



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA
***THE STRUCTURAL RESPONSE OF A BUNKER
SUBJECTED TO BLAST LOADING***

MOHD NORDIN BIN MOHD PILUS

FK 2007 97

THE STRUCTURAL RESPONSE OF A BUNKER SUBJECTED TO BLAST LOADING

By

MOHD NORDIN BIN MOHD PILUS

GS 15617

A Project Report Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of the Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science in Structural Engineering and Construction

In the Faculty of Engineering

University Putra Malaysia

2007

APPROVAL SHEET

This project attached here, entitled "**THE STRUCTURAL RESPONSE OF A BUNKER SUBJECTED TO BLAST LOADING**" prepared and submitted by **MOHD NORDIN BIN MOHD PILUS (GS 15617)** in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree in Master of Science in Structural Engineering and Construction is hereby approved.

..... Date:

Supervisor

(ASSOC PROF IR DR JAMALODIN NOORZAEI)

Department of Civil Engineering, UPM

..... Date:

Panel Examiner

(ASSOC PROF IR DR MOHD SALEH BIN JAAFAR)

Department of Civil Engineering, UPM



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

.....
MOHD NORDIN BIN MOHD PILUS

Date :

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise for the almighty ALLAH S.W.T the most Benevolent and the most Merciful, for giving me the strength and spirit to have this project completed successfully.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere thanks and deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Ir Dr Jamaloddin Noorzaei for his deep insight and guidance in the preparation of this work and during the course of my studies at University Putra Malaysia. I also would like to thank Associate Professor Ir Dr Mohd Saleh Jaafar for his advices and assistance.

I sincerely express my appreciation to my beloved family; my wife Yusfida, my children Rizal, Syahirah and Syakir Haziq for their companionship, patience, understanding and continuous encouragement throughout this challenging endeavor. Finally, I dedicate this work to my beloved parents, without whom my achievements would have been impossible.

ABSTRACT

In recent years there is an increasing incidence of bomb attacks on civil engineering structures which frequently caused substantial damage or even collapse of structures. Such incidence always results in economic loss and more seriously loss of life. This clearly indicates that it is timely for structural engineers to consider blast loads in their design.

There are substantial costs incurred in designing structures against blast loads. Therefore there are only a certain category of structures which require such design in accordance to the level of protection required. In this paper a reinforced concrete bunker was used to represent such structure, whereby its structural response to an external explosion of a surface charge was investigated.

The blast load was theoretically generated based on the explosion of 300 kg of TNT at a distance of 50 meters from the structure. Both linear and non-linear analyses were conducted using a computer program considering the dead load alone as well as the combination of dead and blast loads. The program utilizes the finite element method which provides results in the form of nodal displacements and stress resultants.

As expected, the linear analysis gave lower results than the non-linear analysis. Linear analysis is only applicable in the linear elastic range and is unreliable when extreme loadings are involved. Since the blast loads caused severe effect on structures, non-linear analysis is more appropriate for the purpose.

Blast load causes a tremendous increase of stress levels in structures, indicating the severity of the loading. This is due to the fact that such load acts as moving pulses loading only a small part of the structure at a time. Therefore the structure must be design to resist the high stress levels.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
ABSTRACT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	
1.0 Introductory Remarks	1
1.1 Blast Loads	3
1.2 Nature of the Problem	5
1.3 Objectives of Study	6
1.4 Scope of Study	6
1.5 Organization of Report	7
CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE VIEW	
2.0 Introduction	9
2.1 Surface Explosion	10
2.2 Surface Blast Parameters	12
2.3 Ground Shock Wave from Surface Explosions	22
2.4 Time Lag Between Ground Shock and Blast Pressure Arrival	32
2.5 External Blast Loads on Aboveground Structures	32

2.5.1	Reflection from a Rigid Wall	34
2.5.2	Pressure Distribution Along a Rigid Wall	36
2.5.3	Blast Pressure on Roof, Side and Rear Wall	37
2.6	Blast Load on Arches	39
2.7	Concluding Remarks	43

CHAPTER 3 - METHODOLOGY

3.0	Introduction	45
3.1	Research Methodology	46
3.2	The Structure	47
3.3	Load Configuration	48
3.3.1	Dead Load	48
3.3.2	Blast Load	48
3.3.3	Overpressure on Structure	51
3.3.3.1	Front Face	52
3.3.3.2	Rear Face	54
3.4	Classical Approach	55
3.4.1	Thin Shell Theory	55
3.4.2	Internal Force System in a Shell	55
3.4.3	Membrane Theory of Cylindrical Shells	57
3.4.4	Cylindrical Shell Behavior	60
3.4.5	Beam-Arch Analysis	62
3.4.5.1	Beam Analysis	63
3.4.5.2	Arch Analysis	64

3.5	Numerical Approach	66
3.5.1	Virtual Work Method	69
3.5.2	Finite Element Formulation	72
3.5.2.1	Shape Functions	74
3.5.2.2	Strain-displacement Relationship	74
3.5.2.3	Stress-strain Relationship	76
3.6	Computer Program	76
3.7	Concluding Remarks	79
CHAPTER 4 – ANALYSIS AND RESULTS		
4.0	Introduction	80
4.1	Load Computation	
4.2.1	Dead Load	80
4.2.2	Blast Load	81
4.3	Classical Approach	84
4.4	Numerical Approach	84
4.4.1	Input Data	84
4.4.2	Result	87
4.5	Discussion of Result	
4.5.1	Linear vs Non-linear Analysis	88
4.5.2	Diffraction and Drag Loadings	89
4.5.3	Positive Phase Duration	90
4.5.4	Stress Distribution	90
4.5.5	Moment Distribution	91

4.5.6	Mode Shape	91
4.6	Concluding Remarks	91

CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.0	Conclusion	93
5.1	Design Recommendations	95
5.2	Design Philosophy	97

References		99
-------------------	--	----

Appendices:

Appendix A:	Drawings of Reinforced Concrete Bunker	A-1 to A-3
Appendix B:	Blast Load Computation	B-1
Appendix C:	Manual Calculation	C-1 to C-8
Appendix D:	Nodal Coordinates and Element Connectivity	D-1 to D-4
Appendix E:	Tabulation of Displacement Values	E-1 to E-4
Appendix F:	Tabulation of Stress Resultant Values	F-1 to F-4
Appendix G:	3-D Representation of Displacement	G-1 to G-6
Appendix H:	Stress Distribution	H-1 to H-2
Appendix I:	Moment Distribution	I-1 to I-3
Appendix J:	Design Calculation	J-1 to J-2

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE VIEW

Table 2.1:	Peak Dynamic Pressure vs Drag Coefficient	38
------------	---	----

APPENDIX B – Blast Load Computation

Table B1:	Blast load parameters due to a charge of 300 kg TNT at a distance of 50 m	B-1
Table B2:	Blast load parameters due to a charge of 500 000 kg TNT at a distance of 250 m.	B-1

APPENDIX C – Manual Calculation

Table C1:	First moment of area for each section	C-2
Table C2:	Longitudinal stress and in-plane shear stress	C-2
Table C3:	Vertical and horizontal force components	C-3
Table C4:	Summary of numerical calculations	C-5
Table C5:	Transverse moments	C-6
Table C6:	Transverse stresses	C-7
Table C7:	Stress resultants summary	C-7
Table C8:	Displacements from manual calculation	C-8
Table C9:	Displacements from numerical approach	C-8

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE VIEW

Figure 2.1:	Blast load categories	10
Figure 2.2:	Comparison of peak pressure attenuation against scaled distance	13
Figure 2.3:	Arrival time vs scaled distance	15
Figure 2.4:	Typical free-air pressure-time history	15
Figure 2.5:	Duration of pressure rise to peak value	16
Figure 2.6:	Duration of pressure decay to ambient pressure	17
Figure 2.7:	Positive phase duration for 1000 kg of TNT	18
Figure 2.8:	Comparison of simulated and predicted air blast pressure	21
Figure 2.9:	Simulated acceleration time history on rock surface at 10 m distance from charge.	24
Figure 2.10:	Simulated PPA attenuation on rock surface vs scaled distance.	24
Figure 2.11:	The envelope function for simulated acceleration time history on rock surface at 10 m distance with a 2 ton charge	25
Figure 2.12:	Definition of stress wave duration.	28
Figure 2.13:	Definition of principal frequency (PF)	28
Figure 2.14:	Principal frequency of shock wave on rock surface under different charge weight	30

Figure 2.15: Predicted and simulated power spectrum of an acceleration time history	31
Figure 2.16: Ratio of horizontal peak reflected pressure to peak free air pressure	34
Figure 2.17: Reflected pressure distribution along a rigid wall	35
Figure 2.18: Shock wave on a surface due to a surface burst	37
Figure 2.19: Blast load on arch structure	42
Figure 2.20: Variation of reflected coefficient with reflection/incident angle.	43
 CHAPTER 3 - METHODOLOGY	
Figure 3.1 Research Methodology Flow Chart	46
Figure 3.2: Side-on blast wave parameters for spherical charges of TNT in free air	50
Figure 3.3: Structure profile in relation to blast source	51
Figure 3.4: Front face loading	53
Figure 3.5: Reflected pressure coefficient (C_r) vs angle of incidence (α)	54
Figure 3.6: Internal force resultants in a shell element	56
Figure 3.7: Membrane and bending resultant force fields	57
Figure 3.8: Cylindrical shell geometry	58
Figure 3.9: Free body diagram of a cylindrical membrane	58
Figure 3.10: Beam action of long cylindrical vaults	61
Figure 3.11: Shear force mechanism of applied forces to the end	

arches

Figure 3.12: Shear force mechanism of load transfer to longitudinal edge beam. 62

Figure 3.13: Typical cross-section of a hemispherical shell. 62

Figure 3.14: Free-body diagram of a transverse arch of a shell 63

Figure 3.15: Convergence of FEM Model 65

Figure 3.16: Eighteen-node and nine-node element 67

Figure 3.17: Nine-node isoparametric element 68

Figure 3.18: Model of the structure 72

73

CHAPTER 4 – ANALYSIS AND RESULT

Figure 4.1: Transverse profile of a structure 81

Figure 4.2: Blast load on structure 84

Figure 4.3: Equivalent static load as input to computer program 86

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introductory Remarks

There is growing interest nowadays in designing civil engineering structures to withstand dynamic loads. Dynamic loads refer to any load whose magnitude, direction and position vary with time. Similarly, the responses of a structure (the resulting displacements and stresses) to such loads are also time-varying. Examples of dynamic loads are impact, explosion, earthquake, wind, waves and loads due to unbalanced mass in a rotating machine. All loads in nature are time-dependent, but in most cases the variation is slow compared to the natural period of vibration of the structure which implies that static conditions can be assumed. Thus, whether a load varies slowly or fast is only in relation to the time required for the structure to complete a full cycle of vibration.

Dynamic loads can be further categorized as periodic or non-periodic loadings. Periodic loadings are repetitive loads which exhibit the same time-variation successively for a large number of cycles. Non-periodic loadings may be either short-duration (impulsive) or long-duration

general forms of loads. A blast or explosion is a typical source of impulsive load. For such short-duration loads, special simplified forms of analysis may be employed. For long-duration load such as earthquake, it can be treated only by general dynamic analysis procedure.

Dynamic analyses essentially focus on evaluation of time dependent displacements, from which the stress state of the structure under consideration can be computed. In these analyses the dynamic properties of the structure are required, primarily the natural period of vibration which is a function of the structure's mass and stiffness and the amount of damping.

In modeling of structures for structural dynamic problems, the structures are regarded as distributed or continuous mass or discrete or lumped mass. The distributed mass concept is realistic but difficult and involves lengthy process. The lumped-mass concept provides a simple means of limiting the number of degrees of freedom that must be considered and leads to an approximate solution to the problem. It is most effective in treating systems in which a large proportion of the total mass is concentrated at a few discrete points such as frame structures.

1.1 Blast Loads

The need to consider blast load in the design of certain important structures has increased significantly in the recent years, due to the enhanced use of explosives for security as well as terrorist attacks. The origin of the blast can either be within or outside the structure, thus giving rise to the terms internal and external blasts. This paper attempts to analyze the structural response of a reinforced concrete bunker which is an arch structure when subjected to an external blast of a charge placed on the ground (surface burst).

The basic difference between blast loads and other dynamic loads is that the blast loads are moving pulse loads, loading different part of the structure at different times, with varying magnitudes and durations, depending on the distance and angle of incidence. The shock front due to a surface burst is hemispherical in shape with its parameters such as side-on overpressure (p_{so}), positive phase duration (T_s) and arrival time (t) being functions of the stand-off distance (radial distance) of the target.

The pressure pulses generated by detonations on the ground are transmitted through the air and soil. When a shock front reaches an obstacle such as a building, there is an abrupt pressure rise to a

maximum (shock-like) followed by decay to below atmospheric pressure which is generally neglected except when a rebound analysis of a roof is required.

The transient, impulsive dynamic loading of external blasts may act locally over building parts or over the entire structure, depending on the duration of loading. When the blast loading is of short duration (or blast source is very near to the structure) only the closest structural parts to the detonation source will be acted on by the blast loading. On the contrary, if the blast duration is long such as large nuclear weapons or other extremely large explosions, it can be considered to act over the entire building at the same time with the same time history.

The blast loads on arch surfaces are functions of time as well as space, since the incident angle which fixes the reflection coefficient continuously changes with the traverse of the shock front. Dynamic analysis using the lumped mass concept is very complicated since the mass is continuous over the structure. A simplified method of evaluating the blast response is to represent the blast load as an equivalent static load. A more rigorous approach is to treat the blast pressure as a moving triangular pulse traversing the curved surface. In this paper the equivalent static load method is adopted.

1.2 Nature of the Problem

In the last decade, there has been an increasing incidence of bomb attack on modern societies. Therefore it is becoming more evident that structural engineers need to consider blast loadings in their design in order to protect the societies from such attacks.

An explosion generates extreme loads which in most cases result in severe damage or even collapse of structures. As a consequence, it always causes economic loss and usually loss of life and unavoidable psychological impact on societies. In order to reduce the consequences of such threat, a clear understanding of structural response and damage characteristics due to explosive loads is essential.

The requirement for protection against blast loadings depend on the likelihood of a particular building will be subjected to such loadings, established by means of a threat analysis. This indicates that only certain critical and important buildings require such protection. Furthermore there is a cost associated with each level of protection provided. In this study, a reinforced concrete bunker is used to represent such buildings for the purpose to demonstrate the effect of blast loading on structures. Furthermore the arch structure is among

the leading structural forms employed for protection from weapon effects.

1.3 Objectives of Study

The primary objectives of this study are:

- (a) To propose a computational model to simulate geometry and constitutive non-linearity.
- (b) To investigate the structural response of a reinforced concrete bunker when subjected to the explosion of a surface charge.
- (c) To suggest procedures and factors to be considered for design purposes.

1.4 Scope of Study

In the investigation of the structural response of a reinforced concrete bunker subjected to a blast load, the study was conducted within the following scope:

- (a) Reviewing the literature to establish the current state of knowledge on the nature and characteristics of loads generated by detonation of high explosives.

(b) Establishing the loads to be applied to the structure which comprise of the dead load of materials and the blast loads generated by detonation of a surface charge.

(c) Using the Finite Element Method through shell elements with the features of material non-linearity and crack analysis capability.

1.5 Organization of Report

In order to achieve the objectives of the study, this report was written and organized in the following manner:

(a) Chapter 1 - Introduce the subject matter and provides general description of the problem of interest.

(b) Chapter 2 - Presents a general view of blast loads with the aim to keep abreast with the current state of knowledge with regards to the nature and characteristics of blast loads.

(c) Chapter 3 - This chapter presents in detail the methodology adopted in the study. The problem was approached through the classical method as well as the numerical method which involved the finite element formulation of the problem. This chapter also provides

the detail procedure in calculating the blast loads which serve as data for the analyses.

(d) Chapter 4 - In this chapter the analyses both linear and non-linear were carried out and the results presented, compared and discussed.

(e) Chapter 5 - The last chapter provides conclusions drawn from the study. Recommendations for future studies and research are also provided.

REFERENCES

1. Blast Effects on Buildings, Edited by G.C. Mays and P.D. Smith, Thomas Telford, London, 1995.
2. Blast and Ballistic Loading of Structures, P.D. Smith and J.G. Hetherington, Butterworth Heinemann, Oxford, 1994.
3. Explosive Loading of Engineering Structures, P.S. Bulson, E & FN SPON, London, 1997.
4. Design of Buildings to withstand abnormal loading, Béla Goschy, Butterworths, 1990.
5. Abnormal Loading on Structures - Experimental and Numerical Modelling, Edited by K.S. Viridi, R.S. Matthews, J.L. Clarke and F.K. Garas, E & FN Spon, London, 2000.
6. Shock and Impact on Structures, Edited by C.A. Brebbia and V. Sánchez-Gálvez, Computational Mechanics Publications, Southampton, 1994.

7. Design and Analysis of Shell Structures, M. Farshad, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, 1992.
8. Thin Shell Concrete Structures, David P. Billington, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1982.
9. Design of Reinforced Concrete Structures, Henry J. Cowan, Prentice-Hall Inc., New Jersey, 1982.
10. Fundamentals of Reinforced Concrete, John N. Cernica, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Inc., 1964.
11. Impact and Explosion – Analysis and Design, M.Y.H. Bangash, Blackwell Scientific Publications, 1993.
12. The Dynamics of Explosion and Its Use, Josef Henrych, Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague, 1979.
13. Dynamic Loading and Design of Structures (Chapter 6), Edited by A.J. Kappos, Spon Press, London, 2002.

14. Technical Manual (TM-5-855-1), Fundamentals of Protective Design for Conventional Weapons, Headquarters, Washington DC: Department of the United States Army; 1990.

15. A.K. Pandley, Ram Kumar, D.K. Paul and D.N. Trikha, 2005, " Non-linear Response of Reinforced Concrete Containment Structure Under Blast Loading", Journal of Nuclear Engineering and Design 236 (2006), pp 993-1002.

16. Chengqing Wu and Hong Hao, 2006, "Numerical Simulation of Structural Response and Damage to Simultaneous Ground Shock and Air Blast Loads", International Journal of Impact Engineering 34 (2007), pp 556-572.

17. Chengqing Wu and Hong Hao, 2004, "Modelling of Simultaneous Ground Shock and Air Blast Pressure on Nearby Structures from Surface Explosions", International Journal of Impact Engineering 31 (2005), pp 699-717.

18. Q.M. Li and Norman Jones, 1993, "Blast Loading of a Short Cylindrical Shell with Transverse Shear Effects", International Journal of Impact Engineering 16 (1995), pp 331-353.

19. M.V. Dharaneepathy, M.N. Keshava Rao and A.R. Santhakumar, 1993, "Critical Distance for Blast-resistant Design", Journal of Computers and Structures 54 (1995), pp 587-595.

20. F.B.A. Beshara 1992, "Modelling of Blast Loading on Aboveground Structures – General Phenomenon and External Blast", Journal of Computers and Structures 51 (1994), pp 585-596.

21. M.V. Dharaneepathy, M.N. Keshava Rao and A.R. Santhakumar 1991, "The Influence of Geometry on the Blast Response of Circular Arches", Journal of Computers and Structures 45 (1992), pp 755-763.

22. J. Jiang and M.D. Olson 1990, "Non-linear Dynamic Analysis of Blast Loaded Cylindrical Shell Structures", Journal of Computers and Structures 41 (1991), pp 41-52.