



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE,
LEADERSHIP BEHAVIOR AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT
WITH ORGANIZATIONAL READINESS FOR CHANGE IN A
MALAYSIAN INSTITUTE OF HIGHER LEARNING**

NORSIDAH BTE MOHD NOORDIN

FPP 2008 16



**RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN EMOTIONAL
INTELLIGENCE, LEADERSHIP BEHAVIOR AND
ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT WITH
ORGANIZATIONAL READINESS FOR CHANGE
IN A MALAYSIAN INSTITUTE OF HIGHER
LEARNING**

NORSIDAH BTE MOHD NOORDIN

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA
2008**



**RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE,
LEADERSHIP BEHAVIOR AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT WITH
ORGANIZATIONAL READINESS FOR CHANGE IN A MALAYSIAN
INSTITUTE OF HIGHER LEARNING**

BY

NORSIDAH BTE MOHD NOORDIN

**THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES,
UNIVERSITY PUTRA MALAYSIA, IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
JANUARY 2008**



Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of the University of Putra Malaysia in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE,
LEADERSHIP BEHAVIOR AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT WITH
ORGANIZATIONAL READINESS FOR CHANGE IN A MALAYSIAN
INSTITUTE OF HIGHER LEARNING**

BY

NORSIDAH BTE MOHD NOORDIN

January 2008

Chairman : Khairuddin bin Idris, PhD

Faculty : Educational Studies

The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationships between emotional intelligence, leadership behavior (Transformational and Transactional) and organizational commitment (affective, normative and continuance) in influencing perceptions and responses of organizational readiness for change among the academic staff in a Higher learning Institution. Specifically, the study attempted (1) to determine the level of organizational readiness for change, organizational commitment, emotional intelligence and perceived leadership behavior exhibited in UiTM; (2) to determine the relationship between emotional intelligence, perceived leadership behavior, organizational commitment and organizational readiness for change; (3) to determine the contribution of the significant



predictors variables towards explaining the variance of the criterion variables; (4) to determine whether organizational commitment is a moderator variable for the relationship between emotional intelligence, perceived leadership behavior and organizational readiness for change among the academic staff of UiTM. This is a descriptive-correlational research study. It was based on conceptual framework that combined part of adapted model from organizational development and change theory (Lewin, 1947, Burke-Litwin, 1992) and the Three-Component Model (TCM) of organizational commitment developed by Meyer and Allen (1991, 1997). A total of 168 academic staff of UiTM participated in this study. They were selected based on cluster sampling from the main and branch campuses through Malaysia. Data were collected using the survey method and were analyzed using both descriptive and inferential statistics.

This study provided empirical evidences that the academic staff of UiTM perceived that the organization is ready for change. The findings also showed that generally the academic staff has high level of emotional intelligent competencies, moderate level organizational commitment and perceived their superiors having moderate transformational and transactional leadership style. In term of the relationships between dependent and the independent variables, the result revealed that there was a positive and moderate linear relationship between overall scores of emotional intelligence, its clusters scores and organizational readiness for change. Besides, there was a positive and moderate linear relationship between transformational,



transactional leadership behavior and organizational readiness for change. The relationship between affective commitment and organizational readiness for change showed a positive and moderate linear relationship. However, there was positive and low linear relationship of normative and continuance commitment and organizational readiness for change.

In terms of determining the contributions of the significant predictive power of emotional intelligence, transformational and transactional leadership behavior and the three-components of organizational commitment in explaining organizational readiness for change, the result revealed 44.1% of the variance in readiness for change is explained by emotional intelligence, organizational commitment and transactional leadership behavior, In determining the role of the organization commitment as a moderating variable, the finding shows that organizational commitment moderated the effects of transactional leadership on organizational readiness for change; and continuance commitment moderated the effects of transactional leadership on organizational readiness for change. Based on the results, the implications of the findings were discussed in the context of understanding the organization readiness for change and its implications to change management and adult learning and practices. Suggestions on how to enhance organizational readiness for change and avenues for future research were also provided in this discussion.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai sebahagian keperluan Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

HUBUNGAN ANTARA KECERDASAN EMOSI, TINGKAHLAKU KEPIMPINAN, KOMITMEN ORGANISASI DAN KESEDIAAN PERUBAHAN ORGANISASI DI INSTITUSI PENGAJIAN TINGGI

OLEH

NORSIDAH BTE MOHD NOORDIN

Januari 2008

Pengerusi : Khairuddin bin Idris, PhD

Fakulti : Pengajian Pendidikan

Tujuan kajian adalah untuk mengkaji hubungan antara kecerdasan emosi, tingkahlaku kepimpinan (Transformasi dan Transaksi) dan komitmen organisasi (afektif, normatif dan berterusan) dalam mempengaruhi persepsi terhadap kesediaan perubahan organisasi di kalangan staf akademik di pusat pengajian tinggi. Secara spesifik, kajian ini ingin (1) menentukan tahap-tahap kesediaan perubahan organisasi; komitmen organisasi, kecerdasan emosi dan tanggapan tingkahlaku kepimpinan yang dipamerkan di UiTM; (2) untuk menentukan hubungan antara kecerdasan emosi, tanggapan tingkahlaku kepimpinan dan komitmen organisasi terhadap kesediaan perubahan organisasi di kalangan staf akademik di UiTM; (3) untuk menentukan sumbangan pembolehubah tak bersandar yang signifikan terhadap pemboleh ubah bersandar (4) untuk menentukan peranan



komitmen organisasi sebagai angkubah peantara dalam hubungannya dengan kecerdasan emosi, tanggapan tingkah laku kepimpinan dan kesediaan perubahan organisasi. Kajian ini merupakan deskriptif korelasi yang berdasarkan pada kerangka kerja yang diadaptasi daripada Pembangunan organisasi dan teori Perubahan Organisasi (Lewin, 1947; Burke-Litwin, 1992) serta Model Tiga Komponen (TCM) yang direkabentuk oleh Meyer dan Allen (1991, 1997). Sejumlah 168 staf akademik UiTM mengambil bahagian dalam kajian ini. Mereka dipilih berdasarkan pada persampelan kluster yang ambil dari kampus-kampus utama dan cawangan UiTM di seluruh Malaysia. Data dikumpulkan menerusi kaedah soalselidik dan dianalisis menggunakan statistic deskriptif dan inferensi.

Kajian ini telah membuktikan secara empirical bahawa staf akademik mempunyai persepsi bahawa organisasi mereka telah bersedia untuk berubah. Manakala tahap kompetensi kecerdasan emosi mereka adalah tinggi dan tahap komitmen organisasi adalah sederhana. Selain itu, mereka bertanggapan bahawa pihak atasan mempamerkan gaya kepimpinan transformasi dan transaksi yang sederhana. Dalam hubungan antara pemboleh ubah bersandar iaitu kesediaan perubahan organisasi; dapatan menunjukkan bahawa terdapat hubungan yang signifikan bagi kedua-dua angkubah tersebut.



Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa terdapat hubungan linear yang positif dan sederhana antara skor kecerdasan emosi serta dimensinya dan kesediaan perubahan organisasi. Terdapat juga hubungan linear yang positif dan sederhana antara kepemimpinan tingkah laku (Transformasi dan Transaksi) dan kesediaan perubahan organisasi. Selain itu, hubungan linear yang positif dan sederhana didapati antara afektif komitmen dan kesediaan perubahan organisasi. Walaubagaimanapun hubungan antara komitmen normatif dan berterusan adalah positif tetapi lemah.

Manakala, bagi menentukan sumbangan pengaruh serentak antara kecerdasan emosi, tingkahlaku kepemimpinan (Transformasi dan Transaksi) serta komitmen organisasi dan perubahan organisasi, dapatan menunjukkan bahawa terdapat 44.1% varian ketiga-tiga pemboleh ubah tak bersandar iaitu kecerdasan emosi, kepemimpinan transaksi dan komitmen organisasi terdapat dalam perubahan organisasi. Dalam menentukan peranan komitmen organisasi sebagai angkubah perantara, dan hubungannya dengan kecerdasan emosi, tingkahlaku kepemimpinan dan kesediaan perubahan organisasi, dapatan menunjukkan bahawa komitmen organisasi bertindak sebagai perantara antara hubungan tingkahlaku kepemimpinan dan kesediaan perubahan organisasi, Manakala dapatan juga menunjukkan bahawa komitmen berterusan bertindak sebagai perantara antara hubungannya dengan tingkahlaku kepemimpinan transaksi dan kesediaan perubahan organisasi. Berdasarkan pada keputusan-keputusan statistik, maka implikasi dan hasil dapatan turut dibincangkan bagi memahami peranan kesediaan



perubahan organisasi serta implikasinya ke atas pengurusan serta pembelajaran dan amalan pendidikan dewasa. Di samping itu, beberapa cadangan untuk meningkatkan kesediaan perubahan organisasi dan arah tuju untuk kajian-kajian akan datang juga dikemukakan.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My first and foremost debt of gratitude is to Allah the ALMIGHTY. There is just too much of His blessing in this life to count. As I pray to HIM in my moment of happiness and sorrow, He always listened and bestowed me with His infinite bounty. I extend my gratitude to those who have contributed directly and indirectly to the completion of this thesis. The completion of this would not have materialized without their contributions and unfailing support. I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisors, I am indebted Dr. Khairuddin Bin Idris for his invaluable guidance, patience, support and encouragement. My appreciation and thanks goes to Professor Dr Rahim Sail for his guidance and wisdom, and to Associate Prof Dr. Bahaman Bin Abu Samah for his comments and advice. My appreciation and gratitude is also extended to University Technology Mara for granting me permission to pursue my doctoral studies. My thanks and appreciation also goes to Prof. Dr. Kamil Ibrahim and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Shireen Haron for their support.

Last, but not least, I would like to express sincere gratitude and affection to my beloved husband, Mohd Kamil, and my two beautiful children, Nurhuda and Mohd Aiman, for their support and patience through my graduate program. Without these gifts, many obstacles could not have been overcome.



This thesis submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

KHAIRUDDIN BIN IDRIS, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

RAHIM BIN SAIL, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BAHAMAN BIN ABU SAMAH, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 10th April 2008



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any degree at UPM or other institutions.

(NORSIDAH BTE MOHD NOORDIN)

Date: 31st March 2008



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ix
APPROVAL	x
DECLARATION	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF FIGURES	xxi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxii
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
Background and Context of the Study	3
Variables Related to Perception of Organizational Readiness for Change	6
Organizational Context: Planned Change at UiTM	10
Statement of Problem	17
Objectives of the Study	19
General Objectives	19
Research Questions	20
Hypotheses	21
Significant of the Study	22
Contribution to Knowledge	22
Contribution to Practices	25
Limitation of the Study	28
Definition of Key Terms	30
2. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	34
Introduction	34
Definition and Nature of Organizational Change	34
Employees' Resistance to Change	37
Conceptualization of Organizational Readiness For Change	41
The Nature and Development of Emotional Intelligence	44
The Nature and Development of Leadership Behaviour	48
The Nature and Development of Organizational Commitment	55
	xiii



Commitment as Uni-dimensional	57
Commitment as Multidimensional Constructs	60
Affective Commitment	63
Continuance Commitment	68
Normative Commitment	69
Organizational Change Process Theories and Model	72
Lewin's Change Model	74
Schein's Model	77
Bridges or Transitional Model	78
Armenakis, Harris and Field's Model	80
Content Approach to Organizational Change	81
Studies Examining Relationships Between Organizational Readiness for Change, Emotional Intelligence, Leadership Behavior and Organizational Commitment	85
Relationships Between Organizational Readiness for Change and Work-Related Behaviour	85
Association of Emotional Intelligence and Organizational Change	90
The Link Between Leadership Behaviour and Organizational Change	95
The Relationship Between Organizational Commitment and Organizational Change	98
The Role of Organizational Commitment as Moderator Variable	100
The Association Between Emotional Intelligence and Organizational Commitment	104
The Relationship Between Leadership Behaviour and Organizational Commitment	109
Theoretical Framework of the Study	113
Conceptual Framework of the Study	120
Summary	124
3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	126
Introduction	126
Research Design	126
Research Framework	127
Measurement and Instrumentation	131
Emotional Intelligence	143
Validity and Reliability of Research Instrument	147
Population and Sample	151
Determining of the Sample Size	153
Pearson Product Moment Correlation Analysis	155
Regression Analysis	156
Sampling Techniques	159
Data Collection	163
Data Analysis	166
Descriptive Statistics	166



Correlation Statistics	168
Multiple Regression Analysis	169
Moderated Multiple Regression Analysis	169
Exploratory Data Analysis	170
Test of Normality	171
Checking for Violation of Multiple Regressions	172
Summary	175
4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS	176
Introduction	176
Demographic Profile of the Respondents	177
Age Group	179
Respondents' Tenure	180
Level of Dependent Variable	180
The Levels of Organizational Readiness for Change	182
Level of the Independent Variables	186
The Levels of Organizational Commitment	187
The Levels of the Three Components of Organizational Commitment	188
The Levels of Emotional Intelligence and Its Clusters' Scores	190
The Levels of Emotional Intelligence Clusters' Scores	191
The Perceived Transformational Leadership Behaviour Exhibit among the Superior of UiTM	193
The Perceived Transactional Leadership Behaviour Exhibit among the Superior of UiTM	194
Relationship Between Organizational Readiness for Change and the Independent Variables	196
The Relationship Between Emotional Intelligence and Organizational Readiness for Change	199
The relationship Between Perceived Leadership Behaviour (Transformational and Transactional) and Organizational Readiness for Change	202
The Relationship Between Organizational Commitment and Organizational Readiness for Change	206
Factors Explaining Organizational Readiness for Change	209
The Role of Organizational Commitment as a Moderator Variable	219
Summary	241
5. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	242
Introduction	242



Summary of the Study	242
The objectives of this study	243
Methodology	243
Summary of the Findings	245
The Relationship of Emotional Intelligence, Leadership and Organizational Commitment on Organizational Readiness for Change	245
Predictors of Organizational Readiness for Change	246
The Role of Organizational Commitment as a Moderator Variable	247
Conclusion of the Study	248
The Findings of the Study were Congruent with the Organizational Change Theories	249
Organizational Commitment, Emotional Intelligence and Transactional Leadership Behaviour were the Significant Predictors of Organizational Readiness for Change	250
Organizational Commitment Played Important Role as Moderator in Influencing the Relationship Between Transactional Leadership Behaviour and Organizational Readiness for Change	253
Theoretical Implications	254
Implications of Future Practice	257
Limitations of the Study	266
Recommendations for Future Research	268
Other Determinants of Organizational Readiness for Change	269
The Stage of the Change Process	270
Enhancing the Findings with Using Qualitative Information	271
Using Longitudinal Research Design	271
Investigating the Insignificant Relationship of Transformational and Organizational Readiness for Change	272
Using of Control Variables	273
Recommendation for Practice	273
Providing Continuous Adult Learning Program	274
Designing Readiness for Change Program	275
Enhance Emotional Intelligence Competency Through Staff Development Program	278
Improve Service Quality through Emotional Intelligence Training Program	279
Utilizing Emotional Intelligence Assessment At the Entry Level	281
Utilizing the Commitment Survey at the Workplace	282
Enhancing the Level of Affective Commitment Reduces Continuance Commitment	282
Designing Change Intervention Program	286
	287



Design Training Program for Change Leaders	289
Designing a Training Program for Leaders	
Handling Continuous Change	291
Designing Training Program for Leaders	
Handling Transformational Change	292
REFERENCES	294
APPENDICES	335
BIODATA OF STUDENT	386



TABLES

No.		Page
3.1	Details of the dimension of the organizational readiness for change items	136
3.2	Detail of MLQ Form 5 x – Short Items	141
3.3	Scale of MLQ	142
3.4	Pre-post test of Cronbach Alpha Values	151
3.5	Product Moment Correlation Test	156
3.6	Multiple Regression Test	157
3.7	Clustering of Campuses into Zone	160
3.8	Numbers of Lecturers According to Zone	161
3.9	Sampling Design	162
3.10	The Strength of the Relationship	168
3.11	Normality Test	172
3.12	Multicollinearity Test Result of the Independent Variables	173
4.1	Frequency Distribution of Respondents Personal Characteristics	178
4.2	Frequency Distribution of Respondents' Age	179
4.3	Frequency Distribution of Respondents' Tenure	180
4.4	The Levels of Organizational Readiness for Change	182
4.5	Dimensions of the Readiness for Organizational Change	183
4.6	The Levels of Organizational Commitment of Academic Staff	187
4.7	The Three components of Organizational Commitment	188
		xviii



4.8	The Levels of Emotional Intelligence Scores Of the Academic Staff	190
4.9	The Emotional Intelligence Clusters' Scores	191
4.10	The Transformational Leadership Behaviour Scores	193
4.11	The components of Transformational Leadership Dimensions	193
4.12	The Transactional Leadership Behaviour Scores	194
4.13	The Components of Transactional Leadership Dimensions	195
4.14	Correlation Matrix Analysis of Organizational Readiness for Change, Emotional Intelligence, Leadership Behaviour and Organizational Commitment (n= 168)	197
4.15	Correlation Coefficient Between Overall and Cluster Scores of Emotional Intelligence and Organizational Readiness for Change	199
4.16	Correlation Coefficient Between Perceived Leadership Behaviours and Organizational Readiness for Change	203
4.17	Correlation Coefficient Between Organizational Commitment and Organizational Readiness for Change	206
4.18	Multiple Regression Analysis on Organizational Readiness for Change	210
4.19	Multiple Regression Analysis of Organizational Readiness for Change with the Three Components Of Organizational Commitment	213
4.20	Moderated Multiple Regression Predicting Organizational Readiness for Change and Emotional Intelligence in Interaction with Organizational Commitment	224
4.21	Moderated Multiple Regression Predicting Organizational Readiness for Change and Emotional Intelligence in Interaction with the	



Three Components of Organizational Commitment	225
4.22 Moderated Multiple Regression Predicting Organizational Readiness for Change and Transformational and Transactional Leadership Behaviour in Interaction with Organizational Commitment	228
4.23 Moderated Multiple Regression Predicting Organizational Readiness for Change and Transformational and Transactional Leadership Behaviour in Interaction with Organizational Commitment (Affective and Normative)	233
4.24 Moderated Multiple Regression Predicting Organizational Readiness for Change and Transformational and Transactional Leadership Behaviour in Interaction with Organizational Commitment (Continuance)	234



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	A Simplified Meyer and Allen's Model of Commitment (1997: 106)	118
2.2	Research Framework	121
3.1	Research Framework	130
4.1	Slopes For Readiness on Transactional Leadership Behaviour for Low and High Commitment	232
4.2	Slope for Organizational Readiness on Transactional Leadership for High and Low Continuance Commitment	237
5.1	Antecedent Organizational Readiness For Change	257



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

EI	Emotional Intelligence
ECI	Emotional Competency Inventory
MMR	Moderated Multiple Regression
SD	Standard deviation
SPSS	Statistical package for Social Science
UiTM	University Technology Mara
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor
ΔR^2	Adjusted coefficient of determination
R^2	Multiple correlation coefficient determination
R	Multiple correlation coefficient
r	Pearson' product moment correlation coefficient
n	Sample Size
f^2	Effect size for multiple regression
df	Degree of freedom
β	Probability of Type II error (Beta)



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

In today's environment, it is considered inevitable for an organization to undergo constant change (Roach & Bednar, 1997; Mossholder, Settoon, Armenankis & Harris 2000). Indeed, the organization's ability to deal with change provides a competitive advantage (Skinner, Saunders & Thornhill, 1993). On the other hand, effective management of organizations and human resources are also facing enormous challenges. For example, the workforce is currently characterized by downsizing, mergers, buyouts, business closing and other disruptive forces. In addition, diversity has become a more prominent characteristic of today's workforce (Reece & Brandt, 2005). This massive change is expected among public enterprises including higher educational institutions (Bennett, Crawford, Riches, 1992). Furthermore, the new trends and threats from the external environment, such as, the new economy, mass individualism, social dynamics, and globalization are affecting the business concept of higher education. Therefore, this implies that the university needs to be alert and respond to these challenges in order to be more competitive with other academic providers. Subsequently, in order to compete, higher learning institutions have been forced to implement large scale change and quality improvement initiative (Fullan, 1991, Burke, 1992). However, Beer and



Nohria (2000) argue that 70% of change program fails due to lack of strategy and vision, commitment, lack of management skills and resistance to change among the employees. In fact according to Bernerth (2004), mere mention of change can create feelings of uneasiness and tensions among the organizational members. In this sense, it seems that people are the most difficult element to deal with change (Linstone and Mitroff, 1994). Therefore, managing the human part of the organization becomes a major challenge in handling change processes in the organization as it involves values, preferences and attitude toward certain activities. In this context, according to Smith (2005), in order to make organizational change successful, both the organization and its members must be readied for such transformation. In this sense, based on previous research, individual and work related behavior may be related to individuals' interpretation of organizational reality and organizational change (Robbins, 2003; Schnieder and Bowen, 1993). To date there have been a rather large body of work related behavior to organizational change. However, most of the studies have been descriptive or prescriptive in nature. The literature has so far not contributed much towards theory development (Gray, 1993). Fullan (2001) attempted to explain educational change but says nothing about change in further and higher education. Hence, the following section provides a background of the study which is a review of studies on organizations in order to support organizational change issues that is sufficient to warrant investigation. It also discussed the rationale for the study at University Technology Mara.