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Saplings of Acacia mangium, Shorea roxburghii, Dyera costulata, Eusideroxylon zwageri and Cinnamomum iners were grown at 4%, 7%, 25%, 50% and 100% relative light intensities (RLIs) and their growth was monitored by rate of increment of height and diameter, rate of production of new leaves and leaf life span. Leaves were analysed for their content of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), magnesium (Mg), calcium (Ca), chlorine (Cl), sulphur (S), boron (B), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn). For each RLI, two samples of leaves were taken for analysis: young fully expanded leaves and old about-to-shed leaves. From these analyses, the fate of nutrients was determined.

Acacia mangium and Cinnamomum iners were found to grow best at 100% RLI, Shorea roxburghii at 50% RLI and, Dyera costulata and Eusideroxylon zwageri at 25% RLI. Acacia mangium at 100% RLI had the highest weekly height and diameter increment of 16.15 cm and 0.77 cm respectively. This is 4 times higher than the second best growth species, Shorea roxburghii at
50% RLI and 21 times higher than the slowest growth species, *Eusideroxylon zwageri* at 25% RLI.

*Acacia mangium* at 100% RLI had the highest mean leaf production rate per week on leaders and branches, of 1.70 and 1.60 leaves respectively. This is 3 times higher than the second best species, *Shorea roxburghii* at 50% RLI and 17 times higher than the slowest growing species, *Eusideroxylon zwageri* at 25% RLI.

At 100% RLI, *Acacia mangium* had the shortest average leaf life span, of 130 days on leaders and 124 days on branches. In general, average leaf life span increased with reduction in RLI for all species.

A fast-growing plant is associated with higher height increment, higher diameter increment, higher mean leaf production and shorter leaf life span.

The level of NPK for these species in this study shared a similar range with major agricultural crops in Malaysia. The highest rate of NPK incorporation (g per week) was found in *Acacia mangium*, followed by *Dyera costulata*, and it was relatively low for other species.

The levels of NPK were higher in young leaves than the old leaves for all species at all RLIs. *Dyera costulata* seems to withdraw more than 60% of NPK and *Shorea roxburghii* more than 60% of P from old leaves before they shed. All species did not withdraw Mn and Zn.
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Anak pokok Acacia mangium, Shorea roxburghii, Dyera costulata, Eusideroxylon zwageri dan Cinnamomum iners didedah pada kadar penerimaan cahaya (RLI) 4%, 7%, 25%, 50% and 100%. Pertumbuhan mereka dikawal dengan kadar pertumbuhan dalam ketinggian and garis pusat, kadar pertumbuhan daun baru dan umur daun. Daun-daun dianalisis untuk nitrogen (N), fosforus (P), kaliam (K), magnesiam (Mg), kalsiam (Ca), klorin (Cl), sulfur (S), boron (B), manganese (Mn), kuprum (Cu) dan zink (Zn). Untuk setiap kadar penerimaan cahaya, dua sampel daun diambil untuk analisis: daun muda yang penuh berkembang and daun tua yang akan gugur. Daripada analisis ini, nutrien dalam daun akan ditentukan.

Tumbuhan tumbuh paling baik di bawah kadar penerimaan cahaya yang tertentu. Acacia mangium and Cinnamomum iners tumbuh paling baik pada 100% RLI, Shorea roxburghii pada 50% RLI dan, Dyera costulata dan Eusideroxylon zwageri pada 25% RLI. Acacia mangium pada 100% RLI mempunyai pertumbuhan ketinggian dan garis pusat mingguan yang paling
baik, iaitu 16.15 sm dan 0.77 sm masing-masing. Ini adalah 4 kali lebih tinggi dari *Shorea roxburghii* yang bertumbuh kedua paling baik pada 50% RLI dan 21 kali lebih tinggi dari *Eusideroxylon zwageri* yang paling lambat pertumbuhan pada 25% RLI.

*Acacia mangium* mempunyai umur daun yang paling pendek, iaitu 130 hari atas pucuk dan 124 hari atas batang. Umur daun bertambah dengan kadar penerimaan cahaya yang rendah. Sebahagian species belum gugur daun mereka lagi pada kadar penerimaan cahaya yang rendah.

Pokok yang cepat tumbuh biasanya dikaitkan dengan pertambahan ketinggian yang lebih tinggi, pertambahan garis pusat yang lebih tinggi, kadar pertambahan daun baru yang lebih tinggi dan jangka hayat daun yang pendek.

Kadar NPK adalah lebih tinggi di dalam daun muda daripada daun yang akan gugur untuk semua species pada semua kadar penerimaan cahaya. Juga didapati bahawa kadar NPK dalam eksperimen ini hampir sama dengan pokok dalam pertanian di Malaysia. Kadar NPK yang paling tinggi (g seminggu) untuk 100 pucuk yang sedang tumbuh ialah *Acacia mangium*, diikuti oleh *Dyera costulata* dan kadar rendah untuk species lain. *Shorea roxburghii* menarik balik 60% P dan *Dyera costulata* menarik balik lebih dari 60% NPK sebelum daun gugur dan jatuh. Semua species tidak menarik balik Mn dan Zn.
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