

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

MODERATING EFFECT OF ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WORKPLACE LEARNING AND JOB PERFORMANCE IN SELECTED PUBLIC ORGANIZATIONS IN MALAYSIA

YOUNES DARYOUSH

FPP 2014 45



MODERATING EFFECT OF ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WORKPLACE LEARNING AND JOB PERFORMANCE IN SELECTED PUBLIC ORGANIZATIONS IN MALAYSIA



By

YOUNES DARYOUSH

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2014

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

To My Wife and My Family



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

MODERATING EFFECT OF ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WORKPLACE LEARNING AND JOB PERFORMANCE IN SELECTED PUBLIC ORGANIZATIONS IN MALAYSIA

By

YOUNES DARYOUSH



Chairman : Professor ABU DAUD BIN SILONG, PhD.

Faculty : Educational Studies

The purpose of this study was to investigate the moderating effect of organizational culture on the relationship between workplace learning and job performance in the Malaysian public sector. The conceptual model proposed that workplace learning was assumed to associate with job performance, and this association is influenced by organizational culture. In this respect, relationships were examined among three types of workplace learning (formal, informal, and incidental), five types of organizational culture (result orientation, innovation orientation, teamwork orientation, communication orientation, employee orientation), and two types of job performance (task performance, contextual performance).



The subjects of this study were Malaysian officers who had worked in the public sector more than one year, and agreed to complete the survey. A questionnaire with 64 questions (excluding demographic items) was designed. About 369 hundred questionnaires were distributed to two organizations, and 338 responses were collected. The final response rate, after 16 unusable responses were identified, was 95% (322). Cronbach's alpha verified the reliability of the overall instrument, as well as the sections of the survey. Construct validity was determined using confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). Correlation analysis and structural equation modelling (SEM) techniques by Amos 18 were conducted to test the hypotheses of the study.

The results showed a significant positive relationship between workplace learning (formal, informal and incidental) and job performance (task and contextual). The current research also found a significant positive relationship between result orientation culture with two types of job performance but there was a significant relationship between employee orientation culture and task performance.

In addition, the moderating effect of innovation, teamwork, communication, and employee orientation culture on the relationship between informal workplace learning and job performance (task and contextual) were significant. Moreover, the moderating effect of innovation, and employee orientation culture on the relationship between incidental workplace learning and contextual performance were significant.

The results of this study provide an insight and add new knowledge to a public sector environment which can help increase formal and informal workplace learning among employees, as this can facilitate positive workplace behaviours and strengthen organizational performance. Strategies such as workplace interventions, in-service training techniques may increase levels of contextual performance and task performance from moderate to high.

Based on the results of the study, several issues are discussed. Additionally, research directions for future research are recommended, and both theoretical and practical implications for Human Resource Development are provided.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENGARUH BUDAYA ORGANISASI KE ATAS HUBUNGAN DI ANTARA PEMBELAJARAN DI TEMPAT KERJA DALAM ORGANISASI AWAM TERPILIH DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

YOUNES DARYOUSH

Mac 2014

Pengerusi: Professor ABU DAUD BIN SILONG, PhD.

Fakulti: Pengajian Pendidikan

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji kesan yang sederhana budaya organisasi ke atas hubungan antara pembelajaran di tempat kerja dan prestasi kerja di sektor awam Malaysia. Konsep model yang diketengahkan mencadangkan bahawa pembelajaran di tempat kerja mempunyai kaitan rapat dengan prestasi kerja dan ia dipengaruhi oleh budaya organisasi. Dalam hal ini, perkaitan telah diteliti dalam tiga (3) jenis bentuk pembelajaran di tempat kerja (secara formal, tidak formal dan secara tidak sengaja), lima (5) jenis budaya organisasi (berorientasikan hasil, berorientasikan inovasi, berorientasikan kerja secara berkumpulan, berorientasikan komunikasi dan berorientasikan majikan) dan dua (2) jenis prestasi pekerjaan (prestasi tugas dan prestasi konteks tugas).

Subjek kajian ini adalah penjawat awam Malaysia bertaraf pegawai yang telah berkhidmat di dalam sektor awam melebihi tempoh setahun. Pegawai terbabit telah bersetuju untuk memberi kerjasama dalam kajian ini. Satu borang soal selidik yang mempunyai 64 soalan (tidak termasuk perkara-perkara demografi) telah dibentuk. Sebanyak 369 borang soal selidik telah diedarkan kepada dua organisasi dan sebanyak 338 maklum balas borang soal selidik telah diterima. Maklum balas borang soal selidik yang telah diambil kira sepenuhnya adalah sebanyak 95%(322) setelah menolak enam belas (16) maklum balas borang soal selidik yang tidak boleh digunakan. Cronbach's alpha telah mengesahkan kebolehpercayaan instrumen secara keseluruhannya termasuk seksyen-seksyen kajian. Binaan kesahihan telah ditentukan menggunakan Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA). Analisis hubungkait dan Teknik Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) oleh Amos 18 telah dijalankan bagi menguji hipotesis kajian ini.

Keputusan analisis dari kajian yang dijalankan telah menunjukkan hubungkait positif yang signifikan di antara pembelajaran di tempat kerja (secara formal, tidak formal dan secara tidak sengaja) dan prestasi pekerjaan (prestasi tugas dan prestasi konteks tugas). Kajian ini juga mendapati kaitan positif di antara budaya kerja berorientasikan



keputusan dengan dua jenis prestasi pekerjaan dan terdapat hubungkait signifikan di antara budaya kerja majikan dengan prestasi pekerjaan.

Sebagai tambahan, pengaruh kesan inovasi, kerjasama secara berkumpulan, komunikasi dan budaya kerja majikan di dalam hubungan di antara pembelajaran tempat kerja tidak formal dan prestasi kerja (tugas dan konteksual) adalah sangat signifikan, begitu juga dengan pengaruh inovasi dan budaya berorientasi majikan dengan pembelajaran di tempat kerja secara tidak langsung dan prestasi konteksual adalah berkait.

Keputusan kajian ini memberi gambaran tentang dan menambah pengetahuan baru kepada persekitaran sektor awam yang boleh membantu meningkatkan pembelajaran di tempat kerja formal dan tidak formal di kalangan pekerja, kerana ini boleh memudahkan tingkah laku tempat kerja yang positif dan mengukuhkan prestasi organisasi. Strategi-strategi seperti campur tangan di tempat kerja, dalam perkhidmatan teknik latihan boleh meningkatkan tahap prestasi konteks dan prestasi tugas daripada sederhana kepada tinggi.

Berdasarkan hasil kajian ini, beberapa isu-isu yang dibincangkan. Selain itu, arahan penyelidikan untuk kajian akan datang disyorkan, dan kedua-dua implikasi teori dan praktikal untuk Pembangunan Sumber Manusia disediakan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I am grateful for God's provision of joys, challenges, and grace for growth and all the blessings to me and my family.

I am heartily thankful to Prof. Dr. Abu Daud bin Silong, my supervisor, for his hard work and guidance throughout this entire thesis process and for believing in my abilities. I have learned so much, and without his support, this would not have been possible. I thank him so much for a great experience during my research endeavour.

Thanks to my associate supervisor Dr. Zohara bint Omar, for her valuable advice and assistance with my statistical analysis and for her helpful editing and revising the English of my manuscript. I am extremely grateful for her willingness to give of her time so freely.

For Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jamilah bint Othman, for serving in my thesis committee, I thank her for assisting in putting my research study into action, believing in me and for her insight and guidance throughout the past four years.

My sincere thanks and appreciation is also due to all the participants in the study area for their participation and assistance. A special note of thanks is also extended to the research assistant who deserve a special mention for their assistance during data collection.

A note of thanks also goes to the staff of Faculty of Educational Studies and also to the staff of Graduate School, Universiti Putra Malaysia for their assistance during my research.

Finally, I owe my deepest gratitude to all those who have helped me with my work specially Hassan Muda and those who have been more than a help but a joy throughout my graduate program that made this work a success.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Abu Daud Silong, PhD

Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Jamilah Othman, PhD Lecturer

Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Zoharah Omar, PhD

Lecturer Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

Declaration by the student

I hereby confirm that:

- < this thesis is my original work
- < quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced
- the thesis has not been submitted previously or comcurrently for any other degree at any institutions
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be owned from supervisor and deputy vice –chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature:

Date: _

Name and Matric No.: Younes Daryoush GS25315

Declaration by Members of Supervisory committee

This is to confirm that:

F

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:		
Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	Signature: Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	V
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vii
APPROVAL	viii
DECLARATION	X
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	XV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Statement of the Problem	3 3
	1.3 Research Questions	3
	1.3.1 General Objective	4
	1.3.2 Specific Objective	4
	1.3.3 Hypotheses	4
	1.4 Significance of Study	4
	1.5 Limitation of the Study	5
	1.6 Definition of terms	6
•		-
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Job Performance	7
	2.3 Workplace Learning	10
	2.3.1 Definitions of Workplace Learning	10 11
	2.3.2 Formal, Informal and Incidental Learning	11
	2.4 Organizational Culture2.5 Workplace Learning and Job Performance	13
	2.6 Organizational Culture and Job performance	14
	2.6.1 Moderating effect of organizational culture	24
	2.7 Theoretical framework	24
	2.7.1 Social Cognitive Career Theory (SCCT)	27
	2.7.2 Work Adjustment Theory	28
	2.7.2 Work Place Learning Theory	20 29
3	METHODOLOGY	32
	3.1 Research Design	32
	3.2 Research framework	32
	3.3 Sampling Procedure	33
	3.4 Data Collection Procedure	34
	3.5 Measurement and Instrument	35
	3.5.1 Dependent variable	35
	3.5.2 Independent Variables	35

3.5.3 Moderating Variables	36
3.6 Pilot Study	36
3.7 Reliability and Validity of Questionnaire	37
3.8 Data Analysis	38
3.9 DATA PREPARATION AND SCREENING	39
3.9.1 Missing Data	39
3.9.2 Sample Size	40
3.9.3 Outliers	40
3.9.4 Multivariate Normality	40
3.9.5 Linearity	41
3.9.6 Homoscedasticity	41
3.9.7 Multicollinearity	41
3.10 Assessing the Measurement Models	42
3.11 Reporting fit indices	43
3.12 Measurement Model	43
3.13 Respecification of the Measurement Model	45
3.13.1Assessing the Measurement Model Validity	46
3.13.2Caveats in Model Respecification	46
3.14 Measuring Construct Validity	48
4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	51
4.1 Profile of respondents	51
4.2 Descriptive Statistics and Correlations	53
4.3 Structural Model	55
4.3.1 Hypothesized Model	55
4.3.2 Respecification of the Structural Model	57
4.3.3 Hypothesis Testing	59
4.4 Moderating Effect on the Relationship between Independents and	
Dependent Variables	60
4.5 Discussion	72
4.5.1 Discussion of the Findings Compatible with the Previous	
Studies	73
4.5.2 Discussion of the Findings Incompatible with the Previous	-
Studies	76
5 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	78
5.1 Conclusions	78
5.2 Implications	79
5.2.1 Implications for Future HRD Research	80
5.2.2 Implications for HRD Practice	81
5.3 Limitations	82
5.4 Recommendations for Future Research	83
REFERENCES	85
APPENDICES	99
BIODATA OF STUDENT	172
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	173



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1:	Cronbach's Alpha internal reliability coefficients	38
4.1:	Descriptive Statistic of Demographic Characteristics	53
4.2:	Regression Weights: (Structural Model)	57
4.3:	Hypotheses (objectives 1&2)	59



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1:	Theoretical Framework	31
3.1:	Relationship between ten variables	33
3.2:	Initial Measurement Model	44
3.3:	Revised of CFA Model	48
4.1:	Structural Model for Latent Variable Score	56
4.2:	Structural Model for Latent Variable Score	58

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AVE	Average Variance Extracted
	e
CFA	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CFI	Comparative Fit Index
COC	Communication Orientation Culture
CP	Contextual Performance
EOC	Employee Orientation Culture
FWL	Formal Workplace Learning
GFA	Goodness of Fit Index
IFI	Incremental Fit Index
INCWL	Incidental Workplace Learning
INFWL	Informal Workplace Learning
IOC	Innovation Orientation Culture
OC	Organizational Culture
RMSEA	Root Mean Square Error of
	Approximation
ROC	Result Orientation Culture
SEM	Structural Equation Modeling
TOC	Teamwork Orientation Culture
ТР	Task Performance
SCCT	Social Cognitive Career Theory

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

This chapter discusses the background of the study, problem statement, and the purposes, definition of terms, the significant and the limitation of the study.

1.1 Background of Study

The Public Service Malaysia is the largest employer employing about 1.2 million of Malaysia's total workforce(Malaysian Public Service Department, 2011). This population requires to be activated and governed efficiently and effectively to free their full capacity for the advantages of the people. Human resource professional should be focused on changing human capital in the public service by strengthening their knowledge, skills, abilities, and capabilities needed to manage and lead in the future of public management (National Economic Advisory Council, 2010, Malek, 2006).

Increasing intensity of competition among organizations in the current century, it is always followed Ways to gain competitive advantage and resultant success in the field of competition. "Workplace learning" is one of the tools Managers gain a competitive advantage for organizations are now considered (Heilmann, 2007, Rothwell, 2002, Cromwell and Kolb, 2004, Jacobs and Park, 2009). Nowadays, organizations have changed more "knowledge-based", employees have to constantly improve their "knowledge and skills", and organizations have accepted their employees as essential to sustainable development (Rowden, 2007, Engeström and Kerosuo, 2007).

According to Jacobs and Washington(2003), identifying employee capability is as an important basis in all organizations. As knowledge and dynamic environment have seriously affected the workplace, developing employees' "knowledge and skills" to give the dynamic work environment has become a critical key in today's organizations (Jacobs and Park, 2009, Rowden, 2007, Smith and Hayton, 1999).

The Malaysian Public Service has taken diverse measures to reduce performancerelated problems. New Economic Model (NEM) was established in the 2010 to strength public sector of Malaysia in decision making and performance appraisal as well(National Economic Advisory Council, 2010). It caused more accelerate in public sector performance and efficiency in service delivery and service quality.



In the Malaysian public sector, key performance appraisal (KPA) is used to evaluate every public sector employee's work performance, including officers. This measure focused the crucial role of performance in the public sector. This evaluation is greatly determined by the officer's job performance, efficiency and effectiveness. KPA has an influence on employee' promotions and salary increments, and therefore it are assumed that KPA has a positive relationship on employees' task performance(Rasdi *et al.*, 2009). Since several studies have shown that task performance relates to

contextual performance, KPA is thus expected to affect both the task and contextual job performance of employees.

According to Motowidlo and Van Scotter (1994) job performance is combined of two components, task performance such as fulfil tasks, which refers to level of technical skills and knowledge, and contextual performance such as helping others, which refers to level of interpersonal skills. To successfully perform job; therefore, employee may require to possess some degree of skill in doing both of these job demands (Motowidlo and Van Scotter, 1994).

Contextual job performance, which is a role of interpersonal skill knowledge, aids the broader public environment in which the technical core must function. Particularly contextual job performance is connected to the helping and cooperative that those are basics of suitable organizational behaviour (Motowidlo and Van Scotter, 1994).

Learning is positively associated to successful workplace and levels of job performance (Arnolds et al., 2010, Park, 2009, Chughtai and Buckley, 2011, Cheung, 2011). "Workplace learning" has been identified as an important key for organizations to assist their employees that they obtain the predicted performance (Jacobs and Park, 2009, Clarke, 2005, Elkjaer and Wahlgren, 2006, Moon and Na, 2009a, Poell and Woerkom, 2011).

Rowden (2002) defined workplace learning as learning that takes place during work, including a broad variety of learning actions for example designed training and social interactions. "Workplace learning" seems to be the most suitable expression to explain the diversity of employee learning actions in firms or organizations, which contains informal, incidental and formal learning. Indeed, firms identify the key value of "workplace learning" in career development and enhancing competency, organizational managers emphasizes on "workplace learning" to help employee learning from diverse actions as an activity on improving job performance and organizational performance as well (Aghazadeh, 2007, Doornbos et al., 2008, Rainbird et al., 2004).

Accordingly, public sectors have implemented workplace learning, believing that their spending will increase future performance (Maria, 2003, Tharenou et al., 2007, Siddiquee, 2006). In fact, many organizations, which have implemented "workplace learning", are attracted in whether "workplace learning" can give the positive results for employees as well as for the organizations. Many changes in organization and in work, as well as innovations in society and work life, have occurred due to advanced workplace learning, and growing technical skills in diverse jobs(Rothwell et al., 1999, Jacobs and Park, 2009).

Social aspects of organization are very important. Some researchers claim that an understanding of social interaction, communication, relationships and organizational culture is needed in order to organization development (Zheng, 2005, Fey and Denison, 2003, Keith and Frese, 2011).



1.2 Statement of the Problem

The public sector environment in Malaysia emphasizes on output and result, performance management has become increasingly crucial for Malaysia's public sector organizations. Performance management can be approached at two levels, the organizational and the individual(Shafie, 1996). Strengthening of the public sector is one of the objectives of a new economic model(National Economic Advisory Council, 2010).

Some researchers believed that workplace learning can improve organizational performance (Park, 2009, Bryson et al., 2006, Gully and Phillips, 2005, Schulte, 2010). This idea is located on the basis that "workplace learning" helps employees to enhance their performance, which may lead to workplace performance (Schulte, 2010, Park, 2009). Workplace learning plays a key role in organizational success that aid employees to improve their tasks as well as achieve job performance (Clarke, 2005, Doornbos et al., 2008, Rothwell et al., 1999, Rothwell, 2002).

Employees' behaviour is affected by the values and norms of the organization (organizational culture), and they behave in a manner to fit the nature of their workplace cultures (Joo and Ready, 2012, Elfenbein and O'reilly, 2007, Keith and Frese, 2011). Organizational culture can significantly affect an individual's ability and motivation to transfer learning to job performance (Joo and Ready, 2012, Marsick and Watkins, 2003, Tziner et al., 1991, Xiao, 1996).

Workplace learning is widely observed as human resource practice in the successful performance program, but there is little knowledge of how "organizational culture" effects on the relationship between "workplace learning" and job performance. As there are few researches on the public sector are related to organizational culture, workplace learning and job performance, furthermore about how "workplace learning" effect on job performance. The purpose of this study is to determine the moderating effect of organizational culture on the relationship between workplace learning and job performance.

1.3 Research Questions

The proposed research questions were investigated in this study:

1. What is the relationship between workplace learning and task performance?

2. What is the relationship between workplace learning and contextual performance?

3. What is the relationship between organizational culture and task performance?

4. What is the relationship between organizational culture and contextual performance?

5. Does organizational culture moderate between workplace learning and task performance?

6. Does organizational culture moderate between workplace learning and contextual performance?

1.3.1 General Objective

The main objective is that to determine a relationship between workplace learning, organizational culture and job performance.

1.3.2 Specific Objective

1. To determine relationship between workplace learning and task performance.

2. To determine relationship between workplace learning and contextual performance.

3. To determine relationship between organizational culture and task performance.

4. To determine relationship between organizational culture and contextual performance.

5. To determine moderating effect of organizational culture on the relationship between workplace learning and task performance.

6. To determine moderating effect of organizational culture on the relationship between workplace learning and contextual performance.

1.3.3 Hypotheses

H1: There is a significant relation between workplace learning and job performance.

H2: There is a significant relation between organizational culture and job performance.

H3: There is a significant moderating effect of organizational culture on the relationship between workplace learning and job performance.

1.4 Significance of Study

This research has both practical and theoretical significance for human resource development study. 1) This research examines "workplace learning", and job

performance. This study includes organizational culture influencing on the relationship between workplace learning and job performance as a process of understanding organizational knowledge and norms (person-organization fit process). In spite of recent advances in the workplace learning study, no available research has determined the relationship between workplace learning and job performance and how organizational culture affect on this relationship.

Based on literature review, this study considers workplace learning, including informal workplace learning, incidental workplace learning, and formal workplace learning, and establishing relationships, through emphasizing the role of organizational culture on the relationship between workplace learning and job performance.

The theoretical importance of this study is that it provides additional empirical evidence for future studies to search the relationship of other factors influencing job performance. While previous studies have focused on finding critical roles of workplace learning and the relationship between giving situations and job performance, the research identifies the degree to which related factors impact workplace learning and clarifies a tested model of workplace learning by using structural equation modelling (SEM).

From a practical view, this research can provide better information for decision making to organizations and HRD managers in order to improve job performance. Identifying the more influential dimensions in an organizational context (organizational culture values) and personal characteristics (task performance), organizations can develop more specific requirements and conditions to improve job performance for employees.

Moreover, HRD managers can consider related factors influencing job performance through organizational culture to design and develop learning programs for employees. Particularly, HRD professionals can focus on how managers support and collaborate on programs for workplace learning, focusing on the impact of communication, result, team, and employee orientation culture. Previous literature has examined the positive relationship between training as formal "workplace learning" and job performance, ignoring the organizational culture.

1.5 Limitation of the Study



This study was limited to public sector organizations in the Malay States Selangor situated on the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia and is bordered by Perak to the north, Pahang to the east, Negeri Sembilan to the south and the Strait of Malacca to the west. The study examined two public sector organizations from six public sector organization those are placed in parcel C in Putra Jaya.

The research further evaluated the organizational culture, job performance and workplace learning of the two public sector organizations postulated. Additionally, the population sampled or the sampling frame was limited only to the Malaysia States Selangor strategically located in the West of Malaysia.

1.6 Definition of terms

Job Performance: Performance has been defined as behaviours or activities that are related to the goals of an organization (Borman and Motowidlo, 1997, Borman et al., 2001).

Task performance, involves behaviours that directly transform or directly support the transformation of raw materials into the organization's products(Borman and Motowidlo, 1997, Borman et al., 2001).

Contextual performance involves behaviours that support the social, organizational and psychological environment in which task behaviours are performed. Examples of these behaviours include interpersonal helping, cooperation, and showing high levels of dedication to the work or organization(Borman and Motowidlo, 1997, Borman and Motowidlo, 1993).

Organizational Culture: The broad use of the Organizational culture is sometimes equated with "a complex set of values and practices" that perception of the way in which an organization operates its mission (Beugelsdijk *et al.*, 2006, p.836). The importance of organizational culture has been described by its link to the psychological process of organizational identity, in which individuals emerge to search a social identity that creates meaning and communication (O'reilly Iii et al., 1991).

In this study, organizational culture measured by five values including result orientation, communication orientation, innovation orientation, teamwork orientation and employee orientation culture (Beugelsdijk *et al.*, 2006).

Workplace learning: Workplace Learning occurs in divers' activities, Rowden (2002) identified three forms – formal, informal, and incidental learning. Formal learning is typically training as highly structured workplace learning. Informal learning is not usually classroom based emphasized on planned learning activities in the workplace. Incidental learning occurs as an unintended side effect of some other activities such as "trial-and-error experimentation" or "interpersonal interaction"(Rowden and Conine Jr, 2005).

REFERENCES

- Aghazadeh, S. M. (2007) Re-examining the training side of productivity improvement: evidence from service sector. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 56, 744-757.
- Ali, I. M., Pascoe, C. & Warne, L. (2002) Interactions of organizational culture and collaboration in working and learning. *Educational Technology & Society*, 5, 2002.
- Allison, P. D. (2003) Missing data techniques for structural equation modeling. *Journal of abnormal psychology*, 112, 545-557.
- Amsa, P. (1986) Organizational culture and work group behaviour: an empirical study. *Journal of Management Studies*, 23, 347-362.
- Anderson, J. C. & Gerbing, D. W. (1988) Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103, 411.
- Ang, S., Van Dyne, L., Koh, C., Ng, K. Y., Templer, K. J., Tay, C. & Chandrasekar, N. A. (2007) Cultural intelligence: Its measurement and effects on cultural judgment and decision making, cultural adaptation and task performance. *Management and Organization Review*, 3, 335-371.
- Antal, A. B., Dierkes, M. & Marz, L. (1999) Organizational learning in China, Germany and Israel. *Journal of General Management*, 25, 17-42.
- Antonacopoulou, E. P. (2006) The relationship between individual and organizational learning: New evidence from managerial learning practices. *Management Learning*, 37, 455-473.
- Aragon-Sanchez, A., Barba-Aragon, I. & Sanz-Valle, R. (2003) Effects of training on business results. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 14, 956-980.
- Argyrous, G. (2011) Statistics for research: with a guide to SPSS, Sage Publications Ltd.
- Arnolds, C., Boshoff, C., Mazibuko, N. & Klemz, B. (2010) The motivational impact of job security, recognition, monetary incentives and training on the job performance of bluecollar employees. *South African Journal of Labour Relations*, 34, 86-102.
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. & Razavieh, A. (2002) Introduction to research in education CA, Wadsworth Group.
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Razavieh, A. & Sorensen, C. (2009) Introduction to research in education, Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Ashkanasy, N. M., Broadfood, L. & Falkus, S. (2000) Questionnaire measures or organizational culture.

Babbie, E. R. (2007) The practice of social research, Wadsworth Pub Co.

Baldwin, T. T. & Ford, J. K. (1988) Transfer of training: A review and directions for future research. *Personnel psychology*, 41, 63-105.

- Bandura, A. (1986) Social foundations of thought and action: a social cognitive theory, Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Barnett, R. (1999) Learning to work and working to learn: Understanding learning at work.
- Barney, J. B. (1986) Organizational culture: can it be a source of sustained competitive advantage? Academy of management review, 656-665.
- Barron, J. M., Berger, M. C. & Black, D. A. (2002) On-the-job training, Upjohn Press.
- Bartel, A. P. (1994) Productivity gains from the implementation of employee training programs. *Industrial Relations: A Journal of Economy and Society*, 33, 411-425.
- Bartel, A. P. (1995) Training, wage growth and job performance: evidence from a company database. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Bassi, L., Cheney, S. & Lewis, E. (1998) Trends in workplace learning: Supply and demand in interesting times. *Training and Development* 52, 51-57.
- Bates, R. A., Holton Iii, E. F., Seyler, D. L. & Carvalho, M. A. (2000) The role of interpersonal factors in the application of computer-based training in an industrial setting. *Human Resource Development International*, 3, 19-42.
- Bell, B. S. & Kozlowski, S. W. J. (2007) Advances in technology-based training.
- Beugelsdijk, S., Koen, C. I. & Noorderhaven, N. G. (2006) Organizational culture and relationship skills. *Organization studies*, 27, 833-854.
- Billett, S. (2001a) Learning in the Workplace: Strategies for Effective Practice, ERIC.
- Billett, S. (2001b) Learning through work: workplace affordances and individual engagement. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 13, 209-214.
- Bollen, K. A. (1990) Overall fit in covariance structure models: two types of sample size effects. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107, 256.
- Borman, W. C., Buck, D. E., Hanson, M. A., Motowidlo, S. J., Stark, S. & Drasgow, F. (2001) An examination of the comparative reliability, validity, and accuracy of performance ratings made using computerized adaptive rating scales. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86, 965.
- Borman, W. C. & Motowidlo, S. J. (1993) Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. *Personnel selection in organizations*, 71, 98.
- Borman, W. C. & Motowidlo, S. J. (1997) Task performance and contextual performance: The meaning for personnel selection research. *Human Performance*, 10, 99-109.
- Borman, W. C., White, L. A., Pulakos, E. D. & Oppler, S. H. (1991) Models of supervisory job performance ratings. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 76, 863.
- Boud, D. & Garrick, J. (1999) Understanding learning at work, Psychology Press.
- Bretz Jr, R. D. & Judge, T. A. (1994) Person-organization fit and the theory of work adjustment: Implications for satisfaction, tenure, and career success. *Journal of Vocational behavior*, 44, 32-54.

- Brooks, K. & Nafukho, F. M. (2006) Human resource development, social capital, emotional intelligence: Any link to productivity? *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 30, 117-128.
- Brown, J. S. & Duguid, P. (1991) Organizational learning and communities-of-practice: Toward a unified view of working, learning, and innovation. *Organization science*, 40-57.
- Browne, M. W. & Cudeck, R. (1993) Alternative ways of assessing model fit. SAGE Focus *Edition*, 154, 136-136.
- Bryson, J., Pajo, K., Ward, R. & Mallon, M. (2006) Learning at work: organisational affordances and individual engagement. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 18, 279-297.
- Byrne, A. & Greaves, J. (2001) Assessment instruments used during anaesthetic simulation: review of published studies. *British journal of anaesthesia*, 86, 445.
- Cable, D. M. & Judge, T. A. (1997) Interviewers' perceptions of person-organization fit and organizational selection decisions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 82, 546.
- Campbell, J. P., Mchenry, J. J. & Wise, L. L. (1990) Modeling job performance in a population of jobs. *Personnel Psychology*, 43, 313-575.
- Chen, F., Bollen, K. A., Paxton, P., Curran, P. J. & Kirby, J. B. (2001) Improper Solutions in Structural Equation Models Causes, Consequences, and Strategies. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 29, 468-508.
- Cheung, S. Y. (2011) Refinement or breakthrough? the link between goal orientation, employee learning, creativity and job performance.
- Christensen, E. W. & Gordon, G. G. (1999) An exploration of industry, culture and revenue growth. *Organization studies*, 20, 397.
- Chughtai, A. A. & Buckley, F. (2011) Work engagement: antecedents, the mediating role of learning goal orientation and job performance. *Career Development International*, 16, 684-705.
- Clarke, N. (2005) Workplace learning environment and its relationship with learning outcomes in healthcare organizations. *Human Resource Development International*, 8, 185-205.
- Cohen, J. (1988a) Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences, Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Cohen, J. (1988b) Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. *Behavioral Sciences Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc Hillsdale NJ*, 2.
- Confessore, S. J. & Kops, W. J. (1998) Self-directed learning and the learning organization: Examining the connection between the individual and the learning environment. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 9, 365-375.
- Conlon, T. J. (2004) A review of informal learning literature, theory and implications for practice in developing global professional competence. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 28, 283-295.

- Cromwell, S. E. & Kolb, J. A. (2004) An examination of workplace environment support factors affecting transfer of supervisory skills training to the workplace. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 15, 449-471.
- Cross, J. (2007) Informal learning: Rediscovering the natural pathways that inspire innovation and performance, Pfeiffer.
- D'arcimoles, C.-H. (1997) Human resource policies and company performance: a quantitative approach using longitudinal data. *Organization Studies*, 18, 857-874.
- Dawis, R. V. & Lofquist, L. H. (1984) A psychological theory of work adjustment: An individual-differences model and its applications, University of Minnesota Press Minneapolis, MN.
- De Grip, A. & Sauermann, J. (2013) The effect of training on productivity: The transfer of onthe-job training from the perspective of economics. *Educational Research Review*, 8, 28-36.
- Denison, D. R. (1996) What is the difference between organizational culture and organizational climate? A native's point of view on a decade of paradigm wars. *Academy of Management review*, 619-654.
- Denison, D. R. & Mishra, A. K. (1995) Toward a theory of organizational culture and effectiveness. *Organization science*, 204-223.
- Deshon, R. P. & Alexander, R. A. (1996) Alternative procedures for testing regression slope homogeneity when group error variances are unequal. *Psychological methods*, 1, 261.
- Detert, J. R., Schroeder, R. G. & Mauriel, J. J. (2000) A framework for linking culture and improvement initiatives in organizations. *Academy of management review*, 850-863.
- Doornbos, A. J., Simons, R. J. & Denessen, E. (2008) Relations between characteristics of workplace practices and types of informal work related learning: A survey study among Dutch Police. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 19, 129-151.
- Dysvik, A. & Kuvaas, B. (2008) The relationship between perceived training opportunities, work motivation and employee outcomes. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 12, 138-157.
- Edwards, J. R. & Bagozzi, R. P. (2000) On the nature and direction of relationships between constructs and measures. *Psychological methods*, 5, 155-174.
- Elfenbein, H. A. & O'reilly, C. A. (2007) Fitting in: The effects of relational demography and person-culture fit on group process and performance. *Group & Organization Management*, 32, 109-142.
- Elkjaer, B. & Wahlgren, B. (2006) Organizational learning and workplace learningsimilarities and differences. *Learning, working and living*, 15-33.
- Ellinger, A. D. & Cseh, M. (2007) Contextual factors influencing the facilitation of others' learning through everyday work experiences. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 19, 435-452.



- Engeström, Y. & Kerosuo, H. (2007) From workplace learning to inter-organizational learning and back: the contribution of activity theory. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 19, 336-342.
- Enos, M. D., Kehrhahn, M. T. & Bell, A. (2003) Informal learning and the transfer of learning: How managers develop proficiency. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 14, 369-387.
- Eraut, M. (2002) The interaction between qualifications and work-based learning. *Working to learn, transforming learning in the workplace*, 63-78.
- Eraut, M. (2004) Informal learning in the workplace. *Studies in continuing education*, 26, 247-273.
- Fabiani, M., Buckley, J., Gratton, G., Coles, M. G., Donchin, E. & Logie, R. (1989) The training of complex task performance. *Acta Psychologica*, 71, 259-299.
- Fey, C. & Denison, D. (2003) Organizational culture and effectiveness: can American theory be applied in Russia? *William Davidson Institute Working Paper No. 598*.
- Fornell, C. & Larcker, D. F. (1981) Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of marketing research*, 39-50.
- Frayne, C. A. & Geringer, J. M. (2000) Self-management training for improving job performance: A field experiment involving salespeople. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85, 361.
- Garavan, T. N., Mcguire, D. & O'donnell, D. (2004) Exploring human resource development: A levels of analysis approach. *Human Resource Development Review*, 3, 417.
- Garavan, T. N., Morley, M., Gunnigle, P. & Mcguire, D. (2002) Human resource development and workplace learning: emerging theoretical perspectives and organisational practices. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 26, 60-71.
- Garcia-Morales, V. J., Ruiz-Moreno, A. & Llorens-Montes, F. J. (2007) Effects of technology absorptive capacity and technology proactivity on organizational learning, innovation and performance: an empirical examination. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 19, 527-558.

Garson, G. (2007) Sampling: Key Concepts and Terms. Retrieved on.

- Garson, G. D. (2008) Path analysis. from Statnotes: Topics in Multivariate Analysis. Retrieved.
- George, D. & Mallery, P. (2001) SPSS for windows step by step: A simple guide and reference, 10.0 update, Allyn and Bacon Boston.
- Gevorkian, M. (2011) Relationships Between Proactive Personality, Networking, Career Satisfaction, and Performance Perceptions. Alliant International University, Marshall Goldsmith School of Management, San Diego.
- Gilbert, M. & Cordey-Hayes, M. (1996) Understanding the process of knowledge transfer to achieve successful technological innovation. *Technovation*, 16, 301-312.

- Glaser, S. R., Zamanou, S. & Hacker, K. (1987) Measuring and interpreting organizational culture. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 1, 173-198.
- Graham, J. M., Guthrie, A. C. & Thompson, B. (2003) Consequences of not interpreting structure coefficients in published CFA research: A reminder. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 10, 142-153.
- Grewal, R., Cote, J. A. & Baumgartner, H. (2004) Multicollinearity and measurement error in structural equation models: Implications for theory testing. *Marketing Science*, 23, 519-529.
- Guest, D. E. (1997) Human resource management and performance: a review and research agenda. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 8, 263-276.
- Gully, S. M. & Phillips, J. M. (2005) A multilevel application of learning and performance orientations to individual, group, and organizational outcomes. *Research in personnel and human resources management*, 24, 1-51.
- Hager, P. (2004) Lifelong learning in the workplace? Challenges and issues. *Journal of workplace learning*, 16, 22-32.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E. & Tatham, R. L. (1998) *Multivariate data analysis*, Prentice hall New Jersey.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E. & Tatham, R. L. (2010) *Multivariate data analysis*, NJ, Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River
- Hamlin, R. G., Ellinger, A. D. & Beattie, R. S. (2008) The emergent coaching industry: a wake-up call for HRD professionals. *Human resource development international*, 11, 287-305.
- Harrison, D. A., Newman, D. A. & Roth, P. L. (2006) How Important Are Job Attitudes? Meta-Analytic Comparisons of Integrative Behavioral Outcomes and Time Sequences. *Academy of Management journal*, 49, 305-325.
- Hays, W. (1994) Statistics, 5th. Harcourt Brace, Fort Worth, TX.
- Heilmann, P. (2007) High level competence: a tool for coping with organizational change. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 31, 727-741.
- Hodkinson, P. & Hodkinson, H. (2004) The significance of individuals dispositions in workplace learning: a case study of two teachers. *Journal of Education and Work*, 17, 167-182.
- Hoffman, J. M. & Mehra, S. (1999) Management leadership and productivity improvement programs. *International Journal of Applied Quality Management*, 2, 221-232.

Hofstede, G. (1993) Cultural constraints in management theories. The Executive, 81-94.

Hofstede, G., Neuijen, B., Ohayv, D. D. & Sanders, G. (1990) Measuring organizational cultures: A qualitative and quantitative study across twenty cases. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 286-316.

- Hofstede, G. & Peterson, M. F. (2000) Culture: National values and organizational practices. *Handbook of organizational culture and climate*, 401-416.
- Hofstede, G. H. (1984) *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*, Sage Publications, Inc.
- Holton, E. F. (2002) Theoretical assumptions underlying the performance paradigm of human resource development. *Human Resource Development International*, 5, 199-215.
- Hooper, D., Coughlan, J. & Mullen, M. (2008) Structural equation modelling: guidelines for determining model fit. *Articles*, 2.
- Hox, J. J. (1999) A review of current software for handling missing data. *Kwantitatieve Methoden*, 20, 123-138.
- Hu, L. T. & Bentler, P. M. (1999) Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6, 1-55.
- Hulsheger, U. R., Maier, G. W. & Stumpp, T. (2007) Validity of General Mental Ability for the Prediction of Job Performance and Training Success in Germany: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 15, 3-18.
- Hunter, J. E. (1986) Cognitive ability, cognitive aptitudes, job knowledge, and job performance. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 29, 340-362.
- Illeris, K. (2004) A model for learning in working life. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 16, 431-441.
- Ismail, M. & Ramly, E. S. (2011) Career aspirations of Malaysian research and development professionals in the knowledge economy. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 35, 606-622.
- Jacobs, R. & Washington, C. (2003) Employee development and organizational performance: a review of literature and directions for future research. *Human Resource Development International*, 6, 343-354.
- Jacobs, R. L. (2006) Perspectives on Adult Education, Human Rsource Development, and the Emergence of Workforce Development. *Perspectives on People* 20, 21.
- Jacobs, R. L. & Park, Y. (2009) A proposed conceptual framework of workplace learning: Implications for theory development and research in human resource development. *Human Resource Development Review*, 8, 133.
- Jones, M. D. (2006) Which is a better predictor of job performance: Job satisfaction or life satisfaction. *Journal of Behavioral and Applied Management*, 8, 20-42.
- Joo, B.-K. B. (2012) Leader Member Exchange Quality and In-Role Job Performance The Moderating Role of Learning Organization Culture. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 19, 25-34.
- Joo, B.-K. B. & Ready, K. J. (2012) Career satisfaction: The influences of proactive personality, performance goal orientation, organizational learning culture, and leadermember exchange quality. *Career Development International*, 17, 276-295.

- Kasl, E., Marsick, V. J. & Dechant, K. (1997) Teams as learners. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 33, 227-246.
- Keith, N. & Frese, M. (2011) Enhancing firm performance and innovativeness through error management culture. *Handbook of organizational culture and climate*.
- Kenny, D. A. & Mccoach, D. B. (2003) Effect of the number of variables on measures of fit in structural equation modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 10, 333-351.
- Klein, K. I., Tosi, H. & Cannella, A. A. (1999) Multilevel theory building: Benefits, barriers, and new developments. *Academy of management review*, 24, 243-248.
- Klein, K. J., Dansereau, F. & Hall, R. J. (1994) Levels issues in theory development, data collection, and analysis. *Academy of Management Review*, 19, 195-229.
- Kline, R. (2005) Principles and practice of structural equation modeling. Guilford Press.
- Koopmans, H., Doornbos, A. J. & Eekelen, I. M. (2006) Learning in interactive work situations: it takes two to tango; why not invite both partners to dance? *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 17, 135-158.
- Kramer, H. (2007) Measuring the effect of e-learning on job performance, ProQuest.
- Kristof-Brown, A. L. & Stevens, C. K. (2001) Goal congruence in project teams: does the fit between members' personal mastery and performance goals matter? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86, 1083.
- Kuchinke, K. P. (1998) Moving beyond the dualism of performance versus learning: A response to Barrie and Pace. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 9, 377-384.
- Lankau, M. J. & Scandura, T. A. (2002) An investigation of personal learning in mentoring relationships: Content, antecedents, and consequences. *Academy of management Journal*, 779-790.
- Latham, G. P. & Kinne, S. B. (1974) Improving job performance through training in goal setting. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 59, 187.
- Lent, R. W. & Brown, S. D. (2006) On conceptualizing and assessing social cognitive constructs in career research: A measurement guide. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 14, 12-35.
- Leslie, B., Aring, M. K. & Brand, B. (1998) Informal learning: The new frontier of employee & organizational development. *Economic Development Review*, 15, 12-18.
- Liu, X. & Batt, R. (2005) The economic pay-offs to on-the-Job training in routine service Work.
- Lohman, M. C. (2005) A survey of factors influencing the engagement of two professional groups in informal workplace learning activities. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 16, 501-527.
- Lohman, M. C. (2009) A survey of factors influencing the engagement of information technology professionals in informal learning activities. *Information Technology, Learning, and Performance Journal*, 25, 43-53.

- Macintosh, E. W. & Doherty, A. (2010) The influence of organizational culture on job satisfaction and intention to leave. *Sport Management Review*, 13, 106-117.
- Malaysian Public Service Department (2011) Maklumat perjawatan dan pengisian sektor awam.
- Malcolm, J., Hodkinson, P. & Colley, H. (2003) The interrelationships between informal and formal learning. *Journal of workplace learning*, 15, 313-318.
- Malek, S. (2006) Transforming human capital for public service excellence in the 21st century. *11th Civil Service Conference*.
- Maria, R. F. S. (2003) Innovation and organizational learning culture in the Malaysian public sector. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 5, 205-214.
- Marsick, V. J. (1987) Learning in the workplace, Croom Helm Ltd.
- Marsick, V. J. & Watkins, K. E. (1990) Informal and incidental learning in the workplace, Routledge London.
- Marsick, V. J. & Watkins, K. E. (2001) Informal and incidental learning. New directions for adult and continuing education, 2001, 25-34.
- Marsick, V. J. & Watkins, K. E. (2003) Demonstrating the value of an organization's learning culture: The dimensions of the learning organization questionnaire. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 5, 132.
- Matthews, P. (1999) Workplace learning: developing an holistic model. *The Learning Organization*, 6, 18-29.
- Maurer, T. J. (2001) Career-relevant learning and development, worker age, and beliefs about self-efficacy for development. *Journal of management*, 27, 123-140.
- Maurer, T. J., Lippstreu, M. & Judge, T. A. (2008) Structural model of employee involvement in skill development activity: The role of individual differences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 72, 336-350.
- Mccloy, R. A., Campbell, J. P. & Cudeck, R. (1994) A confirmatory test of a model of performance determinants. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 79, 493.
- Mcdonald, R. P. & Ho, M.-H. R. (2002) Principles and practice in reporting structural equation analyses. *Psychological methods*, 7, 64.
- Mclean, G. N. (2004) National Human Resource Development: What in the world is it? *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 6, 269.
- Meador, D. P. (2008) Modeling training effects on task performance using a human performance taxonomy. Fairborn, Ohio, Wright State University.
- Mocker, D. W. & Spear, G. E. (1982) Lifelong Learning: Formal, Nonformal, Informal, and Self-Directed *Information Series*, 81-107.
- Moon, S.-Y. & Na, S.-I. (2009a) Psychological and organizational variables associated with workplace learning in small and medium manufacturing businesses in Korea. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 10, 327-336.



- Moon, S. Y. & Na, S. I. (2009b) Psychological and organizational variables associated with workplace learning in small and medium manufacturing businesses in Korea. Asia Pacific Education Review, 10, 327-336.
- Motowidlo, S. J. (2003) Job performance. Handbook of psychology.
- Motowidlo, S. J. & Van Scotter, J. R. (1994) Evidence that task performance should be distinguished from contextual performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 79, 475.
- Motowildo, S. J., Borman, W. C. & Schmit, M. J. (1997) A theory of individual differences in task and contextual performance. *Human performance*, 10, 71-83.
- Muhamad, M. & Idris, K. (2005) Workplace learning in Malaysia: the learner's perspective. International Journal of Training and Development, 9, 62-78.
- Nasser-Abu Alhija, F. & Wisenbaker, J. (2006) A Monte Carlo study investigating the impact of item parceling strategies on parameter estimates and their standard errors in CFA. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 13, 204-228.
- Natarajan, N. & Nagar, D. (2011) Induction age, training duration & job performance on organizational commitment & job satisfaction.
- National Economic Advisory Council (2010) New economic model for Malaysia. Putrajaya, Federal Government Administrative Centre.
- Ndletyana, D. (2005) Team learning and culture: A study of two multicultural engagement teams in a global professional services organization in South Africa. Columbia University.
- Norusis, M. J. (1990) The SPSS Guide to Data Analysis. Release 4. Chicago, Illinois: SPSS. Inc.
- Nunnally, J. (1978) C.(1978). Psychometric theory. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- O'reilly Iii, C. A., Caldwell, D. F. & Barnett, W. P. (1989) Work group demography, social integration, and turnover. *Administrative science quarterly*, 21-37.
- O'reilly Iii, C. A., Chatman, J. & Caldwell, D. F. (1991) People and organizational culture: A profile comparison approach to assessing person-organization fit. *Academy of management Journal*, 487-516.
- Ostroff, C. & Bowen, D. E. (2000) Moving HR to a higher level: HR practices and organizational effectiveness. 211-266.
- Parish, J. T., Cadwallader, S. & Busch, P. (2008) Want to, need to, ought to: employee commitment to organizational change. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 21, 32-52.
- Park, Y. (2009) The relationships among investment in workplace learning, organizational perspective on human resource development, organizational outcomes of workplace learning, and organizational performance using the Korea 2005 and 2007 Human Capital Corporate Panel surveys. The Ohio State University.
- Patton, W. & Mcmahon, M. (2006) *Career development and systems theory: Connecting theory and practice*, Sense Publishers.

- Paul, A. & Anantharaman, R. (2003) Impact of people management practices on organizational performance: analysis of a causal model. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 14, 1246-1266.
- Pettigrew, A. M. (1979) On studying organizational cultures. Administrative Science Quarterly, 24, 570-581.
- Poell, R. F., Van Dam, K. & Van Den Berg, P. T. (2004) Organising learning in work contexts. *Applied Psychology*, 53, 529-540.
- Poell, R. F. & Woerkom, M. (2011) Introduction: Supporting Workplace Learning. Supporting Workplace Learning, 1-7.
- Potosky, D. & Ramakrishna, H. (2002) The moderating role of updating climate perceptions in the relationship between goal orientation, self-efficacy, and job performance. *Human Performance*, 15, 275-297.
- Preacher, K. J., Rucker, D. D. & Hayes, A. F. (2007) Addressing moderated mediation hypotheses: Theory, methods, and prescriptions. *Multivariate behavioral research*, 42, 185-227.
- Ragins, B. R. & Verbos, A. K. (2007) Positive relationships in action: Relational mentoring and mentoring schemas in the workplace. *Exploring positive relationships at work: Building a theoretical and research foundation*, 91-116.
- Rainbird, H., Fuller, A., Munro, A. & Myilibrary (2004) *Workplace learning in context*, Wiley Online Library.
- Rasdi, R. M., Ismail, M., Uli, J. & Noah, S. M. (2009) Towards developing a theoretical framework for measuring public sector managers' career success. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 33, 232-254.
- Raykov, T. & Marcoulides, G. A. (2006) A first course in structural equation modeling, Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Raz, A. E. & Fadlon, J. (2006) Managerial culture, workplace culture and situated curricula in organizational learning. *Organization Studies*, 27, 165.
- Reio Jr, T. G. (1997) Effects of curiosity on socialization-related learning and job performance in adults. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
- Rigg, C., Stewart, J. & Trehan, K. (2007) A critical take on a critical turn in HRD. *Critical human resource development: Beyond orthodoxy*.
- Rothwell, W. J. (2002) The workplace learner: How to align training initiatives with individual learning competencies, AMACOM/American Management Association.
- Rothwell, W. J., Sanders, E. S. & Soper, J. G. (1999) ASTD models for workplace learning and performance: Roles, competencies, and outputs, ASTD.
- Rousseau, D. M. (1990) Assessing organizational culture: The case for multiple methods. *Organizational climate and culture*, 153, 192.

- Rowden, R. (2007) Workplace learning principles and practice. Malabar, FL: Krieger Publishing Company.
- Rowden, R. W. (2000) Invited reaction: Relationship among curiosity, workplace learning, and job performance. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 11, 31-34.
- Rowden, R. W. (2002) The relationship between workplace learning and job satisfaction in US small to midsize businesses. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 13, 407-425.
- Rowden, R. W. & Ahmad, S. (2000) The relationship between workplace learning and job satisfaction in small to mid-sized businesses in Malaysia. *Human resource development international*, 3, 307-322.
- Rowden, R. W. & Conine Jr, C. T. (2005) The impact of workplace learning on job satisfaction in small US commercial banks. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 17, 215-230.
- Sambrook, S. (2005) Factors influencing the context and process of work-related learning: synthesizing findings from two research projects. *Human Resource Development International*, 8, 101-119.
- Sarris, A. & Kirby, N. (2005) Antarctica: A study of person-culture fit. *Australian journal of psychology*, 57, 161-169.
- Schein, E. H. (1990) Organizational culture. American psychologist, 45, 109.
- Schein, E. H. (1992) Organizational culture and leadership, Jossey-Bass San Francisco.
- Schein, E. H. (1996) Culture: The missing concept in organization studies. Administrative Science Quarterly, 229-240.
- Schmidt, F. L., Hunter, J. E., Outerbridge, A. N. & Goff, S. (1988) Joint relation of experience and ability with job performance: Test of three hypotheses. *Journal of Applied Psychology; Journal of Applied Psychology*, 73, 46.
- Schulte, A. E. (2010) The role of learning and development in employer brand practices. University of Pennsylvania.
- Schumacker, R. E. & Lomax, R. G. (2004) *A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling*, Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Sekaran, U. (2003) Research methodology for business. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Shafie, H. B. (1996) Malaysia's experience in implementing the new performance appraisal system. *Public Administration and Development*, 16, 341-352.
- Shantz, A. & Latham, G. P. (2012) Transfer of training: Written self-guidance to increase selfefficacy and interviewing performance of job seekers. *Human Resource Management*, 51, 733-746.
- Sheridan, J. E. (1992) Organizational culture and employee retention. Academy of Management Journal, 1036-1056.
- Siddiquee, N. A. (2006) Public management reform in Malaysia: Recent initiatives and experiences. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 19, 339-358.

- Smith, A. & Hayton, G. (1999) What drives enterprise training? Evidence from Australia. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 10, 251-272.
- Smith, E. A. (2001) The role of tacit and explicit knowledge in the workplace. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 5, 311-321.
- Smith, P. L. & Fouad, N. A. (1999) Subject-matter specificity of self-efficacy, outcome expectancies, interests, and goals: Implications for the social cognitive model. *Journal of counseling psychology*, 46, 461.
- Stevens, J. (1996) Applied multivariate statistics for the behavioral sciences. Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ.
- Stolovitch, H. D. (2000) Human performance technology: Research and theory to practice. *Performance Improvement*, 39, 7-16.
- Sugrue, B. (2003) State of the Industry: ASTD's Annual Review of US and International trends in Workplace Learning and Performance.
- Svensson, L., Ellström, P. E. & Åberg, C. (2004) Integrating formal and informal learning at work. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 16, 479-491.
- Swanson, R. A. & Holton, E. (2001) Foundations of human resource management, San Francisco, CA, Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Swanson, R. A. & Holton, E. F. (1999) *Results: How to Assess Performance, Learning, & Perceptions in Organizations*, Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Swanson, R. A. & Holton, E. F. (2005) *Research in Organizations: Foundations and Methods in Inquiry*, Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Swanson, R. A., Holton, E. F. & Holton, E. (2001) Foundations of human resource development, Berrett-Koehler.
- Tanaka, J. S. (1993) Multifaceted conceptions of fit in structural equation models. SAGE Focus Editions, 154, 10-10.
- Tharenou, P., Saks, A. M. & Moore, C. (2007) A review and critique of research on training and organizational-level outcomes. *Human Resource Management Review*, 17, 251-273.
- Tomarken, A. J. & Waller, N. G. (2005) Structural equation modeling: Strengths, limitations, and misconceptions. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol.*, 1, 31-65.
- Tracey, J. B., Tannenbaum, S. I. & Kavanagh, M. J. (1995) Applying trained skills on the job: The importance of the work environment. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 80, 239.
- Tsai, W. C. & Tai, W. T. (2003) Perceived importance as a mediator of the relationship between training assignment and training motivation. *Personnel Review*, 32, 151-163.
- Tubre, T., Arthur Jr, W. & Bennett Jr, W. (2006) General Models of Job Performance: Theory and Practice.
- Tziner, A., Haccoun, R. R. & Kadish, A. (1991) Personal and situational characteristics influencing the effectiveness of transfer of training improvement strategies. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 64, 167-177.



- Velada, R., Caetano, A., Michel, J. W., Lyons, B. D. & Kavanagh, M. J. (2007) The effects of training design, individual characteristics and work environment on transfer of training. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 11, 282-294.
- Von Hippel, P. T. (2007) Regression with missing Ys: An improved strategy for analyzing multiply imputed data. *Sociological Methodology*, 37, 83-117.
- Walumbwa, F. O., Cropanzano, R. & Hartnell, C. A. (2009) Organizational justice, voluntary learning behavior, and job performance: A test of the mediating effects of identification and leader-member exchange. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 30, 1103-1126.
- Wang, H., Begley, T., Hui, C. & Lee, C. (2012) Are the effects of conscientiousness on contextual and innovative performance context specific? Organizational culture as a moderator. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 23, 174-189.
- Watkins, K. E. & Marsick, V. J. (1992) Towards a theory of informal and incidental learning in organizations. *International journal of lifelong education*, 11, 287-300.
- Watkins, K. E. & Marsick, V. J. (1997) Dimensions of the learning organization questionnaire. Warwick, RI: Partners for the Learning Organization.
- Wothke, W. (2000) Longitudinal and multigroup modeling with missing data.
- Wright, T. A. & Bonett, D. G. (2007) Job satisfaction and psychological well-being as nonadditive predictors of workplace turnover. *Journal of management*, 33, 141-160.
- Xenikou, A. & Furnham, A. (1996) A correlational and factor analytic study of four questionnaire measures of organizational culture. *Human Relations*, 49, 349-371.
- Xiao, J. (1996) The relationship between organizational factors and the transfer of training in the electronics industry in Shenzhen, China. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 7, 55-73.
- Yiing, L. H. & Ahmad, K. Z. B. (2009) The moderating effects of organizational culture on the relationships between leadership behaviour and organizational commitment and between organizational commitment and job satisfaction and performance. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 30, 53-86.
- Zamanou, S. & Glaser, S. R. (1994) Moving toward participation and involvement. *Group & Organization Management*, 19, 475-502.
- Zanibbi, M., Munby, H., Hutchinson, N. L., Versnel, J. & Chin, P. (2006) Exemplary practice in work-based education: a validation study. *Journal of vocational education and training*, 58, 65-81.
- Zheng, W. (2005) The impact of organizational culture, structure, and strategy on knowledge management effectiveness and organizational effectiveness. University of Minnesota.