small percentage of strong fillers could significantly improve the mechanical, thermal and barrier properties of pure polymer matrix (Mahfuz et al., 2003). When these fillers are rod-shaped, the surface area per particle will be higher than any other shape of fillers. Carbon fibers have extremely high mechanical strength and stiffness in axial direction and because of this, they are considered the most interesting fillers for advanced applications composites (Gordeyev et al., 2001). Composite materials have two major advantages among many others; improved strength and stiffness, especially when compared with other materials on a unit of weight basis. For example, composite materials can be made to have the same strength and stiffness as high-strength steel and yet 70% lighter. Other advanced composite materials such as rural material, yet weigh only 60% as much. Composite materials can be tailored to efficiently meet design requirements of strength, stiffness and other parameters, all in various directions (Jones, 1999).

Good composite performance often depends on the degree of adhesion between the fiber and the resin binder. The physical and chemical properties of carbon fibers play a major role in determining the degree of adhesion between the fiber and the resin

matrix. Adhesion is usually controlled by chemical bonding due to functional groups and by mechanical interlocking due to surface morphology. This leads researchers to develop a number of surface treatments that could improve the fiber matrix polymer interfacial bonding. In order to improve the bonding properties of carbon fibers, various surface treatment approaches can be applied.

Carbon fiber surfaces are chemically inactive and must be treated to form surface functional groups that promote good chemical bonding with resin matrix. Surface treatments for carbon fibers are of two types, oxidative and non-oxidative. Oxidative surface treatments produce acidic functional groups on the carbon fiber surface. Non-oxidative surface treatment was developed by coating the carbon fiber surface with an organic polymer that has functional groups capable of reacting with the resin matrix (Mallick, 1993).

One of the non-oxidative surface treatment methods is whiskerization. It was an effective way to increase the shear strength of carbon-epoxy composite materials by 400% (Kowbel et al., 1997). Whiskerization technique on carbon fiber appears to have positive effect in improving the interfacial adhesion between carbon fibers and the matrix (Kowbel et al., 1997; Rebouillat, 1984; Katsuki et al., 1987 and Ismail, 1993). Whiskerization involves growing carbon nanotubes (CNTs) on the surface of carbon fibers. By growing the nanotubes on the surface of carbon fibers, the total surface area would increase and enhance the mechanical interlocking between fibers and resin matrixes. Over a decade, carbon nanotubes have attracted great interest in the scientific field because of their unique structure, remarkable mechanical, thermal and electrical properties and potential applications. Based on their exceptional tensile

strength and modulus, CNTs are deemed to be able to improve the tensile properties of the composite material and to increase the fracture energy once a crack is initiated.

A lot of studies and applications had recommended that nanotubes to be grown directly on substrate (carbon fiber) to minimize contact resistance between the nanotubes and the substrate, especially in large area at low cost, which however is still a challenge (Li et al., 2000). There are many techniques in producing CNTs and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) had been found to be efficient and selective for either single-walled or multi-walled carbon nanotubes and had demonstrated several advantages in growing the carbon nanotubes (Zhu et al., 2003). It had been established in recent years that polymer-based composites reinforced with the superior properties of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) could enhance and significantly improve the performance of the polymer matrix and numerous composites.

1.2 Problem Statement

It is well known that fiber-matrix adhesion strength plays an important role on the mechanical properties of fiber-reinforced polymer composites (Mallick, 1993). When load is applied to composites, it will be distributed and transferred through fiber-matrix interfaces. A strong bonding promotes a better involvement of more fibers which increases the strength of composites accordingly. However, carbon fibers usually experience a poor bonding behavior to polymer matrix due to their nature of smoothness and chemical inertness. This had been attributed largely to poor adhesion or weak bonding between carbon fiber surface and matrix molecules. Carbon fibers, when used without any surface treatment, produce composites with low interlaminar

shear strength (ILSS) (Zhang et al., 2004). Kowbel and co-worker reported an improvement of 200% - 300% in interlaminar shear strength (ILSS) of CNT-coated carbon fiber-reinforced epoxy composites. CNTs which increase the interfacial area of carbon fibers (Thostensen et al., 2001) provide a larger number of contact points for fiber-matrix bonding which attributed to the improvements in ILSS (Mallick, 1993).

Since carbon fibers produce composites with poor interfacial shear strength when used without any surface treatments, it has led investigators to develop a number of surface treatments (Rebouillat, 1984). The importance of surface treatment is due to the surfaces of carbon fibers that are chemically inactive. Surface functional groups would formed on carbon fibers surface by treatment that would enhanced good bonding with the resin matrix, hence improving the mechanical properties of composites produced. With better mechanical properties of composites, it attracts many manufacturers to develop various types of usage in industrial, recreational and engineering field.

This research intends to study the surface treatment on carbon fibers using one of the surface treatments. The as-received PAN carbon fibers were treated by using the concept of whiskerization. This treatment was done by growing carbon nanotubes directly on carbon fibers using chemical vapor deposition (CVD) to create CNT-coated carbon fibers. Each individual carbon fiber, which is several microns in diameter, is surrounded by carbon nanotubes. The morphology of CNTs grown on carbon fiber substrate was observed at various treatment conditions and the interfacial shear strength of these treated fiber were measured. Although there is

much research done on the production of CNTs on carbon fibers, none had studied the tensile behavior of CNT-coated carbon fiber-reinforced polypropylene composites using short fibers. The effect of CNT-coating treatment in the enhancement of tensile properties of the treated carbon composite was studied.

1.3 Objectives of Study

The objectives and scope of study had been clearly defined to achieve the goal of this research and are listed as follow:

1. To study the microscopic morphology of CNTs formation on the surface of carbon fibers by CNT-coating treatment at various treatment conditions.
2. To determine the effect of CNT-coating treatment on carbon fibers by studying the interfacial shear strength (IFSS) of CNT-coated carbon fibers, tensile properties and thermal stability of CNT-coated carbon fiber composites.
3. To investigate the comparison of tensile properties and thermal stability between CNT-coated carbon fiber composites with the commercial carbon fiber composites.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of study of this research are as follow:

1. CNT-coating treatment was conducted using CVD method by varying the reaction temperature (700 °C, 800°C and 900°C), hydrogen flow rate (100 ml/min and 300 ml/min) and weight of ferrocene (0.3g, 0.5g and 1.0g). The microscopic morphology of CNTs formation on the surface of carbon fibers was observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM).
2. Untreated, CNT-coated and commercial carbon fibers were fabricated into composites by reinforcing them with polypropylene at different fiber content. The carbon fiber content was varied between 2 wt. % and 12 wt. %. The improvement in tensile properties at different treatment conditions and fiber load were determined.
3. The fiber matrix adhesion was assessed by the interfacial shear strength (IFSS) test and the thermal stability of untreated and CNT-coated carbon fiber composites was determined by its onset degradation temperature.

1.5 Thesis Structure

The thesis structure is organized into five chapters. Chapter Two consists of literature review, which encompasses background information and review of previous work

done which is related to carbon fibers, carbon nanotubes growth by CVD and using carbon fiber as fillers in a polymer based matrix. It also includes fundamental theory regarding mechanical properties of carbon fiber reinforced polymer matrix composites. In Chapter Three, the type of materials incorporated, instruments and apparatus used, formulas as well as the procedure for sample preparation is presented. Detailed results of CNT-coating treatment on carbon fibers, morphology study, and experimental data obtained are presented in Chapter Four with discussions on data analysis and interpretation. Finally, Chapter Five concludes the overall work by reporting the important findings from the research done and recommendations for future work.

REFERENCES

- Ashrafi, B. and Hubert, P. (2006). Modeling the elastic properties of carbon nanotubes array/polymer composites. *Composites Science and Technology* 66: 387–396
- Andrews, R. J., Smith, C. F., Alexander, A. J. (2006). Mechanism of carbon nanotube growth from camphor and camphor analogs by chemical vapor deposition. *Carbon* 44: 341-347.
- Bai, S., Li, F., Yang, Q.H., Cheng, H.M. and Bai, J.B. (2003). Influence of ferrocene/benzene mole ratio on the synthesis of carbon nanostructures. *Chemical Physics Letters* 376: 83-89.
- Botelho, E.C., Figiel, L., Rezende, M.C. and Lauke, B. (2003). Mechanical behavior of carbon fiber reinforced polyamide composites. *Composites Science and Technology* 63: 1843-1855.
- Brandl, W., Marginean, G., Chirila, V. and Warschewski, W. (2004). Production and characterisation of vapour grown carbon fiber/polypropylene composites. *Carbon* 42: 5-9.
- Chen, W., Tao, X., and Liu, Y. (2006). Carbon nanotubes-reinforced polyurethane composite fibers. *Composite Science and Technology* 66: 3029-3034.
- Chen, J., Yang, S., Tao, Z., Hu, A., Gao, S. and Fan, L. (2005). Short carbon fiber-reinforced PMR polyamide composites with improved thermo-oxidative and hygrothermal stabilities. *High Performance Polymers* 18: 265-282.
- Choi, Y.K., Sugimoto, K.I., Song, S.M., Gotoh, Y., Ohkoshi, Y. and Endo, M. (2005). Mechanical and physical properties of epoxy composites reinforced by vapor grown carbon nanofibers. *Carbon* 43: 2199-2208.
- Ci, L., Li, B., Wei, B., Liang, J., Xu, C. and Wu, D. (2000). Preparation of carbon nanofibers by floating catalyst method. *Carbon* 38: 1933-1937.
- Ci, L., Zhu, H., Wei, B., Xu, C., Liang, J. and Wu, D. (1999). Graphitization behavior of carbon nanofibers prepared by the floating catalyst method. *Materials Letters* 43: 291-294.
- Ci, L. and Bai, J. B. (2006). The reinforcement role of carbon nanotubes in epoxy composites with different matrix stiffness. *Composites Science and Technology* 66 : 599-603.
- Daenen, M., de Fouw, R.D., Hamers, B., Janssen, P.G.A., Schouteden, K. and Veld, M.A.J. (27 February 2003). *The Wondrous World of Carbon Nanotubes: A review of current carbon nanotube technologies*. Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands.

- Debnath, S., Wunder, S.L., McCool, J.I. and Baran, G.R. (2003). Silane treatment effects on glass/resin interfacial shear strength. *Dental Materials* 19: 441-448.
- Downs, W.B. and Baker, R.T.K. (1991). Novel carbon fiber-carbon filament structures. *Carbon* 8 : 1173-1179.
- Downs, W.B. and Baker, R.T.K. (1995). Modification of the surface properties of carbon fibers via the catalytic growth of carbon nanofibers. *J. Material. Research* 3: 625 – 633.
- Drzal, L.T., Madhukar, M. and Waterbury, M.C. (1994). Adhesion to carbon fiber surfaces: Surface chemical and energetic effects. *Composites Structures* 27: 65-71.
- Endo, M., Takeuchi, K., Igarashi, S., Kobori, K., Shiraishi, M., and Kroto, H.W. (1993). The production and structure of pyrolytic carbon nanotubes (PCNTs). *Journal of the Physics and Chemistry of Solids* 54: 1841-1848.
- Ebbesen, T. W., (1997). *Carbon Nanotubes (Preparation and Properties)*. Florida: CRC Press.
- Eftekhari, A.; Jafarkhani, Parvaneh; Moztarzadeh, Fathollah. (2006). High-yield synthesis of carbon nanotubes using a water-soluble catalyst support in catalytic chemical vapor deposition. *Carbon* 44: 1343.
- Furukawa, Y., Hatta, H., Kogo, Y. (2003). Interfacial shear strength of C/C composites. *Carbon* 41:1819-1826.
- Fan, S., Chapline, M.G., Franklin, N.R., Tomblor, T.w., Cassell, A.M. and Dai, H. (1999). Self-oriented regular arrays of carbon nanotubes and their field emission properties. *Science* 283: 512-514.
- Fu, S.Y., Lauke, B., Mader, E., Yue, C.Y. and Hu, X. (2000). Tensile properties of short-glass-fiber and short-carbon-fiber-reinforced polypropylene composites. *Composites Part A*:1117-1125.
- Gunjaev, G.M., Makhmutov, I.M., Sorina, T.G., Stepanycev, E.I., Surgucheva, A.I. and Finogenov, G.N. (1979). Effect of whiskerization of a polymer matrix on the creep resistance of carbon plastics under tensile stress. *Strength of Materials* 10(9): 1064-1068.
- Gordeyev, S.A., Ferreira, J.A., Bernardo, C.A. and Ward, I.M. (2001). A promising conductive material: highly oriented polypropylene filled with short vapour-grown carbon fibers. *Materials Letters* 51: 32-36.
- Hammel, E., Tang, X., Trampert, M., Schmitt, T., Mauthner, K. Eder, A. and Potschke, P. (2004). Carbon nanofibers for composites applications. *Carbon* 42: 1153-1158.

- He, X., Zhou, Y., Jia, D. And Guo, Y. (2005). *Effect of sintering additives on microstructures and mechanical properties of short-carbon-fiber-reinforced SiC composites prepared by precursor pyrolysis-hot pressing*. Harbin, China Press.
- Hegde, R.R., Dahiya, A. and Kamath, M.G. (2004). *Carbon Fibers*. Monika Kannadaguli and Haoming Rong.
- Hosseinzadeh, R., Shokrieh, M.M. and Lessard, L.(2005). Damage behavior of fiber reinforced composites plates subjected to drop weight impacts. *Composites Science and Technology* 66(1): 61-68.
- Iijima, S. (1991). Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon. *Nature* 354: 56-58
- Ismail, M.K. *Enhancement of active surface area of carbon fibers with fullerene: a novel approach for improving carbon/matrix adhesion*. Conference paper; Processing, Fabrication and Application of advanced composites; Long Beach, California; USA. 9 – 11 August, 1993.
- Iyuke, S.I., Yasin, F.M., Razi, A.F., Shamsudin, S., Mohamad, A.B. and Daud (2004). *W.R.W. FCCDVD-Coating of Multifilament Carbon Fibers by Whiskerization with Fe Catalyst*. Report Paper, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Jones, R.M. (1999). *Mechanics of Composite Materials*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Taylor & Francis.
- Jin, Z., Zhang, Z. and Meng, L. (2005). *Effects of ozone method treating carbon fibers on mechanical properties of carbon/carbon composites*. Harbin, China Press.
- Katsuki, H, Doi, Y. and Egashira, M (1987). An attempt to improve mechanical strength of carbon fiber/carbon composites by whiskerizing. *Nagasaki Daigaku Kogakubu Kenya Hokoku* 17(29): 176-183.
- Kalantar, J. and Drzal, L.T. (1990). The bonding mechanism of aramid fibers to epoxy matrices. Part I. A review of the literature. *J Material Science* 25: 4186-4193.
- Kelly, A and Tyson, W.R. (1965). Tensile properties of fibre reinforced metals: copper/tungsten and copper/molybdenum. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* 13: 329-350.
- Kishi, H., Kuwata, M., Matsuda, S., Asami, T. and Murakami, A. (2004). Damping properties of thermoplastic interleaved carbon fiber-reinforced epoxy composites. *Composites Science and Technology* 64:2517-2523.
- Kowbel, W., Bruce, C. and Withers, J.C. (1997). Effect of carbon fabric whiskerization on mechanical properties of C-C composites. *Composites Part A* 28A: 993-1000.

- Kuriger, R.J., Alam, M.K., Anderson, D.P. and Jacobsen, R.L. (2002). Processing and characterization of aligned vapor grown fiber reinforced polypropylene. *Composites Part A* 33: 53-62.
- Kumar, S., Doshi, H., Srinivasarao, M., Park, J.O. and Schiraldi, D.A. (2001). Fibers from polypropylene/nano carbon fiber composites. *Polymer* 43: 1701-1703.
- Lee, J. and Drzal, L.T. (2005). Surface characterization and adhesion of carbon fibers to epoxy and polycarbonate. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives* 25: 389-394.
- Lee, C.J., Park, J., Huh, Y. and Lee, J.Y. (2001). Temperature effect on the growth of carbon nanotubes using thermal chemical vapor deposition. *Chemical Physics Letters* 343: 33 – 38.
- Lee, Y.T., Kim, N.S., Park, J., Han, J.B., Choi, Y.S., Ryu, H., and Lee, H.J. (2003). Temperature-dependent growth of carbon nanotubes by pyrolysis of ferrocene and acetylene in the range between 700 and 1000°C. *Chemical Physics Letters* 372: 853-859.
- Lee, Y.T., Park, J., Choi, Y.S., Ryu, H., and Lee, H.J. (2002). Temperature-dependent growth of vertically aligned carbon nanotubes in the range 800-1100°C. *J. Phys. Chem.* 106: 7614-7618.
- Li, Q., Yan, H., Zhang, J., and Liu, Z. (2004). Effect of hydrocarbons precursors on the formation of carbon nanotubes in chemical vapor deposition. *Carbon* 42: 829-835.
- Li, J., Ma, H. and Huang, Y. (2005). A method for characterizes the interface between carbon fiber and epoxy resin: three-parameters exponential pattern. *Materials Chemistry and Physics* 89: 367–372.
- Li, W.Z., Wang, D.Z., Yang, S.X. Yang, Wen, J.G. and Ren, Z.F. (2000). Controlled growth of carbon nanotubes on graphite foil by chemical vapor deposition. *Chemical Physics Letters* 353: 141 – 149.
- Mahfuz, H., Adnan, A., Rangari, V.K., Jeelani, S. and Jang, B.Z. (2004). Carbon nanoparticles/whiskers reinforced composites and their tensile response. *Composites Part A* 35:519-527.
- Moisala, A., Nasibulin, A.G., Brown, D.P., Jiang, H. Khriachtchev, L. and Kauppinen, E.I. (2006). Single-wall carbon nanotube synthesis using ferrocene and iron pentacarbonyl in a laminar flow reactor. *Chemical Engineering Science* 61: 4393-4402.
- Mallick, P.K. (1993). *Fiber-Reinforced Composites*. New York: Marcel Dekkar, Inc.
- Milewski, J.V., Brook, S., Shyne, J.J. and Caldwell, N.J. (1971). Method of treating the surface of a filament. US Patent No. 3,580,731.

- Nakao, F., Takenaka, Y. and Asai, H. (1992). Surface characterization of carbon fibres and interfacial properties of carbon fibre composites. *Composites* 23: 365-372.
- O'Connell, M. J. (2006). *Carbon Nanotubes (Properties and Applications)*. Florida: Taylor and Francis.
- Proctor, A. and Sherwood, P.M.A. (1982). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic studies of carbon fibre surfaces. i. carbon fibre spectra and the effects of heat treatment. *J. Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena* 27: 39-56.
- Puri, B.R. (1970). *Chemistry and Physics of Carbon, vol 6 (edited by P.L. Walker, Jr.)*. New York: Marcel Dekkar.
- Qiu, J., An, Y., Zhao, Z., Li, Y. and Zhou, Y. (2004). Catalytic synthesis of single-walled carbon nanotubes from coal gas by chemical vapor deposition method. *Fuel Processing Technology* 85: 913– 920.
- Ramanathan, T., Bismarck, A., Schulz, E. And Subrammaniam, K. (2001). The use of a single-fiber pull-out test to investigate the influence of acidic and basic surface groups on carbon fibers on the adhesion to poly(phenylene sulfide) and matrix-morphology-dependent fracture behavior. *Composites Science and Technology* 61: 1703-1710.
- Ren, Z. F., Huang, Z. P., Xu, J. W., Wang, J. H., Bush, P., Siegel, M. P., and Provencio, P. N.(1998). Synthesis of large arrays of well-aligned carbon nanotubes on glass. *Science* 282(5391): 1105-1107.
- Ren, Z. F., Huang, Z. P., Wang, D. Z., Wen, J. G., Xu, J. W., Wang, J. H., Calvet, L. E., Chen, J., Klemic, J. F., and Reed, M. A.(1999). Growth of a single freestanding multi-wall carbon nanotube on each nano-nickel dot. *Applied Physics Letters* 75(8): 1086-1088.
- Riccardis, M.F.D., Carbone, D., Markis, T.D., Giorgi, R., Lisi, N. and Salernitano, E. (2006). Anchorage of carbon nanotubes grown on carbon fibers. *Carbon* 44: 671-674.
- Rodriguez, N.M. (1993). A review of catalytically grown carbon nanofibers. *J. Materials Research* 8(12): 3233-3249.
- Rebouillat, S. (1984). *Carbon Fibers. 3rd ed. (edited by Donnet, J.B, Wang, T.K. and Peng, J.C.M.)*. New York: Marcel Dekkar Inc.
- Smith, W.F. (2004). *Foundations of Materials Science and Engineering. 3rd ed.* New York: Mc Graw Hill.
- Steenberg, B. (1944). *Adsorption and Exchange of Ions on Activated Charcoal*. Uppsala: Almquist and Wiksells.

- Tsai, K.H., Kim, K.S. (1996). The micromechanics of fiber pull-out. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* 44: 1147-1177.
- Thostenson, E.T., Li, W.Z., Wang, D.Z., Ren, Z.F. and Chu, T.W. (2002). Carbon nanotube/carbon fiber hybrid multiscale composites. *Journal of Applied Physics* 91(9): 6034-6037.
- Wang, S., Chen, Z.H., Ma, W.J. and Ma, Q.S. (2006). Influence of heat treatment on physical–chemical properties of PAN-based carbon fiber. *Ceramics International* 32: 291–295.
- Yadav, S.N., Kumar, V., Verma, S.K. (2006). Fracture toughness behaviour of carbon fibre epoxy composite with Kevlar reinforced interleave. *Materials Science and Engineering* 132: 108–112.
- Yue, C.Y., Looi, H.C. and Quak, M.Y. (1995). Assessment of fibre-matrix adhesion and interfacial properties using the pull-out test. *Int. J. Adhesion and Adhesives* 15: 73-80.
- Yudasaka, Masako, Kikuchi, Rie, Matsui, Takeo, Ohki, Yoshimasa, Yoshimura, Susumu, and Ota, Etsuro (1995). Specific conditions for Ni catalyzed carbon nanotube growth by chemical vapor deposition. *Applied Physics Letters* 67(17): 2477-2479.
- Yudasaka, Masako, Kikuchi, Rie, Ohki, Yoshimasa, Ota, Etsuro, and Yoshimura, Susumu (1997). Behavior of Ni in carbon nanotube nucleation. *Applied Physics Letters* 70(14): 1817-1818.
- Zhandarov, S. and Mader, E. (2005). Characterization of fiber/matrix interface strength: applicability of different tests, approaches and parameters. *Composites Science and Technology* 65: 149-160.
- Zhang, H., Zhang, Z. and Breidt, C. (2004). Comparison of Short Fiber Surface Treatments on Epoxy Composites. *Composites Science and Technology* 64: 2021-2029.
- Zhang, W.D., Shen, L. Phang, I.Y., Liu, T.X. (2004). Carbon nanotubes reinforced nylon-6 prepared by a simple melt-compounding. *Macromolecules* 37: 256-259.
- Zhu, S., Su, C.H., Lehoczky, S.L., Muntele, I., and Ila, D. (2003). Carbon nanotubes growth on carbon fibers. *Diamond and Related Materials* 12: 1825-1828.
- Zoltek Companies, Inc (June 2000). User's guide for short carbon fiber composites. St. Louis, MO 63044.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon_nanotube. Assessed on 14th January 2008.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transmission_electron_microscope Assessed on 14th January 2008.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Allotropes_of_carbon Assessed on 14th January 2008.



© COPYRIGHT UPM