



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**EFFECT OF LIGHT LEVEL ON GROWTH AND SHOOT DEVELOPMENT  
OF FIVE SPECIES OF TROPICAL SAPLINGS**

**TONG PEI SIN**

**FH 2006 8**



**EFFECT OF LIGHT LEVEL ON GROWTH AND SHOOT DEVELOPMENT  
OF FIVE SPECIES OF TROPICAL SAPLINGS**

**By**

**TONG PEI SIN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science**

**April 2006**



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**EFFECT OF LIGHT LEVEL ON GROWTH AND SHOOT DEVELOPMENT  
FOR FIVE SPECIES OF TROPICAL SAPLINGS**

By

**TONG PEI SIN**

**April 2006**

**Chairman: Jamaluddin Basharuiddin, PhD**

**Faculty: Forestry**

Saplings of *Acacia mangium*, *Shorea roxburghii*, *Dyera costulata*, *Eusideroxylon zwageri* and *Cinnamomum iners* were grown at 4%, 7%, 25%, 50% and 100% relative light intensities (RLIs) and their growth was monitored by rate of increment of height and diameter, rate of production of new leaves and leaf life span. Leaves were analysed for their content of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), magnesium (Mg), calcium (Ca), chlorine (Cl), sulphur (S), boron (B), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn). For each RLI, two samples of leaves were taken for analysis: young fully expanded leaves and old about-to-shed leaves. From these analyses, the fate of nutrients was determined.

*Acacia mangium* and *Cinnamomum iners* were found to grow best at 100% RLI, *Shorea roxburghii* at 50% RLI and, *Dyera costulata* and *Eusideroxylon zwageri* at 25% RLI. *Acacia mangium* at 100% RLI had the highest weekly height and diameter increment of 16.15 cm and 0.77 cm respectively. This is 4 times higher than the second best growth species, *Shorea roxburghii* at

50% RLI and 21 times higher than the slowest growth species, *Eusideroxylon zwageri* at 25% RLI.

*Acacia mangium* at 100% RLI had the highest mean leaf production rate per week on leaders and branches, of 1.70 and 1.60 leaves respectively. This is 3 times higher than the second best species, *Shorea roxburghii* at 50% RLI and 17 times higher than the slowest growing species, *Eusideroxylon zwageri* at 25% RLI.

At 100% RLI, *Acacia mangium* had the shortest average leaf life span, of 130 days on leaders and 124 days on branches. In general, average leaf life span increased with reduction in RLI for all species.

A fast-growing plant is associated with higher height increment, higher diameter increment, higher mean leaf production and shorter leaf life span.

The level of NPK for these species in this study shared a similar range with major agricultural crops in Malaysia. The highest rate of NPK incorporation (g per week) was found in *Acacia mangium*, followed by *Dyera costulata*, and it was relatively low for other species.

The levels of NPK were higher in young leaves than the old leaves for all species at all RLIs. *Dyera costulata* seems to withdraw more than 60% of NPK and *Shorea roxburghii* more than 60% of P from old leaves before they shed. All species did not withdraw Mn and Zn.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**KESAN CAHAYA KEATAS PERTUMBUHAN DAN PERKEMBANGAN  
POKOK UNTUK LIMA SPECIES POKOK KECIL**

Oleh

**TONG PEI SIN**

**April 2006**

**Pengerusi: Jamaluddin Basharuddin, PhD**

**Fakulti: Perhutanan**

Anak pokok *Acacia mangium*, *Shorea roxburghii*, *Dyera costulata*, *Eusidexylon zwageri* dan *Cinnamomum iners* didedah pada kadar penerimaan cahaya (RLI) 4%, 7%, 25%, 50% and 100%. Pertumbuhan mereka dikawal dengan kadar pertumbuhan dalam ketinggian and garis pusat, kadar pertumbuhan daun baru dan umur daun. Daun-daun dianalisis untuk nitrogen (N), fosforus (P), kaliam (K), magnesiam (Mg), kalsiam (Ca), klorin (Cl), sulfur (S), boron (B), manganese (Mn), kuprum (Cu) dan zink (Zn). Untuk setiap kadar penerimaan cahaya, dua sampel daun diambil untuk analisis: daun muda yang penuh berkembang and daun tua yang akan gugur. Daripada analisis ini, nutrien dalam daun akan ditentukan.

Tumbuhan tumbuh paling baik di bawah kadar penerimaan cahaya yang tertentu. *Acacia mangium* and *Cinnamomum iners* tumbuh paling baik pada 100% RLI, *Shorea roxburghii* pada 50% RLI dan, *Dyera costulata* dan *Eusideroxylon zwageri* pada 25% RLI. *Acacia mangium* pada 100% RLI mempunyai pertumbuhan ketinggian dan garis pusat mingguan yang paling

baik, yaitu 16.15 sm dan 0.77 sm masing-masing. Ini adalah 4 kali lebih tinggi dari *Shorea roxburghii* yang bertumbuh kedua paling baik pada 50% RLI dan 21 kali lebih tinggi dari *Eusideroxylon zwageri* yang paling lambat pertumbuhan pada 25% RLI.

*Acacia mangium* mempunyai umur daun yang paling pendek, yaitu 130 hari atas pucuk dan 124 hari atas batang. Umur daun bertambah dengan kadar penerimaan cahaya yang rendah. Sebahagian species belum gugur daun mereka lagi pada kadar penerimaan cahaya yang rendah.

Pokok yang cepat tumbuh biasanya dikaitkan dengan pertambahan ketinggian yang lebih tinggi, pertambahan garis pusat yang lebih tinggi, kadar pertambahan daun baru yang lebih tinggi dan jangka hayat daun yang pendek.

Kadar NPK adalah lebih tinggi di dalam daun muda daripada daun yang akan gugur untuk semua species pada semua kadar penerimaan cahaya. Juga didapati bahawa kadar NPK dalam eksperimen ini hampir sama dengan pokok dalam pertanian di Malaysia. Kadar NPK yang paling tinggi (g seminggu) untuk 100 pucuk yang sedang tumbuh ialah *Acacia mangium*, diikuti oleh *Dyera costulata* dan kadar rendah untuk species lain. *Shorea roxburghii* menarik balik 60% P dan *Dyera costulata* menarik balik lebih dari 60% NPK sebelum daun gugur dan jatuh. Semua species tidak menarik balik Mn dan Zn.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who continued in an enormous manner in making this study of mine a success.

I am most grateful to my supervisor, Dr. Jamaluddin Basharuddin, for his invaluable contributions and advice throughout the study. I am deeply indebted to Dr. Francis Ng whose stimulating suggestions and encouragement have tremendously helped me in the research and writing components. Without him, the scientific value of the study would have diminished greatly. The effort and assistance offered by Dr. Lim Meng Tsai, Dr. Ahmad Ainuddin Nuruddin and Dr. Daniel Baskaran Krishnapillay are also much appreciated.

I would also like to acknowledge the financial support provided by the Forest Research Institute Malaysia (FRIM). My heartfelt thanks go to Bandar Utama City Centre (BUCC) Sdn. Bhd. for their permission to use their study site and light meter equipment. Additionally, I would like to thank Fujisawa Scholarship awarded through Kajang Rotary Club.

The episode of acknowledgement would not be complete without the mention of a number of individuals: Dato' Dr. Hj. Wan Razali Wan Mohd., Dr. Hoi Why Kong, Dr. Gary William Theseira and my family members, whose unfailing support, direct or otherwise, has enabled me to complete my thesis in time.

Last but not least, my friends and colleagues who have provided much inspiration and motivation over the past several years. Their contribution may have been less academic but no less important to me. For that, I truly cherish their presence in my life.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 28/08/06 to conduct the final examination of Tong Pei Sin on her degree thesis entitled “Effect of Light Level on Growth and Shoot Development of Five Species of Tropical Saplings” in accordance with the Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Committee are as follows:

**Chairman, PhD**

Associate Professor Azmy Hj. Mohamed  
Faculty of Forestry  
University Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Examiner 1, PhD**

Professor Noraini Binti Ab Shukor  
Faculty of Forestry  
University Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Examiner 2, PhD**

Associate Professor Mohd Fauzi Bin Ramlan  
Faculty of Agriculture  
University Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**External Examiner, PhD**

Mohd Haniff Harun  
Malaysian Palm Oil Board  
(Independent Examiner)

---

**HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor/Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 22<sup>nd</sup> Nov 2006



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

**Jamaluddin Basharuddin , PhD**

Lecturer  
Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Ahmad Ainuddin Nuruddin, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Francis Ng, PhD**

Forest Research Institute of Malaysia (FRIM)  
Kuala Lumpur  
(Member)

**Danieal Baskaran Krishnapillay, PhD**

Forest Research Institute of Malaysia (FRIM)  
Kuala Lumpur  
(Member)

---

**AINI IDERIS, PhD**  
Professor/Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

---

**TONG PEI SIN**

Date: 20<sup>th</sup> April 2006

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iv
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	vi
<b>APPROVAL</b>	viii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	x
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xxiv
<b>GLOSSARY OF BOTANICAL TERMS</b>	xxviii
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b>	1
1.1 Objectives	4
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	5
2.1 Tree Architecture	5
2.2 Growth Rate of Tropical Tree Species at Different RLIs	9
2.3 Leaf Production and Leaf Life Span	10
2.4 Foliar Nutrient Content	12
2.5 Application of Foliar Nutrient Analysis for Agricultural Crops in Malaysia	15
<b>3 METHODOLOGY</b>	18
3.1 General Concept	18
3.2 Systematic versus Randomized Arrangement	21
3.3 Location and Structure of the Research Facility	21
3.4 Environmental Factors	22
3.4.1 Light	22
3.4.2 Soil	24
3.4.3 Water	26
3.4.4 Species	26
3.4.5 Neighbouring species	28
3.5 Parameters	28
3.5.1 Height and diameter	28
3.5.2 Rate of leaf production and leaf life span	30
3.5.3 Leaf nutrient content	33
3.5.4 Test of consistency	36
3.5.5 Internal nutrient recycling of NPK	37

3.5.6 Rate of incorporation of NPK	38
3.6 Analysis of data	38
<b>4 RESULTS</b>	<b>39</b>
4.1 <i>Acacia mangium</i>	39
4.1.1 Establishment	40
4.1.2 The leader shoot	41
4.1.3 The branch shoot	55
4.1.4 Dry matter content	59
4.1.5 Foliar nutrient analysis	59
4.1.6 Internal recycling and rate of incorporation of NPK in growing shoots	62
4.1.7 Summary	65
4.2 <i>Shorea roxburghii</i>	66
4.2.1 Establishment	66
4.2.2 The leader shoot	67
4.2.3 The branch shoot	77
4.2.4 Dry matter content	81
4.2.5 Foliar nutrient analysis	81
4.2.6 Internal recycling and rates of incorporation of NPK in growing shoots	84
4.2.7 Summary	87
4.3 <i>Eusideroxylon zwageri</i>	88
4.3.1 Establishment	89
4.3.2 The leader shoot	90
4.3.3 The branches	100
4.3.4 Dry matter content	105
4.3.5 Foliar nutrient analysis	105
4.3.6 Internal recycling and rates of incorporation of NPK in growing shoots	108
4.3.7 Summary	111
4.4 <i>Dyera costulata</i>	112
4.4.1 Establishment	112
4.4.2 The leader shoot	113
4.4.3 The branch shoot	123
4.4.4 Dry matter content	125
4.4.5 Foliar nutrient analysis	126
4.4.6 Internal recycling and rate of incorporation of NPK in growing shoots	129
4.4.7 Summary	132

4.5 <i>Cinnamomum iners</i>	133
4.5.1 Establishment	133
4.5.2 The leader shoot	134
4.5.3 The branch	143
4.5.4 Dry matter content	144
4.5.5 Foliar nutrient analysis	144
4.5.6 Internal recycling and rate of incorporation of NPK in growing shoots	147
4.5.7 Summary	150
<b>5 DISCUSSION</b>	151
5.1 Vertical Orientation and Branch Development on the Leader Shoot	151
5.2 Influence of Light on Height and Diameter Increment	154
5.3 Influence of Light on Leaf/flush/node Production Rate	161
5.4 Influence of Light on Average Leaf Life Span	165
5.5 Dry Matter Content, NPK Levels in Leaves, Rate of Incorporation of NPK and Internal Recycling	169
<b>6 CONCLUSION</b>	177
<b>REFERENCES</b>	179
<b>APPENDICES</b>	189
<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>	277

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1 Properties of macronutrients and micronutrients	14
3.1 Description of the five shade treatments	24
3.2 The five studied species	28
3.3 Study period of leaf production on the leader shoots and branches for the five species	32
3.4. Contents of major nutrient elements on oven-dried and silica-gel dried methods	34
3.5 Methods used in foliar nutrient analysis by AAR laboratory	35
3.6 Leaf nutrient consistency test for two homogenous samples of <i>Shorea roxburghii</i>	37
4.1 Height (cm) at which the new leader shoot arose, against initial height of the plants	42
4.2 <i>A. mangium</i> : Number of branches produced / total number of leaves on leader shoots	46
4.3 One-way ANOVA on mean height increment of <i>A. mangium</i>	47
4.4 One-way ANOVA on mean diameter increment of <i>A. mangium</i>	48
4.5 One-way ANOVA on mean node production of <i>A. mangium</i>	48
4.6 Leader shoots: mean height increment (cm), mean diameter increment (cm), mean leaf production (number of leaves) and mean internode length (cm) and S.D. (in parentheses) of <i>A. mangium</i> at different RLIs over 16 weeks	48

4.7 The average rates of leaf production on the leader shoots of <i>A. mangium</i>	51
4.8 Stunted leaves on the leader shoots of <i>A. mangium</i>	53
4.9 Life span for fully expanded and matured normal leaves on the leader shoots for <i>A. mangium</i> (summarized from Table B5 in Appendix B)	54
4.10 The average rates of leaf production on the branches of <i>A. mangium</i>	56
4.11 Stunted leaves on the branches of <i>A. mangium</i>	57
4.12 Life span for fully expanded and matured normal leaves on the branches of <i>A. mangium</i> (summarized from Table B6 in Appendix B)	58
4.13 Data on nutrient contents of young and old leaves of <i>A. mangium</i> (discrepant values highlighted)	61
4.14 Weight of NPK (g) per leaf of <i>A. mangium</i> at different RLIs	63
4.15 Weight (g) of NPK in young and old leaves compared, irrespective of RLI for <i>A. mangium</i>	64
4.16 Rate of incorporation of NPK (g per week per shoot) in growing shoots at the optimum growth rate, 100% RLI	64
4.17 Percentage on number of branches produced / total number of leaves on leader shoots	68
4.18 One-way ANOVA on mean height increment of <i>S. roxburghii</i>	69
4.19 One-way ANOVA on mean diameter increment of <i>S. roxburghii</i>	70
4.20 One-way ANOVA on mean node production of <i>S. roxburghii</i>	70



4.21 Leader shoots: mean height increment (cm), mean diameter increment (cm), mean leaf production (number of leaves), mean internode length (cm) and S.D. (in parentheses) of <i>S. roxburghii</i> at different RLIs over 38 weeks	73
4.22 The average rates of leaf production on the leader shoots of <i>S. roxburghii</i>	73
4.23 Leaf life span for fully expanded and matured normal leaves on the leader shoots of <i>S. roxburghii</i> (as of 92 <sup>nd</sup> week) (summarized from Table C5 in Appendix C)	76
4.24 The average rates of leaf production on the branches of <i>S. roxburghii</i>	78
4.25 Leaf life span for fully expanded and matured normal leaves on the branches of <i>S. roxburghii</i> (as of 92 <sup>nd</sup> week) (summarized from Table C6 in Appendix C)	80
4.26 Data on nutrient contents of young and old leaves of <i>S. roxburghii</i> (discrepant value highlighted)	83
4.27 Mean weight of NPK (g) per leaf of <i>S. roxburghii</i> under different RLIs	85
4.28 Weight (g) of NPK in young and old leaves compared, irrespective of RLI for <i>S. roxburghii</i>	86
4.29 Rate of incorporation of NPK (g per week per shoot) in growing shoots at the optimum growth rate, 50% RLI	86
4.30 Number of branches produced / total number of leaf axils on on leader shoots	91
4.31 One-way ANOVA on mean height increment of <i>E. zwageri</i>	92
4.32 One-way ANOVA on mean diameter increment of <i>E. zwageri</i>	92
4.33 One-way ANOVA on mean node production of <i>E. zwageri</i>	92

4.34 Leader shoots: mean height increment (cm), mean diameter increment (cm), mean leaf production (number of leaves), mean internode length (cm) and S.D. (in parentheses) of <i>E. zwageri</i> at different RLIs over 65 weeks	92
4.35 The average rates of leaf production on leader shoots of <i>E. zwageri</i>	95
4.36 Stunted leaves on leader shoots of <i>E. zwageri</i>	97
4.37 Leaf life span for fully expanded and matured normal leaves on the leader shoots of <i>E. zwageri</i> (as of 92 <sup>nd</sup> week) (summarized from Table D2 in Appendix D)	99
4.38 The average rates of leaf production on the branches of <i>E. zwageri</i>	101
4.39 Stunted leaves on branches of <i>E. zwageri</i>	102
4.40 Leaf life span for fully expanded and matured normal leaves on the branches of <i>E. zwageri</i> (as of 92 <sup>nd</sup> week) (summarized from Table D6 in Appendix D)	104
4.41 Data on nutrient contents of young and old leaves of <i>E. zwageri</i> (discrepant value highlighted)	107
4.42 Mean weight of NPK (g) per leaf of <i>E. zwageri</i> under different RLIs	109
4.43 Weight (g) of NPK in young and old leaves compared, irrespective of RLI for <i>E. zwageri</i>	110
4.44 Rate of incorporation of NPK (g per week per shoot) in growing shoots at the optimum growth rate, 25% RLI	110
4.45 One-way ANOVA on mean height increment of <i>D. costulata</i>	115
4.46 One-way ANOVA on mean diameter increment of <i>D. costulata</i>	115

4.47 One-way ANOVA on mean node production of <i>D. costulata</i>	115
4.48 Leader shoots: mean height increment (cm), mean diameter increment (cm), mean leaf flush production (number of flushed), mean internode length (cm) and S.D. (in parentheses) of <i>D. costulata</i> at different RLIs over 64 weeks	116
4.49 The average rates of flush production on the leader shoots of <i>D. costulata</i>	118
4.50 Life span for fully and expanded and matured normal whorls on leader shoots of <i>D. costulata</i> (as of 92 <sup>nd</sup> week) (summarized from Table E5 in Appendix E)	122
4.51 The average rates of flushes production on the first-order branches of <i>D. costulata</i>	124
4.52 Life span for fully expanded and matured whorls on branches of <i>D. costulata</i> (as of 92 <sup>nd</sup> week) (summarized from Table E6 in Appendix E)	125
4.53 Data on nutrient contents of young and old leaves of <i>D. costulata</i> (discrepant value highlighted)	128
4.54 Mean weight of NPK (g) per leaf of <i>D. costulata</i> under different RLIs	130
4.55 Weight (g) of NPK in young and old leaves compared, irrespective of RLI for <i>D. costulata</i>	131
4.56 Rate of incorporation of NPK (g per week per shoot) in growing shoots at the optimum growth rate, 25% RLI	131
4.57 One-way ANOVA on mean height increment of <i>C. iners</i>	138
4.58 One-way ANOVA on mean diameter increment of <i>C. iners</i>	138
4.59 One-way ANOVA on mean flush production of <i>C. iners</i>	138

4.60 Leader shoots: mean height increment (cm), mean diameter increment (cm), leaf flushes production (number of flushes) and mean flush length (cm) and S.D. (in parentheses) of <i>C. iners</i> at different RLIs over 61 weeks	139
4.61 The average rates of leaf flushes production on the leader shoots of <i>C. iners</i>	141
4.62 The average rates of flushing production on the branches of <i>C. iners</i>	143
4.63 Data on nutrient contents of young and old leaves of <i>C. iners</i> (discrepant value highlighted)	146
4.64 Mean weight of NPK (g) per leaf of <i>C. iners</i> under different RLIs	148
4.65 Weight (g) of NPK in young and old leaves compared, irrespective of RLI for <i>C. iners</i>	149
4.66 Rate of incorporation of NPK (g per week per shoot) in growing shoots at the optimum growth rate, 100% RLI	149
5.1 Two-way ANOVA on mean height increment	154
5.2 Mean height increment <sup>x</sup> of different species and different RLIs	154
5.3 Rate of height increment (cm) per week at different RLIs	155
5.4 Two-way ANOVA on mean diameter increment	155
5.5 Mean diameter increment <sup>x</sup> of different species and different RLIs	155
5.6 Rate of diameter increment (cm) per week at different RLIs	156

5.7 Studies of height and diameter increment (cm per week) for <i>Acacia mangium</i>	159
5.8 Leaf/flush/node production rate (per week) on leader shoots	162
5.9 Leaf/flush/node production rate (per week) on branches	162
5.10 Average leaf life span on leader shoots (days per leaf) as of 92 <sup>nd</sup> week	167
5.11 Average leaf life span on branches (in days) as of at 92 <sup>nd</sup> week	167
5.12 Comparison of foliar nutrient content (% on dry matter) under optimal growth conditions between agricultural crops and this study	170
5.13 Rate of incorporation of NPK (g per week) for 100 growing shoots at the optimum RLI for growth of five species	171
5.14 Total nutrient budget under optimal growth	173
5.15 Percentage withdrawal of NPK	174
5.16 Foliar nutrient content for Mg, Ca, Cl, S, B, Mn, Cu and Zn under optimal growth of <i>Acacia mangium</i> , <i>Shorea roxburghii</i> , <i>Eusideroxylon zwageri</i> , <i>Dyera costulata</i> and <i>Cinnamomum iners</i>	175
A1 Light readings for various shades	189
B1 Data on initial and final height (in cm) and diameter (in cm) for <i>A. mangium</i> at different RLIs over 16 weeks	191
B2 <i>A. mangium</i> : cumulative mean production of leaves on leader shoots at different RLIs from 16/06/04 to 05/10/04	192
B3 <i>A. mangium</i> : cumulative mean production of leaves on branches at different RLIs from 10/07/04 to 29/10/04	192

B4	Fresh weight (FW), dry weight (DW) and DM content (%) of young and old leaves for <i>A. mangium</i> at different RLIs	193
B5	Leaf production (over 16 weeks) and leaf life span on leader shoots of <i>A. mangium</i> at different RLIs	194
B6	Leaf production (over 16 weeks) and leaf life span on branches of <i>A. mangium</i> at different RLIs	205
C1	Data on initial and final height (in cm) and diameter (in cm) for <i>S. roxburghii</i> at different RLIs over 38 weeks	215
C2	<i>S. roxburghii</i> : cumulative mean production of leaves on leader shoots at different RLIs from 16/06/04 to 08/03/05	216
C3	<i>S. roxburghii</i> : cumulative mean production of leaves on branches at different RLIs from 10/07/04 to 18/08/05	217
C4	Fresh weight (FW), dry weight (DW) and DM content (%) of young and old leaves for <i>S. roxburghii</i> at different RLIs	218
C5	Leaf production (over 38 weeks) and leaf life span on leader shoots of <i>S. roxburghii</i> at different RLIs	219
C6	Leaf production (over 58 weeks) and leaf life span on branches of <i>S. roxburghii</i> at different RLIs	227
D1	Data on initial and final height (in cm) and diameter (in cm) for <i>E. zwageri</i> at different RLIs over 65 weeks	236
D2	<i>E. zwageri</i> : cumulative mean production of leaves on leader shoots at different RLIs from 16/06/04 to 13/09/05	237
D3	<i>E. zwageri</i> : Cumulative mean production of leaves on branches at different RLIs from 10/07/04 to 29/09/05	239

- D4 Fresh weight (FW), dry weight (DW) and DM conten (%) of young and old leaves for *E. zawgeri* at different RLIs 240
- D5 Leaf production (over 64 weeks) and leaf life span on branches of *E. zawgeri* at different RLIs 241
- D6 Leaf production (over 65 weeks) and leaf life span on leader shoots of *E. zawgeri* at different RLIs 246
- E1 Data on initial and final height (in cm) and diameter (in cm) for *D. costulata* at different RLIs over 64 weeks 254
- E2 *D. costulata*: cumulative mean production of leaf flushes on leader shoots at different RLIs from 16/06/04 to 06/09/05 255
- E3 *D. costulata*: cumulative mean production of leaf flushes on first-order branches at different RLIs from 10/07/04 to 29/09/05 257
- E4 Fresh weight (FW, dry weight (DW) nd DM content (%) of young and old leaves for *D. costulata* at different RLIs 258
- E5 Leaf production (over 64 weeks) and leaf life span on leader shoots of *D. costulata* in different RLIs 259
- E6 Leaf production (ove 64 weeks) leaf life span on branches of *D. costulata* in different RLIs 265
- F1 Data on initial and final height (in cm) and diameter (in cm) for *C. iners* at different RLIs over 61 weeks 268
- F2 *C. iners*: cumulative mean production of leaf flushes on leader shoots at different RLIs from 16/06/04 to 16/08/05 269
- F3 *C. iners*: cumulative mean production of leaf flushes on branches at different RLIs from 10/07/04 to 29/09/05 271

- F4 Fresh weigh (FW), dry weigh (DW) and DM content (%) of young and old leaves for *C. iners* at different RLIs 272
- F5 Flushes production (over 61 weeks) on leader shoots of *C. iners* in different RLIs 274
- F6 Flushes production (over 64 weeks) on branches of *C. iners* in different RLIs 275



## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
3.1 The study site was running in north-south direction and various shade environments were established for this study	19
3.2 The study site plan and elevation	20
4.1 The original leader shoot was pushed aside as a proleptic branch adopted upright habit in <i>A. mangium</i>	43
4.2 One of the plants in 25% RLI showing conversion of a branch to a leader shoot when the shoot tip of the original leader was broken	44
4.3 (a) Multiple-leaders developed as coppice shoots and, (b) One of the five plants did not coppice	45
4.4 A branch forming a knot traceable to center in <i>A. mangium</i>	47
4.5 <i>A. mangium</i> : mean height increment (cm) at different RLIs over 16 weeks	49
4.6 <i>A. mangium</i> : mean diameter increment (cm) at different RLIs over 16 weeks	49
4.7 <i>A. mangium</i> : cumulative mean production of leaves on leader shoots at different RLIs from 16/06/04 to 05/10/04	51
4.8 Mean internode length of <i>A. mangium</i> at different RLIs	52
4.9 <i>A. mangium</i> : cumulative mean production of leaves on branches in different RLIs from 10/07/04 to 29/10/04	55
4.10 Dry matter content (%) of young and old leaves of <i>A. mangium</i>	59