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Malaysian rice industry is carefully monitored by several relevant agencies as it is a major concern in relation to the nation’s food security agenda. The Department of Agriculture Selangor (DOA) in 2009 had classified the granary areas or Integrated Agriculture Development Area (IADA) under three categories, namely; poor yield (KADA, Krian Sungai Manik, and Kemasin Semerak), average yield (MADA, KETARA, and Seberang Perak) and high yield (North West Selangor and Penang IADA). Meanwhile, during the Tenth Malaysian Plan (2011 – 2015) period, the government had set a target for every paddy farmer of 10 mt/ha, to ensure that the availability and accessibility of rice are maintained and sufficient. However, the latest numbers from 2011 revealed that the average production per farmer from the main granary areas was still 4.77 mt/ha, not much different from 2008 as resulted as 4.02 mt/ha, whereas in certain parts of the country such as in Sekinchan Selangor, farmers had achieved up to 12 mt/ha.

What is the cause of this disparity in production? Despite similarities in facilities, land area, and resources, major differences remain in production. Although there are a multitude of factors that could be relevant to this situation, this study focuses on the factors governing the rice growers’ decision-making ability that contribute to their readiness to employ the appropriate crop husbandry practices leading to increase in productivity. Structured questionnaires were administrated to 320 respondents from six IADA in Malaysia.

Demographically, majority of the respondents was aging farmers and not interested in attending structured training sessions. In fact, they were keen towards hands-on training, like the extension clinic. In terms of productivity level, based on the classification that was fixed by DOA, revealed that only 17.2% farmers achieved higher productivity, where the production range from 7 mt/ha and above
while majority of farmers were at the moderate level because they achieved the production between 4.1 to 7 mt/ha, with a mean of 5.35 mt/ha. This situation indicates that our farmers fall under the moderate level of productivity.

Nine factors were identified as the decision-making variables, through mental model of farming that was introduced previously by Eckert & Bell (2005) and Krauss et. al (2009). From the results, two variables (farming knowledge and motivational values) denoted a significant and positive relationship towards farmers’ productivity. Although they are weak contributions, but still it can be express that the productivity is more likely to increase when farming knowledge and motivation also increase.

The study also revealed that only two predictor variables were found to be significance in explaining farmers’ productivity, which are farming knowledge and motivational value and the two predictor variables explained about 6% of the variance in the farmers’ productivity. On top of that, farming knowledge makes the strongest unique contribution followed by motivational value in explaining the farmers’ productivity, when the variance explained by all other predictor variables in the model is controlled for.

From the study, it can be suggested that knowledge play an important role in farming practices, as well as motivation since both of these factors may contribute on farmers’ decision-making, which directly will reflect on productivity. Besides, there is a dire need to get the best approaches to make rice farming more attractive to the youth, since the majority of the rice farmers are aging farmers. It is also necessary to strengthen the extension strategies especially on the extension agent competencies in order to equip farmers with the right approaches. The ability to integrate the elements of decision-making would help the government and related stakeholders to revitalize the agriculture sector to become more effective and efficient in years to come.
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Apakah punca perbezaan ini berlaku? Walaupun terdapat persamaan dalam penerimaan kemudahan, infrastruktur, keluasan tanah, dan juga sumber, perbezaan purata pengeluaran hasil masih menunjukkan perbezaan yang ketara. Terdapat pelbagai faktor yang menyumbang kepada situasi ini. Walau bagaimanapun, kajian ini memberi tumpuan kepada faktor kemanusiaan yang secara khususnya mengawal keupayaan dalam membuat keputusan yang menyumbang kepada peningkatan hasil dalam produktiviti mereka. Soal selidik...
berstruktur telah diedarkan kepada 320 responden yang terdiri daripada enam IADA yang terpilih.

Secara demografi, majoriti daripada responden terdiri daripada petani yang sudah berumur dan kurang berminat untuk menghadiri sesi latihan secara berstruktur atau formal. Malahan, mereka lebih cenderung untuk menghadiri latihan secara tidak formal seperti klinik pengembangan. Dari segi tahap produktiviti seperti yang telah ditetapkan JPNS, hanya 17.2% sahaja petani yang tergolong dalam tahap produktiviti tinggi, yang mana hasil pengeluaran mereka adalah melebihi 7 mt/ha manakala majoriti petani dalam kajian ini menghasilkan purata padi antara 4 hingga 7 mt/ha sahaja, dengan min 5.35 mt/ha dimana ia berada dalam kategori produktiviti yang sederhana. Situasi ini menunjukkan bahawa produktiviti petani masih lagi di peringkat sederhana.

Melalui gaya berfikir pesawah padi yang telah dikaji oleh Eckert & Bell (2005) dan Krauss et. al. (2009), sembilan faktor telah dikenal pasti sebagai pemboleh ubah kepada petani untuk membuat keputusan. Dua pemboleh ubah iaitu pengetahuan dan motivasi menunjukkan korelasi yang signifikan terhadap produktiviti. Walaupun faktor penyumbang adalah rendah, ia masih dapat menunjukkan bahawa nilai produktiviti akan meningkat sekiranya pengetahuan dan motivasi turut ditingkatkan.

Dua faktor utama yang telah dikenal pasti oleh kajian ini (pengetahuan dan motivasi) menjelaskan 6% daripada varians keseluruhan dalam produktiviti petani. Faktor pengetahuan tentang ilmu pertanian didapati sebagai penyumbang utama kepada tahap produktiviti petani diikuti dengan motivasi yang mana ketika kesemua faktor dikawal.

Kajian ini mencadangkan bahawa kombinasi ilmu pengetahuan berkaitan pertanian dan motivasi dapat meningkatkan tahap produktiviti petani melalui kaedah dan faktor pembuat keputusan. Pendekatan yang strategik perlu diambil untuk mendekatkan golongan belia dengan aktiviti penanaman padi dan mengkaji semula langkah-langkah selanjutnya yang perlu dititikberatkan dalam membangunkan agen pengembangan yang berwibawa dan kompeten dalam segala hal demi menggalakkan serta membantu petani dalam penanaman padi. Keupayaan untuk mengintegrasikan elemen pembuat keputusan ini diharap dapat membantu agensi-agensi kerajaan dan pihak yang berkaitan untuk menggiatkan serta mengaktifkan semula sektor pertanian untuk menjadi lebih cemerlang pada tahun yang mendatang.
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